BEFORE							
THE	PUBLIC	UTILITIES	COMMISSION	ог оню			

THE TODERC OTHERTES CO	OMMISSION OF OHIO		530	Ċ
In the matter of the Application of First Communications for the Approval of the Transfer of Assets of CoreComm Newco, Inc. to First Communications, LLC.) Case No. 05-989-TP-AO)	() () ()	NUS 22 PK 12: 01	1,1

AFFIDAVIT OF JONATHAN WHILEY

State of Ohio)
) ss.
County of Franklin)

My name is Jonathan Whiley. I am the Director of Midwest Operations for ATX Communications ("ATX"). In my current capacity at ATX, I am responsible for CoreComm Newco, Inc.'s ("CoreComm") switch operations. Therefore, I have personal knowledge of the assertions herein.

The purpose of this affidavit is to respond to the letter filed by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") on August 11, 2005 in the above-captioned matter, wherein it raised a concern that CoreComm is not providing adequate service to its residential customers with respect to the provision of enhanced 911 services ("E-911").

It is unclear as to the specific source of OCC's information that for the past 18 months CoreComm has failed to update E-911 information for approximately 200 customers in Delaware County, Ohio. By this affidavit, CoreComm represents that there has never been a systematic problem with the E-911 information associated with CoreComm's customers in Delaware County or elsewhere in Ohio. Inasmuch as there has not been any systematic CoreComm E-911 "problems," OCC has no basis to believe that a "problem" will persist upon a transfer of assets to First Communications, LLC.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

1115941v1

The reason that representatives of Verizon report that there have been no updates for approximately 18 months to the E-911 data base is because CoreComm has been provisioning its new customers with SBC's UNE-P service during that period of time. CoreComm has not provided service to new customers using its own switch serving Delaware County during that period. Since the only time that CoreComm is obligated to update Verizon's E-911 database is when local services are provided to customers using its own switch -- SBC handles E-911 for its UNE-P and Resale products including updating Verizon's E-911 database -- no updates were required to Verizon's E-911 database.

Furthermore, in the spirit of cooperation, I hereby attest to the fact that in the recent past, I have reviewed the accuracy of the E-911 information for customers served in Delaware County, and I have determined to my satisfaction that the information is accurate. In fact, some weeks ago, in response to an inquiry regarding the records of a single facilities-based customer, and out of an abundance of caution, CoreComm reconfirmed its Delaware County customer (both facilities-based and wholesale provisioned) information with the Delaware County E-911 data base administrator.

Therefore, I verify that all of CoreComm's customer information is current in the Verizon E-911 database for Delaware County.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

I hereby swear, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Jonathan Whiley

Sworn to before me and subscribed in my presence, this <u>22nd</u> day of August 2005.

TERESA E. ORAHOOD Notary Public, State of Ohio y Commission Expires 10-19-05

1115941v1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing AFFIDAVIT was served upon the

following parties of record via first class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, this $\underline{22^{nd}}$ day of August 2005.

Thomas J. O'Brien

Henry T. Kelly Kelley, Drye & Warren, LLP 333 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60606

Terry Etter Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215