

# THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF  
METRO FIBERNET, LLC TO BECOME  
CERTIFIED AS A COMPETITIVE LOCAL  
EXCHANGE CARRIER.

CASE NO. 22-754-TP-ACE

## ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on January 10, 2024

{¶ 1} Metro Fibernet, LLC (Metro Fibernet) is a public utility as defined by R.C. 4905.02.

{¶ 2} On August 9, 2022, Metro Fibernet filed a motion seeking protective treatment of the financial information, including audited financial statements, financial forecasts, a statement of its financial condition, and confidential corporate structure and capitalization information contained in its application for certification to provide competitive local exchange service in the state of Ohio. In support of its motion, Metro Fibernet submits that the specified information is not available to the general public and contains confidential business information. Metro Fibernet explains that it is a privately held company and its financial information is not publicly available. Metro Fibernet states that its motion is necessary due to the fact that the confidential information derives economic value from not being generally known to and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by another person who can obtain economic value from its disclosure and use. Metro Fibernet submits that disclosure of the information would be extremely detrimental and could be used by competitors to materially affect its ability to compete effectively.

{¶ 3} R.C. 4905.07 provides that all facts and information in the possession of the Commission shall be public, except as provided in R.C. 149.43 and as consistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. R.C. 149.43 specifies that the term “public records” excludes information which, under state or federal law, may not be released. The Ohio Supreme Court has clarified that the “state or federal law” exemption is intended to cover

trade secrets. *State ex rel. Besser v. Ohio State Univ.*, 89 Ohio St.3d 396, 399, 732 N.E.2d 373 (2000).

{¶ 4} Similarly, Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-24 allows the Commission to issue an order to protect the confidentiality of information contained in a filed document, “to the extent that state or federal law prohibits release of the information, including where the information is deemed \* \* \* to constitute a trade secret under Ohio law, and where nondisclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code.”

{¶ 5} Ohio law defines a trade secret as “information \* \* \* that satisfies both of the following: (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use. (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.” R.C. 1333.61(D).

{¶ 6} The attorney examiner has reviewed the arguments presented and the financial information included in the motion for protective treatment. Applying the requirements that the information have independent economic value and be the subject of reasonable efforts to maintain its secrecy pursuant to R. C. 1333.61(D), as well as the six-factor test set forth by the Ohio Supreme Court,<sup>1</sup> the attorney examiner finds that the subject operational and financial information constitutes trade secret information. Its release is, therefore, prohibited under state law. The attorney examiner also finds that nondisclosure of this information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. Finally, the attorney examiner concludes that these documents could not be reasonably redacted to remove the confidential information contained therein. Therefore, the attorney

---

<sup>1</sup> See *State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Ins.*, 80 Ohio St.3d 513, 524-525, 687 N.E.2d 661 (1997).

examiner determines that the motion for protective treatment should be granted due to the proprietary nature of the relevant information.

{¶ 7} Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-24(F) provides that, unless otherwise ordered, protective orders issued pursuant to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-24(D) automatically expire after 24 months. Therefore, confidential treatment shall be afforded for a period ending 24 months from the date of this Entry (i.e., until January 9, 2026). Until that date, the Docketing Division should maintain, under seal, the information addressed in the motion.

{¶ 8} Consistent with Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-24(F), a party wishing to extend a protective order must file an appropriate motion at least 45 days in advance of the expiration date of the existing order. Although Metro Fibernet may, pursuant to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-24(F), seek an extension of a protective order, the requesting entity must demonstrate the need for the specific time frame requested. Therefore, it is directed to perform an evaluation 45 days in advance of the expiration of the existing order to determine whether the protected information continues to require protective treatment.

{¶ 9} It is, therefore,

{¶ 10} ORDERED, That the motion for protective treatment be granted and the Docketing Division maintain the designated information under seal in accordance with Paragraphs 6 and 7. It is, further,

{¶ 11} ORDERED, That Metro Fibernet perform an evaluation of the protected information in accordance with Paragraph 8. It is, further,

{¶ 12} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties and interested persons of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Jay S. Agranoff

---

By: Jay S. Agranoff  
Attorney Examiner

PAS/dmh

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities  
Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**1/10/2024 4:36:29 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 22-0754-TP-ACE**

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry that the motion for protective treatment be granted and the Docketing Division maintain the designated information under seal in accordance with Paragraphs 6 and 7, and that Metro Fibernet perform an evaluation of the protected information in accordance with Paragraph 8 electronically filed by Ms. Donielle M. Hunter on behalf of Jay S. Agranoff, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.