BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter of the
Application of Scioto
Farms Solar Project, LLC,
for a Certificate of
:

Environmental : Case No. 21-868-EL-BGN

Compatibility and Public:
Need to Construct a:
Solar-Powered Electric:
Generation Facility in:
Pickaway County, Ohio.:

PROCEEDINGS

before Ms. Megan Addison, Administrative Law Judge, at the Ohio Power Siting Board, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 9:34 a.m. on Tuesday, February 28, 2023.

- - -

VOLUME II-PUBLIC PORTION

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

		205
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Bricker & Eckler, LLP By Ms. Sommer Sheely,	
	Ms. Kara H. Herrnstein, Mr. Aaron Bruggeman,	
4 5	and Ms. Jennifer A. Flint 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215	
6	On behalf of the Applicant.	
7	Van Kley Law, LLC	
8	By Mr. Jack A. Van Kley 132 Northwoods Boulevard, Suite C-1	
9	Columbus, Ohio 43235	
10	On behalf of the Intervenors Ebenhacks.	
11	Pickaway County Prosecutor's Office By Mr. Robert A. Chamberlain,	
12	Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 203 South Scioto Street Circleville, Ohio 43113	
13		
14	On behalf of the Intervenors Wayne Township, Pickaway County.	
15	Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter By Mr. Robert Dove	
16	65 East State Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215	
17	On behalf of the IBEW Local 575 and Ohi	0
18	Partners for Affordable Energy.	O
19	Ohio Farm Bureau Federation By Ms. Leah Finney Curtis	
20	280 North High Street, Sixth Floor	
21	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
22	On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau Federation.	
23		
24		
25		

```
206
 1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
 2
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
            By Mr. Robert Eubanks,
 3
            Mr. Shaun Lyons,
            and Mr. Werner L. Margard, III
 4
            Assistant Attorneys General
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
 6
                 On behalf of the Staff of the OPSB.
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		207
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4	Jim Woodruff	010
5	Cross-Examination (Continued) by Mr. Van Kley Redirect Examination by Ms. Sheely Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	213 247 249
6	Redirect Examination (Continued) by Ms. Sheely Recross-Examination (Continued) by Mr. Van Kley	255
7	Examination by ALJ Addison	264
8	Courtney Dohoney Direct Examination by Ms. Sheely Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	273 276
10	Karl Gebhardt	210
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruggeman	306
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley Examination by ALJ Addison	308 322
12	Matthew Hildreth	
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruggeman Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	325 326
14 15	Redirect Examination by Mr. Bruggeman Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	337 339
IJ	Brady Stauffer	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruggeman Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	342 344
17	Brian Karczewski	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruggeman Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	349 351
19		
20	Rhett Sloan Direct Examination by Mr. Bruggeman Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	358 360
21		
22	JoAnne Blank Direct Examination by Ms. Herrnstein Cross-Examination by Van Kley	364 366
23	Redirect Examination by Ms. Herrnstein	382
24		
25		

ĺ				
				208
1		INDEX (Continued)		
2				
3	WITN	ESS		PAGE
4	Jennifer Brunty			
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Flint Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley			384 386
6	Redirect Examination by Ms. Flint 389 Examination by ALJ Addison 391			
7				
8	APPL	ICANT EXHIBITS I	DENTIFIED	ADMITTED
9	8	Direct Testimony of James	I-24	267
10		Woodruff Filed on January 30, 2023		
11	9	Response to the Public Comments by the Pickaway	I-24	267
12 13		County Emergency Management Agency Filed September 29, 2022		
14	10	Informational Memorandum to	I-24	267
15	10	Wayne Township Trustees Filed as an Errata on February 13, 2023	1-24	207
16	11	Notice of Project Modification	I-24	267
17	11	to Incorporate Enhanced Setback Distances Filed	1 21	201
18		December 14, 2022		
19	21	Direct Testimony of JoAnne Blank Filed on January 30,	25	384
20		2023		
21	22	Direct Testimony of Jennifer Brunty Filed on January 30,	25	392
22		2023		
23	23	Direct Testimony of Matthew	26	341
24		Hildreth Filed on January 30, 2023		
25				

				209
1		INDEX (Continued	1)	
2				
3	APPL	ICANT EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4 5	24	Direct Testimony of Brady Stauffer Filed on January 30, 2023	26	348
6 7	25	Direct Testimony of Brian Karczewski Filed on January 30, 2023	26	357
8	26	Direct Testimony of Karl Gebhardt Filed on January 30, 2023	26	324
10 11	29	Direct Testimony of Rhett Sloan Filed on January 30, 2023	26	363
12 13	32	Supplemental Direct Testimony of Jim Woodruff Filed February 23, 2023	7 I-26	267
14	33	Wire Transfer Receipt	247	268
15				
16	EBENI	HACK EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
17 18	4	Applicant Scioto Farms Solar Project, LLC's Responses and Objections to the Second Set	I-127	272
19		of Interrogatories Propounded by Intervenors Scarlett Ebenhack, Suzannah M.	[
20		Ebenhack, Thomas E. Ebenhack, Thomas J. Ebenhack, and		
21		Leslie Ebenhack		
22	6	10-13-21 Letter from the Pickaway County Park District	I-160	272
23	7	4-5-22 Memo to County	I-161	272
24		Commissioners from Gary Cameron, EMA Director		
25				

				210
1		INDEX (Continued	d)	
2				
3	EBENI	HACK EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4	9	PUCO E-mail Sending Pickaway	I-177	272
5		County Fire Chief's Association's Conditional		
6		Opposition to New Solar Energy Farm Development within Pickaway County		
7	10_0	E-mails to and from	I-195	272
8	10-0	Jenny Nicolas	1-190	212
9	12-C	E-mail Chain Starting with 5-25-21	213	304
10	13	12-23-22 Letter to Scioto	251	304
11		Farms Solar Project from PJM		
12				
13	JOINT	r exhibit	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
14		Joint Stipulation and Recommendation	I-27	267
15	-			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Tuesday Morning Session,

February 28, 2023.

2.1

ALJ ADDISON: Let's go ahead and go on the record at this time.

Good morning, everyone. Today is the second day of hearing that the Board has set for Case No. 21-868-EL-BGN being captioned in the Matter of the Application of the Scioto Farms Solar Project, LLC, for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need to Construct a Solar-Powered Electric Generation Facility in Pickaway County, Ohio.

We will dispense with the taking of appearances this morning and dive right back into where we left off yesterday.

So at this time I will note that we will be leaving the public transcript and transferring over into the confidential session. And I would advise anyone if you have not executed a protective agreement with the Applicant or if you are not currently employed by the Board itself, I would just ask you to leave the room at this time.

(CONFIDENTIAL PORTION EXCERPTED.)

* * * * *

```
255
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
                  (PUBLIC PORTION.)
13
                 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Sheely, are you
14
     prepared to proceed with your redirect on the public
15
     transcript?
16
                 MS. SHEELY: Yes, your Honor.
17
                 ALJ ADDISON: Please proceed.
18
19
                REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
20
     By Ms. Sheely:
2.1
                 Mr. Woodruff, yesterday you were asked
            Q.
22
     questions about whether the project has committed to
     using only panels for the project that pass the USEPA
23
24
     TCLP. Do you remember some testimony about that
25
     topic?
```

A. I do.

2.1

- Q. Can you please turn to the application narrative at page 42.
 - A. Yes. Just a moment. Yes.
- Q. So I believe yesterday you testified based upon pages 9 and 10 of the application narrative that the list of panels provided there were all TCLP-approved panels, but does this refresh your recollection as to whether there was also just a plain commitment in the application to use only TCLP-approved panels?
 - A. It does.
- Q. And can you read the sentence that says that?
- A. Yes. On page 42 of the application narrative under the heading "Solid Waste During Operation," at subsection B in the second sentence, it states "The Applicant will only utilize Tier 1 equipment suppliers and expects solar panels to pass Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) testing regulated by the USEPA to ensure they are not hazardous to people or the environment."
- Q. Thank you. There was testimony yesterday regarding whether the project has made a commitment limiting grading to 15 percent. You were familiar

with the discussions and execution of the Joint Stipulation as to certificate conditions in this case, correct?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Do you agree, and feel free to reference Joint Exhibit 1, if necessary, that in condition (10)b of that Stipulation appears that commitment from the project?
 - A. Which binder is that in?
- Q. It would be the second volume of Applicant's exhibits. Last one.
 - A. Yes. Condition 10 of the stipulated provisions in Joint Exhibit 1 at subparagraph b which appears on page 4 states limits that the condition limits grading to no more than 15 percent of the agricultural lands within the project area, as defined in the application.
 - Q. Would you look at Exhibit 10, please, Applicant Exhibit 10.
 - A. Pardon me. Yes.
- Q. Did you participate in preparing the memorandum dated December 15, 2022, and providing it to the Wayne Township Trustees and their counsel Tony Chamberlain?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. There were questions yesterday regarding a portion of this that starts at page 18. It's actually Exhibit A to it.

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And you would agree that this is a comparison of the conditions from the Staff Report for Scioto Farms Solar versus the adopted OPSB conditions for the Yellowbud Solar project?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that you asked for this comparison to be prepared?
 - A. It was prepared at my direction, yes.
 - Q. Why did you do that?
- A. I did that because in the course of many of the discussions I had with the various Intervenors and more broadly observing the comments on the docket, there seemed to be a great deal of concern --most of the concerns were -- raised were associated with the Yellowbud project and the construction of that project and the conditions that were received there by the Intervenors and others and my intention here was to demonstrate to the Township that the subject matter of many of the concerns was addressed through more granular and robust conditions in the Scioto Farms' proposed conditions than what appeared

in the Yellowbud approved conditions.

2.1

- Q. Did you determine how many additional conditions were part of the proposed Scioto Farms conditions from what had been adopted for the Yellowbud Solar project?
- A. I did. Of the 39 conditions proposed in Scioto Farms by Staff, 18 were conditions which did not appear in the Yellowbud adopted conditions.
- Q. Can you summarize the -- some of the subject matters on which the additional conditions proposed for Scioto Farms covered that were not included within the Yellowbud Solar conditions?

MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, I am going to object at this point. I think this is ranging well outside of the -- of the topics of cross-examination. There were some questions about grading during cross-examination in which the witness just testified about, but the cross-examination didn't deal with other issues that would entail any differences between the Yellowbud project and this project. So I think this is -- this is territory that should have been placed in Mr. Woodruff's direct testimony if they wanted to cover it.

ALJ ADDISON: Well, it is in an errata.

Ms. Sheely, any response?

MS. SHEELY: Yes, it is his testimony but also there was questioning yesterday regarding the comparison of condition No. 1 in this very document and it being a condition that's the same as Yellowbud and certainly I think that this proceeding will include criticisms of certain things in how Yellowbud was constructed as the basis for concern about how this project might be constructed. So I think that this is all fair game for redirect.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

I'll allow the question.

A. What was the question?

2.1

- Q. (By Ms. Sheely) Can you summarize some of the additional conditions that have been proposed relative to Scioto Farms Solar that were not included in the Yellowbud conditions based upon your analysis of it?
- A. Well, I don't know that I can summarize. I mean, the table is -- is pretty clear on what provisions appear as to which project, but I can say that there is a provision for the Scioto Farms project on grading which does not appear at all in the Yellowbud certificate. There is a provision on topsoil management that does not appear, provision for an emergency response plan to be filed 30 days

```
prior to the pre-construction conference that does
1
2
     not appear. A plan for pollutant discharge
     elimination does not appear in Yellowbud's
 3
     certificate. There is a provision relating to
 4
 5
     operational sound levels during construction.
 6
     Yellowbud has no analogue to that. Much more
7
     extensive provision in the Scioto Farms conditions
     regarding field tile management, remediation for
8
9
     damage, surveying, a very complete and thorough
10
     decommissioning condition which did not appear at all
11
     in Yellowbud; provisions relating to end of life
12
     disposal for solar panels; provisions for remediation
13
     of the site and testing of the site following
14
     decommissioning to ensure that it's returned to its
15
     original agricultural purpose. Provisions regarding
16
     vegetation during, after construction, during
17
     operations, requiring compliance with ODNR levels of
18
     pollinator vegetation and other types of vegetation,
19
     none of which appeared in the Yellowbud certificate.
20
                 MS. SHEELY: No further questions.
                                                     Thank
2.1
     you.
2.2
                              Thank you, Ms. Sheely.
                 ALJ ADDISON:
23
                 Mr. Dove?
24
                 MR. DOVE: No more questions.
25
                 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis?
```

MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Van Kley?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Van Kley:

2.1

- Q. Mr. Woodruff, go back to the narrative to the application page 42.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. You read some language from this page that included the statement that "The Applicant will only utilize Tier 1 equipment suppliers and expects solar panels to pass TCLP testing"; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So are you interpreting the statement that the Applicant expects the panels to pass the TCLP as a commitment that the Applicant will purchase only panels that pass TCLP testing?
- A. I am simply testifying as to what appears in the application. The expectation is any Tier 1 panel will pass the TCLP test as all panels in that tier have for the last 15 years.
- Q. So the Applicant is not making any promises to utilize only panels that pass TCLP

263 1 testing? 2 MS. SHEELY: Objection. 3 ALJ ADDISON: Grounds? MS. SHEELY: Asked and answered and it 4 mischaracterizes his prior answer. 5 6 MR. VAN KLEY: Well, I am asking him 7 whether that's his position because he seems to be waving back and forth between a commitment and just 8 9 an expectation. ALJ ADDISON: Oh, Mr. Van Kley, I tend to 10 11 agree with Ms. Sheely. He's answered the question 12 and I do believe your question mischaracterizes 13 earlier testimony and the Board can certainly look at 14 his answers to those earlier questions and I am sure 15 they will be well informed as to where he stands on 16 that issue. 17 MR. VAN KLEY: All right. Very good, 18 your Honor. I have nothing further at this point. 19 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. 20 Mr. Chamberlain? 2.1 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Nothing, your Honor. 22 Thank you. 23 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. 24 Mr. Eubanks?

MR. EUBANKS: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

2.1

2.2

EXAMINATION

4 By ALJ Addison:

- Q. Mr. Woodruff, just very briefly, was Yellowbud Solar -- do you recall Ms. Sheely asked various questions regarding conditions that were contained in the Yellowbud Solar certificate, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you compared the conditions currently set forth in Joint Exhibit 1 which was the Joint Stipulation; is that correct?
 - A. No. The comparison that I made was between the Staff proposed conditions as they appeared in the application -- in the Staff Report. Since that time there have been additional modifications to the Staff proposed conditions which appear in the Joint Stipulation.
 - Q. Thank you for that clarification. And Yellowbud was the only additional case that you have included in Staff Exhibit 10 to make such a comparison, correct?
- A. For Applicant's conditions, Exhibit 10, yes, yes.

Q. And you -- so you did not engage in any sort of comparison between the certificates proposed in the Staff Report for Scioto Farms for this proceeding with any other certificate granted to a solar farm in any other proceeding, correct?

2.1

- A. Not for purposes of this exercise. We did review the certificate and order in the Dodson case specifically with respect to setbacks which led to our seeking an amendment to the application in this case. But that was the only other time we looked at a certificate.
- Q. Okay. And you similarly did not compare the Staff proposed conditions in the Staff Report to any certificate to be granted in this proceeding with those proposed in any other solar farm proceeding before the Board whether or not a certificate had been issued, correct?
- A. Correct. And to be clear, the purpose for making that comparison here is the proximity of the Yellowbud project to the Intervenors' property. And the rationale for making the comparison was concerns that we had heard about the Yellowbud project. We hadn't heard that type of specific concern about any other project that had been certificated and in construction.

266 And you would agree that each one of Q. these cases that I have referred to, any case dealing with a proposal to build a solar farm facility, all of those are fact specific, correct? Α. Yes. And some conditions that may apply in one Ο. case may not be very relevant in another proceeding, correct? Α. Yes. ALJ ADDISON: Okay. Those are all the questions I had, Mr. Woodruff. You are excused. THE WITNESS: Oh, thank you. MS. SHEELY: Can I just make one brief clarification through a question to Mr. Woodruff as re-redirect? ALJ ADDISON: Oh, no. We don't allow that. MS. SHEELY: No. ALJ ADDISON: Sorry. The record stands. Thank you, Mr. Woodruff. You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. ALJ ADDISON: All right. Ms. Sheely, you had previously moved for the admission of Applicant Exhibits No. 8, 9, 10, 11, and 32 and Joint

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
267
 1
     Exhibit 1; is that correct?
 2
                 MS. SHEELY: Yes.
 3
                 ALJ ADDISON: Are there any objections to
     the admission of those exhibits?
 4
 5
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Could we get that list
 6
     again?
 7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Absolutely. It's
     Applicant Exhibit No. 8, 9, 10, 11, 32, and Joint
 8
9
    Exhibit 1. And I'll give you a minute to review
10
    those.
11
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. We don't have any
12
     objection to those.
13
                 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Any other
14
     objections from any other party?
15
                 All right. Hearing no objections, we
    will now admit Applicant Exhibit Nos. 8, 9, 10, 11,
16
17
     32, and Joint Exhibit 1 into the record.
18
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
19
                 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Sheely, I believe we
20
    had an additional exhibit. Are you now moving that
2.1
     as well?
22
                 MS. SHEELY: Yes. I would like to move
23
    for the admission of Exhibit 33-C, Applicant Exhibit
24
     33-C.
25
                 ALJ ADDISON: Any objection?
```

268 1 MR. VAN KLEY: No. 2 ALJ ADDISON: I will note for the record that it is no longer Applicant 33-C. We will just 3 refer to it as Applicant Exhibit 33 as we dealt with 4 5 the confidentiality concerns with that particular 6 document. And, Mr. Van Kley, you already indicated 7 8 you did not have an objection; is that correct? 9 MR. VAN KLEY: That's correct, your 10 Honor. 11 ALJ ADDISON: Any other objections from 12 other parties? 13 No? Okay. Hearing none, it will be admitted. 14 15 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 16 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Van Kley, will you be 17 moving any documents at this time? 18 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor. We would 19 move the admission of Ebenhack's Exhibits 4, 6, 7, 8, 20 9, 10-C, 11-C, and 12-C. 2.1 ALJ ADDISON: Any objections to the 22 admission of Ebenhack Exhibit Nos. 4, 6, 8, 9, 10-C, 23 11-C, or 12-C? 24 MS. SHEELY: Yes, your Honor. 25 MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, did you

```
269
 1
     mention No. 7, or did I mention No. 7?
 2
                 ALJ ADDISON: You did not mention No. 7.
 3
                 MR. VAN KLEY: We would like to move that
 4
     one.
 5
                 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. And just to be
 6
     clear, Mr. Van Kley has also moved to admit Ebenhack
 7
     Exhibit No. 7 in the event that you would like to
     review that document as well.
 8
 9
                 MS. SHEELY: I can address them one by
10
     one, if you would like.
11
                 ALJ ADDISON: Yes. Please proceed.
12
                 MS. SHEELY: Ebenhack Exhibit 6, we
13
     object to this as hearsay because the witness with
14
     whom it was covered -- actually I'm sorry. I may
15
    need to back up. Ebenhack Exhibit 5, was that the
16
     first of this group?
17
                 ALJ ADDISON: That was not moved for
18
     admission.
19
                 MS. SHEELY: 6 was moved?
20
                 ALJ ADDISON: 6 was moved.
2.1
                 MS. SHEELY: Yes. We object to this on
22
     the basis of a lack of foundation with the witness
23
    with whom it was covered and also the fact that it is
24
    hearsay.
```

ALJ ADDISON: Is that the only exhibit

1 | you are objecting to, Ms. Sheely?

2.1

MS. SHEELY: No. We object to Exhibit 7 for the same reasons, same objections.

We object to Exhibit 8. Just to clarify not on the basis of authenticity but on the basis of foundation insofar as the witness had no personal knowledge of these e-mails and was not copied on them.

We object to Exhibit 9 as hearsay.

We object to Exhibit 11-C for the lack of foundation because the witness has no personal knowledge and was not copied.

And we object to Exhibit 12-C on the same basis, lack of foundation and the witness has no personal knowledge because he was not copied.

Those are the objections to the exhibits you've referenced.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

Mr. Van Kley, care to respond?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor. With regard to Exhibit 7, I would note that the witness did -- did acknowledge that that was a copy of a letter that he had seen. He also stated that his compilation of responses to the Township arguments and that are attached to his testimony do provide

some response to the statements in Exhibit 7.

2.1

Exhibits 8, 10, 11, and -- I guess 10 wasn't objected to, the state -- the other documents are all authenticated as records of Scioto Farms Solar that has been -- have been produced in this proceeding as shown by the Bates numbers and the testimony of the witness acknowledging that had been produced, and the contents are statements of a party to this case that are admissible under that exception to the hearsay rule.

with regard to Exhibit 9, I think that was objected to, I'm not sure, if it was, then the witness did acknowledge that he addressed arguments in Exhibit -- that are in Exhibit 9 in his attachments to his testimony, so we believe that they should come in on that basis.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

And just to be clear, Ms. Sheely, you are not objecting to the admission of Ebenhack Exhibit No. 4 or 10-C; is that correct?

MS. SHEELY: 10-C, no. And 4, no.

ALJ ADDISON: Okay. So we will take care of the easy ones first. Any other objections to the admission of Ebenhack Exhibit Nos. 4 or 10-C?

Hearing none, those will be admitted into

1 the record.

2.1

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

ALJ ADDISON: I would just briefly note that the Board is not strictly bound by the Ohio Rules of Evidence. While the documents noted as Ebenhack Exhibit Nos. 6, 7, and 9 may or may not constitute hearsay under those rules, the Board can certainly look to those documents and afford the appropriate weight that it determines should be afforded to them.

So I will note your objection,

Ms. Sheely, but I will admit Ebenhack Exhibit Nos. 6,

7, and 9 into the record.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

ALJ ADDISON: However, based on the witness's responses to certain questions posed to him regarding Ebenhack Exhibit No. 8 and No. 12-C, I agree that the appropriate foundation had not been laid for those documents with this witness; and, thus, I will not be moving those into the record at this time.

Along those same lines, Ms. Sheely, your objection to Ebenhack Exhibit No. 11-C was based on hearsay; is that correct?

MS. SHEELY: 11-C was lack of foundation.

ALJ ADDISON: Lack of foundation. 1 2 based on those same lines, I will also similarly not 3 move 11-C into the record. I believe that is all of the documents. 4 5 Correct me if I am wrong, Mr. Van Kley. 6 MR. VAN KLEY: You are correct, your 7 Honor. 8 ALJ ADDISON: Okay. Ms. Sheely, will you be calling the next witness for the Applicant? 9 10 MS. SHEELY: Yes, your Honor. We would 11 like to call Courtney Dohoney. 12 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Dohoney, you have been 13 patiently waiting. Would you please raise your right 14 hand. 15 (Witness sworn.) 16 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please be 17 seated. 18 19 COURTNEY DOHONEY 20 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 2.1 examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 By Ms. Sheely: 24 Hello, Ms. Dohoney. Ο. 25 A. Good morning.

- Q. Would you please state and spell your name for the record.
- A. Sure. Courtney Dohoney, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y

 D-O-H-O-N-E-Y.
 - Q. Who is your employer?
- 6 A. Stantec Consulting.
- 7 Q. What's your title?
- 8 A. Senior Associate Project Manager.
- 9 Q. Could you turn to Applicant Exhibit 12, 10 please.
- 11 A. I'm there.

- Q. Do you recognize that as your prefiled testimony which was filed in this proceeding on January 30, 2023?
- 15 A. I do.
- Q. If I were to ask you the questions that are contained within it today, would your answers be the same?
- 19 A. They would.
- Q. Could you please turn to Applicant
 Exhibit 13.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recognize that to be the unanticipated discovery plan that was filed on May 2, 2022, in this case?

275 1 Α. That appears to be missing from the 2 folder. 3 Q. No kidding. There is a cover page but. 4 5 Q. Oh. Just a moment. Can you turn to Exhibit -- is there an Exhibit 3 that has a tab 10? 6 7 ALJ ADDISON: Should tab -- I have 8 letters, tab J. What did you say? 9 MS. SHEELY: Tab 10. 10 ALJ ADDISON: Tab J. 11 MS. SHEELY: Oh, are they letters? 12 ALJ ADDISON: Yes. 13 A. I see an unanticipated discovery plan. 14 So that is an unanticipated discovery Ο. 15 plan filed May 2, 2022, which is also Applicant 16 Exhibit 13, we just didn't reproduce it in the binder 17 multiple times; is that correct? 18 Yes. Α. 19 MS. SHEELY: Okav. At this time I would 20 like to move to enter Exhibits 12 and 13 into the 2.1 record, subject to cross-examination, and tender the 2.2 witness for cross. 23 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much, 24 Ms. Sheely.

Mr. Dove?

MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis?

MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Van Kley?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor. Thank

you.

2.1

- - -

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Van Kley:

- Q. Ms. Dohoney, I am hoping that you can clear up a question that we've had, from your smile I think you sat through at least part of it, concerning collection lines. Why don't we go to Exhibit A which is the preliminary site plan for the application which was marked as Applicant's Exhibit 2 and we will take a crack at answering my questions using this document. If we have to, we'll find another one that -- hopefully that will answer the question.
 - A. I found the site plan.
- Q. Found it? Okay. Let's go to the map that is in Exhibit A of Applicant's Exhibit 2. And I have a question or two about what appears to be a collection line between the parcel on which the switchyard and substation for the project are located and the solar panel areas to the southeast of that.

And specifically I am looking at a -- what appears to be a corridor between the parcel with the substation and the panel area to the southeast of that. Do you see that corridor?

A. I do.

2.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Does -- is that corridor the location of a planned collection line?
- A. Yes. There will be collection lines going through those.
- Q. And the -- this collection line would convey electricity from all of the solar panel areas to the southeast of the substation into the substation; is that correct?
 - A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. Is -- is that collection line located on land that is under lease to the Applicant?
- A. The parcel where the solar panels are to the southeast is under lease and the parcel with the substation and switchyard and laydown area is also under lease and I believe there is an easement in between where those two parcels meet to allow for the collection to pass through.
- Q. Okay. Is that easement on land that is not under lease to the Applicant?
 - A. I believe the easement is in place of a

lease.

2.1

2.2

- Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear the last part of your answer.
- A. I believe the easement is in place of a lease. It's the mechanism to allow the cables to be installed in that area.
- Q. Do you see a parcel to the northeast of that collection line that's under easement that is colored as kind of a brownish color in Exhibit A directly to the east of the substation?
- A. Yes. That parcel appears to be outside the project boundary. Is that the parcel you are referencing?
- Q. Yeah. Is that land under lease to the Applicant?
- A. Not that I am aware. It's not part of the project boundaries, so it's not being considered as part of the project.
- Q. Okay. How close is the collection line that is the subject of the easement to the boundary line of the parcel that is colored in brown to the northeast of it?
- A. Without having exact measures wouldn't be able to tell you.
 - Q. Can you tell me whether the collection

line in that area will be located closer than

150 feet to the boundary of the non-participating
parcel to the northeast?

- A. At the scale of this map, I can't tell for certain, but I would expect that it's closer than that.
- Q. Do you know whether there is any agreement in place with the owner of that non-participating parcel to allow the siting of a collection line closer than 150 feet from the boundary?
- A. I'm not aware of any sort of agreements that the Applicant may have with the landowners there.
 - Q. All right. Thank you for those answers. Could have saved a lot of time by starting with your testimony first, I guess. Appreciate that.

Let's talk a little bit about Exhibit Q to the application. Exhibit Q is labeled as "Ecological Resources Report" of Applicant's Exhibit 2, correct?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

Q. And looking at the third page of that document which includes the cover page, your signature appears there as approving the document,

280 1 correct? 2 That's correct. Α. 3 Did you write any of Exhibit Q yourself? Q. Α. I did not. 4 5 Q. Did you read the entire report that's in 6 Exhibit Q before approving it? 7 Α. I did. Let's go to page 27 of Exhibit Q which is 8 Ο. 9 the 32nd PDF page. Let me know when you have found 10 that page, please. 11 Α. I did. 12 Q. All right. Let's look at the section on 13 "Bald Eagle Nest Search" which is No. 4.5. 14 Α. Give me one second. My page numbers 15 don't appear to be matching yours. 16 Okay. It would be true page 27 of the Ο. 17 report. 18 I found it. Thank you. Α. 19 Okay. Stantec conducted a search for 0. 20 bald eagle nests in the project area; is that 2.1 correct? 2.2 Α. That's correct. 23 Q. Did its search extend beyond the 24 boundaries of the project area?

25

Α.

It did.

Q. How far?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- A. It went out to a distance of 660 feet from the project boundary.
- Q. Did Stantec find any bald eagle nests within that territory?
 - A. We did not.
- Q. But there is a bald eagle nest that was identified by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service just outside of the 660 feet perimeter around the project area, correct?
- A. That's correct. I believe it was six-tenths of a mile away from the project boundary.
- Q. Do you know whether that bald eagle nest is still active?
 - A. As of today, no. We have not checked that nest.
 - Q. When is the last time you checked to determine whether that nest was active, if at all?
 - A. I don't believe we had access to the property where that nest was located, and it's in a wooded riparian area along the Scioto River, so we didn't have access to be able to check on the nest.
 - Q. Section 4.5 on page 27 of Exhibit Q states that an eagle nest search was conducted on November 17, 2021. Have any bald eagle nests surveys

been conducted since that date?

2.1

- A. They have not.
- Q. Did the Applicant perform any literature survey for plants within the project area or within one quarter mile of the project area?
- A. We completed consultation letters to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Ohio Department of Natural Resources looking for any records they may have of threatened, endangered plants or animals that may be present within the vicinity.

We also then completed a field survey which noted -- as part of our wetland delineation surveys, we also mapped the different habitat types within the project area and the dominant vegetation within each of those habitat types.

- Q. Other than the consultation with the wildlife agencies in which you requested information about threatened and endangered species, did the Applicant do any other literature survey for plants or for animals?
- A. Everything that is part of our search is contained within our ecological assessment report so there was background research done as in reviewing any of the threatened and endangered species that were flagged by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or

Ohio Department of Natural Resources, and then a determination was made as to whether there was any potential suitable habitat for those plants within the project area which we did not find any.

2.1

- Q. The Applicant did not do any field surveys to look for any animals or birds in the project area?
- A. During the course of our surveys out at the site, we also made observations as you can see in -- on page 27. When we did the bald eagle nest search, we did observe a bald eagle flying through the project area, so we make observations about wildlife that are encountered while we are out at the site.
- Q. But those aren't actually field surveys where you purposely -- where the purpose is to do an inventory of the species of birds or animals in the project area?
- A. No, we did not do a comprehensive assessment of everything encountered in the field.
- Q. Stantec noted that there is habitat in the project area that could be used by the endangered lark sparrow; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Did the Applicant survey those areas to

find out whether the lark sparrow was present?

- A. We have not done presence/absence surveys for that species. As part of the Ohio Department of Natural Resources letter, they acknowledge that there may -- that species could be in the area but recommended either avoidance of the area to avoid impacts or clearing and construction outside of the breeding period which is the period when that species would be most susceptible to impact; and we've agreed -- the Applicant has agreed to abide by those avoidance and minimization measures.
- Q. Are you -- are you aware that waterfowl follow the Scioto River during migrations?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Did the Applicant perform any studies to determine what waterfowl may follow the Scioto River during their migrations in the area east of the project area?
- A. The evaluation would have been targeted to those threatened or endangered species that were flagged by the Fish and Wildlife Service or the Ohio Department of Natural Resources.
- Q. Did the Applicant perform any study to determine whether waterfowl migrating along the Scioto River utilize the project area for foraging or

any other purposes?

2.1

- A. No, we did not do any specific waterfowl migration studies. Our application does address the potential for waterfowl to use that area, and we are aware of that, but the project has been set back from the Scioto River, so we don't think there would be direct impacts.
- Q. How far out do waterfowl travel from their migration routes in order to forage for food during migration?
- A. It can vary widely by the species you are talking about and the conditions that exist on any given day with weather patterns or foraging habitat availability.
- Q. Did the Applicant determine whether any of the species that migrate along the Scioto River would travel as far as the project area to forest -- to forage?
- A. We didn't specifically look at that, but I would assume that they could forage in the fields.
- Q. You are aware that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service advised the Applicant of the existence of some endangered mussel in the Scioto River east of the project area?
- 25 A. That's correct.

Q. Can you tell me whether any of the endangered mussels in the Scioto River are located in areas that are downstream from points where runoff from the project area would enter the river?

2.1

- A. We were not provided the exact locations of those species and where the records occur within the Scioto River. We have provided a list of those species and assumed their presence was in the Scioto River but that's the extent of our knowledge of their exact location.
- Q. The Applicant didn't do any fieldwork to determine where those endangered mussels are located in the river?
- A. No, because we don't have access to the river to do surveys. It's not within the project boundary or on leased land that we are able to survey.
- Q. What would it take to get access to the Scioto River to do a survey like that? It's a public river, isn't it?
- A. It is. There are also collection permits that need to be obtained from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Ohio Department of Natural Resources to be able to handle threatened and endangered species so there would be a work plan and

permit needed from both of those entities to be able to do that.

In terms of coordination for actually accessing that, we would -- to get to the river, we would need to cross somebody's property to access the river unless we put in at a public access point and floated downstream but that's not necessarily a reliable way to go about that work.

- Q. Did you check to see if there were any public access points to the Scioto River in that area?
 - A. We did not.

2.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Is there any reason why Stantec could not have obtained the permits necessary to survey for or look for endangered mussels in the Scioto River?
- A. The project will not be directly impacting any habitat where those mussels would be, so we didn't feel there was a need for any further study. The project is designed, all the infrastructure, to avoid stream impacts within the project area which would be tributaries to the Scioto River.
- Q. Going back to my question, Stantec -Stantec could have applied for the permits necessary
 to do that survey, correct?

- A. We could have, yes. We didn't feel it was necessary.
- Q. I'm sorry. Could you go to Exhibit R of the application that has been marked as Applicant's Exhibit 2. And let me know when you have found that.
 - A. I'm there.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Now, you said that you didn't think that the project would impact the mussels in the Scioto River. Am I stating that accurately as your position?
- A. We would avoid direct impacts to those species, correct.
- Q. Okay. What's a direct impact as you view it?
 - A. That would be disturbance of the streambed where the mussels rest and potential impact could result from the siltation covering the mussels.
 - Q. Yeah. In fact, the mussels are sensitive to silt that has been eroded off of land into their habitat, correct?
 - A. That's correct. That can affect them.
 - Q. Yeah. How does it affect them?
 - A. The siltation and the runoff, like you mentioned, the turbidity, sediment comes in with the water and covers the bottom and makes it -- the

oxygen exchange more challenging for them, I believe.

- Q. And what are the effects on the mussels if that occurs?
- A. I believe fatality can result in extreme circumstances.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

2.2

- A. More likely it would be their avoidance. As areas become more silted, they will seek new areas in the stream where there is more -- less sedimentation and better habitat quality for them.
- Q. So the Applicant did not do any studies to determine whether silt coming off of the project area may affect the mussels in the river?
- A. We did not do a direct evaluation of that because the project will be required to get a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System

 Permit for stormwater which will protect soil runoff from leaving the site and entering adjacent waterways.
- Q. Does the -- the implementation of such a permit mean that there will be zero siltation that will come off of a disturbed land area into a stream?
- A. I don't believe that they can say it
 guarantees 100 percent of the sediment is captured,
 no.

- Q. Can you give me a rule of thumb as to what percentage of siltation will be controlled by the implementation of such a permit?
- A. I believe there are subsequent witnesses that can testify to this stormwater plan better than myself.
 - Q. Do you know the answer to the question?
 - A. I do not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. Going back to Exhibit R then, I would like to direct your attention to a letter from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service which starts on the first page after the cover page for Exhibit R. Do you see that letter?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. That's a letter from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to you; is that correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Q. And it's -- this letter concerns this project, right?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. If you go to the second page of that letter, do you see where the first paragraph lists a number of federally-listed freshwater mussels that are in the -- in the area of the proposed project?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. It says there that these mussels are known from the Scioto River in Pickaway County, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And then it says "Should the proposed project directly or indirectly impact the Ohio River or its naturally-vegetated riparian zone, we recommend that a survey be conducted to determine the presence or probable absence of these mussels in the vicinity of the proposed site." Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. But the Applicant did not perform such a survey in this case, correct?
- A. That's correct because we didn't feel as though there was a direct impact. As I said, all streams have riparian areas that have been avoided, and the indirect impacts we do not believe were significant to warrant a determination that there would be an impact.
- Q. Uh-huh. So even though this sentence in the letter of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service recommends a survey if the project directly or indirectly impacts the river or the naturally-vegetated riparian zone, the Applicant

decided that it was not going to perform such a study, correct?

- A. That's correct because we did not believe that the indirect impacts would be significant enough to affect the species.
- Q. Would you go to Applicant's Exhibit 3 which is a compilation of the Applicant's responses to the Staff's Data Requests.
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. All right. Go to the response to the Twelfth Data Request if you can find that. And what you are looking for is an economic impact report which would serve as Attachment D to the response to the Twelve Data Request.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You are sponsoring this report in your testimony, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Did you write this report?
- A. I did not.
- Q. Who wrote it?
- 22 A. A Stantec economist.
- Q. And you are not an economist, correct?
- A. I am not, but I am the project manager responsible for quality control reviews.

- Q. The economist who actually wrote this report is not testifying in this hearing; is that correct?
 - A. He is not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

18

19

- Q. Did you provide any data for use in this report?
 - A. In coordination with the Applicant, yes.
- Q. So the Applicant gave you data, and then you passed that data onto your economist?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. What was the model that was used to come up with the economic statistics in this report?
 - A. The program we use is called IMPLAN which is the input/output model that's used to quantify economic benefits from a project.
 - Q. Are you familiar with the JEDI model?
- 17 A. I am.
 - Q. Was a JEDI model used for this -- for the preparation of this report?
 - A. It was not.
- Q. Why was IMPLAN used instead of JEDI?
- A. JEDI is a model that was created by the
 National Renewable Energy Laboratories Group from the
 Department of Energy and they used to have a solar
 model, but because of the economics of solar, the

model was becoming very quickly obsolete and they weren't able to keep it updated, so the JEDI model is no longer supported for the solar energy. So we used IMPLAN which was actually part of the data that fed into the IMPLAN model -- or, sorry, the IMPLAN data feeds into the JEDI model.

2.1

Q. Can you tell me the number of construction employees that -- for this project that are expected to live in Pickaway County? Let me reword that because that was not very well worded.

Can you tell me the number of Pickaway

County residents who will be employed in the

construction of this project if it's constructed?

- A. We are not able to forecast that percentage at this time. I believe the expectation is that at least 80 percent would be Ohio-domiciled workers in order to qualify for the PILOT. But beyond that we don't have a locational breakdown.
- Q. Can you tell me whether the solar panels that will be used in this project will be manufactured in Ohio?
- A. I believe the only Ohio manufacturing facility is First Solar, and I don't recall that First Solar is one of the potential solar modules being considered for this project.

- Q. Do you know whether any of the project area that will be used for solar panel construction is currently farmed?
- A. I would have to double-check the acreage, but I believe it's somewhere in the order of 700 acres or so that's currently farmed that would be used for solar construction.
- Q. Okay. And do you know the identity of the farmers who are currently farming that land?
- A. I know there are a handful of farmers that farm it. I don't know exactly which parcels they farm.
- Q. Do you know how many people are involved in farming the land in the project area that would be replaced by solar panels?
 - A. I do not.

2.1

- Q. Does this economic impact report provide any information about the number of farmers, including their employees, who may no longer be able to farm in the project area if this project is built?
- A. No. Our economic impact report does not discuss that, and we are upfront in that report in stating that this does not consider anything besides the creation of jobs from the project. It doesn't look at the net change in jobs.

Q. So the report did not determine whether anybody would lose their jobs as a result -- in farming as a result of the building of this project; is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Is there nothing in this economic report that evaluates the loss of income in crop seeds or fertilizer or custom application services or any other services or products that are currently used for agriculture in the project area?
- 11 A. It does not include an assessment like 12 that.
 - Q. Let's go to Exhibit V of the application that was marked as Applicant's Exhibit 2.
 - A. I'm at Exhibit V.
- Q. And you're familiar with Exhibit V?
- 17 A. I am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

- 18 Q. That's the visual impact mitigation and 19 lighting -- lighting plan, correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you play any role in preparing Exhibit V?
- A. Yes. We assisted the Applicant with development of this document.
- Q. And what about you personally? Did you

- 1 participate in its preparation?
- A. I did.
- 3 Q. What was your role?
- A. Advising on some measures that could be implemented that have been discussed in other projects in the State such as including the agricultural fencing instead of chain link fencing to help mitigate visual impacts.
 - Q. Are you familiar with the location of State Route 104?
- 11 A. I am.

9

- Q. Okay. And you are familiar with its location near the project area?
- A. Yes, running through the project area, correct.
- Q. Let's go to the map that's in Exhibit
 V --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- which is labeled "Preliminary
- 20 Landscape Screen Plan" and let me know when you have
- 21 found that.
- 22 A. I have it located.
- Q. All right. And do you see where State
- 24 | Route 104 is on this map?
- 25 A. I do.

- Q. It's not labeled, is it, as 104?
- A. I believe it says "Ohio 104" about halfway up the figure.
 - Q. I think I found it. Okay. All right. So based on your visual impact study, the project will be visible from State Route 104, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

2.1

2.2

- Q. What's your understanding as to how close the solar panels will be at their closest location to State Route 104?
- A. I believe it varies. The project implemented a setback from the roadway to the fence line and then there is an offset from the fence to the module, so I don't know that I have an exact measurement of what that distance in total would be.
- Q. The panels will be easily visible from the road, correct?
 - A. They will.
- Q. And does this map in Exhibit V identify locations where vegetative screening is proposed by the Applicant?
- A. Yes. I believe there are four locations on this plan where screening would be proposed to be placed as of this plan.
- Q. And those are identified on the map of --

with green lines, correct?

2.1

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And the rest of the boundary around the project area will not have vegetative screening; is that correct?
- A. At the time this plan was put together, those were the currently proposed locations.

 However, this was pulled together over a year ago and I believe there's -- the Applicant is open to additional screening along locations and continuing to work with landowners in the area to identify locations where additional screening might be warranted.

We also through coordination with the State Historic Preservation Office will be adding, I believe that is in future testimony with Anne Lee, adding some additional locations for screening due to historic structures and mitigating the impact of the project to those locations.

So there are already, I think, at least one or two more locations where screening will be added. And as I said, I know the Applicant is continuing to work to identify any additional locations that might warrant screening.

There's also a condition in the

certificate -- or proposed certificate conditions that requires an update to this plan and a documentation of either screening or good neighbor agreement or another mechanism to address concerns from landowners who have unobstructed views of the project.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Let's just break down your answer into several components then. With regard to the screening that has been agreed to for purposes of the historic structures, can you tell me where that screening will be located?
- A. I believe it's along Dungan Road and potentially the southern part of 104, but I would have to look at the map. It was in the agreement that we signed, the memorandum of understanding that's signed, with SHPO regarding the project.
- Q. Can you tell me how many linear feet of screening is proposed for the purposes of shielding those cultural resources?
- A. I would have to reference that report and agreement.
 - Q. That report is in the application?
- A. I believe it's included as -- it was provided as a Data Request response to the docket.
 - Q. Okay. Other than that screening, is

there any other screening that has been identified in the application or in the responses to Data Requests as commitments by the Applicant to put in the screening?

2.1

- A. No, nothing at this stage. But again, we would have to update this document prior to the start of construction and submit to OPSB for approval prior to that. So they will continue to work to identify locations as the site layout evolves or as landowner coordination continues.
- Q. Are you familiar with the condition that's been proposed in the Staff Report to address the landscape plan?
 - A. Yes. That's what I referenced earlier.
- Q. And is it your understanding based on your review of that condition that the landscape plan is required to provide screening between the project in locations in direct line of sight of residences?
- A. Adjacent residents, I believe, with direct line of sight to the project, yes.
- Q. Yeah. And so with regard to the views of the project from State Route 104, are there any areas other than those already marked on the map in Exhibit V as receiving vegetation that you believe are -- will need screening because they are in the direct

line of sight between a residence and the project?

2.1

2.2

- A. I'm unaware but there is also the condition allows for good neighbor agreements to be executed where they can waive the right -- or waive their request for any screening. So I'm not fully aware of where good neighbor agreements have been signed and where they haven't that would necessitate additional screening but we will do a full review of that with the Applicant prior to the start of construction.
- Q. Other than the screening that has been committed for the cultural resources that you've mentioned, how many feet approximately of vegetative screening has been committed to in the application or responses to Data Requests?
- A. I don't believe that the number of linear feet are provided in that document.
- Q. Well, you have -- you have the -- the map with the screening locations identified in Exhibit V, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And there's a scale on that map?
- A. There is but I don't know with the tools that are currently afforded to me I can make an accurate representation of that length.

Can you tell me approximately how many 1 Q. 2 linear feet there are in the boundary around the project area? 3 I don't know the answer to that. 4 5 Q. Do you know where the location of the 6 Ebenhacks' fruit and vegetable farm is? 7 Α. I do. Can you tell me whether according to 8 Ο. 9 Exhibit V any vegetative screening has been proposed 10 to be located in the line of sight between the 11 Ebenhacks' fruit and vegetable farm and the project? 12 Based on this plan there's no screening 13 planned between the project and the Ebenhacks' stand. 14 MR. VAN KLEY: At this time, your Honor, 15 I have no further questions for this witness. 16 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much, 17 Mr. Van Kley. 18 Mr. Chamberlain? 19 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No questions, your 20 Honor. 2.1 ALJ ADDISON: Any questions from you, 2.2 Mr. Eubanks? 23 MR. EUBANKS: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Okav. Redirect?

MS. SHEELY: No, thank you, your Honor.

24

I have no additional questions. You are excused. Thank you very much.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1

4

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

25

5 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Sheely, you had

6 | previously moved for the admission of Applicant's

Exhibit 12 and No. 13; is that correct?

MS. SHEELY: Yes.

ALJ ADDISON: Are there any objections to the admission of these two exhibits?

MR. VAN KLEY: What were the numbers of those again, your Honor?

ALJ ADDISON: 12 and 13, Mr. Van Kley.

MR. VAN KLEY: We have no objection.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much.

And hearing no other objections,

17 | Applicant Exhibits 12 and 13 will be admitted.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

ALJ ADDISON: This may be as good a time as any to take our lunch break for today. Let's go ahead and break until 1:00 p.m. We will reconvene then and begin with I believe we have Mr. Gebhardt,

MS. SHEELY: Yes.

correct, next, Ms. Sheely?

ALJ ADDISON: All right. Thank you all.

```
305
                  (Thereupon, at 12:15 p.m., a lunch recess
 1
 2
     was taken.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
306
 1
                                 Tuesday Afternoon Session,
 2
                                 February 28, 2023.
 3
 4
                 ALJ ADDISON: Let's go ahead and go back
 5
     on the record.
 6
                 The Applicant may call its next witness.
                 MR. BRUGGEMAN: Yes. Karl Gebhardt.
 7
 8
                 ALJ ADDISON: Welcome, Mr. Gebhardt.
 9
                 MR. GEBHARDT: Thank you.
10
                 ALJ ADDISON: Please raise your right
11
     hand.
12
                 (Witness sworn.)
13
                 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please be
14
     seated.
15
                         KARL GEBHARDT
16
17
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
18
     examined and testified as follows:
19
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
20
     By Mr. Bruggeman:
2.1
            Q.
               Good afternoon, Karl.
22
            A. Good afternoon.
23
                Could you please state your full name for
            Q.
24
     the record and spell it, please.
25
            Α.
                 Karl R. Gebhardt, K-A-R-L R
```

G-E-B-H-A-R-D-T.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Thank you. And, Karl, who are you recently employed by?
- A. I was recently employed by the Applicant Solar -- Scioto Solar Farms.
 - Q. Okay. And what is your current position?
- A. I am currently retired from the previous job was Executive Director of the Ohio Lake Erie Commission.
- Q. Okay. And, Karl, if you could turn in the notebook there to Applicant Exhibit 26.
 - A. Exhibit 26. I am not finding that.
- Q. Is it in the other? This one here, Karl.
- 14 A. Oh, okay. Bigger books.
- 15 Q. Did you locate it?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And, Karl, do you recognize that exhibit?
- 18 A. Yes. It's my written comments.
- Q. So is this the prefiled testimony that was filed on January 30, 2023?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And, Karl, if I were to ask you the same questions today that's in that exhibit, would you answer the same?
- 25 A. Yes.

Proceedings - Volume II 308 So no modifications or corrections to 1 Ο. 2 your prefiled testimony. 3 Α. No. MR. BRUGGEMAN: Your Honor, with that I 4 5 would just move for the admission of Applicant's Exhibit 26 pending cross-examination and tender 6 7 Mr. Gebhardt for cross. 8 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much. 9 Mr. Dove? 10 MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor. ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis? 11 12 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor. 13 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Van Kley? 14 MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor. 15 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 By Mr. Van Kley: 18 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Gebhardt. 19 Good afternoon. Α. 20 Q. Are you a farmer? 2.1 Α. I am not a farmer. 22 Q. Have you ever been a farmer? 23 I grew up on a small farm in northeast Α.

24

25

Ohio.

Q.

So how many years did you live on that

309 farm? 1 2 About 12. Α. And that was during your childhood? 3 Q. Α. 4 Yes. 5 Q. Are you a soil scientist? I am not a soil scientist. 6 Α. 7 Have you ever been a soil scientist? Q. 8 Α. I have not. 9 Ο. Are you an agronomist? 10 Α. I am not. 11 Have you ever been an agronomist? Q. 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Let's go to page 4 of your testimony that's been marked as Applicant's Exhibit 26. And I 14 15 would like to direct your attention to the top of the page where you have a discussion about the Scioto 16 17 River mainstream. Do you see that? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And you state that "Currently the Scioto 20 mainstream that flows within this HUC-12 is in full 2.1 attainment with its designated warmwater habitat 22 aquatic life use, " correct?

> Α. Yes.

23

24

25

Uh-huh. And that means that the -- the Ο. river essentially is of average quality, correct?

- A. Yes. It's meeting the expectations of what would be there.
- Q. Uh-huh. And then you say in the next sentence that, "However, the Ohio EPA is currently reviewing to possibly upgrade the Scioto mainstream to Exceptional Warmwater Habitat." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, exceptional warmwater habitat is an upgrade to the warmwater habitat characterization, right?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

- Q. It means that this would be the best habitat you would expect in the State of Ohio?
 - A. Would be much higher than warmwater, yes.
- Q. It's the highest classification of a surface water stream in Ohio.
- 17 A. Yes, exceptional, uh-huh.
- Q. And that's -- that's based on the habitat of the stream?
- A. It's based on habitat and the species that are within the stream.
- Q. The more diverse the species the higher the score is likely to be?
- A. The more diverse and the more unique, threatened, unusual, yes.

Q. Do you know when Ohio EPA started reviewing to possibly upgrade the Scioto mainstream to exceptional warmwater habitat?

A. I do not.

2.1

Q. Continuing on with the same answer on the top of page 4, you state starting with the line 8 that "This trend of improving water quality in the Scioto River Watershed can be attributed to efforts by local governments to upgrade wastewater treatment which have improved water quality from point sources." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And then you also say "Programs undertaken by the State of Ohio, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and private organizations have helped farmers to reduce nutrient loading, soil erosion, and non-point run-off into tributaries in the main stem of the Scioto River further improving water quality." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. So according to your testimony then, the farmers in the area around the project have helped reduce the nutrient loading, soil erosion, and non-point runoff into the Scioto River, correct?
 - A. I would say some farmers probably have,

1 yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

- Q. And that has improved the quality of the river, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Let's go to page 4 answer 7 of your testimony. You have some discussion about the Conservation Reserve Program which is abbreviated as CRP and also the Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program abbreviated as CREP, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me whether Scioto Farms are eliqible for CRP or CREP?
 - A. I am not aware if they are or not.
- Q. Have you ever visited the project area for this project?
- A. I have been in that area, but I have not been down there recently.
- 18 Q. Have you ever inspected the farmland that
 19 is included in the project area?
 - A. I have not.
- 21 Q. Have you ever walked on it?
- 22 A. I have not.
- Q. Do you know whether any of the -- well,
 let me start with something else. You've testified
 about the management of farmland throughout your

testimony. Can you tell me whether the use of no till cultivation practices assist in keeping the productivity of the farmland higher than if it were extensively cultivated?

2.1

- A. I would say as a general rule, yes. No till is better for the soil than the old moldboard plow.
- Q. And just for the record, can you tell me what no till is?
- A. No till is where they actually -- there is no tillage. Sometimes there is minimum tillage, but in a no till situation they actually go in and will cut furrows with a wheel basically and deposit the seed in that so there is really very little, if any, tillage going on.
 - Q. So the vegetation stays on the field?
 - A. Yes, for the most part.
- Q. And the presence of that vegetation helps in maintaining the good quality of that farmland?
- A. It can. Oftentimes there is what they call a burn down or where they will go in and spray with a herbicide and burn down all vegetation, weeds before they do a no till. But, yes, I think you are to answer your question, yes, there is no tillage and it can be beneficial.

- Q. And you mentioned minimum till. What is that?
- A. Minimum till is where they will go in and do a surface, just kind of a turn up the surface of the soil just down a couple inches as opposed to going in and doing a deep tillage of the soil.
 - Q. And what's the purpose of minimal till?
- A. Again, I think it's a matter of avoiding the compaction, avoiding having a lot of soil that's been disturbed, but yet you are down deep enough where you can get the seed down to a recommended planting depth.
- Q. And does that help to maintain the good quality of the farmland?
 - A. It can, yes.

2.1

- O. How does it do that?
- A. Again, through not disturbing the soil that much down to different soil profiles. That's pretty much it.
- Q. Okay. Do you know whether any of the farmland inside of the project area for this project is currently in no till?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Do you know whether any of it is in minimal till?

A. No.

2.1

- Q. Do you know whether any of the land in the project area has erosion controls established on it?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Let's go to page 5 of your testimony, answer 10. Looking at the first part of your answer 10, the first sentence states that "Unlike the government programs discussed above, this private sector approach will conserve agricultural land and improve water quality at no cost to the land -- taxpayers." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When a farmer uses no till or minimal till for their land, does that have a cost to the taxpayers?
- A. Usually not. It depends on, you know, loan structures that the farmers have, but it usually is not like a -- the CRP where there is government monies that are directly paid to the farmer.
- Q. And moving further down into the answer to question 10, starting at line 18, there is a statement that "Farmers are not required to implement nutrient management plans, soil erosion controls, or stormwater management on their agricultural land."

Do you see that?

2.1

- A. Yes.
- Q. You already answered a question about whether you know of soil erosion controls in the project area so let me ask you about the other two things here. Do you know what, if any, stormwater management practices are being currently employed in the project area?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Do you know whether any of the land in the project area is the subject of a nutrient management plan?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Now, a nutrient management plan is a plan in which the farmer determines how much nutrition -- how many -- how much nutrients are required for the crop and then -- and then balances that against the fertilizer and other nutrients that would be applied, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And the idea is over a period of years, you balance the amount of nutrition that the crop needs against what it has, correct?
 - A. Uh-huh, yes.
- Q. All right. And the farmer has an

incentive to use only so much nutrients on the farmland as necessary to support the crop because that saves money on the purchase of the nutrients, correct?

- A. That is usually the case, yes.
- Q. Do you know whether any of the agricultural land in the project area is currently the recipient of government subsidies?
 - A. I am not aware if they are or not.
- Q. How many solar projects have you seen in operation yourself personally?
 - A. Probably two or three.
- Q. Have you ever visited a site that once had a solar project on it but has been decommissioned?
 - A. I have not.

2.1

2.2

- Q. So you've never had the opportunity to determine the quality of the farmland that is -- that was located in the area where a solar project has been decommissioned?
- A. I have not seen a project that has been decommissioned.
- Q. Can you identify any solar projects, regardless of location, where decommissioning has occurred and the land is returned to agricultural

use?

2.1

2.2

- A. I am not aware of any.
- Q. Which solar project have you personally viewed?
- A. I viewed -- there was one out in Arizona. My daughter lived in Arizona, and I viewed that one. I believe there was one in Kansas as we were driving out out west. And another one I believe was in southern California. But they were mainly just drive-bys.
- Q. So you've never visited an operating solar facility in Ohio?
 - A. I have not.
- Q. Do you happen to know how much of the agricultural land in the project area will be leased to the solar company versus being purchased by the solar company?
- A. I do not know what the breakdown is other than it's approximately a thousand acres total, but I do not know how much has been leased versus purchased.
- Q. With respect to any land that's being purchased by the solar company for this project, do you have any knowledge concerning whether -- whether that land will be placed back into agriculture after

this project has been decommissioned?

2.1

- A. I do not know of any plans regardless of -- regarding the decommissioning of land purchased.
- Q. Do you know how many of the owners of agricultural land in the project area actually farm that land themselves?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Are you aware of a condition that has been proposed for this solar project to govern grading and -- and the management of topsoil?
- A. No, I am not aware of any special condition other than what's in the application.
- Q. Do you know whether topsoil will be removed from the surface of the ground in the project area and stockpiled?
- A. I believe -- I believe that I was aware that there was some -- could be some efforts made to do that depending on the amount of grading necessary.
- Q. Do you know what, if any, effects the long-term stockpiling of topsoil has on the quality of the topsoil?
- A. I do not. I assume it would be based on the conditions in which it was being stockpiled, stored.

- Q. Does the stripping of topsoil from the ground result in any changes in the topsoil?
 - A. I don't know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Do you know whether stockpiling topsoil reduces the potential for soil biomass?
- A. Reduces the potential, I don't know, speculative.
 - Q. Do you know whether stockpiling of topsoil reduces the aeration of the soil in the stockpile?
 - A. I do not know specifically, no.
- Q. Now, you've stated in your testimony that
 the land will be compacted during construction,
 correct?
 - A. I understand that there will be some access roads constructed during construction and probably maintenance and, yes, that part will likely be compacted to an extent.
 - Q. What about the rest of the soil that will be utilized for soil -- solar panel construction?

 Are you aware of grading that will be performed in any of those areas?
 - A. Not specific grading levels, no.
- Q. Now, if heavy equipment is used in the process of grading the land during construction of

- this facility, that will result in soil compaction,
 correct?
 - A. There will most likely be some soil compaction during the construction phase in some of those areas, yes.
 - Q. Are you aware that there is a requirement that this project be decommissioned after it has outlived its useful life?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

- Q. And are you aware that heavy equipment will be utilized in that decommissioning?
- A. I would imagine it would, but I don't know of specific -- what type of equipment that would be.
 - Q. If heavy equipment is used during decommission, that will result in soil compaction, correct?
- 18 A. There could be some resulting, yes.

MR. VAN KLEY: That concludes my

20 questions at this time, your Honor.

- 21 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much,
- 22 Mr. Van Kley.
- Mr. Chamberlain?
- MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Nothing, your Honor.
- 25 | Thank you.

1 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Eubanks?

MR. EUBANKS: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

Any redirect?

MR. BRUGGEMAN: No, your Honor.

- -

EXAMINATION

By ALJ Addison:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. I have just a couple of questions, if you will indulge me.
- A. Yes, your Honor.
- Q. Page 6 of your prefiled testimony, line

 12 specifically, you note that "Organic material from
 the cover crops and vegetation will be allowed to
 build up in the soil profile." Did I read that
 correctly?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What type of cover crop are you suggesting would be -- what cover crop are you referring to in that sentence?
 - A. Yeah. Cover crop can take many forms.

 Basically it's vegetation. Some of it is a specific type of cover crop, maybe an alfalfa or a clover, some perennial grass, but so it really -- cover crop is somewhat of a generic name, but usually it's

intentionally planted for a specific purpose.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. When I use that term, I infer that it is something to be harvested but that is not necessarily how you are using that term here, correct?
- A. Not necessarily. Sometimes it's used just as a cover crop. Sometimes it's used -- it's plowed down after it reaches its purpose. A lot of time cover crops will be planted in the fall which will provide protection to the bare soil over the winter, and then as I said before, either burned down or sometimes tilled under, or it can also be harvested, yes.
- Q. Thank you. And then on page 9 of your testimony, you note that you had experience as a township trustee. That was not for Wayne Township in Pickaway County, correct?
 - A. No. That is correct, it was not.
 - Q. Where were you a township trustee?
 - A. Genoa Township, Delaware County.
- ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. That's all my
- 21 questions. You are excused.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 23 ALJ ADDISON: We appreciate your
- 24 testimony, Mr. Gebhardt.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.

324 1 ALJ ADDISON: I'll note the Applicant 2 previously moved to -- previously moved Applicant Exhibit No. 26; is that correct? 3 4 MR. BRUGGEMAN: That's correct, your 5 Honor. 6 ALJ ADDISON: Are there any objections to 7 the admission of Applicant No. -- or Applicant No. 26 into the record at this time? 8 MR. VAN KLEY: No, your Honor. 9 10 ALJ ADDISON: Hearing -- thank you, 11 Mr. Van Kley. 12 And hearing no objections from other 13 parties, it will be admitted. (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 14 15 ALJ ADDISON: The Applicant may call its next witness. 16 17 MR. BRUGGEMAN: Yes. We call Matthew 18 Hildreth. 19 ALJ ADDISON: Welcome, Mr. Hildreth. 20 Please raise your right hand. 2.1 (Witness sworn.) 22 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please be 23 seated. 24

325 1 MATTHEW HILDRETH 2 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 3 examined and testified as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 By Mr. Bruggeman: Good afternoon, Mr. Hildreth. 6 Q. 7 Α. Good afternoon. Could you please state your full name for 8 Q. 9 the record and spell that, please. 10 Α. Matthew Hildreth, M-A-T-T-H-E-W 11 H-I-L-D-R-E-T-H. 12 All right. Thank you. And, Ο. 13 Mr. Hildreth, who are you currently employed by? 14 Α. Westwood Professional Services. 15 Q. And what is your title at Westwood? 16 Α. Water Resources Engineering Manager. 17 So, Mr. Hildreth, if you could turn to Q. 18 Applicant Exhibit 23. It should be I think in the... 19 All right. Do you recognize that exhibit? 20 Α. Yes. 2.1 And what is that exhibit? Q. 22 This is my direct testimony. Α. 23 Okay. So that was the prefiled testimony Q. 24 filed on January 30 of 2023, correct? 25 Α. Yes.

1 Q. And if I were to ask you the same 2 questions today that was in that exhibit, would your 3 answers be the same? Α. 4 Yes. 5 Ο. There would be no modifications or corrections. 6 7 Α. No. 8 MR. BRUGGEMAN: Your Honor, with that I 9 would just move for the admission of Exhibit 23 10 pending cross, and I will tender Mr. Hildreth for 11 cross. 12 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. 13 Mr. Dove? 14 MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honors. 15 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis? 16 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor. 17 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Van Kley? 18 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor. Thank 19 you. 20 2.1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 22 By Mr. Van Kley: 23 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Hildreth. 24 A. Good afternoon. 25 Q. During the construction of a project that entails earthmoving, it's expected that soil particles will be produced by that process and discharged into surface streams, correct?

2.1

2.2

- A. Typically you would employ BMPs under your temporary construction permit to prevent that from happening.
- Q. But those BMPs do not reduce the amount of soil particles that would reach the stream by 100 percent control; isn't that correct?
- A. They are designed for a specific storm event, so if a greater storm event were received, then it would exceed their capacity.
 - Q. They would do what again?
- A. It would exceed the capacity of the BMP, the best management practice, that's being utilized.
- Q. Meaning that there will be runoff of soil particles into the receiving stream, correct?
- A. If a larger storm event was received, yes, that could happen.
- Q. Okay. So in order for the BMPs to completely prevent the discharge of soil particles into streams, those BMPs would have to completely trap all of the runoff in areas outside the stream, correct?
- 25 A. Yes, that is correct.

- Q. And soil and water is considered to be a water pollutant, correct?
 - A. It can be, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Are there any situations in which it would not be -- would not be considered as a water pollutant?
- A. I believe that it depends on the acceptable downstream limits of the receiving waters.
- Q. But that doesn't have anything to do with whether it's a water pollutant; it just means it meets the standard for water pollutants, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Is there anything in the application for the project in this case that provides a design for 100 percent control of all stormwater runoff during the construction of this project?
 - A. No, it has not been.
 - Q. Would you go to the preliminary stormwater management report that's attached to your testimony.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. That's Attachment B to your testimony; is that right?
- 25 A. I believe so.

- Q. Just by looking at the title, this is not a final stormwater management report?
 - A. That is correct. It is preliminary.
- Q. Why has a final stormwater management report not been provided?
- A. A final stormwater management report is typically provided once the project moves to construction to account for any design changes that have occurred.
- Q. With respect to the best management practices that you and I had discussed here, those are practices that are required by a Water Pollution Control Permit issued by Ohio EPA; is that right?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. The BMPs are the mechanism that that permit employs in order to reduce the amount of eroded soil that will run into a stream during storm events; is that right?
 - A. Yes, that's the intent of a BMP.
- Q. Would you go to page 5 of the preliminary stormwater management -- management report that's attached to your testimony.
 - A. Yep.
- Q. Looking at the heading for "Discharge Locations." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

- Q. States that the project site has six ultimate discharge locations, three that eventually drain to the Scioto River and three that ultimately drain to Yellowbud Creek. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What do you mean by the term ultimate as applied to discharge locations?
- A. That after the water leaves the site, it will be flowing into the rivers.
- 11 Q. So all six of these discharge locations
 12 from the project area flow into the Scioto River,
 13 correct?
- A. Eventually, yes, Yellowbud Creek does drain into the Scioto River, yes.
 - Q. Yeah. Yellow -- is the headwaters to Yellowbud Creek in the project area?
 - A. I don't believe so.
 - Q. Okay. So but part of the Yellowbud Creek is in the project area, correct?
- A. Give me one second to look at my exhibit.

 Yellowbud Creek is -- is south of the

 project area.
- Q. Would you go to Applicant's Exhibit 2, which is the permit application, and go to the

preliminary site plan that is marked as Exhibit A.

A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

- Q. All right. I would like to refer you to the map that's in Exhibit A.
 - A. Yep.
- Q. Now, do you know where the Ebenhacks' fruit and vegetable farm is located on this map?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Do you see a road that is labeled as Ebenhack Road in the southwest part of that map?
- 11 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Now, if you work your way north along that line for Ebenhack Road, do you see markings just north of Dungan Road that are in a line that weaves kind of in and out?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Is that part of Yellowbud Creek?
 - A. It may be a tributary to it, but I don't believe it's the actual Yellowbud Creek.
- Q. So you believe that this -- this may be a tributary to Yellowbud Creek?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And where does Yellowbud Creek flow?
- A. Yellowbud Creek is -- is south of Dungan
 Road. In the image you are referring to, it's the

kind of line of trees that's running southeast.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Now according to your preliminary stormwater management report, going back to page 5 of that report, the project area has three discharge locations that drain into the Scioto River; is that correct?
 - A. Yeah. That will -- yes.
- Q. And these are discharge locations that flow directly to the Scioto River rather than flow first into another named stream?
- A. That is correct, yes. They may not discharge directly into the river themselves. I believe a couple of them flow over land for -- for a little bit before reaching the Scioto River.
- Q. Directing your attention to the paragraph on page 5 of the preliminary stormwater management report for that -- that is entitled "Soils," I would like to direct your attention to the second paragraph under that title --
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- which reads as follows: "The site consists of Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) C and D soils. Type C soils have moderate runoff potential and low infiltration rates. Type D soils have high runoff potential and low infiltration rates." Do you

see that?

1

2

7

8

9

10

18

- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me what the characteristics of a type C soil are?
- 5 A. Yes. A type C soil typically has a 6 higher clay content.
 - Q. Higher clay content?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what does that mean so far as its potential for shedding stormwater?
- A. So clay content in the soil does not allow infiltration so more water runs off from that type of soil.
- Q. And what are the characteristics of a type D soil?
- A. D soils have even more clay content so, you know, even less infiltration off a D type soil.
 - Q. Approximately what percentage of the land in the project area consists of type C soils?
- A. Looking at my Exhibit 3, it's -- if I had to guess, it's a third of the soil is probably C soils.
- Q. Right. The same question with regard to type D soils.
- 25 A. Probably the remaining two-thirds.

```
1
            Q.
                 The type D soils as shown on Exhibit 3,
     the soils map to your preliminary stormwater
 2
 3
     management report, are shown as orange color, right?
 4
            Α.
                 The type C soils are the orange, and the
 5
     type D soils are the red.
 6
            0.
                 The red, okay. Got it.
 7
                 ALJ ADDISON: May I ask a quick question?
 8
     I just want to make sure the copy on the docket of
 9
     Mr. Hildreth's testimony that's been filed in the
10
     docket, it contains color copies, correct, not black
11
     and white?
12
                 MR. VAN KLEY: I printed it off by color
13
     so.
14
                 MR. BRUGGEMAN: I believe they are.
15
                 ALJ ADDISON: Perfect.
16
                 MR. BRUGGEMAN: Should be.
17
                 MS. HERRNSTEIN: I'll double-check.
18
                 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much.
19
                 Sorry, Mr. Van Kley.
20
                 MR. VAN KLEY:
                                That's okay.
2.1
            Q.
                 (By Mr. Van Kley) During the time that
22
     the project is being constructed, will there be any
23
     vegetation on those areas that are under
24
     construction?
```

I believe that will depend on the EPC's

25

Α.

- method of construction, if they want to plant a cover crop.
- Q. So as you sit here today, you have no knowledge concerning whether or not there will be vegetation on those areas that are under construction; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. That is correct?
- A. I do not have knowledge of that.
- Q. Okay. Does the application for this project contain any descriptions of the existing water quality of the receiving streams for the project area?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Does the application include any estimates of the water quality of the discharges during site clearing and construction operations?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Does the application describe any changes in flow pattern and erosion due to site clearing and grading operations?
- A. We do describe in my stormwater report about any expected changes to drainage patterns and there are none.
- Q. Does the application describe the

equipment proposed for the control of stormwater discharged into bodies of water during construction?

- A. That would have to be covered under the construction stormwater -- general construction permit.
- Q. So that would be done after the project has been approved?
 - A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Does anything in the application provide an estimate of the quantity of stormwater discharges from site clearing and construction operations?
 - A. During construction?
 - Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- A. No, we have not looked at any runoff volumes during construction.
- Q. And just to be clear, do you regard your preliminary stormwater management report attached to your testimony as being part of the application?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Does the application contain any quantitative flow diagrams or descriptions for water through the project area during construction?
- A. No, we have not looked at construction conditions. But no flow patterns would change from the existing of the proposed flow patterns that we

1 show.

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

18

25

- Does anything in the application contain Q. any descriptions of the quantity of discharges during operation of the facility?
- Α. Yes.
- Is that contained in your preliminary Ο. stormwater management report?
- Yes. That is Table 4 and 5. 8 Α.

9 MR. VAN KLEY: I have nothing further at 10 this time, your Honor.

11 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much.

Mr. Chamberlain?

13 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Nothing, your Honor.

14 Thank you.

15 ALJ ADDISON: Anything on behalf of

16 Staff, Mr. Margard?

17 MR. MARGARD: No, thank you, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

19 Any redirect?

20 MR. BRUGGEMAN: Just a couple, please.

21 ALJ ADDISON: Please proceed.

2.2

23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

24 By Mr. Bruggeman:

> Q. Mr. Hildreth, you were testifying about

the BMPs that will be in place on the site. What were the types of storm events that you utilized in establishing those BMPs?

- A. So typically a BMP is designed for around the two-year storm event which is -- it's specified in the state general permit what the exact storm event number is, but typically it's around the two-year storm event.
- Q. Are you aware of any BMPs that are currently on the site?
- 11 A. I am not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 12 Q. And would you anticipate soil particle 13 runoff in a storm event at the site currently?
- A. Yes. There could very well be sediment runoff from the site in existing conditions.
- MR. BRUGGEMAN: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 18 ALJ ADDISON: I think I may have not 19 heard the end of your answer, Mr. Hildreth.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. There could be
 21 existing soil runoff, particles runoff from the site
 22 in today's condition.
- 23 ALJ ADDISON: Okay. Thank you very much.
 24 I'm sorry for that.
- THE WITNESS: No worries.

ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Dove, any questions?

MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis?

MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Van Kley?

MR. VAN KLEY: I think maybe one or two.

- - -

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Van Kley:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. With regard to the two-year stormwater event, can you define what a two-year stormwater event is?
- A. Let me -- give me one second. So the two-year 24-hour runoff event at this site location is 2.62 inches of rain in a 24-hour time period.
- Q. What kind of BMPs would control a two-year stormwater event?
- A. So it depends on how large of a -- of a watershed area is contributing to the discharge point leaving the site. Typically it will be either a sediment basin for larger discharge locations, or you can use a silt fence or fiber rolls, sediment traps or berms, a combination of factors that can be used to control sediment from leaving the site.
 - Q. Do silt fences provide 100 percent

controls for the runoff of eroded soil?

- A. For -- they have specified drainage areas that they can handle, so it's a specific amount of runoff they can handle, and up to that point they do, yes.
- Q. Water passes through silt fences, doesn't it?
 - A. Yes, it does. The intent of silt fence is to capture the sediment particles, and then as it filters through that fabric, it's clean water that leaves on the other side.
- Q. Uh-huh. And so what's the -- what's the efficiency for a silt fence to capture the silt particles?
- 15 A. I'm not aware of what efficiency level 16 the manufacturers specify.
 - Q. It's not 100 percent, is it?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Oh, okay. All right.
- 20 | Nothing further, your Honor.
- 21 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.
- Mr. Chamberlain?
- MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Nothing, your Honor.
- 24 Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

17

25 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Margard?

341 1 MR. MARGARD: No, thank you, your Honor. ALJ ADDISON: I have no additional 2 questions, Mr. Hildreth. You are excused. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. 4 5 MR. BRUGGEMAN: Your Honor, I did want to 6 note we had reviewed the online filing, and it was in 7 color. 8 ALJ ADDISON: Wonderful. Thank you very 9 much. Mr. Bruggeman, you had previously moved for 10 the -- moved Applicant No. 26 -- or, I'm sorry, 11 Applicant No. -- Exhibit No. 23; is that correct? 12 MR. BRUGGEMAN: That's correct, your 13 Honor. 14 ALJ ADDISON: Any objections to the admission of this exhibit? 15 16 MR. VAN KLEY: No, your Honor. 17 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you all. It will be 18 admitted. 19 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 20 ALJ ADDISON: The Applicant may call its 2.1 next witness. MR. BRUGGEMAN: Yes, Brady Stauffer. 22 23 ALJ ADDISON: Welcome, Mr. Stauffer. 24 MR. STAUFFER: Thank you. 25 ALJ ADDISON: Raise your right hand.

```
342
                  (Witness sworn.)
 1
 2
                 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please be
 3
     seated.
 4
 5
                         BRADY STAUFFER
     being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
 6
 7
     examined and testified as follows:
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
 8
 9
     By Mr. Bruggeman:
10
                Good afternoon, Mr. Stauffer.
            Q.
11
            A. Good afternoon.
12
                Could you please state your full name for
            Q.
13
     the record and spell it, please.
14
                 Brady Stauffer, B-R-A-D-Y
     S-T-A-U-F-F-E-R.
15
16
                 And, Mr. Stauffer, who are you currently
            0.
17
     employed by?
            Α.
18
                 Westwood Professional Services.
19
                 And what is your title with Westwood?
            Ο.
20
                 Site Design Lead Engineer.
            Α.
2.1
            Q.
                 And can you turn to Applicant Exhibit 24.
2.2
     Find it?
23
            Α.
                 Yep.
24
                 All right. And do you recognize that
            Ο.
25
     exhibit?
```

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

- Q. And what is that exhibit?
- A. That is my direct testimony.
- Q. All right. And so that was the prefiled testimony from -- filed on January 30, 2023; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And if I were to ask you the same questions today that are in Exhibit 24, would your answers be the same?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. So you have no modifications or corrections to your testimony; is that correct?
- 14 A. That is correct.
 - MR. BRUGGEMAN: All right. Your Honor, I move for the admission of Applicant Exhibit 24 pending cross-examination and tender Mr. Stauffer for cross.
- 19 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.
- 20 Mr. Dove, any questions?
- MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.
- 22 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis?
- MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.
- 24 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Van Kley?
- MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Mr. Van Kley:

2.1

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Stauffer.
- A. Good afternoon.
- Q. Let's go to page 3 of your testimony, answer 11, lines 17 and 18. Line 17 starts as follows: "Within the Project Area, grading will be required to accommodate certain design features, such as the substation and access roads. In these limited areas, topsoil will not be restored." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. How many acres of the land as described in this testimony will not be restored?
- A. In regards to the graded area or just topsoil itself?
- Q. Well, the -- in context the testimony that I read to you discusses grading to accommodate certain design features such as substation and -- and access roads. And then your next sentence says "In these limited areas, topsoil will not be restored." So my question is what's the acreage of these limited areas?
- A. Since there has not been a final design yet, the access roads -- grading required for the

access roads is still to be determined. However, with discussions between myself and the project, we have committed that grading no more than 15 percent of the total project area.

- Q. Does that 15 percent limitation of total surface area include the grading of the roads around the substation?
 - A. It would include that, yes.
- Q. And is it your understanding that the 15 percent limitation referred to in your testimony is a percentage of the entire project area?
 - A. That is correct.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Do you know what the entire project area's acreage is?
- A. I believe it's almost a thousand acres, probably right around 950, if I had --
 - Q. Okay. So approximately how many acres then would be subject to grading with a 15 percent limitation?
 - A. I don't have a calculator with me but whatever 15 percent of that total project area comes out to be.
- Q. Uh-huh. When the application for this project was first submitted to the Board, was there a specific percentage of area that was envisioned to be

subject to grading at that time?

2.1

- A. Not that I am aware.
- Q. Uh-huh. Are you aware of any reduction in the overall amount of percentage for grading that has been committed to by the Applicant in this case versus its original intent?
 - A. No, I am not aware.
- Q. So a limitation of grading to no more than 15 percent of the total project area is not really a reduction of the amount of area to be graded over and beyond what originally was planned; is that correct?
 - A. As far as I know, that's correct.
- Q. Are you at all familiar with the Yellowbud Solar project?
- A. I have heard of it.

MR. VAN KLEY: And for the record, your Honor, whenever I ask any questions or make any comments about the Yellowbud Solar project, I am making those solely on behalf of Wesley, Suzannah, and Thomas J. Ebenhack and not on behalf of Scarlett and Thomas E. Ebenhack for the reason explained in Thomas E. Ebenhack's testimony. I just want to make that clear for the record.

ALJ ADDISON: Well, Mr. Ebenhack can make

1 | that clear when he takes the stand.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. I just want to make that notation for the record so that he doesn't get sued by virtue of my asking questions about

5 Yellowbud.

2

3

4

8

9

13

17

18

19

6 ALJ ADDISON: Certainly, certainly.

7 Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) What do you know about the Yellowbud project?
- 10 A. I know that it's directly adjacent to Scioto Farms.
- 12 Q. It's what again?
 - A. Directly adjacent to Scioto Farms.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever visited the project area for the Scioto Farms Solar project?
- 16 A. I have not.
 - Q. Have you ever visited the Yellowbud Solar project area?
 - A. I have not.
- Q. Have you seen any information that would indicate the percentage, if any, of the Yellowbud Solar project that was graded?
- A. I am not aware of what percentage of Yellowbud was graded.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I have nothing further for

Proceedings - Volume II 348 1 this witness, your Honor. 2 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much, 3 Mr. Van Kley. Mr. Chamberlain? 4 5 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: I have nothing, your Honor. Thank you. 6 7 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Margard? MR. MARGARD: No questions. Thank you, 8 9 your Honor. 10 ALJ ADDISON: Any redirect? 11 MR. BRUGGEMAN: Nothing further, your 12 Honor. 13 ALJ ADDISON: I have no additional 14 questions, so you are excused. Thank you very much 15 for your testimony. 16 I believe we have a motion to move 17 Applicant Exhibit No. 24 into the record. Are there 18 any objections to the admission of this exhibit at this time? 19 20 MR. VAN KLEY: No, your Honor. 2.1 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No. 2.2 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you all. It will be

ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Bruggeman.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

admitted.

23

24

349 MR. BRUGGEMAN: Yes. Brian Karczewski. 1 2 ALJ ADDISON: Welcome. It's Karczewski? 3 MR. KARCZEWSKI: It is. First time. ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. On a roll 4 5 today. Please raise your right hand. 6 (Witness sworn.) 7 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please be 8 seated. 9 10 BRIAN KARCZEWSKI 11 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 12 examined and testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 By Mr. Bruggeman: 15 Ο. Good afternoon. And could you please 16 state your full name and definitely spell your name 17 for the record, please. 18 I don't get that a lot. My name is Brian Α. 19 Karczewski, B-R-I-A-N, Karczewski, 20 K-A-R-C-Z-E-W-S-K-I. 2.1 Ο. Thank you. And, Brian, who are you 22 currently employed by? 23 Α. Stantec Consulting. 24 Q. And what is your title with Stantec? 25 Α. Principal Senior Project Manager.

- Q. Okay. And can you turn in the binder to Applicant Exhibit 25? Did you locate it and do you recognize that exhibit?
 - A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. And what is that exhibit?
- A. This is my testimony.
- Q. All right. So that's the prefiled testimony from January 30, 2023; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And if I were to ask you the same questions today as what's in that exhibit, would your answers be the same?
 - A. They would.
 - Q. So there would be no modifications or corrections to any testimony in there, correct?
- 16 A. No.
- MR. BRUGGEMAN: Your Honor, with that I would just move for the admission of Applicant
- 19 Exhibit 25 pending cross, and I will tender Mr.
- 20 | Karczewski for cross.
- 21 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much.
- 22 Mr. Dove?
- MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.
- 24 Thank you.
- 25 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis?

1 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.

2 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Van Kley?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Please proceed.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you.

6

3

4

5

7

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

8 By Mr. Van Kley:

- Q. Let's go to page 2 of your testimony.
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And I would like to direct your attention to the testimony starting at line 23 on that page.
- 13 A. I am there.
 - Q. All right. So you've listed a number of activities that you represent have been committed to by the Applicant in lines 23 through 27, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And one of the activities is the proper topsoil segregation and stockpiling during grading activities, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And what will happen to those stockpiles
 of topsoil after they've been piled up on their
 piles? Are they going to stay in the piles, or are
 they going to be redistributed on the fields?

- A. In areas where they will be reconstructing the soils prior to vegetation, they will be respread, but in areas like certain circumstances of access roads, the substation area, those areas probably would not get topsoil sent back to those areas.
 - Q. So what will happen to that topsoil?
 - A. Well --

2.1

- Q. Will it stay on piles?
- A. I'm not familiar with what this Applicant will do, but I have seen other -- other circumstances where some wingrow that topsoil for use later on the project meaning they don't remove it. They wingrow it, vegetate it; or they remove it, and they bring topsoil in later for decommissioning.
- Q. What happens to the aeration of topsoil when it is piled on stockpiles?
- A. The aeration on topsoil, when you -- the major soil types on the -- on this site are Crosby, Miamian, and Kokomo, primarily a silt loam topsoil. The aeration of a topsoil when it's moved, or temporarily staged in this case, you are not going to see a dramatic decrease in aeration -- in aeration of the soil because you will basically mix it and put it back. Aeration is more of a factor in the subsoil.

- Q. In subsoil?
- A. Subsoil.

2.1

- Q. And why is that?
- A. Because there is a higher silt clay -- or a silty clay or clay content for the subsoils.
- Q. Is it true that soils that are buried deeper below the surface of the ground will generally have less aeration?
- A. It's true that soils that are buried deeply below the ground? I don't quite understand your question.
- Q. Well, let me rephrase then. If a soil is -- if a -- if soil is deeper below the surface of the ground, does that mean that generally it will have less air in it?
- A. Not necessarily, no. If it has a good deep rooting structure and the soil and that material has a lot of microbial activity, good structure, aeration goes down into the soil profile quite a bit, internal drainage, infiltration, but from -- I mean, if you are comparing it to like a topsoil, just because of the separation to the atmosphere, it's going to have less oxygen in it, less aeration in it at depth than say a topsoil.
 - Q. If -- if topsoil is stockpiled for 40

years, what changes, if any, will occur to the topsoil in that pile?

2.1

- A. If topsoil is stockpiled for that long of time, the soils that are deeper within that pile would -- would probably undergo some changes. There would be less aerobic activity deep within that -- within that profile, probably less of an interaction. One benefit of it you wouldn't lose as much organic matter from that topsoil because there was no -- there is not much aerobic -- or much air getting down to it.
- Q. If -- if topsoil is stockpiled for 40 years and then it is utilized in a decommissioning process for a solar facility, will it be necessary to recommission that topsoil or make any changes to it in order to restore its productive capabilities?
- A. You know, much like if you and I were to order some topsoil from -- from a nursery and add it to our garden, no, I don't suspect that -- I mean, you typically see that soil react and be capable of supporting plant material -- plant life within the first few feet that it is deposited.
- Q. But if you get topsoil from a nursery, what's the depth of the pile or the bags that you receive compared to a stockpile of topsoil that you

would expect to be produced by a solar facility construction?

- A. Well, I -- I don't know if I can really comment too much on that other than I've seen topsoil stockpiled at nurseries, and they can be 10, 15 feet high.
- Q. Going back to your testimony on page 2, lines 24 and 25 refer to limiting disturbance through phasing of construction. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

2.

2.1

- Q. What does that mean?
- A. Basically not opening the entire site up to construction all at once.
- Q. And then continuing on with that sentence, there is a reference to limiting grading where possible by relying on the selected raking manufacturer's slope tolerances. What does that mean?
- A. Actually I -- it was meaning to say selected racking manufacturer's slope tolerances. My understanding of the racking systems, they have certain slopes that they -- that they can accommodate, 7, 10, some in the order of 15 percent.
- Q. How many, if any, solar projects have you personally watched being constructed?

- A. Approximately 14 in different phases, everywhere from just the early development process all through construction.
- Q. Have you watched any solar projects under construction in Ohio?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

- Q. Are you aware of the Yellowbud Solar project in Pickaway -- in Ross County?
 - A. Other than it's mentioned within -- within these testimonies.
- 11 Q. You've never seen that project?
- 12 A. No, I have not.
- Q. Have you ever seen the project area for the Scioto Farms Solar project?
- 15 A. No, I have not.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I have nothing further,
- 17 your Honor.
- 18 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much,
- 19 Mr. Van Kley.
- Mr. Chamberlain?
- 21 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Nothing, your Honor.
- 22 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Margard?
- MR. MARGARD: No questions, your Honor.
- 24 ALJ ADDISON: Any redirect?
- MR. BRUGGEMAN: Nothing, your Honor.

357 ALJ ADDISON: I have no additional 1 2 questions. You are excused, Mr. Karczewski. Almost 3 got me there. Mr. Bruggeman previously moved for the 4 5 admission of Applicant No. -- Exhibit No. 25 into the 6 record. Are there any objections at this time? 7 MR. VAN KLEY: No, your Honor. 8 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No, your Honor. 9 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you all. It will be 10 admitted. 11 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 12 ALJ ADDISON: Let's go off the record for 13 a moment. (Discussion off the record.) 14 15 ALJ ADDISON: Let's go ahead and go back on the record. 16 17 The Applicant may call its next witness. 18 MR. BRUGGEMAN: Yes, your Honor. We would call Rhett Sloan. 19 20 ALJ ADDISON: Welcome, Mr. Slone. 2.1 MR. SLOAN: Hello. 22 ALJ ADDISON: Please raise your right 23 hand. 24 (Witness sworn.) 25 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please be

358 seated. 1 2 3 RHETT SLOAN being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 4 examined and testified as follows: 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 By Mr. Bruggeman: 8 Good afternoon, Mr. Sloan. Ο. 9 Α. Good afternoon. 10 Q. Could you please state your name and 11 spell that for the record, please. 12 Α. Rhett Sloan, R-H-E-T-T S-L-O-A-N. 13 Q. Thank you. And, Mr. Sloan, who are you currently employed by? 14 15 Surveying And Mapping, LLC. Α. 16 And can you describe your title with the Ο. 17 company? 18 Senior Project Manager. Α. 19 And I am going to have you turn to 0. 20 Applicant Exhibit 29. 2.1 Α. Okay. 22 Okay. And are you familiar with that Q. exhibit, Mr. Sloan? 23 24 Α. I am. 25 Q. What is the exhibit?

- A. This would be my filed testimony.
- Q. All right. So that was the prefiled testimony from January 30, 2023, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And if I were to ask you the same questions today that's in that Applicant exhibit, would your answers be the same?
 - A. They are.
- Q. So there would be no changes or corrections to any of the testimony.
- 11 A. No changes.
 - MR. BRUGGEMAN: Your Honor, I move for the admission of Applicant Exhibit 29 pending cross and would tender Mr. Sloan for cross.
- 15 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much.
- 16 Mr. Dove?
- MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.
- 18 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.
- 19 Ms. Curtis?
- 20 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.
- 21 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Van Kley?
- MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor.
- 23 | -
- 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

360 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 By Mr. Van Kley: 3 Good afternoon, Mr. Sloan. Q. Α. Afternoon. 4 5 Q. Would you pull out Exhibit W of the application. The application is in Applicant 6 7 Exhibit 2. 8 MR. BRUGGEMAN: Probably the other binder by itself. One of these two. 9 THE WITNESS: This one here? 10 11 Α. Okeydoke. 12 (By Mr. Van Kley) Have you seen that Q. Exhibit W before? 13 14 I have. Α. 15 Q. Did you prepare it? 16 A. I did not. 17 Who prepared it? Q. 18 This was provided to me by the Applicant. Α. This is entitled "Drain -- Drainage Tile 19 Ο. 20 Assessment and Construction Impact Report," right? 2.1 Α. Correct. 22 Have you reviewed this report? Q. I have. 23 Α. 24 The report has a number of techniques for Ο. 25 tile detection in it, right?

A. It does.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Are there any tile detection techniques included in this report that are not available to any solar company that constructs a solar project?
 - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Are you familiar with a Yellowbud Solar project?
- A. I have heard it by name and mentioned in the other testimonies.
 - Q. You have never seen that project?
- 11 A. I have not.
- Q. Have you visited the project area for the Scioto Farms Solar project?
 - A. I have not.
 - Q. Do you know what, if any, drainage tile detection techniques were used by the Yellowbud project?
 - A. I have no knowledge of that.
 - Q. With respect to your direct testimony identified as Applicant's Exhibit 29, are there any tile detection techniques described in it that are not already identified in Exhibit W?
 - A. So you are asking if there is additional technologies mentioned in my testimony that are not in the impact form?

Q. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A. I believe we discussed ground penetrating radar applications that are not in the impact form.
 - Q. Okay. Are there any others?
- A. The aerial identification doesn't go into great detail in the impact form, but I believe it's trying to describe the same thing we described in my testimony.
- Q. So the -- the penetrating radar technology that is described in your testimony is not a commitment that has been made by Scioto Farms Solar in its application; is that correct?
- A. Going forward that is -- that is what we plan to apply in an effort of detecting drain tiles.
 - Q. Uh-huh. Who do you mean by we?
- A. My -- the company I work for in providing that service to Scioto Farms.
 - Q. Uh-huh. Has your company signed a contract with Scioto Farms Solar to do any work related to drainage tiles for this project?
 - A. I don't believe so.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I have nothing further, your Honor.
- 24 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.
- Mr. Chamberlain?

363 1 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Nothing, your Honor. 2 Thank you. 3 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. 4 Mr. Margard? 5 MR. MARGARD: No, thank you, your Honor. 6 MR. BRUGGEMAN: Nothing further, your 7 Honor. 8 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. 9 I have no additional questions. You are 10 excused, Mr. Sloan. Thank you very much. 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 12 ALJ ADDISON: I believe Mr. Bruggeman 13 previously moved for the admission of Applicant 14 Exhibit No. 29. Are there any objections to the admission of this exhibit? 15 16 MR. VAN KLEY: No, your Honor. 17 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No, your Honor. 18 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you all. It will be admitted. 19 20 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 2.1 ALJ ADDISON: With that I will stay true 22 to my promise. Let's go ahead and break until 2:45. 23 Give everyone a chance to stretch their legs a bit 24 and then we will proceed with the last two scheduled 25 witnesses. In the event we would -- well, let's go

364 1 ahead and go off the record. 2 (Recess taken.) 3 ALJ ADDISON: At this time we will go back on the record. 4 5 The Applicant may call its next witness. 6 MS. HERRNSTEIN: Okay. The Applicant 7 calls JoAnne Blank. 8 ALJ ADDISON: Welcome, Ms. Blank. 9 MS. BLANK: Hi. 10 ALJ ADDISON: Please raise your right 11 hand. 12 (Witness sworn.) 13 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please be 14 seated. 15 16 JOANNE BLANK 17 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 By Ms. Herrnstein: 2.1 Ο. All right. Good afternoon, Ms. Blank. 22 Could you please state your full name and spell it for the record. 23 24 JoAnne, J-o-A-N-N-E, Blank, B-L-A-N-K. And where are you currently employed? 25 Q.

365 1 Α. At Stantec Consulting. 2 And what is your title? Q. 3 I am a Senior Associate, Senior Α. Scientist. 4 5 Q. Okay. Could you please turn to what has been marked as Applicant's Exhibit 21. 6 7 Α. Okay. 8 Q. Have you seen this document before? Α. I have. 9 10 Okay. What is this document? Q. 11 This is a copy of my testimony. Α. 12 Okay. If I were to ask you the questions Q. 13 in that document today, would your answers be the 14 same? 15 Α. They would. Okay. Do you have any modifications or 16 Q. 17 corrections to your testimony? 18 Α. I do not. 19 MS. HERRNSTEIN: Okay. I would move for 20 admission of Applicant's Exhibit 21, subject to 2.1 cross-examination. 2.2 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. 23 Mr. Dove? 24 MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis?

366 MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor. 1 2 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Van Kley? 3 MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor. 4 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 By Mr. Van Kley: Good afternoon, Ms. Blank. 7 Q. 8 Α. Good afternoon. 9 Ο. Do you have any degrees in acoustics? 10 My degree is not in acoustics. Α. 11 Do you have any educational background in Q. 12 acoustical engineering? 13 Α. Not specifically. I have been completing 14 some studies for over 10 years. 15 Q. You've been completing some studies you said? 16 17 Sound studies, sound and noise. Α. 18 Sound studies. Q. 19 Modeling and studies for over 10 years. Α. 20 Q. So this is job experience, not education. 2.1 Α. Yes. How -- how many acoustical studies have 22 Q. 23 you performed during your career? More than 25. I don't have an exact 24 25 count but more than 25.

Q. Uh-huh.

1

- 2 A. Probably closer to 50.
- Q. You haven't had any formal training in acoustics?
- 5 A. Not in acoustics, no.
- Q. Did you set up the sound monitoring stations for this project?
 - A. I did not personally set them up.
- 9 Q. Have you ever personally set up a sound monitoring station?
- 11 A. I have.
- 12 Q. How many?
- 13 A. Several, not -- 5 to 10.
- Q. In 2022 approximately how much of your time was spent with acoustical work?
- A. I would say about 25 to 30 percent of my time.
- Q. Uh-huh. Would you go to Exhibit O of Applicant's Exhibit 2.
- MS. HERRNSTEIN: It will be in the -- it will be in the binder -- that binder.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MS. SHEELY: No.
- MS. HERRNSTEIN: Not that one.
- 25 MS. SHEELY: The one at her elbow.

MS. HERRNSTEIN: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah.

A. I didn't know I was going to get a workout today too.

Thank you. I'm there.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Okay. Exhibit O is the pre-construction sound report and predictive operational sound assessment in the application, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Did you write this report?
- A. I oversaw the writing of the report.
- Q. So you did not write it yourself?
- A. Not word for word, no.
 - Q. Did you write any part of it?
 - A. I likely wrote some of it, some of the paragraphs. I didn't specifically write the report. It was my team that wrote the report.
- Q. Let's go to page 3 of your written
 testimony. I would like to direct you to the answer
 to question 10 at the bottom. The question asks for
 you to summarize the findings of the sound report
 with respect to construction of the project. Do you
 see that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And the sound report is a reference to

Exhibit O of the application?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

2.1

- Q. And in the first part of your answer to question 10, you state that "Heavy construction equipment including, but not limited to backhoes, bulldozers, and haul trucks may be present and operational at different times during the first phase of the construction period." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware that solar construction commonly involves the use of rollers?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. How about the use of pans?
 - A. I'm sorry. For what?
- 15 Q. Pans.
- 16 A. Pans?
- 17 Q. Pans, P-A-N-S.
- 18 A. No, I am not familiar with that.
 - Q. Are you aware that the construction of solar projects may entail the use of gravel?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you do any study in your report as to the sound levels from dumping gravel on a solar project?
- 25 A. The construction equipment that we looked

at included large haul and dump trucks and the sound that would come from those trucks.

- Q. What about the sound of the gravel actually being dumped out of the truck? Did you study that?
- A. We didn't study the exact gravel dumping out of the trucks. However, in our study we looked at different actions that would take place, and the gravel trucks would -- would be determined to be above an 84 to 85 dBA.
- Q. Have you ever heard the sound of gravel being dumped out of a dump truck?
 - A. I have.
 - Q. It's a pretty loud sound, isn't it?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Go to page 4 of your testimony. Starting with line 3 you have a statement about the "Sound levels associated with the type of equipment expected to be used will vary from approximately 80 to 90 dBA at 50 feet," and so forth. Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Is this the sound level you would expect from each machine or a combination from all machines that would be used?
- 25 A. That -- that is a -- that is a specific

piece of machinery. I mean, it's a range of 80 to 90 for the different pieces of machinery.

- Q. That's what you would expect the noise level for each piece of machinery to be?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Ordinarily during the construction of a solar project, there is one -- there is more than one piece of machinery being used at a time; isn't that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you do any calculations to determine what the cumulative volume of more than one piece of equipment at a time would be?
- A. Yes. So the combination of equipment would only add a few dBA to the total if they are near each other. In general the equipment is not going to be right next to each other, so you wouldn't have that additive effect, and the machinery is also -- all of it is run at, you know, intermittent intervals. They are not going to be all running at the same time in one place.
- Q. If you look at lines 7 through 9 on page 4 of your testimony, you stated "however, not all equipment will be operating at the same time, and activities will be spread throughout the Project Area

and will be temporary in duration." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. What do you mean by temporary in duration? Can you provide us with a time frame for that?
- A. So to use your example of the gravel truck, that gravel truck would be arriving and it would dump its load and then there may not be another such noise event for 15 minutes, half an hour, whatever that time period would be, so they would not be all occurring at the same time, and they would be temporary in nature and short duration.
- Q. Do you regard the use of earthmoving machinery as temporary in duration?
- A. It would depend on the -- on the task that the machinery would be doing.
- Q. Do you -- can you provide me with a number of hours that a project of this size would require the use of heavy earthmoving equipment?
- A. I wouldn't have that number off the top of my head.
- Q. Going back to lines 3 through 6 on page 4 of your testimony, can you provide me with the range of sound levels that would be heard at 700 feet away?
 - A. So the sound at 700 feet away, to use the

example of the 80 at the source, or the 80 at 50 feet
which is 54 at a thousand feet, it would be
approximately 51. And I would have to -- I would
have to do the calculation. I'm not saying exact. I
am giving you an estimate. I'm sorry. That's going
in the wrong direction. At 700 feet it would be

- Q. 57 dBA?
- A. Yes.
- Q. In lines 9 through 11, you discuss that the "Construction activity will be limited to the hours of 7 a.m. to 7 p.m., or dusk if sunset occurs after 7 p.m."
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see that?
- 16 A. Yes.

about 57.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2.1

22

23

24

- 17 Q. That includes weekends too, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And holidays?
- 20 A. I would assume so, yes.
 - Q. And then in line 7 -- or lines 11 and 12, you assert that "Noise from construction activities may be heard at off-site locations, the sound will vary over time and be temporary in nature." What do you mean by temporary in nature there?

- A. That would be the same temporary in nature as we discussed earlier, that the machines do not operate all at one time or continuously. So many of them operate and then shut down, move to another part of the project, operate again for a temporary amount of time.
- Q. Do you know what length of time a project of this size will take in order to do the pile driving for the solar panel racks?
- A. I don't know that offhand. That might be best to ask the project team that.
- Q. In lines 12 through 15 -- or 14, you state that construction activities from the solar project will generate sounds that are familiar to residents due to other construction, industrial, and agricultural activities in the area. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. What other activities are already being experienced in this area that you believe are familiar to the residents that are similar to the construction sounds for a solar facility?
- A. The agricultural equipment that's used on the -- on the crops would be very similar. An agricultural tractor would have similar sound to some

of the earthmoving equipment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. What -- what kind of solar construction equipment sounds like an agricultural tractor?
- A. The -- the level of sound from it. It may not sound exactly like it, but the -- the graders, the equipment that has approximately an 84 dBA at 50 feet is very similar to the sound level that is -- is found from an agricultural tractor.
- Q. Uh-huh. Do you know how long it takes to harvest a typical acre of crops?
- A. I'm a farm girl, but I don't really remember to tell you the truth.
- Q. I mean, harvest occurs for grain crops other than wheat during the fall, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. How long does the fall harvest usually last?
- A. Well, depending on the crop and the size,
 the season usually lasts September through October
 and even into November.
- Q. And how long does planting usually last in the spring?
- A. It's usually done in the April or May time frame.
- Q. Are you aware of any agricultural

equipment used in the project area or around the project area that produces the sound similar to pile driving?

- A. No, I am not aware of any.
- Q. Have you ever heard the operation of a pile driver?
 - A. I have.
 - Q. What does it sound like?
 - A. It is an intermittent pinging sound.
- Q. It's a pounding of metal on metal, right?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. In lines 14 to 16 of your testimony on page 4, you state that "The overall noise impact on nearby sensitive receptors during construction of the Project is not expected to be significant." Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. What do you mean when you say it's not expected to be significant?
 - A. So the -- the sound of the construction equipment will again be limited in the time frame, and it will add a thousand feet. It goes down to about 64 dBA. And most of the residential area is well beyond the thousand feet, so it's going to dissipate to, you know, to even lower than that so

those are not significant sound levels.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Do you think that the noise from the pile driving is -- is not significant?
- A. That's hard to say. If it -- if you are standing right next to it, it would be significant but at the -- at the residences, no.
- Q. How far away can a person hear pile driving?
 - A. I don't know that offhand.
- Q. Do you know what sound level a pile driver will be heard at a distance of 700 feet?
- A. At 700 feet I would -- I would estimate it to be likely about 67 dBA, but I have to say that I don't have a calculator in front of me, and sound is a logarithmic number, so I can't do a simple calculation.
- Q. Are you familiar with the sounds produced by inverters in a solar project during operation?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How would you describe that sound?
- A. I would -- it's a sound of a -- of a motor and -- and sometimes a fan running.
- Q. Uh-huh. Would you characterize the sound as being a hum?
- 25 A. Yeah, a low level hum, yes.

- Q. Does it sound like bees humming?
- A. I wouldn't characterize it that way.
- Q. Do you know what, if any, operation the inverters will have in this project during the nighttime?
- A. In the nighttime the inverters generally go into a standby mode just to keep the motor warm, and the sounds that come out of them is not enough to -- to go beyond the -- generally to go beyond the edges of the project boundary.
- Q. In the case of this project, are there any plans to operate the inverters at night for any purpose?
- A. I can't imagine why inverters would ever be operated at night since the solar panels do not generate any power at night. Again, that may be best asked of the project proponents, if they know of a reason why -- I have never heard of an inverter running at night.
- Q. Go to page 5 of your testimony, lines 10 through 12.
 - A. Yes.

2.1

Q. States "Nighttime sound from the Project will be substantially less than daytime, as photovoltaic and electrical equipment will be

operating in stand-by mode, as the sun is not shining, and power is not being produced." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. What's the stand-by mode as referenced in this sentence?
- A. It has been described to me as just a mode where the electricity still goes to the -- it's still connected to the system but -- and there is enough to keep it warm if it's a very cold night, but it is not actually operating and creating the noise that you would expect to hear during the daytime.
- Q. Did you conduct any modeling to determine what the noise level of the inverters will be in a stand-by mode at the property boundary?
- A. The information that we have of the sound level from the manufacturers at the -- during stand-by mode is not enough to go beyond the project boundaries, so we did not specifically model it.
- Q. Would you go to the narrative of the application, please, which is in Applicant's Exhibit 2.
- MS. HERRNSTEIN: Applicant Exhibit 2 is the application, so it's the binder below.
- THE WITNESS: This one? Okay.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. I would like to direct your attention to the sentence that starts at the bottom of page 48 of the narrative, specifically the first part of that sentence which reads "Because the inverters only run during the daytime when the modules are producing energy, no noise producing equipment would operate at nighttime." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Now, that's not a true statement, is it?
- A. It is not an -- not an absolutely true statement, no. They are running in stand-by mode.
 - Q. Go back to Exhibit O of the application.
 - A. I'm sorry, Exhibit?
- Q. O. Your noise report in Applicant's Exhibit 2. And I don't see any page numbers in this report. We are going to look for Figure 2 which is PDF page 22 for those who are online. Have you found it?
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Good. All right. Now, Figure 2 is a map showing the sound levels that are -- that have been modeled for operational noise for the project,

Proceedings - Volume II 381 1 correct? 2 Correct. 3 Now, do you know where the Ebenhack fruit Q. and vegetable farm is located on this map? 4 5 Α. I do not. Well, do you see where Ebenhack Road is 6 Ο. 7 in the southwest portion of the map? I do. 8 Α. 9 Okav. Just to the southeast of the Ο. 10 intersection of Ebenhack Road and Dungan Road, do you 11 see that area? 12 Α. I do. 13 Q. Uh-huh. Have you done any modeling to determine what the sound level from the inverters 14 will be in that location? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. And what will it be? 18 It would be approximately 40 dBA at that Α. 19 kind of teal-colored line, so it's going to be lower 20 than that to the outside of that line. 2.1 MR. VAN KLEY: I have no more questions at this time, your Honor. 22 23 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much,

Mr. Chamberlain?

Mr. Van Kley.

24

382 1 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Nothing, your Honor. 2 Thank you. ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Margard? 3 MR. MARGARD: No, thank you, your Honor. 4 5 ALJ ADDISON: Any redirect? 6 MS. HERRNSTEIN: Just briefly, your 7 Honor. 8 ALJ ADDISON: Please. 9 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 By Ms. Herrnstein: 12 Q. Ms. Blank, how often is a field 13 harvested? Well, it depends on the crop, but hay is 14 15 harvested several times a year but generally just in the fall. 16 17 Q. And is that a one-time thing, or is it 18 every year? 19 It's every year. 20 Q. And how many times is a single solar 2.1 project constructed? 22 Α. Just once. 23 Q. Okay. And would there be earthmovers 24 working the project area after construction is

25

complete?

Proceedings - Volume II 383 1 Α. No. Would there be pile drivers? 2 Q. 3 Α. No. MS. HERRNSTEIN: No further questions. 4 5 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. 6 Mr. Dove? 7 MR. DOVE: No follow-up. ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis? 8 9 MS. CURTIS: No, your Honor. 10 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Van Kley? 11 MR. VAN KLEY: None, your Honor. 12 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Chamberlain? 13 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No, your Honor. 14 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Margard? 15 MR. MARGARD: No, thank you, your Honor. ALJ ADDISON: I have no additional 16 17 questions. You are excused, Ms. Blank. Thank you 18 very much. 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 20 ALJ ADDISON: I believe Ms. Herrnstein 2.1 had previously moved for the admission of Applicant 22 Exhibit No. 21. Are there any objections to the

MR. MARGARD: No, your Honor.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No, your Honor.

admission of that exhibit at this time?

23

24

384 ALJ ADDISON: Then it will be admitted. 1 2 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) ALJ ADDISON: Let's go off the record for 3 4 a moment. 5 (Discussion off the record.) ALJ ADDISON: Let's go ahead and go back 6 7 on the record. 8 The Applicant may call its next witness. MS. FLINT: We call Jennifer Brunty. 9 10 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. 11 Ms. Brunty, raise your right hand. 12 (Witness sworn.) 13 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. Please proceed. 14 MS. FLINT: Thank you, your Honor. 15 16 JENNIFER BRUNTY 17 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 By Ms. Flint: 2.1 Q. Would you please state your full legal 22 name and spell it. 23 Jennifer Lee Brunty, J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R 24 L-E-E B-R-U-N-T-Y. 25 Q. Who are you employed by?

- A. Stantec Consulting Services.
- Q. Ms. Brunty, you should have in front of you a document that's marked Applicant Exhibit 22.
- 4 Do you recognize that document?
- 5 A. Yes.

1

8

9

10

- Q. Is that your prefiled testimony that was filed on January 30, 2023?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. If I were to ask you the same questions today that are in Applicant Exhibit 22, would your answers be the same?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any modifications or corrections to your prefiled testimony?
- 15 A. No.
- MS. FLINT: Your Honor, I move for
 admission of Applicant Exhibit 22 pending
 cross-examination, and I tender Ms. Brunty for cross.
- 19 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you very much.
- So big it obstructs my view. Mr. Dove,
- 21 any questions?
- MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.
- 23 ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis?
- MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.
- 25 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Van Kley?

1 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

18

19

20

CROSS-EXAMINATION

4 | By Mr. Van Kley:

- Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Brunty. Let's go to page 2 of your testimony. I would like to refer you to answer 6 and answer 7. Can you tell me why these questions and answers were included in your testimony?
 - A. No. I don't know why.
- 11 Q. And the conditions that are referred to
 12 in the portion of the Staff Report do not deal with
 13 glare, right?
 - A. I don't know. I don't understand.
- Q. Well, your testimony is about glare, right?
- A. Right, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And did you review the Staff
 Report pages 48 to 55 and the certificate conditions
 recommended to find out whether they deal with glare?
- A. I must have for me to have answered this, but I don't recall. It's been a long time.
- Q. Okay. Would you go to Exhibit A of
 Applicant's Exhibit 2 which will be a preliminary
 site plan.

MS. SHEELY: That's the application, that binder. He is asking you to go to tab A.

THE WITNESS: To?

MS. SHEELY: Tab A.

THE WITNESS: Exhibit A?

MS. SHEELY: Yes.

A. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. I would like to refer you to the map in Exhibit A. Can you tell me where on this map we would find the Ebenhack fruit and vegetable farm?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Let's go then to Ebenhack Road on this map which is in the southwest corner of the map.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Do you see that?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And just to the east of the label for Ebenhack Road do you see a white rectangle and some other -- and some building depicted there?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you or anybody else for the
 Applicant evaluate the amount of glare, if any, from
 the solar project that will occur at the location
 that I pointed out east of Ebenhack Road?

- A. I don't believe so. I would need to see my analysis map, but I believe everything I analyzed was houses.
- Q. Are you aware of a farm market that's operated by some of the Ebenhack family?
 - A. No.

2.1

- Q. So you didn't do any glare analysis with respect to that market?
 - A. No, not that I am aware of.
- Q. Did you do a model in order to calculate the expected amount of glare from the project?
 - A. I used the ForgeSolar model.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you ran that model to do your calculations for the expected amount of glare from the project, did you make any assumptions about the characteristics of the panels that may be pertinent to glare?
- A. The characteristics of the panels, the one thing that you need to enter into the program is if it's smooth glass, smooth glass with antireflective coating, smooth glass -- or lightly textured glass with antireflective coating or without, or deeply textured glass. This one had smooth glass with antireflective coating and that's the only thing I entered. That's the only thing you

can enter in this program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. So when you ran your model, you assumed that the panels would have antireflective coating?
- A. That's what -- the information I was given by the client.
 - Q. Okay. The answer to that is yes?
 - A. Yes.

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. All right. Nothing further, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.

Mr. Chamberlain?

MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Nothing, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Margard?

MR. MARGARD: No, thank you, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Any redirect?

MS. FLINT: Yes.

17

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

19 | By Ms. Flint:

- Q. The ForgeSolar program that you used for the modeling, does that program have you -- what does it have you analyze in terms of glare and who -- and what or where glare might occur?
- A. It gives you the option to enter sensitive receptors that are called observation

points. It gives you the option to enter airplanes landing at airports which were analyzed within 10 miles of the project, and it gives you the option of entering vehicles traveling down roadways using a route tool that's a continuous line along any roadway.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Any other sensitive receptors? Ο.
- Α. You can add any -- helicopters in this case hovering 500 feet over the helipads, but you can add anything that you want to. It doesn't give you a -- come back with a blanket -- it doesn't tell you everywhere there is going to be glare. It tells you whether or not the points you enter will experience glare.
- Sorry. Does that include residences as a Q. sensitive receptor?
- Α. Yes. That's the primary sensitive receptor that I use.

MS. FLINT: No further questions.

ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

Mr. Dove?

MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Ms. Curtis?

MS. CURTIS: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Van Kley?

391 1 MR. VAN KLEY: None. 2 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Chamberlain? 3 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: None, your Honor. 4 ALJ ADDISON: Mr. Margard? 5 MR. MARGARD: No, thank you. 6 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. 7 8 EXAMINATION 9 By ALJ Addison: 10 And, Ms. Blank, you indicated in your 11 testimony on page 2 that there were 38 residences 12 analyzed using the model which were not predicted to 13 experience glare from the project; is that correct? 14 Α. Yes, that's correct. 15 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. That's all I 16 have. I certainly appreciate you changing your 17 schedule to be here to testify today so thank you. 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 19 ALJ ADDISON: I believe Ms. Brunty's 20 testimony has already been moved to be admitted. 2.1 objections to the admission of Applicant Exhibit No. 2.2 22 at this time? 23 MR. VAN KLEY: No, your Honor. 24 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No. 25 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you.

392 With that it will be admitted. 1 2 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 3 ALJ ADDISON: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off the record.) 4 5 ALJ ADDISON: We will at this time go 6 ahead and go back on the record. 7 That concludes the scheduled testimony 8 for today including the unscheduled testimony of 9 Ms. Brunty so we certainly appreciate that. We will reconvene tomorrow at 9:30 10 11 starting with Mr. Bensen, Mr. Bensen's testimony, and 12 I believe that's it unless we have anything the 13 parties would like to raise at this time. 14 MS. SHEELY: No, thank you, your Honor. 15 ALJ ADDISON: Thank you. 16 We are adjourned. 17 (Thereupon, at 3:31 p.m., the hearing was 18 adjourned.) 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25

```
393
 1
                           CERTIFICATE
 2
                 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
 3
     a true and correct transcript of the proceedings
     taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, February 28,
 4
     2023, and carefully compared with my original
 5
 6
     stenographic notes.
 7
 8
                           Karen Sue Gibson, Registered
 9
                           Merit Reporter.
10
11
     (KSG-7420)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/13/2023 10:35:15 AM

in

Case No(s). 21-0868-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript of Scioto Farms Solar Project, LLC hearing held on 02/28/23 - Volume II - Public electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs..