

**OHIO POWER COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO
OHIO POWER SITING BOARD STAFF'S
DISCOVERY REQUEST
OPSB CASE 23-0531-EL-BLN
STAFF FIRST SET**

INTERROGATORY

| | |
|-----------|--|
| DR-01-001 | Would the purchase agreement mentioned on page 3 of the application be completed before the start of construction? |
|-----------|--|

RESPONSE

Yes, the Company anticipates the purchase agreement will be in place prior to starting construction for the Project.

**OHIO POWER COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO
OHIO POWER SITING BOARD STAFF'S
DISCOVERY REQUEST
OPSB CASE 23-0531-EL-BLN
STAFF FIRST SET**

INTERROGATORY

| | |
|-----------|---|
| DR-01-002 | Will there be a need for any new easements, property agreements, or changes to the right-of-way other than the proposed purchase agreement with the customer, mentioned at the bottom of page 3 of the application? |
|-----------|---|

RESPONSE

No additional easements or agreements are needed outside of the information provided in the Company's application.

**OHIO POWER COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO
OHIO POWER SITING BOARD STAFF'S
DISCOVERY REQUEST
OPSB CASE 23-0531-EL-BLN
STAFF FIRST SET**

INTERROGATORY

DR-01-003

Just to confirm, the tie-lines to the Jerome Station would come from the Amlin-Hyatt 138-kV circuit, and not the Hayden-Hyatt 345-kV Line. Is that correct?

RESPONSE

That is correct. There are no 345 kV circuits being proposed to Jerome Station. One 138 kV source will come from the Amlin-Hyatt 138 kV circuit and one source will come from the Kileville Station.

**OHIO POWER COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO
OHIO POWER SITING BOARD STAFF'S
DISCOVERY REQUEST
OPSB CASE 23-0531-EL-BLN
STAFF FIRST SET**

INTERROGATORY

DR-01-004 Are Jerome Station and Kileville Station being built to provide 240 MW service to the same customer? If so, why isn't the Kileville Station sufficient to provide this service to the customer?

RESPONSE

Kileville and Jerome stations will provide service for two separate deliveries to the same customer. The load between the two sites will total 443 MW when the customer's build out is complete. Serving Jerome Station from Kileville Station is not physically feasible as the sites are over two miles apart from each other in a quickly developing area.

**OHIO POWER COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO
OHIO POWER SITING BOARD STAFF'S
DISCOVERY REQUEST
OPSB CASE 23-0531-EL-BLN
STAFF FIRST SET**

INTERROGATORY

| | |
|-----------|---|
| DR-01-005 | Has PJM assigned a supplemental ID to the Project? If not, when is it expected? |
|-----------|---|

RESPONSE

No, a PJM supplemental ID has not been assigned, but would be expected in the next several months after PJM completes its Do No Harm analysis.

**OHIO POWER COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO
OHIO POWER SITING BOARD STAFF'S
DISCOVERY REQUEST
OPSB CASE 23-0531-EL-BLN
STAFF FIRST SET**

INTERROGATORY

DR-01-006 Page 16 of the Application states the initial load will be 160 MW with a potential peak demand of 203 MW, while the AEP local plan of January 21, 2022 for the same Need Number: AEP-2021-OH049 and Jerome Delivery Point, speaks of an initial load of 106 MW with a potential peak demand of 244 MW. Which of these would be more accurate and why is there such a difference?

RESPONSE

The customer had recently updated their load demand to initial & ultimate load of 203 MW. A revised Need was presented to PJM on February 17, 2023 under the same Need number (AEP-2021-OH049).

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

6/7/2023 4:08:10 PM

in

Case No(s). 23-0531-EL-BLN

Summary: Response To Staff's 1st Data Request electronically filed by Hector Garcia-Santana on behalf of Ohio Power Company.