

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Complaint of Alliance Energy Services,)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 00-1920-EL-CSS
)	
FirstEnergy Corp.,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
In the Matter of the Application of First- Energy Corp. on Behalf of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illumi- nating Company, and The Toledo Edi- son Company for Approval of Their Transition Plans and for Authorization to Collect Transition Revenues.)	Case No. 99-1212-EL-ETP Case No. 99-1213-EL-ATA Case No. 99-1214-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company for Approval of its Electric Transition Plan, Approval of Tariff Changes and New Tariffs, Authority to Modify Current Accounting Procedures, and Approval to Transfer its Generating Assets to an Exempt Wholesale Generator.)	Case No. 99-1658-EL-ETP Case No. 99-1659-EL-ATA Case No. 99-1660-EL-ATA Case No. 99-1661-EL-AAM Case No. 99-1662-EL-AAM Case No. 99-1663-EL-UNC
In the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of its Transition Plan, for the Opportunity to Receive Transition Revenues, for Approval to Change Accounting Methods, and Approval to Amend its Tariff.)	Case No. 99-1687-EL-ETP Case No. 99-1688-EL-AAM Case No. 99-1689-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Applications of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Their Electric Transition Plans and for Receipt of Transition Revenues.)	Case No. 99-1729-EL-ETP Case No. 99-1730-EL-ETP
In the Matter of the Application of Monongahela Power Company dba Allegheny Power for Approval of an Electric Transition Plan.)	Case No. 00-02-EL-ETP

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business
Technician *[Signature]* Date Processed *March 8, 2001*

The Commission finds:

- (1) On October 3, 2000, Alliance Energy Services (Alliance or complainant) filed a complaint against FirstEnergy Corp. (FirstEnergy) alleging that notification of an October 2, 2000 market support generation (MSG) workshop was inadequate, and that the timeframe allotted for potential suppliers to coordinate FirstEnergy's registration process with the October 19, 2000 opening of the MSG program is (was) insufficient.
- (2) On November 7, 2000, FirstEnergy filed an answer to the complaint denying the material allegations. FirstEnergy also filed on that date a motion to dismiss claiming that Alliance had failed to set forth reasonable grounds for complaint.
- (3) On February 13, 2001, Alliance filed a letter requesting that its complaint case be dismissed. Alliance stated that, since the MSG program is already underway, the issues raised in its complaint are no longer pertinent.
- (4) Given the complainant's acceptance that the issues raised in the complaint are no longer pertinent, we agree that the complaint should be dismissed.
- (5) As a final matter, we note that future unresolved issues with respect to implementation of electric transition plans should not be filed in the above-captioned transition plan dockets but should, instead, be filed as separate proceedings pursuant to Section 4905.26, Revised Code.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the complainant's request to dismiss this complaint is granted and that this case be closed as a matter of record. It is, further,


ORDERED, That future unresolved issues with respect to implementation of electric transition plans should not be filed in the above-captioned transition plan dockets but should, instead, be filed as separate proceedings pursuant to Section 4905.26, Revised Code. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO




Alan R. Schriber, Chairman




Ronda Hartman Fergus



Judith A. Jones



Donald L. Mason




Clarence D. Rogers, Jr.

DDN;geb

Entered in the Journal

MAR - 8 2001

A True Copy



Gary E. Vigoro
Secretary