

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

| | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|
| In the Matter of the Application of |) | |
| Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland |) | Case No. 23-0301-EL-SSO |
| Electric Illuminating Company, and |) | |
| The Toledo Edison Company for |) | |
| Authorization to Establish a Standard |) | |
| Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. |) | |
| 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric |) | |
| Security Plan. |) | |

DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF

LISA V. PERRY

ON BEHALF OF

WALMART INC.

OCTOBER 23, 2023

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| I. Introduction | 1 |
| II. Purpose of Testimony and Summary of Recommendations | 5 |
| III. ESP V Proposal and Riders | 6 |
| IV. Rider EEC and Energy Efficiency Programs | 9 |
| V. Electric Vehicle Programs..... | 12 |

Exhibits:

Exhibit LVP-1: Witness Qualifications Statement

Exhibit LVP-2: Redacted invoice from the Illuminating Company

I. Introduction

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND OCCUPATION.

A. My name is Lisa V. Perry. My business address is 2608 SE J Street, Bentonville, Arkansas 72716-0550. I am employed by Walmart Inc. ("Walmart") as Director, Utility Partnerships – Regulatory.

Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS CASE?

A. I am testifying on behalf of Walmart.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE.

A. I received a J.D. in 1999, and a LL.M. in Taxation in 2000, from the University of Florida Levin College of Law. From 2001 to 2019, I was in private practice with an emphasis from 2007 to 2019 in Energy Law. My practice included representing large commercial clients before the utility regulatory commissions in Colorado, Texas, New Mexico, Arkansas, and Louisiana in matters ranging from general rate cases to renewable energy programs. I joined the energy department at Walmart in September 2019 as Senior Manager, Energy Services. I was promoted to my current position in September 2023. My Witness Qualifications Statement is attached as Exhibit LVP-1.

Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO ("COMMISSION" OR "PUCO")?

A. No, I have not.

1 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE OTHER**
2 **STATE REGULATORY COMMISSIONS?**

3 A. Yes, I have submitted testimony with State Regulatory Commissions for Arkansas,
4 Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana,
5 Michigan, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Texas, Virginia, and Wyoming. I have also
6 provided legal representation for customer stakeholders before the State Regulatory
7 Commissions for Colorado, Texas, Arkansas, Louisiana, and New Mexico in the cases
8 listed under "Commission Dockets" in Exhibit LVP-1.

9 **Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING EXHIBITS IN YOUR TESTIMONY?**

10 A. Yes. I am sponsoring the exhibits listed in the Table of Contents.

11 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE WALMART'S OPERATIONS IN OHIO.**

12 A. As shown on Walmart's website, Walmart operates 170 retail units, six distribution
13 centers, and two fulfillment centers and employs over 55,000 associates in Ohio. In
14 fiscal year ending 2023, Walmart purchased over \$8 billion worth of goods and services
15 from Ohio-based suppliers, supporting over 112,000 supplier jobs.¹

¹ <http://corporate.walmart.com/our-story/locations/united-states#/united-states/ohio>

1 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE WALMART'S OPERATIONS WITHIN THE**
2 **SERVICE TERRITORIES FOR OHIO EDISON COMPANY, THE**
3 **CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, AND THE TOLEDO**
4 **EDISON COMPANY (COLLECTIVELY, "FIRSTENERGY" OR**
5 **"COMPANIES").**

6 A. Walmart has 57 stores and clubs and related facilities that take electric service from
7 FirstEnergy in Ohio, served primarily on the Companies' General Service – Secondary
8 ("GS") rate schedules.

9 **Q. DOES WALMART HAVE EXPERIENCE IN THE ELECTRIC VEHICLE**
10 **("EV") CHARGING SPACE?**

11 A. Yes, Walmart has substantial experience with offering EV charging to its customers
12 and is actively growing its presence in the EV charging space. Specifically, Walmart
13 currently hosts more than 1,200 public Direct Current Fast Chargers ("DCFCs") at 285
14 different locations and across 43 states. As announced recently, Walmart intends to
15 build its own EV fast-charging network at thousands of Walmart and Sam's Club
16 locations across the U.S. over the next few years.² Walmart retail sites are ideally
17 situated for EV charging stations because of their large parking lots, easy public access,
18 and multi-site locations.

² <https://corporate.walmart.com/newsroom/2023/04/06/leading-the-charge-walmart-announces-plan-to-expand-electric-vehicle-charging-network>

1 **Q. WHY HAS WALMART DECIDED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PUBLIC EV**
2 **CHARGING SPACE?**

3 A. As part of its renewable energy and carbon reduction efforts, Walmart is committed to
4 supporting EV adoption by providing EV charging stations in thousands of locations
5 that not only serve EV customers who reside and/or work nearby but also advances the
6 nationwide EV infrastructure as a whole. Furthermore, Walmart is proud to offer EV
7 charging as a convenience to its customers who currently own EVs and for future EV
8 owners. Building an EV charging infrastructure that serves local communities, both
9 large and small, as well as corridors located within states and throughout the country,
10 is critical as vehicle owners consider their options when purchasing a new vehicle.

11 **Q. WHAT FACTORS DOES WALMART CONSIDER WHEN INSTALLING OR**
12 **SITING EV CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURE?**

13 A. As a general rule, Walmart seeks to site EV charger locations to provide value to
14 Walmart and its customers. Walmart seeks to balance the risks and costs of installing
15 and maintaining EV charging infrastructure by participating in various EV-specific
16 programs offered by states or utility companies, such as rebate programs and "make
17 ready" programs. Additionally, the economics of a particular EV charging station is
18 informed, in part, by the tariff under which the electricity is provided from the utility
19 to the owner of the EV charger, as I will discuss in more detail later in my testimony.

II. Purpose of Testimony and Summary of Recommendations

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the Companies' Application filed on April 5, 2023 ("Application"), in which the Companies are requesting authority to provide a Standard Service Offer ("SSO") and approval of their fifth Electric Security Plan ("ESP V"). Specifically, my testimony addresses the numerous riders, both on-going and new, proposed by the Companies, their proposed energy efficiency programs, and their proposed EV plan.

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE WALMART'S RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMMISSION.

A. Walmart's recommendations to the Commission are as follows:

- 1) The Commission should consider ways to simplify the Companies' rate structure, including requiring the Companies to examine the inclusion of multiple costs currently recovered by numerous riders into the Companies' base rates in their next base distribution rate case.
- 2) To ensure that energy efficiency programs are designed to meet the needs of commercial and industrial ("C&I") customers, if the Energy Solutions for Business Program is approved in this case, Walmart recommends that the Commission monitor the level of participation by C&I customers in the program by requiring the Companies to provide the number of C&I customers who are participating in the program and the number who have opted out in the periodic update and reconciliation for the recovery mechanism used for costs associated with the Energy Solutions for Business Program, which as filed,

1 would be on an annual basis through the Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery
2 Rider ("Rider EEC").

