## BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter of the :
Application of Fountain :
Point Solar Energy LLC for:
a Certificate of :
Environmental :

Compatibility and Public : Case No. 21-1231-EL-BGN

Need to Construct a :
Solar-Powered Electric :
Generation Facility in :
Logan County, Ohio. :

## PROCEEDINGS

before Ms. Greta See and Ms. Isabel Marcelletti,
Administrative Law Judges, at the Ohio Power Siting
Board, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-A, Columbus,
Ohio, called at 10:04 a.m. on Tuesday, August 15,
2023.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Dickinson Wright, PLLC
            By Mr. Jonathan R. Secrest,
 3
            Ms. Christine M.T. Pirik,
            Mr. David A. Lockshaw, Jr.,
 4
            and Mr. Matthew C. McDonnell
            180 East Broad Street, Suite 3400
 5
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 6
                 On behalf of the Applicant.
 7
            Ohio Farm Bureau Federation
            By Ms. Leah Curtis
 8
            and Ms. Leah Hetrick
            280 North High Street, 6th Floor
 9
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
                 On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau
10
                 Federation.
11
            McMahon DeGulis LLP
12
            By Mr. Lee A. Slone
            1335 Dublin Road, Suite 216A
13
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
14
                 On behalf of the Logan County Board of
                 County Commissioners.
15
            Logan County Prosecutor's Office
            By Ms. Breanne Parcels,
16
            Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
17
            117 East Columbus Avenue
            Bellefontaine, Ohio 43311
18
                 On behalf of the Rushcreek Township
19
                 Trustees.
20
            Plank Law Firm
            By Mr. Kevin Dunn
21
            411 East Town Street, Floor 2
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
22
                 On behalf of the Citizens Against
2.3
                 Fountain Point LLC.
24
25
```

```
3
 1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
 2
            By Mr. Robert Eubanks,
 3
            Ms. Janet Gregory,
            and Ms. Amy Botschner O'Brien,
            Assistant Attorneys General
 4
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
 5
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 6
                 On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		4	
1	INDEX		
2			
3	WITNESS	PAGE	
4	Charles Larry Mouser	1.0	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Secrest Cross-Examination by Mr. Dunn	13 17	
6	Cross-Examination by Ms. Parcels	18	
	Daniel Vertucci		
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Lockshaw Cross-Examination by Mr. Dunn	23 29	
8	Cross-Examination by Ms. Parcels	46	
	Examination by ALJ See	50	
9	To alien Mantin		
10	Jaclyn Martin Direct Examination by Mr. Secrest	53	
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Dunn	55	
11	Cross-Examination by Ms. Parcels	58	
12	Redirect Examination by Mr. Secrest	63	
12	JoAnne J. Blank		
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Lockshaw Examination by ALJ See	66 69	
14	Examination by Alb See	0 9	
	John T. Henning		
15	Direct Examination by Mr. Secrest	72	
	Cross-Examination by Ms. Parcels	73	
16	Cross-Examination by Mr. Eubanks	78	
17	Geoffrey Patterson		
1.0	Direct Examination by Mr. Secrest	84	
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Dunn	86	
19	Cross-Examination by Ms. Parcels Examination by ALJ See	88 90	
20	David G. Loomis, Ph.D.		
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Secrest	93	
21	Examination by ALJ See	95	
22	Richard C. Kirkland, Jr., MAI		
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Lockshaw	98	
<b>43</b>	Andrew Conway		
24	Direct Examination by Ms. Gregory	112	
	Cross-Examination by Ms. Parcels	114	
25	Redirect Examination by Ms. Gregory	117	

				5
1		INDEX (Continued	)	
2				
3	WITI	NESS		PAGE
4		s Stottsberry		100
5		irect Examination by Mr. Eubank ross-Examination by Ms. Parcels		120 121
6		ross-Examination by Mr. Dunn ross-Examination by Mr. Slone		128 133
		xamination by ALJ Marcelletti		137
7 8	מס ע	 LICANT EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	V DWIMMED
9	1	Application Filed on April 11, 2022	29	52
10	2	Supplement to the Application	29	52
11		Filed on May 16, 2022		0.1
12 13	3	Second Supplement to the Application Filed on August 23, 2022	29	52
14	4		29	52
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	5	Response to Second Data Request filed on May 13, 2022	29	52
17	6	Response to Third Data Request Filed May 20, 2022	29	52
18	7	Response to Fourth Data	29	52
19		Request filed on June 3, 2022		
20	8	Response to Fifth Data Request Filed on July 8, 2022	29	52
21	9	Supplemental Response to Third	29	52
22		Data Request filed on August 9 2022		<i>-</i> 2
23	10	Response to Sixth Data Request	29	52
24	-	Filed on October 20, 2022		
25				

				1
				6
1		INDEX (Continued)		
2				
3	APP]	LICANT EXHIBITS IDEN	TIFIED	ADMITTED
4 5	11	Response to Seventh Data Request Filed on November 14, 2022	29	52
5	12	Response to Eighth Data Request Filed on November 18, 2022	29	52
7	13	Response to Ninth Data Request Filed on November 22, 2022	29	52
<ul><li>8</li><li>9</li><li>10</li></ul>	14	Supplemental Response to Ninth Data Request Filed on November 28, 2022	29	52
11	15	Response to Tenth Data Request Filed on November 28, 2022	29	52
<ul><li>12</li><li>13</li><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	16	Certificate of Service of the January 12, 2022, Public Information Meeting, Notice on Property Owners and Entities Filed on December 28, 2021, in Accordance with Ohio Adm. Code 4906-3-03	29	52
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li><li>19</li></ul>	17	Proof of Publication of the January 12, 2022, Public Information Meeting in the Bellefontaine Examiner Filed on January 10, 2022, in Accordance with Ohio Adm. Code 4906-3-03	29	52
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	18	Certificate of Service of the Accepted, Complete Application on Local Public Officials and Libraries Filed on August 25, 2022, in Accordance with Ohio Adm. Code 4906-3-07	29	52
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>				

				7
1		INDEX (Continued	1)	
2				
3	APPI	LICANT EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4 5	19	Proof of Service and Proof of Publication in the	29	52
5		Bellefontaine Examiner of the Procedural Schedule, in Accordance with the ALJ's		
7		September 15, 2022, Entry, Filed on October 24, 2022		
8	20	Proof of Second Service and Proof of Second Publication in	29	52
9		the Bellefontaine Examiner of the Procedural Schedule, in		
10		Accordance with the ALJ's September 15, 2022, Entry,		
11		Filed on December 12, 2022		
12 13	21	Direct Testimony of Daniel Vertucci	25	52
14	22	Direct Testimony of Jaclyn Martin	54	64
15	23	Direct Testimony of Ryan J. Peterson	101	102
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	24	Direct Testimony of JoAnne J. Blank	67	71
18	25	Direct Testimony of John T. Henning	73	83
19		-		
20	26	Direct Testimony of Geoffrey Patterson	84	92
21	27	Direct Testimony of Michael Hankard	101	102
22	28	Direct Testimony of David G. Loomis, Ph.D.	94	97
24	29	Direct Testimony of Richard C. Kirkland	99	101
25				

					8
1		INDEX	(Continued	)	
2					
3	APPI	LICANT EXHIBITS		IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4	30	Direct Testimony of Matthew LaCount		101	102
5 6	31	Letter from Trustee Mouser to the OPSB	Larry	14	19
7					
8	IWOT	NSHIP EXHIBITS		IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
9	1	Direct Testimony of	Doug Lyons	105	106
10	2	<u> </u>		105	106
11		Chad Shafer			
12	CAFI	P EXHIBITS		IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
13	1	Direct Testimony of Paul Schaller		107	111
14 15	2	Direct Testimony of Kara Slonecker		107	111
16	3	Direct Testimony of Cliff Cronkleton		107	111
17 18	4	Direct Testimony of Alyssa Rice		107	111
19					
20	STAI	FF EXHIBITS		IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
21	1	Staff Report of Inve	estigation	108	111
22	2	Prefiled Testimony of Mark Bellamy	of	109	111
23	3	Prefiled Testimony o	) f	109	111
24	)	Thomas J. Crawford,		100	<u> </u>
25					

				9
1		INDEX (Continued	l)	
2				
3	STA	FF EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4	4	Prefiled Testimony of Grant Zeto	109	111
5	5	Prefiled Testimony of Matthew Butler	109	111
7	6	Prefiled Testimony of Eric Morrison	109	111
8 9	7	Prefiled Testimony of Andrew Conway	113	119
10	8	Prefiled Testimony of Jess Stottsberry	120	138
11				
12	JOI	NT EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
<ul><li>13</li><li>14</li></ul>	1	The July 24, 2023, Stipulation Signed on Behalf of the Applicant and the OFBF	29	52
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Tuesday Morning Session,

August 15, 2023.

2.1

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Good morning. The Ohio Power Siting Board has scheduled for hearing at this time and place Case No. 21-1231-EL-BGN which is captioned in the Matter of the Application of Fountain Point Solar Energy LLC for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need to Construct a Solar-Powered Electric Generation Facility in Logan County, Ohio.

My name is Izzy Marcelletti and with me is Greta See. Together we are the Board's Administrative Law Judges assigned to hear this case. At this time I would like to take appearances on behalf of the parties. We'll start with the Applicant, and we'll just work our way down.

MR. SECREST: Good morning, your Honors.
On behalf of the Applicant Fountain Point Solar
Energy LLC, Jonathan Secrest, with me David Lockshaw,
Christine Pirik, and Matthew McDonnell. We are all
with the law firm Dickinson Wright, 180 East Broad
Street, 34th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you.

25 Staff.

1 MR. EUBANKS: Good morning, your Honor. 2 Robert Eubanks and Janet Gregory, the Attorney General's Office, 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, 3 Ohio 43215, 26th Floor. 4 5 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. Rushcreek. 6 7 MS. PARCELS: For Rushcreek Township, 8 Breanne Parcels, Assistant Logan County Prosecutor, 9 with the Logan County Prosecutor's Office, 117 East 10 Columbus Avenue, Bellefontaine, Ohio. 11 MR. DUNN: For the Citizens Against 12 Fountain Point LLC, Intervenors, Kevin Dunn, Plank 13 Law Firm, 411 East Town Street, Columbus, Ohio. 14 MR. SLONE: Good morning, your Honors. 15 Lee Slone with McMahon DeGulis on behalf of the Logan County Board of Commissioners, address 1335 Dublin 16 17 Road, Suite 216A, Columbus, 43215. 18 MS. CURTIS: Good morning, your Honors. Leah Curtis and Leah Hetrick on behalf of the Ohio 19 20 Farm Bureau Federation, 250 North High Street, 2.1 Columbus, Ohio 43215. 22 ALJ MARCELLETTI: I think we are going to 23 have you get a mic, Ms. Curtis. Thank you. 24 And that is everyone. I would also like 25 to note I note a few members of the public have

12 joined us today. Thank you for attending. 1 2 Are there any preliminary matters we need 3 to discuss on record? Hearing none, we will let Applicant 4 5 begin. MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honor. May 6 7 the Applicant call Larry Mouser? 8 MR. MOUSE: Here. 9 ALJ SEE: Up here at the witness stand, 10 Mr. Mouser. 11 ALJ MARCELLETTI: You'll also want to 12 press that big button so we can hear you. 13 ALJ SEE: Before you get seated, Mr. Mouser, please raise your right hand. 14 15 (Witness sworn.) 16 ALJ SEE: Thank you. Have a seat. Sir, 17 please cut your mic on. Thank you. 18 MR. SECREST: May I proceed, your Honor? 19 ALJ SEE: Yes, you may. 20 2.1 22

25

23

## CHARLES LARRY MOUSER

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 By Mr. Secrest:

1

4

6

8

9

10

11

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Mouser. How are you?
- 7 A. Fine.
  - Q. My name is Jon Secrest. As you may have just heard, I represent the Applicant in these proceedings. Will you please state and spell your full name for the record?
    - A. Charles Larry Mouser, that's M-O-U-S-E-R.
- Q. Thank you very much. Do you hold any elected positions?
- 15 A. Yes, I do. I'm the Township Trustee for Bokescreek Township.
- Q. How long have you been a Township
  Trustee?
- A. I'm going on I think my eighth year.
- Q. Thank you. How long have you lived in Bokescreek?
- A. All my life except for five years which would be about 68 years.
- Q. Thank you. How many other Trustees are there currently?

- A. Two.
- Q. Are any of the Trustees participants in the project?
  - A. Yes, they are, both of them.

5 MR. SECREST: May I approach the witness,

6 your Honor?

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

ALJ SEE: Yes.

MR. SECREST: Thank you.

And, your Honor, may we move to have this marked as Applicant Exhibit 31?

11 ALJ SEE: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. (By Mr. Secrest) Trustee Mouser, would you please identify the exhibit you have in front of you.
- A. It's a letter to the Fountain Point Solar project stating my feelings about the project.
- Q. Is that a letter that you submitted to the Ohio Power Siting Board?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And what are your feelings on the project?
- A. My feelings is that this is not a project that is going to replace any of our other energy that we have in our country, but it's going to be part of

- a big picture, and it's going to play a major role because our country's wanting to go green with all the electric cars that are soon to be produced.

  We're going to have to have more energy, and I feel that it is important in our community that we play a big part in -- in that.
  - Q. And do you feel that's important personally and professionally?
    - A. Yes, I do.

2.1

- Q. And what I mean by that is you personally believe it's going to be beneficial. Do you believe that as a Trustee as well?
- A. I think it will also, you know, help the community in the fact that the revenue that will bring into the School District, the County, and the Township, I think it's estimated somewhere over \$2 million a year. Whereas, by that happening, for instance, schools -- I was on a School Board for 30 some years, and I know what it's like to balance budgets. I know what it's like to go to the voters to ask for more money. But I think -- I may be wrong, a little bit off, but I think, for instance, Ben Logan School would get about 1.2 million per year and -- and it will help to educate our kids.

And it's not just the landowners that

- have part of -- lease in this, but it's all the people in the community.
- Q. Thank you. And that letter you submitted, that was November of 2022?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. So I take it from your comments today, as you sit here today, you still support --
  - A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. -- the Fountain Point project?
- A. Yes, I fully support this. I don't have any ground in this project, but the big thing I see is -- is the people that do have it can't begin to gross the amount of dollars that's involved in each acre; and, therefore, we are all, you know, trying to make a living, and I fully support those that have, you know, leased the ground to Invenergy.

MR. SECREST: Thank you, Trustee Mouser.

I have no further questions. Some of the other

counsel may have questions for you.

ALJ SEE: Counsel for Ohio Farm Bureau, any questions?

MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ SEE: Mr. Slone?

MR. SLONE: No, your Honor. Thank you.

