



**From:** Puco Contact OPSB  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** public comment 21-0902  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 1, 2023 2:28:46 PM

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2023 FEB - 1 PM 2:38

Re: Case No(s). 21-0902-GE-BRO, administrative law 4906-4-09 Comment.

The proposed new increased setback distances are needed for safety and appearance. While I wholeheartedly disagree with the value of industrial solar in Ohio and encourage wiser, more efficient choices in industrial energy production, i.e., nuclear energy, which doesn't take the footprint and waste the lands that solar and wind do, I do agree and encourage the adoption of the proposed new setback requirements from properties, residences, and roads as proposed in the rewrite of the administrative law, beginning immediately.

Thank you for at the least inviting comment on this part of a large and intrusive issue of solar panels being jammed into communities who have the majority of their residents in opposition to such.

Kathy Finger

This is to certify that the images  
appearing are an accurate and  
complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular  
course of business.

Technician DCH Date Processed 2-1-23