3 3) For the purposes of this case and to support the development of EV charging in
4 the Companies' service territories, the Commission should adopt the EV rate
5 design proposed by Walmart below. Alternatively, the Commission should
6 require the Companies to work with stakeholders to develop an EV rate targeted
7 at DCFC customers to be implemented by no later than the Companies' next
8 base rate cases scheduled for May 2024.

9 **Q. DOES THE FACT THAT YOU MAY NOT ADDRESS AN ISSUE OR**
10 **POSITION ADVOCATED BY THE COMPANIES INDICATE WALMART'S**
11 **SUPPORT?**

12 A. No. The fact that an issue is not addressed herein or in related filings should not be
13 construed as an endorsement of, agreement with, or consent to any filed position.

14
15 **III. ESP V Proposal and Riders**

16 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR GENERAL UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPANIES' ESP**
17 **V PROPOSAL?**

18 A. My general understanding of the Companies' ESP V proposal is that from June 1, 2024,
19 through May 31, 2032, for customers who do not take supply from competitive
20 suppliers, the Companies' SSO, or the generation portion of rates, will be based on the
21 proposals in the Companies' filing pursuant to §§ 4928.141 and 4928.143 of the Ohio

1 Revised Code.³ While I am not licensed to practice law in Ohio, my understanding is
2 that Ohio Revised Code § 4928.143 provides for a broad array of utility costs to be
3 considered as part of the Companies' ESP V proposal.

4 **Q. DOES THE COMPANIES' PROPOSED ESP V COVER A BROAD ARRAY OF**
5 **UTILITY COSTS THROUGH VARIOUS RIDERS?**

6 A. Yes, it does. As listed in Attachment SLF-1 to Company witness Fanelli's testimony,
7 the Companies currently have 56 different riders.⁴ Although not every rider applies to
8 all customer classes, as shown in a recent redacted invoice from one of Walmart's retail
9 facilities within the service territory for The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company,
10 a general service customer who procures generation from a third-party supplier is
11 subject to a multitude of different riders.⁵

12 **Q. ARE THE COMPANIES PROPOSING TO ELIMINATE SOME OF THEIR**
13 **EXISTING RIDERS?**

14 A. Yes, they are. The Companies recognize that they have "dozens of riders and tariff
15 provisions" and seek to streamline and clarify their tariffs by "significantly" reducing
16 the number of riders and tariff provisions.⁶ Specifically, the Companies are proposing
17 to eliminate 18 existing riders.⁷

³ See Application, p. 2, Sec. I, ¶ 1.

⁴ See Direct Testimony of Santino L. Fanelli ("Fanelli Direct"), Attachment SLF-1.

⁵ See Exhibit LVP-2, p. 2.

⁶ Fanelli Direct, p. 7, lines 10-13.

⁷ See Fanelli Direct, Attachment SLF-1.

1 **Q. ARE THE COMPANIES PROPOSING NEW RIDERS AS PART OF ITS ESP V**
2 **FILING?**

3 A. Yes, they are. The Companies are proposing three additional riders:

- 4 • Storm Cost Recovery Rider – Rider SCR;
- 5 • Vegetation Management Cost Recovery Rider – Rider VMC; and
- 6 • Rider EEC.

7 It is my understanding that Rider SCR and Rider VMC will apply to all customers,
8 including customers who do not take SSO service from the Companies, and will
9 recover expenses related to major storm damages⁸ and incremental vegetation
10 management,⁹ respectively. Rider EEC is a recovery mechanism through which the
11 Companies are seeking to recover costs associated with proposed energy efficiency
12 programs and is payable by all customers who do not opt-out of the programs.¹⁰ I will
13 discuss Rider EEC and the proposed energy efficiency programs later in my testimony.

14 **Q. DO YOU HAVE A GENERAL COMMENT ABOUT THE COMPANIES' ESP V**
15 **PROPOSAL AND ITS NUMEROUS RIDERS?**

16 A. Yes. The ESP V proposal continues what is already an extraordinarily complex set of
17 rates. For instance, as shown in Exhibit LVP-2, a commercial GS customer that takes
18 service from The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company has to examine its bill,

⁸ See Direct Testimony of Juliette Lawless, p. 2, line 18 to p. 3, line 14 (stating that Rider SCR will recover storm deferral expenses related to major storm damages in excess of the test year levels as authorized in prior ESP cases).

⁹ See Direct Testimony of Brandon S. McMillen ("McMillen Direct"), p. 19, lines 10-12 (stating that Rider VMC will recover additional vegetation management operating and maintenance expenses when compared to amounts approved for recovery in base distribution rates).

¹⁰ See McMillen Direct, p. 16, lines 17-21; see Direct Testimony of Edward C. Miller ("Miller Direct"), p. 8, lines 5-7.

1 monitor its usage, and project future costs by applying over a dozen riders.¹¹ When a
2 bill is so complex, it not only makes it nearly impossible for the customer to understand
3 how each bill is calculated, but it also fails to help guide a customer's energy usage
4 going forward.

5 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION TO THE COMMISSION**
6 **REGARDING THE COMPLEXITY OF THE COMPANIES' RATES,**
7 **INCLUDING THEIR NUMEROUS RIDERS?**

8 A. The Commission should consider ways to simplify the Companies' rate structure,
9 including requiring the Companies to examine the inclusion of multiple costs currently
10 recovered by numerous riders into the Companies' base rates in its next base
11 distribution rate case, which the Companies have agreed to file in May 2024.¹²

12
13 **IV. Rider EEC and Energy Efficiency Programs**

14 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF RIDER EEC?**

15 Rider EEC is a rider through which the Companies are proposing to recover costs
16 associated with proposed energy efficiency programs that will apply to all customers
17 who do not affirmatively opt-out of the program.¹³ Based on my understanding,
18 customers who take service under Rates General Service – Primary ("GP"), General
19 Service – Subtransmission ("GSU"), or General Service – Transmission ("GT"),
20 customers with accounts using 700,000 or more kWh per year, or corporate entities

¹¹ See Exhibit LVP-2.

¹² See Application, p. 8, Sec. III, ¶ 18.

¹³ See McMillen Direct, p. 16, lines 17-21; see Miller Direct, p. 8, lines 5-7.

