

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT OF
JOSEPH CODISPOTI AND ALEXANDRA
MOUGIANIS

COMPLAINANT,

CASE NO. 22-987-EL-CSS

v.

ELIGO ENERGY OH, LLC

RESPONDENT.

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on February 12, 2024

{¶ 1} Pursuant to R.C. 4905.26, the Commission has authority to consider written complaints filed against a public utility by any person or corporation regarding any rate, service, regulation, or practice relating to any service furnished by the public utility that is in any respect unjust, unreasonable, insufficient, or unjustly discriminatory. Additionally, pursuant to R.C. 4928.16, the Commission has jurisdiction under R.C. 4905.26, upon the complaint of any person, regarding the provision of any service by an electric services company subject to certification under R.C. 4928.08.

{¶ 2} Eligo Energy OH, LLC (Eligo or Respondent), is an electric services company as defined in R.C. 4928.01 and is certified to provide competitive retail electric service under R.C. 4928.08. Accordingly, Eligo is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

{¶ 3} On October 21, 2022, Joseph Codispoti and Alexandra Mougianis (Complainants) filed a complaint against Respondent, alleging that Eligo overcharged them on their bills during May and June of 2022 where their contract with Eligo expired in February 2022 and thereafter they were being charged a rate higher than AEP Ohio's price to compare, which at the time was \$0.072 per kilowatt hour.

{¶ 4} On April 17, 2023, the attorney examiner, by Entry, ordered Eligo to file an answer and any other responsive pleading in this matter within 20 days of the issuance of that Entry.

{¶ 5} On May 15, 2023, Eligo filed its answer in which it states that, in the interest of resolving this matter and without admission of the allegations in the Complaint, Eligo believes that it has successfully addressed the Complainants' concerns over the price they were charged. Eligo opines that it has satisfied the complaint and settled the case. Pursuant to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-9-01(F), Eligo requests that the Commission dismiss this case.

{¶ 6} Considering Eligo's answer and notice of settlement filed on May 15, 2023 and Eligo's representations therein, if Complainants intend to prosecute this complaint, they shall file a response to Eligo's motion to dismiss no later than March 4, 2024. Failure to respond by this date may result in dismissal of the complaint for failure to prosecute the matter.

{¶ 7} It is, further,

{¶ 8} ORDERED, That Complainants shall file a response as specified in Paragraph 6, no later than March 4, 2024. It is, further,

{¶ 9} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/Jesse M. Davis

By: Jesse M. Davis
Attorney Examiner

MJA/dmh

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

2/12/2024 9:34:43 AM

in

Case No(s). 22-0987-EL-CSS

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry that the complainant's shall file a response to Eligo's motion to dismiss no later than March 4, 2024 electronically filed by Ms. Donielle M. Hunter on behalf of Jesse M. Davis, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.