# PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

\_ \_ \_

In the Matter of Victor Kutsar:

Notice of Apparent Violation : Case No.

and Intent to Assess : 22-570-TR-CVF

Forfeiture. :

- - -

#### PROCEEDINGS

Before Jesse Davis, Attorney Examiner, held at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, on Tuesday, August 22, 2023, at 10:00 A.M.

- - -

Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 224-9481

\_ \_ \_

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. Victor Kutsar
            758 Grayton Road
 3
            Berea, Ohio 44017
 4
                 Appearing Pro se.
 5
 6
            Ms. Ashley Wnek
 7
            Assistant Attorney General
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
 8
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 9
                 On behalf of the Staff of the
                 Public Utilities Commission
10
                 of Ohio.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
2		
3	STAFF WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS	
4	Drew Bauer 6 14	
5	Bradley Long 16	
6		
7	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES	
8	Victor Kutsar 24 37	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

					4
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS			
2					
3	STA	AFF EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADT	
4	1	Driver/Vehicle Examination	9	14	
5		Report			
6	2	Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture	20	24	
7	3	Notice of Preliminary Determination	21	24	
8		Determination			
9	RES	SPONDENT'S EXHIBITS			
10	1	Text Mesangial Information	35	45	
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
	Ī				

5 1 Tuesday Morning, 2 August 22, 2023. 3 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Good morning, 5 everyone. Thanks for being here. It's about 6 10:03 A.M. My name is Jesse Davis, I am the 7 Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to hear this case. 8 9 At this time and place the Public 10 Utilities Commission of Ohio as set for hearing 11 Case No. 22-570-TR-CVF which is captioned In the 12 Matter of Victor Kutsar, dba Emerson Trucking, 13 LLC, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to 14 Assess Forfeiture. 15 So just to get started we are going 16 to take appearances starting with Staff. 17 MS. WNEK: Ashley Wnek, from the 18 Attorney General's office, representing the 19 Staff of the Public Utilities Commission, 30 20 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. 2.1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. And, 22 Mr. Kutsar, if you could just state and spell 23 your name and your address. 24 MR. KUTSAR: Vector Kutsar, 758 25 Grayton Road, Berea, Ohio 44017.

much. And, Staff, you can begin.

2.1

MS. WNEK: Thank you, your Honor.

On behalf of the transportation Staff of the

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Dave Yost,

Ohio Attorney General; John Jones, Section Chief

of the Public Utilities Commission, and we

intend to show that the Respondent, Mr. Kutsar,

violated Code Section 49 CF 392.80(a).

We will show today that he was texting while driving with the testimony of Inspector Bauer. And the inspection as well as the report, it was Level 3 inspection, and through Mr. Bauer's testimony we will be able to demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that this code section was violated and Mr. Kutsar should be assessed the appropriate forfeiture.

And at this time, your Honor, I would like to call Inspector Bauer to the stand.

(WITNESS SWORN)

2.2

INSPECTOR DREW BAUER

called as a witness, being first duly sworn,

testified as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 By Ms. Wnek:

1

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Please state your full name for the record.
- 5 A. Drew Bauer.
- Q. And where were you employed at the time of the incident in question?
  - A. The Ohio State Highway Patrol.
  - Q. Thank you. Where are you employed now?
- 11 A. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.
  - Q. And moving back to your position with the Highway Patrol, what were your duties and responsibilities?
  - A. I was a Motor Carrier Enforcement Inspector attached to roadside inspections, passenger vehicle inspections, educate the motorist public on traffic laws and motor carrier regulations.
- Q. What training did you have in that area?
- A. Went through all the NAIC, North

  America Instructor Courses, Part A, Part B,

  passenger vehicle, general hazmat, as well as my

- continuing education throughout my tenure at the Highway Patrol.
- Q. Thank you. Are motor carrier inspections conducted to protect the safety of Ohio's people traveling through Ohio?
  - A. They are.
- Q. How many inspections did you perform on average a year when you were with the Patrol?
  - A. Approximately a thousand per year.
- Q. And for this particular inspection did you complete an examination report?
- 12 A. I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. And I want to direct your attention to March 15th, 2022.
- MS. WNEK: And, your Honor, if I may pass out the exhibits at this time.
- 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may.
- 18 Q. And directing you to March 15th,
- 19 2022. Were you on duty that day?
- 20 A. I was.
- Q. Do you recall your job assignment and duties and responsibilities on that date?
- 23 A. I do.
- Q. Thank you. On that day did you have an opportunity to inspect a vehicle that was

being driven and operated by Mr. Kutsar?

