BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

In the Matter of the Application of

Fountain Point Solar Energy LLC for a : Case No. 21-1231-EL-BGN

Certification of Environmental

Compatibility and Public Need to

Construct a Solar-Powered Electric

Generation Facility in Logan County, Ohio

MOTION TO STRIKE PORTIONS OF THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DANIEL VERTUCCI

Pursuant to Ohio Adm.Code 4906-2-27, the Logan County Board of Commissioners ("Logan County") respectfully moves to strike the following portions of the direct testimony of Mr. Daniel Vertucci as filed on July 24, 2023:

- Pg. 15 / lines 9 11, 18 19, 25 26, and 29 31;
- Pg. 16 / lines 1, and 13 14;
- Pg. 17 / lines 17 18, and 31; and
- Pg. 18 / lines 1, and 16 20.

These passages are hearsay testimony for which no exception applies, and they should be stricken pursuant to the Rules of Evidence and under common evidentiary practices at the Ohio Power Siting Board. Further details and explanation are set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lee A. Slone

Lee A. Slone (0075539)

McMahon DeGulis LLP

1335 Dublin Road, Suite 216A

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Tele: 614-678-5372

<u>lslone@mdllp.net</u>

Attorney for the

Logan County Board of Commissioners

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Mr. Vertucci's direct, written testimony contains hearsay in the form of alleged statements

by Logan County Commissioners, and possibly others, offered as evidence to prove the truth of

the matters asserted. These alleged statements are inherently unreliable and should be stricken

from the evidentiary record of this case.

'Hearsay' is defined as a statement, other than one made by the declarant while testifying

at the trial or hearing, offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted. Evid. Rule

801(C). A hearsay "statement" is defined by Evid. R. 801(A) as (1) an oral or written assertion or

(2) non-verbal conduct of a person, if it is intended by him as an assertion. Hearsay is generally

prohibited unless it falls within a specific exception to the hearsay rule. Evid. Rule 802. "The

purpose of the rule against hearsay is to keep unreliable evidence, particularly evidence that is not

subject to cross-examination, away from the jury or trier of fact." State v. May, 2011-Ohio-6637,

970 N.E.2d 1029, ¶ 38 (7th Dist.). Although not strictly bound by the Ohio Rules of Evidence, the

Commission "seeks to maintain consistency with the Ohio Rules of Evidence to the extent

practicable." In re the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of its

Electric Security Plan, Case No. 12 426-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order (Sept. 4, 2013) at 17.

Here, Mr. Vertucci's testimony includes the following inadmissible hearsay evidence –

third-party statements offered for their truth, and where no exception to the hearsay prohibition

applies:

2

Page 15 of 29

- 9 Commissioner Benedetti followed up, ultimately asking us to draft them a letter
- of support for this Project, and expressing their interest in seeing solar
- development investments in Logan County.
- 18 Commissioners Benedetti and Antram reiterated their interest in signing letters
- of support broadly for solar development within Logan County.
- 25 Commission indicated they would like to begin payment in lieu of tax
- 26 ("PILOT") negotiations after the upcoming PIM.
- 29 Commissioner Benedetti told me after the PIM that he thought it went well and
- and expressed that he felt the overall sentiment of the meeting was positive, with
- 31 his personal assessment from the evening being that more folks were supportive

Page 16 of 29

- 1 than not.
- 13 ***, including their unfounded
- claim that they were not previously aware of the Project size or location.

Page 17 of 29

- 17 *** In fact, the Commissioners communicated
- displeasure with the Applicant trying to improve the Project.
- 31 The Commission indicated that they would prefer to negotiate specifics related

Page 18 of 29

- 1 to the PILOT agreement after the local public hearing.
- 16 Commissioner Antram expressed concerns over how he felt that bully tactics
- 17 had played into opposition to the Project, and indicated he wanted more
- information regarding what specifically could be done within the stipulation to
- 19 address potential uncertainties that may remain with regards to the final Project
- design.

In accordance with the foregoing, Logan County respectfully requests the Board or ALJ strike from the evidentiary record those portions of Mr. Daniel Vertucci's direct testimony, as reprinted above, and preclude the testimony from being presented at the hearing in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lee A. Slone

Lee A. Slone (0075539) McMahon DeGulis LLP 1335 Dublin Road, Suite 216A Columbus, Ohio 43215 Tele: 614-678-5372 lslone@mdllp.net

Attorney for the Logan County Board of Commissioners

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Ohio Power Siting Board's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the parties referenced on the service list of the docket card who have electronically subscribed to the case. Additionally, the undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing is also being served upon the following via electronic mail on the date of filing:

shaun.lyons@OhioAGO.gov janet.gregory@OhioAGO.gov amy.botschnerobrien@ohioAGO.gov robert.eubanks@OhioAGO.gov

estewart@logancountyohio.gov bparcels@logancountyohio.gov kdd@planklaw.com dw@planklaw.com cendsley@ofbf.org lcurtis@ofbf.org greta.see@puco.ohio.gov jacqueline.St.John@puco.ohio.gov isabel.marcelletti@puco.ohio.gov

cpirik@dickinsonwright.com mmcdonnell@dickinsonwright.com jsecrest@dickinsonwright.com dlockshaw@dickinsonwright.com

/s/ Lee A. Slone

Lee A. Slone (0075539)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/10/2023 9:58:03 AM

in

Case No(s). 21-1231-EL-BGN

Summary: Motion to Strike Portions of the Direct Testimony of Daniel Vertucci electronically filed by Mr. Lee A. Slone on behalf of Logan County Board of Commissioners.