BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter of the
Application of Scioto
Farms Solar Project, LLC,
for a Certificate of

Environmental : Case No. 21-868-EL-BGN

Compatibility and Public:
Need to Construct a:
Solar-Powered Electric:
Generation Facility in:
Pickaway County, Ohio.:

PROCEEDINGS

before Ms. Megan Addison, Administrative Law Judge, at the Ohio Power Siting Board, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-A, Columbus, Ohio, called at 9:33 a.m. on Thursday, March 2, 2023.

VOLUME IV

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 222 East Town Street, Second Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
646
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Bricker & Eckler, LLP
            By Ms. Sommer Sheely,
 3
            Ms. Kara H. Herrnstein,
            Mr. Aaron Bruggeman,
 4
            and Ms. Jennifer A. Flint
            100 South Third Street
 5
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 6
                 On behalf of the Applicant.
 7
            Van Kley Law, LLC
            By Mr. Jack A. Van Kley
 8
            132 Northwoods Boulevard, Suite C-1
            Columbus, Ohio 43235
 9
                 On behalf of the Intervenors Ebenhacks.
10
            Pickaway County Prosecutor's Office
11
            By Mr. Robert A. Chamberlain,
            Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
12
            203 South Scioto Street
            Circleville, Ohio 43113
13
                 On behalf of the Intervenors Wayne
14
                 Township, Pickaway County.
15
            Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter
            By Mr. Robert Dove
16
            65 East State Street, Suite 1800
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
17
                 On behalf of the IBEW Local 575 and Ohio
18
                 Partners for Affordable Energy.
19
            Ohio Farm Bureau Federation
            By Ms. Leah Finney Curtis
2.0
            280 North High Street, Sixth Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
21
                 On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau
22
                 Federation.
2.3
24
25
```

```
647
 1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
 2
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
            By Mr. Robert Eubanks,
 3
            Mr. Shaun Lyons,
            and Mr. Werner L. Margard, III
 4
            Assistant Attorneys General
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
 5
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 6
                 On behalf of the Staff of the OPSB.
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		648
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4	Mark Bellamy	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Eubanks Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	651 653
6	Andrew Conway	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Eubanks Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley Examination by ALJ Addison	661 663 671
8		071
9	Eric Morrison Direct Examination by Mr. Eubanks Cross Examination by Mr. Wan Klow	672 674
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	0/4
11	Jess Stottsberry Direct Examination by Mr. Eubanks	679
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	681
13	Allison Renick Direct Examination by Mr. Eubanks	685
14	James O'Dell	
15	Direct Examination by Mr. Eubanks Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	688 690
16	Thomas Crawford, PhD, PE	600
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Eubanks Cross-Examination by Ms. Herrnstein Redirect Examination by Mr. Eubanks	692 694 703
18	Recross-Examination by Ms. Herrnstein Examination by ALJ Addison	707 709
19		7 0 3
20	APPLICANT EXHIBIT IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
21	2 Application filed on I-22 December 13, 2021	712
22		
23	STAFF EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
24	1 Staff Report of Investigation III-615	711
25		

					649	
1		INDEX (Continued)				
2						
3	STAE	F EXHIBITS		IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED	
4	4	Prefiled Testimony Mark Bellamy	of	653	658	
5	5	Prefiled Testimony Andrew Conway	of	662	672	
7	6	Prefiled Testimony Eric Morrison	of	674	679	
9	7	Prefiled Testimony Jess Stottsberry	of	681	684	
10	8	Prefiled Testimony Allison Renick	of	686	687	
1112	9	Prefiled Testimony James O'Dell	of	689	691	
13	10	Prefiled Testimony Thomas Crawford	of	693	711	
14						
15	EBEN	HACK EXHIBIT		IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED	
16	15	Yellowbud Solar Geotechnical Repo	rt	654		
17		dedeedmirear Repo				
18	WAYNE TOWNSHIP EXHIBIT IDENTIFI		IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED		
19	2	Resolution No. PC-	034052230	713	713	
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

Thursday Morning Session,

March 2, 2023.

3 | - -

2.1

ALJ ADDISON: With that let's go ahead and go on the record.

Good morning, everyone. Today is the fourth day of hearing scheduled for Case No. 21-868-EL-BGN captioned in the Matter of the Application of Scioto Farms Solar Project, LLC, for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need to Construct a Solar-Powered Electric Generation Facility in Pickaway County, Ohio.

Without further delay, I believe we are going to pick up where we left off yesterday,

Mr. Eubanks. Is Staff prepared to call its next witness?

MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor. I would like to call to the stand Jim O'Dell.

I'll call to the stand Mark Bellamy.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you, Mr. Eubanks.

And just because we are operating in a much bigger room, if everyone could project when they are speaking, I would greatly appreciate it. I know the court reporter will appreciate it as well.

Welcome, Mr. Bellamy.

651 (Witness sworn.) 1 2 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please be 3 seated. 4 5 MARK BELLAMY 6 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 7 examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 By Mr. Eubanks: 9 10 Q. Good morning. Could you please state and 11 spell your name for the record. 12 My name is -- I don't know if this is 13 working. ALJ ADDISON: It might need a new 14 15 battery. 16 Let's go off the record for a moment. 17 (Discussion off the record.) 18 ALJ ADDISON: Go ahead and go back on the 19 record. 20 Α. So you asked for my name and work address 2.1 or? 22 Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) Your name and spell your 23 name. 24 Okay. Sure. My name is Mark Bellamy, Α. 25 M-A-R-K B-E-L-L-A-M-Y.

Proceedings 652 And did you file testimony in this 1 Q. 2 matter? 3 Α. Yes. And was it prepared by you or with your 4 Ο. 5 assistance? 6 Α. Yes. 7 If I were to show you your testimony, Q. would you be able to identify it? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 MR. EUBANKS: May I approach the witness? 11 ALJ ADDISON: You may. 12 (By Mr. Eubanks) Could you identify the Q. 13 document in front of you? 14 Yes. This is my prefiled testimony. Α. 15 Q. Okay. That you docketed with the

- 16 Commission on February 13, 2023?
- 17 Α. Yes, I'm sorry. Yes.
- 18 Is it a true and accurate copy? Q.
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Q. If I were to ask you the same questions 2.1 that can be found in your testimony here today, would 22 you provide the same answers?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Are there any corrections you would like 25 to make?

	653		
1	A. No.		
2	MR. EUBANKS: I would like to mark		
3	Mr. Bellamy's testimony as Staff's Exhibit 4.		
4	ALJ ADDISON: It will be so marked.		
5	(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)		
6	MR. EUBANKS: I would like to then move		
7	to have Staff's Exhibit 4 moved into evidence,		
8	subject to cross-examination, and I offer the witness		
9	for cross.		
10	ALJ ADDISON: Thank you, Mr. Eubanks.		
11	Does the Applicant have any questions for		
12	this witness?		
13	MS. HERRNSTEIN: No, your Honor.		
14	ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. I am just going		
15	to go down the line. Everyone is a different seat,		
16	so it's messing up my order. Mr. Van Kley?		
17	MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor. Thank		
18	you.		
19			
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION		
21	By Mr. Van Kley:		
22	Q. Good morning, Mr. Bellamy.		
23	A. Good morning.		
24	Q. You were involved in the certification of		

the Yellowbud facility, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. In fact, you testified in that case?
- A. I can't remember, but it -- it's quite possible.
 - Q. Okay. You reviewed the application by Yellowbud Solar in that case?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay.
- 9 MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, at this time I
 10 would like to mark our next exhibit as Ebenhack's
 11 Exhibit 15 which is the Yellowbud Solar geotechnical
 12 report.
- 13 ALJ ADDISON: It will be so marked.

 14 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 - Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Bellamy, I have provided you with Ebenhack's Exhibit 15 which according to the title is the "Yellowbud Solar Geotechnical Report Exhibit C." And if you look at the last page, do you see that there is a time stamp on this document showing that it was filed in the Yellowbud Solar case?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I would like to direct your
 attention to page 2, that's the true page 2 of the
 document. And do you see there on that page under

the heading "Site Conditions" and then for the item ground -- "Current Ground Cover" some text to the right of that?

A. Yes.

2.1

Q. Okay. And let's take a look at the first three sentences on that page which state "Finished grade elevations have not been provided at this time. Finished grade elevations are assumed to be close to the existing ground surface elevation. It is anticipated that the site work will involve a nominal amount of cut/fill to develop final grade for the solar facility." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Then if you go to page 3 of the same document, I would like to direct your attention to the item entitled "Grading." And there are two sentences to the right of that item heading which read as follows: "We understand that where possible it is desirable to minimize grading, without extensive earthwork or treatment of in-situ soils. Therefore, we anticipate that the solar field final grades will generally follow the existing site grades with nominal grading (i.e. cut/fill up to 2 feet."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So based on your experience with Power Siting cases including the Yellowbud case, is it fair to say that -- that Yellowbud Solar committed to building its facility with a minimal amount of grading?

2.1

A. I didn't work on the grading or geology for Yellowbud Solar, so I can't really talk about what they have committed to outside of what is in this report that I haven't read this report before.

ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Bellamy, did you review this report in preparation of -- if you remember, I know you weren't sure if you had testified in the Yellowbud Solar case, would you have reviewed this report in preparation if you had testified in that proceeding?

THE WITNESS: No.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) But you -- you are aware, are you not, that the contents of applications provided to the Board including the application provided to the Yellowbud -- by Yellowbud Solar in its proceeding are binding requirements for the Applicant to follow?

MR. EUBANKS: I object.

ALJ ADDISON: Grounds?

MR. EUBANKS: Outside the scope of his

testimony. He's here supporting conditions 23, 24, and 39.

ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Eubanks, could you just speak up just a little bit?

MR. EUBANKS: Okay. I'm sorry.

ALJ ADDISON: I'm sorry. Thank you.

MR. EUBANKS: He is here supporting conditions 23, 24, and 39. I have been waiting to see how the questioning is in any way at all relevant to the areas that he is testifying to here today, and it's not. It's outside the scope of his -- of his testimony.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you, Mr. Eubanks. I tend to agree, and Mr. Bellamy has already indicated that he's not seen this report. He didn't review this report. He would not have reviewed this report in the event that he did prepare testimony in the Yellowbud Solar case, so I am going to sustain the objection.

20 MR. VAN KLEY: I have no further 21 questions at this time.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much,

23 Mr. Van Kley.

Mr. Chamberlain?

MR. CHAMBERLAIN: None, your Honor.

```
658
 1
                 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Dove?
 2
                 MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.
                 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis?
 3
                 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.
 4
 5
                 ALJ ADDISON: Any redirect, Mr. Eubanks?
                 MR. EUBANKS: No, your Honor.
 6
                 ALJ ADDISON: I have no additional
 7
 8
     questions, Mr. Bellamy. Thank you very much.
9
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10
                 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Eubanks had previously
     moved for the admission of Staff Exhibit 4 into the
11
12
     record. Are there any objections at this time?
13
                 MR. VAN KLEY: No.
14
                 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No, your Honor.
15
                 ALJ ADDISON: It will be admitted.
16
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
17
                 ALJ ADDISON: Let's go off the record for
18
     a moment.
19
                 (Discussion off the record.)
                 ALJ ADDISON: Let's go ahead and go back
20
2.1
     on the record.
22
                 Mr. Van Kley, will you be moving Ebenhack
23
     Exhibit 15?
24
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor. And as a
25
     preface for -- for moving that exhibit, I would note
```

that a prior witness testified that the -- the certificate for the Yellowbud facility required Yellowbud to follow all of the requirements in its application and that the -- Mr. Bellamy has testified that this Exhibit 15 is part of that application. So I think that provides the -- the basis for admitting this document into evidence in this proceeding because the -- one of the relevant questions here that's been raised by the Applicant is whether the grading requirements for Yellowbud are different than the grading requirements in this case.

2.1

ALJ ADDISON: Wouldn't it have been more appropriate to introduce this exhibit when that witness testified to that matter?

MR. VAN KLEY: Well, that witness was one of the Applicant's witnesses.

ALJ ADDISON: Nothing precludes you from introducing an exhibit just as you are doing right now with Staff's witnesses.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. But I would expect that the Staff would be more prone to recognizing that a document is part of the application just given -- just given the fact that there is a time stamp on it and that they are familiar with the process for -- for applications and would recognize

that document as being part of that application so.

2.1

ALJ ADDISON: Well, I would note each Staff witness is here to sponsor certain testimony. Mr. Bellamy has clearly indicated that was not his role in Yellowbud nor is it his role for this application for evaluation of such a study.

Mr. Eubanks, did you have anything to add?

MR. EUBANKS: Your Honor, I believe it's clear that this document -- there was not a proper foundation laid with this witness for the introduction of this document. Whether or not there was a proper foundation laid earlier in this hearing, I can't speak to it because I really can't remember questions -- whether or not questions were asked regarding this document, but it wasn't properly laid with this witness.

MR. VAN KLEY: Well, your Honor, the foundation is the identification of the document as part of the Yellowbud application. That was established. That's really the only foundation that's necessary here.

ALJ ADDISON: I disagree with that. I'm going to -- based on the arguments and the discussion that we've had, I am not going to be moving Ebenhack

661 Exhibit No. 15 into the record at this time. 1 Mr. Eubanks, you may call your next 2 3 witness. MR. EUBANKS: Thank you, your Honor. At 4 this time I would like to call to the stand Andrew 5 6 Conway. 7 ALJ ADDISON: Welcome, Mr. Conway. Raise 8 your right hand. 9 (Witness sworn.) 10 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please be 11 seated. 12 13 ANDREW CONWAY 14 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 15 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 By Mr. Eubanks: 18 Hello. Could you please state and spell Q. 19 your name for the record. 20 Α. Andrew Conway, A-N-D-R-E-W C-O-N-W-A-Y. 2.1 Q. Did you file testimony in this matter? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And was it prepared by you or with your Q. 24 assistance?

A. Yes. I prepared it.

- Q. And if I were to show you your testimony, would you be able to identify it?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. EUBANKS: May I approach the witness?

ALJ ADDISON: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) Mr. Conway, could you please identify the document I have just handed you.
 - A. This is my prefiled written testimony.

MR. EUBANKS: Your Honor, I would like to have marked as Staff's Exhibit 5 the prefiled testimony of Andrew Conway.

ALJ ADDISON: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) Could you please take a look at the document and tell me whether or not it's a true and accurate copy.
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. It's the same testimony that was docketed with the Commission on February 13, 2023?
 - A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. If I was to ask you the same questions that could be found in your testimony here today, would you provide the same answers?
 - A. Yes, I would.
- Q. Are there any corrections you would like

663 to make? 1 2 Α. No. 3 MR. EUBANKS: Your Honor, I would like to move to have Staff's Exhibit 5 admitted into 4 5 evidence, subject to cross-examination, and I'll offer the witness for cross. 6 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. 7 Does the Applicant have any questions? 8 MS. HERRNSTEIN: No, your Honor. 9 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. 10 11 Mr. Van Kley? 12 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor. Thank 13 you. 14 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 By Mr. Van Kley: 17 Good morning, Mr. Conway. Q. 18 Good morning. Α. 19 Let's go to answer 10 of your prefiled Ο. 20 testimony. 2.1 I'm there. Α. 22 And in this answer to your testimony, you Q. 23 explain why the Staff is recommending condition 10 24 with the changes outlined in your answer to question 25 9; is that correct?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Directing your attention to lines 25, 26, and 27 on that page, I'll read you the sentence that I would like to ask you about which states
 "Subsequent to the filing of the Staff Report, Staff has observed erosion issues, drainage issues, and heavy equipment use not confined to access roads during construction of solar farms." Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes, you did.
- Q. Let me ask you a few questions about this statement. First of all, would you explain what erosion issues have been observed by the Staff?
- A. We observed excess sediment and mud on the public roads at the entrances to solar farms, the Big Plain Solar farm, and I believe other Staff the Yellowbud facility had notice of violation from the Ohio EPA about noncompliance with its stormwater construction permit.
- Q. And can you explain what drainage issues have been observed by the Staff at other facilities?
- A. We -- we saw some excess ponding and unauthorized filling in of a drainage way that is used to -- for drainage.
 - Q. Okay. At what other facility or

facilities did the Staff notice these drainage issues?

2.

2.1

- A. The ponding issues was at the Big Plain Solar facility. And the drainage and some of the wet -- drainage were at the Fox Squirrel facility.
- Q. Has the Staff noticed drainage issues at the Yellowbud facility?
- A. I did not observe those. I can't speak to that.
- Q. Okay. Continuing on with my questions about your testimony, what's meant by the reference to heavy equipment use not confined to access roads during construction of solar farms?
- A. Staff did a site visit to the Fox
 Squirrel facility, and the construction access roads
 were -- there's a process to building those and
 before that -- before the access roads -- that
 process was complete, there was grading equipment and
 heavy machine -- heavy trucks and other construction
 equipment not traveling -- not traveling on the road
 but traveling near the road or just cutting across
 the field in general without an access road.
- Q. Let's go to -- to the next page of your testimony. We're still in answer 10. Let's look at lines 3 and 4 on that page which states as follows:

"On page 86 of the application, the Applicant has explained that only limited grading is required."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Have you had the opportunity to review applications for other solar facilities?
 - A. Yeah, yes, I have.
- Q. Okay. And have you had the opportunity to review commitments in any of those other solar facility applications to -- in which the Applicant committed to doing only minimal grading?
- A. Yes. That's the general consensus, they
 do minimal or limited grading.
 - Q. So it's typical in a solar facility application for the Applicant to represent that only minimal grading will be done?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. Do you happen to know whether that commitment was made in the application for the Yellowbud Solar facility?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Were you involved in the certification for that facility?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you -- well, let me ask -- let me ask

you just to take a look at Ebenhack's Exhibit 15 in front of you.

A. I have it.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Do you recall whether you reviewed Ebenhack's Exhibit 15 which is Exhibit C to the Yellowbud Solar facility during the time you were involved in the certification of the Yellowbud facility?
 - A. I don't recall. I may have.
- Q. In your experience with solar facilities that have been cert -- certificated by the Board, can you tell me the percentage of the project area that is typically graded?
 - A. For what project or?
- Q. For other solar facilities you have been involved with.
 - A. Other, I believe the -- my only recollection I think is Blossom Solar. It was less than 15 percent, but I don't recall the specific number. It was -- it may have been on the cusp of 15 percent or less.
- Q. Can you identify any solar facility that has been either built or has received a certification -- a certificate from the Board where it was expected that the grading would occur on more

than 15 percent of the project area?

2.1

- A. I don't -- I don't remember a specific case. The grading has been -- the Staff has at least since the Scioto Farms project been recommending a grading condition limiting it to 15 percent or less, and in this case a goal of 5 percent, so I don't -- I don't know if there's -- the grading has been relatively -- has been conditioned since the time the Staff Report was issued.
- Q. Do you know how -- what percentage of the project area in the Yellowbud Solar facility was graded?
 - A. Not exactly.
- Q. Do you have any reason to believe that more than 15 percent of the project area in the Yellowbud Solar facility was graded?
- A. I believe the facts of that Yellowbud are outlined in the notice of violation by the Ohio EPA, but I don't -- it could be different than -- than 15 percent.
- Q. Uh-huh. But do you know for a fact that more than 15 percent of the project area in the Yellowbud Solar facility was graded?
 - A. No, I don't know that.
 - Q. Or do you just not know?

- A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Let's go to answer 10 of your testimony again. And let's look at the first part of your answer starting with line 22 and I will just read the sentence to you that I want to ask you about. It's the first sentence in answer 10 which states "Subsequent to the filing of the Staff Report, Staff has further conferred with personnel from Ohio Department of Agriculture about the impacts to agricultural land used for crop production after grading has occurred." Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Now let's go to the next page where
 you -- actually let's go to the bottom of that page
 that we were on, the very last part of that page
 starts a new sentence that goes onto the next page.
 Do you see the sentence starting with "Staff
 learned"?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So let me read that sentence to you. "Staff learned from Ohio Department of Agriculture that extensive grading and over-compaction with heavy equipment can be an impediment to returning land to agricultural use."

 Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Okay. So with regard to the two sentences that I just read to you, can you explain to us what you learned concerning how extensive grading and over-compaction with heavy equipment can be an impediment returning land to agricultural use?
- A. Yes. The Staff learned that extensive grading can lead to excess soil erosion and loss of top -- topsoil and that over-compaction with heavy equipment could diminish and reduce the crop productivity so it won't be as productive as uncompacted soil.
- Q. Okay. Do you know whether the topsoil that was graded at Yellowbud was segregated from the rest of the land there?
- A. I don't know.
- MR. VAN KLEY: All right. Your Honor, I have nothing further at this time.
- 19 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.
- 20 Mr. Chamberlain?
- MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Nothing, your Honor.
- 22 Thank you.
- 23 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Dove?
- MR. DOVE: Nothing your Honor, thank you.
- 25 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis?

MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Eubanks?

MR. EUBANKS: I have no questions, your

Honor, no redirect.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

2.1

EXAMINATION

By ALJ Addison:

- Q. And, Mr. Conway, if you'll just answer maybe one or two questions that I have just to clarify. In question 10 -- your answer to question 10 in your testimony, Mr. Van Kley asked you about certain concerns following observations you made at additional -- or other solar farms; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And in your answer to question 9, I believe it starts on page 4 of your testimony, the conditions that you are recommending, of course, with the changes outlined in your answer here to condition 10, does that in Staff's mind alleviate the concerns noted in answer 10?
- A. Yes. These conditions Staff believes are the best practice and -- best practices to alleviate those concerns.
- 25 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much,

Proceedings 672 Mr. Conway. That's all my questions. We appreciate 1 2 your testimony. 3 Mr. Eubanks previously moved for the admission of Staff Exhibit 5 into the record. Are 4 5 there any objections? Hearing none, it will be admitted. 6 7 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Eubanks. 8 9 MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor. Next I would like to call to the stand Eric Morrison. 10 11 ALJ ADDISON: Welcome, Mr. Morrison. 12 Please raise your right hand. 13 (Witness sworn.) 14 ALJ ADDISON: Please be seated. 15 16 ERIC MORRISON 17 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 By Mr. Eubanks: 2.1 Ο. Please state and spell your name for the 2.2 record.

23

24

25

Α.

Ο.

Α.

Yes.

Eric Morrison, E-R-I-C M-O-R-R-I-S-O-N.

Did you file testimony in this matter?

- Q. And was it prepared by you or with your assistance?

 A. Yes.
 - Q. If I were to hand you your testimony, would you be able to identify it?
- A. Yes.

4

5

9

10

11

7 MR. EUBANKS: May I approach the witness? 8 ALJ ADDISON: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) Could you please identify the document I've handed to you.
 - A. This is my prefiled testimony.
- Q. Could you -- is this the prefiled testimony filed on February 13, 2023?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Is it a true and accurate copy?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. If I were to ask you the same questions that are found in your testimony, would you provide the same answers today?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Are there any corrections you would like to make?
- 23 A. No.
- MR. EUBANKS: I would like to have -- if
 I haven't already done so, I would like to have this

marked as Staff's Exhibit 6.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

20

2.1

22

23

2 ALJ ADDISON: It will be so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. EUBANKS: And I would like to move to have admitted Staff Exhibit 6, subject to cross-examination, and I'll offer the witness for cross.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much.

Any questions on behalf of the Applicant?

MS. HERRNSTEIN: No, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

Mr. Van Kley?

MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor.

14

CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 | By Mr. Van Kley:

- Q. Mr. Morrison, you were involved in reviewing transportation issues for this project?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. And looking at condition 16 that was recommended in the Staff Report, you were the person that recommended that condition, right?
 - A. Yes. I sponsored that condition.
- Q. As part of your review of the application in this case, did you study the potential impacts of

project construction on the quality of the roads in the area? Or maybe a better way to phrase it is did -- was it your role to determine whether construction of this project might damage local public roads?

2.1

2.2

- A. It is my responsibility to make sure that any possible damage to public roads would be mitigated by the Applicant due to construction of the project, construction and operation.
- Q. Uh-huh. And that mitigation would occur after the project construction is finished?
- A. It would depend on the agreements that they enter in with the appropriate regulatory authority, whether -- whether it be temporary repairs during construction to get the roads through to -- to the end of construction which more permanent repairs would be made.
- Q. Is there anything in the conditions recommended by the staff that would require temporary repairs during the process of constructing the facility?
- A. The condition delegates those details to the appropriate regulatory authorities.
- Q. Have you had the -- have you looked at the local roads nearby other solar facilities during

the time they have been under construction?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And which other facilities have you done that?
 - A. Many.
- Q. Okay. Can you name the ones that you recollect?
- A. Yes. There is going to be quite a few,
 Nestlewood, Highland, Madison Fields, Big Plains, Fox
 Squirrel, Yellowbud just to name a few.
- Q. Okay. And in the case of those facilities where you've observed the conditions of the public roads, can you summarize what you saw with respect to any damage that occurred to those public roads?
- A. Generally the county roads and the state routes were always in good condition. Where you might see some degradation would be on the township roads where the -- they are not quite as well constructed as those other main thoroughfares.
- Q. Did you make any observations about damage to the roads around the Yellowbud project?
- A. The information I did not have at my disposal and I have not compared is before and after conditions off the top of my head here today.

- Q. Okay. At the time that you saw the roads around Yellowbud, what were their condition?
 - A. They were generally acceptable.
 - Q. Generally acceptable?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Did you have the opportunity to look at Ebenhack Road?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

- 9 Q. And you think that was generally 10 acceptable?
- 11 A. When I was there, it was -- it wasn't
 12 impassible, but it could have been in better
 13 condition.
- Q. Uh-huh. Well, was there any pavement left on that road when you were there?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. There was, okay.
- 18 ALJ ADDISON: Do you remember
- 19 approximately when you visited Ebenhack Road?
- 20 THE WITNESS: I can't recall if it was
- 21 fourth quarter last year or first quarter this year.
- 22 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.
- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) So what -- in your view
- 24 | how much damage to a public road do you think is
- 25 | acceptable during the construction of a solar

678 1 project? 2 I believe the road must be maintained in a -- to a state to where it's not a cause for concern 3 for public safety. 4 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. I have nothing 5 6 further, your Honor. 7 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much, 8 Mr. Van Kley. 9 Mr. Chamberlain? 10 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Nothing, your Honor. 11 Thank you. 12 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Dove? 13 MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor. 14 Thank you. 15 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis? MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor. 16 17 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Eubanks, any redirect? 18 MR. EUBANKS: No redirect, your Honor. ALJ ADDISON: I have no additional 19 20 questions, Mr. Morrison. You are excused. 2.1 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 22 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much. 23 Mr. Eubanks previously moved Staff 24 Exhibit 6 into the record. Are there any objections 25 at this time?

679 1 MR. VAN KLEY: No, your Honor. 2 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No. ALJ ADDISON: Hearing none, it will be 3 admitted. 4 5 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 6 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Eubanks, you may call 7 your next witness. MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor. I would 8 like to call -- I'll call Allison Renick. 9 10 I'll call Jess Stottsberry. 11 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much. 12 Welcome, Mr. Stottsberry. Please raise 13 your right hand. 14 (Witness sworn.) 15 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please be 16 seated. 17 18 JESS STOTTSBERRY 19 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 20 examined and testified as follows: 2.1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 By Mr. Eubanks: 23 Please state and spell your name for the Q. 24 record. 25 A. Jess Stottsberry, J-E-S-S

- S-T-O-T-T-S-B-E-R-R-Y.
- Q. Did you file testimony in this matter?
- 3 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And if I were to hand you a document that was your testimony, would you be able to identify it?
- A. Yes.

1

4

- 7 MR. EUBANKS: May I approach the witness? 8 ALJ ADDISON: You may.
- 9 Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) Would you please 10 identify the document I have handed to you.
- 11 A. This is my prefiled testimony.
- 12 Q. Docketed with the Commission on February
- 13 | 13, 2023?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Is it a true and accurate copy?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. If I were to ask you the same questions today that are found in your testimony, would you provide the same answers?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Are there any corrections you would like to make?
- 23 A. No.
- MR. EUBANKS: I would like to have
- 25 Mr. Stottsberry's testimony marked as Staff's

	681
1	Exhibit 7.
2	ALJ ADDISON: It will be so marked.
3	(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
4	MR. EUBANKS: And at this time I would
5	like to move to have admitted Staff's Exhibit 7,
6	subject to cross-examination, and I will offer the
7	witness for cross.
8	ALJ ADDISON: Thank you, Mr. Eubanks.
9	Any questions on behalf of the Applicant?
10	MS. HERRNSTEIN: No, your Honor.
11	ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.
12	Mr. Van Kley?
13	MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor.
14	
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	By Mr. Van Kley:
17	Q. Mr. Stottsberry, would you turn to page
18	47 of the Staff Report.
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. All right. And you are sponsoring the
21	section on water conservation practice on that page?

A. Yes.

22

23

24

manually cleaning solar panels, less than a pint of water would be needed to clean each module." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Where did you obtain the information for that statement?
- A. Most likely during the application review process, whether it was -- whether it was mentioned in the application itself or whether it was addressed in our Data Request exchanges.
- Q. All right. So the estimate of a pint or less than a pint of water per module is an estimate provided to you by the Applicant?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of any general rules of thumb with regard to how much water it takes to clean a solar panel?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you do any research to try to find that information?
- A. The focus on this section is water conservation and I guess our main focus is to ensure that, you know, the amount of water to -- could be used is the minimum amount and I think that they demonstrated that.

- Q. How did they demonstrate that?
- A. Well, their claim here is that most likely natural precipitation would clean these panels, but in the event they would need to physically clean the panels, it would just be a pint of water which seems like a de minimis or small amount of water.
- Q. But you did no research to determine whether that estimate was accurate?
 - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Are you familiar with estimates in other applications for solar facilities about how much water is necessary to clean a solar panel?
- A. From my experience this estimate here
 would seem to be fairly consistent with other
 estimates we've been provided.
- MR. VAN KLEY: All right. I have nothing else, your Honor.
- 19 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much.
- Mr. Chamberlain?
- MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Nothing, your Honor.
- 22 Thank you.
- 23 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Dove?
- MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.
- 25 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis?

684 MS. CURRY: No questions, your Honor. 1 2 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Eubanks, any redirect? 3 MR. EUBANKS: I have no redirect, your Honor. 4 5 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you, Mr. Stottsberry. I have no additional questions. You are excused. 6 7 Staff Exhibit No. 7 had previously been moved for admission into the record. Are there any 8 9 objections? 10 MR. VAN KLEY: No, your Honor. 11 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No, your Honor. 12 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you all. 13 Hearing none, it will be admitted. 14 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) MR. EUBANKS: At this time Staff would 15 16 like to call Allison Renick. 17 ALJ ADDISON: Welcome, Ms. Renick. 18 MS. RENICK: Hi. 19 ALJ ADDISON: Please raise your right 20 hand. 2.1 (Witness sworn.) 22 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please be 23 seated. 24 25

	685
1	ALLISON RENICK
2	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
3	examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	By Mr. Eubanks:
6	Q. Please state and spell your name for the
7	record.
8	A. My name is Allison Renick, A-L-L-I-S-O-N
9	R-E-N-I-C-K.
10	Q. And did you file testimony in this
11	matter?
12	A. Yes, I did.
13	Q. And was it prepared by you or with your
14	assistance?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. If I were to show you your testimony,
17	would you be able to identify it?
18	A. Yes.
19	MR. EUBANKS: May I approach the witness?
20	ALJ ADDISON: You may.
21	Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) Would you please
22	identify the document I have handed you.
23	A. This is my prefiled testimony.
24	Q. Filed on February 13, 2023?
25	A. Correct.

- Q. Is it a true and accurate copy?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. EUBANKS: I would like to have marked as Staff's Exhibit 8 the testimony of Ms. Renick.

ALJ ADDISON: It will be so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) If I were to ask you the same questions that are found in your testimony, would you provide the same answers?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are there any corrections you would like to make to your testimony?
 - A. No.

MR. EUBANKS: With that I would like to move to have Staff's Exhibit 8 placed into evidence, subject to cross-examination, and I will offer the witness for cross.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you, Mr. Eubanks.

Any questions on behalf of the Applicant?

MS. HERRNSTEIN: No, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

Mr. Van Kley?

MR. VAN KLEY: No questions.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

Mr. Chamberlain?

687 1 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No, your Honor. Thank 2 you. 3 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Dove? MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor. 4 5 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis? 6 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor. 7 ALJ ADDISON: All right then. I have no questions at this time, Ms. Renick. You are excused. 8 9 Thank you very much for your time. 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 11 ALJ ADDISON: Any objections to the 12 admission of Staff Exhibit 8 into the record? 13 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No, your Honor. 14 MR. VAN KLEY: No, your Honor. 15 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. It will be 16 admitted. 17 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 18 MR. EUBANKS: At this time I would like 19 to call to the stand Jim O'Dell. 20 ALJ ADDISON: Welcome, Mr. O'Dell. 2.1 MR. O'DELL: Good morning. 2.2 ALJ ADDISON: Raise your hand. 23 (Witness sworn.) 24 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please be

25

seated.

	688
1	JAMES S. O'DELL
2	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
3	examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	By Mr. Eubanks:
6	Q. Please state and spell your name for the
7	record.
8	A. James S. O'Dell, J-A-M-E-S S O'Dell,
9	O-'-D-E-L-L.
10	Q. Did you file testimony in this matter?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. Was it prepared with your assistance or
13	prepared by you?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And if I were to show you your testimony,
16	would you be able to identify it?
17	A. Yes.
18	MR. EUBANKS: May I approach the witness?
19	ALJ ADDISON: You may.
20	MR. EUBANKS: Can we go off the record
21	for one second?
22	ALJ ADDISON: Let's go off the record.
23	(Discussion off the record.)
24	ALJ ADDISON: Let's go ahead and go back
25	on the record.

Mr. Eubanks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) Could you please identify the document I have placed in front of you.
 - A. It's my prefiled testimony.
- Q. Is it a true and accurate copy of the testimony that was filed on February 13, 2023?
 - A. Yes, it appears so.

MR. EUBANKS: I would like to have marked as Staff's Exhibit 9 the prefiled testimony of Mr. O'Dell.

11 ALJ ADDISON: It will be so marked.

12 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) If I were to ask you the same questions that can be found in your testimony, would you provide the same answers today?
- A. Yes, I would.
- Q. And are there any corrections that you would like to make to your testimony?
 - A. I have none.

MR. EUBANKS: With that I would like to move to have Staff's Exhibit 9 placed into evidence, subject to cross-examination, and I will offer the witness for cross.

24 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much,

25 Mr. Eubanks.

690 Any questions on behalf of the Applicant? 1 2 MS. HERRNSTEIN: No, your Honor. 3 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Mr. Van Kley, any questions? 4 5 MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor. 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 By Mr. Van Kley: 9 Mr. O'Dell, were you involved in the 10 certification for the Yellowbud Solar project? 11 I believe I had the same role as this 12 project here, processed quite a few questions, but I 13 believe I had the same role, the aesthetics. 14 I believe you had the -- the role to Ο. 15 assess aesthetics in Yellowbud? 16 Α. I believe so, yes. 17 MR. VAN KLEY: I have nothing else, your 18 Honor. 19 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much, 20 Mr. Van Kley. 2.1 Mr. Chamberlain, any questions? 22 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No, your Honor. Thank 23 you. 24 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Dove?

MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.

691 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis? 1 2 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor. 3 ALJ ADDISON: Any redirect, Mr. Eubanks? MR. EUBANKS: No redirect, your Honor. 4 5 ALJ ADDISON: I had a feeling. 6 I have no additional questions for you, 7 Mr. O'Dell. You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am. 8 9 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much for 10 being here today. 11 Staff Exhibit 9 had previously been moved 12 for admission into the record. Are there any 13 objections at this time? 14 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No, your Honor. 15 MR. VAN KLEY: No. ALJ ADDISON: Thank you all. It will be 16 17 admitted. 18 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 19 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Eubanks. 20 MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor. I would 2.1 like to call to the stand Thomas Crawford. 2.2 ALJ ADDISON: Welcome, Mr. Crawford. 23 MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. 24 ALJ ADDISON: Please raise your right 25 hand.

692 (Witness sworn.) 1 2 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please be 3 seated. 4 5 THOMAS CRAWFORD, PhD, PE being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 6 7 examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 9 By Mr. Eubanks: 10 Q. Please state and spell your name for the 11 record. 12 Thomas Crawford, that's T-H-O-M-A-S 13 C-R-A-W-F-O-R-D. 14 Q. And did you file testimony in this 15 matter? 16 A. I did. 17 Q. Was it prepared by you or with your 18 assistance? 19 A. Prepared by me. 20 Q. And if I were to show you your testimony, 2.1 would you be able to identify it? 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 MR. EUBANKS: I would like to approach 24 the witness. 25 ALJ ADDISON: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) Would you please identify the document I have handed to you.
- A. This is my prefiled testimony for the Scioto Farms facility.
- Q. The same testimony that was filed on February 13, 2023, with the Commission?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Is it a true and accurate copy?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And if I were to ask you the same questions that are found in your testimony here today, would you provide the same answers?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Are there any corrections that you would like to make?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- MR. EUBANKS: I would like to have marked as Staff's Exhibit 10 the prefiled testimony of Mr. Crawford.
- 20 ALJ ADDISON: It will be so marked.
- 21 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- MR. EUBANKS: With that I would like to
 move to have Staff's Exhibit 10 placed into evidence,
 subject to cross-examination, and I will offer the
 witness for cross.

694 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much, 1 2 Mr. Eubanks. 3 Any questions on behalf of the Applicant? MS. HERRNSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. 4 5 ALJ ADDISON: Please proceed. 6 MS. HERRNSTEIN: Thank you. 7 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 By Ms. Herrnstein: 10 Okay. Good morning, Mr. Crawford. Q. you have before you the Staff Report of Investigation 11 12 which was previously marked as Staff Exhibit 1? 13 Α. I do. 14 Okay. Could you please turn to page 44 Ο. of that document. 15 16 I have it. Α. 17 All right. Do you see the section titled Q. "Conclusion"? 18 19 Yes, ma'am. Α. 20 Q. Are you sponsoring that section? 2.1 Α. Yes, ma'am. 22 Okay. And on the next page, on page 45, Q. do you see the section titled "Recommended Findings"? 23 24 Yes, ma'am. Α. 25 Q. Okay. And is it correct that you are

also sponsoring that section?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. All right. Let's start with the conclusion section on page 44. The very first line of that sentence I am going to read, "With respect to RC 4906.10(A)(6), Staff finds that the project will not serve the public interest, convenience and necessity." Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Did you draft that?
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. And is this Staff's position today?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Moving on to the second line that same -that same paragraph, "Public interest, convenience
 and necessity should be examined with respect to many
 considerations." Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Are you familiar with the Power Siting Board's use of the term broad lens in prior orders?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any prior orders
 of the Power Siting Board with respect to either the
 Kingwood, Cepheus, or Birch Solar facilities?

- A. When you say the orders, is that --
- Q. The orders denying certificates in those three cases.
 - A. I've seen those cases. I've seen those, yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Okay. And is it your intention to be applying the same standard applied in those orders to the Staff Report here?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. So even if the words used are a little different, it's the same standard.
- A. Do you have an example of the word being different? I mean --
- Q. You testified that you weren't familiar
 with the phrase broad lens, so I guess I will just
 move on.
- ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Herrnstein, I think he actually indicated the opposite of that.
- MS. HERRNSTEIN: Oh, he said he was -
 ALJ ADDISON: He was not. I think you

 just said he was familiar.
- MS. HERRNSTEIN: I'm sorry. I meant to say the opposite.
- 24 ALJ ADDISON: Perfect. Thank you.
- Q. (By Ms. Herrnstein) But it is your

understanding and intention to apply the same standard that the Board has applied in prior cases to the Staff Report.

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. We can move on to the second paragraph. "As explained above, Staff notes that there is general opposition to the project from the local citizens and local government bodies."

 Focusing specifically on the term local citizens in that sentence, what is the basis of Staff's conclusion that there is general opposition from local citizens?
- A. The -- these would be -- these would be the comments filed by citizens, 36 of those comments filed opposing the program. These are comments -- these are filings before the Staff Report was issued. Then also there is the four bullets above, a resolution of Pickaway County Commissioners voting 2 to 1 to 0 opposing the program, also a filing by the Township Trustees voting 2 to 0 with 1 abstain opposing the program also. Again, these were all filed or issued before the Staff Report was issued.
- Q. And all of these are listed in the Staff Report itself, correct?
 - A. Yes, ma'am. The Township would be on the

- next page, I believe, since it's a filed -- it wasn't a public comment. It a filed resolution.
- Q. Understood. Staying with that sentence where it refers to the opposition of local governmental bodies, so focusing on the language local governmental bodies, is that referring to Wayne Township in Pickaway County?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Is it referring to anything else?
- 10 A. Nothing that I think -- not that I would think of.
- Q. Okay. If we turn to page -- to page 45, please.
 - A. I'm there.
 - Q. There is a kind of -- look at the first paragraph. Kind of in the middle of the paragraph there is a sentence that begins with "These entities have the responsibility" -- I am just going to read it. "These entities have the responsibility for preserving the health, safety, and welfare within their respective communities." Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. All right. Is it Staff's position that the project will negatively impact public health?

- A. Do you want to finish that sentence or? You stopped at the comma; is that correct?
- Q. Did I stop at the comma? Oh, okay. Yes, okay. I'm sorry. I can read the whole sentence.

 "These entities have the responsibility for preserving the health, safety, and welfare within their respective communities, and their documented opposition to the project is especially compelling."

 Did I read the whole sentence now?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Thank you. Is it Staff's position that the project will negatively impact public health?
 - A. I don't recall that we would comment on health in the Staff Report.
 - Q. Is it accurate to say then that nowhere in the Staff Report does Staff identify a potential concern regarding public health?
 - A. I would have to review that again before I could say affirmatively it does not.
 - Q. But if there were a concern that Staff had regarding public health, it would be in the Staff Report?
 - A. That should be the case.
- Q. Okay. All right. If Staff had a concern

regarding the impact of the project on public safety, would that concern be reflected in the Staff Report as well?

A. Yes, ma'am.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And if Staff had a concern regarding negative impacts of the project on general public welfare, would that concern be reflected in the Staff Report as well?
- A. Yes, it would. And I think some of that might be identified with the roads, laydown areas, things like that.
- Q. Okay. Looking at the very last sentence of that same paragraph -- now I am second-guessing if I copied the whole sentence. Okay. "Staff believes that any benefits" -- I'm sorry.
- A. You are picking up in the middle of a sentence; is that what you wanted to do?
 - Q. Oh, no, I didn't. Thank you for correcting me again. Okay. It's the last sentence that begins "While some local opposition is common, in many siting projects, considering the above opposition filed in the docket and expressed at the local public meetings, Staff believes that any benefits to the local community are outweighed by this public opposition and, therefore, the project

would not serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. All right. Is it Staff's position also that any benefits that would extend outside the local community referenced in that sentence would also be outweighed by public opposition?
- A. You would have to articulate what you mean by benefits outside the community. Are you saying outside of Pickaway County? Outside of Wayne Township?
 - Q. First outside of Pickaway County.
 - A. We did not study that.
- Q. You didn't study benefits outside of
 Pickaway County? And I understand that Staff may
 have considered --
 - ALJ ADDISON: There is still a question pending.
- 19 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
 - A. Were you going to elaborate on that?
- Q. No. Go ahead. Please answer.
- A. I am just looking for our economic
 impacts. Would you repeat the question? I think I
 know what it is, but I better hear it again.
- Q. Yes, absolutely. Did Staff consider any

benefits of the project outside of Pickaway County?

- A. It looks like when we look at community impacts, we are looking at Pickaway County including economic impacts. It does not look like we go beyond Pickaway County.
- Q. Okay. So the sentence we were just discussing that states, in part, that "Staff believes any benefits to the local community are outweighed by public opposition," those benefits are specific to Pickaway County?
 - A. Yes, ma'am; yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Crawford, is it correct that local opposition is the only reason Staff identifies for recommending denial of the project?
- A. That seems to be the principal opposition, yes, ma'am.
- Q. Is there another -- is there another reason?
- A. No. It is just a number of Township,
 County, individuals. That's it.
- 21 MS. HERRNSTEIN: Okay. No further
- 22 questions. Thank you.
- 23 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much.
- Mr. Van Kley?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

MR. VAN KLEY: Nothing from me, your

```
703
 1
     Honor.
 2
                 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.
 3
                 Mr. Chamberlain?
                 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Nothing, your Honor.
 4
 5
     Thank you.
                 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Dove?
 6
 7
                 MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.
                 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis?
 8
9
                 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.
10
                 ALJ ADDISON: Any redirect, Mr. Eubanks?
                 MR. EUBANKS: Can we take a temporary
11
12
    break?
13
                 ALJ ADDISON: Absolutely.
14
                 Let's go off the record.
                 (Discussion off the record.)
15
16
                 ALJ ADDISON: Let's go back on the
17
     record.
18
                 Redirect, Mr. Eubanks?
19
                 MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor.
                                                  Thank
20
     you.
2.1
22
                     REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23
    By Mr. Eubanks:
24
                 Dr. Crawford, redirect allows us an
            Ο.
25
     opportunity to reflect on some of the answers that
```

was given on direct. And I wanted to ask you a few questions just for you to reflect on some of the questions that were asked of you earlier.

Specifically I would like you to refer to page 45 of the Staff Report. Do you have the Staff Report in front of you?

A. I do.

2.1

- Q. Do you remember being asked questions about the -- I believe it would be the third full paragraph -- I mean third full sentence of the top paragraph?
- A. Would that be "While some local opposition"?
- Q. It would start with "These entities have the responsibility for preserving health, safety, and welfare within their respective communities, and their documented opposition to the project is especially compelling." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The part about preserving health, safety, and welfare, do you believe that Staff's review of the application takes into account the public welfare?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. How so? Let me ask you a question a

different way. Does Staff's review take into account public interests?

- A. Yes, sir.
- O. Convenience?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Necessity?
- A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2.1

22

23

- Q. And would those things go to public welfare?
- A. They could all be parts of that.
- Q. And also you were asked with regard to that particular sentence whether or not Staff had listed all its concerns regarding health particularly, safety particularly. Do you remember that question?
- 16 A. I do.
- Q. If we read that sentence, would you agree
 with me that the focus of that sentence is on the
 local entities that are responsible for preserving
 health, safety, and welfare and not focused on Staff?
 - A. Yes, I would.
 - Q. And was it Staff -- did Staff necessarily detail all the health, safety, and welfare concerns of the local entities in its Staff Report?
- A. We did not.

Q. And do you remember being asked questions about the last full sentence that begins -- well, I will just read it. The sentence would read, "While some local opposition is common in many siting projects, considering the above opposition filed in the docket and expressed at the local public meetings, Staff believes that any benefits to the local community are outweighed by this public opposition and, therefore, the project would not serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity." Do you see that sentence?

A. I do.

2.1

- Q. Did Staff consider benefits that go beyond just benefits to the local community?
- A. There are -- there is consideration that goes beyond the local community, that goes beyond Pickaway County.
- Q. And would that be documented in the Staff Report?
- A. There is some comment to that on page 15, jobs, earnings, output.
- Q. Jobs, earnings, and output that extend beyond Pickaway County?
- A. The jobs -- the direct jobs do not extend beyond Pickaway County. Jobs, you have to track

down, tabulate. Certainly the taxes on wages for those workers in Pickaway County going to the State is a benefit going beyond Pickaway County.

- Q. And clearly the Staff Report speaks for itself so there may be other areas in the Staff Report where there are benefits that go outside of just the local community?
- A. Yes, sir.

2.1

MR. EUBANKS: With that I have no further questions.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you, Mr. Eubanks.

Ms. Herrnstein?

MS. HERRNSTEIN: Yes, I do, your Honor.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

16 By Ms. Herrnstein:

Q. Just briefly, Dr. Crawford, first, apologies for calling you Mr. Crawford earlier; but, Dr. Crawford, if I understood the question and your answer correctly, am I correct that you testified that Staff also considers the health, safety, and welfare of the local community in its -- in its analysis?

MR. EUBANKS: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony.

MS. HERRNSTEIN: I believe that is what you asked.

ALJ ADDISON: Well, I will afford

Dr. Crawford a little bit of latitude if he needs to clarify.

- A. Would you repeat that, please?
- Q. Yes. Am I correct that Staff also considers the health, safety, and welfare of the local community in its public interest analysis or in its analysis of the application overall?
- A. Yes, we do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. And am I correct that outside of public opposition, Staff has identified no concerns in any of these areas?
 - A. I believe that's correct.
- Q. Okay. I believe you just testified that Staff considered benefits outside of the local community with respect to economic impact; is that correct?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Were there any benefits outside of the local community outside of economic impact that

 Staff considered?
- A. We seem not to have documented anything
 other than that economic impact outside the local

community.

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And when you say documented, you mean that's the only thing in the Staff Report?
- A. Yes, ma'am, that I see at the moment.

 MS. HERRNSTEIN: Nothing further. Thank

 you.
- 7 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much.

8 Mr. Van Kley?

MR. VAN KLEY: Nothing, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Chamberlain?

MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Nothing, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Dove?

MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis?

MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

17

18 EXAMINATION

19 By ALJ Addison:

- Q. Dr. Crawford, when you indicated in response to questions by Applicant's counsel, you indicated that Staff did not specifically cite any concerns with health -- health, safety, or welfare; is that correct?
- 25 A. That's correct. I believe I do not find

any of those directly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

- Q. But does the Staff Report recognize concerns from the local community regarding health, safety, and the public welfare; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And that is what this paragraph is explaining; is that correct?
 - A. Which paragraph is that, your Honor?
- Q. Oh, I apologize. The first paragraph on page 45. Specifically the statement -- the sentence beginning with "These entities."
- A. Uh-huh. Would you mind asking me that again, please?
 - Q. Certainly. The purpose of that statement is to acknowledge and recognize the concerns from the local community, not necessarily Staff's concerns, correct?
- A. Correct. Yes, ma'am.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. I have no additional questions, Dr. Crawford. You are excused.

21 THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.

22 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much for your testimony.

24 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

25 ALJ ADDISON: I believe Mr. Eubanks had

previously moved for the admission of both Staff Exhibit 1 as well as Staff Exhibit 10 into the record. Are there any objections now that we have gone through all of Staff's scheduled witnesses to admit those exhibits at this time?

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: No objection.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

Staff Exhibit 1 and Staff Exhibit 10 will be admitted into the record.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

ALJ ADDISON: Along those same lines, I believe the Applicant had previously moved for the admission of Applicant Exhibit No. 2. Are there any objections for the admission of Applicant Exhibit No. 2 into the record?

MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, we don't object to admitting Applicant's Exhibit No. 2 into the record. Based on my experiences in other cases with arguments made by Applicant's counsel, however, I would note for the record that that doesn't necessarily imply that we believe the application is adequate in any way or that the -- the Applicant -- nor do we concede that the application contains all of the materials and information required by the

Board's rules. So because that argument has been made against me in the past, I want to make sure that the record this time reflects that we make no such concession with regard to our agreement that the application should be admitted into evidence.

2.1

2.2

ALJ ADDISON: Certainly. And I will note this is merely moving the application into evidence for the Board's consideration. It will be up to the Board to ultimately determine whether or not the application is adequate or satisfies the statutory criteria.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yep.

ALJ ADDISON: Any objections other than the one stated by Mr. Van Kley to the admission of Applicant Exhibit No. 2?

MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Then it will be admitted.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Chamberlain, you had indicated that you had an issue to bring up to the group yesterday.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Yes, thank you. Back on the 13th of February, Wayne Township uploaded a document to the docket. There is a certified copy of a Pickaway County Commissioners' resolution,

```
713
     resolution number was PC-034052230. And along with
 1
 2
     that there was a motion to admit that document as a
     self-authenticating and so just -- first of all, I
 3
     would like to identify that resolution as Wayne
 4
 5
     Township Exhibit 2.
                 ALJ ADDISON: It will be so marked.
 6
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 7
                 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: And with that I would
 8
     move for the admission of that said document.
9
10
                 ALJ ADDISON: Are there any objections to
11
     the admission of Wayne Township Exhibit 2?
12
                 MS. SHEELY: Your Honor, may I have just
13
     a moment to review it?
14
                 ALJ ADDISON: Absolutely.
                 MS. SHEELY: I'm finished.
15
16
                 ALJ ADDISON: You are finished?
17
                 MS. SHEELY: I'm finished reviewing.
18
     Thank you.
19
                 ALJ ADDISON: Absolutely, yes. Any
20
     objection?
2.1
                 MS. SHEELY: No.
22
                 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. It will be
     admitted into the record at this time.
23
24
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
25
                 ALJ ADDISON: And as I noted before, that
```

does conclude the list of witnesses that we had scheduled to provide testimony in this case.

Let's go off the record for a moment.

(Discussion off the record.)

ALJ ADDISON: Let's go ahead and go back on the record.

After a brief discussion with the parties, we've determined that initial briefs will be due April 13, 2023, and reply briefs will be due May 8, 2023, unless ordered otherwise.

With that I would just like to thank everyone. I know we had a few unexpected hiccups during this hearing. I certainly appreciate the professionalism both from counsel and from all the witnesses. So, please, if the witnesses aren't here in the room today, please extend my thank you to them as well.

Anything else before we adjourn?

All right. Thank you all. We are adjourned.

(Thereupon, at 11:20 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.)

- - -

2.1

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, March 2, 2023, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-7422)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/15/2023 9:25:18 AM

in

Case No(s). 21-0868-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript of Scioto Farms Solar Project, LLC hearing held on 03/02/23 - Volume IV electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs..