BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

RECEIVED - DOLASTING LE

In the Matter of the Application of 00 AUG -4 PM 2: 41 FirstEnergy Corp. on Behalf of Case No. 99-1212-EL-ETP Ohio Edison Company, The Case No. 99-1213-EL-ATA PUCU Cleveland Electric Illuminating Case No. 99-1214-EL-AAM Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Approval for Their Transition Plans and for Authorization To Collect Transition Revenues. In the Matter of the Application of Case No. 99-1658-EL-ETP The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company Case No. 99-1659-EL-ATA For Approval of its Electric Transition Plan Case No. 99-1660-EL-ATA Approval of Tariff Changes and New Case No. 99-1661-EL-AAM Tariffs, Authority to Modify Current Case No. 99-1662-EL-AAM Accounting Procedures, and Approval To Transfer its Generating Assets to an Case No. 99-1663-EL-UNC Exempt Wholesale Generator. in the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power & Light Company for Approval of its Transition Plan, for Case No. 99-1687-EL-ETP The Opportunity to Receive Transition Case No. 99-1688-EL-AAM Case No. 99-1689-EL-ATA Revenues, for Approval to Change Accounting Methods, and Approval to Amend its Tariff. In the Matter of the Applications of Columbus Southern Power Company Case No. 99-1729-EL-ETP Case No. 99-1730-EL-ETP And Ohio Power Company for Approval Of Their Electric Transition Plans and

Case No. 00-02-EL-ETP

MOTION TO DISAPPROVE

APPLICATIONS FOR APPROVAL OF THE UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND RIDER AND THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY REVOLVING LOAN FUND RIDER AS PROPOSED BY THE OHIO DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT

> Samuel C. Randazzo, Esq. Gretchen J. Hummel, Esq. Kimberly J. Wile, Esq. McNees, Wallace & Nurick 21 East State Street, 17TH Floor Columbus, OH 43215 Telephone: (614) 469-8000 Telecopier: (614) 469-4653

August 4, 2000

For Receipt of Transition Revenues.

In the Matter of the Application of Monongahela Power Company dba

Allegheny Power for Approval of an

Electric Transition Plan.

Attorneys for industrial Energy Users-Ohio This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Image: Date Processed Image: Da

{C05230:}

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of FirstEnergy Corp. on Behalf of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Approval for Their Transition Plans and for Authorization To Collect Transition Revenues.)) Case No. 99-1212-EL-ETP) Case No. 99-1213-EL-ATA) Case No. 99-1214-EL-AAM))
In the Matter of the Application of The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company For Approval of its Electric Transition Plan Approval of Tariff Changes and New Tariffs, Authority to Modify Current Accounting Procedures, and Approval To Transfer its Generating Assets to an Exempt Wholesale Generator.) Case No. 99-1658-EL-ETP) Case No. 99-1659-EL-ATA) Case No. 99-1660-EL-ATA) Case No. 99-1661-EL-AAM) Case No. 99-1662-EL-AAM) Case No. 99-1663-EL-UNC)
In the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power & Light Company for Approval of its Transition Plan, for The Opportunity to Receive Transition Revenues, for Approval to Change Accounting Methods, and Approval to Amend its Tariff.)) Case No. 99-1687-EL-ETP) Case No. 99-1688-EL-AAM) Case No. 99-1689-EL-ATA)
In the Matter of the Applications of Columbus Southern Power Company And Ohio Power Company for Approval Of Their Electric Transition Plans and For Receipt of Transition Revenues.) Case No. 99-1729-EL-ETP) Case No. 99-1730-EL-ETP)
In the Matter of the Application of Monongahela Power Company dba Allegheny Power for Approval of an Electric Transition Plan.) Case No. 00-02-EL-ETP)

MOTION TO DISAPPROVE APPLICATIONS FOR APPROVAL OF THE UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND RIDER AND THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY REVOLVING LOAN FUND RIDER AS PROPOSED BY THE OHIO DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT

{C05230:}

Now comes the Industrial Energy Users-Ohio ("IEU-OH") and respectfully requests that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") disapprove the Applications for Approval of the Universal Service Fund Rider and the Energy Efficiency Revolving Loan Fund Rider filed by the Ohio Department of Development ("ODOD") on July 13, 2000, in the above-styled cases. The reasons for this request are set forth in the attached memorandum in support, which is full incorporated herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Samuel C. Randazzo, Esq. Gretchen J. Hummel, Esq.

