7

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company for Case No. 99-1658-EL-ETP) Approval of its Electric Transition Plan, Case No. 99-1659-EL-ATA Approval of Tariff Changes and New Case No. 99-1660-EL-ATA Tariffs, Authority to Modify Current Case No. 99-1661-EL-AAM Accounting Procedures, and Approval Case No. 99-1662-EL-AAM to Transfer its Generating Assets to an Case No. 99-1663-EL-UNC Exempt Wholesale Generator. In the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power and Light Company for Case No. 99-1687-EL-ETP Approval of its Transition Plan, for the Opportunity to Receive Transition Case No. 99-1688-EL-AAM Revenues, for Approval to Change Case No. 99-1689-EL-ATA Accounting Methods, and Approval to Amend its Tariff. In the Matter of the Application of Monongahela Power Company dba Case No. 00-02-EL-ETP Allegheny Power for Approval of an Electric Transition Plan.

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

(1)On April 21, 2000, Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company, Dayton Power and Light Company, and Monongahela Power Company (Companies) filed a motion for a protective order and a request for an expedited ruling. The Companies state that during the course of discovery, Industrial Energy User-Ohio (IEU-Ohio), a member of Citizens for Choice in Electricity (CCE), requested certain information regarding inputs used in a General Electric (GE) maps model used by the Companies. The Companies, IEU-Ohio, and CCE have agreed that the Companies will pay and provide to CCE's consultant, RW Beck, a newly created load flow data GE maps model. IEU-Ohio, CCE and RW Beck have agreed to maintain the confidentiality of the model that GE will license to RW Beck. However, in the event that such information is used at hearing, GE has requested that the Commission issue a protective order. The Companies have attached to their motion the terms of a proposed protective order to be followed regarding

99-1658-EL-ETP et al.

the information provided to CCE. As part of the proposed protective order, information from the model provided under the protective agreement may be filed under seal, exchanged with other intervenor members of CCE, subject to the nondisclosure obligations of the protective order, or used at hearings in camera.

(2) The motion for a protective order is reasonable and should be granted. The examiner will approve the proposed protective order attached to the motion.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the motion for a protective order is granted. The protective order attached to the motion is approved. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties of record in the above-captioned cases.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

By: R. Russell Gooden Attorney Examiner

;geb

Entered in the Journal

APR 2 4 2000

A True Copy

Secretary