



Ms. Sherry Buzard
Docketing Division
The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0573

**GTE Telephone Operations** 

Ohio/Pennsylvania Regions 100 Executive Drive Marion, Ohio 43302 614 383-0411

July 11, 1995

Re: Case Nos. 93-1767-TP-PEX, 93-2049-TP-PEX

Dear Ms. Buzard:

GTE North reports that the in-service date for the institution of one-way measured-rate EAS from Ameritech's Hillsboro exchange on the one hand, to the Sinking Spring and Leesburg exchanges of GTE on the other hand, is August 3, 1995. Measured-rate EAS currently exists to Hillsboro from both GTE exchanges.

Sincerely,

∜ John W. Kennedy

State Director-External Affairs

Patricia Cook

JWK:pc

c: D. Bradford - OHODAPD - Marion, OH

J. A. Cleaver - INAAAJC - Westfield, IN

C. R. Criswell - OHODF03 - Marion, OH

K. G. Curl - OHODANB - Marion, OH

J. Dixon - U.S. Mail - Warsaw, VA

N. Duval - INIFA3D - Fort Wayne, IN

M. Gadd - OHODB9B - Marion, OH

N. Gaunt - INIFAGF - Fort Wayne, IN

J. Hamm - INIFAML - Fort Wayne, IN

D. Helton - OHODANG - Marion, OH

R. Hutson - U.S.Mail - Warsaw, VA

J. Klemann - INIFA3D - Fort Wayne, IN

L. Sellers - OHOGBPR - Circleville, OH

B. C. Leath - INAAA9J - Westfield, IN

C. Nelson - OHODAOH - Marion, OH

R. Pietrzykowski - INIFAXC - Fort Wayne, IN

D. K. Ream - OHODARE - Marion, OH

2C



July 11, 1995

Ms. Sherry Buzard Docketing Dept. PUCO 180 E. Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43266

Re: Case Nos. 94-1307-TP-PEX 94-1308-TP-PEX

Dear Ms. Buzard:

Enclosed please find the Response of Complainants in the above-mentioned EAS cases for filing.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Jay Wamsley Attorney-at-Law

JW:tjb

Enc. As stated above

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In The Matter of the Petition of Jay and Donna Wamsley, Spokespersons et. al., and Rick D. Rolston, Spokesperson et. al.,

Complainants

VS.

GTE North Incorporated



Case No. 94-1307-TP-PEX 94-1308-TP-PEX

Respondent

# RESPONSE TO GTE'S INVESTMENT, COSTS AND REVENUE IMPACT

Jay Wamsley, on behalf of Complainants in the above-styled cases, hereby responds to GTE's cost and revenue figures filed June 28, 1995.

Complainants do not feel a further hearing is necessary based on GTE's figures. As shown by GTE's Attachment 7, GTE would incur no investment costs and only \$2,540 in annual charges if measured rate EAS was implemented in all of the exchanges. As shown by GTE's Attachment 13, the total annual impact to GTE would be only \$10,763.

It is worth noting that the impact to GTE to extend the service between New Marshfield and Shade, those exchanges GTE has most strongly opposed would be \$150 in annual charges and a total annual revenue impact of \$1146.

Those figures, when contrasted with the very real financial hardships expressed by the 59 people who spoke at the public hearing, clearly demonstrate that change is warranted.

Based on all of the information provided, the complainants continue to believe that sufficient community of interest has been demonstrated to justify flat rate EAS. However, if the

Commission feels flat rate service cannot be ordered, certainly measured rate EAS in all of the exchanges is appropriate.

Complainants see no need for any further hearings and hope the Commission will order EAS as soon as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

JAY WAMSIEY #0022612 Attorney at-Law

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Response.... has been served upon Joseph Stewart, Counsel for GTE, 100 Executive Drive, Marion, Ohio 43302 and Daniel E. Fullin, Attorney Examiner, PUCO, 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43266 by regular U.S. Mail this 11th day of July, 1995.

TAY MAMSLEY #0022612

Attorney-at-Law

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In The Matter of the Petition of Jay and Donna Wamsley, Spokespersons et. al., and Rick D. Rolston, Spokesperson et. al.,

Complainants

RECEIVED

JUL 1 3 1995

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

vs.

GTE North Incorporated

Case No. 94-1307-TP-PEX 94-1308-TP-PEX

Respondent

# RESPONSE TO GTE'S INVESTMENT, COSTS AND REVENUE IMPACT

Jay Wamsley, on behalf of Complainants in the above-styled cases, hereby responds to GTE's cost and revenue figures filed June 28, 1995.

Complainants do not feel a further hearing is necessary based on GTE's figures. As shown by GTE's Attachment 7, GTE would incur no investment costs and only \$2,540 in annual charges if measured rate EAS was implemented in all of the exchanges. As shown by GTE's Attachment 13, the total annual impact to GTE would be only \$10,763.

It is worth noting that the impact to GTE to extend the service between New Marshfield and Shade, those exchanges GTE has most strongly opposed would be \$150 in annual charges and a total annual revenue impact of \$1146.

Those figures, when contrasted with the very real financial hardships expressed by the 59 people who spoke at the public hearing, clearly demonstrate that change is warranted.

Based on all of the information provided, the complainants continue to believe that sufficient community of interest has been demonstrated to justify flat rate EAS. However, if the

Commission feels flat rate service cannot be ordered, certainly measured rate EAS in all of the exchanges is appropriate.

Complainants see no need for any further hearings and hope the Commission will order EAS as soon as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney at-Law

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing **Response...**has been served upon Joseph Stewart, Counsel for GTE, 100
Executive Drive, Marion, Ohio 43302 and Daniel E. Fullin,
Attorney Examiner, PUCO, 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43266 by regular U.S. Mail this 11th day of July, 1995.

Attorney-at-Law

Ms. Sherry Buzard
Docketing Dept.
PUCO
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43266

1.1..1..11...1.11...11...1...1



