

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
OHIO EDISON COMPANY FOR APPROVAL
OF A TARIFF CHANGE.

CASE NO. 23-589-EL-ATA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC
ILLUMINATING COMPANY FOR
APPROVAL OF A TARIFF CHANGE.

CASE NO. 23-590-EL-ATA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY FOR
APPROVAL OF A TARIFF CHANGE.

CASE NO. 23-591-EL-ATA

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on February 8, 2024

{¶ 1} The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (CEI), Ohio Edison Company (Ohio Edison), and The Toledo Edison Company (Toledo Edison) (collectively, Applicants) are each an electric light company as defined in R.C. 4905.03 and a public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, are subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.

{¶ 2} On December 21, 2023, Ohio Edison filed an application in Case No. 23-589-EL-ATA (23-589) seeking to revise its pole attachment rates.

{¶ 3} On December 21, 2023, CEI filed an application in Case No. 23-590-EL-ATA (23-590) seeking to revise its pole attachment rates.

{¶ 4} On December 21, 2023, Toledo Edison filed an application in Case No. 23-591-EL-ATA (23-591) seeking to revise its pole attachment rates.

{¶ 5} On January 19, 2024, the Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association (OCTA) filed motions to intervene in the applications filed in 23-589, 23-590, and 23-591. In support of its motions to intervene, OCTA submits that it has members who have existing

and potential business interests in the Applicants' service territories. According to OCTA, those interests could be directly and substantially affected by the outcome of this proceeding. OCTA asserts that it is essential that its members have reasonable and cost-effective access to the Applicants' poles, conduits, and rights-of-way due to the fact that such access is an extremely important aspect of the services provided by OCTA's members in their provision of communications services, including video, voice, and broadband/Internet access services. Therefore, OCTA contends that it is important that its members are part of the proceeding to ensure that Applicants' pole attachment rates are just and reasonable and that Applicants comply with the provisions of Ohio Adm.Code Chapter 4901:1-3. According to OCTA, its interests are not represented by any other party in this proceeding. OCTA states that its intervention will not result in an undue delay of these proceedings or any unjust prejudice to the Applicants or any other party in these proceedings.

{¶ 6} No memoranda contra were filed in response to OCTA's motions to intervene.

{¶ 7} The attorney examiner finds OCTA's motions to intervene are reasonable and should be granted.

{¶ 8} It is, therefore,

{¶ 9} ORDERED, That OCTA's motions for intervention be granted, consistent with Paragraph 7. It is, further,

{¶ 10} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon each party and interested person of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/Jay S. Agranoff

By: Jay S. Agranoff
Attorney Examiner

GNS/dr

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

2/8/2024 12:19:52 PM

in

Case No(s). 23-0589-EL-ATA, 23-0590-EL-ATA, 23-0591-EL-ATA

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry granting OCTA's motions for intervention electronically filed by Debbie S. Ryan on behalf of Jay S. Agranoff, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.