Kimberly J. Wile, Esq. McNees, Wallace & Nurick

Fifth Third Center

21 East State Street, 17TH Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of FirstEnergy Corp. on Behalf of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Approval for Their Transition Plans and for Authorization To Collect Transition Revenues.)))))	Case No. 99-1212-EL-ETP Case No. 99-1213-EL-ATA Case No. 99-1214-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company For Approval of its Electric Transition Plan Approval of Tariff Changes and New Tariffs, Authority to Modify Current Accounting Procedures, and Approval To Transfer its Generating Assets to an Exempt Wholesale Generator.)))))	Case No. 99-1658-EL-ETP Case No. 99-1659-EL-ATA Case No. 99-1660-EL-ATA Case No. 99-1661-EL-AAM Case No. 99-1663-EL-UNC
In the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power & Light Company for Approval of its Transition Plan, for The Opportunity to Receive Transition Revenues, for Approval to Change Accounting Methods, and Approval to Amend its Tariff.)))))	Case No. 99-1687-EL-ETP Case No. 99-1688-EL-AAM Case No. 99-1689-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Applications of Columbus Southern Power Company And Ohio Power Company for Approval Of Their Electric Transition Plans and For Receipt of Transition Revenues.))))	Case No. 99-1729-EL-ETP Case No. 99-1730-EL-ETP
In the Matter of the Application of Monongahela Power Company dba Allegheny Power for Approval of an Electric Transition Plan.)))	Case No. 00-02-EL-ETP

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Section 4928.52, Revised Code, establishes a universal service fund ("USF") rider to replace the percentage of income payment plan ("PIPP") rider, to fund low-income customer energy efficiency programs, and to fund administrative costs of the low-income

customer assistance programs and a consumer education program created in Section 4928.56, Revised Code. Section 4928.56, Revised Code, permits the Director of Development to adopt rules establishing an education program for "consumers eligible to participate in the low-income customer assistance programs" to provide information regarding energy efficiency and conservation.

On July 13, 2000, ODOD filed Applications for Approval of the Universal Service Fund Rider and the Energy Efficiency Revolving Loan Fund Rider in each of the transition plan cases captioned above. Each application proposes a USF rider composed of an amount to recover annual PIPP costs, administrative costs, an allocated amount of costs for statewide consumer education and targeted weatherization costs, and an Energy Efficiency Revolving Fund Rider.

Section 4928.52(A)(1), Revised Code, specifies that the PIPP component of the USF rider is to be "the level of percentage of income payment plan rider in existence on the effective date of this section." The PIPP riders contained in the USF riders proposed by ODOD are different from those in existence on the effective date of Section 4928.52(A)(1). Neither the bases nor the reasons for the differences are apparent in the applications.

Additionally, it is IEU-OH's understanding that the proposed USF riders include an annual amount of \$6,000,000 for consumer education.¹ Section 4928.56, Revised Code, permits the Director of Development to adopt rules establishing an education program for consumers eligible for low-income customer assistance programs. ODOD did, indeed,

¹ This understanding is based on the contents of a June 26, 2000, letter to Dan Neff, Chairman of the Public Benefits Advisory Board, from John Riordan, Deputy Director, Community Development Division, ODOD, containing information related to the calculation of the USF and energy efficiency revolving loan fund ("EERLF") riders. This letter is attached hereto as Attachment 1.

promulgate a rule. The rule consists of one short paragraph that basically mandates that the Director of Development establish a consumer education program as set forth in Section 4928.56, Revised Code. The rule provides no description of an education program, nor any detail of the reasons or purposes for which a total of \$6,000,000 annually is required. The entire budget for consumer education for all customers for electric restructuring over the market development periods is \$33 million. Section 4928.42, Revised Code. In light of this, ODOD's proposed \$6 million per year for education directed at customers eligible for low-income assistance programs seems excessive.

Finally, Sections 4928.61 and 4928.62, Revised Code, *inter alia*, create an energy efficiency revolving loan ("EERL") program, the benefits of which are available to all customers; authorize the Director of Development to operate the program; create in the State Treasury an energy efficiency revolving loan fund ("EERLF"); and cap the aggregate revenue target for said fund at no more than \$15 million per year through 2005, and reduced thereafter not to exceed \$5 million per year. Section 4928.62, Revised Code, provides for the statewide rider to recover the aggregate revenue target determined by the Director of Development to be in effect for ten years after the starting date of competitive retail service or until \$100 million dollars is collected, whichever is first.

The ODOD rider applications have proposed energy efficiency fund riders designed to collect \$15 million per year. Yet, there is no attached explanation of the energy efficiency loan program, or details supporting the requirement for \$15 million per year.

IEU-OH has several questions, concerns and potential issues with respect to the riders proposed and the support therefore. At this point, it seems clear that the level of consumer education dollars included in the USF rider is too high. IEU-OH has questions about the bases and support for a number of other details of the riders, the answers to which are necessary to ascertain their reasonableness and lawfulness. One thing is clear, however; these proposed riders are volumetric charges. Obviously, high volume industrial users will be required to provide the majority of funding for programs in which they do not participate. This, of course, adds emphasis to IEU-OH's interest in making sure the funding targets are not excessive and fund purposes are within the letter and spirit of the law.

The appropriateness of the proposed level of consumer education funding and other questions and concerns may be resolved by access to additional supporting documentation. To this end, IEU-OH has initiated a discussion with ODOD intended to resolve its concerns about the proposed riders and has informed counsel for ODOD of its intention to file this motion to preserve its potential objections. Likewise, IEU-OH has informed the Commission Staff about its questions and concerns and this filling. It is IEU-OH's intent to pursue informal resolution of these matters with ODOD. The purpose of this filling is to preserve IEU-OH's right to pursue the level of consumer education funding in the USF rider and other issues related to the USF and the EERLF riders it ultimately determines adversely affect its interests in the event informal discussions with ODOD fail.

It is, therefore, respectfully requested that the Commission either disapprove the Applications for Approval of the Universal Service Fund Rider and the Energy Efficiency Revolving Loan Fund Rider filed by ODOD in the electric transition plan proceedings captioned above or, in the alternative, hold consideration thereof in abeyance until such time as IEU-OH has had the opportunity to pursue informal resolution of its concerns with ODOD.

Respectfully submitted,

Samuel C. Randazzo, Esq. Gretchen J. Hummel, Esq. Kimberly J. Wile, Esq. McNees, Wallace & Nurick Fifth Third Center

21 East State Street, 17TH Floor Columbus, OH 43215

June 26, 2000

Mr. Dan Neff, Chairman Public Benefits Advisory Board 326 Highland Avenue P.O. Box 130 Cambridge, Ohio 43725

> RE: Proposed Riders for the Universal Service Fund And Energy Efficiency Revolving Loan Fund

Dear Chairman Neff:

Below are our calculations for the two riders called for by Senate Bill #3 Restructuring lectric Industry-Permit Competition.

I. The Universal Service Fund (USF) consists of three components:

Consumer Education and Targeted Weatherization-	\$14,946,196
Cost of PIPP (Percentage of Income Payment Plan)-	\$47,703,815
PIPP Administration/Operations-	\$ 1,932,561
<u>-</u>	\$64,582,572

(1) Consumer Education and Targeted Weatherization

These component costs are as follows: Administrative Costs (\$1,896,196); Energy Education Services (\$6,000,000); and Targeted Energy Efficiency & Weatherization (\$7,050,000).

2) Cost of PIPP

The Cost of PIPP is the gap between the cost of electricity for PIPP Customers and the total dollars remitted to the utility companies by those customers. The amount above is based upon 1999 information submitted by the companies [Electricity Distribution Utilities (EDUs)]. PIPP Administration/Operations was previously factored into base rates, not the PIPP rider. The EDUs actually recovered \$66,489,122. The USF will provide \$15 million for the legislatively mandated Targeted Weatherization services and Consumer Education- besides funding the PIPP.

The 1999 PUCO Ohio Statistics on Customer Accounts Receivable (OSCAR) report was our original source of data for calculating the Cost of PIPP. After several starts and stops with using the OSCAR, the Cost of PIPP was eventually determined from new data from each EDU. They reported the cost of electricity, and OSCAR was used as the source of payment amounts from customers. [The two combination utilities (CG&E and DP&L) also submitted payments by electric PIPP customers, because OSCAR combined gas and electric customers]

The Cost of PIPP is our best projection of this expense based on one year's data. Although we are comfortable that the estimate allows sufficient funding, we cannot be more accurate without better data that will come from direct administration of the program.

