

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2023 JAN 23 PM 1:59
PUCO

From: PucO ContactOPSB <contactopsb@puco.ohio.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 23, 2023 11:18 AM
To: PucO Docketing <docketing@puco.ohio.gov>
Subject: public comment 22-0549

Would you like to remain anonymous?	Yes
Contact Name:	
Address:	
City:	Columbus
State	Ohio
Zip/Postal Code:	
Email	
Phone Number	

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician EC Date Processed 1/23/2023

Preferred Method of Contact:	
Docketing Case Number:	22-0549-EL-BGN
Project Name:	Oak Run Solar
Enter your Comment or Complaint:	<p>I am against the solar panel project. Besides being an eye sore, there are major disadvantages to this proposal. (1) Solar power is only 18% efficient which is much less than coal fire power plants or fossil fuels. (2) Less production in the winter months. For those that will either rely on or tap into solar energy will find that cloudy, cold, Ohio winters with less sunlight, will find out this renewable energy source unreliable. (3) Since there is less daylight in the winter, these solar panels will require large battery banks at night. After use, these toxic in landfills, which is bad for the environment. Isn't environmental friendliness one of the reasons for implementing solar energy? (4) Did I mention there is not a lot sunlight in Ohio? According to Current Results, Columbus, Ohio ranks just above rainy Seattle, Washington in amount of sunshine per year. Perhaps it would be better to find a sunnier location. (5) We have seen renewable energy sources fail in the past. During the Texas deep freeze a winter or two ago the power grid went down. Why? Wind energy had been converted to a larger source of energy. For these reasons I am opposed to any source of unreliable, renewable energy, anywhere, let alone in a place I visit frequently.</p>