A. I did.

1

2

5

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

- Q. Thank you. And do you have an independent recollection of that?
  - A. I do.
- 6 Q. Thank you.

7 MS. WNEK: And as we mentioned I 8 handed Mr. Bauer Staff Exhibit 1.

- Q. And moving toward that, what level of inspection was conducted on March 15th?
- A. A Level 3.
- 12 Q. And could you describe that?
  - A. Traveling north on 71 I observed a bobtail tractor-trailer's right tires crossing the right line of the highway. I parked the vehicle on the left side and peered up into the window and observed the driver manipulating a cell phone with his right hand that was mounted on the windshield of the tractor-trailer.
- Q. Do you recognize that driver in the room today.
  - A. I do.
- Q. Could you please identify him for the record?
- 25 A. (Indicating).

- Q. Thank you. Could you explain what happened next after you made that identification?
- My driver interview, I cannot 4 5 remember if I did a passenger or driver's side approach, but made my introduction and viewed 6 7 cell phone in the windshield mounted in the cell 8 phone holder still with an open text message 9 that had a name Jacob Trans and an unfinished 10 text message. And looking at my notes it had 11 said "Will be ready" but appeared to be 12 incomplete and not sent.
  - Q. Thank you. And moving back to the document, the inspection, Staff's first exhibit, is this document a Commission record?
- 16 A. Yes.

2

3

13

14

- Q. Thank you. And is it kept in the ordinary course of business?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Is it the practice of the Commission to make a report such as this?
- 22 A. It is.
- Q. Did you make a report for all inspections even if there is no violation?
- 25 A. I did.

- Q. Is the information in this document substantially the same as when you prepared it?
  - A. It is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

- Q. Thank you. And why did you produce this report on an inspection of the motor vehicle owned by Mr. Kutsar?
- A. The reason was an obvious for the driver manipulating a cell phone while operating a commercial motor vehicle.
- Q. Thank you. Did Mr. Kutsar get a copy of the report at the stop?
- 12 A. He did.
  - Q. Did it differ in any way?
- 14 A. It did not.
  - Q. Thank you. Did the driver have appropriate FMCSA status?
  - A. He did.
- Q. I have no further questions unless
  there is anything you feel that you need to
  share at this time. And I would like to move
  Staff's first exhibit into the record, please.
- 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just to make 23 clear, so in terms of marking your exhibits, the 24 Examination Report is Staff Exhibit 1. You 25 marked this letter as 2 and then --

```
1
                 MS. WNEK: I marked them how we
2
     would be entering them.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just checking.
    Mr. Kutsar, do you have any objections to
 4
 5
     the admission of this report into evidence?
                 MR. KUTSAR: Yes, your Honor. I
 6
7
    mean, I noticed something. First I would like
8
     to apologize, I have no experience in this
9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                     That is okey.
10
                 MR. KUTSAR:
                              The reason I -- I don't
11
     have an attorney because the one I did hire
12
     passed away and I thought they were going to
13
     transfer my case to different lawyers in the
14
     office, but apparently not.
15
                 And when this thing came along again
16
     I thought -- I made some phone calls there and
17
     it would too late and I wanted to resolve this,
18
     and I just thought I would just come in myself.
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
20
     understand.
2.1
                 MR. KUTSAR:
                              What I am noticing
22
    here, that the statement that the officer wrote
23
    here says, the last sentence here, "Driver said
24
     that people text and drive all the time." And I
25
     was upset, and was upset, he was writing a
```

violation.

2.1

That kind of statement, I mean, conversation that took place. I never said those kind of words. I would never say something like that, honestly.

And if I am -- I was testing my truck because it was down for -- I was down, you know, for about three months or so. And at the same time I also received a transponder and I was told by some people that it's activated, some says no, it's not activated so I took it to the Turnpike. So I am testing my truck, it was after repairs also.

Also that testing my transponder and did and activate again and everything is fine.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sir, I am sorry, but is this related to the statement on this document? Otherwise I can certainly hear all of this when you take the stand. I just didn't want to do things out of order.

But in terms of this document, your objection is on the grounds that this statement, you actually didn't say this statement.

MR. KUTSAR: This never took place.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I understand.

