BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)	
Edison Company, The Cleveland)	Case No. 23-301-EL-SSO
Electric Illuminating Company and The)	
Toledo Edison Company for Authority)	
to Provide for a Standard Service Offer)	
Pursuant to R.C. § 4928.143 in the Form)	
of an Electric Security Plan)	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

KRISTIN BRAUN

RATES AND ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

STAFF EXHIBIT _

- 1 1. Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. My name is Kristin Braun, and my business address is 180 East Broad
- 3 Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

4

8

11

16

- 5 2. Q. By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
- A. I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") as a
- 7 Public Utilities Administrator in the Rates and Analysis Department.
- 9 3. Q. How long have you been in your present position?
- 10 A. I assumed my present position in August of 2015.
- 4. Q. What are your responsibilities in your current position?
- A. In my current position, I am responsible for managing energy efficiency
- and renewable energy related issues in the Grid Modernization & Retail
- Markets Division within the Rates and Analysis Department of the PUCO.
- 5. Q. Will you describe briefly your educational and business background?
- A. In June of 2009 I received a Bachelor's degree in Environmental Science
- from Otterbein College. I have completed the majority of the program to
- receive my Masters in City and Regional Planning at The Ohio State
- University. I joined the PUCO in June 2009 as an intern and began full time
- employment as a Utility Analyst in September of 2010. In 2012, I was

1			promoted to a Public Utilities Administrator in the Department of Energy &
2			Environment. In August of 2015, I was promoted to my current position as
3			a Public Utilities Administrator 2 within the Department of Rates and
4			Analysis.
5			
6	6.	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
7		A.	The purpose of my testimony is to address FirstEnergy's 1 proposed Energy
8			Efficiency program and budget.
9			
10	7.	Q.	Please provide a description of the Companies' proposal for energy
11			efficiency programs and budget?
12		A.	The Companies proposed a four-year energy efficiency plan ("EE/PDR
13			Plan") that includes four residential programs: Residential Rebates, Energy
14			Education, Low Income Energy Efficiency, Demand Response for
15			Residential, and one Commercial and Industrial program called Energy
16			Solutions for Business. The total budget for all programs is approximately
17			\$72.1 million per year over the four-year term.
18			Although the Companies are proposing an eight-year electric security plan
19			("ESP") term, they are only proposing four years of energy efficiency
20			programs. The Companies state that they will evaluate the performance of

¹ FirstEnergy, also referred to as the "Companies," consists of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company.

the EE/PDR Plan prior to the end of the initial four-year term, and after their evaluation, they may seek approval to extend, modify, or cease the programs.

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 5 8. Q. Does Staff support the proposed EE/PDR Plan?
- A. Staff is in support of including the following programs in the EE/PDR Plan:

 Energy Education, Low Income Energy Efficiency, and the Demand

inclusion of the Residential Rebates or the Energy Solutions for Business

Response for Residential programs. However, Staff does not support the

programs in the EE/PDR Plan. With the recommended changes, Staff

further recommends the removal of the costs associated with these

programs. Further, I understand that Staff witness Christopher Healey is

recommending a six-year ESP term instead of the Companies' proposed

eight-year term. If the Commission approves a six-year ESP, Staff

recommends that the energy efficiency programs be approved for three

years (instead of four as proposed by the Companies), and then after three

years, the Companies be permitted to request Commission approval to

extend, modify, or cease the programs.

19

9. Q. Did the company propose a shared savings mechanism of any type in the application for the EE/PDR budget?

1		A.	Staff confirmed there was no company shareholder incentive or shared
2			savings mechanism included in the EE/PDR budget. Staff supports the
3			Companies' proposal in this regard.
4			
5	10.	Q.	What changes does Staff recommend to the EE/PDR program budget?
6		A.	Using Attachment ECM-2 "Ohio ESP V – Projections" in the testimony of
7			FirstEnergy witness Ed Miller, Staff recommends removal of the following
8			program costs:
9			• \$17,883,228 Residential Rebates Program
10			• \$38,581,786 Energy solutions for Business
11			• \$56,465,014 Total Program costs removed from EE/PDR annual
12			budget
13			
14			With these changes, Staff calculated the new budget to be approximately
15			\$15,663,000 per year, for the duration of the four-year EE/PDR Plan. The
16			updated EE/PDR budget would include the following program costs:
17			• \$3,592,681 – Energy Education
18			• \$8,613,982 – Low Income Energy Efficiency
19			• <u>\$3,456,539</u> – Demand Response for Residential
20			• \$15,663,202 – Updated Annual Budget
21			

Staff finds that this approach is consistent with previous Commission
Orders (for example, Columbia Gas of Ohio's most recent base distribution
rate case) ² that have provided a framework of what the Commission finds
to be appropriate energy efficiency programs at this time. Staff's
recommended changes to the Companies' proposed EE/PDR programs and
budget are aligned with recently approved energy efficiency programs, and
our recommendations create a program that is appropriate size and scale to
allow FirstEnergy to provide residential customers with energy efficiency
and demand response services such as education, weatherization, energy
efficiency products, and residential demand response.

11.

Q.

A.

Yes.

Does this conclude your testimony?

² Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., Case No. 21-0637-GA-AIR Opinion & Order at 56 (Jan. 26, 2023).

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing **Direct Testimony of Kristin Braun** submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, was served via electronic mail, upon the following parties of record, this 30th day of October, 2023.

/s/ Thomas G. Lindgren

Thomas G. Lindgren Assistant Attorney General

Parties of Record:

<u>mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com;</u> <u>todd.schafer@outlook.com;</u> <u>jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com;</u> <u>ipetroff@lawforlabor.com;</u>

trhayslaw@gmail.com; jrb@smxblaw.com;
Leslie.kovackik@toledo.oh.gov; mkl@smxblaw.com;
cgrundmann@spilmanlaw.com; jrb@sxblaw.com;

dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com;
slee@spilmanlaw.com;
bojko@carpenterlipps.com;
easley@carpenterlipps.com;
dstinson@brickergraydon.com;
gkrassen@nopec.org;

MKeaney@beneschlaw.com;
KHehmeyer@beneschlaw.com;
bknipe@firstenergycorp.com;
cwatchorn@firstenergycorp.com;
TAlexander@beneschlaw.com;
Paul@carpenterlipps.com;

<u>dparram@brickergraydon.com;</u> <u>Brian.gibbs@nationwideenergypartners.com;</u> rmains@brickergraydon.com; dromig@nationwideenergypartners.com;

dborchers@brickergraydon.com; emcconnell@elpc.org: kherrnstein@brickergraydon.com; trent@hubaydougherty.com; glpetrucci@vorys.com; mpritchard@mcneeslaw.com; mjsettineri@vorys.com; awalke@mcneeslaw.com: aasanyal@vorys.com; cpirik@dickinsonwright.com; Stacie.Cathcart@igs.com; todonnell@dickinsonwright.com; Michael.nugent@igs.com; kshimp@dickinsonwright.com; Evan.betterton@igs.com: knordstrom@theOEC.org:

ahaque@bakerlaw.com; ctavenor@theOEC.org; eprouty@bakerlaw.com; eowoyt@vorys.com; jdunn@oneenergyllc.com;

john.finnigan@occ.ohio.gov; keith.layton@occ.ohio.gov; connor.semple@occ.ohio.gov; rdove@keglerbrown.com; nbobb@keglerbrown.com; meissnerjoseph@yahoo.com; little@litohio.com; hogan@litohio.com; ktreadway@oneenergyllc.com; whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com; jlang@calfee.com; mbarbara@calfee.com; dproano@bakerlaw.com; ahaque@bakerlaw.com; eprouty@bakerlaw.com; pwillison@bakerlaw.com

Attorney Examiners:

megan.addison@puco.ohio.gov; gregory.price@puco.ohio.gov; jacqueline.St.John@puco.ohio.gov

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/30/2023 4:24:30 PM

in

Case No(s). 23-0301-EL-SSO

Summary: Testimony Direct Testimony of Kristin Braun, Rates and Anaysis Department electronically filed by Mrs. Kimberly M. Naeder on behalf of PUCO.