1 with 35 or more accounts within the Companies' combined service territories are
2 statutorily authorized to opt-out of the Companies' proposed energy efficiency
3 programs and Rider EEC.¹⁴

4 **Q. UNDER THE COMPANIES' PROPOSAL, WOULD RIDER EEC BE SUBJECT**
5 **TO PERIODIC UPDATES AND RECONCILIATION?**

6 A. Yes, the Companies propose to reconcile and update Rider EEC on an annual basis on
7 or about May 1st of each year.¹⁵

8 **Q. WHICH ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS DO THE COMPANIES**
9 **PROPOSE TO RECOVER THROUGH RIDER EEC?**

10 A. The Companies are proposing five energy efficiency and demand response programs,
11 four of which will be available to the residential class, and one program, called the
12 "Energy Solutions for Business" program, for C&I customers.¹⁶

13 **Q. WHAT IS THE ENERGY SOLUTIONS FOR BUSINESS PROGRAM?**

14 A. The Energy Solutions for Business Program is one of the energy efficiency programs
15 proposed by the Companies in this case specifically for their C&I customers and has
16 three different sub-programs including, (i) a rebate program for certain energy efficient
17 equipment, (ii) incentives for other types of equipment that is more customized for a
18 customer, and (iii) energy audits.¹⁷ The rebate program is for energy efficient
19 equipment including commercial lighting, heat pumps, and food services equipment.¹⁸

¹⁴ See Miller Direct, p. 7, lines 19-23; *see also* Ohio Revised Code §§ 4928.01(19), 4928.6610, and 4928.6611.

¹⁵ See McMillen Direct, p. 17, lines 11-13.

¹⁶ See Miller Direct, p. 8, lines 2-7.

¹⁷ See Miller Direct, p. 22, lines 14-17.

¹⁸ See Miller Direct, p. 22, lines 18-22.

1 The second rebate program is for specialized equipment where the energy savings are
2 variable and on a case-by-case basis.¹⁹ Finally, the energy audit program is designed to
3 encourage C&I customers to complete a detailed energy management audit and provide
4 energy use analysis.²⁰

5 **Q. IS WALMART INTERESTED IN PARTICIPATING IN THE ENERGY**
6 **SOLUTIONS FOR BUSINESS PROGRAM?**

7 A. Walmart is continuing to evaluate whether it will participate in the Energy Solutions
8 for Business Program although these types of programs align with its sustainability
9 goals. On September 21, 2020, Walmart announced its updated sustainability goals,
10 which include zero carbon emissions in our operations, without the use of offsets, by
11 2040.²¹ In order to meet this goal, Walmart is considering different types of energy
12 efficient equipment for use within its retail stores, distribution centers, and other
13 facilities. Although Walmart is currently assessing whether it will participate in the
14 Companies' proposed Energy Solutions for Business Program to offset some of the
15 costs associated with transitioning to more energy efficient equipment and operations
16 within its facilities, Walmart appreciates the Companies' offering such a program and
17 providing customers who decide not to participate the option to opt-out of the Energy
18 Solutions for Business Program.

¹⁹ See Miller Direct, p. 23, lines 3-6.

²⁰ See Miller Direct, p. 23, lines 13-18.

²¹ <https://corporate.walmart.com/newsroom/2020/09/21/walmart-sets-goal-to-become-a-regenerative-company>

1 **Q. DOES WALMART HAVE ANY RECOMMENDATION TO THE**
2 **COMMISSION WITH REGARD TO THE COMPANIES' PROPOSED**
3 **ENERGY SOLUTIONS FOR BUSINESS PROGRAM?**

4 A. Yes. To ensure that energy efficiency programs are designed to meet the needs of C&I
5 customers, if the Energy Solutions for Business Program is approved in this case,
6 Walmart recommends that the Commission monitor the level of participation by C&I
7 customers in the Energy Solutions for Business Program by requiring the Companies
8 to provide the number of C&I customers who are participating in the Energy Solutions
9 for Business Program and the number who have opted out in the periodic update and
10 reconciliation for the recovery mechanism used for costs associated with the Energy
11 Solutions for Business Program, which as filed would be on an annual basis through
12 Rider EEC.

13
14 **V. Electric Vehicle Programs**

15 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPANIES' PROPOSED EV**
16 **PROGRAMS?**

17 A. My understanding is the Companies plan to spend \$12 million towards programs that
18 will help facilitate EV adoption through "electric vehicle-related initiatives" that will
19 support education and provide financial assistance to customers interested in
20 purchasing EVs.²²

²² See Fanelli Direct, p. 9, lines 14-20.

1 **Q. DID THE COMPANIES FILE ACCOMPANYING EV RATES AS PART OF**
2 **THIS CASE?**

3 A. No, it did not. My understanding is that a customer who chooses to deploy EV charging
4 would be subject to the standard tariffs for their class and service voltage.

5 **Q. ARE THESE SCHEDULES OPTIMAL FOR PUBLIC EV CHARGERS,**
6 **PARTICULARLY DCFCs?**

7 A. No. The Companies' commercial base rate schedules recover the costs associated with
8 the load imposed by the public EV charger generally through a fixed customer charge
9 and a demand charge that is typically calculated on the customer's peak load. Walmart
10 has long supported cost-based utility rates where costs are recovered from customers
11 in the same manner in which they are incurred, including the recovery of demand costs
12 through demand charges; however, public EV charging differs significantly from the
13 traditional use-case, including as compared to the energy usage of our stores and other
14 building facilities, and presents unique operational and financial challenges that
15 warrant exploration into alternative rate designs to create cost-based, usable, and
16 enduring public EV charging rates that will help promote EV charger deployment and
17 consumer EV adoption.

18 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN.**

19 A. As an investor in public EV charging equipment, Walmart understands how utility rates
20 can either promote or impede third-party EV charging investment and ongoing
21 operation. An important piece of the economics driving public charger installations
22 and ongoing operation is the structure of the cost of the electricity itself. Low utilization
23 at a public, high-powered DCFC can often lead to high realized energy costs relative

1 to the rates charged to charging customers, particularly where much of the costs are
2 recovered on a demand or customer charge basis. This can be problematic and may
3 deter investment in high-powered infrastructure and charging stations in areas where
4 usage is projected to be lower.

5 **Q. HOW DOES WALMART VIEW THE EV CHARGING RATE IN THE NEAR**
6 **TERM AND IN THE FUTURE?**

7 A. As the transportation industry and the role that EVs and EV chargers play in this
8 industry continue to grow and evolve, the challenges we face today may not be the
9 challenges of tomorrow. To ensure that programs and policies implemented to support
10 electrification of the transportation sector are current and relevant, it is important that
11 they are flexible and adapt over time. As an example, recovery of demand-related costs
12 through energy charges may improve the economics of under-utilized and higher-
13 powered chargers and encourage third-party investment. However, as usage of the
14 charger increases with EV adoption, the economics for that charger under the low- or
15 no-demand tariff will likely decline as more electricity is sold. In this case, it could be
16 better to return to a more traditional demand-based tariff once a customer's utilization
17 exceeds a certain percentage. Ultimately, this means the utility needs to have flexible
18 tariffs and rates that encourage the investment in, and development of, robust EV
19 infrastructure.

1 **Q. WHAT IS WALMART'S RECOMMENDATION TO THE COMMISSION ON**
2 **THIS ISSUE?**

3 A. The Companies have proposed an eight-year ESP term, which coincides with a time
4 period of anticipated substantial growth in the EV market.²³ The time is now to
5 implement appropriate rate design in order to encourage the development of EV
6 charging infrastructure necessary to power the growth in EVs in Ohio. Accordingly,
7 Walmart recommends that the Commission adopts the EV rate design proposed by
8 Walmart below. Alternatively, the Commission should require the Companies to work
9 with stakeholders to develop an EV rate targeted at DCFC customers to be implemented
10 by no later than the Companies' next base rate cases.

11 **Q. IS THERE A RATE DESIGN THAT WALMART SUPPORTS BEING**
12 **ADOPTED AS PART OF THIS CASE FOR DCFC EV CHARGING?**

13 A. Yes. Eversource has an EV charging rate in Massachusetts and Connecticut that has a
14 ratcheted demand charge (that could be as low as \$0) based on the load factor of the
15 charging station.²⁴ This rate design addresses the concerns I described above
16 concerning the application of traditional rate design to EV charging stations,
17 particularly during period of low utilization. Walmart would also recommend that the

²³ <https://governor.ohio.gov/media/news-and-media/governor-dewine-announces-locations-for-interstate-electric-vehicle-charging-stations#:~:text=There%20are%20currently%20about%2053%2C000,charging%20stations%20across%20the%20state.>

²⁴ See Eversource 2023 Summary of Eastern Massachusetts Electric Rates for Greater Boston Service Area effective July 1, 2023, pp. 3-4, available at https://www.eversource.com/content/docs/default-source/rates-tariffs/ema-greater-boston-rates.pdf?sfvrsn=c27ef362_50; see also NStar Electric Company d/b/a Eversource Energy's General Service Optional Electric Vehicle Charging tariff sheet M.D.P.U. No. 79A, available at https://www.eversource.com/content/docs/default-source/about/mdpu-number-79a-general-service-optional-electric-vehicle.pdf?sfvrsn=4b98dd84_1

1 Commission approve this rate design solely for the period of the ESP. This would
2 enable the Commission to reassess for the period beginning at the end of the ESP V
3 term whether the need for transitional rate design for EV charging continues to be
4 necessary, or whether there has been sufficient adoption of EVs and EV infrastructure
5 that a return to traditional rate design is appropriate.

6 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

7 A. Yes.

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

| | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|
| In the Matter of the Application of |) | |
| Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland |) | |
| Electric Illuminating Company, and |) | |
| The Toledo Edison Company for |) | |
| Authorization to Establish a Standard |) | |
| Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. |) | |
| 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric |) | |
| Security Plan. |) | Case No. 23-0301-EL-SSO |

**EXHIBITS OF
LISA V. PERRY
ON BEHALF OF
WALMART INC.**

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

| | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|
| In the Matter of the Application of |) | |
| Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland |) | |
| Electric Illuminating Company, and |) | Case No. 23-0301-EL-SSO |
| The Toledo Edison Company for |) | |
| Authorization to Establish a Standard |) | |
| Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. |) | |
| 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric |) | |
| Security Plan. |) | |

EXHIBIT LVP-1 OF

LISA V. PERRY

ON BEHALF OF

WALMART INC.

Lisa V. Perry

Director, Utility Partnerships - Regulatory

Walmart Inc.

Business Address: 2608 SE J Street, Bentonville, Arkansas 72716

Business Phone: (479) 274-0238

EXPERIENCE

September 2023 – Present

Walmart Inc., Bentonville, AR

Director, Utility Partnerships

September 2019 – September 2023

Walmart Inc., Bentonville, AR

Senior Manager, Energy Services

November 2017 – September 2019

Oram & Houghton PLLC, Round Rock, TX

Of Counsel, Energy Law

February 2016 – November 2017

Ray Quinney & Nebeker, P.C., Salt Lake City, UT

Of Counsel, Energy Law

September 2007 – February 2016

Welborn, Sullivan, Meck & Tooley, P.C., Denver, CO

Partner, Energy Law

EDUCATION

2000 University of Florida Levin College of Law LL.M., Taxation

1999 University of Florida Levin College of Law J.D.

1996 University of South Florida B.A., Criminology

1993 University of South Florida B.A., Psychology

FILED TESTIMONY

2023

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-36697: Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval of an alternative market-based mechanism process seeking to secure up to 3,000 MW of solar resources, including certification of those resources, expansion of the Geaux Green Option Rider, and approval of a new renewable tariff.

Issue: Approval of a voluntary renewable program backed by utility-owned solar assets.

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2023-00101: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for a 2023 biennial review of the rates, terms

and conditions for the provision of generation, distribution and transmission services pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Issue: Biennial review of rates.

Commonwealth of Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2023-00159: Electronic Application of Kentucky Power Company for (1) a General Adjustment of its Rates for Electric Service; (2) Approval of Tariffs and Riders; (3) Approval of Accounting Practices to Establish Regulatory Assets and Liabilities; (4) a Securitization Financing Order; and (5) all other Required Approvals and Relief.

Issue: General rate case.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-36625: Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval of the Entergy Future Ready Resilience Plan (Phase I)

Issue: Recovery of costs to upgrade transmission and distribution systems.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Proceeding No. 23A-0242E: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of its 2024-2026 Transportation Electrification Plan.

Issue: Seeking approval of utility's second transportation electrification plan.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 45919: In the Matter of the Petition of Indiana Michigan Power Company for Approval of (1) an Electric Vehicle Fast Charging Rate and Tariff and (2) Deferred Accounting Treatment for the Costs of Certain Company-Owned Electric Vehicle Fast Charging Stations and the Revenue from the Electric Vehicle Fast Charging Tariff.

Issue: Approval of an EV charging tariff for utility-owned public EV chargers.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 2023000038: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for Commission Preapproval of New Generation Capacity Pursuant to 17 O.S. Section 286(C).

Issue: Approval to construct two CT units and recovery of costs through a rider.

State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas Docket No. 23-EKCE-775-RTS: In the Matter of the Joint Application of Evergy Kansas Central, In., Evergy Kansas South, Inc., and Evergy Metro, Inc. for Approval to Make Certain Changes in their Charges for Electric Service.

Issue: General rate case.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-21389: In the matter of the application of CONSUMERS ENERGY COMPANY for authority to increase its rates for the generation and distribution of electricity and for other relief.

Issue: General rate case.

Public Service Commission of Wyoming Docket No. 20000-633-ER-23 (Record No. 17252): In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Service Rates by Approximately \$140.2 Million Per Year or 21.6 Percent and to Revise the Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism.

Issue: General Rate Case.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 54634, SOAH Docket No. 473-23-14020: Application of Southwestern Public Service Company for Authority to Change Rates.

Issue: General Rate Case.

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2023-00002: Application of Appalachian Power Company for a 2023 triennial review of its base rates, terms and conditions pursuant to § 56-585.1 of the Code of Virginia.

Issue: Triennial review of rates.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-21297: In the matter of the Application of DTE ELECTRIC COMPANY for authority to increase its rates, amend its rate schedules and rules governing the distribution and supply of electric energy, and for miscellaneous accounting authority.

Issue: General rate case.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 45816: Investigation of the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Regarding the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act Section 111(d) Standards as Amended by the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act.

Issue: Electric vehicle charging programs and tariffs.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 23-0055: Commonwealth Edison Company Petition for Approval of a Multi-Year Rate Plan under Section 16-108.18 of the Public Utilities Act.

Issue: Multi-Year Rate Plan rate case.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 23-0082: Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois Petition for Approval of a Multi-Year Rate Plan pursuant to 220 ILCS 5/16-108.18.

Issue: Multi-Year Rate Plan rate case.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 23-0067: Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois Proposed General Increase in Rates and Revisions to Other Terms and Conditions of Service. (Tariff filed January 6, 2023).

Issue: Gas general rate case.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 23-0066: Northern Illinois Gas Company d/b/a Nicor Gas Company Proposed General Increase in Rates and Revisions to Other Terms and Conditions of Service. (Tariff filed January 3, 2023).

Issue: Gas general rate case.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 45843: Verified Petition of Indianapolis Power & Light Company d/b/a AES Indiana for Commission Approval of an Electric Vehicle Portfolio, Including: (1) A Public Use Electric Vehicle Pilot Program Pursuant to Ind. Code Ch. 8-1-43; and (2) Time-Varying and Other Alternative Pricing Structures and Tariffs Pursuant to Ind. Code §8-1-2.5-6(3); and for Approval of Associated Accounting and Ratemaking.

Issue: Electric vehicle charging programs and tariffs.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 22-065-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate the John W. Turk, Jr. Power Plant to Supply a Public Service to its Arkansas Customers and for Approval of a Generation Cost Recovery Rider to Recover its Costs in Arkansas Rates.

Issue: Cost recovery from customers of an existing generation resource.

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2022-00166: Petition of Appalachian Power Company for consideration of the appropriate framework for cost recovery, the allocation of costs net of benefits pursuant to Code § 56-585.5 F, and class and jurisdictional cost allocation.

Issue: Recovery methodology and cost allocation of VCEA-related costs.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 202200093: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Corporation, for an Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and the Electric Service Rules, Regulations and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma and to Approve a Formula Base Rate Proposal.

Issue: General rate case.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 202200121: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma (PSO) for Approval of the Cost Recovery of the Selected Wind and Solar Facilities (Renewable Resources); a Determination there is a Need for the Renewable Resources; Approval for Cost Recovery of Prudent Costs Incurred by PSO for the Renewable Resources; Approval of a Temporary Cost Recovery Rider; Approval of Certain Treatment of Federal Production Tax Credits; and Such Other Relief the Commission Deems PSO is Entitled.

Issue: Acquisition of renewable generation and recovery through a rider.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 22-061-U: In the Matter of an Application into Potential Cost Shifting Associated with Net Metering.

Issue: Net metering tariff and any potential cost shifting between participating and non-participating customers.

2022

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2022-00124: Petition of Virginia Electric and Power Company for approval of its 2022 RPS Development Plan under § 56-585.5 D 4 of the Code of Virginia and related requests.

Issue: Approval of 2022 RPS Plan, new renewable projects, PPAs, and cost recovery mechanism, Rider CE, pursuant to the VCEA.

Public Service Commission of South Carolina Docket No. 2022-254-E: In re: Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC for Increase in Electric Rates, Adjustments in Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs, and Request for an Accounting Order.

Issue: General rate case.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 53719, SOAH Docket No. 473-22-04394: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates.

Issue: General rate case.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-36350: Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Recovery in Rates of Costs Related to Hurricane Ida and Related Relief

Issue: Recovery costs related to Hurricane Ida.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 22-0432 and 22-0442 (cons.): Commonwealth Edison Company Petition for Approval of Beneficial Electrification Plan under the Electric Vehicle Act, 20 ILCS 627/45 and New EV Charging Delivery Classes under the Public Utilities Act, Article IX and Illinois Commerce Commission on its own Motion vs. Commonwealth Edison Company Investigation into Commonwealth Edison Company's Beneficial Electrification Plan Filing pursuant to 20 ILCS 627/45

Issue: Approval of Beneficial Electrification Plan.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 22-0431 and 22-0443 (cons.): Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois Petition for Approval of Beneficial Electrification Plan pursuant to Section 45 of the Electric Vehicle Act and Illinois Commerce Commission on its own Motion vs. Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois Investigation into Ameren Illinois Company's Beneficial Electrification Plan Filing pursuant to 20 ILCS 627/45

Issue: Approval of Beneficial Electrification Plan.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20220010-EI: In re: Storm protection plan cost recovery clause

Issue: Seeking approval of the cost allocation and recovery methodologies used for storm protection plan cost recovery clause.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-21224: In the matter of the application of CONSUMERS ENERGY COMPANY for authority to increase its rates for the generation and distribution of electricity and for other relief.

Issue: General rate case.

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2021-00156: *Ex Parte*: Establishing a proceeding concerning the allocation of RPS-related costs and the determination of certain proxy values for Virginia Electric and Power Company.

Issue: Allocation methodology for VCEA-related costs and benefits.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-20836: In the matter of the Application of DTE Electric Company for authority to increase its rates, amend its rate schedules and rules governing the distribution and supply of electric energy, and for miscellaneous accounting authority.

Issue: General rate case.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 202100164: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

Issue: General Rate Case.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-36190: Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Certification and Approval of the 2021 Solar Portfolio, Rider Geaux Green Option, Cost Recovery and Related Relief.

Issue: Approval of a voluntary renewable program backed by utility-owned solar assets.

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2021-00135: Petition of Appalachian Power Company For approval of its 2021 RPS Plan under § 56-585.5 of the Code of Virginia and related requests.

Issue: Seeking approval of RPS Plan and recovery mechanisms for related costs.

Commonwealth of Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2021-00481: Electronic Joint Application of American Electric Power Company, Inc., Kentucky Power Company and Liberty Utilities Co. for Approval of the Transfer of Ownership and Control of Kentucky Power Company.

Issue: Acquisition of Kentucky Power Company by Liberty Utilities Company.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 52451, SOAH Docket No. 473-22-0816: Application of Southwestern Public Service Company for Approval of Advanced Metering System (AMS) Deployment Plan, AMS Surcharge, and Non-Standard Metering Service Fee.

Issue: Approval to implement AMS and recover costs through an additional surcharge.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 21-070-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Approval of a General Change in Rates and Tariffs.

Issue: General rate case.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 21-087-U: In the Matter of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company's Request to Extend its Formula Rate Plan Rider.

Issue: Seeking extension of formula rate plan.

2021

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-36105: Application for Certification to Deploy Natural Gas-Fired Distributed Generation and Authorization to Implement Rider UODG.

Issue: Approval to implement a distributed generation program and rider recovery.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 52389, SOAH Docket No. 473-22-0009: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Advanced Metering System (AMS) Deployment Plan, AMS Surcharge, and Non-Standard Metering Service Fees.

Issue: Approval to implement AMS and recover costs through an additional surcharge.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-35991: Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Recovery in Rates of Costs Related to Hurricanes Laura, Delta, Zeta and Winter Storm Uri and for Related Relief.

Issue: Securitization of system restoration costs due to extreme weather conditions.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 202100076: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma (“PSO”) for Approval of a Financing Order for the Collection of Increased Costs Caused by the Extreme Winter Weather and Contained in the Regulatory Asset Authorized by Order 717625, Including an Appropriate Carrying Cost, and Such Other Relief as the Commission Deems PSO is Entitled.

Issue: Securitization of excessive fuel costs due to extreme weather conditions.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Proceeding No. 21A-0141E: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of its 2021 Electric Resource Plan and Clean Energy Plan.

Issue: Seeking approval of utility’s plan to meet legislative renewable and carbon reduction goals.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 21-054-TF: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, LLC for a Proposed Tariff Revision Regarding a Green Promise Tariff.

Issue: Seeking approval for a voluntary renewable energy tariff.

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2021-00058: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for a 2021 triennial review of the rates, terms and conditions for the provision of generation, distribution and transmission services pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Issue: General Rate Case.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 52040, SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2607: Application of El Paso Electric Company for Advanced Metering System (AMS) Deployment Plan, AMS Surcharge, and Non-Standard Metering Service Fees.

Issue: Approval to implement AMS and recover costs through an additional surcharge.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 202100072: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for a Financing Order Pursuant to the February 2021 Regulated Utility Consumer Protection Act Approving Securitization of Costs Arising from the Winter Weather Event of February 2021.

Issue: Securitization of excessive fuel costs due to extreme weather conditions.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 51802, SOAH Docket No. 473-21-1892: Application of Southwestern Public Service Company for Authority to Change Rates.

Issue: General rate case.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 202100055: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Corporation, for an Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and the Electric Service Rules, Regulations and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Issue: General rate case.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-35441: Application of Southwestern Power Company (SWEPCO) for Approval of a Change in Rates, Extension of Formula Rate Plan and Other Related Relief.

Issue: General rate case and extension of formula rate plan.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-20963: In the matter of the application of Consumers Energy Company for authority to increase its rates for the generation and distribution of electricity and for other relief.

Issue: General rate case.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20210010-EI: In re: Storm protection plan cost recovery clause

Issue: Seeking approval of the cost allocation and recovery methodologies used for storm protection plan cost recovery clause.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 19-008-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Approval of a General Change in Rates and Tariffs.

Issue: Seeking approval for amortization period and carrying costs for extraordinary fuel costs related to Winter Storm Uri.

Public Utility Regulatory Authority of Connecticut Docket No. 17-12-03RE11: PURA Investigation into Distribution System Planning of the Electric Distribution Companies – New Rate Designs and Rates Review.

Issue: Investigation into low-income rates and economic development rates.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 51415, SOAH Docket No. 473-21-0538: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Authority to Change Rates.

Issue: General rate case.

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2020-00170: Petition of Virginia Electric and Power Company for approval of a rate adjustment clause, designated Rider RPS, under § 56-585.1 A 5 d of the Code of Virginia.

Issue: Seeking approval of a Rider RPS to recover costs associated with REC purchases made to comply with the Virginia Clean Economy Act.

Commonwealth of Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2020-00350: Electronic Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for an Adjustment of its Electric and Gas Rates, a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Deploy Advanced Metering Infrastructure, Approval of Certain Regulatory and Accounting Treatments, and Establishment of a One-Year Surcredit.

Issue: General rate case.

Commonwealth of Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2020-00349: Electronic Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for an Adjustment of its Electric Rates, a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Deploy Advanced Metering Infrastructure, Approval of Certain Regulatory and Accounting Treatments, and Establishment of a One-Year Surcredit.

Issue: General rate case.

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2020-00164: *Ex Parte*: Allocating RPS costs to certain customers of Virginia Electric and Power Company.

Issue: Determining which costs and benefits of Virginia Clean Economy Act-related assets should be allocated to non-utility customers and seeking approval of a Rider NBC to recover/credit some of those costs and/or benefits.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 202000097: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma (“PSO”) for Approval of the Cost Recovery of Facilities to be Located at Ft. Sill; a Determination there is a Need for the Facilities; Approval for Future Inclusion in Base Rates for Cost Recovery of Prudent Costs Incurred by PSO for the Facilities; Approval of a Temporary Cost Recovery Rider; and Such Other Relief the Commission Deems PSO is Entitled.

Issue: Seeking approval to acquire a solar facility and gas facility sited at Fort Sill.

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2020-00134: *Ex Parte*: Establishing 2020 RPS Proceeding for Virginia Electric and Power Company.

Issue: Seeking approval of a Renewable Portfolio Standard Plan and rider pursuant to the Virginia Clean Economy Act (VCEA).

2020

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2020-00135: *Ex Parte*: Establishing 2020 RPS Proceeding for Appalachian Power Company.

Issue: Seeking approval of a Renewable Portfolio Standard Plan pursuant to the Virginia Clean Economy Act (VCEA).

Public Service Commission of South Carolina Docket No. 2019-209-E: In re: South Carolina Energy Freedom Act (House Bill 3659) Proceeding Related to Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated and S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-41-30 Related to Electrical Utilities and Their Current Voluntary Renewable Energy Program, and Such Other Proceedings Required By the Commission.

Issue: Seeking approval of a Voluntary Renewable Energy Rider.

Public Service Commission of South Carolina Docket No. 2020-125-E: In re: Application of Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated for Adjustment of Rates and Charges.

Issue: General rate case.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 16-036-FR: In the Matter of Formula Rate Plan Filings of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Pursuant to APSC Docket No. 15-015-U.

Issue: Seeking five-year extension of Formula Rate Plan.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Proceeding No. 20A-0204E: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of its 2021-2023 Transportation Electrification Plan.

Issue: Seeking approval of utility's plan to encourage EV adoption in its service territory.

Commonwealth of Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2020-00174: Electronic Application of Kentucky Power Company for (1) a General Adjustment of its Rates for Electric Service; (2) Approval of Tariffs and Riders; (3) Approval of Accounting Practices to Establish Regulatory Assets and Liabilities; (4) Approval of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity; and (5) All Other Required Approvals and Relief.

Issue: General rate case.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 202000021: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Approving a Recovery Mechanism for Expenditures Related to the Oklahoma Grid Enhancement Plan.

Issue: Seeking approval of a rider that allows for interim recovery of costs associated with expenditures made to enhance the grid.

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2020-00015: Application of Appalachian Power Company For a 2020 Triennial Review of the Rates, Terms and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Issue: General Rate Case.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-20697: In the matter of the application of Consumers Energy Company for authority to increase its rates for the generation and distribution of electricity and for other relief.

Issue: General rate case.