So the objection is going to be overruled. 1 2 will be admitted, but the Commission will give this document the weight it's due. So, you 3 know, you are on the record disputing that 4 5 statement in this document, but otherwise it 6 will still be given the weight it's due by 7 the Commission when they make their decision. So it's admitted. Thank you. 8 9 MR. KUTSAR: Okay. 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So this will be 11 admitted into evidence. 12 MS. WNEK: Thank you, your Honor. 13 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO 14 EVIDENCE) 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Now, this is now 16 your opportunity if you would like to cross this 17 witness. So, any questions you have from about 18 what he just said, or again, about what he said 19 about this document, you can ask him those 20 questions now. And that will be part of your 2.1 case. 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION 23 By Mr. Kutsar: 24 Sir, you are stating that you did Ο. 25 perform the Level 3 inspection?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. How? 3 Driver interview, verifying that the Α. license is valid, that you were not prohibited 4 5 through Drug and Alcohol Clearinghouse. Level 3 6 would also consist of markings, general 7 markings, your registration, and all the other 8 things that are checked during a Level 3 9 inspection. 10 Did I give you my registration also? Q. 11 From my recollection you did. Α. 12 MR. KUTSAR: That is it. 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. You 14 can excused. Thank you. 15 MS. WNEK: Your Honor, I would like 16 to call my second witness, but if Mr. Kutsar 17 wanted to testify now, I don't mind. 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You can proceed 19 with your second witness, please. 20 MS. WNEK: Thank you. I will call 2.1 Mr. Brad Long with the Public Utilities 2.2 Commission. 23 (WITNESS SWORN)

BRADLEY LONG

24

called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
testified as follows:

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

4 By Ms. Wnek:

3

8

15

- 5 Q. Please state your name for the record.
- 7 A. It is Bradley Long.
  - Q. Thank you. Are you employed?
- 9 A. Public Utilities Commission
- 10 Transportation Division.
- 11 Q. Thank you. And what is your 12 position there?
- A. I am Assistant Chief of the Compliance Section.
  - Q. What are your duties in that position?
- A. Duties are to oversee the Compliance

  Section, compliance officers, to shepherd cases

  from the conference status through to the

  hearing status. I oversee the letters that get

  sent out, mailed out, and assist the Chief with

  other duties as needed.
- Q. Thank you. What are your qualifications in the violations that are the subject matter of the violations?

A. I was 24 and a half years on the road as a State Highway Patrol Trooper, 26 years total time with the Highway Patrol.

2.1

During that time I spent 10 years with crash reconstruction and analysis unit where I attended several training courses on commercial vehicles, commercial braking systems, ECM modules, log books.

After the ten years in crash reconstruction analysis unit I got transferred to the Licensing and Commercial Standards Unit where I obtained certifications for North American Standards Part A, B, hazmat, cargo tank, other hazmat, bulk, school bus, motor coach inspections.

I left the Highway Patrol to join the Public Utilities Commission in 2019 where I spent six months on the job training for my current position and learned the Sales Force program.

- Q. Thank you. Could you describe how a civil forfeiture is calculated for violations of the National Motor Carrier Safety regulations covered in the course of roadside inspections?
  - A. Absolutely. So when an inspector

does a motor vehicle inspection they have to upload that information into a program called Aspen. Aspen is then uploaded to the Federal website and it comes back down into our Safetynet system.

2.1

2.2

Sales Force then pulls that data straight out of the Safetynet system just as it looks like that on your inspection report. And it takes these violations and it does -- if it's a nonhazmat violation or nonhazmat inspection, which this is, because you are not hauling hazmat, it pulls that data and breaks down the violations into groups.

So there is Group Zero -- and those groups are based as designed essentially around the likelihood of causing a crash, or leading to a crash.

So Group Zero never has a fine.

It doesn't matter if they are an out of service violation or if they are marked out of service,

Group Zeros never have a fine. That means the likelihood of those violations resulting in a crash is very, very limited. Groups 1 through 3 will only receive a fine if the inspector marks those violations as out of service. So like

flat tire would put you out of service. You know, a brake light being stuck on your trailer, that would put you out of service. Those are out of service violations and they are marked, they would receive a fine.

2.1

2.2

Now, an equipment violation, one of those 1 through 3, let's say ID light above your tractor, it's not an out of service violation so you will never receive a violation fine for that.

Group 4 violations will always receive a fine. And those violations are driver behavior, driver actions, authority violations, UCR, things like those. Those are always going to receive a fine.

So when the system processes these violations it breaks down those violations and finds out what that violation is and puts it into a group. So in this case that driving while texting or driving while operating a cell phone, those are Group 4 violations.

The system recognizes that, brings it into the Group 4 population where it's applied a fine. That fine is uniformly applied, doesn't matter who gets it, doesn't matter what

driver, out of what company or where you are from, it's always going to be that same value.

2.1

2.2

It's uniformly applied. Those violations and fees are based on recommended fine structure from the CVSA, Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance. So all those fines are based on those recommendations. So everybody gets those and they are standard, uniform across the board.

MS. WNEK: Thank you. Your Honor, may I approach the witness with Exhibit 2?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may.

MS. WNEK: Thank you.

- Q. Do you recognize that document?
- A. I absolutely do.
- Q. Thank you. And could you explain what notices are required to be sent to the Respondent?
- A. So once that system processes the violation and it calculates the forfeiture, the very first notice that gets sent out to the driver or the carrier is called an NIF letter is what we call it.
- It's a Notice of Apparent Violation
  with Intent to Assess Forfeiture. That is your

first notification saying, hey, you have been made aware of this violation, it does get a fine, we are advising you of this fine.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

23

And inside this letter it explains your options. Either pay the fine in full or request a conference. And you took part in that process. So, that is the first part, that NIF letter.

- Q. Thank you. And what is the date on that letter?
- 11 A. This one was dated March 17th of 2022.
  - Q. Based on your review of the file in this case did the Respondent receive all the required notices?
- A. There is no indication that I am
  aware of that anything was returned as
  undeliverable.

MS. WNEK: Thank you. Your Honor,
may I approach the witness with Staff Exhibit 3?

21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may.

MS. WNEK: Thank you.

- Q. Do you recognize this document?
- A. I absolutely do.
- Q. Could you explain what that document

is?

2.1

A. This is what we call an NPD letter, a Notice of Preliminary Determination. This is the very last letter that you get sent only after you have had a conference. So you go through the conference procedure with a compliance officer, that I oversee. If things get worked out, a reduction or fees issued, then you are generated a settlement letter.

The only time that you get an NPD letter after a conference is if you either cannot come to some kind of an agreement, you wish to proceed to the administrative hearing level, which we are at right now, or you can't come to any kind -- you can't provide any kind of evidence to remove or resolve either that violation or you don't get into compliance, then no offer of settlement is made. So you are agreeing to the original amount.

So there is two times you will get that NPD letter. You agree to the original amount at conference, or you wish to proceed to the administrative hearing level, which this was done in this case.

Q. Thank you. And was the NPD that you

```
23
     described sent to the Respondent?
 1
 2
            Α.
                 Yes.
 3
                 Thank you. And is this Commission
            Ο.
     record public?
 4
 5
            Α.
                 Yes, it is.
                 And is it kept in the ordinary
 6
            Ο.
 7
     course of business?
 8
            Α.
                 It absolutely is.
 9
            Ο.
                 Thank you. And can you explain how
     the civil forfeiture was derived here? Actually
10
11
     no, take that back.
12
                 MS. WNEK: I have no further
13
     questions at this time. I would like to reserve
14
    Mr. Long for rebuttal.
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Kutsar, do
     you have any questions for this witness?
16
17
                 MR. KUTSAR: No.
18
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
19
                 MS. WNEK: Your Honor, at this time
20
     I would like to move Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 into
2.1
     the record and make that official again, if it's
2.2
     not already.
23
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We admitted 1.
24
     So, Mr. Kutsar, do you have objections to
25
     admission of Exhibits 2 and 3, Staff's exhibits?
```

```
24
     They are just letters.
 1
 2
                 MR. KUTSAR: No.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Then Staff's
     Exhibit 2 and Staff's Exhibit 3 are also
 4
     admitted.
 5
 6
                 (EXHIBITS HEREBY ADMITTED INTO
 7
     EVIDENCE)
 8
                 MS. WNEK: And at this time, Mr.
 9
     Kutsar, are you interested in explaining why you
10
     don't agree with this violation?
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And your case
12
     is set then?
13
                 MS. WNEK: Actually I will speak
14
     with -- your Honor, I think we will rest at this
     time. We believe based on what we have shown
15
     you through the documents in the record as well
16
17
     as the testimony that Mr. Kutsar has committed
18
     this violation in question.
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr.
20
     Kutsar, this is your chance to present your
2.1
     case. If you would like to come up to the
2.2
     stand.
23
                 (WITNESS SWORN)
24
                      VICTOR KUTSAR
25
     called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
```

testified as follows:

2.1

2.2

# DIRECT TESTIMONY

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Before you begin your testimony I would just ask you to state and spell your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Vector, V-I-C-T-O-R K-U-T-S-A-R. 758 Grayton Road, Berea, Ohio 44017.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you very much. You can proceed with your testimony.

THE WITNESS: As I was saying, that my truck was down for about three months or so.

And was under repairs and also through Christmas vacation, stuff like that.

But, I was ready to go to work and made a phone call to Jacob who lines up loads for me. And in the mean time I decided to, you know, test my truck after the repairs.

Also at the same time I did receive a transponder that I never had one before and in combination pretest for Easy Pass. So I didn't know how it would work on the Turnpike.

I was told that it needs to be activated, some say no, so I just decided at the same time do these checks.

My phone also was malfunctioning and like, it was an older phone, and the screen would not come on right away, you know, tap once, twice, you know. Not always the same. It was doing all different.

2.1

And also my GPS was glitching and it would freeze up right in the middle of following directions. It happened to me. I am like -- so, when I got off the Turnpike I got on the 71 going back to the yard and then I remembered, well, I should check my, you know, GPS as well.

Before I left the house -- with

Jacob they have like a policy, like if you make
a phone call then you have to follow up with a

text message. I made a phone call, started

texting, got interrupted, maybe my wife, I don't

remember what happened, why I stopped texting at

that time. So, then I left.

When -- I decided to turn it back on and check my GPS even though I was getting close, just before you get off the freeway.

I decided to turn it back on, turn the GPS on and see what it's doing because still had a little time to take it to Verizon because I am using GPS a lot.

That is when the whole thing happened, you know, I was observed by the officer. Pulled over because of that. And I didn't know exactly, I mean, I saw his letting me know. So I pulled over.

2.1

Officer ran to my truck, jumped on the right passenger side. I am sorry, I have to say, but almost fell off the truck while climbing and jumped in it. Right away looks at my phone. Well, my phone did finally -- when all that happened and my phone came on, I am going to open up, you know. And if you look at this now, you know, this screen it was previously on, you know, open that is what -- I said coincidence, you know.

And when he jumped in my truck and asked me why am I texting, well, you were texting. Sir, I am not texting, just trying to explain myself. He isn't listening to me. Then it took a second and says what were you doing? I said I was trying to bring my GPS on. Where do you live? I said, well, not far from here. So you need a GPS to get home?

 $$\operatorname{I}$$  felt humiliated. He laughed at me, he was laughing at me, really. And he

```
didn't want to listen to me. He said you did

it, I got you. There was no inspection like --

he just took my, you know, driver's license, you

know. I don't remember giving my registration.

I don't remember that. And then he came back

and that was it.
```

2.1

And now when I am reading -- when I read this it says driver said that people text and drive all the time. I am sorry, I am sorry. I had my brain together. I mean, I will never -- and if I would do something wrong I would probably turn it off or erase it, something, you know. But I didn't know I was doing something wrong there, you know.

I observed him in the mirror, he was running and I sat there and watched him and everything. And I didn't know that it was a violation to get to my GPS. And then I did take my phone to the Verizon and they said just — they just looked at it, sometimes comes on, sometimes it doesn't. It's an older phone, you know. Do you want to replace it.

Well, at that time it settled down and I didn't replace the phone at that time.

But shortly after I did, you know.

1 So, and I wrote the letter and 2 everything and nothing seemed like it works. And why, and then, you know, Mr. Hines, Michael 3 Hines, called me the first time, he read this 4 5 and I am like, wait a minute, I never said those 6 words. This is the words actually making 7 everybody doubt that I didn't commit the crime 8 or, I mean, commit -- nothing wrong, you know. 9 And I have been driving for so many 10 years and, you know, this will just -- it's real 11 hard times to survive while you are down. As a 12 matter of fact, it doesn't concern this case, 13 but I was coming home now and I was in Montana 14 and my fuel was siphoned, \$488 and we were under 15 stress, lots of stress. 16 And something like this, I don't 17 think -- if I get this kind of accusation I 18 don't think it's right. That is what I had to 19 talk to you, your Honor. And since you are the 20 Judge. I don't know what to say. I apologize 2.1 for talking this way. 2.2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That is fine. 23 That's what you are here for, to get your --

THE WITNESS: I don't have

24

25

experience at this.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You know, nobody but attorneys do. So, understandable. I have just a couple questions for you just to make sure I have got it clear.

#### EXAMINATION

By the Attorney Examiner:

2.1

- Q. So you used your phone as your GPS, correct, or it's your program on your phone?
- A. Yes, sir. And the officer stated too that it was on the windshield, mounted, and it wasn't in my hand.
  - Q. So you have like a holder that is --
  - A. Yes. And he also observed it.
- Q. So your testimony just so I am clear, you used the phone for the GPS and essentially when you need to see it, say it's running and it's got directions for you, the screen doesn't just stay on the whole time showing that, or just at that point --
- A. When it's in the GPS mode it will stay on, but it was freezing up on me, you know. So at that time when I was pulled over I didn't have it on while, you know, I wanted to bring the GPS on to see if it's going to take me to my yard and it's not got a glitch or anything like

that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

It never happened, but that was the reason because it would freeze up on me, you know, from time-to-time.

- Q. Okay. So it's your testimony that when he approached the vehicle it should have displayed your phone was displaying the GPS screen and not a text message screen?
- 9 Α. No. When I finally opened up --10 when the screen came on it was on the old 11 screen, which was in the texting mode that never 12 was finished, was in a draft or something. 13 I also have a, here, (indicating) from Verizon 14 showing that nothing was sent or received for 15 the inspection.

And I have here handwritten. The phone is on Pacific time. And I just made it, you know, to Eastern time here. Nothing was done.

So, this is the hole part here that, you know, I don't know how else to explain and prove it that I wasn't texting at the moment, you know. When I pulled up the screen, you know, I was in a texting mode and I was just going to get to my GPS. That is all I can say.

I don't know what else to say.

- Q. Do you plan to try to offer that record into evidence or --
  - A. This?
  - Q. Yes.
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. Because if you do there is some things we need to do. And then, of course, Staff will be given an opportunity to object.
  But, if that was your intent, we can do that.
- A. If that is going to help me, you know, I mean.
  - Q. I think anything that you feel would be beneficial to you you should at least attempt to get into the record. That is the best thing for you to do, at least as far as getting a complete record for this case.

So if you would like to do that you would need to testify to that document, you know, explain what that is. I assume you only have one copy of it?

- A. No.
- Q. Did you bring multiple copies?
- A. Yes. I made some for myself too.
- Q. Because you need to provide a copy

```
at least for the court reporter and then a copy
 1
     for Staff, and I assume you would want some time
 2
     to look at that.
 3
                 MS. WNEK: Yes. We would object to
 4
 5
     that being distributed here in court today
 6
     because we have not had a chance to see that,
 7
     and there is no -- it's not been certified to
 8
     our understanding. And we object.
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go off the
10
     record, Mike.
11
                 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)
12
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go back
13
     on the record. So just for the record we had a
    brief discussion off the record about a document
14
15
     that Mr. Kutsar intends to proffer. And you
16
     stated you made make copies of that document?
17
                 THE WITNESS: Yes. So, here.
18
     Second copy I will give to you?
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You have two
20
     total?
```

THE WITNESS: Yes. With this explantation. On this copy I don't have the handwriting. I mean, what I did is convert this to Eastern time and Western time, easier. Three hours difference.

2.1

22

23

24

```
34
 1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I understand
 2
                 THE WITNESS: I don't have it here.
 3
     I am sorry. I didn't know that I am going to
     have this.
 4
 5
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go off
 6
     the record.
 7
                 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)
 8
                 (RECESS TAKEN)
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go back
10
     on the record. We are now back on the record.
11
     Just for again the sake of the record I will
12
     recount that we had a brief recess to copy some
13
     documents.
                 So, Mr. Kutsar, just to kind of lead
14
15
     this, I know this is new territory for you, what
16
     you need to do now is similar to what Inspector
17
     Bauer did earlier with Staff counsel where she
18
     asked him some questions about the document.
19
     You would need to then, obviously without
20
     counsel asking you questions, you will just need
2.1
     to speak, but just kind of state what this is
22
     and, you know, how you acquired it and why you
23
     have it.
24
                 And then after that Staff will
25
     cross-examine you and ask you questions.
                                                 Then
```

we will proceed from there.

2.1

2.2

THE WITNESS: Okay.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: For simplicity sake we will just mark this as Respondent's Exhibit 1.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So what I am going to need you to do is essentially describe this document, yes. Gave its characteristics saying what it is, and again how you acquired it, why you are offering it, what it's meant to show.

THE WITNESS: Yes. So this is a document that was from Verizon system and I got this -- actually my wife helped me out with this. And we went in there and just pulled this information, copied it and made this adjustment here so it's easier to, you know, to be read, and more understandable since the different times. My phone is on Pacific time and we are in Eastern time here. So just made it a little bit easier.

And here it shows that nothing was received or sent out before the inspection was done. There is a mark that I made, something

did come in during the inspection on 5:12

Eastern time P.M.

2.

2.1

2.2

And other than that it was during the inspection. This started at 5:01 and ended on 5:30 P.M.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So now you are subject to cross by Staff. So, Ms. Wnek, do you have any questions?

MS. WNEK: Thank you. I suppose we can begin with this exhibit. I do not see a time zone on this exhibit. Or, I understand other than handwritten time zones.

And so that would be our first objection with that; that these are handwritten time zones. And I also, I mean, even if this was to be a document, you know, demonstrating text message, the date on -- the time on the inspection report is about 5:00 P.M. and 5:01 if I am not mistaken is in 24 hour time.

But I see on this exhibit of
the Respondent that on 3/15 there is a 4:56 P.M.
listed as the time. Now, I understand that that
has been changed to Western time, or that claims
to be transferred to Eastern time, but that is
only -- that is demonstrated only by the

```
handwriting. That is not anything -- that is
1
2
     demonstrated by handwriting, there is no
     indication from Verizon this is an official
 3
     document. And this is something that could
 4
 5
     pretty easily be created on Microsoft Excel
 6
     spreadsheets.
                 And also I wonder even if this were
7
8
     a record from Verizon would we get the same
9
     results if text messages had been deleted within
10
     the phone prior to seeking this report?
11
                 We can delete voice mails and, you
12
     know, get a record of the voice mails on our
13
     phone and that wouldn't be your voice mails sent
     and received by us, they wouldn't be showing on
14
15
     our report like that if it was deleted. So,
16
     there is also that. You know, we believe that to
17
    be the case.
18
                 THE WITNESS: It wasn't what --
19
     whatever was typed there it wasn't deleted, you
20
     know, the officer did observe that.
2.1
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
22
    By Ms. Wnek:
23
                 I am sorry. Could you say that
            Q.
24
     again, please?
```

I am saying officer observed that,

25

Α.

that was typed on, I admit to that. It was typed on but it wasn't typed at that time.

2.1

And it wasn't deleted because, you know, I don't know -- I wrote this just so it would be easier, like I said, I have no experience. That is why maybe, you know, I should have keep my lawyer with me, but he passed away. I am sorry.

- Q. If I could -- I am sorry. I didn't want to interrupt.
- A. I don't want to talk too much. I am sorry.
  - Q. I was going to say, you said it wasn't -- the screen was illuminated, I suppose, why would the screen be illuminated if that wasn't texting at that time? If that is what I just heard you say. I am sorry.
    - A. What?
    - Q. You said, well, the screen was -you mentioned that when you were pulled over by
      Mr. Bauer that I thought I heard you say, well,
      the screen was illuminated, and there was a
      text, but not at that time. Is that my
      understanding from --
- 25 A. The screen was illuminated because I

was trying to bring it on, open the screen.
Name of the screen.

Q. Thank you.

2.1

- A. To get to my second thing, but when it opened it was on the previous screen that I started at home and never finished it.
  - Q. So you were opening it. That is --
- A. I was opening it and, I mean, you can try on your phone right now if you leave it on the text mode and turn it off, you bring it on it's in the text mode, you know.

So all I have to do is switch to the GPS and that is where the whole thing happened.

- Q. As you were opening it, I am sorry, what do you have to do exactly to get to GPS?
  When you say open, can you describe what you physically do on your phone, please?
- A. Yes. You know, tap the phone, open it, GPS.
  - MS. WNEK: Thank you. And I would like the record to demonstrate, Your Honor, that this sort of demonstration is being claimed as what is done, what was done that day, looking at the phone and opening it. Thank you.
    - Q. Could we go back to your position at

Jacob? How long have you been in that role?

2.1

- A. I don't know. I don't remember exactly how long. But we -- the lease agreement, which is through Jacob, and but how long, I don't remember how long I was with these people.
- Q. You began to describe the process that Jacob requires as you are transporting materials for them. Could you sort of go into that step process as you are ready to take a shipment, or how that gets put into place, please?
  - A. It's like this. Like, I call them to tell them that I will be ready, they have some loads for me. And that is it. I don't know what else.
  - Q. I guess, how is your device used?

    How is your telephone, cell phone, how does your cell phone help you with your job? How does that help you, how is that part of the process as you transport materials?
- A. I call them from home, and if they find something they call me back.
  - Q. Okay.
- 25 A. And I have an ear piece in my truck

also that I am using for answering the phone.

- Q. You testified that part of the process was using your phone as a GPS, and then you had to test it if I am not mistaken after that. Is that part of the procedure?
- A. No, no. After phone call, after I make a phone call, I have to follow up with a text message which I started it, I never finished it, at home.