(3) PIPP Administration/Operations

The structure and process for providing the PIPP program is very similar to that of the regular Home Energy Assistance Program (HEAP)- both are based on the processing of a large number of applications, eligibility determination, payment crediting, and account management. The administrative cost of HEAP is well documented and was used to estimate that for the PIPP.

The Administration/Operations amount was calculated using the number of customers for each program in 1999. A cost per PIPP customer was calculated and applied to each EDU based on its number of PIPP customers. A five-percent (5%) inflation factor was applied to each of the next four years and an annual charge determined.

Administrative/Operations costs include those of the ODOD, as well as the network of fifty-three (53) local non-profit agencies and the State Department of Aging. These organizations provide outreach and the intake of applications. Administrative/Operations include salaries, fringes, and other personnel related costs such as supplies, space, equipment, etc.

II. The Determination of the USF Riders:

A portion of the Universal Service Fund was allocated to each company based on its respective share of the total Cost of PIPP. The USF Riders (average cost per kwh) are the allocated USF cost for each EDU divided by its total kwh (kilowatt hour) sales for 1999. It was decided to have one Rider rate per company.

For example, DP&L's cost of PIPP was \$6,227,488. This represents 13.05% of the total PIPP cost for all EDUs (\$47,703,815). DP&L's portion of the USF is 13.05% of the total cost of the USF program (\$64,582,572 x 13.05% = \$8,431,062). This was spread over DP&L's total sales of 14,448,925,000 kwh resulting in a rate of .0005835. The rates for all companies are shown below.

Company	Current Rider	1999 Rider Revenue Actual	Recommended Rider	Estimated USF Rider Revenue
Cleveland Electric and Illuminating (First Energy)	0.0005680	\$11,362,912	0.0004601	\$9,211,003
Cincinnati Gas and Electirc	0.0004690	\$11,266,532	0.0002442	\$4,900,898
Columbus Southern Power (AEP)	0.0001830	\$2,949,692	0.0006240	\$10,254,800
Dayton Power and Light	0.0005700	\$5,466,249	0.0005835	\$8,431,062
Monongahela Power	0.0000400	\$65,897	0.0000490	\$81,091
Ohio Edison (First Energy)	0.0010461	\$25,603,901	0.0007249	\$18,084,089
Ohio Power (AEP)	0.0001681	\$4,123,001	0.0002998	\$9,587,567
Toledo Edison (First Energy)	0.0005610	\$5,650,938	0.0004086	\$4,032,063
		\$66,489,122		\$64,582,572

III. Energy Efficiency Revolving Loan

The rider for the Energy Efficiency Revolving Loan Program (.00010758) is the same for each EDU since it is not targeted and will be available to all customers.

IV. Operating Cushion

We believe that by starting the program in the fall, which is the lowest use period, we will gradually develop the recommended operating cushion during the first 6 months. Also, any payment on PIPP debt will be set aside for the cushion.

Sincerely,

John E. Riordan
Deputy Director
Community Development Division

Notice to: Public Benefits Advisory Board

If in your opinion this warrants a meeting on July 7, please contact Dan Neff no later than June 30, 2000. If you need more explanation please call me at (614) 466-5863, Fax: (614) 466-4708, e-mail jriordan@odod.state.oh.us

Cost of PIPP (Electricity Cost less Customer Payments) 1999 PIPP Program

Company	Electric Cost ¹	\$\$ of Payments ²	Difference	Rider Factor ⁴
CEI	\$20,504,962	\$13,701,381	\$6,803,581	0.1426
CGE	\$9,421,338	\$5,801,357	\$3,619,981	0.0759
CSP	\$17,110,668	\$9,536,101	\$7,574,567	0.1588
DPL	\$15,183,128	\$8,955,640	\$6,227,488	0.1305
MP ³	\$194,474	\$199,690	\$60,681	0.0013
OE .	\$36,581,693	\$23,224,129	\$13,357,564	0.2800
OP	\$21,325,558	\$14,243,833	\$7,081,725	0.1485
TE [\$8,574,641	\$5,596,413	\$2,978,228	0.0624
-	\$128,896,462	\$81,258,544	\$47,703,815	

1 All costs were determined by the EDUs.

2 Payment amounts were contained in the 1999 OSCAR, except for DPL and CGE. These customer payments were calculated separately by those EDUs.

3 Since MP did not provide its PIPP electric cost, the Cost of PIPP was calculated differently from the other companies. MP reported to the PUCO that customer payments exceeded the amounts billed by \$5,216 in 1999. That amount was subtracted from the actual recovery (\$65,897).

4 Each EDU's proportion of the Cost of PIPP (Difference).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion to Disapprove Application for Approval of the Universal Service Fund Rider and the Energy Efficiency Revolving Loan Fund Rider as Proposed by the Ohio Department of Development was served upon the following parties of record this 4th day of August 2000, via electronic transmission, hand-delivery, or ordinary U.S. mail, postage prepaid.

Arthur E. Korkosz, Esq. Stephen L. Feld, Esq. James W. Burk, Esq. FirstEnergy Corp. 76 South Main Street

On Behalf of FirstEnergy Corp.

Akron, Ohio 44308

James B. Gainer, Esq.
Associate General Counsel
The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company
139 East Fourth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202

On Behalf of The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company

Charles J. Faruki, Esq. Paul L. Horstman, Esq. Faruki, Gilliam & Ireland, PLL 500 Courthouse Plaza, SW 10 North Ludlow Street Dayton, OH 45402

Athan A. Vinolus, Esq. Associate Counsel The Dayton Power & Light Company MacGregor Park 1065 Woodman Avenue Dayton, OH 45432

On Behalf of The Dayton Power & Light Company

Edward J. Brady, Esq. Kevin F. Duffy, Esq. Marvin I. Resnik, Esq. American Electric Power Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza Columbus, OH 43215

Daniel R. Conway, Esq. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur 41 South High Street Columbus, OH 43215

On Behalf of Columbus Southern Power Co. & Ohio Power Co.

Gary A. Jack, Esq. David R. Murphy, Esq. Monongaheia Power Company Dba Allegheny Power 1310 Fairmont Avenue Fairmont, WV 26554

On Behalf of Monongahela Power Company

Michael L. Kurtz, Esq. Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 2110 CBLD Center 36 East Seventh Street Cincinnati, OH 45202

Mr. Denis George Energy Manger The Kroger Company 1014 Vine Street – G07 Cincinnati, OH 45202-1100

On Behalf of The Kroger Company

David F. Boehm, Esq. Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry Suite 2110 CBLD Center 36 East Seventh Street Cincinnati, OH 45202

On Behalf of AK Steel Corp.

M. Howard Petricoff Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease 52 East Gay Street PO Box 1008 Columbus OH 43216-1008

Janine L. Migden Regional Director of Government Affairs Enron Corp. 400 Metro Place North, Suite 310 Dublin, OH 43017-3375

On Behalf of Enron Energy Services, Inc.

Barry Cohen, Esq.
Colleen L. Mooney, Esq.
Evelyn Robinson, Esq.
David C. Bergmann, Esq.
John Smart, Esq.
Ann Hotz, Esq.
Office of Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

On Behalf of the Office of Ohio Consumers' Counsel

William M. Ondrey Gruber, Esq. 2714 Leighton Road Shaker Heights, OH 44120

On Behalf of Citizen Power

Kerry Bruce, Esq. City of Toledo Dept. of Public Utilities 420 Madison Avenue, Suite 100 Toledo, OH 43604-1219

On Behalf of The City of Toledo

Sally W. Bloomfield, Esq. Elizabeth H. Watts, Esq. Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus OH 43215

On Behalf of National Electrical Contractors Association & Ohio Mechanical Contracting Industry

Cornell P. Carter, Director of Law William T. Zigli, Chief Assistant Director of Law Ivan L. Henderson, Assistant Director of Law City of Cieveland Ohio 601 Lakeside Avenue, Room 106 Cleveland, OH 44114

Anthony J. Garofoli, Esq.
Joe Hegedus, Esq.
Scott Simpkins, Esq.
Climaco, Lefkowitz, Peca,
Wilcox & Garofoli Co., LPA
9th Floor, The Halle Building
1228 Euclid Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44115

On Behalf of The City of Cleveland

Craig G. Goodman President National Energy Marketers Association 3333 K Street NW, Suite 425 Washington, DC 20007

On Behalf of National Energy Marketers Association

Joseph P. Meissner, Esq. Legal Aid Society of Cleveland 1223 West Sixth Street Cleveland, OH 44113

On Behalf of Western Reserve Alliance, Parkview Areawide Senior Citizens, Neighborhood Environmental Coalition, Cleveland Housing Network and Consumers League of Ohio (Citizen Groups)

Paul F. Forshay, Esq. Keith R. McCrea, Esq. Sutherland Asbill & Brennan 1275 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2415

Gregory K. Lawrence, Esq. McDermott, Will & Emery 600 Thirteenth Street NW Washington DC 20005

Amy Gold Shell Energy Services Co., LLC PO Box 4402 Houston, TX 77210

On Behalf of Shell Energy Services Co., LLC

James J. Mayer, Esq. Taft, Stettinius & Hollister 1800 Firstar Tower 425 Walnut Street Cincinnati, OH 45202-3957

Thomas J. Russell, Esq. Unicom Corporation 125 Clark Street, Room 1535 Chicago, JL 60603

On Behaif of Unicom Energy, Inc. and Unicom Energy Services, Inc.

Wanda M. Schiller, Esq. Counsel Strategic Energy L.L.C. Two Gateway Center Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Sally W. Bloomfield, Esq. Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus OH 43215

On Behalf of Strategic Energy L.L.C.

Judith B. Sanders, Esq. Bell, Royer & Sanders 33 South Grant Street Columbus, OH 43215-3927

On Behalf of Strategic Energy L.L.C.

Robert J. Chet President Local 270, UWUA, AFL-COI 4205 Chester Avenue Cleveland, OH 44103

On Behalf of Local 270, Utility Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO

Judith B. Sanders, Esq Bell, Royer & Sanders 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3927

On Behalf of Columbia Energy Services Corporation and Columbia Energy Power Marketing Corporation

Sally W. Bloomfield, Esq. Elizabeth H. Watts, Esq. Amy Straker Bartemes, Esq. Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus OH 43215

On Behalf of Columbia Energy Services Corporation and Columbia Energy Power Marketing Corporation Sally W. Bloomfield, Esq. Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus OH 43215

David Dulick, Esq. Exelon Energy 2600 Monroe Boulevard Norristown, PA 19403

On Behalf of Exelon Energy

Judith B. Sanders, Esq. Bell, Royer & Sanders 33 South Grant Street Columbus, OH 43215-3927

On Behalf of Exelon Energy

Sally W. Bioomfield, Esq. Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus OH 43215

On Behalf of Mid-Atlantic Power Supply Association (MAPSA)

Judith B. Sanders, Esq Bell, Royer & Sanders 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3927

On Behalf of Mid-Atlantic Power Supply Association (MAPSA)

Julia R. Bates, Esq. Lucas County Prosecuting Attorney Office of the Prosecuting Attorney 700 Adams Street, Suite 250 Toledo, OH 43624

On Behalf of The Board of Lucas County Commissioner

Gienn S. Krassen, Esq Arter & Hadden LLP 1100 Huntington Building 925 Euclid Ave. Cleveland. OH 44115-1475

On Behalf of the Ohio Schools Council (Schools) and Cities of Brook Park & Eastlake

Richard L. Sites, Esq. Staff Legal Counsel Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620

On Behalf of The Association for Hospitals and Health Systems (adba The Ohio Hospital Association (OHA))

Maureen R. Grady, Esq. 369 South Roosevelt Avenue Columbus, OH 43209

Neighborhood Housing Service of Toledo, Inc. 704 Second Street PO Box 8125 Toledo, OH 43605

On Behalf of Neighborhood Housing Services of Toledo, Inc.

Sally W. Bloomfield, Esq. Elizabeth H. Watts, Esq. Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus OH 43215

On Behalf of Ohio Associated Builders and Contractors, Inc.

John R. Doll, Esq. Logothetis, Pence & Doll 111 West First Street, Suite 1100 Dayton, OH 45402-1156

Cynthia S. Bogorad, Esq. Scott H. Strauss, Esq. David B. Lieb, Esq. Spiegel & McDiarmid 1350 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 1100 Washington DC 20005-4798

On Behalf of UWUA Intervenors

Henry W. Eckhart, Esq. 50 West Broad Street, #2117 Columbus, OH 43215

On Behalf of Ohio Citizen Action and Safe Energy Communications Council –Ohio and Ohio Public Interest Research Group

Jodi M. Eisass-Locker, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Counsel for the Ohio Department of Development 777 South High Street, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Maureen Grady, Esq. 369 South Roosevelt Avenue Columbus, OH 43209

On Behalf of Ohio Department of Development

Joyce B Link, Esq. Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus OH 43215-4291

On Behalf of CNG Retail Service Corporation

David Berger
Ohio Rural Electric Cooperatives, Inc.
Buckeye Power, Inc.
6677 Busch Boulevard
PO Box 26036
Columbus, OH 43226-0036

Robert P. Mone, Esq. Scott A. Campbell, Esq. Thompson, Hine &N Flory LLP 10 West Broad Street, Suite 700 Columbus, OH 43215

On Behalf of Ohlo Rural Electric Cooperatives & Buckeye Power

Judith A. Phillips President Stand Energy Corporation Suite 110 1077 Celestial Street Cincinnati, OH 45202

On Behalf of Stand Energy Corporation

Gary A. Snyder, Esq. Snyder, Rakay & Spicer 316 Talbot Tower Dayton, OH 45402

On Behalf of Local Union 1347 International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO

Bruce J. Weston, Esq. Weston Law Office 169 West Hubbard Avenue Columbus, OH 43215

On Behalf of People Working Cooperatively, Inc. ("PWC")

David L. Cruthirds, Esq. Senior Director & Regulatory Counsel Dynegy, Inc. Suite 5800 1000 Louisiana Street Houston, TX 77002-5050

On Behalf of Dynegy, Inc.

Vicki L. Deisner, Esq. Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue, Room 201 Columbus, OH 43212-3449

William M. Ondrey Gruber, Esq. 2714 Leighton Road Shaker Heights, OH 44120

On Behalf of Ohio Environmental Council

Daniel V. Gulino, Esq. Counsel PP&L EnergyPlus Co., LLC Two North Ninth Street Allentown, PA 18101

Judith B. Sanders, Esq Bell, Royer & Sanders 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3927

On Behalf of PP&L EnergyPlus Co., LLC

Sally W. Bloomfield, Esq Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215

On Behalf of PP&L EnergyPlus Co., LLC

Mathiase H. Heck, Jr., Esq. Prosecuting Attorney 301 West Third Street Dayton, OH 45422

Maureen R. Grady, Esq. 369 South Roosevelt Avenue Columbus, OH 43209

On Behalf of Montgomery County Prosecutor's Office

Michael R. Smalz, Esq. Ohio State Legal Services Association 861 North High Street Columbus, OH 43215

On Behalf of Appalachian People's Action Coalition

John R. Doll, Esq. Logothetis, Pence & Doll 111 West First Street, Suite 1100 Dayton, OH 45402-1156

On Behalf of Utility Workers Union of America Local No. 175

Kevin M. Sullivan, Esq. Richard J. Mattera, Esq. Peter A. Rosato, Esq. Calfee, Halter & Griswold, LLP 1400 McDonald Investment Center 800 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114

On Behalf of Ameritech New Media

Thomas M. Myers, Esq. General Counsel District 6, UMWA 56000 Dilles Bottom Shadyside, OH 43947

On Behalf of UMWA Intervenors

Langdon D. Bell, Esq. Bell, Royer & Sanders 33 South Grant Street Columbus, OH 43215

On Behalf of The Greater Cleveland Growth Association

Duane W. Luckey, Esq. Senior Assistant Attorney General Chief, Public Utilities Section Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Steven Nourse, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Section
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

Jutta E. Martin, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Section
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

Thomas W. McNamee, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Public Utilities Section Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Jodi J. Blair, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Public Utilities Section Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

On Behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohlo

Lawrence J. Stelzer, Jr. Esq. Ohio counsel of Retail Merchants 50 West Broad Street, Suite 2020 Columbus, OH 43215-3341

On Behalf of Ohio counsel of Retail Merchants