The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

TELECOMMUNICATIONS FILING FORM

(Effective: 04-01-2020)

Per the Commission's 5/29/2019 "Implementation Order" in Case No. 19-0173-TP-ORD

This form is intended to be used with most types of required filings. It provides check boxes with rule references for the most common types of filings. It does not replace or supersede Commission rules in anyway.

In the Matter of the Application of AT&T Ohio for an Exemption)	TRF Docket No	. 90-5032-TP-TRF
from the Requirements of Section 4927.12 of the Revised Code)	Case No. 23-024	44-TP-BEX
Throughout its Service Territory.)	NOTE: Unless you the "Case No." fiel	have reserved a Case #, leave d BLANK.
Name of Registrant(s) The Ohio Bell Telephone Company			
DBA(s) of Registrant(s) AT&T Ohio			
Address of Registrant(s) 208 S. Akard St, 25th Floor, Dallas TX 7520)2		
Company Web Address www.att.com			
Regulatory Contact Person(s) Richard T. Howell	Phone (469) <u>657</u> - <u>6722</u>	Fax
Regulatory Person's Email Address rh2514@att.com			
Contact Person for Annual Report Richard T. Howell		Phone	e ()
Consumer Contact Information Richard T. Howell			Phone ()
Address (if different from above) <u>Click here to enter text.</u>			
Motion for protective order included with filing? \Box Yes \boxtimes No			
Motion for waiver(s) filed affecting this case? \square Yes \boxtimes No [Note: V	Vaivers ma	y toll any automa	tic timeframe.]
Notes:			
Sections I and II are pursuant to Ohio Administrative Code (OAC)	4901:1-6.		
Section III – Part I - Carrier to Carrier is pursuant to OAC 4901:1-7		tachment to OAC	C 4901:1-3
Section III – Part II - Wireless is pursuant to OAC <u>4901:1-6-24</u> .			
Section IV – Attestation.			

- (1) Indicate the Carrier Type and the reason for submitting this form by checking the boxes below.
- (2) For requirements for various applications, see identified section of the Ohio Administrative Code Chapter 4901 and/or the supplemental application form noted.
- (3) Information regarding the number of copies required by the PUCO may be obtained from the PUCO's website at www.PUCO.ohio.gov under the docketing information system section (Procedural filing requirements), by calling the Docketing Division at 614-466-4095 or by visiting the Docketing Division at the offices of the PUCO.
- (4) An Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier (ILEC) offering basic local exchange service (BLES) outside its traditional service area should choose CLEC designation when proposing to offer BLES outside its traditional service area or when proposing to make changes to that service.

All filings that result in a change to one or more tariff pages require, at a minimum, the following exhibits:

Exhibit	Description:
A	The tariff pages subject to the proposed change(s) as they exist before the change(s).
В	The tariff pages subject to the proposed change(s), reflecting the change, with the change(s) marked in the right
Б	margin.
С	A short description of the nature of the change(s), the intent of the change(s), and the customers affected.
D	A copy of the notice provided to customers, along with an affidavit that the notice was provided
D	according to the applicable rule(s).

<u>Carrier Type:</u>☑ Other (Explain below)	For Profit ILEC	Not for Profit ILEC	CLEC		
Change terms & conditions of existing BLES.	☐ ATA <u>1-6-14(J)(2)</u> (Auto 30 days)	☐ ATA <u>1-6-14(J)</u> (Auto 30 days)	☐ ATA <u>1-6-14(J)</u> (Auto 30 days)		
Introduce non-recurring charge, surcharge or fee to BLES	☐ ATA <u>1-6-14(J)</u> (Auto 30 days)		☐ ATA <u>1-6-14(J)</u> (Auto 30 days)		
Introduce or Increase Late Payment	☐ ATA <u>1-6-14(J)</u> (Auto 30 days)	☐ ATA <u>1-6-14(J)</u> (Auto 30 days)	☐ ATA <u>1-6-14(J)</u> (Auto 30 days)		
Revisions to BLES Cap	☐ ZTA <u>1-6-14(E)</u> (0 day notice)				
Introduce BLES or expand local service area (calling area)	☐ ZTA <u>1-6-14(E)</u> (0 day notice)	☐ ZTA <u>1-6-14(E)</u> (0 day notice)	☐ ZTA <u>1-6-14(E)</u> (0 day notice)		
Change BLES Rates	☐ TRF <u>1-6-14(E) & (G)</u> (0 day notice)	☐ TRF <u>1-6-14(E)</u> (0 day notice)	☐ TRF <u>1-6-14(H)</u> (0 day notice)		
To obtain BLES pricing flexibility	☐ BLS <u>1-6-14(C)(1)(c)</u> (Auto 30 days)				
Notice of no obligation to construct facilities and provide BLES	☐ ZTA <u>1-6-27(C)</u> (0 day notice)	☐ ZTA <u>1-6-27(C)</u> (0 day notice)			
Change in boundary	☐ ACB <u>1-6-32</u> (Auto 14 days)	☐ ACB <u>1-6-32</u> (Auto 14 days)			
Expand service operation area			☐ TRF <u>1-6-08(G)</u> (0 day notice)		
BLES withdrawal	☐ WBL <u>4927.10</u> (120 day notice)		☐ ZTA <u>1-6-25(B)</u> (0 day notice)		
Other (explain): BEX- In the Matter of the Application of AT&T Ohio for an Exemption from the Requirements of Section 4927.12 of the Revised Code Throughout its Service Territory.					
Section I – Part I - Common Filings:					

Section I – Part II – Customer Notification Offerings Pursuant to Chapter 4901:1-6-07 OAC

Type of Notice	Direct Mail	Bill Insert	Bill Notation	Electronic Mail
☐ 15-day Notice				
☐ 30-day Notice				
Date Notice Sent:				

Section I – Part III – Inmate Operator Service Pursuant to Chapter 4901:1-6-22 OAC

Introduce New	Tariff Change	Price Change	Withdraw
□TRF	□ATA	□TRF	□unc
(0 day notice)	(Auto 30 days)	(0 day notice)	(Non-Auto)

^{*}Other exhibits may be required under the applicable rule, see the 4901:106-14(E) Filing Requirements on the PUCO's webpage for a complete list of exhibits.

Section II – Part I – Carrier Certification – Pursuant to Chapter 4901:1-6-08 & 10 OAC and Competitive Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Designation (CETC) – Pursuant to Chapter 4901:1-6-09 OAC

ILEC (Out of territory)	CLEC	Telecommunications Service Provider Not Offering Local Service	CESTC	CETC
□ ACE <u>1-6-08</u>	☐ ACE <u>1-6-08</u>	□ ACE <u>1-6-08</u>	☐ ACE 1-6-10	☐ UNC 1-6-09
(Auto 30-day)*	(Auto 30-day)*	(Auto 30-day)*	(Auto 30-day)	(Non-Auto)*

^{*}Supplemental forms can be found on the PUCO webpage - Telecommunications application forms.

Section II - Part II - Change in Operation or Ownership

Change in Operation or Ownership	ILEC	CLEC	Telecommunications Service Provider Not Offering Local Service
Abandon all services		☐ ABN <u>1-6-26</u>	☐ ABN <u>1-6-26</u>
Abandon an services		(Auto 30 days)	(Auto 30 days)
Change of official name *	☐ ACN <u>1-6-29(B)</u>	☐ ACN <u>1-6-29(B)</u>	☐ <u>CIO 1-6-29(C)</u>
Change of official frame	(Auto 30 days)	(Auto 30 days)	(0-day notice)
Change in extraordin *	□ ACO <u>1-6-29(E)(1)</u>	☐ ACO <u>1-6-29(E)(1)</u>	□ <u>CIO 1-6-29(C)</u>
Change in ownership *	(Auto 30 days)	(Auto 30 days)	(0-day notice)
Merger *	\Box AMT <u>1-6-29(E)(1)</u>	☐ AMT <u>1-6-29(E)(1)</u>	☐ <u>CIO 1-6-29(C)</u>
Weigei	(Auto 30 days)	(Auto 30 days)	(0-day notice)
Transfer certificate *	☐ ATC <u>1-6-29(B)</u>	☐ ATC <u>1-6-29(B)</u>	☐ <u>CIO 1-6-29(C)</u>
Transfer certificate	(Auto 30 days)	(Auto 30 days)	(0-day notice)
Transaction for transfer or	□ ATR <u>1-6-29(B)</u>	☐ ATC <u>1-6-29(B)</u>	□ <u>CIO 1-6-29(C)</u>
lease of property, plant or business *	(Auto 30 days)	(Auto 30 days)	(0-day notice)
FCC Authorized Change in	☐ CIO <u>1-6-29 (E)(2)</u>	☐ CIO <u>1-6-29 (E)(2)</u>	☐ CIO <u>1-6-29 (E)(2)</u>
Ownership or Merger	(0-day notice)	(0-day notice)	(0-day notice)

^{*}Other exhibits may be required under the applicable rule(s). ACN, ACO, AMT, ATC, ATR, and CIO applications see the 4901:1-6-29 Filing Requirements on the PUCO webpage for a complete list of exhibits.

Section III – Part I - Carrier to Carrier (Pursuant to 4901:1-7) & Attachments to Utility Equipment or Rights of Way (Pursuant to 4901:1-3)

Carrier to Carrier	ILEC	CLEC
Interconnection agreement or amendment to	□ NAG <u>1-7-07</u>	□ NAG <u>1-7-07</u>
an approved agreement	(Auto 90 days)	(Auto 90 days)
Dogwood for arbitration	□ ARB <u>1-7-09</u>	□ ARB <u>1-7-09</u>
Request for arbitration	(Non-Auto)	(Non-Auto)
Turkun daran an daran an anunian ka anunian kaniffa	□ ATA <u>1-7-14</u>	□ ATA <u>1-7-14</u>
Introduce or change carrier to carrier tariffs	(Auto 30 days)	(Auto 30 days)
Request rural carrier exemption, rural carrier	☐ UNC <u>1-7-04 or 05</u>	
suspension or modification	(Auto 30 days)	
Changes in rates, terms & conditions to pole	□ ATA <u>1-3-04</u>	
attachments, conduit occupancy and rights of	(Auto 60 days)	

way. (13-579-AU-ORD 11/30/16 Entry)	
Section III – Part II – Facilities-based Wireless Servi	ice Providers (Pursuant to 4901:1-6-24)
Registration and Change in Operations*	□ RCC <u>1-6-24(B)</u>
	(0 day notice)
Interconnection Agreement or amendment to an approved	□ NAG <u>1-7-07</u>
Agreement.	(0 day notice)
*Change in Operations filing must be filed in the original RC process. Section IV. – Attestation	C case designation code established during the registration
Registrant hereby attests to it compliance with the pertir	nent entries and orders issued by the Commission.
AFFID	<u>OAVIT</u>
Compliance with (Commission Rules
I am an officer/agent of the applicant corporation, AT&T Ohio, a Richard T. Howell (Name)	and am authorized to make this statement on its behalf.
Please check All that apply:	
\square I attest that these tariffs comply with all applicable rules for the not imply Commission approval and that the Commission's rule contradictory provisions in our tariff. We will fully comply with noncompliance can result in various penalties, including the sustainable.	es, as modified and clarified from time to time, supersede any h the rules of the State of Ohio and understand that
\Box I attest that customer notices accompanying this filing form vaccordance with Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-6-7.	were sent to affected customers, as specified in Section II, in
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and	correct.
Executed on March 21, 2023 at Dallas, Texas	
/s/ Richard T. Howell	March 21, 2023

^{*}This affidavit is required for every tariff-affecting filing. It may be signed by counsel, an officer of the applicant or an authorized agent of the applicant.

VERIFICATION

I, Richard T. Howell, verify I have utilized the Telecommunications Commission and that all of the information submitted here and all a	
and correct to the best of my knowledge.	
/s/ Richard T. Howell	March 21, 2023
*Signature and Title	Date
*Verification is required for every filing. It may be signed by counsel or an	officer of the applicant, or an authorized agent of the applicant.

File document electronically as directed in case number 06-900-AU-WVR $\,$

01

Send your completed Filing Form, including all required attachments as well as the required number of copies to:

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Attention: Docketing Division 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215-3793

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application)	
of AT&T Ohio for an Exemption)	
from the Requirements of Section)	Case No. 23-0244-TP-BEX
4927.12 of the Revised Code Throughout)	
its Service Territory.)	

AT&T OHIO'S APPLICATION FOR AN EXEMPTION FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 4927.12 OF THE REVISED CODE THROUGHOUT ITS SERVICE TERRITORY

Introduction

AT&T Ohio ("AT&T" or "the Company"), by its attorney, and pursuant to R. C. § 4927.123 and O. A. C. § 4901:1-6-14, hereby applies for an exemption from the requirements of R. C. § 4927.12 throughout its service territory. In support of its application, the Company makes the following showings herein:

AT&T Ohio is an incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC") that provides basic local exchange service (sometimes referred to as "BLES" here) in 192 exchanges throughout the State of Ohio. Today, the pricing of that service is subject to the restrictions of R. C. § 4927.12.

This application is being filed no earlier than four years after the effective date of R. C. § 4927.123, as provided therein. That section took effect on March 20, 2019. See Attachment 1 (an authenticated copy of R. C. § 4927.123 with the effective date, March 20, 2019, noted).

¹ AT&T Ohio is a fictitious name of The Ohio Bell Telephone Company, on file with the Ohio Secretary of State.

The Application Meets The Statutory Requirements

The Company demonstrates that it has experienced at least fifty per cent line loss in each of its exchange areas since January 1, 2002. "Line loss" is defined in the statute as follows:

"Line loss" refers to the number of access lines, whether residential or commercial, for which a customer of an incumbent local exchange carrier has terminated local exchange service.

R. C. § 4927.123(A). Attachment 2 details the line loss experienced in each of the Company's 192 exchanges since January 1, 2002. That attachment includes data updated as of the end of the month of January 2023, as noted.

The Company also demonstrates that one (or more) of the following criteria applies in each of its exchange areas:

- (a) The Company increased its rates for basic local exchange service for the exchange area within the twelve months prior to September 13, 2010;
- (b) The Commission made a determination that the exchange area qualified for alternative regulation of basic local exchange service under Chapter 4901:1-4 of the Ohio Administrative Code as that chapter existed on September 13, 2010; or
- (c) The Company filed an application for the exchange area that was approved or deemed approved under division (B)(3)(b) of section 4927.12 of the Revised Code.

Attachment 3 details the criteria met, by exchange, for each of the Company's 192 exchanges. The criteria are designated (a), (b), and (c) in the statute and on Attachment 3. The criteria are designated (i), (ii), and (iii) in the Commission rule, O.A.C. § 4901:1-6-14(F)(1)(a).

As to criterion (a), the Company increased the rates for primary small business BLES lines in its Access Areas B and C effective January 4, 2010 (a date that is within the twelve months prior to September 13, 2010).

As to criterion (b), 176 of the Company's 192 exchanges were determined by the Commission to qualify for alternative regulation of basic local exchange service under Chapter 4901:1-4 of the Ohio Administrative Code as that chapter existed on September 13, 2010.

Attachment 4 lists the cases in which those determinations were made and the number of exchanges to which the determinations applied in each case.

As to criterion (c), the Company's application for its 16 remaining exchanges was deemed approved under division (B)(3)(b) of section 4927.12 of the Revised Code. Attachment 4 also includes the details on that case in its last entry.

Conclusion

Having met all the requirements of the applicable statute and rule, the Company's application should be approved or allowed to be "deemed approved" pursuant to the applicable statutory provisions.

Respectfully submitted,

AT&T Ohio

By: /s/ Jon F. Kelly

Jon F. Kelly (0012853) 105 Paddle Loop Mooresville, NC 28117-0092

(614) 440-6157 <u>jonfkelly@sbcglobal.net</u> (Willing to accept service via e-mail)

Its Attorney

Dated: March 21, 2023

Ohio Revised Code

Section 4927.123 Exemption for incumbent local exchange carriers.

Effective: March 20, 2019

Legislation: House Bill 402 - 132nd General Assembly

(A) As used in this section:

"Exchange area" has the same meaning as in section 4927.12 of the Revised Code.

"Line loss" refers to the number of access lines, whether residential or commercial, for which a customer of an incumbent local exchange carrier has terminated local exchange service.

- (B) Not earlier than the date that is exactly four years after the effective date of this section, an incumbent local exchange carrier may apply to the public utilities commission for an exemption from the requirements of section 4927.12 of the Revised Code for an exchange area, subject to division (E) of this section.
- (C) The commission shall approve an application under division (B) of this section if the carrier demonstrates that both of the following apply:
- (1) The carrier has experienced at least fifty per cent line loss in the exchange area since January 1, 2002.
- (2) One of the following applies:
- (a) The carrier increased the carrier's rates for basic local exchange service for the exchange area within the twelve months prior to September 13, 2010.
- (b) The commission made a determination that the exchange area qualified for alternative regulation of basic local exchange service under Chapter 4901:1-4 of the Ohio Administrative Code as that chapter existed on September 13, 2010.
- (c) The carrier filed an application for the exchange area that was approved or deemed approved



under division (B)(3)(b) of section 4927.12 of the Revised Code.

- (D) On the thirty-first day after the filing of an application under division (B) of this section, the commission shall be deemed to have found that the application meets the requirements of division(C) of this section unless the commission, within thirty days after the filing of the application, issues an order finding that the requirements have not been met.
- (E) If an application for an exchange area is approved or deemed approved under this section, the incumbent local exchange carrier that filed the application shall be exempt from the requirements of section 4927.12 of the Revised Code for the exchange area to which the application applies, except that the carrier may not alter the carrier's rates for basic local exchange service below the carrier's incremental cost. "Incremental cost" has the same meaning as in section 4927.12 of the Revised Code.
- (F) Nothing in this section shall be construed to impair the rights of any person to file a complaint with the commission under section 4927.21 of the Revised Code, or the rights of the commission to initiate such a complaint, against an incumbent local exchange carrier who has been granted an exemption under this section.

	Exchange Name	2001 Access	EOM	Lines lost	Percent
		Lines*	January	since 2001 as	Line Loss
			2023	of EOM	since
			Access	January	2001 as of
			Lines	2023	EOM
					January
					2023
1	LEWISVILLE	694	253	441	64%
2	ARABIA	1,457	432	1,025	70%
3	GRAYSVILLE	813	208	605	74%
4	WALNUT	959	230	729	76%
5	NEWPORT	1,261	279	982	78%
6	SOMERTON	375	80	295	79%
7	WOODSFIELD	3,291	627	2,664	81%
8	SHAWNEE	1,175	202	973	83%
9	NEW MATAMORAS	1,364	227	1,137	83%
10	BEALLSVILLE	1,177	192	985	84%
11	CHESHIRE	1,161	188	973	84%
12	RIO GRANDE	1,727	266	1,461	85%
13	VINTON	1,647	243	1,404	85%
14	GUYAN	2,046	301	1,745	85%
15	DUFFY	1,395	198	1,197	86%
16	CORNING	900	124	776	86%
17	SALINEVILLE	1,242	170	1,072	86%
18	CLARINGTON	825	110	715	87%
19	BURTON	4,270	531	3,739	88%
20	MARSHALL	998	124	874	88%
21	MURRAY CITY	299	37	262	88%
22	NORWICH	1,313	156	1,157	88%
23	GNADENHUTTEN	1,496	172	1,324	89%
24	MARIETTA	20,066	2,306	17,760	89%
25	CONESVILLE	841	96		89%
26	GALLIPOLIS	9,532	1,060	8,472	89%
27	PHILO	2,437	269	2,168	89%
28	SUGAR GROVE	1,656	175	1,481	89%
29	BETHESDA	1,729	178	1,551	90%
30	FULTONHAM	1,352	131	1,221	90%
31	RAINSBORO	944	91	853	90%
32	WEST LAFAYETTE	3,411	328	3,083	90%
33	NELSONVILLE	4,666	436	4,230	91%
34	BELFAST	687	64	623	91%
35	ST CLAIRSVILLE	9,717	900	8,817	91%
36	DANVILLE-H	814	74	740	91%
37	GATES MILLS	1,920	173	1,747	91%
38	GLENFORD	614	53	561	91%
39	SOMERSET	2,519	215	2,304	91%

^{*} Based on Annual Report of THE OHIO BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, year ended December 31, 2001

	Exchange Name	2001 Access	EOM	Lines lost	Percent
1	ē .	Lines*	January	since 2001 as	
1			2023	of EOM	since
1			Access	January	2001 as of
1			Lines	2023	EOM
1					January
					2023
40	BELLAIRE	8,945	739	8,206	92%
41	MILLEDGEVILLE	1,010	81	929	92%
42	NEW LEXINGTON	5,839	463	5,376	92%
43	NORTH JACKSON	2,490	193	2,297	92%
44	IRONTON	17,422	1,337	16,085	92%
45	NEW HOLLAND	772	57	715	93%
46	NEW ALBANY	12,767	934	11,833	93%
47	SUGAR TREE RIDGE	720	52	668	93%
48	KIRTLAND	4,342	313	4,029	93%
49	ROSEVILLE	1,565	111	1,454	93%
50	BARNESVILLE	3,626	256	3,370	93%
51	NEW RIEGEL	948	65	883	93%
52	UHRICHSVILLE	7,269	494	6,775	93%
53	MANTUA	3,532	240	3,292	93%
54	WASHINGTON CH	12,158	824	11,334	93%
55	TERRACE	30,606	2,048	28,558	93%
56	BLOOMINGBURG	853	57	796	93%
57	INDEPENDENCE	22,953	1,528	21,425	93%
58	RUSHVILLE	1,377	91	1,286	93%
59	TREMONT CITY	653	43	610	93%
60	LOCKBOURNE	19,368	1,275	18,093	93%
61	MAGNOLIA WAYNESB	3,970	261	3,709	93%
62	GREENSBURG	7,964	523	7,441	93%
63	NEWCOMERSTOWN	5,175	335	4,840	94%
64	CHAGRIN FALLS	29,409	1,902	27,507	94%
65	LISBON	5,777	369	5,408	94%
66	UPPER SANDUSKY	6,220	395	5,825	94%
67	ENON	4,157	263	3,894	94%
68	GROVEPORT	5,071	318	4,753	94%
69	MARTINS FERRY-BR	10,080	630	9,450	94%
70	WEST JEFFERSON	4,510	281	4,229	94%
71	TORONTO	4,291	266	4,025	94%
72	HILLCREST	36,167	2,232	33,935	94%
73	STEUBENVILLE	24,084	1,472	22,612	94%
74	NAVARRE	2,394	146	2,248	94%
75	FLETCHER-LENA	857	52	805	94%
76	NORTH LIMA	6,840	414	6,426	94%
77	RIPLEY	2,009	121	1,888	94%
78	RAVENNA	16,579	989	15,590	94%

^{*} Based on Annual Report of THE OHIO BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, year ended December 31, 2001

	Exchange Name	2001 Access	EOM	Lines lost	Percent
	g	Lines*	January	since 2001 as	
			2023	of EOM	since
			Access	January	2001 as of
			Lines	2023	EOM
					January
					2023
79	HARRISBURG	4,225	252	3,973	94%
80	LINDSEY	655	39	616	94%
81	BEDFORD	19,594	1,151	18,443	94%
82	WINCHESTER	1,619	95	1,524	94%
83	CHESTERLAND	6,786	398	6,388	94%
84	ZANESVILLE	34,691	2,025	32,666	94%
85	SPRING VALLEY	1,132	2,023	1,066	94%
86	VICTORY	50,855	2,921	47,934	94%
87	MONTROSE-C	38,081	2,162	35,919	94%
88	LEETONIA	2,236	125	2,111	94%
89	BRECKSVILLE	2,236	1,236		94%
90	GIRARD		611	20,933 10,382	94%
91	YELLOW SPRCLIF	10,993	230	· ·	94%
91	WELLSVILLE	4,142 4,716	260	3,912 4,456	94%
	STRONGSVILLE			ŕ	95%
93	COLUMBIANA	25,393	1,396	23,997	
		6,062	332	5,730	95%
95	THORNVILLE	2,713	148	2,565	95%
96	JAMESTOWN	2,944	160	2,784	95%
97	COSHOCTON BEREA	11,853	640	11,213	95%
98		26,822	1,417	25,405	95%
99	BLOOMINGVILLE	740	39	701	95%
	LONDON	9,285	487	8,798	95%
	CLEVELAND	568,613	29,810	538,803	95%
	HILLSBORO	9,176	480		95%
_	YOUNGSTOWN	125,285	6,544	118,741	95%
_	CENTERVILLE CARROLL	8,874	454	8,420	95%
	NORTH ROYALTON	2,032	103	1,929 20,256	95%
		21,336	1,080		95%
	OLMSTED FALLS CROVE CITY	10,510	530	9,980	95%
	GROVE CITY	27,538	1,384	26,154	95%
109	TRINITY	58,303	2,924	55,379	95%
_	MARLBORO	1,318	1 110	1,252	95%
111	WICKLIFFE	22,177	1,110	21,067	95%
112	WHITEHOUSE	2,922	146	2,776	95%
_	BEAVERCREEK	29,159	1,456	27,703	95%
	KENT	32,204	1,605	30,599	95%
	SOUTH CHARLESTON	1,774	88	1,686	95%
	LEROY	1,327	1 707	1,262	95%
117	NORTH CANTON	36,701	1,797	34,904	95%

^{*} Based on Annual Report of THE OHIO BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, year ended December 31, 2001

	Exchange Name	2001 Access	EOM	Lines lost	Percent
	b	Lines*	January	since 2001 as	
			2023	of EOM	since
			Access	January	2001 as of
			Lines	2023	EOM
					January
					2023
118	SOUTH SOLON	286	14	272	95%
119	MOGADORE	7,237	346	6,891	95%
120	ROOTSTOWN	5,030	240	4,790	95%
121	WILLOUGHBY	37,598	1,791	35,807	95%
122	E.PALESTINE	4,378	208	4,170	95%
123	NORTH HAMPTON	2,022	96	1,926	95%
124	COLUMBUS	342,360	16,180	326,180	95%
125	SHARON	5,237	246	4,991	95%
126	ALLIANCE	18,874	885	17,989	95%
127	HARTVILLE	7,109	330	6,779	95%
128	CANTON	88,614	4,099	84,515	95%
129	XENIA	19,099	882	18,217	95%
130	MASSILLON	34,201	1,574	32,627	95%
131	CANFIELD	11,369	513	10,856	95%
132	HUBBARD	8,281	372	7,909	96%
133	LANCASTER	29,978	1,339	28,639	96%
134	CASTALIA	3,056	136	2,920	96%
135	BOWERSVILLE	497	22	475	96%
136	PERRYSBURG	15,457	682	14,775	96%
137	LOWELLVILLE	2,030	89	1,941	96%
138	MENTOR	28,205	1,231	26,974	96%
139	CHRISTIANSBURG	917	40	877	96%
140	LOUISVILLE	8,256	360	7,896	96%
141	DRESDEN	2,181	95	2,086	96%
142	HILLIARD	34,478	1,496	32,982	96%
143	PITCHIN	670	29	641	96%
144	AKRON	239,216	10,223	228,993	96%
145	NILES	18,108	771	17,337	96%
146	DONNELSVILLE	1,465	62	1,403	96%
147	TIFFIN	15,426	651	14,775	96%
148	E LIVERPOOL	14,931	630	14,301	96%
149	SALEM	12,617	525	12,092	96%
150	PIQUA	14,224	591	13,633	96%
151	MONROE	4,671	193	4,478	96%
152	NEW CARLISLE	6,534	267	6,267	96%
153	PAINESVILLE	33,482	1,363	32,119	96%
154	DUBLIN	57,137	2,323	54,814	96%
155	ROGERS	1,668	67	1,601	96%
156	MANCHESTER-S	5,011	201	4,810	96%

^{*} Based on Annual Report of THE OHIO BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, year ended December 31, 2001

	Exchange Name	2001 Access Lines*	EOM January 2023 Access Lines	Lines lost since 2001 as of EOM January 2023	Percent Line Loss since 2001 as of EOM January 2023
157	CANAL FULTON	6,427	255	6,172	96%
_	TOLEDO	208,367	8,257	200,110	96%
159	MINGO JUNCTION	1,493	58	1,435	96%
160	WORTHINGTON	63,719	2,462		96%
161	VANDALIA	24,190	917	23,273	96%
162	SOUTH VIENNA	1,481	56	1,425	96%
	FREMONT	18,865	713	18,152	96%
164	SEBRING	3,444	129	3,315	96%
165	SEDALIA	455	17	438	96%
166	BELPRE	6,687	245	6,442	96%
167	SANDUSKY	28,356	1,031	27,325	96%
168	SPRINGFIELD	57,270	2,082	55,188	96%
169	FOSTORIA	10,248	372	9,876	96%
170	JEFFERSONVILLE	1,406	51	1,355	96%
171	FINDLAY	33,040	1,190	31,850	96%
172	FRANKLIN	21,613	775	20,838	96%
173	ALTON	25,970	929	25,041	96%
174	DAYTON	255,745	9,098	246,647	96%
175	CANAL WINCHESTER	24,247	862	23,385	96%
176	ABERDEEN	1,144	40	1,104	97%
177	CEDARVILLE	1,694	60	1,634	96%
178	NEW WATERFORD	1,773	61	1,712	97%
179	GAHANNA	45,650	1,562	44,088	97%
180	UNIONTOWN	7,703	261	7,442	97%
181	REYNOLDSBURG	75,303	2,535	72,768	97%
182	ATWATER	2,260	76	2,184	97%
183	BELLBROOK	6,022	202	5,820	97%
184	MIDDLETOWN	35,796	1,168	34,628	97%
185	MIAMISBURG-W.CAR	22,926	745	22,181	97%
186	WESTERVILLE	66,762	2,158	64,604	97%
187	MAUMEE	12,459	398	12,061	97%
188	DALTON	1,874	58	1,816	97%
189	FAIRBORN	19,203	566	18,637	97%
190	HOLLAND	28,132	812	27,320	97%
191	MEDWAY	3,606	102	3,504	97%
192	TRENTON	4,530	85	4,445	98%
	TOTAL	3,948,284	191,451	3,756,833	95.2%

^{*} Based on Annual Report of THE OHIO BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, year ended December 31, 2001

Exchange	abc
ABERDEEN	Х
AKRON	Χ
ALLIANCE	X
ALTON	X
ARABIA	X
ATWATER	Χ
BARNESVILLE	X
BEALLSVILLE	X
BEAVERCREEK	X
BEDFORD	X
BELFAST	X
BELLAIRE	X
BELLBROOK	X
BELPRE	X
BEREA	X
BETHESDA	X
BLOOMINGBURG	X
BLOOMINGVILLE	X
BOWERSVILLE	X
BRECKSVILLE	X
BURTON	X
CANAL FULTON	Χ
CANAL WINCHESTER	Χ
CANFIELD	Χ
CANTON	X
CARROLL	X
CASTALIA	Χ
CEDARVILLE	Χ
CENTERVILLE	Χ
CHAGRIN FALLS	Χ
CHESHIRE	X
CHESTERLAND	X
CHRISTIANSBURG	X
CLARINGTON	X
CLEVELAND	X
COLUMBIANA	X
COLUMBUS	X
CONESVILLE	X
CORNING	X
COSHOCTON	X
DALTON	X
DANVILLE-H	X
DAYTON	X
DONNELSVILLE	X
DRESDEN	X
DUBLIN	Χ

	Citteria
Exchange	abc
DUFFY	Х
E LIVERPOOL	Χ
E.PALESTINE OH	X
ENON	X
FAIRBORN	X
FINDLAY	X
FLETCHER-LENA	X
FOSTORIA	X
FRANKLIN	X
FREMONT	X
FULTONHAM	X
GAHANNA	X
GALLIPOLIS	X
GATES MILLS	Χ
GIRARD	X
GLENFORD	X
GNADENHUTTEN	X
GRAYSVILLE	X
GREENSBURG	X
GROVE CITY	X
GROVEPORT	X
GUYAN	X
HARRISBURG	X
HARTVILLE	X
HILLCREST	X
HILLIARD	X
HILLSBORO	X
HOLLAND	X
HUBBARD	X
INDEPENDENCE	X
IRONTON	X
JAMESTOWN	X
JEFFERSONVILLE	X
KENT	X
KIRTLAND	X
LANCASTER	X
LEETONIA	X
LEROY	X
LEWISVILLE	X
LINDSEY	X
LISBON	X
LOCKBOURNE	X
LONDON	X
LOUISVILLE	X
LOWELLVILLE OH	X
MAGNOLIA WAYNESB	Х

Exchange	abc
MANCHESTER-S	Χ
MANTUA	X
MARIETTA	X
MARLBORO	X
MARSHALL	X
MARTINS FERRY-BR	X
MASSILLON	X
MAUMEE	X
MEDWAY	X
MENTOR	X
MIAMISBURG-W.CAR	X
MIDDLETOWN	X
MILLEDGEVILLE	X
MINGO JUNCTION	X
MOGADORE	X
MONROE	X
MONTROSE-C	X
MURRAY CITY	X
NAVARRE	X
NELSONVILLE	X
NEW ALBANY	X
NEW CARLISLE	X
NEW HOLLAND	X
NEW LEXINGTON	X
NEW MATAMORAS	X
NEW RIEGEL	X
NEW WATERFORD	X
NEWCOMERSTOWN	X
NEWPORT	X
NILES	X
NORTH CANTON	X
NORTH HAMPTON	X
NORTH JACKSON	X
NORTH LIMA	X
NORTH ROYALTON	X
NORWICH	X
OLMSTED FALLS	X
PAINESVILLE	X
PERRYSBURG	X
PHILO	X
PIQUA	Χ
PITCHIN	Χ
RAINSBORO	Χ
RAVENNA	Χ
REYNOLDSBURG	Χ
RIO GRANDE	X

Exchange	abc
RIPLEY	X
ROGERS	X
ROOTSTOWN	X
ROSEVILLE	X
RUSHVILLE	X
SALEM	X
SALINEVILLE	X
SANDUSKY	X
SEBRING	X
SEDALIA	X
SHARON	X
SHAWNEE	X
SOMERSET	X
SOMERTON	X
SOUTH CHARLESTON	X
SOUTH SOLON	X
SOUTH VIENNA	X
SPRING VALLEY	X
SPRINGFIELD	X
ST CLAIRSVILLE	X
STEUBENVILLE	X
STRONGSVILLE	X
SUGAR GROVE	X
SUGAR TREE RIDGE	X
TERRACE	X
THORNVILLE	X
TIFFIN	X
TOLEDO	X
TORONTO	X
TREMONT CITY	X
TRENTON TRINITY	X
UHRICHSVILLE	X X
UNIONTOWN	X
UPPER SANDUSKY	X
VANDALIA	X
VICTORY	X
VINTON	X
WALNUT	X
WASHINGTON CH	X
WELLSVILLE	X
WEST JEFFERSON	X
WEST LAFAYETTE	X
WESTERVILLE	X
WHITEHOUSE	X
WICKLIFFE	X
THE STATE OF THE S	^

Exchange	abc
WILLOUGHBY	X
WINCHESTER	X
WOODSFIELD	X
WORTHINGTON	X
XENIA	X
YELLOW SPRCLIF	X
YOUNGSTOWN	X
ZANESVILLE	X

 $\label{eq:Case List-AT&T Ohio's}$ Basic Local Exchange Service Alternative Regulation Cases

	Case Number	Filed Date	Approved Date	# of Exchanges
1.	06-1013-TP-BLS	08/11/2006	12/20/2006	136
2.	07-0259-TP-BLS	03/09/2007	6/27/2007	8
3.	07-1312-TP-BLS	12/28/2007	5/14/2008	4
4.	08-0107-TP-BLS	02/08/2008	6/25/2008	7
5.	08-0594-TP-BLS	06/06/2008	10/1/2008	5
6.	08-0912-TP-BLS	07/25/2008	11/12/2008	6
7.	08-1281-TP-BLS	12/05/2008	2/25/2009	4
8.	09-0074-TP-BLS	01/30/2009	4/15/2009	3
9.	09-0447-TP-BLS	05/29/2009	9/2/2009	3
10.	09-494-TP-BLS	06/12/2009	(withdrawn)	
11.	10-1412-TP-BLS	09/23/2010	10/24/2010	16
TOTA	L			192

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/21/2023 1:52:01 PM

in

Case No(s). 90-5032-TP-TRF, 23-0244-TP-BEX

Summary: Application in the Matter of the Application of AT&T Ohio for an Exemption from the Requirements of Section 4927.12 of the Revised Code Throughout its Service Territory electronically filed by Richard T. Howell on behalf of AT&T Ohio.