And then while I was going through these tests in my truck and transponder I was going to test my GPS as well. I never got to it because I was pulled over.

- Q. Okay. So but you were pulled over as you were driving?
- A. And the officer says that -- stating that I got across the white line, but, no, no, it's what he says.
- Q. I am not worried about the white
  line. This is not a violation of the white
  line. I am just trying to get a feel for what
  your procedure is.

You mentioned that you were driving
at this point, you were driving because you were
being pulled over as you were doing some checks

on your device trying to get -- you mentioned get the GPS going again is my understanding; right? You were using your device to get the GPS going; is that correct?

- A. Yes, ma'am. GPS is part of my job, you know. And that helps me to get from point A to Point Z.
- MS. WNEK: Your Honor, I would like the record to reflect that Mr. Kutsar has just explained to us that he uses his GPS, uses his phone as he is driving to connect with GPS, from what I understand.
- Q. You mentioned that it freezes on you. What happens when it freezes on you? How do you -- how often --
- A. I have to reboot it to turn it off and turn it back on.
  - Q. And how do you do that?
- A. By turning it off, you know. And
  if, like I said, the phone is on the windshield
  and it's not -- it wasn't in my hand or anything
  like that. And there is nothing I can do, the
  phone wasn't working.
- And I don't know why we are going
  this way, and we -- what walking away from this

statement that the officer mentioned that I wrote here that the driver said that people -- driver said that people text and drive all the time.

Why we don't pay attention to that?

Q. I am sorry. Who doesn't pay attention to that?

2.1

- A. No, you know, we are talking about -- listen, I apologize. I don't know what to say here.
- Q. Did you say that, is that something you would have said?
  - A. No. I don't know whoever said that.
  - Q. Could you state where you were pulled over, about how far that was away from your home?
  - A. It was close to Pearl Road exit, and I get off an exit from that. On Bagley. So maybe 5, 8 miles, maybe less.
  - Q. So is it typical that you would need to use GPS when you are that close to your home?
  - A. No, ma'am. I thought I made myself clear by stating that on the way back home I wanted to test my GPS. And didn't need the GPS to get home, and now I am kind of feeling

- 1 | humiliated again.
- MS. WNEK: Thank you. I don't
- 3 | believe I have anything further at this point.
- 4 Just our understanding that the phone is used in
- 5 | the car to open things up and it freezes and it
- 6 has to open things up. That is our
- 7 understanding.
- 8 Nothing further for this witness,
- 9 your Honor.
- 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. So
- 11 | with that, Mr. Kutsar, if you want you can move
- 12 to admit your exhibit into evidence. And then
- 13 | Staff will be able to tender an objection, I
- 14 | will have to rule on that, and we will go from
- 15 there.
- MR. KUTSAR: I have to admit to
- 17 | what?
- 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: What you do is
- 19 | when you wish for an exhibit like this document
- 20 | you brought you can put it into the record, you
- 21 have to move for admission. So if you would
- 22 | like to do that, you just need to say that, then
- 23 | we will proceed.
- MR. KUTSAR: I would like to do
- 25 that.

45

1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Ms. Wnek, 2 do you wish to enter an objection to the admission of Mr. Kutsar's Exhibit 1? 3 MS. WNEK: We do. Thank you, your 4 5 It's not a document we have seen, there 6 is nothing on there demonstrating it is 7 legitimate. 8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 9 objection is going to be overruled. It's going 10 to be admitted, and it will be given the weight 11 it's due. 12 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE) 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You can step 14 down, Mr. Kutsar. 15 MR. KUTSAR: Thank you, your Honor. 16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Are there any 17 last minute pending matters? 18 MS. WNEK: Nothing, your Honor. We 19 would just like to state that we believe the 20 record speaks for itself in this instance. 2.1 You know, the testimony of Mr. Bauer 22 as well as the testimony of Mr. Kutsar himself, you know, demonstrates that Section 392.80A was 23 24 violated. Nothing further, your Honor. 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.

```
46
     Anything further, Mr. Kutsar?
 1
                 MR. KUTSAR: No, sir.
 2
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you very
     much. Thank you all for being here today. We
 4
     are adjourned.
 5
                 MS. WNEK: Thank you, your Honor.
 6
 7
                 (At 11:10 A.M. the hearing was
     concluded)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on August 22, 2023, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter. 

## This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/13/2023 12:03:04 PM

in

Case No(s). 22-0570-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript August 22nd 2023 In the Matter of Victor Kutsar Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr..