### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO On Appeal From the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

) Case No. $05 - 0518$
)
) Appeal from the Public
) Utilities Commission of Ohio
) Case Nos. 03-93-EL-ATA, 03-2079-
) EL-AAM, 03-2081-EL-AAM,
) 03-2080-EL-ATA
)
)
)

# NOTICE OF APPEAL OF THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Janine L. Migden-Ostrander (Reg. No. 0002310) Consumers' Counsel

Jeffrey L. Small, Counsel of Record (Reg. No.0061488) Ann M. Hotz (Reg. No. 0053070)

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 (614) 466-8574

Attorneys for Appellant
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

James Petro (Reg. No. 0022096) Attorney General of Ohio

Duane Luckey (Reg. No. 0023557) Chief, Public Utilities Section Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793 (614) 644-8698

Attorneys for Appellee Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business rechnician

Date Processed 2-/2-05

FULED

MAR 18 2005

MARCIA J. MENGEL, CLERK
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Appellant, the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, pursuant to R.C. 4903.11, R.C. 4903.13, and S. Ct. Prac. R. II (3)(B), hereby gives notice to the Supreme Court of Ohio and to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Appellee" or "PUCO") of this appeal to the Supreme Court of Ohio from Appellee's Opinion and Order entered in its Journal on September 29, 2004; Entry on Rehearing entered in its Journal on November 24, 2004; and Second Entry on Rehearing dated January 19, 2005 in consolidated cases (each docket designation noted in the caption above) before the PUCO.

Pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911, Appellant is the statutory representative of the residential customers of the Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company ("CG&E" or the "Company"). Appellant was a party of record in the cases before the PUCO.

On October 29, 2004, Appellant timely filed an Application for Rehearing from the September 29, 2004 Opinion and Order pursuant to R.C. 4903.10. Appellant's Application for Rehearing was denied with respect to the issues raised in this appeal by an Entry on Rehearing entered in Appellee's Journal on November 24, 2004. The Application for Rehearing adopted a CG&E proposal ("Post-Order Proposal") that was raised by the Company after the Commission's September 29, 2004 Opinion and Order. The PUCO-adopted proposal was therefore not subject to the hearings held before the PUCO.

On December 27, 2004, Appellant timely filed a Second Application for Rehearing from the November 24, 2004 Entry pursuant to R.C. 4903.10 and R.C. 1.14. Appellant's Second Application for Rehearing was also denied with respect to the issues raised in this appeal by a Second Entry on Rehearing entered in Appellee's Journal on January 19, 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Second Entry on Rehearing at 8, ¶(25) (January 19, 2005).

Appellant files this Notice of Appeal, complaining and alleging that Appellee's September 29, 2004 Opinion and Order, November 24, 2004 Entry on Rehearing, and January 19, 2004 Second Entry on Rehearing result in a final order that is unlawful and unreasonable, and that Appellee erred as a matter of law, in the following respects that were raised in Appellant's Applications for Rehearing:

- 1) The PUCO erred, in violation of R.C. Sections 4903.082, 4903.083, 4909.15, 4909.18, 4909.19 and 4909.43, when it failed to provide and require notice, provide for discovery, and provide for a hearing on CG&E's Post-Order Proposal; and the PUCO erred, in violation of R.C. Sections 4903.09, 4928.14(A), 4909.18 and R.C. 4909.19, when it failed to adequately review the rates contained in CG&E's Post-Order Proposal.
- The PUCO erred by approving a so-called "Rate Stabilization Plan" for the period starting at the end of CG&E's market development period and continuing through December 31, 2008 since there is no basis in Ohio law for such a plan. Beginning at the end of an electric distribution utility's market development period, the utility is required -- pursuant to R.C. 4928.14(A) -- to "provide consumers \* \* \* a market-based standard service offer." The so-called "Rate Stabilization Plan" does not provide for a standard service offer that is market-based as required under R.C. 4928.14(A) and Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-35.
- The PUCO erred by approving a so-called "Rate Stabilization Plan" for the period starting at the end of CG&E's market development period and continuing through December 31, 2008 since there is no basis in Ohio law for such a plan. Beginning at the end of an electric distribution utility's market development period, the utility is required -- pursuant to R.C. 4928.14(B) -- to "offer customers \* \* \* an option to purchase competitive retail electric service the price of which is determined through a competitive bidding process." The so-called "Rate Stabilization Plan" does not provide for a competitive bidding process as required under R.C. 4928.14(B) and Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-35.
- 4) The PUCO erred by approving a so-called "Rate Stabilization Plan" that permits discriminatory treatment of customers in violation of R.C. 4928.02(A), 4928.14(A), 4905.33, 4905.34 and 4905.35.
- 5) The PUCO erred, in violation of R.C. 4909.18 and 4928.15 as well as R.C. Chapters 4905 and 4909, by approving charges that are non-bypassable by

- customers switching to competitive suppliers without requiring a rate case and without statutory authorization for the non-bypassable charges.
- 6) The PUCO erred, in violation of R.C. 4928.17, by permitting CG&E -- if the so-called "Rate Stabilization Plan" is implemented -- to violate the Company's corporate separation obligations and to violate the Company's obligation to transfer its generation assets to a separate affiliate under the electric transition plan stipulation that was approved by the PUCO in the electric transition plan for CG&E.
- 7) The PUCO erred when it did not permit discovery of side agreements, pursuant to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-16, that were admissible as evidence, and erred when it did not permit such discovery that was reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of other admissible evidence.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully submits that the Appellee's September 29, 2004 Opinion and Order; November 24, 2004 Entry on Rehearing, and January 19, 2005 Second Entry on Rehearing are unreasonable or unlawful and should be reversed, vacated or modified. Pursuant to R.C. 4903.13, these cases should be remanded to Appellee with instructions to correct the errors complained of herein.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Jeffrey L. Small, Counsel of Record

Ann M. Hotz

Attorneys for Appellant

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

(614) 466-8574 (telephone)

(614) 466-9475 (facsimile)

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Notice of Appeal of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel was served upon the Chairman of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio by leaving a copy at the office of the Chairman in Columbus and upon all parties of record by hand-delivery or regular U.S. Mail this 18<sup>th</sup> day of March 2005.

Jeffrey L. Small / Counsel for Appellant

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

### COMMISSION REPRESENTATIVES AND PARTIES OF RECORD

Alan R. Schriber, Chairman Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215-3793 Thomas J. O'Brien, Esq. Sally Bloomfield, Esq. Bricker & Eckler, LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215

Paul Colbert, Esq. Cinergy Corporation 155 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Duane C. Luckey, Section Chief Thomas W. McNamee, Esq. Assistant Attorney Generals Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor

Samuel C. Randazzo, Esq. McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC 21 East State Street

Columbus, OH 43215

Benita A. Kahn, Esq. Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease P.O. Box 1008

Columbus, OH 43216-1008

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Michael L. Kurtz, Esq. Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 2110 Cincinnati, OH 45202 M. Howard Petricoff, Esq. Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008

David F. Boehm, Esq. Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 2110 Cincinnati, OH 45202 W. Jonathan Airey, Esq. Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008

Craig G. Goodman, Esq. National Energy Marketers Assoc. 3333 K Street NW, Suite 110 Washington, DC 20007 Shawn P. Leyden, Esq.
PSEG Energy Resources & Trader LLC
80 Park Plaza, 19th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

Dane Stinson, Esq.
Bailey Cavalieri, LLC
One Columbus
10 W. Broad St., Suite 2100
Columbus, OH 43215

Barth E. Royer, Esq.
Judith B. Sanders, Esq.
Bell, Royer & Sanders Co., LPA
33 South Grant Ave.
Columbus, OH 43215

Richard Sites, Esq. Ohio Hospital Association 155 E. Broad St., 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 Communities United for Action Noel M. Morgan, Esq. Legal Aid Society of Greater Columbus 215 East Ninth Street, Suite 200 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

David C. Rinebolt Ohio Partners For Affordable Energy 337 S. Main St., 4th Floor, Suite 5 P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793 First Energy Solutions Corp. Arthur E. Korkosz, Esq. 76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308 People Working Cooperatively, Inc. Mary W. Christensen, Esq. 401 North Front Street, Suite 350 Columbus, Ohio 43215-2499

### **CERTIFICATE OF FILING**

I hereby certify that a Notice of Appeal of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel was filed with the docketing division of the Public Utilities Commission in accordance with sections 4901-1-02(A) and 4901-1-36 of the Ohio Adm. Code.

Jeffrey L. Small / Counsel for Appellant

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

### APPENDIX E. CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT

## In The Supreme Court of Ohio Case Information Statement

Case No.:

Case Name:	Case No.:	
The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub	lic Utilities	
Commission of Ohio		
I. Has this case previously been decided or remanded by this Court? Yes \( \subseteq \) No \( \subseteq \)		
If so, please provide the Case Name:		
Case No.:		
Any Citation:		
II. Will the determination of this case involve the interpretation or application of any particular		
case decided by the Supreme Court of Ohio or the	Supreme Court of the United States? Yes No	
If so, please provide the Case Name and Citation: 160	Ohio St. 3d 9 (1985) and 72 Ohio St. 3d 1 (1995)	
11 50, pieuse provide the cuse runne and crustom <u>ro</u>		
Will the determination of this case involve the interpretation or application of any particular		
constitutional provision, statute, or rule of court? Yes No		
If so, please provide the appropriate citation to the constitutional provision, statute, or court rule, as		
follows:	ionianional provident, survivo, se servivo, se	
	Ohio Revised Code: R.C. See Attached	
* U.S. Constitution: Article , Section	Court Pula	
Ohio Constitution: Article 1, Section 16	Ohio Admin Code: O A C 4901:1-35	
	_ Onto Admin. Code. O.A.C. <u>4701.1-55</u>	
* Amendment 14		
III. Indicate up to three primary areas or topics of law involved in this proceeding (e.g., jury instructions, UM/UIM, search and seizure, etc.):  1) Regulatory law (esp. R.C. Chapters 4903, 4905, 4909 and 4928) 2) Collateral estoppel 3)		
TV Are you aware of any age now needing or abo	nt to be brought before this Court that involves	
IV. Are you aware of any case now pending or about to be brought before this Court that involves an issue substantially the same as, similar to, or related to an issue in this case? Yes 🗵 No 🗌		
If so, please identify the Case Name: OCC v. PUCO and Maumee v. PUCO		
Case No.: S. Ct. Case No. 04-1993 and S. Ct. Case No. 05-118		
Court where Currently Pending: Ohio Supreme Court (both)		
Issue: Interp. of R.C. 4928.14 and F		
Issue: Interp. of R.C. 4928.14 and F	C.C. 4928.17 (00th)	
Contact information for appellant or counsel:		
The state of the s		
Name Atty.Reg. #	Telephone # Fax #	
Jeffrey L. Small 0061488	(614) 466-8574 and (614) 466-9475	
Address	Signature of appellant or gounsel	
10 W. Broad St., Suite 1800		
27 200 M WILL WALLY 27.7%	Why a. She	
Columbus, OH 43215	Counsel for: The Office of the Ohio Consumers'	
City State Zip Code	Counsel	

R.C. 4903.082 4903.083 4903.09 4903.10 4905.33 4905.35 4909.15 4909.18 4909.19 4909.43 4928.02 4928.14 4928.15 4928.17