

# THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF  
INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION  
SERVICES, LLC TO PROVIDE RESOLD  
LOCAL AND INTEREXCHANGE SERVICES  
THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF OHIO.

CASE NO. 22-897-TP-ACE

## ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on January 10, 2024

{¶ 1} Information and Communication Services, LLC (ICS) is a public utility as defined by R.C. 4905.02.

{¶ 2} On September 30, 2022, ICS filed a motion seeking protective treatment of the financial information contained in Confidential Exhibit 8 of its application for certification to provide resold local and interexchange services throughout the state of Ohio. In support of its motion, ICS submits that the specified information consists of financial information that is not available to the general public and contains confidential and important business information. ICS explains that it is a privately held company and its financial information is not publicly available. According to ICS, the information in question has not been disclosed to anyone unless required as part of a legal proceeding pursuant to a protective order. ICS states that its motion is necessary in order to preclude competitors from gaining access to sensitive information due to the competitive environment in which it operates.

{¶ 3} R.C. 4905.07 provides that all facts and information in the possession of the Commission shall be public, except as provided in R.C. 149.43 and as consistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. R.C. 149.43 specifies that the term “public records” excludes information which, under state or federal law, may not be released. The Ohio Supreme Court has clarified that the “state or federal law” exemption is intended to cover

trade secrets. *State ex rel. Besser v. Ohio State Univ.*, 89 Ohio St.3d 396, 399, 732 N.E.2d 373 (2000).

{¶ 4} Similarly, Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-24 allows the Commission to issue an order to protect the confidentiality of information contained in a filed document, “to the extent that state or federal law prohibits release of the information, including where the information is deemed \* \* \* to constitute a trade secret under Ohio law, and where nondisclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code.”

{¶ 5} Ohio law defines a trade secret as “information \* \* \* that satisfies both of the following: (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use. (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.” R.C. 1333.61(D).

{¶ 6} The attorney examiner has reviewed the arguments presented and the financial information included in the motion for protective treatment. Applying the requirements that the information have independent economic value and be the subject of reasonable efforts to maintain its secrecy pursuant to R. C. 1333.61(D), as well as the six-factor test set forth by the Ohio Supreme Court,<sup>1</sup> the attorney examiner finds that the subject operational and financial information constitutes trade secret information. Its release is, therefore, prohibited under state law. The attorney examiner also finds that nondisclosure of this information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. Finally, the attorney examiner concludes that these documents could not be reasonably redacted to remove the confidential information contained therein. Therefore, the attorney

---

<sup>1</sup> See *State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Ins.*, 80 Ohio St.3d 513, 524-525, 687 N.E.2d 661 (1997).

examiner determines that the motion for protective treatment should be granted due to the proprietary nature of the relevant information.

{¶ 7} Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-24(F) provides that, unless otherwise ordered, protective orders issued pursuant to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-24(D) automatically expire after 24 months. Therefore, confidential treatment shall be afforded for a period ending 24 months from the date of this Entry (i.e., until January 10, 2026). Until that date, the Docketing Division should maintain, under seal, the information addressed in the motion.

{¶ 8} Consistent with Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-24(F), a party wishing to extend a protective order must file an appropriate motion at least 45 days in advance of the expiration of the existing order. Although ICS may seek such an extension, it is directed to perform an evaluation 45 days in advance of the expiration of the existing order to determine whether the protected information continues to require protective treatment.

{¶ 9} It is, therefore,

{¶ 10} ORDERED, That the motion for protective treatment be granted and the Docketing Division maintain the designated information under seal in accordance with Paragraphs 6 and 7. It is, further,

{¶ 11} ORDERED, That ICS perform an evaluation of the protected information in accordance with Paragraph 8. It is, further,

{¶ 12} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties and interested persons of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/Jay S. Agranoff

By: Jay S. Agranoff  
Attorney Examiner

PAS/dr

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities  
Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**1/10/2024 3:53:05 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 22-0897-TP-ACE**

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry granting the motion for protective treatment and directing the Docketing Division to maintain the designated information under seal in accordance with Paragraphs 6 and 7 and directing ICS to perform an evaluation of the protected information in accordance with Paragraph 8 electronically filed by Debbie S. Ryan on behalf of Jay S. Agranoff, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.