Florida Public Service Commission Consolidated Docket Nos. 20200067-EI, 20200069-EI, 20200070-EI, 20200071-EI: In re: Review of 2020-2029 Storm Protection Plan pursuant to Rule 25-6.030, F.A.C., Tampa Electric Company *et al.*

Issue: Seeking approval of Storm Protection Plans submitted by Tampa Electric Company, Duke Energy Florida, LLC, Gulf Power Company, and Florida Power & Light Company.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 20-027-U: In the Matter of the Application of Walmart Inc. for Approval to Bid Demand Response into Wholesale Electricity Markets Through an Aggregator of Retail Customers.

Issue: Seeking approval to bid demand response into MISO through a third-party aggregator.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 49737, SOAH Docket No. 473-19-6862: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorization and Related Relief for the Acquisition of Wind Generation Facilities.

Issue: Seeking approval to acquire a wind generation facility located in Oklahoma.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-35324: Application of Southwestern Power Company (SWEPCO) for Certification and Approval of the Acquisition of Certain Renewable Resources in Accordance with the MBM Order and the 1983 and 1994 General Orders.

Issue: Seeking approval to acquire a wind generation facility located in Oklahoma.

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2019-00201: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for approval of its 2019 DSM Update pursuant to § 56-585.1 A 5 of the Code of Virginia.

Issue: Seek approval to implement eleven new demand-side management programs, to extend existing programs - some with updated parameters and cost/benefit results, and to continue three rate adjustment clauses.

2019

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201900048: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma for Approval of the Cost Recovery of the Selected Wind Facilities; A Determination there is a Need for the SWFs; Approval for Future Inclusion in Base Rates Cost Recovery of Prudent Costs Incurred by PSO for the SWFs; Approval of a Temporary Cost Recovery Rider; Approval of Certain Accounting Procedures Regarding Federal Production Tax Credits; and Such Other Relief the Commission Deems PSO is Entitled.

Issue: Seeking approval to acquire a wind generation facility located in Oklahoma and Wind Facility Asset Rider.

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2019-00094: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for Approval of a 100 Percent Renewable Energy Tariff, Designated Rider TRG, Pursuant to §§ 56-577 A 5 and 56-234 of the Code of Virginia.

Issue: Seek approval of a 100 percent renewable energy tariff.

Public Service Commission of South Carolina Docket No. 2019-239-E: In re: Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated's Request for Approval of an Expanded Portfolio of Demand Side Management Programs, and a Modified Demand Side Management Rate Rider.

Issue: Seeking approval of an expanded Demand Side Management Plan and modified Demand Side Management Rate Rider.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 19-035-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Approval to Acquire Wind Generating Facilities Pursuant to the Arkansas Clean Energy Development Act.

Issue: Seeking approval to acquire a wind generation facility located in Oklahoma and Wind Facility Asset Rider.

Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2019-00154: Petition of Virginia Electric and Power Company for approval of a plan for electric distribution grid transformation projects pursuant to § 56-585.1 A 6 of the Code of Virginia, and for approval of an addition to the terms and condition applicable to electric service.

Issue: Seeking approval of certain expenditures relating to grid improvement and grid hardening.

COMMISSION DOCKETS (Appearing as Attorney of Record)

2019

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 49421: Application of CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC for Authority to Change Rates.

Issue: General rate case

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 49494: Application of AEP Texas Inc. for Authority to Change Rates.

Issue: General rate case

Public Utility Commission of Colorado Docket No. 19AL-0268E: In the Matter of Advice Letter No. 1797 Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Reset the Currently Effective General Rate Schedule Adjustment (“GRSA”) as Applied to Base Rates for all Electric Rate Schedules as well as Implement a Base Rate kWh Charge, General Rate Schedule Adjustment-Energy (“GRSA-E”) to Become Effective June 20, 2019.

Issue: General rate case, Phase I

2018

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 48371: Entergy Texas, Inc.’s Statement of Intent and Application for Authority to Change Rates.

Issue: General rate case

Public Utility Commission of Colorado Docket No. 18M-0074EG: In the Matter of the Commission’s Consideration of the Impact of the Federal Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 on the Rates of Colorado Investor-Owned Electric and Natural Gas Utilities.

Issue: Commenced by the Commission to consider the impacts of the Tax Cut and Jobs Act of 2017 on the revenue requirements and rates of all Colorado investor-owned electric and natural gas utilities.

2017

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 47461: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorization and Related Relief for the Wind Catcher Energy Connection Project in Oklahoma.

Issue: Purchase of a wind generation facility and generation tie line.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 47527: Application of Southwestern Public Service Company for Authority to Change Rates.

Issue: General rate case

Public Utility Commission of Colorado Docket No. 17A-0462EG: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of a Number of Strategic Issues Relating to its Electric and Gas Demand-Side Management Plan.

Issue: Seek Commission re-examination and approval of the overall objectives and structure of Public Service's DSM initiatives to guide the Company in designing future DSM plans.

Public Utility Commission of Colorado Docket No. 17AL-0649E: In the Matter of Advice Letter No. 1748-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Revise its PUC No. 8-Electric Tariff to Implement a General Rate Schedule Adjustment and Other Rate Changes Effective on Thirty Days' Notice.

Issue: General rate case, Phase I

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 17-038-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Approval to Acquire a Wind Generating Facility and to Construct a Dedicated Generation Tie Line.

Issue: Purchase of a wind generation facility and generation tie line.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-34619: Application for Expedited Certification and Approval of the Acquisition of Certain Renewable Resources and the Construction of a Generation Tie Pursuant to the 1983 and/or 1994 General Orders.

Issue: Purchase of a wind generation facility and generation tie line.

2016

Public Utility Commission of Colorado Docket No. 16AL-0048E: In the Matter of Advice Letter No. 1712-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Replace Colorado PUC No. 7-Electric Tariff with Colorado PUC No. 8-Electric Tariff.

Issue: General rate case, Phase II

Public Utility Commission of Colorado Docket No. 16A-0055E: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of its Solar*Connect Program.

Issue: Implement a voluntary solar program offering participating customers the ability to offset their current supply of energy from the Public Service system with solar energy produced at a dedicated facility or facilities.

New Mexico Public Regulation Commission Docket No. 16-00276-UT: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico for Revision of its Retail Electric Rates Pursuant to Advice Notice No. 533.

Issue: General rate case

INDUSTRY TRAINING

- 2020 Practical Regulatory Training for the Electric Industry, Center for Public Utilities, New Mexico State University College of Business
- 2020 IPU Accounting and Ratemaking Course, Michigan State University
- 2016 and 2022 Western NARUC Utility Rate School
- EUCI Courses on the utility industry, cost allocation, and rate design.

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

| | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|
| In the Matter of the Application of |) | |
| Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland |) | |
| Electric Illuminating Company, and |) | Case No. 23-0301-EL-SSO |
| The Toledo Edison Company for |) | |
| Authorization to Establish a Standard |) | |
| Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. |) | |
| 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric |) | |
| Security Plan. |) | |

EXHIBIT LVP-2 OF

LISA V. PERRY

ON BEHALF OF

WALMART INC.

Walmart Inc.
Exhibit LVP-2
Ohio Case No. 23-0301-EL-SSO

 | Account: |

Billing Date: 09/06/23
 Purchase Order#: UTILITY PR=Product/Service 00=Original

 Service Account:
 Identifier:
 Service Agreement ID:
 Account:

Remittance Address: CEI-DISTRIBUTION

Information Contact The Illuminating Company

Tele: 1-800-589-3101

Energy Service Provider:

Billing Address: WAL-MART STORES INC

Meter Location: WAL-MART STORES INC
 STORE 01-2266
 8585 PEARL RD
 STRONGSVILLE, OH 44136

Net Due Date: 09/28/23

Previous Month:
 Balance Forward:
 Total Due:
 Current Bill:

ELECTRIC SERVICE:

AssignID:1
 Classification of RATE

| Category of Measurement | Value | Unit of Mea | Begin | Ending | Significance |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------|-------|--------|--------------|
| Actual/Actual | Multiplier | KWH | | | Total |
| Actual/Actual | Multiplier | KW | | | Total |
| Actual/Actual | Multiplier | KVAR Demand | | | Total |
| Billed Actual | | Code: | | | |
| Billed Actual | | Code: | | | |
| Billed Actual | | Code: | | | |
| Billed Actual | | KWH | | | |
| Billed Actual | | KW | | | |
| Billed Actual | | KVAR Demand | | | |

 Meter:
 Rate Class: CE-GSD

Service Period Start Date: 08/08/23 Service Period End Date: 09/05/23

CHARGES:

| Amount | Rate | Unit Of Mea | Quantity | Description |
|--------|------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| ----- | | | | |

Walmart Inc.
Exhibit LVP-2
Ohio Case No. 23-0301-EL-SSO

| | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------|
| | KWH | Dist-DSM / EE1-Engy |
| | KWH | Dist-DSE rider-DSE2 |
| | KW | Non-Market-Based Services Dmd |
| | KW | Non-Market-Based Services Dmd |
| | EACH | Dist-Customer Chrg |
| | KWH | Ohio Rider CRC kWh Rate 2 |
| | KWH | Ohio Rider CRC kWh Rate 1 |
| | KWH | Rider CSR Rate 2 - Energy |
| | KW | Rider CSR Rate 1 - Demand |
| | KW | Rider CSR Rate 1 - Demand |
| | KW | Rider CSR Rate 1 - Demand |
| | KW | Rider CSR Rate 1 - Demand |
| | KWH | Rider CSR Rate 2 - Energy |
| | KW | Rider CSR Rate 1 - Demand |
| | KW | Rider CSR Rate 1 - Demand |
| | KW | Rider CSR Rate 1 - Demand |
| | KW | Rider CSR Rate 1 - Demand |
| | KWH | Rider TSA Energy Based |
| | EACH | State kWh Tax (CAT)-Engy |
| | KWH | State Tax - Total KWH |
| | KWH | State Tax - Total KWH |
| | KWH | State Tax - Total KWH |
| | KW | Rider DMR - Demand |
| | KW | Dist-Dmd |
| | KW | Dist-Dmd |
| | KW | Rider DMR - Demand |
| | KWH | Rider DMR - Energy |
| | EACH | Rider GDR - customer chg |
| | KW | Dist-Delivery Capital Recovery |
| | KW | Dist-Delivery Capital Recovery |
| | KWH | Dist-Line Extension-Engy |
| | KWH | Dist Uncollectible-Engy |
| | KVAR Demand | Dist-Reactive Dmd Chrg |
| | KVAR Demand | Dist-Reactive Dmd Chrg |
| | KW | Dist-Dmd |
| | KW | Dist-Dmd |
| | EACH | Dist-AMI rider-Cust Chrg |
| | KW | Rider ORR - demand |
| | KW | Rider ORR - demand |
| | KWH | Gen-PIR chg-Engy |
| | KWH | Gener-DFC Recovery-Engy |
| | KWH | Gen- Def Gener Cost Rec-Engy |
| | KWH | EDR (i) Automaker Charge |
| | KWH | Gener-EDR (e) Std Chrg-Engy |
| | KWH | Gener-CEI Delta Rev Rec-Engy |
| | KWH | Gener-Delta Rev Rec (Oth)-Engy |
| | KWH | PIPP Uncollectible-Engy |
| | EACH | Rider SGF KWH |
| | KWH | Rider LGR kWh based |
| | KWH | Universal Service - Total KWH |

Meter Location: WAL-MART STORES INC
STORE 01-2266
8585 PEARL RD
STRONGSVILLE, OH 44136

*** TOTAL DUE: -----

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Lisa V. Perry on behalf of Walmart Inc., was served by electronic mail, upon the following Parties of Record on this 23rd day of October, 2023.

/s/ Carrie H. Grundmann

Carrie H. Grundmann (Ohio Bar ID 96138)

bknipe@firstenergycorp.com
cwatchorn@firstenergycorp.com
tallexander@beneschlaw.com
mkeaney@beneschlaw.com
khehmeyer@beneschlaw.com
Thomas.lindgren@ohioago.gov
Amy.botschnerbrien@ohioago.gov
Rhiannon.howard@ohioago.gov
John.finnigan@occ.ohio.gov
Connor.semple@occ.ohio.gov
dproano@bakerlaw.com
ahaque@bakerlaw.com
eprouy@bakerlaw.com
pwillison@bakerlaw.com
mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com
jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com
dstinson@brickergraydon.com
gkrassen@nopec.org
rdove@keglerbrown.com
nbobb@keglerbrown.com
whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com
Stacie.cathcart@igs.com
Evan.betterton@igs.com
Michael.nugent@igs.com
josephmeissner@yahoo.com
trhayslaw@gmail.com
leslie.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov
bojko@carpenterlipps.com

easley@carpenterlipps.com
todd.schafer@outlook.com
little@litohio.com
hogan@litohio.com
mjsettineri@vorys.com
glpetrucci@vorys.com
aasanyal@vorys.com
dparram@brickergraydon.com
rmains@brickergraydon.com
jlang@calfee.com
mbarbara@calfee.com
dromig@nationwideenergypartners.com
brian.gibbs@nationwideenergypartners.com
paul@carpenterlipps.com
trent@hubaydougherty.com
emcconnell@elpc.org
mpritchard@mcneeslaw.com
awalke@mcneeslaw.com
cpirik@dickinsonwright.com
todonnell@dickinsonwright.com
kshimp@dickinsonwright.com
knordstrom@theOEC.org
ctavenor@theOEC.org
eowoyt@vorys.com

Attorney Examiners:

Megan.addison@puco.ohio.gov
Greg.price@puco.ohio.gov
Jacqueline.st.john@puco.ohio.gov

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on
10/23/2023 3:51:54 PM**

in

Case No(s). 23-0301-EL-SSO

Summary: Testimony Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Lisa V. Perry on behalf of Walmart Inc. electronically filed by Carrie H. Grundmann on behalf of Walmart Inc..