



**From:** Puco Contact OPSB  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** public comment 21-0902-GE-BRO  
**Date:** Thursday, January 26, 2023 5:22:40 PM

---

**IN THE MATTER OF THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD'S REVIEW OF OHIO  
ADM.CODE CHAPTERS 4906-1, 4906-2, 4906-3, 4906-4, 4906-5, 4906-6, AND  
4906-7**

I am writing in OPPOSITION to the proposed solar module set-back changes in 4906-09

The current set back from a non-residential, non participating parcel boundary is 150 feet. The proposed change cuts that distance by 2/3 to just 50 feet. This is totally unacceptable.

To put it right up against the property line of the non participating parcels is totally unfair. The non participating parcels have use to the property owners in the future, and do not deserve to have the set backs decreased. It would limit the use of the property in the future. It would could cause more run off, chemicals, NOISE, located by a woods harmful to the wildlife living there, and a host of other problems.

The property owners bordering these solar plants should have more protection. A reduction in set backs would limit the properties potential, reduce it's value, and there would be potential for even more complications for the bordering property owners.

We adamantly oppose the reduction of the set back rule.

Christi Skidmore  
400 Lake Ave  
West Mansfield, OH 43358

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 26 2023  
DOCKETING DIVISION  
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

This is to certify that the images  
appearing are an accurate and  
complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular  
course of business.

Technician EC Date Processed 1/27/2023