the legal aid society of cleveland

C. LYONEL JONES, DIRECTOR

Urban Development Office

Joseph P. Meissner, Director 1223 West Sixth Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Tele: 1-216-687-1900 (ext538) FAX: 1-216-687-0779 E-Mail: jpmeissn@lasclev.org meissnerjoseph@hotmail.com

Chief of Docketing The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

April 14, 2004

Re: In re FirstEnergy Filing

> Case Nos. 03-2144-EL-ATA, 03-1966-EL-ATA, 03-1967-EL-ATA, 03-1968-EL-ATA, and 02-1944-EL-CSS

Dear friends:

We have already faxed this Motion for Oral Argumentation.

We are now sending the Original and requisite copies of this Motion by overnight express mail. Please file these.

We have included one extra copy which we ask you to time-stamp and return to us in the envelope provided.

Let us know of any problems.

Thank you.

JOSEPH P. MEISSNER, 002

ATTORNEY AT I

Counsel for the Citizens' Coalition

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered, in the regular course of business

Technician

_Date Processed 4-

BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

OCCUPANTION OCCUPANTION OF OHIO

OCCUPANTION OCCUPANTI In the Matter of the Applications of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric) Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Continue) And Modify Certain Regulatory Accounting) Case No. 03-2144-EL-ATA Practices and Procedures, for Tariff Approvals and to Establish Rates and Other) Charges Including Regulatory Transition Charges Following the Market Development Period. In the Matter of the Applications of Ohio Edison Company's, The Toledo Edison Case No. 03-1966-EL-ATA Company's and The Cleveland Electric Case No. 03-1967-EL-ATA Illuminating Company's Amendments to Case No. 03-1968-EL-ATA Their Supplier Tariffs. In the Matter of the Complaint of WPS Energy Services, Inc. and Green Mountain Energy Company, Complainants, Case No. 02-1944-EL-CSS FirstEnergy Corp., The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, The Toledo Edison) Company, and Ohio Edison Company, Respondents.

MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENTS BEFORE THE COMMISSION AND

FILING IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENTS BEFORE THE COMMISSION AND FOR EXPEDITED RULING FILED ON BEHALF OF THE

NEIGHBORHOOD ENVIRONMENTAL COALITION THE EMPOWERMENT CENTER OF GRAETER CLEVELAND, THE CONSUMERS FOR FAIR UTILITY RATES

AND CITIZEN POWER, INC. Now come the Citizen's Coalition (composed of the Neighborhood Environmental Coalition, The Empowerment Center of Greater Cleveland, and the consumers for Fair Utility Rates) and Citizen Power, Inc. et al, who jointly file the following Motion and Filing.

- 1. The Citizens' Coalition and Citizen Power, inc, et al., join in support of the Motion filed by many parties several days ago, entitled "Joint Motion for Oral Arguments Before the Commission and for Expedited Ruling." This was filed by the City of Cleveland and other parties in this proceeding. Our client groups strongly support this Motion for Oral Arguments and would urge the Commission to grant this. Our client groups join in the reasons for oral arguments provided by that Joint Motion as well as the Memorandum in Support.
- 2. The Citizens' Coalition and Citizen Power, Inc., also move for Oral Argumentation before the Commissioners. Our client groups were not asked to join in the Motion filed by the other parties, possibly because of the different viewpoints represented by the Citizens' Coalition and Citizen Power, Inc. Our clients therefore specifically make their own request to be heard in oral arguments before the Commission.
- 3. Our clients request that they be allotted Fifteen Minutes in which to present their views, particularly related to the legality of what First Energy and its affiliates are proposing to do in this proceeding. These are views and arguments about legality which normally are not addressed, nor are they expected to be addressed, by the Hearing Examiners in their deliberations and drafting of orders for any proceeding. It should also be noted that from the very first day of hearings our client groups did raise these issues. It is thus all the more imperative that the Commissioners themselves directly hear these

views and arguments from the Citizens' Coalition and Citizen Power, Inc., et al. This will also provide all of the Commissioners an open opportunity to question counsel for these parties and insure that these citizen views and arguments are adequately addressed by the Commission.

4. Finally, there has been a rush in this case, akin to an effort at "stampeding the cattle" by FirstEnergy and its affiliates, which has definitely undercut the efforts of the public and of the other parties to participate in this proceeding. This can be seen, also, in the pathetic attempt by FirstEnergy to present a one-sided Stipulation in which the Applicant failed to make even minimal attempts to reach out to all the parties in this case and attempt to arrive at a workable and acceptable rate stabilization plan. Oral argumentation—which might take, at most, seven to ten days of time to arrange and schedule—would insure that the Commissioners themselves have immediate and direct access to all the arguments and varied positions of the parties in this crucial and landmark proceeding

WHEREFORE, THE CITIZENS' COALITION AND CITIZEN POWER, INC.,
ET AL, URGE THE PUCO TO SET A SCHEDULE FOR ORAL ARGUMENTATION
IN THIS PROCEEDING. FURTHERMORE, THESE CITIZEN PARTIES REQUEST
THAT THEY BE ALLOTTED FIFTEEN MINUTES OF TIME IN WHICH TO
PRESENT THEIR PARTICULAR VIEWS AND ARGUMENTS TO ASSIST THIS
COMMISSION IN REACHING A FAIR, JUST, AND REASONABLE DECISION IN
THIS PROCEEDING.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph P. Meissner #6022366
The Legal Aid Society of Cleveland
1223 West 6th Street

Cleveland, OH 44113

Telephone: (216).687.1909

Email: jpmeissn@laselev.org

Counsel for:

Neighborhood Environmental Coalition,

Consumers for Fair Utility Rates

and

The Empowerment Center of

Greater Cleveland

William M. Ondrey Gruber (#0005950)

Attorney-at-Law

2714 Leighton Road

Shaker Heights, Ohio 44120

Telephone: (216) 371-3570

Email: GruberWL@aol.com

Counsel for Citizen Power, Inc., et al

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT BEFORE THE COMMISSION

There is no legal impediment to the PUCO having oral argumentation by parties to a proceeding. This has been done in other cases and counsel for the Citizens' Coalition and Citizen Power, Inc., have participated in these. Such oral arguments usually last an hour or two at most, which does not seem to be an overwhelming burden on the time of the Commissioners or of the parties, especially given the importance of this Proceeding.

This current case is quite unique and extremely important for the electric utilities and their customers in Northern Ohio. The case is also crucial for the Citizens of the State of Ohio. We acknowledge there was a prior "rate stabilization plan" proceeding involving Dayton Power and Light which was resolved by a Stipulation in which most of the interested parties in that proceeding participated in, contributed to, and signed their agreement. That case never reached the crucial legal issues involved in this case. Thus that case should not be taken as good precedent for this proceeding, neither in terms of the procedures followed in the DP&L case nor in the substance of that decision.

Everyone knows that billions and billions of dollars are at stake in this

FirstEnergy case. These are the dollars of Ohio consumers. These consumers have

suffered under the highest electric rates in America going back for decades. Allegedly,
these rates were justified by such expensive generating plant as Davis-Besse and the

Perry Nuclear plant. These plants were then used to justify the enormous stranded costs
granted FirstEnergy in 2000 which should then have ended in 2005. Instead, through this

so-called "Rate Stabilization Plan – Revised Edition," FirstEnergy proposes to keep collecting these amounts, only now using the label of "Rate Stabilization Charges." Electric customers are like a buyer who purchases a very expensive care and has to pay a five year note. At the end of that time, the buyer is justified in feeling relieved and who now thinks he can enjoy the car for a few years without the burden of a car payment in his monthly budget. How do you think the car owner would feel if at the end of the five year note, suddenly the car dealership plugs on another three years of continued high payments? The answer is obvious. The customer would feel cheated.

This Commission owes it to the customers of FirstEnergy as well as the Citizens of Ohio to insure that every effort has been taken by the Commission to protect customers rights to safe, reliable, efficient, reasonably priced, and environmentally safe energy. Oral Arguments must be part of that effort. The Citizens' Coalition and Citizen Power, Inc., join in all the arguments presented by the City of Cleveland, et al, in their "Joint Motion for Oral Argumentation Before the Commission and for Expedited Ruling." The Citizens' Coalition and Citizen Power, Inc., also need time for their own oral argumentation before the Commission since these parties have raised substantial and crucial arguments about the very legality of what is being proposed by FirstEnergy. Such argumentation is generally beyond the considerations of Hearing Examiners and may be within the unique purview of the Commissioners themselves.

In conclusion, the PUCO should establish a reasonable schedule and arrangements for oral argumentation before the Commissioners themselves for this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph P. Meissner #0022866
The Legal Ald Society of Cleveland
1223 West 6th Street
Cleveland, OH 44113

Telephone: (216).687.190

Email: jpmeissn@lasclev.org

Counsel for:

Neighborhood Environmental Coalition,

Consumers for Fair Utility Rates

and

The Empowerment Center of Greater Cleveland

William M. Ondrey Graber (#0005950)

Attorney-at-Law

2714 Leighton Road

Shaker Heights, Ohio 44120

Telephone: (216) 371-3570

Email: GruberWL@aol.com

Counsel for Citizen Power, Inc., et al

Certificate of Service

The undersigned co	ounsel certifies that he has sent a copy	of this Brief to all
parties in this proceeding b	by email and/or regular mail the	14 day of
April 2004.	Joseph Meissner Attoney at Law	lum A