ALJ SEE: Ms. Parcels? Oh, I'm sorry,

Proceedings 17 1 Mr. Dunn. Skipped right over you. 2 MR. DUNN: Yes, your Honor, I do have a 3 few questions. ALJ SEE: Go ahead. 4 5 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION By Mr. Dunn: 7 8 Ο. Mr. Mouser, your letter states you are a 9 Township Trustee, correct? 10 Α. Yes. But two of the three Trustees have been 11 Ο. 12 conflicted out of the project; is that correct? 13 Α. Correct. 14 As participants in the project? Ο. 15 Α. Yes. So your letter you're speaking as a 16 Ο. 17 personal individual, just as a citizen; is that 18 correct? 19 Correct. Α. 20 Q. Are you currently employed right now? 2.1 Α. I -- I have my own businesses. 22 What business is that?

25 business that we -- which is Mouser & Sons

an elevator, grain elevator, and we have another

Q.

Α.

23

24

I farm. I have a crane business. I have

- Enterprises. We load chicken manure.
- Q. Okay. What type of business is your crane business?
- A. Just setting mainly trusses for buildings.
  - Q. Trusses for buildings?
  - A. Yeah.

6

7

8

9

- Q. Do you have any interest in contracting and finding employment contracts in the construction of the project with your crane business?
- 11 A. No.
- MR. DUNN: No. Okay. No further questions, your Honor.
- 14 ALJ SEE: Ms. Parcels?
- 15 | - -
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 By Ms. Parcels:
- Q. Mr. Mouser, just to be clear, you are speaking as an individual, and the other Trustees never asked you or authorized you to speak on behalf of Bokescreek Township; is that correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- MS. PARCELS: Nothing further, your
- 24 Honors.
- 25 ALJ SEE: On behalf of the Staff?

19 1 MR. EUBANKS: No questions, your Honor. 2 ALJ SEE: Any redirect, Mr. Lockshaw? 3 MR. SECREST: Secrest, your Honor. 4 That's okay. 5 ALJ SEE: Mr. Secrest. 6 MR. SECREST: No, thank you. 7 ALJ SEE: With that, thank you very much, Mr. Mouser. And let me take a brief recess at this 8 9 moment, Mr. Mouser. 10 (Discussion off the record.) ALJ SEE: Let's go back on the record. 11 12 Mr. Secrest, Applicant's next witness? 13 MR. SECREST: Previous to that, your 14 Honor, may we move for the admission of Applicant Exhibit 31? 15 ALJ SEE: Are there any objections to the 16 17 admission of Applicant Exhibit 31? 18 Hearing none, Applicant Exhibit 31 is 19 admitted into the record, and you may call your next 20 witness. 2.1 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 22 MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honor. 23 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, your Honor. 24 The Applicant would like to call Daniel Vertucci. 25 ALJ SEE: Mr. Vertucci, if you would

raise your right hand.

2.1

(Witness sworn.)

ALJ SEE: Thank you. Have a seat and you will need to cut the microphone back on.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honors, before we proceed with this witness, if I may, there is a motion to strike a portion of his written testimony that was just filed three business days ago, so we didn't get to file a written opposition. We're prepared to orally argue that motion, if it pleases the Court.

ALJ SEE: Yes. I am going to need you to speak up a little. That motion was filed by Mr. Slone. Is there anything that you wanted to add, Mr. Slone, before the Company is permitted an opportunity to respond?

MR. SLONE: Nothing to add, your Honor.

ALJ SEE: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Lockshaw.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Lockshaw. Thank you, your Honor. So the basis of the motion is portions of the testimony are allegedly hearsay but that is not correct. And the motion fails for at least five reasons. First, the Commissioners are a party opponent and the statements are admissions by a party opponent and they are not hearsay pursuant to

Evidence Rule 801(d)(2). Therefore, the statements are not prohibited by the rule against hearsay, and they are admissible.

2.1

2.2

Even if the statements were hearsay, which they are not, two exceptions apply. These statements are of the declarant's then exiting state of mind such as their plan and mental feeling which is not excluded by the rule against hearsay pursuant to Evidence Rule 803 Subsection (3).

The statements are also -- they also set forth the activities of a public office and are not excluded by the rule against hearsay under Evidence Rule 803 Subsection (8).

Beyond that the third basis for our opposition is the Rules of Evidence do not strictly apply to these proceedings. The Board can take these statements under consideration and give them due weight.

A fourth basis the Commissioners do not claim any of the statements are untrue. They are all based on Mr. Vertucci's personal knowledge, and he is available to be cross-examined here today.

And, No. 5, there is hearsay in other direct testimony filed in the record such as from the Rushcreek Township Trustees, but the Commissioners

did not move to strike that; and -- and this type of testimony, the type of testimony that's at issue pursuant to the motion, has been allowed by the Board in other proceedings such as the recent Oak Run Solar case which is Case No. 22-549-EL-BGN.

So for all those reasons, especially considering the information is not hearsay or prohibited by the rule against hearsay, the motion should be overruled, and the testimony should not be stricken.

11 Thank you, your Honors.

ALJ SEE: Any reply, Mr. Slone?

MR. SLONE: No, your Honor.

ALJ SEE: Any other comments from

15 | counsel?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Okay. The Bench will take a brief recess to confer. Let's go off the record.

(Recess taken.)

ALJ SEE: Let's go back on the record.

As to the motions to strike portions of Mr. Vertucci's testimony, exactly four portions of the testimony on four pages, that motion to strike will be denied, and the Board will give those portions of Mr. Vertucci's testimony what it finds to be the appropriate weight.

23 1 With that, go ahead with your 2 questioning, Mr. Lockshaw. 3 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you. 4 5 DANIEL VERTUCCI 6 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 9 By Mr. Lockshaw: 10 Mr. Vertucci, would you please state and Q. 11 spell your full name for the record? 12 Α. Daniel Vertucci, V as in Victor 13 E-R-T-U-C-C-I. 14 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you. Your Honors, 15 may I approach the witness? 16 ALJ SEE: Yes. 17 (By Mr. Lockshaw) Mr. Vertucci, do you 18 have in front of you a true and accurate copy of your 19 direct written testimony that was filed in this case? 20 Α. It appears to be, yes. 2.1 Q. Thank you. Do you have any changes, 22 revisions, or clarifications to make to your direct 23 testimony? 24 A. Yes, I do. 25 Q. Go ahead.

On page 2, line 17, "the proposed 1 Α. 2 Fountain Point facility" in the original application 3 included areas within Bokescreek, Perry, and Rushcreek Townships. In a subsequent application --4 5 or subsequent -- supplement to the application, we 6 removed Perry Township and portions of Bokescreek 7 Township. Based on the full review of all the 8 9 information up to this point, we do not plan to 10 operate or construct any project facilities within 11 Rushcreek Township. 12 Q. Is that a commitment that you are making 13 today? 14 Α. Yes, it is. 15 Q. Thank you. Do you have any other revisions, changes, or clarifications? 16 17 Α. I do. 18 ALJ SEE: So excuse me. So what exactly

ALJ SEE: So excuse me. So what exactly is your revision to page 2, line 17?

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

THE WITNESS: Line 17 just states "to develop the proposed...facility in Logan County, Ohio."

ALJ SEE: And you are testifying exactly what?

THE WITNESS: That is correct. Oh, I'm

specifying specifically the Townships within which the project would be developed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

ALJ SEE: Okay. Thank you.

Go ahead, Mr. Lockshaw.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you. I believe the witness said he has one more revision to make.

- A. On page 9, line 23, where it says "65 people offered sworn testimony at the local public hearing," I believe that number should be "71."
- Q. Thank you. Aside from that if the same questions that appear in your direct written testimony were asked of you today, would your answers be the same?
  - A. Yes, they would.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, may we mark

Mr. Vertucci's testimony as Applicant Exhibit 21?

ALJ SEE: The exhibit is so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you. We also have a list of other exhibits that this witness is sponsoring. Would this be a good time to go through that list and have them marked?

ALJ SEE: Yes.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, your Honor.

25 Applicant Exhibit No. 1 is the application filed in

the docket on 4-11-22.

2.1

Exhibit No. 2 is the first supplement to the application filed 5-16-22.

Exhibit 3 is the second supplement to the application --

ALJ SEE: Just a moment, Mr. -- just a moment.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Yes, your Honor.

ALJ SEE: Are you perhaps going through the list of exhibits as they are set forth in the Stipulation?

MR. LOCKSHAW: We have 20 to go through and then there is also -- there has been three of our direct written testimonies that have been stipulated to and cross has been waived so that is what we are -- and the Joint -- we have a Joint Exhibit which is the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation. That's what we were going to go through today.

ALJ SEE: Okay. Go ahead.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you. Starting back with Applicant Exhibit 3, it's the second supplement to the application and that was filed 8-23-22.

Exhibit 4 is response to the first data request filed 5-11-22.

Exhibit 5 is the response to the second

```
data request filed 5-13-22.
```

1

Exhibit 6, response to third data request filed 5-20-22.

Exhibit 7 is the response to the fourth data request filed 6-3-22.

Exhibit 8 is response to fifth data request filed 7-8-22.

Exhibit 9, supplemental response to the third data request filed 8-9-22.

Exhibit 10, response to the sixth data request filed 10-20-22.

Exhibit 11, response to the seventh data response filed November 14, 2022.

Exhibit 12, response to the eighth data request filed 11-18-22.

Exhibit 13, response to the ninth data request filed 11-22-22.

Exhibit 14, supplemental response to the ninth data request filed 11-22 -- excuse me, 11-28-22.

Exhibit 15, response to the tenth data request filed 11-28-22.

Exhibit 16, certificate of service of the
January 12, 2022, public information meeting that was
filed 12-28-21.

Exhibit 17, proof of publication of January 12, 2022, public information meeting in the Bellefontaine Examiner that was filed 1-10-22.

2.1

Exhibit 18 is the certificate of service of accepted complete application on local public officials and libraries filed 8-25-22.

Exhibit 19 is proof of service and proof of publication in the Bellefontaine Examiner of the procedural schedule in accordance with the ALJ's September 15, 2022, entry that was filed 10-24-22.

Exhibit 20 is proof of second service and proof of second publication in the Bellefontaine Examiner of the procedural schedule in accordance with the ALJ's September 15, 2022, entry that was filed 12-12-22.

Mr. Vertucci's direct testimony is Exhibit 21 that was filed 7-24-23.

Joint Exhibit 1 is the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation.

And then we do have those three direct testimonies, Ryan Peterson, Michael Hankard, and Matthew LaCount, that was stipulated -- the admission was stipulated and cross was waived, if this is a good time to mark those.

ALJ SEE: Let's take those up after

29 Mr. Vertucci's testimony. 1 2 MR. LOCKSHAW: Yes, your Honor. Thank 3 you. And with that Mr. Vertucci is available for cross-examination. 4 5 ALJ SEE: Okay. Applicant's Exhibit 1 6 through 20, as identified in the Stipulation, are so marked, and Joint Exhibit 1, the Stipulation filed 7 July 24, is so marked. 8 9 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 10 ALJ SEE: Any cross-examination for this 11 witness, Ms. Curtis? 12 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor. 13 ALJ SEE: Mr. Slone? MR. SLONE: No cross, your Honor. 14 15 ALJ SEE: Mr. Dunn? 16 MR. DUNN: Yes, your Honor, a few 17 questions. 18 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION 20 By Mr. Dunn: 2.1 Mr. Vertucci, how long have you been Ο. 22 employed with Invenergy? 23 Α. I believe it's stated in my testimony, a 24 little over two years. 25 Q. When did you start your employment with

Invenergy? I believe you said two years ago. I am just looking for a month and a year.

- I don't remember the exact date. Α.
- Okay. Your resume attached to your Ο. direct testimony says you left your previous job with ExxonMobil I believe in 2020. Do you know when in 2020 you left ExxonMobil?
  - Α. I would have to guess.
    - Ο. Okay. What's your best guess?
- Α. October.
- 11 Q. October of 2020? Was there a gap in 12 employment between ExxonMobil and Invenergy?
- 13 Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

- So was there a period of time you were Ο. 15 unemployed between the two, or did you work somewhere else?
- 17 I was unemployed. Α.
- 18 Okay. How is Fountain Point Solar Energy Q. 19 LLC affiliated with Invenergy LLC?
- 20 I guess I'm not incredibly versed in the Α. 2.1 subsidiary structure, but my understanding is it is a 22 subsidiary.
- 23 Is it a wholly-owned subsidiary? Q.
- 24 Α. I do not know the answer to that 25 correctly.

- Q. Okay. To your knowledge is -- is Fountain Point Solar Energy owned by anyone else besides Invenergy LLC?
  - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. In your time at ExxonMobil, had you ever had any experience in designing, constructing, or permitting solar facilities?
  - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Okay. So you are relatively new to solar when you -- proportionally compared to your work experience?
  - A. That's a qualitative statement.
- Q. Okay. So all of your experience, your work experience, in solar has been just with Invenergy, correct?
- 16 A. For solar specifically, yes.
- Q. Okay. In your time with Invenergy, have you had any direct experience in decommissioning solar facilities?
- 20 A. In the like operational decommissioning?
- Q. In actually closing down or
  decommissioning at the end of the life of a solar
  facility.
- 24 A. In a planning aspect.
- Q. Okay. Planning aspect but not actually

in decommissioning the solar facility?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Okay. In your time employed with

  Invenergy, how many solar facilities has Invenergy
  built?
- A. I do not know that number off the top of my head.
  - Q. You don't know. Okay. How many have you personally been involved with?
- A. I would need to review that. I don't know off the top of my head.
- Q. Okay. So you don't know how many solar facilities you've personally been involved with in planning or permitting?
  - A. I worked numerous projects since I have been employed at Invenergy.
  - Q. Okay. And in all of those projects, you performed the same tasks, the same position as Manager as you did here for Fountain Point?
  - A. Essentially, yes.
- 21 Q. Essentially.
- A. I mean, not every project I have worked has been in the same stage of...
- Q. Okay. But you've been a Manager handling
  the --

A. Yes, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

- Q. -- design? Okay. The glare hazard analysis, that has not been completed yet, correct, for Fountain Point?
- A. There was a glare hazard analysis that was included as an exhibit to the application.
- Q. Okay. And is there going to be an additional -- based on the Stipulations, will there be additional glare analysis?
- 10 A. Based on the Stipulation, that is my understanding.
  - Q. Now, there is portions where the solar panels will be visible from the roadways and adjacent property owners, correct? It's not 100 percent screened.
- 16 A. That is correct.
  - Q. Okay. So the screening that -- the glare analysis, the goal of the glare analysis is to mitigate or minimize the glare, the impact, the visual impact, correct?
- A. There are multiple goals with the glare analysis.
- Q. If you turn to page 9 of your direct testimony, looking at lines 16 to 19, and the question reads "Did you attend the local public"

hearing held on December 14, 2022, and, if so, please describe the hearing?" And the answer is "Yes. On December 14, 2022," and I believe you amended today and revised it to "71 people offered sworn testimony at the local public hearing at the Benjamin Logan High School near the Project Area. The testimony provided was generally in line with feedback and comments that have been received over the course of the entire Application process and Fountain Point's engagement efforts, and has been contemplated, considered, and addressed within the Project design proposed in the Application, as well as the conditions of the Stipulation." Did I read that correctly?

- A. Those lines don't line up with the lines that I have, but I believe you read that paragraph correct.
  - Q. Oh, your lines? Okay. Your lines --
  - A. Yeah.

2.1

Q. I'll note that for the future, my lines will be off for the rest of the testimony.

So could you explain what you mean by the statement "generally in line with feedback and comments that have been received over the course of the entire Application"? What does that mean?

A. Can you be more specific what you're asking?

2.1

- Q. It's your testimony. I am asking what you mean by those words.
- A. Well, I mean, I guess I would use the same words to answer that if I had to now.
- Q. So if I am asking generally in line with feedback and comments that have been received over the course of the entire application process, what are those feedback and comments? What is it parallelling? What is it in agreement with? What feedback and comments have you received? What are you referencing?
- A. Oh, so over the course of the project, we had a public information meeting. We had multiple meetings with various landowners, attended many public hearings, Township meetings, County Commissioner meetings. There were also numerous submissions and public comments on the docket, among others.
- Q. Okay. So in your next question, question 17, you mention a mix of comments both in support and opposition at the -- at the local public hearing.

  Your answer to question 16 specifically identified 71 individuals that provided testimony but here you get

a little less specific and generalized -- generalized the testimony as being a mix in favor and in opposition. Do you have specific details as to how many spoke in support and how many spoke in opposition to the project?

- A. Not in front of me right now.
- Q. No? What's your recollection?
- A. I would have to guess at the number.
- Q. You would have to guess? Okay.
- A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. I mean, I guess let me ask you this, were there more participants giving testimony that spoke in opposition than support?
  - A. Sorry. Can you say that one more time?
- Q. More participants gave testimony that spoke in opposition than in support, correct?
  - A. I believe that's correct, yeah.
- Q. Okay. Where did you get your data from on the 65 and then revised 71 people who gave sworn testimony? Is that from a sign-in sheet or personal notes or minutes?
- A. Combination of personal notes and transcripts.
- Q. Okay. And how long did that December 14 public hearing last?

A. I do not know exactly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. You don't know? You were there; you were present?
  - A. I was there, yeah. It was long.
  - Q. Okay. It was long? If you had to estimate?
    - A. A little over six hours.
  - Q. A little over six hours. Okay. Did you take note that a number of people departed during and after the break that was taken and announcement was made the sign-in sheet could be used to voice opposition or support in lieu of providing testimony?
  - A. I mean, I was there. And there were people coming and leaving throughout.
  - Q. Coming and leaving throughout the meeting? Okay. Do you think a resident's voice or opinion on the project who lives in or close proximity to the project area's footprint should have an equal voice as an individual who lives further away, say at the opposite end of the County?
    - A. Are you asking my personal opinion?
    - Q. Yes, I am asking.
      - A. I think it depends.
    - Q. It depends on what?
- A. A variety of complex considerations

considered holistically.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Q. I guess what's the variety of -- if you could explain further.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, I am going to object. I think the question is vague, and now it's compound.

MR. DUNN: I'll rephrase.

ALJ SEE: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Do you think that equal weight should be given to a resident that lives near or next to or adjacent to the project area as a distant resident?
- A. I think it would depend on what the comment is pertaining.
  - Q. Okay. So it depends on what the opposition or support is about.
  - A. It depends on the topic or the specific comment in the context of the project and design and various other things.
  - Q. Okay. Were you personally involved in negotiating the leases and the purchases of easements?
    - A. Not all of them.
  - Q. Okay. But you are generally familiar with all of them that occurred and any negotiations

that happened?

2.1

- A. Most of the land agreements were signed before I began working on the project.
- Q. Okay. If you could look at question
  No. 18 and my lines will be different so I'll just
  read. You state in your answer "A significant
  reduction of the Project Area, from what was proposed
  in the initial Application filed on April 11, 2022,"
  I'll skip the parentheticals, "The reduction includes
  the elimination of all land from the Project Area
  south of State Route 47, including, but not limited
  to, portions of Bokescreek Township and the entirety
  of Perry Township. These changes were made to
  address feedback received from the community." Did I
  read that correctly?
  - A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Specifically what feedback from the community did the Applicant take into account that resulted in the elimination of that project area that's south of State Route 47?
- A. I believe that's addressed in both the rest of my answer to this question and in the second supplement to the application.
- Q. If you could just explain it to me here so I understand it.

- A. Well, I mean, I don't have a copy of the second supplement right now.
  - Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. But the -- I mean, the remaining bullet points explain.
- Q. Uh-huh. So I understand Invenergy attempted to purchase as easement from a farmer on County Road 8 that was needed to utilize -- to build upon the land south of State Route 47 of the project that was ultimately unsuccessful; is that true?
- A. I do not believe that's an accurate characterization.
- Q. That's not an accurate? Are you familiar with the easement purchase I am referencing?
- A. I mean, you haven't specified a specific parcel or landowner.
- Q. Yeah. I believe it was a farmer whose name was Watkins.
- A. There are potentially multiple parcels that might be owned by somebody with that name.
- Q. So there was some easements that you weren't able to purchase; you did not successfully negotiate a purchase.
- MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, I am going to object. That's vague.

ALJ SEE: Try again, Mr. Dunn.

MR. DUNN: Sure.

- Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Invenergy attempted to negotiate easements south of State Route 47, correct?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. And they were unsuccessful in some of those attempts?
- A. Not every landowner that we negotiated with signed an agreement.
- Q. So that's correct, you were unsuccessful getting some easements that you were attempting to get on the land south of State Route 47, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, did Invenergy's inability to obtain certain easements factor into the decision to remove the land south of State Route 47?
  - A. It was not a determining factor.
- Q. It was not a determining factor, but it was a factor is what you are telling me, right?
- A. It was one of many. It was not a determining factor.
- Q. Okay. When was that decision made? I understand that the -- when the supplement was filed, but when was that decision made to abandon the land south of State Route 47?

A. I don't know how to give you an exact date of when that decision was made other than when the application was filed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.1

22

Q. Okay. And what -- so what would be your earliest estimated date then?

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, I am going to object. It calls for speculation. Basically asking the witness to guess.

MR. DUNN: I am asking for his opinion of when he thinks the decision was made to abandon a large portion of the project.

ALJ SEE: The objection is sustained.

- Q. (By Mr. Dunn) When was the supplement application filed then? When did it officially that we can say they abandoned that land south of State Route 47?
- ALJ SEE: What was the last part?

MR. DUNN: When we can say officially
they decided to -- Invenergy decided to abandon the
land south of State Route 47.

- A. I believe the date it was filed was August 23, 2022.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, Invenergy has not released the landowners from their leases from that land; is that correct?

A. That is an ongoing negotiation, private negotiation with the landowners.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Right. But what I am asking you is have any of the landowners that were previously in the project area that had signed leases, none of them have been released from the leases; is that correct?
  - A. Fully released, I do not believe so.
- Q. And have you received -- has Invenergy received requests to be released from the leases from any of the landowners south of State Route 47?
- A. I have had informal discussions with landowners.
- Q. Informal discussions, okay. Now, you said in your revised testimony today that construction would not occur in Rushcreek and that's some of the areas that are south of State Route 47; is that right?
  - A. I do not believe that's correct.
  - Q. Okay. Could you explain?
- A. I believe the areas that have been previously described in the project within Rushcreek Township are all north.
- Q. Okay. All north. So given that
  Invenergy has not released speaking -- I'm sorry,
  speaking -- let me go back. Speaking of just the

land south of State Route 47, given that Invenergy has not released any of the landowners from their leases, Invenergy could supplement and build upon that land at a later amendment?

2.1

- A. I don't believe those would are related.
- Q. Those two are not related? Okay. Let me ask you this, if Invenergy still holds the leases for the land south of State Route 47 that it's now excluded from the project area, it could later have a Phase 2 amendment and build upon that land with the leases it holds; is that correct?
  - A. Again, I don't see how those are related.
- Q. I am asking you is it possible to -- what is the purpose for not releasing the landowners from their leases if you are not going to use the land south of State Route 47?
- A. There is still potential value to having land rights beyond building or constructing at a specific time frame --
  - Q. And what --
  - A. -- to both us and to the landowners.
- Q. I'm sorry. I spoke over you. What is that value?
  - A. I -- can you be more specific?
- Q. What's the benefit that Invenergy

receives that you just spoke of, there is still value beyond the construction on that?

- A. Yeah. I mean, it affords us numerous rights as detailed in that agreement with the landowner.
- Q. But that land has been specifically excluded from the project area now, correct?
  - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. You have no official government support from any local government in or around the project area, correct?
- A. I believe that depends on how you would interpret that.
  - Q. How do you interpret it? Official government action, no resolutions have been taken by any local municipalities or governments that are in support, correct?
- A. I mean, I am not aware those are binding or required.
  - Q. Oh, you are not aware they are binding or required, okay. But you are aware of no -- no resolutions or support for the project from any local government in or around the project area?
    - A. Resolution, not that I am aware of.

      MR. DUNN: Okay. Thank you. No further

1 questions, your Honor.

2 ALJ SEE: Ms. Parcels?

3

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Ms. Parcels:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Vertucci. So your testimony you amended today to say that the Applicant intends to exclude the parcels -- portions in the project in Rushcreek Township, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. How many parcels is that? Do you know?
  - A. I do not know.
    - Q. How many acres is that? Do you know?
- 15 A. I do not know.
- Q. Does the Applicant intend to file an updated project area map reflecting that exclusion?
  - A. Not at this time.
  - Q. So carrying forward that is your testimony but it is not being amended to reflect the Applicant's intent?
  - A. I mean, the application currently does not specifically prescribe the facilities area.
- Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that that portion of the project in Rushcreek Township is

- bounded somewhat by County Road 12, County Road 26, and the Township line between --
  - A. I would have to look at a map.
- Q. Okay. Between Bokescreek and Rushcreek. When was the decision made to eliminate Rushcreek Township?
  - A. Late yesterday.

2.1

- Q. And going to your testimony on, let's see, I believe it's page -- page 12, down at line 21, you had initially indicated that Mill Creek and West Mansfield's public water system are no longer within or directly adjacent to the project area. And this was before the Rushcreek Township parcels were removed, correct?
- A. That would have been before that, correct.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of the exact location of the Mill Creek watershed?
- A. I am aware of the maps and assessments that were conducted as part of the studies included in the application.
- Q. Do you have a copy of the application there, your Applicant Exhibit 1?
- A. Not in front of me.
- MS. PARCELS: Okay. Well, then I will

1 not ask you a question about it. 2 But I have no further questions for this 3 witness. ALJ SEE: Mr. Eubanks, any questions? 4 5 MR. EUBANKS: No questions, your Honor. 6 ALJ SEE: Any redirect? 7 MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, may we have a brief recess? 8 9 ALJ SEE: Yes. Let's go off the record. 10 (Recess taken.) 11 ALJ SEE: Let's -- let's go back on the 12 record. 13 Go ahead. 14 MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, the Applicant 15 has no redirect. Thank you. ALJ SEE: Okay. Let's go off the record 16 17 for a second. 18 (Discussion off the record.) 19

ALJ SEE: Let's go back on the record.

Mr. Lockshaw.

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, we would like to move some of our exhibits into admission, please.

ALJ SEE: I am not sure the portion regarding any redirect was in the record because at that point we were having trouble with the

```
1
     microphones so --
 2
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Okay.
 3
                 ALJ SEE: -- if you could start there.
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: The Applicant has no
 4
 5
     redirect for this witness.
                 ALJ SEE: Okay.
 6
 7
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: And with that, I would
     like to move for admission into the record
 8
 9
     Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 20 and Mr. Vertucci's
10
     direct testimony which is 21 and Joint Exhibit 1
11
     which is the Stipulation.
12
                 ALJ SEE:
                           Those -- no. Are -- are there
13
     any objections to the admission of Applicant's
14
     Exhibits 1 through 21 and Joint Exhibit 1?
                 I need -- I need an answer from each of
15
16
     the counsel for each of the parties.
17
                 MS. CURTIS: No objections, your Honor.
18
                 ALJ SEE: OFB?
19
                 MR. SLONE: No objections, your Honor.
20
                 MR. DUNN:
                            No objections, your Honor.
2.1
                 MS. PARCELS: No objections, your Honor.
22
     No objections, your Honor.
23
                 MR. EUBANKS: No objection, your Honor.
24
                 ALJ SEE: Okay. Thank you. Just a
25
    moment though.
```

## EXAMINATION

2 By ALJ See:

- Q. Mr. Vertucci, I have a question for you.
- 4 Did I hear you correctly to say that all of
- 5 Rushcreek --
- 6 A. My mic is not turning on now.
- 7 Q. Okay. While we are working on that, I am
- 8 going to ask you to stand up or project or use
- 9 another mic. Mr. Vertucci, clarify for me which
- 10 | Township is no longer part of the Fountain Point
- 11 | project?
- 12 A. So we no longer will construct or operate
- 13 any facilities within Rushcreek Township.
- Q. Okay. And you said that decision was
- 15 | made when?
- 16 A. Late yesterday.
- Q. Okay. And that will be reflected in the
- 18 | final design drawings submitted on the docket?
- 19 A. Yes. Our intent would be to work with
- 20 staff to make sure that our final engineering package
- 21 fully contemplates this commitment.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with Stipulation
- 23 | Condition 15 and 16 of the Joint Exhibit 1
- 24 | Stipulation?
- 25 A. I don't have the full Stipulation setting

in front of me right now but.

2.1

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, we can provide that to the witness if it would be helpful.

ALJ SEE: Please do.

- A. You said 15 and 16?
- Q. Yes. I just want you to clarify your earlier testimony for the Bench regarding the road glare analysis as it impacts roads. Do you recall that portion of your testimony or questioning earlier?
- A. I don't recall if I specifically commented on its impact on roads. I believe I commented on the fact that the glare analysis has been completed and that there were multiple goals of that analysis.
- Q. And would one of those impacts be roads, and will the subsequent study to be submitted to the Board include any impact that the facility would have on nearby roads?
  - A. That is my understanding, yes.
  - Q. Okay.
- MR. LOCKSHAW: And, your Honor, if I may, we do have a witness to testify about the glare studies that will be later in the proceedings.
- 25 ALJ SEE: And who would that witness be?

52 1 MR. LOCKSHAW: JoAnne Blank. 2 ALJ SEE: That question will also be 3 posed to Ms. Blank. With that, thank you very much, 4 5 Mr. Vertucci. And given that there were no objections 6 7 to the admission of Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 21 and Joint Exhibit 1, those documents are admitted 8 into the record. 9 10 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 11 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, you Honor. I 12 will just mention again we do have those three direct 13 testimonies that the admission is stipulated to and cross-examination is waived, if your Honors would 14 15 like us to mark those and move for the admission now, 16 and/or we can do it later, whatever the Bench 17 prefers. 18 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Mr. Lockshaw, let's 19 take those up at the end. 20 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, your Honor. 2.1 ALJ MARCELLETTI: And with that, thank 22 you, Mr. Vertucci. You may step down. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honors. 24 ALJ MARCELLETTI: And, Applicant, you may

25

call your next witness.

53 MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honors. 1 2 May the Applicant call Ms. Jaclyn Martin? 3 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Good morning, Ms. Martin. If you would raise your right hand. 4 5 (Witness sworn.) ALJ MARCELLETTI: Perfect. Thank you. 6 7 You can be seated. 8 MR. SECREST: And may I approach the 9 witness, your Honor? 10 ALJ MARCELLETTI: You may. 11 MR. SECREST: Thank you. 12 And may I proceed, your Honor? 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may. Thank you. 14 15 JACLYN MARTIN being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 16 17 examined and testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION By Mr. Secrest: 19 20 Ms. Martin, would you please state and Q. 2.1 spell your full name for the record? 22 Yes. My name is Jaclyn Martin, last name Α. 23 M-A-R-T-I-N. 24 Thank you. By whom are you employed? Ο. 25 Α. I'm employed by the Stantec Consulting

Services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And do you have a copy of your direct prefiled testimony in front of you?
  - A. I do.
- Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of the direct prefiled testimony?
- A. Yes.

8 MR. SECREST: Your Honor, may we move to
9 have Ms. Martin's testimony marked as Applicant
10 Exhibit 22?

11 ALJ MARCELLETTI: It will be so marked 12 22. Thank you.

13 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

14 MR. SECREST: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Secrest) Ms. Martin, do you have any changes or corrections to the prefiled testimony?
  - A. I do not.
- Q. If the same questions that were posed to you in the direct testimony were posed to you today, would your responses be the same?
  - A. Yes.

MR. SECREST: Thank you.

We tender Ms. Martin for

24 cross-examination, your Honor.

25 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you, Applicant.

55 Starting with Farm Bureau, do you have any 1 2 cross-examination? 3 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor. 4 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. 5 The County, Mr. Slone? 6 MR. SLONE: Thank you. No cross. 7 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Citizens, Mr. Dunn? 8 MR. DUNN: Yes, your Honor, just very 9 briefly. 10 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Sure. 11 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 By Mr. Dunn: Ms. Martin, you referenced the --14 Ο. 15 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Pardon me, Mr. Dunn. 16 Would you? Thank you. 17 (By Mr. Dunn) Ms. Martin, you referenced Q. 18 the farmland, the agricultural land, in your answer 19 to No. 8, and you speak to the soils and the use of 20 the land there. Is that -- can you tell me, do you 2.1 know how much of that is prime farmland in the 22 project area? 23 A. I do not know at this point. 24 Are you familiar with the designation of Ο. 25 prime farmland?

A. I am.

2.1

- Q. Are you -- are you an agronomist or soils expert?
  - A. I am not a soils expert. I am a Natural Resources Biologist.
  - Q. Okay. In assessing the visual impacts that are associated with the project, the measures taken are to minimize or mitigate the visual impacts, right?
    - A. That is correct.
  - Q. Okay. And you state in your direct testimony in question No. 22 that "The conceptual plan developed for this Project is based on the assumption that 100 percent screening is not necessary"; is that correct?
    - A. That is correct.
  - Q. And who base -- who makes that assumption? Is that --
  - A. With our visual impact assessments, those are done by our subject matter experts and based on industry standards.
- Q. Okay. Understood. So 100 percent
  screening is not available for the project, so some
  adjacent property owners and vision from the roadways
  will be -- will be impacted.

57 MR. SECREST: Objection, misstates 1 2 testimony. I think the difference being the 3 assumption screen is not necessary versus available. ALJ SEE: I am going to need you to speak 4 5 into the mic a little better. 6 MR. SECREST: Objection to the extent it 7 misstates testimony. Mr. Dunn rephrased it as 100 percent screening is not available versus 8 9 testimony that says 100 percent screening is not 10 necessary. 11 MR. DUNN: I'll rephrase. 12 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. 13 Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Based on the conceptual 14 plan, some adjacent property owners will be visually 15 impacted, correct? 16 Α. That is correct. 17 Q. And based on the conceptual plan, road --18 the roadways will be visually impacted, correct? 19 Α. They -- they will be but not all. 20 MR. DUNN: Okay. I understand. Thank 2.1 you. 2.2 No further questions, your Honors. 23 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. 24 Rushcreek Township? 25 MS. PARCELS: Yes.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Ms. Parcels:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

24

Ms. Martin, you indicated that you are a Ο. Natural Resource Biologist and that you are sponsoring the drain tile mitigation portion. you or someone from Stantec actually conduct that mitigation plan study?

MR. SECREST: Your Honor, may I note an objection? With no construction being undertaken in Rushcreek Town -- Rushcreek Township any more, I don't believe the questions from counsel are relevant --

MS. PARCELS: Your Honor --

MR. SECREST: -- nor frankly --

MS. PARCELS: -- I'm preparing to lay a foundation in my questioning.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: I will let you continue for right now, Ms. Parcels.

- Could you repeat the question one more time?
- Ο. (By Ms. Parcels) The drain tile 22 mitigation plan, the Exhibit O that you reference in your testimony that you are sponsoring, did you have 23 any participation in that when it's labeled as 25 conducted by Cardno rather than Stantec?

A. Yes, I did.

2.1

- Q. Okay. What portions did you participate -- participate in personally?
- A. I was the Senior Project Manager that helped review the quality assurance and quality control of the document.
- Q. From a drain tile perspective, is it fair to say that issues with water drainage upstream affect downstream areas of the project as well?

MR. SECREST: I am going to object again.

I don't believe -- I will a note an objection again.

I don't believe this is relevant given the testimony

from Mr. Vertucci regarding lack of any construction

activities in Rushcreek Township.

MS. PARCELS: I will note that
Rushcreek's issues remain the same, and although
Mr. Vertucci's testified that the portions will be
removed, he has not indicated with an updated map or
anything else on the record other than his say so
that Rushcreek would be removed.

MR. SECREST: Well, your Honor, his say so is a commitment from the Applicant under oath today nor is an updated map required at this time.

Rushcreek Township frankly lacks standing any more, your Honors.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: I will let Ms. Parcels keep with her questions.

MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honor.

- A. Could you repeat that one more time, please?
- Q. (By Ms. Parcels) Yes, Ms. Martin. Which portions of the drainage tile mitigation plan did you participate in?
- A. Like I mentioned before, I was the Senior Project Manager to review the quality assurance and quality control of the document.
- Q. And regarding that document, it does indicate that there is a split in the watersheds in the project area between Bokescreek and Mill Creek, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And are -- let me back up. Did you go out and participate -- personally do or participate in a site visit?
- A. I personally did not do a site visit.

  However, the information was gathered from drone survey and publicly available data which is also the industry standard.
- Q. Okay. And based on your evaluation from drone imaging and the industry standards, did you

also consider any floodplain maps in your drain tile mitigation plan review?

- A. We do look at FEMA floodplain maps, yes.
- Q. And do you recall how old those FEMA floodplain maps are that you reviewed?
- A. I don't recall the age. However, those are the publicly available data that's used.
- Q. Did you look at any pending FEMA floodplain maps in your drainage tile mitigation plan study?
- A. We did not.

2.1

- Q. Are you aware that there are pending FEMA floodplain maps for Logan County?
  - A. I am not.
  - Q. Okay. Do you know personally the limits of the Mill Creek floodplain that were studied as part of your drainage tile mitigation plan?
    - A. Can you clarify what you mean by limits?
  - Q. How close is Mill Creek to the project area from your recollection?
    - A. The unnamed tributaries do connect to it.
- Q. Okay. And how many different -- when we are talking about unnamed tributaries, how many different stream segments are we talking about in the project area?

- A. I'm not quite sure at the moment, but I can review my wetland delineation report again.
- Q. Would that indicate how many stream segments there are?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. In the wetland plan, not the drain tile mitigation plan?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. Is it fair to say from the drain tile mitigation standpoint that drain tiles drain into wetlands and creeks?
- A. Yes. However, they are used for agricultural purposes.
  - Q. Okay. Backing up from the drain tile mitigation plan, did you also con -- conduct a vegetation study as part of your duties with respect to this project?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And with respect to the vegetation, you already testified with respect to the agricultural characteristics of the project area, what percentage of the area is currently we'll say natural vegetation, not farmed?
- A. As I recall from my testimony, I think it was about 5 percent. The land is primarily

```
63
     agricultural so.
 1
 2
                 MS. PARCELS: I have nothing further for
 3
     this witness.
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you, Rushcreek.
 4
 5
                 Staff?
 6
                 MR. EUBANKS: No questions, your Honor.
 7
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Any redirect from the
     Applicant?
 8
 9
                 MR. SECREST: One question, your Honor.
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Sure.
10
11
12
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13
     By Mr. Secrest:
14
                 Ms. Martin, would you please explain the
            Ο.
15
     relationship between Stantec and Cardno?
16
                 Yes. Cardno was acquired by Stantec last
17
     year and that has now been dissolved as a company,
18
     and we are now all one company as Stantec.
19
                 MR. SECREST: Thank you, Ms. Martin.
20
                 No further questions, your Honor.
2.1
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Any questions?
     questions from the Bench. Thank you, Ms. Martin.
22
23
                 MR. SECREST: Your Honor, may the
24
     Applicant move for the admission of Applicant Exhibit
25
     22?
```

```
64
 1
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Are there any
 2
     objections to the admission of Ms. Martin's testimony
    marked 22?
 3
                 MS. CURTIS: No objection, your Honor.
 4
 5
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: County?
                 MR. SLONE: No objection, your Honor.
 6
 7
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Mr. Dunn?
                 MR. DUNN: No objection.
 8
 9
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Ms. Parcels?
10
                 MS. PARCELS: No objections, your Honor.
11
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Staff?
12
                 MR. EUBANKS: No objection, your Honor.
13
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Exhibit 22 is so
14
     admitted.
15
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
16
                 MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honor.
17
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. You may
18
    proceed.
19
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, the Applicant
20
    calls JoAnne Blank to the stand.
2.1
                 MS. PARCELS: Your Honor, before
22
    Ms. Blank is sworn, Applicant has raised the issue of
    Rushcreek's standing. Would you like to address
23
24
    that?
25
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Since I have Ms. Blank
```

standing here, I am going to swear her in, and then we can take up any.

Good morning, Ms. Blank. Would you raise your right hand.

(Witness sworn.)

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. You may be seated.

Ms. Parcels?

2.1

MS. PARCELS: Rushcreek would respectfully request that we continue as an Intervenor. Although the panels would not necessarily be constructed in the project area, the issues of drainage and public safety remain, particularly there is Rushcreek responds to mutual aid runs in Bokescreek Township and the project area and particularly as drainage as an issue does not respect Township boundaries.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Is there any response from the Applicant?

MR. SECREST: Sure, just briefly, your Honor. Thank you. In terms of the standing, it was a specific to the issue, not a general standing issue. We recognize it is still a portion of the project area. However, with some of the specific issues and we think drain tile mitigation,

particularly potential damage to drain tiles during construction, is not an issue for which the Township has standing. Obviously we are now past that issue, so to the extent that we have any objections, we'll note them in the future.

Thank you, your Honor.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. Then we will proceed, Ms. Parcels, and we will address any issues as they are brought to the Bench for this.

MS. PARCELS: Thank you.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you.

Mr. Lockshaw.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, your Honor.

15 JOANNE J. BLANK

16 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 17 examined and testified as follows:

18 DIRECT EXAMINATION

19 By Mr. Lockshaw:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

2.1

2.2

25

- Ms. Blank, would you please state your Ο. name and spell it for the record.
- Α. My name is -- am I on? No.

23 ALJ MARCELLETTI: You are going to 24

press -- there we go.

Α. Thank you. My name is JoAnne Blank, last name spelled B-L-A-N-K.

Q. Thank you.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honors, may I approach the witness, please?

ALJ MARCELLETTI: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Lockshaw) Ms. Blank, have I just handed you what is a true and accurate copy of your direct written testimony that was filed in this case?
  - A. Yes.
- MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honors, may we please have that marked as Applicant Exhibit 24?
- 12 ALJ MARCELLETTI: It will be so marked.
- 13 Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

2.1

14 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Lockshaw) Ms. Blank, do you have any revisions to make to your direct testimony today?
  - A. Not at this time.
  - Q. If the same questions that appear in your direct testimony were asked of you today, would your answers be the same?
- 22 A. They would.
- MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you. Your Honors,
- 24 | with that, Ms. Blank is available for
- 25 cross-examination.

```
68
 1
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you.
 2
                 Any questions from the Farm Bureau?
 3
                 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Any questions from the
 4
 5
     County, Mr. Slone?
                 MR. SLONE: No questions, your Honor.
 6
 7
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Any questions from the
 8
     residents, Mr. Dunn?
 9
                 MR. DUNN: No questions, your Honor.
10
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Any questions from
11
     Rushcreek Township?
12
                 MS. PARCELS: No questions, your Honor.
13
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you.
14
                 Any questions from Staff?
15
                 MR. EUBANKS: No questions, your Honor.
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: No questions from us.
16
17
     Oh.
18
                 ALJ SEE: I take it there is no redirect
19
     from the Applicant either?
20
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: No, your Honor.
                                                  Thank
2.1
     you.
2.2
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: I assumed as much.
23
     Thank you.
24
                 ALJ SEE: There is one question from the
25
     Bench.
```

## EXAMINATION

2 By ALJ See:

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Ms. Blank, are you familiar with

  Conditions 15 and 16 in the Joint Stipulation and

  Recommendation?
  - A. Yes, I am.
    - Q. I note that -UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can't hear.
    - A. Yes, I am.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There you go.

- Q. (By ALJ See) The Bench notes that there is a revision to 15, Condition 15, which eliminates the word road from the condition heading as it is presented -- presented in the Staff Report, and it adds some other requirements. Could you explain why that amendment is made to Condition 15?
- A. I would need a copy of that Stipulation in front of me in order to answer that.
- MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, may I approach the witness with the Stipulation?
- 21 ALJ SEE: Yes.
- MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you.
- A. Thank you. And if you could point out again where that change has been made?
- Q. If you look at Condition 15 in the Staff

```
Report at 15(a), "accounts for glare along roads and nearby residences in the project area." And in 15 -- Condition 15 of the Stipulation parenthetical (a) roads is not included.
```

- A. The roads were considered in the glare analysis. I don't know why the word road -- I did not write the Stipulation, so I'm not sure why the word roads would have been removed. That should say "accounts for glare along roads and nearby residences" would be the correct statement. The glare is assessed on both roads and residences.
- Q. So if that provision of the Stipulation were amended, that would be the intent intended by the Applicant and Farm Bureau as signatories to the Stipulation?
  - A. Yes, that roads would be included.

ALJ SEE: Okay. All right. That's all the Bench has. Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

ALJ SEE: Mr. Se -- Mr. Secrest.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Mr. Lockshaw, but that's

22 okay.

MR. SECREST: We like to keep you guessing, your Honor.

25 ALJ SEE: So long as the right counsel

answers. Is that Applicant's -- can Applicant agree to that amendment of Condition 15 in the Stipulation?

MR. LOCKSHAW: Yes.

ALJ SEE: Thank you. Thank you. And Ms. Blank has already stepped down. We can proceed to the next witness.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, can I please move for admission of Ms. Blank's direct written testimony that was Applicant's Exhibit 24?

ALJ SEE: Okay. Any objections to the admission of Applicant Exhibit 24? Ohio Farm Bureau?

MS. CURTIS: No objections, your Honor.

ALJ SEE: County?

MR. SLONE: No objection.

EXAMINER SEE: Citizens against --

Citizens -- go ahead.

MR. DUNN: No objections, your Honor.

18 ALJ SEE: Ms. Parcels?

MS. PARCELS: No objections, your Honor.

20 Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

25

21 ALJ SEE: Mr. Eubanks?

MR. EUBANKS: No objections, your Honor.

23 ALJ SEE: Applicant Exhibit 24 is

24 | admitted into the record. Thank you.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

72 1 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you. 2 ALJ SEE: Next witness. 3 MR. SECREST: May the Applicant call Mr. John Henning? 4 5 ALJ SEE: Mr. Henning, could you raise 6 your right hand. 7 (Witness sworn.) 8 ALJ SEE: Thank you. Have a seat. 9 MR. SECREST: May I approach the witness, 10 your Honor? 11 ALJ SEE: Yes. 12 MR. SECREST: Thank you. 13 And may I proceed? 14 ALJ SEE: Yes, you may. 15 MR. SECREST: Thank you. 16 17 JOHN T. HENNING 18 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2.1 By Mr. Secrest: 22 Mr. Henning, would you please state and Q. spell your full name? 23 24 John Henning, H-E-N-N-I-N-G. 25 Q. Thank you. By whom are you employed?

A. Olsson.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Do you have a copy of your direct testimony in front of you?
  - A. I do.
  - Q. Is that a true and accurate copy of your direct testimony that was prefiled in these proceedings?
    - A. It appears to be.
    - Q. Thank you.
- MR. SECREST: Your Honor, may we have

  Mr. Henning's direct testimony marked as Applicant

  Exhibit 25?
- 13 ALJ SEE: The exhibit is so marked.
- 14 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- MR. SECREST: Thank you.
- Q. (By Mr. Secrest) Mr. Henning, do you have any revisions or clarifications to your testimony?
- 18 A. I do.
- Q. If I asked you the same questions that are contained within that direct testimony today, would your responses be the same?
- 22 A. Yes, they would.
- MR. SECREST: Thank you.
- Mr. Henning is available for
- 25 cross-examination, your Honor.

potential geohazards were determined to have low

probability, correct?

2.1

- A. For this project, yes. Due to the proximity to features, this would have low -- low probability.
- Q. Okay. And you indicated you did also in response to question 9 soil sampling of the site, correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And that was to a depth of 10 feet which you said anticipates how deep the piles would be driven for these panels; is that correct?
  - A. Approximately, yes.
- Q. Okay. At a depth of 10 feet, when you did these test borings, based on the location of your soil sampling and the project area, how many piles would be in contact with groundwater based on the results of your borings?
- A. I don't know that exact amount about how many piles would hit groundwater. I am unable to estimate that.
- Q. Okay. Based on the soil sampling, do you know if any of the soils were of a chemical composition that would be corrosive to steel pilings?
- A. I believe the report indicated that there were some corrosive components. However, there are

designed mitigations that are possible for that.

- Q. Okay. And is this your first time testifying with respect to a solar project?
  - A. It is, yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. Based on your education and experience as a Soil Scientist with drain tile locations and soil borings, did you have any opportunity to observe drain tiles while you were out doing soil borings?
  - A. I did not observe drain tiles.
- Q. Okay. In your experience as a Soil Scientist, presuming the purpose of drain tiles is to remove excess surface water from soil, can you tell me what your understanding is if a drain tile is blocked or broken on the soil?

MR. SECREST: Objection. That's outside the scope of this witness's testimony, your Honor. We already had a witness testify as to the drain tile mitigation plan.

MS. PARCELS: I am asking about the impact on the soil from his understanding.

ALJ SEE: Only as to that question, go ahead and answer.

A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question?

ALJ SEE: We can have it read back.

(Record read.)

MR. SECREST: I'm sorry. May I add an additional objection?

ALJ SEE: Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MR. SECREST: I think that question needs clarification whether we are talking about a lateral or a main.

MS. PARCELS: I'm simply asking about drain tile and his experience as a Soil Scientist what happens if it's blocked or broken.

ALJ SEE: You can answer the question as best you can, Mr. Henning.

- A. Yeah. I'm certainly no expert in drain tile, but my -- my understanding would be if it was blocked or drained [SIC], it would not be able to drain water as well.
- Q. Okay. In your experience as a Soils Scientist, can waterlogged soil also cause sinkholes?
- A. In my experience as a Soils Scientist, as a karst expert and subject matter expert within karst, standing water over time can cause issues in karst.
- MS. PARCELS: I have nothing further.
- 25 | Thank you.

78 ALJ SEE: Mr. Eubanks? 1 2 MR. EUBANKS: I do have a few quick 3 questions. 4 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 By Mr. Eubanks: 7 If I am reading your testimony correctly, Ο. you are responsible for Conditions 35, 36, and 37. 8 9 Α. Could you please point me to that on the 10 pages so I can read it? 11 Look at question and answer 10, 11, and Q. 12 12. 13 Α. Yes, 35, 36, and 37, yes, sir. 14 Those conditions, were they taken from Ο. 15 the Staff Report and put into the Stipulation? 16 Α. I believe they were. 17 To the extent that there is any Q. 18 discrepancy between the language used in the 19 Stipulation and the language used in the Staff 20 Report, would you agree that the language used in the

MR. SECREST: Objection, your Honor. not sure Mr. Henning is the proper party to answer that question. However, if so, I do think he should see a copy of the Staff Report.

Staff Report is the correct language?

2.1

22

23

24

ALJ SEE: Can you provide -- can someone provide --

MR. EUBANKS: I can.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

ALJ SEE: -- the witness a copy of the Staff Report?

MR. SECREST: Sure.

ALJ SEE: And if you need some time to look at it, Mr. Henning.

- A. Could you please repeat the question?
- Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) Yeah. And just so you can understand where I am coming from, we just had a witness on the stand that there was a discrepancy between the language in the Staff Report and -- and what was in the Stipulation for a condition. Just making sure that --
  - A. Yeah. I mean, I am not aware of what is binding, whether it would be the Staff Report or the Stipulation. That's not my expertise.
- Q. No. I am not asking you that. My question is -- is simply the intent was to take Staff's Condition 35, 36, and 37 and make that a part of the Stipulation, correct?
  - A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Okay. You didn't mean to change anything in Staff's Condition 35, 36, or 37.

MR. SECREST: Your Honor, I object. I think this calls for a legal conclusion to the extent we were talking Stipulation language versus the Staff Report as well as any negotiations that ultimately resulted in the signing of the Stipulation. Your Honor, and with that maybe I can clarify so we get Mr. Henning off the stand. We'll stipulate that the intent was to mirror the Staff Report.

ALJ SEE: Mr. Eubanks.

2.1

MR. EUBANKS: I have one follow-up question to that then which would be in line with the question you have already asked with regard to --

ALJ SEE: Just a moment. Okay. With -- with that acknowledgment, the objection is sustained.

Go ahead. Next question.

MR. EUBANKS: I'm sorry, your Honor. I am not sure what objection was sustained. I am forgetting.

MR. SECREST: Well, so I think the question to Mr. Henning was essentially that -- that I just addressed was your intent to mirror the Staff Report, and it was, so to the extent there is a discrepancy, we'll stipulate that the intention was to mirror the Staff Report conditions in the Stipulation. The objection was, I think, that's a

legal conclusion and not really appropriate for Mr. Henning to address.

MR. EUBANKS: Okay. Okay. Can we go off the record for one second, your Honor? Because I may be --

ALJ SEE: Yes; yes, we can. Let's go off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

ALJ SEE: Let's go back on the record.

While we were off the record, there was some discussion had between counsel for the parties, specifically Staff and the counsel for the Applicant, and the Applicant has agreed to include in the record which conditions included in the Stipulation do not exactly mirror those conditions in the Staff Report; is that correct, Ms. Pirik?

MS. PIRIK: Yes, your Honor.

ALJ SEE: And you will provide that after lunch or before the end of the hearing today?

MS. PIRIK: Yes, we will.

ALJ SEE: Now, with that, Mr. Eubanks, does that satisfy your request?

MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor.

ALJ SEE: Do you have your next question

25 for Mr. Henning?

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

82 MR. EUBANKS: I have no further questions 1 2 for this witness, your Honor. 3 ALJ SEE: Any redirect? MR. SECREST: No redirect, your Honor. 4 5 Thank you. 6 ALJ SEE: Just a moment. Just a moment, 7 Mr. Henning. Let the Bench verify we don't have any questions either. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 10 ALJ SEE: The Bench doesn't have any 11 questions for you. Thank you very much. 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 13 MR. SECREST: Your Honor, may we move for 14 the admission of Applicant Exhibit 25? 15 ALJ SEE: Are there any objections to the admission of Applicant Exhibit 25? 16 17 MS. CURTIS: No objections, your Honor. 18 ALJ SEE: Mr. Slone? 19 MR. SLONE: No objections, your Honor. 20 ALJ SEE: Mr. Dunn? 21 MR. DUNN: No objection, your Honor. 2.2 ALJ SEE: Ms. Parcels? 23 MS. PARCELS: No objections, your Honor. 24 ALJ SEE: Mr. Eubanks? 25 MR. EUBANKS: No objection, your Honor.

```
83
                 ALJ SEE: Applicant Exhibit 25 is
 1
 2
     admitted into the record.
 3
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
                 MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honor.
 4
 5
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Applicant, if you want
 6
     to call your next witness, please.
 7
                 MR. SECREST: Sure. May the Applicant
     call Mr. Geoffrey Patterson?
 8
9
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Good afternoon,
10
    Mr. Patterson. Would you raise your right hand.
11
                 (Witness sworn.)
12
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. You may be
13
     seated. If you could just switch on your microphone
14
     there.
15
                 MR. SECREST: And may I approach the
16
     witness, your Honor?
17
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: You may.
18
                 MR. SECREST: Thank you.
19
                 And may I proceed, your Honor?
20
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Yes, you may.
2.1
                 MR. SECREST: Thank you.
22
23
24
25
```

	84
1	GEOFFREY PATTERSON
2	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
3	examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	By Mr. Secrest:
6	Q. Mr. Patterson, would you please state and
7	spell your full name for the record?
8	A. Geoffrey Patterson, P-A-T-T-E-R-S-O-N.
9	Q. Who is your employer, Mr. Patterson?
10	A. Calvert Street Group.
11	Q. Thank you. Is the document in front of
12	you a copy of your direct prefiled testimony?
13	A. Yes, it is.
14	Q. And is it a true and accurate copy of
15	your direct and prefiled testimony?
16	A. Yes.
17	MR. SECREST: Your Honor, may we move to
18	have marked as Applicant Exhibit 26 Mr. Patterson's
19	direct testimony?
20	ALJ MARCELLETTI: It will be so marked.
21	(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
22	Q. And, Mr. Patterson, do you have a

revision on page 4, line 21, of your testimony?

23

24

```
"discuss" there on line 21.
```

2.1

Q. Thank you. We will just make sure everybody is there.

MR. SECREST: Your Honors?

ALJ SEE: Let's hear it one more time.

MR. SECREST: The revision is on page 4, line 21, after the word "supporters" and before the word "discuss," there should be a semicolon.

ALJ SEE: Thank you.

MR. SECREST: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Secrest) And, Mr. Patterson, do you also have a revision to your response to question 8 which appears on page 5?
- A. Yes, that's correct. At least my document shows page 5, line 12, where it says "65 people offered testimony," I would like to revise that to say "71."
- Q. Thank you, Mr. Patterson. With those revisions to your testimony, if the questions that are contained in your testimony were posed to you today, would your responses be the same as what appears in your direct prefiled testimony?
  - A. Yes.

MR. SECREST: Thank you.

We tender Mr. Patterson for

cross-examination, your Honor.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you.

Starting with Farm Bureau, Ms. Curtis?

MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: The County, Mr. Slone?

MR. SLONE: No cross, thank you.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Citizens, Mr. Dunn?

MR. DUNN: Yes, your Honor.

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

11 By Mr. Dunn:

- Q. Mr. Patterson, I notice in your direct testimony efforts were made to go door to door within a 2-mile radius and mailers were sent to 150 residents closest to the project; is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. So efforts were made to ascertain the opinion of those living adjacent to or close to the project area; is that right?
- A. That would be one thing that we would talk to people about.
  - Q. Okay. What would be the other thing?
- A. As well as directing people generally to the application, so along with soliciting opinions, we would also provide information related to the

docket.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And it looks like in answer No. 9 you reviewed public comment that was on the Ohio Power Siting Board's website as well; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Did you identify the geographic location of the residents that submitted comments relative to the project area?
- A. I don't recall doing that as part of a formal process or informal process.
- Q. Okay. So you can't say where those individuals live or own property relative to the support or the opposition comments?
- ALJ SEE: I'm sorry. I need you to speak into the mic. That last part trailed off.
- Q. Yeah. So you can't say the geographic location of the owners or the people who live there whether or not they were in support or opposition? You don't know where they are located?
- A. Only to the extent that it was mentioned in their testimony at the hearing.
- Q. Okay. In question 8 you revised your number from 65 people that offered testimony to 71; is that correct?

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Were you present at that December 4,
- 3 | 2022, hearing?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

- A. I was not.
- Q. You were not. So your testimony is based strictly on review of the written testimony.
  - A. As well as notes.
  - Q. As well as notes. Notes that you took in reviewing the testimony?
- A. Notes from staff members who had also attended the public hearing.
  - Q. Okay. Staff members from the Applicant?
- 13 A. From Calvert Street.
  - MR. DUNN: From Calvert Street. Okay.
- No further questions, your Honor.
- 16 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you.
- 17 Questions from Rushcreek Township?
- MS. PARCELS: Yes, thank you, your Honor.
- 19
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 By Ms. Parcels:
- Q. Mr. Patterson, do you have a copy of your testimony there?
- 24 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. On page 4, line 27, you indicated

that in May. May of which year did Fountain Point tell Calvert Street about the change to the site layout?

2.1

- A. I believe that was May of 2022.
- Q. Okay. Prior to today did you have any conversation with the Applicant about removing Rushcreek from the project area?
  - A. I do not believe I did directly, no.
- Q. Based on your experience working with the Applicant, do you believe that the removal of the portion of Bokescreek and Perry Township from the area was in part based on feedback you had collected?

MR. SECREST: Objection, speculation.

MS. PARCELS: I am asking as to whether he knows.

16 ALJ MARCELLETTI: I will allow him to answer it.

- A. I don't know all the factors that go into that decision.
- Q. Okay. Also page 4 of your testimony, you indicated that 219 residents signed and submitted a petition in support of the project. Was that a door-to-door petition, or was it online?
- A. I believe that was door to door based on what was submitted on the docket, but we were not

leading that effort.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. And did that petition specifically indicate which Township those residents resided in?
  - A. I don't recall at this time.

MS. PARCELS: I have no further questions for this witness. Thank you, your Honors.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you.

Any questions from Staff?

MR. EUBANKS: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Any redirect from

11 | Applicant?

MR. SECREST: No redirect, your Honor.

13 | Thank you.

14 ALJ MARCELLETTI: And the Bench does not

15 have any questions for you. Thank you.

16 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

17 ALJ SEE: I'm sorry. Hold on just a

18 minute.

19

20 EXAMINATION

21 By ALJ See:

Q. For your team on Calvert Street, that

23 | is -- approximately how many persons are on that

24 team?

25 A. At the entire firm or that --

- Q. On the team that traveled to Logan County in June of 2021 as referred to in your testimony page 3.
- A. Sorry. The number of people from the team that were in Logan County in June?
  - Q. Uh-huh.

2.1

- A. I'm not sure of the exact number.
- Q. Can you give me a range?
- A. At most it would be five people.
- Q. Okay. And is that pretty consistent of the number of people you had in the County working at any one time on this project?
- A. It would -- it would depend on what the efforts were at the time but that's a pretty typical number.
- Q. Okay. And are the information packets -I'm sorry. Further down in question 7 on page 3 of
  your testimony, you allude to information packets
  provided to members in the community. Are those
  information packets included in the application or
  any of its supplements?
- A. I believe that that information was included in Exhibit G.
- ALJ SEE: Okay. Thank you. The Bench

  has no -- well, before I say that... The Bench

```
92
     doesn't have any further questions. Thank you.
 1
 2
                 THE WITNESS:
                              Thank you.
 3
                 MR. SECREST: May I move for the
     admission of Applicant Exhibit 26?
 4
 5
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Are there any
 6
     objections to the admission of Exhibit 26?
 7
                 MS. CURTIS: No objections, your Honor.
 8
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: From the County?
 9
                 MR. SLONE: No objections.
10
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Mr. Dunn?
11
                 MR. DUNN: No objections, your Honor.
12
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Ms. Parcels?
13
                 MS. PARCELS: No objection, your Honor.
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Staff?
14
15
                 MR. EUBANKS: No objections, your Honor.
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Exhibit 26 will be
16
17
     admitted.
18
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
19
                 MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honor.
20
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI:
                                  Thank you.
21
                 ALU SEE: Let's take a brief recess.
22
    minutes. We'll go back on the record by about 12:25
23
    by my watch.
24
                 (Recess taken.)
25
                 ALJ SEE: Let's go back on the record.
```

If Applicant would like to call its next 1 2 witness. 3 MR. SECREST: Yes, your Honor. May the Applicant call Dr. David Loomis? 4 5 ALJ SEE: Mr. Loomis, if you would raise 6 your right hand. 7 (Witness sworn.) 8 ALJ SEE: Thank you. Have a seat. 9 MR. SECREST: And may I approach the 10 witness, your Honor? 11 ALJ SEE: Yes. 12 MR. SECREST: Thank you. 13 And may I proceed, your Honor? 14 ALJ SEE: Yes, you may. MR. SECREST: Thank you. 15 16 17 DAVID G. LOOMIS, Ph.D. 18 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2.1 By Mr. Secrest: 22 Dr. Loomis, would you please state and Q. 23 spell your full name for the record? 24 My name is -- my name is David Loomis, 25 L-O-O-M-I-S.

- Q. And by whom are you employed, Dr. Loomis?
- 2 A. I am President of Strategic Economic 3 Research, LLC.
  - Q. Thank you. The document in front of you, is that a true and accurate copy of your direct prefiled testimony?
    - A. Yes, it is.
    - Q. Thank you.
  - MR. SECREST: And, your Honor, may we have Dr. Loomis's testimony marked as Applicant Exhibit 28?
- 12 ALJ SEE: The exhibit is so marked.

  13 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- MR. SECREST: Thank you.
  - Q. (By Mr. Secrest) Dr. Loomis, do you have any changes or corrections to your testimony?
    - A. No, I do not.
  - Q. If I asked you the same questions that are contained within that direct prefiled testimony today, would your responses be the same?
  - A. Yes.
- MR. SECREST: Thank you.
- Dr. Loomis is available for cross, your
- 24 Honor.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

25 ALJ SEE: Any cross for this witness on

95 behalf of the Farm Bureau? 1 2 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor. ALJ SEE: On behalf of the County? 3 MR. SLONE: No questions. 4 5 ALJ SEE: On behalf of the Citizens of --6 Against Fountain Point? 7 MR. DUNN: No questions. ALJ SEE: Ms. Parcels? 8 9 MS. PARCELS: No questions, your Honor. 10 ALJ SEE: Staff? 11 MR. EUBANKS: No questions, your Honor. 12 ALJ SEE: Am I correct that there is no 13 redirect for this witness? 14 MR. SECREST: You are correct, your 15 Honor. Thank you. 16 17 EXAMINATION 18 By ALJ See: 19 Mr. Loomis, for this case when was your 0. 20 analysis conducted? Approximately. 2.1 I was first contacted in November of 22 2021, and I don't have the exact date that -- when I 23 did the actual analysis, but it would have been 24 probably within three, four months after that. 25 Q. Okay. And in preparation -- preparation

for your testimony today, have you done any analysis to update your report?

2.1

- A. I reviewed the situation for Fountain

  Point in the local area, but I haven't run -- re-run

  any analysis.
- Q. Okay. And does your economic analysis consider any potential negative economic impacts to the County or State in association with the Fountain Point project?
- A. Could you clarify what you mean by the negative impacts?
- Q. Are you looking at both sides of the economic equation in association with the project?

  The land -- the land, for example, the land that would be used for the project and the economic impact to the Community in association with that land no longer being used for -- to raise -- to raise crops or to be farmed?
- A. Yes. So I looked at that in -- in two ways. One was a land use analysis to say what would be the -- from an economic perspective what would be the best use of this land and concluded from that analysis that solar would be a better use of the land than agriculture.

And then, you know, in terms of the

```
97
     economic impacts, the jobs created, and tax revenue,
 1
 2
     the -- the economic impact, I did not think that
     there would be a significant impact to agriculture,
 3
     negative consequence as a result of this amount of
 4
 5
     acreage being taken out of production.
 6
                 ALJ SEE: Okay. Thank you. That's all I
 7
     have. You may step down.
                 MR. SECREST: Your Honor, may we move for
 8
 9
     the admission of Applicant Exhibit 28?
10
                 ALJ SEE: Are there any objections to the
11
     admission of Applicant Exhibit 28?
12
                 MS. CURTIS: No, no objection, your
13
     Honor.
14
                 ALJ SEE: Mr. Slone?
15
                 MR. SLONE: None, your Honor.
16
                 ALJ SEE: Mr. Dunn?
17
                 MR. DUNN: No, your Honor.
18
                 ALJ SEE: Ms. Parcels?
19
                 MS. PARCELS: No, your Honor.
20
                 ALJ SEE:
                           Mr. Eubanks?
2.1
                 MR. EUBANKS: No objection, your Honor.
22
                 ALJ SEE: Applicant Exhibit 28 is
23
     admitted into the record.
24
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
25
                 MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honor.
```

98 ALJ MARCELLETTI: You may call your next 1 2 witness. 3 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, your Honor. The Applicant calls Richard Kirkland to the stand. 4 5 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Good afternoon. MR. KIRKLAND: Good afternoon. 6 7 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Raise your right hand. 8 (Witness sworn.) 9 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. You may 10 take a seat. 11 12 RICHARD C. KIRKLAND, JR., MAI 13 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 By Mr. Lockshaw: 17 Q. Mr. Kirkland, can you please state your 18 full name and spell it for the record? 19 Richard Kirkland, K-I-R-K-L-A-N-D. Α. 20 Q. Thank you. 21 MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, may I approach 22 the witness, please? 23 ALJ MARCELLETTI: You may. 24 Ο. (By Mr. Lockshaw) Mr. Kirkland, is what I 25 just handed you a true and accurate copy of the

99 direct testimony that was filed in this case? 1 2 Α. It is. 3 MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honors, may we premark Applicant Exhibit 29? 4 5 ALJ MARCELLETTI: It will be so marked. 6 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 7 Mr. Kirkland, do you have any revisions Q. to make to your direct testimony? 8 9 Α. I do not. 10 If the same questions that appear in your Ο. direct testimony were asked of you today, would your 11 12 answers be the same? 13 Α. They would. 14 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you. Your Honors, 15 he is now available for cross-examination. 16 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. 17 Any cross from Farm Bureau? 18 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor. 19 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Any cross from the 20 County, Mr. Slone? 2.1 MR. SLONE: No cross, your Honor. 2.2 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. 23 On behalf of Citizens, Mr. Dunn? 24 MR. DUNN: No questions, your Honor. 25 ALJ MARCELLETTI: And any cross from the

100 Township? 1 2 MS. PARCELS: No, your Honor. Thank you. 3 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. Any questions from Staff? 4 5 MR. EUBANKS: No questions, your Honor. 6 ALJ MARCELLETTI: I'm assuming, any 7 redirect? 8 MR. LOCKSHAW: No, thank you, your Honor. 9 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. And the 10 Bench doesn't have any questions for you, 11 Mr. Kirkland. 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 13 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. 14 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, your Honors. With that we'll move Applicant Exhibit 29 into 15 16 evidence, please. 17 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Any objection from Farm 18 Bureau? 19 MS. CURTIS: No objection, your Honor. 20 ALJ MARCELLETTI: County? 2.1 MR. SLONE: No objection. 2.2 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Citizens? 23 MR. DUNN: No, your Honor. 24 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Township? 25 MS. PARCELS: No, your Honor.

101 1 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Staff? 2 MR. EUBANKS: No objection. 3 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Exhibit 29 will be so admitted. 4 5 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 6 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you. 7 ALJ MARCELLETTI: And I believe you have 8 three testimonies that were stipulated to; is that 9 correct? 10 MR. LOCKSHAW: Yes, your Honor, thank 11 you. That is Ryan Peterson, that is Applicant 12 Exhibit 23; Michael Hankard, Applicant Exhibit 27, 13 and Matthew LaCount, Applicant Exhibit 30. May we 14 have those so marked? 15 ALJ MARCELLETTI: They are so marked. 16 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 17 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you. We would like 18 to move those into admission into evidence. 19 ALJ MARCELLETTI: And so we can get on 20 the record, can I have confirmation from Farm Bureau 2.1 that these were stipulated to, all three? 2.2 MS. CURTIS: Yes, your Honor, we 23 stipulated to all three. 24 ALJ MARCELLETTI: County?

25

MR. SLONE: Yes, the County stipulated as

102 well. Would you please go over the exhibit numbers, 1 2 please? 3 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Sure. So what I have is Applicant Exhibit 23 for Peterson; Applicant 4 5 Exhibit No. 27, Hankard; Applicant 30 -- excuse me, 6 Applicant 30, LaCount. 7 MR. SLONE: Thank you. ALJ MARCELLETTI: Mr. Dunn? 8 9 MR. DUNN: Yes, Citizens stipulate. 10 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. 11 Ms. Parcels? 12 MS. PARCELS: Yes, Rushcreek Township 13 stipulated. 14 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. 15

And Staff?

16 MR. EUBANKS: Staff stipulated as well,

17 your Honor.

18

19

20

2.2

23

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Hearing that all parties stipulated to these three prefiled testimonies, they will be admitted into the record.

2.1 Thank you.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you.

24 ALJ SEE: Go ahead.

25 MR. LOCKSHAW: With that, we have no

- 1 | further witnesses. Thank you.
- 2 ALJ SEE: And that concludes the
- 3 | presentation of your case in chief?
- 4 MR. LOCKSHAW: Yes, your Honor. Thank
- 5 you.
- 6 ALJ SEE: All right. With that, let's
- 7 take a lunch recess.
- 8 ALJ MARCELLETTI: We will resume from our
- 9 lunch recess at 1:45.
- MS. PARCELS: Your Honor, can we ask
- 11 | about schedule?
- 12 ALJ SEE: Let's go off the record.
- 13 (Discussion off the record.)
- 14 ALJ SEE: Let's go back on the record.
- MS. PARCELS: Thank you, your Honor. We
- 16 | have Mr. Lyons here. Do we need to hold him over
- 17 | after the lunch break?
- 18 ALJ SEE: Yes, you would need to, but can
- 19 | you also address -- and then you can address
- 20 Mr. Shafer?
- MS. PARCELS: Mr. Shafer is not present,
- 22 but we have had some discussion, and it appears that
- 23 | everyone would stipulate to his testimony so he would
- 24 | not need to appear.
- 25 ALJ SEE: I would still need to have

Mr. Shafer's testimony marked as an exhibit by the Township, and we can take that up after Mr. Lyons. MS. PARCELS: Thank you, your Honors. ALJ SEE: Okay. And after our lunch break. Let's go off the record again. (Discussion off the record.) ALJ SEE: Let's go back on the record. In light of discussions had off the record, we will reconvene at 2 o'clock. Thank you. (Thereupon, at 12:50 p.m., a lunch recess was taken.) 

105 1 Tuesday Afternoon Session, 2 June 27, 2023. 3 ALJ SEE: Let's go back on the record. 4 5 Ms. Parcels. 6 MS. PARCELS: Your Honor, I would move 7 for admission of the Township's witness testimony 8 pursuant to the Stipulation as agreed to by the 9 parties for Trustee Doug Lyons and Trustee Chad 10 Shafer, and I would give those to the court reporter 11 marked Township 1 for Mr. Lyons and Township 2 for 12 Mr. Shafer. 13 ALJ SEE: Township -- I'm 14 sorry, Township 2 is Shafer? 15 MS. PARCELS: That's correct. 16 ALJ SEE: Township 1 is Lyons? MS. PARCELS: That's correct. 17 18 ALJ SEE: The exhibits are so marked. 19 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 20 ALJ SEE: And I -- I would like to hear 2.1 from the parties they are willing to waive 22 cross-examination and stip the Exhibits 1 and 2. 23 Starting with Mr. Slone. 24 MR. SLONE: We waive cross and stipulate 25 to the admission of Mr. Lyons and Mr. Shafer.

106 ALJ SEE: Mr. Dunn? 1 2 MR. DUNN: We waive cross, stipulate to the admission to all witnesses' testimony. 3 ALJ SEE: Ms. Curtis? 4 5 MS. CURTIS: We waive cross and stipulate 6 to the admission of the testimony. ALJ SEE: Mr. Eubanks? 7 MR. EUBANKS: Staff waives cross and 8 9 stipulates to the admission of Township Exhibit 1 and 10 2. 11 ALJ SEE: Counsel for the Applicant? 12 MR. SECREST: Likewise, Applicant waives 13 cross and stipulates to the admission of the 14 exhibits. 15 ALJ SEE: Okay. With that, Township 1 16 and 2 are admitted into the record. 17 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) ALJ SEE: Just a moment. 18 19 Mr. Dunn. 20 MR. DUNN: Yeah. Citizens would move to 2.1 mark CAFP Exhibit 1, the direct testimony of Paul 2.2 Schaller; CAFP Exhibit 2 as the direct testimony of 23 Kara Slonecker; CAFP Exhibit 3 as direct testimony of 24 Cliff Cronkleton; and CAFP Exhibit 4, direct testimony of Alyssa Rice. And we would move for 25

107 admission into evidence -- we would move for 1 2 admission into evidence if all parties waive cross and stipulate to the admission. 3 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 4 5 ALJ SEE: Okay. Mr. Slone? 6 MR. SLONE: Yes, your Honors. County 7 waives cross and will stipulate to the admission of the testimony of those four CAFP witnesses. 8 9 ALJ SEE: Ms. Parcels? 10 MS. PARCELS: Township would waive cross 11 and stipulate to the testimony of those four 12 witnesses for CAFP. 13 ALJ SEE: Ms. Curtis? 14 MS. CURTIS: Ohio Farm Bureau waives 15 cross, and we will stipulate to the admission of the 16 four witnesses. 17 ALJ SEE: Mr. Eubanks? 18 MR. EUBANKS: Staff will waive cross and agree to the admission of CAFP Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 19 20 4. 2.1 ALJ SEE: Counsel for the Applicant? MR. SECREST: Applicant will waive cross. 22 23 No objection to the admission of Citizens 1, 2, 3,

24

25

and 4.

admission of Township Exhibits 1 and 2, does that conclude your presentation in this case?

MS. PARCELS: It does, your Honor, and my microphone appears to be inoperable.

ALJ SEE: We will take care of that in a moment.

Mr. Dunn?

MR. DUNN: Yes, that concludes Citizens case in chief.

10 ALJ SEE: Thank you.

11 With that, next witness will be Staff's.

12 Mr. Eubanks.

3

4

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

20

2.1

22

23

24

MR. EUBANKS: Thank you, your Honor. In accordance with an agreement between the parties, I would like to have Staff's Exhibit 1 marked for -- as the Staff Report and admitted according to Stipulation of the parties.

18 ALJ SEE: Okay. Staff Report is marked 19 as Staff Exhibit 1.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor. The prefiled testimony of Mark Bellamy marked as Staff's Exhibit 2; the prefiled testimony of Thomas J.

Crawford marked as Staff's Exhibit 3; the prefiled --

25 ALJ SEE: Hold on.

109 1 MR. EUBANKS: Oh, I'm sorry. 2 ALJ SEE: Crawford is 3? 3 MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor. 4 ALJ SEE: Okav. MR. EUBANKS: I would like to have marked 5 as Staff's Exhibit 4, the prefiled testimony of Grant 6 7 Zeto; and to have marked as Staff's Exhibit 5, the prefiled testimony of Matthew Butler; and to have 8 marked as Staff's Exhibit 6, the prefiled testimony 9 10 of Eric Morrison. 11 Staff's Exhibits 1 through 6 have been 12 stipulated for admission by the parties, and 13 cross-examination has been waived for the witnesses. 14 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 15 ALJ SEE: Okay. Give the Bench a second. 16 Staff has represented -- Staff has 17 represented that the parties have agreed to the 18 admission of Staff Exhibits 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 without 19 cross. Is that your understanding of the agreement, 20 Ms. Curtis? 2.1 MS. CURTIS: Yes. We waive cross and 22 stipulate the admission of the testimony. 23 ALJ SEE: Mr. Slone? 24 MR. SLONE: Yes. Waive cross and 25 stipulate to the admission of Staff's direct

```
110
 1
     testimony 1 through 6.
 2
                 ALJ SEE: Just for clarity, 2 through 6.
 3
                 MR. SLONE: Yes, 2 through 6. Thank you.
                 ALJ SEE: Mr. Dunn?
 4
 5
                 MR. DUNN: Yes. We'll waive cross,
 6
     stipulate the admission of the direct testimony.
                 ALJ SEE: Ms. Parcels?
 7
                 MS. PARCELS: Township would waive cross
 8
     and stipulate to the admission of that direct
9
10
     testimony, yes.
11
                 ALJ SEE: Counsel for the Applicant?
12
                 MR. SECREST: The Bench's recounting of
13
     our agreement was accurate.
14
                 ALJ SEE: Okay. And you are waiving
15
     cross of those witnesses as well.
16
                 MR. SECREST: That is correct, your
17
    Honor.
18
                 ALJ SEE: Thank you.
19
                 Okay. Next, you can call your next
20
    witness, Mr. Eubanks.
2.1
                 MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor. May I
22
    have a couple minutes to confer with counsel? I just
    want to bring him up to date on the testimony.
23
24
                 ALJ SEE: Okay. Let's go off the record.
25
                 (Discussion off the record.)
```

111 1 ALJ SEE: Let's go back on record. Before I proceed I want to make sure that 2 3 I admitted the testimony of Township's two witnesses, Township Exhibits 1 and 2; and CAFP Exhibits 1 4 through 4. Those exhibits are admitted into the 5 6 record. 7 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 8 ALJ SEE: Let me also clarify, 9 Mr. Eubanks, did you intend to admit and include 10 Staff Exhibit 1, the Staff Report, in the stipulated 11 exhibits? 12 MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor. 13 ALJ SEE: Was that the -- was that other 14 counsel's understanding? 15 MS. CURTIS: Yes, your Honor. 16 MR. SLONE: Yes, your Honor. 17 MR. DUNN: Yes, your Honor. 18 MS. PARCELS: Yes, your Honor. 19 MR. SECREST: Yes, your Honor. 20 ALJ SEE: Okay. Then I also need to 2.1 include Staff Exhibit 1 in the Staff exhibits 22 admitted into the record. 23 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

ALJ SEE: Okay. Mr. Eubanks.

MS. GREGORY: Your Honor, the Staff calls

24

112 to the stand Witness Andrew Conway. 1 2 ALJ SEE: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Gregory. 3 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Good afternoon, Mr. Conway. If you would raise your right hand. 4 5 (Witness sworn.) 6 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. Please have 7 a seat. 8 You may proceed, counsel. 9 10 ANDREW CONWAY being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 11 12 examined and testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION By Ms. Gregory: 14 15 Q. Mr. Conway, please state and spell your name for the record. 16 ALJ SEE: Please slide the microphone a 17 18 little bit closer. Thank you. 19 Andrew Conway, C-O-N-W-A-Y. Α. 20 Q. And where are you employed? With the Public Utilities Commission of 2.1 Α. 22 Ohio. Q. And did you file prefiled testimony? 23 24 Α. Yes.

MS. GREGORY: Your Honor, may we approach

113 the witness? 1 2 ALJ MARCELLETTI: You may. 3 MS. GREGORY: Your Honor, I would like to have Mr. Conway's prefiled testimony marked as Staff 4 Exhibit 7. 5 ALJ MARCELLETTI: It will be so marked as 6 7 Staff Exhibit 7. (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 8 9 ALJ MARCELLETTI: And, Ms. Gregory, would 10 you mind just projecting just a little bit more? 11 MS. GREGORY: Sorry, yes. 12 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. 13 Q. (By Ms. Gregory) Mr. Conway, could you 14 please identify the document? 15 Α. This is my prefiled written testimony. And is this a true and accurate copy of 16 Ο. 17 your prefiled testimony? 18 Yes, it is. Α. 19 Are there any corrections to the prefiled Ο. 20 testimony? 2.1 Α. Yes. In question and answer 9 -- I'm 22 sorry, I mean question and answer 10, question and answer 10 -- I'm sorry. In question and answer 23 24 No. 9, I indicate that the Conditions 15 and 16 of

the Stipulation are acceptable. I understand that

it's been modified and the word roads has been
inserted into that condition, so with that addition
of that roads in that condition, it's -- it would be
acceptable.

Q. With the corrections, if you were asked

- Q. With the corrections, if you were asked the questions reflected in Staff Exhibit 7 today, would your answers be the same?
  - A. Yes, they would.

MS. GREGORY: Your Honor, the witness is available for cross-examination.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Before I start with cross-examination, I want to make sure I understand, Mr. Conway. So are you just modifying your answer for question 9 to include the addition of the word "road" for that glare analysis?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: All right. Thank you.

And with that, we can start with

Rushcreek Township. Do you have cross-examination

20 for the witness?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MS. PARCELS: Yes.

2.2

23 CROSS-EXAMINATION

24 By Ms. Parcels:

Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Conway. You

indicated in your prefiled testimony that you have been previously employed by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency and have been employed in your present position since 2009, correct?

- Α. That is correct.
- Okay. So you have testified in these Ο. sorts of proceedings before.
  - Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Ο. Okay. And you specifically noted that you were sponsoring portions of the Staff Report related to the geotechnical exploration and safety, correct?
  - Α. Not the geotechnical exploration.
  - Okay. Sorry. My mistake. Safety. Ο.
  - Safety, yes. Α.
- In reviewing the Staff Report, which Ο. specific section addresses the safety concerns?

Let me rephrase. In the table of contents for the Staff Report, is there a specific section dedicated to safety?

- Α. Yes. It would be under the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
- You reference Conditions 12, 13, and 14 Q. with respect to an emergency response plan. Is that 25 one of the aspects that you reviewed with respect to

safety?

- A. Yes. After reviewing the application, data request responses after our investigation, we recommend Conditions 12 and 13 related to safety.
- Q. Did you personally do a site visit for the project area?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Okay. When evaluating safety with respect to your inclusion in the Staff Report, did you solicit any input from your local first responders in the project area?
- A. That is a requirement of the condition that the -- the emergency response plan be developed in conjunction with the local fire and emergency response folks.
- Q. Given your familiarity with these projects, has a local emergency responder ever refused to agree to an emergency response plan with a solar applicant?
- A. I am not aware of -- I am not aware of any.
- MS. PARCELS: Okay. I have no further questions, your Honor. Thank you.
- 24 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you.
- Mr. Dunn, do you have any

117 cross-examination? 1 2 MR. DUNN: No cross for this witness, 3 your Honor. ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. The County, 4 5 Mr. Slone? 6 MR. SLONE: Thank you, no cross. 7 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Ohio Farm Bureau, Ms. Curtis? 8 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor. 9 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. 10 11 Applicant? 12 MR. LOCKSHAW: No, thank you, your Honor. 13 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Any redirect from Staff? 14 15 MS. GREGORY: Can we take a brief moment, your Honor, to confer? 16 17 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Of course. 18 Karen, we can go off the record. Thanks. (Discussion off the record.) 19 20 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Karen, we can go back 2.1 on the record. Thank you. 22 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 24 By Ms. Gregory: 25 Q. Mr. Conway, what would happen if there is

```
1
     a disagreement between the Applicant and the
2
     locals -- and the local emergency response team?
                 Well, the agreement with them being
 3
            Α.
     submit it to Staff for our review. I have reviewed
 4
 5
    many of these emergency response plans. We would
 6
     solicit local concerns and then see if the emergency
7
     response plan is sufficient, the current draft, and
     eventually the Board would recommend approval based
8
9
     on whatever the current -- the latest example of is
10
     sufficient to us.
11
                 MS. GREGORY: We have no more questions,
12
     your Honor.
                 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you.
13
14
                 Any questions from the Bench?
15
                 And the Bench has no further questions
16
     for you, Mr. Conway.
```

MS. GREGORY: Your Honor, we move for the admission of Staff Exhibit 7 into the record.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Any objections from Rushcreek Township?

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MS. PARCELS: No, thank you, your Honor.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Any objections from the Citizens group, Mr. Dunn?

MR. DUNN: No, your Honor.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Any objections from the

119 County? 1 2 MR. SLONE: No objection, your Honor. 3 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Ohio Farm Bureau? MS. CURTIS: No objection, your Honor. 4 5 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Applicant? 6 MR. LOCKSHAW: None. 7 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Exhibit -- Staff Exhibit 7, Mr. Conway's testimony, will be admitted. 8 9 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 10 ALJ MARCELLETTI: You may step down, 11 Mr. Conway. Thank you. Staff, if you would like to call your 12 13 next witness. 14 MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor. I would 15 like to call to the stand Jess Stottsberry. 16 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Good afternoon. 17 (Witness sworn.) 18 ALJ MARCELLETTI: You may be seated. 19 Thank you. 20 2.1 22 23 24 25

120 1 JESS STOTTSBERRY 2 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 3 examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 5 By Mr. Eubanks: 6 Ο. Hello. Please state and spell your name 7 for the record. Jess Stottsberry, S-T-O-T-T-S-B-E-R-R-Y. 8 Α. 9 Ο. With whom are you employed? The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. 10 Α. 11 Did you file prefiled testimony in this Q. 12 matter? 13 Α. Yes, I did. 14 MR. EUBANKS: May I approach the witness? 15 ALJ MARCELLETTI: You may. 16 (By Mr. Eubanks) Could you please Ο. 17 identify the document that's in front of you? 18 This appears to be my prefiled testimony. Α. MR. EUBANKS: Your Honor, I would like to 19 20 have marked as Staff's Exhibit 8, the prefiled 2.1 testimony of Jess Stottsberry. 22 ALJ MARCELLETTI: It is so marked. Thank 23 you. 24 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 25 Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) Is this a true and

121 accurate copy of your testimony? 1 2 Α. Yes, it is. 3 And it was prepared by you or with your Q. assistance? 4 5 A. Correct. Q. Are there any corrections you would like 6 7 to make to your testimony? 8 Α. No. 9 If I were to ask you the same questions Ο. 10 in your testimony today, would you provide the same 11 answers? 12 Α. Yes. 13 MR. EUBANKS: With that, I offer the witness for cross-examination. 14 15 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. I'll start 16 with Rushcreek Township. Any cross? 17 MS. PARCELS: Yes, briefly. 18 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION 20 By Ms. Parcels: 2.1 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Stottsberry. 22 Α. Hello. 23 Q. Do you have your testimony in front of 24 you? 25 A. I do.

Q. Okay. On page 2 you noted that you're sponsoring portions of the Staff Report regarding land use, regional planning, recreation, aesthetics, economic impact, geology, public and private water supplies, minimum adverse environmental impact summary, public interest, convenience, and necessity summary, air, water, and solid waste permitting. That's a lot. Specifically asking about the land use portion, did you personally visit the project area?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. You observed that the area is predominantly agricultural use currently?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you have any communication with the Township zoning officials in any of the three Townships as the project was initially proposed?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you have any communication with the Logan-Union-Champagne Regional Planning Commission about the land use in the project area?
  - A. No.
- Q. With respect to recreation, did you evaluate any portion of the Mill Creek -- Mill Creek for recreational uses as it currently exists?

- A. I do not see any specific reference to Mill Creek in the recreation section of the Staff Report. I don't have any specific recollection of that being considered outside the Staff Report, recreation section.
- Q. So you have no knowledge as far as whether Mill Creek might be used for recreational use such as fishing or canoeing?
  - A. Not specifically, no.
- Q. As far as economic impact with the Staff Report, we've already had testimony from the Applicant's witness with respect to the potential economic impact, does the Staff do an independent analysis as to economic impact?
  - A. We do not.
- Q. Okay. With respect to the geology of the area and the resources that Staff evaluated, did the Staff have any contact with the Logan County Soil and Water Conservation District?
  - A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- Q. With respect to public and private water supplies, did Staff have any contact with the Village of West Mansfield with respect to Mill Creek being their water supply?
- 25 A. No.

2.1

- Q. Did the Staff have any contact with the City of Marysville with respect to Mill Creek being its water supply?
  - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. With respect to air, water, and solid waste permitting, did the Staff have any contact with the Logan County Solid Waste District?
  - A. No.
- Q. Moving on to your testimony in question
  7, you said with specific conditions intended to
  address water supplies and subsurface conditions, you
  spent more than 20 years as a Geologist; is that
  correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the soil sampling as a result of your work as a Geologist?
  - A. Yeah. I am somewhat familiar with that.
  - Q. Okay.
  - ALJ SEE: I need the witness to speak up.

    THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
  - Q. So you indicated you are somewhat familiar with soil samplings due to your work as a Geologist?
- A. Yes. A lot of permit applications we see do include soil samples and analysis.

- Q. And based on the soil samples and analysis that were provided to Staff by the Applicant for this project, did Staff indicate any concerns with the soil sampling?
- A. I thumbed through the section. Could you please repeat your question, make sure I capture everything?
- Q. Based on the soil sample information provided by the Applicant to Staff, did Staff have any concerns about the soil samples with this project or the methodology used by the Applicant?
  - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

2.1

- Q. Shifting back into water, geology would include certain surface water drainage issues; is that a fair statement?
- A. No. We have a specific surface water section reviewed by another member, Staff member.
- Q. Okay. So who would that Staff member have been?
  - A. For this case it is Grant Zeto.
  - Q. Okay. So you did not review anything with respect to drainage?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 O. Or surface water?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Okay. But you did indicate in your testimony public and private water supplies were part of your review.
- A. Right. Looking at private wells and looking at source water protection areas which are both groundwater sources as opposed to a surface water sources.
- Q. Okay. Thank you for clarifying that. Is it fair to say you have been a State of Ohio employee for more than 20 years?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And as a public employee, have you ever taken advantage of training offered on the Ohio ethics law?
  - A. Yes.
- 16 Q. How recently?
  - A. I believe within the last year for sure.
- Q. Okay. I'm calling your attention to page
  4 of your testimony, specifically lines 4 through 9.
  You opined that "Bokescreek Township, which contains
  approximately 90 percent of the project area, appear
  to be supportive of the project." On what basis did
  you make that statement?
  - A. Generally for -- for our, you know, similar comparable cases, if local government opposes

a project like this, we will certainly hear their position. You know, as we mention here, you know, we did hear from one of the Township Trustees today, you know, understanding the issue at hand that there are two other Township Trustees within that Township that have a, you know, vested interest in the project as far as being active project participants, but ultimately, you know, we did not hear any objections or any opposition from Bokescreek Township.

2.1

Q. Is your understanding of Ohio ethics Law that it applies to public employees such as yourself and public officials?

MR. EUBANKS: Objection, irrelevant.

Objection. He is not an attorney.

MS. PARCELS: I'm asking for his lay person's understanding as a public employee who has had training on that law.

MR. EUBANKS: Objection. His lay person's opinion of what the law is is irrelevant.

MR. SECREST: Join in the objection. It is still a legal conclusion.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Move on, Ms. Parcels.

Q. (By Ms. Parcels) Mr. Stottsberry, your direct testimony is that "Comments seem to reflect that two of the Bokescreek Township Trustees are

landowners who are foregoing votes that would presumably be in support of the project." On what basis do you make that statement when Bokescreek Township has not formally acted to support the project?

A. My understanding would be of these two

Trustee members are -- are active participants in the

project, they would support the project. Otherwise,

they would not be active participants.

MS. PARCELS: I have no further questions for this witness.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you.

Questions from Citizens group?

MR. DUNN: Yes, your Honor.

15 | - - -

## 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION

17 By Mr. Dunn:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Mr. Stottsberry, I will try not to repeat the same questions to you, but I do have some questions along a similar line. You did sponsor the portion of the Staff Report for public interest, convenience, and necessity?
- A. I sponsored that section's conclusion.

  There are multiple subsections. Safety, Mr. Conway

  did. Discussion about public interaction and

- participation, Staff member Butler did. He also summarized public comments, Mr. Butler did, as well as the section on Senate Bill 52. But I sponsored specifically the recommended findings.
- Q. Okay. But you are aware that the Bokescreek Township Trustees, they take official action and speak through their resolution, by voting and adopting their resolution, right?
  - A. Please rephrase that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- 10 Q. The Township Trustees speak through the resolution, correct?
- MR. EUBANKS: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.
- ALJ MARCELLETTI: Can you rephrase,

  Mr. Dunn?
  - Q. (By Mr. Dunn) To your knowledge,
    Mr. Stottsberry, was there a vote by the Bokescreek
    Township Trustees regarding the project?
    - A. Not to my knowledge, no.
  - Q. Did you or any member of your Staff to your knowledge have direct contact with any of the Bokescreek Township Trustees about support for the project outside of an open meeting?
  - A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- Q. So just to clarify, when you say in your

direct testimony that some local government opposition exists, there has been no local government support of the project, correct?

2.1

- A. I do not believe there has been an official position taken. There's three local government bodies involved, being the two Townships in this case and the County Commissioners, and we specifically heard the opposition of the County Commissioners and recently the opposition of two of the Township Trustees from Rushcreek.
- Q. Okay. And you are aware that the two of the Bokescreek Township Trustees are conflicted out because they are paid leaseholders in the project, correct?
  - A. That is the understanding I have.
- Q. So on page 4, line 9 of your direct testimony, you conclude "Therefore, Staff continues to recommend the Board approve the project subject to the conditions recommended in the Staff Report." So you come to that conclusion based on the presumed support for the Bokescreek Township Trustees; am I reading that correctly?
- A. No. In recent cases as -- they relate to determinations of (A)(6), it is my recollection that any cases where Staff has recommended denial to the

Board on behalf of -- or not meeting the (A)(6) requirement, the commonality in all those cases there's unanimous local government opposition, and we did not feel that that occurred in this particular case.

2.1

- Q. So by virtue of being conflicted and being unable to vote, you counted that as a lack of universal unanimous opposition, correct?
- MR. EUBANKS: Objection, mischaracterizes his testimony.
- MR. DUNN: Well, you just -- your Honor, he just said they required -- they would recommend a denial if there was unanimous local government opposition. I think the question is exactly characterizing what he testified to.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: I will let Mr. Stottsberry clarify as he needs with that answer.

- A. Could you please repeat so I am clear on things?
- Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Staff's position was due to the conflict of interest of the two Bokescreek

  Township Trustees being unable to vote and pass a resolution, you equate that to a lack of unanimous opposition from local governments; is that correct?
  - A. Again, there's three government entities,

government bodies, and we did not hear opposition from all three of those bodies.

Q. By virtue of conflict of interest, they were unable to --

MR. SECREST: Objection.

MR. EUBANKS: Objection, calls for speculation. I mean, he -- he is assuming that if the vote occurred, they would be in opposition. They could have been for it.

MR. SECREST: I would agree. Misstates testimony. Mr. Stottsberry testified that two
Trustees participating in the project assumes support for the project, not opposition.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Move on from your questioning. Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Dunn) Mr. Stottsberry, under the recommended findings in the Staff Report, it states "Various Logan County Commissioners originally supported the project, some of whom later amended the supporting position, evidencing the robust debate and consideration of the project rather than its outright rejection by the community." Is that an accurate representation?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

Q. Has Staff considered that the change in

```
position of the elected County Commissioners is precisely because the public opposition was prominent, one-sided, and compelling?
```

- A. Yes. I believe when the County
  Commissioners changed their position, that was in
  their statement that listening to their constituents
  was what ultimately was one of the major factors as
  to why they changed their position from pro to
  opposed.
- Q. So you recognize there is widespread public opposition to the project --
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. -- correct? That was yes?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MR. DUNN: No further questions, your
- 16 Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 17 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you.
- Any cross from Mr. Slone on behalf of the
- 19 County?
- MR. SLONE: Yeah, just a couple of
- 21 questions, please.
- 22 | - -
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 24 | By Mr. Slone:
- Q. First time I have asked any questions

today and the last witness, I think. I want to clarify, if I can, please, the statements about the County changing positions. County Commissioners filed -- filing a letter of support, it was Commissioner Benedetti and Commissioner Antram; is that correct?

2.1

- A. Those names sound familiar, but I honestly can't remember between changes of administration and who was in the initial letter of support versus the letter of opposition.
- Q. Fair enough. The record -- the public comments will bear that out. Suffice it to say it was Commissioners Benedetti and Antram, and they filed their support on January 3, 2022, correct?
- A. Yeah. I don't have that information available.
- Q. Okay. There was a public information meeting in this case on January 12, 2022, yes or no?
- A. I have to look through the Staff Report here. Yes.
- Q. And then Commissioners Benedetti and
  Antram rescinded their support on January 25, 2022,
  after the public information meeting?
- A. I don't have the exact date here with the
  Staff Report I don't think.

- Q. I'm sorry. Was that an answer?
- A. Yeah. I don't have that exact date. I do have the January 12 date that there was a public information meeting.
- Q. So it's possible, is it not, that

  Commissioners Benedetti and Antram filed a letter of support before they actually got to hear fully about the project, or at least hear the presentation from the Applicant --
- MR. EUBANKS: Objection, calls for speculation. Sorry. I thought he was done with his question.
- MR. SLONE: So I am not done with my question.
- MR. EUBANKS: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- MR. SLONE: Okay. You withdraw your objection?
- MR. EUBANKS: Yeah, I'll withdraw.
- 19 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you. Yeah.
- Q. (By Mr. Slone) So we have got -- I just
  want to make sure. We have a sequence of events. We
  have the letters of support January 3, 2022, and we
  have a public information meeting January 12, and
  then Commissioners rescind their support on
- 25 January 25, correct?

- A. I do not have everything that's been filed on the public docket in front of me. I just have the Staff Report and my prefiled testimony.
- Q. Did you review the dates of the public information meeting and the letters of support and then rescinding of support of Commissioners Benedetti and Antram before you prepared your direct testimony where you -- let me start with that. Finish that question.
- A. Yeah. If it was filed on the docket, it was considered before filing my testimony.
- Q. And in light of those -- those dates that you reviewed, you still recommend the Board approve the project based on nonunanimous government opposition?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- MR. SLONE: No further questions. Thank you.
- 19 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you.
- 20 Moving on to the Farm Bureau.
- MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.
- 22 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Thank you.
- 23 Any questions from the Applicant?
- MR. SECREST: No, thank you, your Honor.
- 25 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Redirect from staff?

MR. EUBANKS: No redirect, your Honor.

ALJ MARCELLETTI: Questions from the

3 Bench?

2.1

EXAMINATION

## 

By ALJ Marcelletti:

- Q. I have one clarifying question for you,
  Mr. Stottsberry, just so I can understand. During
  your testimony today, you indicated when you were
  working on your prefiled testimony, the fact that you
  had two Bokescreek Township Trustees as two
  landowners involved with the project, you used that
  to base your conclusion on page 4 where you found
  that they were positive for the project.
- A. I'm sorry, but could you please restate that?
- Q. Yes. Sorry. That was a bit wordy. The fact that two of the Bokescreek Township Trustees are two landowners in lease with the Applicant, you used that information to -- to inform your opinion that that Township was in favor of the project?
- A. Not necessarily, no. I think for quite a while we've -- we've known or suspected that two of the Township Trustees of Bokescreek were participating landowners so that's been under

138 consideration for quite a while including, you know, 1 2 when we developed the Staff Report. ALJ MARCELLETTI: Okay. No further 3 questions from the Bench. Thank you. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. EUBANKS: With that I would like to 6 7 have Staff's Exhibit 8 moved into evidence. 8 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Any objection from the 9 Township? 10 MS. PARCELS: No, thank you, your Honor. 11 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Mr. Dunn? 12 MR. DUNN: No objection. 13 ALJ MARCELLETTI: County, Mr. Slone? 14 MR. SLONE: No objection. Thank you. 15 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Ohio Farm Bureau, 16 Ms. Curtis? 17 MS. CURTIS: No objection. 18 ALJ MARCELLETTI: Applicant? 19 MR. SECREST: No objection. Thank you, 20 your Honor. 2.1 ALJ MARCELLETTI: And with that, 22 Mr. Stottsberry's testimony will be admitted as 23 Exhibit 8. 24 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 25 ALJ SEE: Mr. Eubanks, there was the

issue raised before we went to lunch regarding the difference between the Staff -- the conditions in the Staff Report in comparison to the Stipulation -- the conditions in the Stipulation.

2.1

MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor. Staff in off time conferred with the Applicant. After going through the Stipulation, Staff is suffice that the Stipulation Condition 1 combined with the testimony of Mike --

MS. PIRIK: Daniel Vertucci.

MR. EUBANKS: Daniel Vertucci -- I can't say that -- which lays out the modifications to the Stipulation is enough for Staff.

ALJ SEE: Okay. Let's go off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

ALJ SEE: Let's go back on the record.

The parties have agreed to a briefing schedule with initial briefs due September 18, 2023, and reply briefs due October 16, 2023. Remind you those are double spaced. Remind you that those are double spaced.

Thank you. Remind you that those are double spaced. Oh, just file them -- they need to be in the docket no later than 5:00 p.m., I believe Docketing closes. You might want to look into

```
140
     electronically filing your testimony [SIC] with a
 1
     courtesy copy sent to the assigned ALJs,
 2
 3
     Administrative Law Judges.
                 Is there anything else before we conclude
 4
     the hearing today?
 5
                 Hearing none, we are adjourned. Thank
 6
 7
     you all.
 8
                  (Thereupon, at 3:02 p.m., the hearing was
 9
     adjourned.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, August 15, 2023, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-7505) 

## This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/29/2023 11:53:15 AM

in

Case No(s). 21-1231-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript August 15th 2023 In the Matter of the Application of Fountain Point Solar Energy LLC for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need to Construct a Solar-Powered Electric Generation Facility in Logan County, Ohio. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs..