## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the Complaint of:

:

Ohio Power Company,

:

Complainant,

: Case No. 21-990-EL-CSS

Nationwide Energy Partners, LLC,

VS.

:

Respondent.

- - -

## PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. David Hicks and Mr. Matthew Sandor,
Attorney Examiners, at the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C,
Columbus, Ohio, called at 9:08 a.m. on Tuesday,
November 1, 2022.

- - -

## VOLUME VII

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

1180 1 APPEARANCES: 2. American Electric Power Service Corporation By Mr. Steven T. Nourse 3 and Mr. Michael J. Schuler 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 4 mjschuler@aep.com 5 stnourse@aep.com 6 M.S. McKenzie Ltd. By Mr. Matthew S. McKenzie 7 P.O. Box 12075 Columbus, Ohio 43212 8 Matthew@msmckenzieltd.com 9 On behalf of the Complainant. 10 Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP By Mr. Michael J. Settineri, 11 Ms. Anna Sanyal, Mr. Andrew Guran 12 and Mr. Thomas Whaling 52 East Gay Street 13 Columbus, Ohio 43215 Apquran@vorys.com 14 aasanyal@vorys.com mjsettineri@vorys.com 15 Nationwide Energy Partners, LLC 16 By Mr. Drew B. Romig and Mr. Brian Gibbs 17 230 West Street, Suite 150 Columbus, Ohio 43215 18 Dromig@nationwideenergypartners.com bgibbs@nationwideenergypartners.com 19 On behalf of the Respondent. 20 2.1 22 23 2.4 25

					1181
1	INDEX				
2		-			
3	TTIW	NESS			PAGE
4	Aaron Depinet				
5	Cross-Examination (Continued) by Mr. Schuler 1182 Redirect Examination by Mr. Guran 1276				
6		-			
7	AEP	OHIO EXHIBIT		IDENTIFIED A	DMITTED
8		NEP-RPD-15-004-Attac		1255	1283
9		CONFIDENTIAL, pages 5 of 1021	045-5/1		
10		-			
11	NEP	EXHIBIT		IDENTIFIED A	DMITTED
12	91	Direct Testimony of		VI-1159	1280
13		Aaron Depinet			
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1182 Tuesday Morning Session, 1 2 November 1, 2022. 3 4 EXAMINER HICKS: Let's go back on the 5 record. 6 We are reconvening this morning and continuing in Case No. 21-990-EL-CSS, Ohio Power 7 8 Company versus Nationwide Energy Partners, LLC. Mr. Depinet is still on the stand for 9 10 cross-examination. Just remind you you are still 11 under oath. 12 And Mr. Schuler is continuing with his 13 cross. 14 MR. SCHULER: Thank you, your Honor. 15 16 AARON DEPINET 17 being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified further as follows: 18 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 20 By Mr. Schuler: 2.1 Good morning, Mr. Depinet. Q. 22 Α. Good morning. 23 Hope you had a happy Halloween last Q. 2.4 night. 25 Bengals lost. Α.

EXAMINER HICKS: The Bench hears that.

- Q. (By Mr. Schuler) More importantly, do you recall our conversation yesterday? We had a little bit of a conversation about subcontractors employed by NEP?
  - A. Uh-huh. Yes.
- Q. And I believe you stated that subcontractors work off of AEP's direction, and also NEP's stamped drawings from our engineer or an engineer that we use as a subcontractor; do you remember that?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. When you said "our engineer," were you referring to an in-house NEP engineer?
  - A. No. We subcontract.
- Q. Okay. So NEP doesn't do any engineering in house? You use subcontractors like M Engineering, for instance?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And with -- and I believe you also mentioned the portion that the subcontractor works off of AEP's direction is with respect to the CTs and meter installation; is that correct?
- A. Correct. AEP has a standards book that our drawings and AEP coordinate with one another

on -- basically our drawings have to state where the master meter is located, and that's sufficient for AEP.

- Q. Okay. And that's the extent of AEP's direction of the subcontractor that's performing the work, correct?
  - A. For the new equipment installed, yes.
- Q. So, in other words, it's simply installing to AEP Ohio's specifications per the handbook you referenced, right?
- A. Yes, for the new equipment installed. Now, if there is demolition or something of that order, then that would get designed or included in some sort of scope document by AEP to NEP, then -- then our subcontractor.
- Q. Was there any demolition at the five apartment complexes in this case?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

- O. Okav.
- A. Yes. That would have been the -- some of the pictures in my testimony showing that the existing -- the existing secondary conductors because whatever -- since the service point's changing from -- I guess you could say the point of demarcation is changing, everything to that point

needs to be up to the NEC code and AEP does not follow the NEC code.

- Q. AEP Ohio follows NESC code; is that right?
  - A. The safety code, correct.
- Q. And just for clarity of the record, when we are saying CTs that refers to current transformers, correct?
  - A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. And those are a transformer that simply allows for the reading of secondary service for purposes of the master meter, correct?
- A. Yeah. The purpose of a CT is to create a usable amperage that a meter can consume.
- Q. So with the exception of following AEP's handbook, the subcontractor is operating under NEP's direction for purposes of the conversions, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Let's talk a little bit about the process of conversion. And I think we talked about it yesterday, conversion being the switching from AEP Ohio residential individually-metered customers of AEP Ohio to a master-metered service, correct?
  - A. Yeah. Or an existing community.
  - O. Okay. Now, to commence a conversion that

we just talked about, is it your understanding that it first needs to be submitted by NEP to AEP Ohio?

MR. GURAN: Objection. Kind of vague on the process. I am a little bit confused also.

MR. SCHULER: I am simply asking in order to kickoff there has to be a request for AEP Ohio to know about a conversion.

EXAMINER HICKS: Overruled. He can answer.

- A. Okay. Yes, you can either call customer service or you -- or you can submit something on the online portal.
- Q. An online portal that's hosted by AEP Ohio, or it's AEP Ohio's portal?
  - A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

- Q. And on page 2, lines 18 to 19 of your testimony where you say, "NEP reaches out to AEP Ohio via its online portal," do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. That's the online portal you just referenced, right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And let me step back actually. Let's just take that whole sentence starting with line 17.

  With both -- "With both secondary conversions and

buy-backs, after contracts are signed, NEP reaches out to AEP Ohio via its online portal." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

- Q. So you mentioned earlier that you can either call in or do the online portal, for purposes of conversions like these five, NEP elected to use the online portal, correct?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. That was my -- you were the one that submitted --
  - A. I did.
- Q. -- that request through the online portal?
- A. I did.
- Q. And ultimately -- well, strike that.

To the extent a conversion is completed after a request through the online portal, that ultimately culminates in AEP Ohio's service to the individual residential tenants being disconnected, and new conductor and the meters from NEP now submeter those same tenants, correct?

A. I mean, you skipped a bunch of spaces there, so you went from -- reread the sentence. I don't understand the question.

Q. Sure. Sure. No, that's fine. That's fine. I will -- let's go to your testimony actually. Page 3, line 10. You state there, "Specifically, after the sites are prepared for conversion, AEP Ohio will send out a crew to finalize the conversion and hook into the new master meter." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.4

- Q. That's the ultimate conclusion of a conversion, correct?
- A. Yes, but I -- like I said, I think it's confusing how the process -- the process goes like this. You submit the online form or you call in, either way. Within a couple days you usually get an automated response from AEP that says we need more information. Can you please send X, Y, Z?

I send those. Usually that includes drawings, load summaries with everyone's information on there, civil drawings if we have them, and then once -- once that -- once that all has been sent -- someone at AEP, in this case for these five, it always has been Dean Hartzell, would respond back and say can we meet on-site? Can we whatever?

And then usually we meet on-site. We discuss everyone's scope. We make sure everyone is good as far as if the scope is correct, what needs to

be changed. We decide all that then and there at those sites. And then -- and then we start going down the subcontractor path. We start coordinating our work, what the -- the work that needs to be done for the property. Whether it's -- whether it's installing new secondaries, whatever the case may be. Each site is different. And then once it's concluded -- once that work is concluded, we can then changeover from existing service to the new master-metered service.

Same thing you would do if you needed a new service at your house. It's the same type of situation. It just now only has one meter instead of no master meter and unit submeters.

Q. Okay. That's helpful. Thank you. Probably just saved us 20 minutes of me fumbling through it. Thank you.

So that last part there where it actually converts over from the individually-metered AEP Ohio residential customers to master-metered service that is operated by NEP, is that what you were referring to with the phrase "hook in" on page 3, line 12?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.3

2.4

Q. Okay. When you -- so on page 3, line 12, where you say, "The hook-in process or energizing per

site can be completed in less than a day, often AEP Ohio can complete two per day." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. When you say that, you are referring to the actual switchover on the day of residential customers no longer being individually metered by AEP Ohio and the property being master-metered by NEP?
- A. Yes. AEP will disconnect the existing service and reenergize the new service.
- Q. Okay. That day also involves AEP Ohio removing all of its individual meters of the residential lessees, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And I say "day," but sometimes it can be multiple days, right?
- A. Depending on the amount of master meters, correct.
- Q. And you would agree that there are almost 1,100 individually metered residential customers at the five complexes combined?
- A. I don't know the exact number. I would -- I would say that's accurate though.
- Q. Okay. You're not familiar with AEP
  Ohio's protocols for removing those individual

meters, correct?

2.1

2.3

- A. Through conversations between metering on-site and Dean Hartzell, I would say a little bit.
- Q. You are not aware of any specific policies or procedures that AEP Ohio has for removing individual residential meters, correct?
- A. I believe the old way of, from what I was told from AEP, is every meter that was to be removed needed to take an individual work order. That was conversations back with Bantry Bay type before these five conversations, and that was part of the delays of Bantry Bay was that was a long process.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. It sounded like it was adjusted on the -after -- after we were allowed to finally complete
  these, it sounded like they were able to do a mass
  integration of change outs for individual sites after
  that, and that's from Checobia Crawford and I believe
  Angie Rybalt while they were on-site at The Normandy
  when we were discussing that project.
- Q. The Normandy is one of the five in this case, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And it has been converted as we sit here today, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

- Q. In the order of the five, was that the third one that was converted?
  - A. That was the second one.
- Q. That was the second one? Would that have been in August of 2022, when that was converted then?
  - A. I would have -- I would have to look.
  - Q. Okay.
    - A. That sounds accurate.
- Q. Edge of Arlington was the first one that was converted, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
  - Q. And roughly in the May 2022, time frame?
- 14 A. Yes. Over the period of months, but yes.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. It concluded in May.
- Q. When you are saying over a period of months, are you talking about Edge of Arlington, or all of the four that have been converted?
  - A. Edge of Arlington.
- Q. Okay. All right. And a moment ago you explained a process that leads up to hook-in day, correct?
- A. Similar to any project you do with AEP, yes.

Q. That was where you were describing submitting through the portal, AEP Ohio reaching out to you scheduling a site visit, all of that happens prior to the hook-in process you refer to on page 3, line 12, right?

A. Correct.

2.1

2.4

Q. So prior to hook-in process as you mention it there on page 3, line 12, you would agree that there is construction and design work that's completed by NEP and/or AEP Ohio, correct?

MR. GURAN: Objection, compound. You said NEP or AEP Ohio, two separate.

EXAMINER HICKS: Do you understand the question, Mr. Depinet?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

EXAMINER HICKS: All right. Overruled.

You can answer.

- A. NEP's design is completed -- is completed before submission takes place.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. AEP's design is completed during the process of the process that I laid out, AEP -- if they have anything to design. In these cases, I -- in these five projects, I never seen a design from AEP. There wasn't anything that they really needed

to do except disconnection, reconnect of the existing services.

- Q. You would agree there is building and construction work that has to be completed before the hook-in process, correct?
  - A. By whom?
  - Q. Anyone.
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. You would agree that the CT cabinets need to be installed prior to the hook-in process you refer to on page 3, line 12, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. You would agree that the master meter base has to be installed prior to hook-in process, correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. And just for clarity, the master meter base is like a box that the master meter fits into, correct?
- A. Yes. It's a CT rated base -- meter base, yes.
- Q. And you would agree that AEP Ohio has to source the CTs and the meter socket prior to the hook-in process can be completed, correct?
- MR. GURAN: Objection, foundation.

MR. SCHULER: I believe Mr. Depinet has already testified that AEP Ohio provides the CT and meter socket for purposes of conversion.

EXAMINER HICKS: Can you reread the question, Karen? I am not going to lie, I was distracted by...

(Record read.)

2.1

2.2

2.4

EXAMINER HICKS: Restate your objection.

MR. GURAN: Foundation. And if you would, the real part of my issue is the sourcing, knowledge of where -- if AEP Ohio has to get it from someone else or that entire process. He wouldn't know as NEP's -- as an employee of NEP.

MR. SCHULER: And the reason why you source is -- I didn't say whether it was AEP Ohio itself or we have the subcontractor go to a third party, or just AEP Ohio has to source it somehow.

EXAMINER HICKS: I will let him answer, if he needs -- if you need to explain or clarify your understanding of sourcing or any part of the question, feel free to but...

A. Yeah. I don't know what you mean by source. As far as I know, you have them in stock and we did pick them up at your -- at your warehouse, at AEP's warehouse, all material, so that's as far as we

know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

Conversations -- and that happened,
conversations between my subcontractor and Dean
Hartzell on pickups of all of those materials at
every -- at every site I believe. I think Dean was
left off on these later projects that we are now
finishing, but our subcontractor contacted someone
from AEP to pick up materials that AEP was scoped to
provide. Now, I have no idea where you got them
from. I have no idea.

- Q. (By Mr. Schuler) And would those materials be CTs and meter sockets?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware of any supply chain issues impacting CTs or meter sockets availability?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. What's your familiarity with that?
- A. Every -- from conduit to transformers to -- I get it. I'm -- we are in the construction business, so most things I know have a supply chain issue right now.
- Q. So it's a general familiarity there is supply chain issues across the board right now for almost all electrical equipment, correct?
  - A. In the past year when we started these

projects there wasn't, or when the projects were submitted there wasn't a supply chain issue. In the last six to nine months there has been for meter bases. It went from -- nine months ago I believe they went from three to four week lead time to almost nine monthly time line. I get that, but all this stuff was before that. And we purchased -- or we picked up before -- our subcontractor picked up beforehand, before the large problems arise.

2.1

2.2

2.4

- Q. When did your subcontractor pick up the CTs and meter sockets from AEP Ohio?
  - A. I don't have that in front of me.
  - Q. Would it have been in the year 2022?
- A. Maybe one set of CTs and meter base, but we did not get any -- any e-mail or phone call or regardless on -- on materials not being present at the -- I mean, we picked them up at your shop. And they were -- and they were there, so apparently there wasn't a shortage.
- Q. And you -- but you don't recall when they were picked up from AEP?
- A. I don't know right offhand. It was between my subcontractor and AEP.
- Q. When were the CTs installed at the Edge of Arlington?

- A. That would -- I don't have the dates in front of me. It was kind of a pretty long process with the delays that we did for that.
- Q. Let's actually talk about that. Maybe that will help us. Let's jump to page 6, line 20 of your testimony. We'll actually take the -- why don't we start at line 19 with the question. Question 15, "What occurred as a result of AEP Ohio's denial of the pending work orders?" Answer: "NEP's subcontractor pulled out from each of the five apartment complexes and invoiced NEP for work it completed, which NEP paid." Did I read that correctly?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

2.4

- Q. That subcontractor that you are referring to there on line 20 is Vaughn Industries like we talked about yesterday, right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And AEP Ohio's denial of the pending work orders that you reference in the question there, what is the date of that denial that you are referring to?
- A. September 15, I believe, they formally -23 oh, no, sorry, September 24.
  - Q. Of 2021, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

Q. At that time had the subcontractor,
Vaughn Industries, already picked up the CTs and
meter sockets for any of the five complexes?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

- A. At Edge of Arlington, yes. There was an e-mail after our July meeting on-site, our subcontractor started communicating I think -- I think I headed it off with Dean and said here is our subcontractor, he is going to coordinate with you on picking up materials. We -- they did so. They picked up materials and we presumed -- or we kept going with the work or proceeded with the work on-site until that September 24 date.
- Q. Did the subcontractor pick up CTs and meter sockets for any of the other four properties?
- A. No. No, we didn't have that schedule yet.
- Q. And work did not commence until at least February of 2022, correct?
- MR. GURAN: Objection. For which site?

  MR. SCHULER: Any site. He states here
  in the question that the subcontractor pulled out at
  that time.
- EXAMINER HICKS: Overruled. He can answer if he knows or needs to clarify.
- A. After the September 24 date, work stopped

at Edge of Arlington.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. (By Mr. Schuler) Would it have stopped at all five complexes?
- A. I don't know that. I don't -- well, yes, all work stopped at all five complexes after that September 24 date. I don't know the status of -- I can't remember the status of the other sites.
- Q. Had any work been done at the other four sites as of September 24, 2021?
- 10 A. I don't -- I don't know. I don't remember.
  - Q. Did Vaughn Industries -- strike that.

    You mention here on page 6, line 21 that

    you -- that the subcontractor invoiced NEP for work

    it completed, correct?
    - A. Correct.
  - Q. Was NEP invoiced for work at any of the other properties besides Edge of Arlington in that invoice?
  - A. Yeah. We were invoiced for all work at other sites, or materials incurred by subcontractor for the other sites.
  - Q. Okay. So going back to the sourcing of CTs and meter sockets, that must have occurred at some point after work commenced for the other four

properties besides Edge of Arlington, correct?

- A. I don't know the exact dates of when those were picked up but, yes, I assume equipment was still necessary after the -- after work commenced.
- Q. Okay. And when you are saying when work commenced, you are referring to work recommencing after the stay order was issued in this case, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And jumping back to the process we were talking about leading up to hook-in -- the hook-in process, prior to the hook-in process you reference on page 3, line 12, there's coordination with AEP Ohio, correct?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. And there's coordination with the subcontractor, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. There's also coordination between AEP Ohio and the subcontractor, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. There's also coordination with the property owner to a certain extent, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And prior to the hook-in process there

must be an inspection by a local building authority, correct?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Now on page 3, line 12, where you say,
  "The hook-in process or energizing" -- well, strike
  that. Sorry. Let me actually take you to the next
  one, page 3, line 13, you state, "AEP Ohio typically
  estimates 4 weeks to energize; however, this
  estimation is based upon AEP Ohio coming out to the
  site only once or twice a week." Did I read that
  correctly?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

2.4

- Q. This 4-week timeline estimate that you provide here does not refer to the timeline from submission through portal through the end of hook-in, correct?
- A. It's -- it's part of that -- that process, you know, that I -- that I laid out. You get to the -- you get to -- you know, you do your site visit, you do your coordination. You do all of the work that needs to happen on the site for the subcontractor. The inspection; the inspection occurs. You get your final ready to energize sticker from the -- from the inspector. And you tell -- and I don't know the case.

We always -- you know, we have always in the past e-mailed a picture of the inspection sticker to AEP Ohio to let them know that it has been approved to be energized, we can move forward, and I always have received a 4-week lead time on getting a crew out for scheduling.

- O. So it's --
- A. That's the 4 weeks, yes.
- Q. I didn't mean to --
- A. Sorry.

2.1

2.2

2.4

- Q. To clarify, the 4 weeks you are referencing on page 3, line 13, is the time period between receiving an inspection approval from the local building authority to the hook-in process, correct?
- A. Now, say that again. No, I don't think you were right.
- Q. Sorry. So the 4 week estimate that you reference on page 3, line 13, refers to the time between getting an inspection from the local building authority to the hook-in process, correct?
- A. No. No. That's referring to the inspection is completed. You let AEP know that it has been inspected and has passed and we are ready to energize. That's four weeks from -- so when you send

an e-mail or call to the time they hook-in or whatever you want to say, the hook-in process starts, that's when it is. So after inspection, before they set foot on-site is four weeks -- four weeks to schedule. And it could be more. It could be less. They just say generally four weeks.

- Q. Okay. I thought we were saying the same thing, so let me --
  - A. I didn't hear it that way.
- Q. Okay. My apologies. So when you just said steps foot on-site, are you referring to the hook-in process, steps foot on-site for the hook-in process?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. So after all of the engineering, design, construction that we have talked about this morning, that -- there is a building inspection from a local authority that is necessary before hook-in can take place, correct?
- A. Correct. And that typically takes four weeks to schedule AEP to come back out.
- Q. For the hook-in process after the local building inspection has been completed?
  - A. Correct. Correct.
- Q. Okay. All right. Sorry if you

misunderstood some of that process through there.

EXAMINER HICKS: I think you guys were saying the same thing.

MR. SCHULER: I thought we were.

Α. I don't think so.

MR. SCHULER: He is the subject matter expert here.

- (By Mr. Schuler) Let me jump you over to Q. page 4, line 9. Let me know when you are there.
  - Α. Tam.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.4

- It states -- your testimony states, "To Q. complete the conversions, it only took AEP Ohio approximately 4 days over 3 weeks to complete the Edge at Arlington; 2 days over 1 week to complete The Normandy; and 2 days over 1 week to complete Gateway Lofts." Did I read that correctly?
  - Α. Yes.
- Q. The timeline you are referencing here is referring to the hook-in process that you talk about on page 3, line 12, correct?
  - Α. That's correct.
- Ο. Let's jump back to page 6, lines 19 23 through 21 again. Let me know when you are there.
  - Α. Okay.
- 25 So we were talking about the invoice that Q.

you received from Vaughn Industries earlier. Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

- Q. You were involved with engaging Vaughn
  Industries to perform some of the work to convert the
  five properties, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And just to be clear, that is your former employer, Vaughn Industries, correct?
  - A. That is, yes.
- Q. Vaughn Industries was the subcontractor to perform the work at all five of the complexes at issue in this case, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. You did not have a contract with Vaughn Industries for the work that was to take place at the five properties though, correct?
- A. We had a signed quote which is -- I mean, we had a signed quote with scope and terms included. And we also have a -- like a blanket contract with Vaughn. Instead of doing that blanket -- that larger contract at every job, we just re -- basically use that year by year and then they issue quotes, new quotes to us for different projects.
  - Q. When did you enter into the blanket

contract with Vaughn Industries?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- A. I don't know. It was -- it was prior to this -- these five. Sorry.
- Q. You said you received signed quotes from Vaughn Industries for these -- for conversion of these five properties?
  - A. We signed the quotes. NEP signed quotes.
- Q. So the quotes were not signed by Vaughn Industries?
- 10 A. I imagine -- it had their letterhead, so
  11 I am sure they had a signature on there, yes.
- 12 EXAMINER HICKS: Let's go off the record
  13 for a second.
- 14 (Discussion off the record.)
- EXAMINER HICKS: Let's go ahead and go back on the record. Still in public session.
- MR. SCHULER: Thank you, your Honor.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Schuler) NEP submitted the request -- strike that.
- NEP originally submitted the request for the conversion of these five projects in October of 22 2020, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. You submit -- you completed that submission on behalf of NEP, correct?

- A. Yes. Before that I -- in September I sent an e-mail letting certain folks at AEP know that I was submitting -- submitting these and -- on behalf of Coastal Ridge, and that was -- that's in my testimony, September 18.
- Q. Yeah, page 4, line 1, you are referring to NEP reached out to AEP Ohio on September 18, 2020, that's the e-mail you are referencing --
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Is that language there?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you recall who -- strike that.

  When you say, "NEP reached out," that was
- 14 you via e-mail, correct?
- 15 | A. Correct.
- Q. Do you recall who you reached out to at AEP Ohio?
- A. I don't remember now. It was -- I

  believe I seen an e-mail. I can't really remember.

  It was, I believe, Dean Hartzell. Other than that I

  can't really remember.
- Q. Okay. And the remainder of that

  sentence -- we will just take the whole sentence

  there on page 4, line 1. You state, "NEP reached out

  to AEP Ohio on September 18, 2020, shortly after the

contracts were signed with the five apartment complexes notifying AEP Ohio of the conversion of the five apartment complexes on behalf of the complex owners." Did I read that correctly?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Q. So it's fair to say that NEP executed contracts with Coastal Ridge prior to ever contacting AEP Ohio about the conversion?

MR. GURAN: Objection, foundation.

MR. SCHULER: It states in his sentence.

EXAMINER HICKS: Overruled.

- A. I don't -- I don't remember. I don't know that timeline of -- actual less signatures whatever, I am not a part of that.
- Q. (By Mr. Schuler) What did you mean when you said, "NEP Ohio reached out to AEP Ohio on September 18, 2020, shortly after the contracts were signed with the five apartment complexes"?
- A. I take it as September 18 was after the contracts were signed.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. And then I reached out to AEP.
- Q. You never reached out to AEP Ohio about converting these five apartment complexes prior to September 18, 2020, correct?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

- Q. Okay. Can I have you jump to page 4, line 13 of your testimony?
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. You state, "In the Spring of 2021, to try to move the construction projects forward, I reached out to AEP Ohio." Did I read that correctly?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. It's fair to say that you had not received communications from AEP Ohio for a while as of spring of 2021 regarding these five properties?
    - A. Yeah, that's correct.
  - Q. Do you remember what month that was in spring of 2021?
- A. No, I do not.
  - Q. Prior to reaching out to AEP Ohio in spring of 2021, had you already received the signed quotes from Vaughn Industries for these five properties?
- 20 A. Yes.
  - Q. Had you received the signed quotes from Vaughn Industries prior to the October 2020, submissions through -- for these five properties?

    Strike that. That was a messy question.
- 25 Prior to your October 2020 submission to

AEP Ohio for conversion of these five properties, had NEP already received the signed quotes from Vaughn Industries?

- A. I -- they would have been in the process of getting quotes around, but typically I wait until -- usually I get correspondence from AEP if they have any changes that need to be made. That way we don't have to quote something twice. But I think with the duration of the responses, I believe I ended up getting quotes for these from the subcontractor.
- Q. So based on what you said, sometime between October 2020 and spring of 2021 is when you received the signed quotes from Vaughn Industries, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Do you know the date --
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. -- even approximate date between those two?
  - A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. Going back to page 6, lines 19 to 21, you -- you reference an invoice for -- to NEP for work that the subcontractor completed, correct?
- A. Correct.
  - O. And that subcontractor was Vaughn

Industries, I think we have established, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

2.1

Q. That invoice came -- well, strike that.

Do you know the date of that invoice that you received that you are referencing here on page 6, lines 19 to 21?

- A. I don't know the date. It was after the formal letter denying these -- these construction requests. It was after that.
- Q. So after the September 24, 2021 letter
  you are referencing up above on pages -- on lines 15
  to 16, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Shortly thereafter, probably within a month or two you received that invoice from Vaughn; would that be fair?
- 17 A. I would say within a month, yes.
- Q. Okay. And that was for work that Vaughn
  Industries had completed up through that date,
  September 24, 2021, correct?
  - A. At all sites.
- Q. Work stopped at all five sites after
  September 24, 2021?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. Then work recommenced in late January of

2022, correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

- A. That would have been correct.
- Q. That was after the stay was entered into this -- strike that.

You are familiar with the stay that was entered in this case, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And that allowed NEP to recommence converting the five properties after that stay was entered, correct?
- A. The conversations started back up again, yes, of coordinating the work. Again, since we stopped, you know, that's kind of where we were at with it during that month.
- Q. And work proceeded forward to actually converting now four of the five complexes, correct?
- A. In March we started working -- or AEP finally -- we were able to start the conversion process at Edge of Arlington in March.
- Q. And that's continued through -- even through today where four of the properties have been converted to NEP, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And there is still one left, correct?
- A. There is one left.

- Q. And that's Norton Crossing; is that correct?
- A. That is correct, yes. We were supposed to start today, but we did not so. We need -- we try to give the property a certain amount of notice if there's outages that are going to take place and our contact, Checobia last week was apparently not responding to e-mails the entire week and we did not know what building AEP was going to start with, so we had to postpone that one and going to have to reschedule so.
- Q. Were you aware that Checobia was testifying in this case last week?
  - A. I assumed but -- yeah, sure. Yeah.
- 15 Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

2.1

- A. I mean, I will respond to e-mails, but sure.
  - Q. Just to complete the thought, so Norton Crossing is scheduled to be converted in the next week or two, correct?
    - A. Correct. The third -- the 3rd we are starting, November 3rd.
- Q. Do you -- strike that.
- So when work recommenced in early 2022,

  Vaughn Industries was the subcontractor that did that

work on behalf of NEP, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

- Q. So it's fair to say that Vaughn
  Industries has come back to complete the unfinished
  work that got paused in fall of 2021, correct?
  - A. Plus remobilize, yes.
- Q. And none of the work that is -- that has been done in 2022, involves the same work that was done prior to September 24, 2021, correct?
- A. I would say they were continuing where they left off.
- Q. Okay. You would agree that -- I believe you -- well, strike that.

You would agree that each one of the five apartment complexes at issue in this case is slightly different, correct?

- A. I would agree to that.
- Q. And better stated, that the conversion process for the five apartment complexes in this case is different for each one of the five, correct?
- A. Explain that. I mean, what portion of the conversion process? The process of the initial conversions to construction start is the same, one and the same.
- Scope can change differently. Scope is

very similar at Gateway Lofts and Lofts at Norton

Crossing. The scope is very similar depending on the
number of master meters. Edge of Arlington is
drastically different, and we understand that.

- Q. Fair points. And the reason why Gateway Lofts and Norton Crossing are a bit different scope than say Edge of Arlington is due to age and design of the property; is that fair?
- A. I would say design. I don't think age has anything to do with it.
- Q. Do you recall -- would you agree that after conversion, Gateway Lofts has two separate master meters?
- A. I don't have the drawings in front of me.

  I don't know. I don't think two is the correct

  number.
- Q. Okay. What about Edge of Arlington, would you agree that there were eight separate master metering points on that property?
  - A. I would -- I would agree with that.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree that there are -22 strike that.
- Norton Park has not been converted yet, correct?
- 25 A. Norton Crossing?

- Q. I'm sorry, Norton Crossing. Norton Crossing has not been converted yet, correct?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

2.4

- Q. But the designs have been completed, I'm assuming, if we are going to be converting here in the next couple of weeks, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And the designs call for six separate master metering points on that property?
  - A. That sounds accurate.
- Q. Okay. And you would agree that there are two separate master metering points at The Normandy?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you would agree there are two separate master metering points at Arlington Pointe?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. The only one you didn't recall then was Gateway Lofts, you said two did not sound correct.
- 19 Do you know what number would sound correct?
- 20 A. Three.
- Q. I'm sorry?
- A. Three.
  - Q. Three, okay. Thank you. Let me take you to pages 9 through 12 of your testimony. So there are four separate depictions here on pages 9 through

12, correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

- A. There are four pictures, yes.
- Q. Yeah. And these pictures are of the property the Edge at Arlington as indicated at the top of each picture, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. This is obviously one of the five of the apartment complexes we've been talking about in this case, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. This is the first one that was converted out of five, correct?
  - A. Correct. Yes.
  - Q. So the one we've been talking about in spring, early summer of May-ish time frame that converted, right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, on pages 9 and 10 -- well, on pages 9 and 10 -- I might have to take this one at a time. Apologize. Pages 9 and 10 are not pictures -- bless you, your Honor -- pages 9 and 10 are not pictures of the same exact location on Edge of Arlington, right?
- 24 A. That's correct.
  - Q. They are two separate master meter

locations on that property, right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. So in other words, two out of the eight are pictured here on pages 9 and 10, right?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that the picture on 9 is a preconversion picture of the same master meter that is depicted on page 11 that is post conversion?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And the same with page 10 is a preconversion picture of the same location that is pictured on page 12 that is post conversion, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, is it fair to say that there is some electrical infrastructure to the left of the green box on page 9 that was not there prior to NEP performing work at the property?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Around the same on page 10, the electrical infrastructure with a box and two conduits going up to the left of the green box there were not there prior to NEP being involved, correct?
  - A. Correct.
    - Q. So just to clarify, when we are saying

preconversion on these, it's pre-actual --

A. Exist --

2.3

- Q. -- hook-in process --
- A. Existing equipment.
- Q. Okay. But prior to NEP doing any work at the property, the infrastructure we just identified on pages 9 and 10 that's to the left of the green boxes would not have existed, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So let's turn over to pages 11 and 12. And we will do our best to walk through these pictures with words like we did a pretty good job at the deposition. So the infrastructure that is being depicted on pages 11 and 12 -- strike that.
- Let's look at the top on page 11, there is a rectangular box, a long, tall rectangular box entitled, "Replacement Owner Weatherhead and Service Cable." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And in the top left-hand corner of that box there appears to be a connection of wires prior to two wires going up into, it looks like a little hole there at the top of the red box, correct?
  - A. Correct.
    - Q. And actually if you flip over on to page

9, which I believe is the same picture -- the same location, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. You can see those same wires hanging prior to being connected, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And ultimately those wires are connected -- on page 9 those wires appear to be connected to the AEP Ohio service wires, rather than just dangling like they are on page 9, correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. So -- and then those wires go up into that hole there. Is that called a weatherhead.
  - A. That is a weatherhead.
- Q. And there is a little bit better view of those. There is actually two of those holes up there on page 9. Those are the weatherheads, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, NEP installs the wire that leaves that connection in the upper left-hand corner of that box and goes into the weatherhead, correct?
  - A. I mean our subcontractor, but sure, yes.
- Q. So Vaughn Industries on behalf of NEP installed that wire that connects from the AEP Ohio service wires going into the weatherhead, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And then NEP or its subcontractor installed those two weatherheads up in the upper left-hand corner of that red box, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And then going down the building down through the red box, to the bottom of the red box are two steel pipes, right?
  - A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Those are referred to as conduit,
  11 correct?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And inside that conduit are the service
  wires that went up into the weatherhead and they are
  now running down those parallel conduit pipes,
  correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. NEP or its subcontractor installed those parallel conduit lines, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. As well as the service wire running
  through -- service wire or conductor running through
  those parallel conduit, correct?
- A. Correct.
- 25 Q. And then the next red box -- there is a

smaller red box right beneath that that seems to be circling a -- just a gray box, correct? And it's referred to as "Master Owner Service Panel," correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is this what is also referred to as a CT cabinet?
- 7 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- Q. And the CT cabinet was installed by NEP or its subcontractor, right?
  - A. Purchased and installed, yes.
- Q. Purchased and installed. Inside that cabinet is the CTs we have talked about today, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. That were provided by AEP Ohio?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know how many are in there?
- 18 A. Three.
- 19 O. Three?
- 20 A. Uh-huh. No, I'm sorry, two.
- 21 Q. Two.
- 22 A. Yeah. Yeah. Single-phase, sorry.
- Q. And then there is a wire that -- sorry.
- 24 | Strike that.
- Next to that box is another box that is

circled in -- enclosed in blue on page 11 referred to "AEP Ohio Master Meter," correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And, in fact, although it's a different location on page 12, you can see a little better view of a similar AEP Ohio master meter, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So let's use picture 12 here for a moment. There's -- in the center of there, there is an actual meter, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

- 12 Q. That is the master meter for this particular picture on page 12, right?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And similarly there is a master meter in the blue box, right in the center of that blue box on page 11, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. That is AEP Ohio's master meter, right?
- A. Yeah, master meter for that specific service.
- Q. Okay. AEP Ohio installs the master meter, correct?
- A. And wires to CTs.
- Q. So there is -- okay. So there is a wire

that goes from that meter into the CT cabinet and connects with the CTs, correct?

- A. Nine of them, yes.
- Q. Okay. Nine wires?
- A. Small ones.
- Q. Okay. And then behind the meter is a gray box, right?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Is that the meter socket?
- A. That is the meter socket.
- 11 Q. Okay. Now the meter socket is
  12 installed by NEP or its subcontractor, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. The meter socket is provided to NEP by -for its subcontractor by AEP Ohio?
- 16 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And then jumping back over to page 11, out of the bottom of the CT cabinet is two more parallel lines of conduit that cross over, it looks like the corner of the building and up into another red box there, correct?
    - A. That's correct.
- Q. That's more service wire that goes from
  the CT up over into the box labeled "Owner Individual
  Service Panel," correct?

- A. I would call it the same service wire but, yes.
- Q. The same service wire that starts up at the connection at the top left -- well, the connection with AEP Ohio service wires that we talked about earlier?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

- Q. And NEP or its subcontractor installs that conduit and service wire running from the CT cabinet over to the individual -- Owner Individual Service Panel, correct?
- A. Correct. We have to do that due to the existing service not being up to NEC code. So regardless, we would have to reroute the existing service to accommodate a CT cabinet, but that really isn't -- like I said, that isn't up to code, so we have to install new conduits that would accommodate the service load that's at that specific -- specific building or service.
- Q. There's always a CT cabinet involved in a master meter configuration, correct?
  - A. No.
- Q. In a conversion there is always a CT cabinet involved?
- A. No, there's not. A CT cabinet and CTs

and that style of meter and meter base is only used if -- if the load is over 320 amps. If the load is under 320 amps you can just use a -- I'll just say normal meter to plug in there because they are rated for that.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- A. And -- that -- yeah, so that's pretty much it on that.
- Q. Did the configuration you just referenced there, which I won't pretend to understand, is that -- does that exist at any of the five complexes at issue in this case?
- A. No. But we have designed them in the past.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. Yeah.
  - Q. So continuing along this -- this picture here, we now get to Owner Individual Service Panel, on page 11 there is the rectangle red box there, correct?
    - A. Page 11, what?
  - Q. Sorry. Page 11, there's -- we have now followed the conduit into what is referred to in your picture as Owner Individual Service Panel, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. Is there a -- there is a breaker -- strike that.

There is a breaker inside the Owner Individual Service Panel, correct?

- A. Either a breaker or a fuse, yes. I don't remember which one this is.
- Q. And then next to that is what is referred to as "Owner Individual Meters" in the red box there, correct?
- A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Those meters that are depicted on page 11 are NEP's meters, correct?
- MR. GURAN: Objection. You are misrepresenting the picture.
- MR. SCHULER: I am just asking a question.
- EXAMINER HICKS: Misrepresenting how?

  18 I'm confused.
- MR. GURAN: He is saying it's NEP's meter
  on here. It's expressly owner's individual meters.
- 21 EXAMINER HICKS: I will let him answer.
- 22 I think I know what the answer is.
- A. It's the owner's meters.
- Q. (By Mr. Schuler) They are not AEP Ohio's meters in this picture on 11, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2.0

- Q. And they are not AEP Ohio's meters on page 12 either, correct?
  - A. That would be correct.
- Q. If you turn you back to pages 9 and 10, the meters depicted there in blue boxes entitled AEP Ohio Individual Meters are AEP Ohio meters, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So those meters depicted on page 9 and 10 are swapped out for the meters on pages 11 and 12, right?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And that swap out is part of that hook-in process we talked about earlier this morning, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Now what's depicted here on pages
  through 12 is referred to as secondary service,
  right?
  - A. Secondary master metered.
- Q. In other words, it's not primary service that we are looking at here on these pictures, right?
  - A. It's not primary metered service.
- Q. And none of -- strike that.
- 25 All -- all five apartment complexes at

issue in the case here are secondary master-metered configuration, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. And just to round out what's in these pictures on pages 11 and 12, the meters that are depicted there were installed by NEP or its contractor, correct?
  - A. On 11 and 12?
  - Q. Yes.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So this infrastructure we just walked through on page 11 starting after the connection up top, the connection to AEP Ohio's service wires, all the way through the owner individual meters that we just discussed, with the exception of AEP Ohio's master meter, are maintained by NEP, correct?
- A. I believe your standard book says customer owned, customer maintained.
  - Q. But NEP is maintaining these?
- A. On these five, yes, we agreed to that as a service, yeah.
- Q. And NEP has a process of inspecting and maintaining the equipment on these five properties, right?
- 25 A. We do.

- Q. You have a process for inspecting and maintaining equipment you install on any property that you operate at, correct?
- A. We have a process when -- yeah, on all forms of maintenance, yes.
  - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. Whether it's secondary or primary.
- Q. So if there were an issue with one of the meters that are depicted here on pages 11 and 12, NEP would come out and fix the meter, right? Or replace it, right?
- A. A work order would be created after we were notified that the -- after the meter is malfunctioning, or whatever you want to say about it, and -- and we -- we arrange that with the property owner to make the repairs.
- Q. Oh, okay. All right. Sorry. I think I -- I think you ended up answering it. My apologies.
- And same thing with this -- we talked about the service wire running through the parallel conduit. If there were an issue with that service wire, NEP would repair it, correct?
- A. We would repair it, yes, if we were
  notified about it, but it could be -- if the property

calls on another electrician, they think it's another problem, and the electrician fixes a problem, that is part of what we would maintain, then it -- then they -- it's the property's equipment. They can do whatever they want to do with it, or call us to assist, one or the other.

2.1

2.3

2.4

Q. Under your contracts with these five apartment complexes that you've referenced in your testimony, NEP is already obligated to repair any issues with this equipment if called upon by the property owner, correct?

MR. GURAN: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

MR. SCHULER: Your Honor, he's -- go ahead.

MR. GURAN: I was just going to say, it is asking for him to interpret the contract.

MR. SCHULER: Your Honor, he's testified about the execution of the contracts. He is the person that knows how these setups are -- these complicated setups are installed in the field. He's testified, too, that they have maintenance and he just mentioned being called upon to go out and maintain them. I think it's well within his knowledge.

EXAMINER HICKS: Are you familiar with 1 2 the contracts, Mr. Depinet? 3 THE WITNESS: I don't write the 4 contracts. I create the scope in the contracts. 5 EXAMINER HICKS: That's not my question 6 though. Are you familiar with them? 7 THE WITNESS: I have seen them, yes. EXAMINER HICKS: I will overrule it. 8 9 can answer. If you need to clarify or give a basis 10 for your understanding, certainly do so. I would say we -- we can provide a -- we 11 12 provide a service to maintain. 13 (By Mr. Schuler) That is already Ο. 14 contracted for in the contract, right? 15 MR. GURAN: Same objection, your Honor, 16 but --17 EXAMINER HICKS: Overruled. Α. I don't know how it's worded in the 18 19 contract. 20 (By Mr. Schuler) If you are called upon Q. 2.1 by the property owner because there is an issue with 22 any of this infrastructure we just walked through, 2.3 would you charge the owner to make any repairs? 24 Α. An invoice isn't sent, no. 25 Because that's part of the service that Q.

NEP offers to the property owner, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And -- you said NEP does routine inspection and maintenance on its infrastructure that it installs, right?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. If NEP identifies an issue during one of those inspections or maintenance reviews NEP would repair the problem on that infrastructure, correct?
- A. We would let the property know and they -- they would ultimately make the call if -- if we repair it, what -- how those repairs take place.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. That's my typical process anyways.
- Q. So if there was an interruption in service at one of the properties that NEP operates at, NEP would respond to that outage if it was their infrastructure, correct?
- MR. GURAN: Objection, your Honor. Just a little bit vague and ambiguous as to where exactly this interruption is being referred to.
- MR. SCHULER: I think I said any of the five properties, did I not?
- MR. GURAN: I am talking about master
  meter and individual -- the point of the

interruption.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2 MR. SCHULER: Fair.

EXAMINER HICKS: Clarify. So sustained to that extent.

Q. (By Mr. Schuler) So if there were a fault any time after -- strike that.

If there were a fault that took place any time behind the connection with AEP Ohio's service wires, NEP would respond to restore service, correct?

- A. If people were out of power, we would respond, yes.
  - Q. NEP maintains a call center, correct?
  - A. We have a call center.
- Q. And customers can call into that call center if they do have an outage, correct?
- A. Customers do call into that call center, yes.
- Q. And NEP responds to restore service when customers call in identifying that they have an outage?
- MR. GURAN: Objection. Just a
  clarification. Are you talking about the tenants?
  Can you just clarify that?
- MR. SCHULER: Fair point. I will rephrase, your Honor.

EXAMINER HICKS: Sure.

Q. (By Mr. Schuler) NEP responds to restore service when residential tenants -- let me strike that. Let me step back.

When we were just talking about the call center, you say customers call into the call center, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Were you -- sorry. Did you say correct?
- A. Yeah.

2.3

2.4

- Q. When you were referring to customers there, were you referring to residential tenants or lessees?
  - A. I was referring to all of the above, property management to -- to tenants.
  - Q. Okay. When NEP receives calls in the call center that there has been a service disruption, NEP reports to the property to attempt to resolve the outage, correct?
  - A. I would say we first go to the property to identify the outage, whose outage it is, whether it's the -- I will just say host utility, AEP in this case, or on the property side.
  - Q. Because it's possible that the outage occurs sometime before the connection with AEP Ohio's

- service wires, correct?
- 2 A. Before, you mean AEP's side?
  - Q. Yes.

1

3

7

8

- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. So something prior to the picture on page 11 that's not depicted, right?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Substation, transformer, anything back in that line of --
    - A. That's 95 percent of our outages.
- Q. But you do have some outages that are
  after that connection point with AEP Ohio's service
  wires, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. When those take place, NEP responds to repair and restore service, correct?
- A. Under -- under the customer's direction
  though. I mean, we do coordinate the entire outage
  with the property management or the owner. But as a
  service, we can fix it.
- Q. In fact, you do fix it on occasion, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 EXAMINER HICKS: Do you need a break,
- 25 Mr. Depinet?

1238 THE WITNESS: Getting close. 1 2 MR. SCHULER: I was going to suggest 3 maybe a break, too. 4 EXAMINER HICKS: Let's go off the record. 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 (Recess taken.) 7 EXAMINER HICKS: Let's go back on the 8 record. Just took a short break. Now back, still 9 10 in public session, still with Mr. Schuler's cross-examination. 11 12 MR. SCHULER: Thank you, your Honor. 13 (By Mr. Schuler) Mr. Depinet, before the Ο. 14 break we were looking at the pictures on 9 through 15 12, particularly pages 11 and 12 of your testimony, 16 right? The meters that appear in the boxes labeled 17 Owner Individual Meters on pages 11 and 12, are those 18 AMI meters? 19 Α. Those are, correct. 20 Meaning that they have two-way Q. 2.1 communication, correct? 2.2 Α. Correct. 2.3 And they also record usage in 15-minute Q. 2.4 increments; is that fair? 25 Α. They can.

Q. For the properties that have been converted -- strike that.

You said they can record in 15-minute increment. Are they recording in 15-minute increments at the four properties that have already been converted?

- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. They also have remote disconnect and reconnect capability, correct?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

- Q. Now outside of this picture elsewhere on the property, there's also equipment to collect data and information from these AMI meters, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. That equipment is what allows for some of those capabilities like remote reconnect and disconnect, for instance, right?
- A. No, the collector gathers information and receives information back. It isn't, you know, push a button on the collector to do something else. It's exactly what it states. It's a collector of information.
- Q. Okay. So there is a collector on the site that collects information that the meters are acquiring about each individual complex, correct?

Α. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.4

- They opt -- they operate -- strike that. Ο. The AMI meter communicates with that collector via a wireless signal; is that fair?
- Α. Say that again, sir. You don't have to rephrase, just say.
- The AMI meter communicates with the Ο. on-site collector through a wireless signal?
  - Α. Correct.
- NEP installs the collector on the site, Ο. correct?
  - Or our subcontractor, depending.
- 13 Okay. And there are collectors on all Ο. 14 four of the converted properties; correct?
  - Α. Correct.
  - Ο. And all four of the converted properties have AMI meters at them?
    - Α. Correct.
  - The information that is gathered from the Ο. meters into the collectors then goes to NEP's billing department, correct?
- The information that the collector Α. No. 23 receives goes to our third party who hosts the server. And then the multiplied reads go back to billing. If there is something in between the third

- party like if -- you know, if they have another third, I don't know that. I just know it goes in those steps.
  - Q. Fair enough. Beyond my knowledge as well. But, in other words, there's some sort of communication that happens from the meter to the collector through a third party and then to NEP's billing department, correct?
    - A. Through a billing software.
- Q. Okay. Billing software that is owned and operated by NEP?
- A. I don't -- that's not my department. I can't answer that.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. Yeah.

2.1

2.2

2.3

- Q. But you do know the NEP billing department receives the information from these meters like the 15-minute interval data, correct?
- A. I would say it goes to our -- goes to that specific software and there's an IT department in between there, too, that collects all that, so not specifically to billing.
- Q. Okay. But AEP Ohio doesn't receive any of that information from those meters after conversion, correct?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. And the landlord doesn't receive any of this meter data, correct, or property owner?
- A. If they are signed up for -- there is a portal for -- for their meters, so if they are signed up for that, they can receive it. And I don't know if that's the case.
- Q. So you are referring to what's often referred to as a house meter that is in the landlord's -- strike that.

You are referring to what is often referred to as a house meter that would measure common areas on the property, right?

- A. Or -- that or commercial space, or high usage alerts of tenant spaces.
- Q. The landlord is not getting -- strike that.

The portal that you referenced is not -the portal you referenced that the landlord has
access to, is not giving the landlord individual
residential usage information, correct?

- A. No. No. I don't know enough about the portal. I never really even seen it.
- Q. Okay.
- A. I just -- that's what I was told.

- Q. Okay. NEP's billing department generates bills to residential lessees based upon the information they receive from the AMI meters, correct?
  - A. I can't speak for billing.
- Q. Okay. But the NEP's billing department is the entity that has the ability to perform the remote disconnect and reconnect capabilities we talked about earlier, right?
  - A. They are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

- Q. The landlord doesn't have that functionality, correct?
- A. Only by e-mail I know this, but the permission to disconnect is -- well, I would say the communication between -- when disconnects occur also happen with the property owner, so the property owner does know, or the property management does know, when disconnections occur or need to happen. I don't have -- I am not privileged to those conversations.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. But I do see that they have been notified.
- Q. But it's NEP that actually performs the disconnect or reconnect, correct?
- A. On behalf of the owner, yes.

Q. Going back to the picture on page 11, the Owner Individual Service Panel, I think we talked that there was either a breaker or a fuse in there, right?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

- Q. That would be something that NEP would operate if necessary to perform service or restore power to the infrastructure on this property, correct?
- A. Or anyone else that was providing service for the customer -- for the owner. As if -- for example, if someone was working on -- say something was wrong with that -- the customer's meter center and that specific electrician did something to blow a fuse and that, that specific electrician is -- is more than welcome to replace the fuse and reenergize.
- Q. We talked a little bit earlier about inspection -- routine inspection and maintenance activities that NEP does on its infrastructure. Do you recall that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What types of inspection and maintenance activities does NEP do?
- A. We can -- we do mostly transformer visual inspections, infrared inspections. We do retorque of

secondary conductors. And -- like I said, and mostly visual types of inspections periodically.

- Q. Okay. Do you have a document that sets forth these maintenance and activities -- excuse me, these inspection and maintenance activities?
  - A. Set forth to begin?
- Q. No. I will rephrase. Do you have a document that describes these inspection and maintenance -- maintenance activities that you just discussed?
  - A. We do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. As part of that document, does it also specify certain timelines for performing inspection and maintenance activities?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. In other words, I think you mentioned infrared readings, you do infrared readings every so often per the document you are referencing?
- A. Yes, we do visual inspections. It's dependent on how new the site is and the equipment is, but without the document I can't say specifically what those dates are, but that's what we try to follow.
- Q. Okay. Okay. Let me take you back to a discussion we were having earlier about the

submissions for these five properties, which I believe you start to discuss on page 4, line 1.

3 So we talked about the September 18,

4 | 2020, e-mail. Let me drop you down to page 4, line

- 3. You state, "NEP submitted work orders in October of 2020 and provided the specifics for these
- 7 construction jobs and all other necessary
- 8 information." Did I read that correctly?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you say "NEP submitted,"
  that's you submitted it, correct, on behalf of NEP,
  correct?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

5

9

13

14

15

16

17

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

- Q. And like with other secondary conversions we talked about, you would have done that through AEP Ohio's online portal to submit those requests, right?
  - A. I did.
- 18 Q. Okay. Now, the online portal -- strike
  19 that.
  - You've used the online portal a number of times to request conversions of properties, correct?
    - A. I have.
  - Q. And you've used -- do you also use the online portal to submit requests for new builds as well?

A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. And if you refer to new -- I think you referred to new builds in your testimony. Is that sometimes also referred to as green fields?
  - A. I don't know. I don't.
- Q. Would you agree that NEP operates at least 150 different properties within the AEP Ohio footprint?
  - A. I don't know the exact number.
- Q. Does 150 sound about right by approximation?
  - A. Approximation, sure.
- Q. The -- the portal that you used, do you recall inputting certain information into the portal in October of 2020 to request the work orders for these five properties?
- A. I mean, it's been two years, but I am sure I did, yes.
  - Q. And has the portal stayed the same since you submitted in October 2020 through today?
    - A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. And you've routinely used it throughout the last two years; is that fair?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And so the typical information that you

are inputting into the portal would be a mailing address for billing, for instance, correct?

- A. Well, that's where it kind of -- the online thing gets kind of confusing and it's difficult -- I guess I'll use other host utilities, for example, that they distinguish that information between the two. So like bills being sent, eventually bills would be sent to NEP. Now, it's kind of a weird spot with me filling out that form who that -- what that address should be. It's not as clear as other host utilities make it.
- Q. Do you recall filling out a mailing address for the request in October of 2020?
  - A. Kind of, yes.
- Q. Do you recall that you would have put in NEP's billing address as you just referenced?
  - A. I believe I did, yes.
- Q. And that portal would have also had you input certain descriptions of the type of service you are requesting, correct?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. For instance, whether you want single-phase or three-phase, right?
- A. Correct. Square footages, operation hours, yes.

Q. The number of meters that you would be requesting for master meter, would that also be on there?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

- Q. As well as the name in which you want service placed, correct?
- A. Wait a minute. No. On the -- on the original form it does not say the amount of meters, I didn't think.
- Q. Okay. All right. But it does ask for -- I'm sorry, were you done?
- A. On the load summary form that AEP Ohio requires that you give after the fact, that states the amount of meters that you need; whether it's one or 200 it doesn't really matter. It states that as well as a planned energized date. All that is on the load summary form, and I do that after the initial submission.
- Q. Okay. So that's -- what you talked about earlier, you submit through the online portal. You get a response from AEP Ohio and then you respond again with additional information?
- A. Right. With property owner information, LOAs, drawings, and whatnot.
  - Q. And in the initial portal response you do

still have to input some sort of business name in there in order to identify it for AEP Ohio, correct?

2.1

2.2

2.4

A. Well, you have to put the business name you want -- how you want it contact -- how you want contacted, so that's the difficult part with AEP's portal is if I am filling it out, you can put the property owner's name and then AEP would contact the property owner instead of the person who filled out the form. That's the largest problem with that online submission.

Hindsight I should have just called it in and it would have been all clear, but that's -that's a huge issue that I run into. So a lot of times I have to manipulate -- not really manipulate, but the way I fill it out is so I get contacted.

Q. Okay. So when you filled out the portal in October 2020, you would have listed Nationwide Energy Partners, LLC, as the business name on there, correct?

MR. GURAN: Objection. I think that's a misrepresentation of his prior testimony.

MR. SCHULER: I am just asking the question, your Honor.

EXAMINER HICKS: Overruled. If he disagrees or needs to explain. I think he has

already explained a little bit, but go ahead.

- A. I don't remember how I filled those out now. I mean, I don't think I have that up here.
- Q. (By Mr. Schuler) Given your concern about confusion of who would be contacted, you would agree that you probably would have put Nationwide Energy Partners into that online portal as the business name, correct?
- A. Yes. Other host utilities have a contact information, and there is none on that online submission and that makes it a lot clearer when -- to get a response back.
- Q. Let me take you to page 5 of your testimony, specifically lines -- beginning on line 8. Let me know when you are there.
  - A. Yes.

2.1

2.3

2.4

- Q. Now, you state here beginning at the end of line 8, "This work included a site visit with AEP Ohio's representatives in the Summer of 2021 at the Edge of Arlington, in which we discussed the installation of master-meters." Did I read that correctly?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You had just one meeting with AEP Ohio at the properties in the summer of 2021, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And this is the meeting you were referencing in your testimony, correct?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

- Q. And was that meeting in July of 2021?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. You would agree that the only AEP Ohio representative in attendance at that meeting was Dean Hartzell, correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. So legal counsel was not involved in that meeting, correct?
  - A. No.
- Q. And no one from AEP Ohio's management was in attendance at that July 2021 meeting, correct?
- A. I mean, by Dean's e-mail stamp he's senior level of customer service, I believe, so I guess it depends what you call management.
- Q. Do you believe him to be AEP Ohio's management?
- A. I believe he is the representative I deal with on these specific sites. And Dean is the one that responded to the initial we are not moving forward in June of '21, so I mean, I would assume he is management at that point. He told me by phone and

by e-mail that so, yeah, I would consider him at least senior level of customer service.

- Q. Okay. On -- so let me go further down on page 5, line 21. You state, "At this meeting, for the first time, Dean Hartzell of AEP Ohio advised NEP that the pending work orders had been purged from AEP Ohio's systems and NEP would need to resubmit work orders for the five apartment complexes." Did I read that correctly?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

2.4

- Q. The meeting you are referencing on line
  21 there, page 5, is the July 2021 on-site meeting at
  Edge of Arlington with Dean Hartzell, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. You go on to state at the bottom of page 5, line 23, "During my experience dealing with AEP Ohio, I am not aware of AEP Ohio previously purging its systems or AEP Ohio requiring NEP to submit -- resubmit work orders on active projects." Did I read that correctly?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You are not familiar with AEP Ohio's internal policies for purging existing work orders, correct?
- A. I'm not aware of a policy, no. I mean,

not directed to these five. I've had plenty of projects that take longer than a year to complete or to get moving on, and those were never purged. It was -- again, I never seen it happen before and I've submitted plenty of submissions online for other projects, and they were not purged. But I did resubmit them. I mean, like yes, what are you going to do?

- Q. Great minds think alike.
- A. Right.

2.3

2.4

- Q. I'm about to take you to the next sentence.
  - A. There you go.
  - Q. So the next sentence there, "Nonetheless, on August 10, 2021, NEP resubmitted its work orders on behalf of the property owners for each of the five apartment complexes to AEP Ohio," correct? That's the resubmission you were just referring to, right?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And just to step back on the prior sentence we just read, the purging of the existing work orders, you are referring to the October 2021's that you submitted through the portal we just talked about a little bit ago, right?
    - A. That's correct.

Q. So then you resubmitted in -- you resubmitted -- strike that.

You resubmitted work orders for conversion of the five properties after your meeting with Dean Hartzell at the Edge of Arlington in July of 2021, correct?

- A. At the meeting is when Dean told me that those orders were removed.
- Q. And following that meeting you resubmitted work orders for the five complexes, correct?
- 12 A. I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

MR. SCHULER: Your Honor, could we go off the record a second?

15 EXAMINER HICKS: Sure. Off the record.

16 (Discussion off the record.)

EXAMINER HICKS: We'll go back on the record, still in public session for now.

MR. SCHULER: Your Honor, at this time I would like to have marked for the record AEP Ohio Exhibit 10 which is a document that was produced in discovery labeled NEP-RPD-15-004-Attachment 1-CONFIDENTIAL, pages 545 of 1021 through 571 of 1021.

ALJ HICKS: It is so marked.

1 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

2 MR. SCHULER: May I approach?

3 EXAMINER HICKS: Sure.

4 Q. (By Mr. Schuler) Mr. Depinet, do you --

- you recognize this document that I have handed you as something you've seen before, correct?
- A. As an exhibit, no. I mean, it looks like an online submission template basically.
- Q. Yeah. You would recognize this as the resubmissions that you reference on page 6, lines 2 to 4 of your testimony, correct, aside from the e-mail at the top from Kim Burnside on April 20th, 2022, correct?
  - A. Correct.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. Okay. And below Ms. Burnside's e-mail shows individual requests for each of the new construction at the five different properties at issue in this case, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. This would have been something that you submitted through AEP Ohio's online portal after your discussion with Mr. Hartzell in July of 2021, correct?
  - A. The date seems accurate, yes.
  - Q. Okay. And then at the bottom there on

page 561 of 1021 -- let me know when you are there.

- A. What was it?
- Q. Page 561 of 1021 up in the upper right-hand corner.
  - A. Okay.

2.1

2.3

2.4

- Q. You see replies from AEP; do you see that there?
  - A. Yeah, that's the initial reply.
  - Q. That's the initial reply that you get back from AEP Ohio's online portal that you've discussed earlier today, correct?
  - A. Correct. There's also another one after that that you get from AEP Ohio from that work scheduling group Columbus, and that happens not at the same time but it's really close, like -- it just depends.
  - I feel like -- I always kind of felt like this was more automated where the other one was someone actually sends it and that work -- Work Group Columbus, or whatever that one is, that is -- that is the one that I respond to with the actual letter of authorization, the load summary form, and on that load summary form it has customer information, contractor information, load information, everything pertinent to each of those jobs.

So I always treated this -- that online submission as to get contacted by AEP, and it was always easier to get contacted back by AEP using this online form.

2.1

2.4

MR. SCHULER: Your Honor, I would move to strike anything after the word "Correct." It was a pretty finite question. The rest of it was -- got increasingly further away from the actual question of whether this was the initial reply you got back from AEP Ohio's online portal.

MR. GURAN: Your Honor, you know, he was responding to the question asked. And again, he -- it was just put in front of him a document that's not an NEP produced document to be clear, and it's -- myself, I am trying to follow it because it looks like it's a compilation but I am not honestly quite sure, so I think allowing him to explain when he is kind of being thrown something that quickly is fair.

EXAMINER HICKS: I tend to agree with Mr. Guran on that. I'll deny the motion. If you need to follow up to delineate between this e-mail and others, feel free to, but considering he may just be looking through this now, I would agree. I will let the testimony stand.

MR. SCHULER: Thank you, your Honor.

- Q. (By Mr. Schuler) You recall getting those initial replies back from the portal when you submitted these -- resubmitted these in August of 2021, correct?
- A. The 561 -- page 561 e-mail is what we are talking about?
  - O. Yes.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You're familiar with new builds where NEP offers services to property owners, correct?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. And a new build you'd distinguish from a conversion where a new build involves new customers that were not previously individually metered by AEP Ohio, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you are involved with -18 strike that.
- Your role includes not just conversions
  but also new builds, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. As overseeing everything in field operations; is that right?
- A. That's fair.
- Q. Now earlier we talked about the number of

complexes that you said somewhere, you know, around 150 complexes in AEP Ohio's service territory that NEP operates at. Do you recall that as an approximation?

- A. I didn't feel like I said operates but.
- Q. Provides services at.
- A. There we go, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

- Q. Would you agree that a majority of those are new builds and not conversions of existing AEP Ohio residential customers?
  - A. I don't have that count in front of me.
- Q. I am not asking for a specific count. I am asking for a -- whether it's a majority or not.
  - A. You are going to need to rephrase that question, please.
  - Q. I don't need a specific number, but are you aware that a majority of the properties that NEP operates at in AEP Ohio's service territory were new builds as opposed to conversions of existing AEP Ohio customers?
    - A. You said operates again.
    - Q. Provides services at, I apologize. So --
    - A. Did they?
- Q. I will rephrase it. So the majority of properties that NEP provides services at in AEP

Ohio's service territory were new builds as opposed to conversions of existing AEP Ohio residential customers?

- A. From the start.
- O. Correct.
- A. From the beginning?
- 7 O. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

13

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

- A. I --
- Q. I am sorry, when you say from the beginning --
- 11 A. Like as the project started, I guess.
- 12 O. That it was a new build.
  - A. That it was a new build.
- 14 Q. Correct.
- 15 A. Is that what you are saying?
- 16 O. Yes.
  - A. I would say again, previous to me there were buy-backs so those are technically a conversion.

    I don't have a quantity of those on hand, but some of them were existing communities.
  - Q. You reference buy-backs. I think we talked about buy-backs yesterday. That's where NEP purchases existing infrastructure on a property that was previously owned by AEP Ohio and then NEP would own it going forward, correct?

A. I would say --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

MR. GURAN: Objection. Sorry. I think you are intermixing things, so I am just going to say vague and ambiguous. Explain more.

MR. SCHULER: I don't want to explain things in a question. I am just asking questions. I am not here to answer them.

EXAMINER HICKS: Vague and ambiguous.

MR. GURAN: He's stating NEP owns such, and this is a fundamental part of this case. I don't think it's purposeful. I think that's the reason why it was vague and ambiguous.

EXAMINER HICKS: I suspect that

Mr. Depinet would have clarified that on his own
based on his recent answers, but with that proviso,

Mr. Depinet, you can answer.

- A. Now I don't even remember what the question was again. I'm sorry.
- Q. (By Mr. Schuler) I'll ask it again. When you reference buy-backs, that's where NEP purchases existing infrastructure on a property that was previously owned by AEP Ohio, correct?
- A. I would say the customer purchased the infrastructure, yes.
  - Q. And NEP -- in that -- strike that.

In that circumstance of a buy-back, NEP --

- A. Or the owner, I should say.
- Q. In that circumstance of a buy-back NEP maintains and operates that system that was purchased from AEP Ohio, correct?
- A. We've discussed this before. I don't know what operate means. We don't operate. AEP operates. They create electricity. We -- we don't. I don't know what operate means.
- Q. I think we established yesterday that you have not been a part of any buy-backs since you joined NEP almost five years ago, correct?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

- Q. So you don't know in a buy-back circumstance who paid for the infrastructure, if anything was paid, in a buy-back scenario from AEP Ohio, correct?
  - A. I would say that's fair. I wasn't here.
- Q. So it could be the property owner or it could be NEP, correct?
  - A. Sure.
- Q. And when you use the word "operate," do you define the word operate to mean the generation of electricity?

- A. It means actually doing something to create electricity. We're performing work to create electricity.
- Q. Do you believe that AEP Ohio creates electricity?
  - A. For those sites, or for anywhere?
- O. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

21

- A. I do.
- Q. Do you think AEP Ohio creates electricity at the five sites at issue in this case?
- 11 A. Absolutely. They bring power to those 12 sites.
- Q. Do you -- do you believe that the transmission or distribution of electricity is creating electricity?
- 16 A. The generation is creating electricity.
  - Q. So you believe that AEP Ohio is generating electricity as an operator?
- A. To a single primary point of delivery to the site, yes.
  - Q. So when NEP installs infrastructure, is NEP generating electricity?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Is NEP -- when NEP installs electrical infrastructure, are they transmitting or distributing

electricity?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. Not transmitting. Distribution is a pretty broad statement that you could say from your living room level to -- to -- to a telephone pole. I mean, it's a broad word.
  - Q. So is that a yes?
- A. I think it's a yes, anyone who -- I mean that extension cord is distributing electricity.
- Q. We talked earlier about primary versus secondary master-metered service. You recall that?
  - A. No, not really. I'm sorry.
- Q. Would you agree there is primary master-metered service and there is secondary master-metered service?
  - A. For these five it's only secondary.
  - Q. I am just saying in general.
  - A. Those are the two types of service.
- Q. Would you agree those are the two types of service that NEP receives at any of the properties that it provides services at?
- MR. GURAN: Objection. Again, you said

  NEP receives at. I am just trying to clarify.
- MR. SCHULER: I mean, at this point we
  are coaching the questions. The question is what the
  question is.

MR. GURAN: Vague and ambiguous. 1 2 MR. SCHULER: Pointing out the specific 3 words in the question he doesn't like, I think it's inappropriate objections, which I did try and steer 4 5 clear of when I was on cross and I asked if he would 6 like expansion before I did that. I would appreciate 7 the same courtesy. 8 EXAMINER HICKS: Okay. Can you reread 9 the question, Karen? 10 (Record read.) 11 ALJ HICKS: I am going to hedge slightly 12 here. I understand your objection and it is noted. 13 I'm also going to -- because I don't think a lot of 14 these are intentional, number one. I am not reading 15 your mind, but the fact that you change them very 16 quickly -- so if he uses the word operate, NEP operates, please clarify your understanding or ask 17

will negate you needing to continue to object. I
understand the objection. I understand the question.

him to rephrase the question for you. I think that

21 MR. SCHULER: That's fair, your Honor.

22 Thank you.

18

THE WITNESS: Am I supposed to answer or respond?

25 EXAMINER HICKS: You can respond and

clarify however you would like as to that receives and operations.

2.1

- A. I would say AEP distinguishes the service types that are on these sites. So there's multiple different types. I don't know all of them, and secondary and primary are two of them. That isn't their specific words I don't think that AEP uses but that's what we use in the field, I feel.
- Q. (By Mr. Schuler) In the AEP Ohio service territory, would you agree where -- well, strike that.

The service that AEP Ohio is delivering at the master meter in these five properties at issue in this case is secondary service, correct?

- A. Secondary service, yes.
- Q. Which means it's coming in at 240 volts or 120 volts, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. As opposed to primary master-metered service where AEP Ohio would be delivering service at a master meter either at the 12,000-volt level or 13,000-volt level, correct?
- A. I would leave it at medium voltage levels, yeah.
  - Q. Does 12 to 13 kV sound like medium

voltage levels to you?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. The service voltages that I am used to, or in AEP territory is -- is -- could be anywhere from 13.2 to 34 kV.
- Q. So the -- there are master meters in AEP Ohio service territory at properties at which AEP Ohio -- NEP provides services can range from 30 kV all the way up to 34 kV; is that what you are saying?
- 9 A. I said 13. 13 to 30 -- you could say 13 to 35 kV.
  - Q. Okay. All right.
- 12 A. 13, 1-3.
  - Q. Sorry if I misspoke. And those properties that receive -- if you refer to primary master-metered service, would you agree that means the 13 to 35 kV service delivered to a master metered; is that fair?
    - A. For AEP?
  - O. Yes.
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Okay. So NEP provides services at properties in AEP Ohio's service territory that received primary service at a master meter?
- A. AEP provides service at a primary level, yes.

- Q. Okay. And would you say about 50 percent of the new builds in AEP Ohio's service territory at which NEP provides services received primary service from AEP Ohio at the master meter?
- A. I would say that's -- that's a fair assumption. I would also say that it's -- you know, we based our -- we base our service on what the customer needs are, you know, based -- and that -- that can distinguish between the service levels, too.
- Q. And at those properties where AEP is providing primary level service at a master meter, many of them have residential lessees at the property, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Residential lessees do not use 13 kV to 35 kV primary service generally speaking, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. They usually use something more like 120 volts or 240 volts, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. In order to get the electricity from the 13 to 35 kV down to the 120 to 240 volts -- it needs to be transformed, right?
- 24 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

Q. In those properties where AEP Ohio is

providing the primary service at the master meter where NEP provides services to the property, NEP installs transformers to transform it down for the residential lessees, correct?

2.1

2.3

- A. It's customer-owned infrastructure that we install for the customer, yes.
- Q. In those circumstances, what other infrastructure does NEP install?
  - A. For privately-owned distribution?
- Q. Well, let me -- I will rephrase the question.

In circumstances where AEP Ohio provides primary master-metered service, what infrastructure does NEP install at the property to allow consumption by residential tenants?

- A. I mean, clearly distinguished on AEP documents what a primary metered service, that it is privately owned thereafter after that metering point, and so that would include wiring, transformers if needed, secondary cables, some sort of disconnects, whatever is needed that the property needs. I mean, that goes for any private -- privately-owned distribution as stated in your AEP's primary metering guidelines.
  - Q. It would also include meters, the

installation of meters for submetering, correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

- A. If they choose to meter the tenants.
- Q. Does NEP provide services at any property that does not submeter the residential tenants?

MR. GURAN: Objection. I am just trying to clarify primary.

MR. SCHULER: Any property.

MR. GURAN: Okay.

EXAMINER HICKS: Overruled. You can answer.

- A. Okay. Where we have -- you are going to need to like -- you did say it was primary metered, or a primary metered service?
- Q. No. I can reask that question. Does NEP provide services at any property that does not submeter residential tenants?
  - A. Electricity?
  - Q. Yeah. Yes.
    - A. Then yes, we do provide services.
- Q. Just to be clear, you are saying NEP provides electricity services to properties without submetering?
- A. No, that's not what you -- I took the question as where we were -- where we weren't providing a -- where it wasn't primary -- AEP wasn't

primary metering -- or AEP wasn't primary metering
the fa --

- Q. Yeah. Let me -- I think we are both getting a little confused here. You made the statement that the installation of meters for submetering would only happen if the property owner chose to meter the tenants, right?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. So my question is, are there any circumstances you can think of where NEP provides services at a property and does not install submeters?
- A. I still feel like your question is leaving out if we're -- if -- would we do water submetering as well, so the answer would be yes, we would do water submetering.
- Q. Okay. Let me -- at any -- at any property where NEP is providing electricity services, does -- have they -- let me strike that.

Does NEP provide electricity services at any property where they do not submeter those electricity services?

MR. GURAN: Objection, asked and answered.

MR. SCHULER: I think we are far from

answered on this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

EXAMINER HICKS: I don't think he has.

- A. I don't -- I don't know. I don't think.
- Q. Okay.
- A. No.

MR. SCHULER: Your Honor, if we could have a 5-minute break to consult with my co-counsel? We might be nearing the end here.

EXAMINER HICKS: Sure. Let's go off the record.

11 (Discussion off the record.)

12 (Recess taken.)

EXAMINER HICKS: Let's go ahead and go back on the record.

Still in public session.

MR. SCHULER: Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Schuler) Mr. Depinet, just stepping back to a conversation we had about primary service master-metered service. You talked about a host of different type of infrastructure that NEP installs when AEP Ohio provides primary service at a master meter. Do you recall that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Which included transformers, wiring, secondary cables, disconnects, correct?

- A. Correct. I would also say it's like private distribution, so anyone under -- not just NEP, it's anyone with a primary master-metered service is -- has to do that same.
- Q. And in the circumstance where NEP has -is providing services at a property and has installed
  that type of infrastructure, NEP would also maintain
  that infrastructure, correct?
- A. Without citing specific projects, I would have to say if the contract says -- if the contract has our services providing maintenance as well, then yes.
- Q. Your -- in your experience as fields operations in -- strike that.
- Your -- you oversee field operations, correct?
  - A. Uh-huh.

2.1

2.2

2.3

- Q. In your position as overseeing field operations, you are aware of NEP maintaining facilities and infrastructure that have been installed at properties where AEP Ohio is providing primary master-metered service, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And the documents that we talked about earlier that set forth certain inspection and

maintenance processes, that is not just for secondary primary mass -- secondary master-metered service, it also applies to primary master-metered service, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. So there would be a scheduled maintenance, for instance, or inspection of transformers, for instance?

A. Yes.

MR. SCHULER: Okay. Thank you,

11 Mr. Depinet. I have no further questions for you.

For the record, your Honors, I just want to make a point that I hit the lower end of my estimate for this cross-examination.

EXAMINER HICKS: So noted and much appreciated.

17 EXAMINER SANDOR: Gold medal.

18 EXAMINER HICKS: Mr. Settineri is still

19 in the lead.

5

6

7

8

9

10

MR. SCHULER: Thank you, Mr. Depinet.

21 EXAMINER HICKS: All right. Do you need

22 a couple of minutes?

23 Off the record.

24 (Discussion off the record.)

25 EXAMINER HICKS: Okay. Let's go back on

1 | the record.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

Still in public session, just took a quick break. I will kick it over to Mr. Guran to see if -- if NEP has any redirect.

MR. GURAN: Yes, your Honor. I have a few redirect questions.

EXAMINER HICKS: Okay. Please go ahead and when you are ready.

\_ \_

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Guran:

- Q. Mr. Depinet, earlier Mr. Schuler had directed your attention, I think it might have even been yesterday, to page 3, lines 15 and 16 regarding -- I'll just read it as you are looking for it, "In my experience, the entire process from request through the online portal to AEP Ohio's assistance on the conversion takes less than six-months." Did I read that correctly?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Could you explain a little bit for us what you meant by the six-months referred to in that line?
- A. Yeah. It was kind of a general statement if you add all the timelines together, so if you

start back from the beginning of this submission, you get the response within a couple of days. You -- when you need to reply back to that submission, that takes generally two to three weeks to get a response back after you send drawings, LOAs and -- and load summary forms.

2.3

2.4

And then your next step is to meet on-site and figure out what -- what needs to happen, like I stated before. And then it's -- then the construction work needs to take place. And however -- say that construction works takes four to six weeks, and then after that it's ready to be converted. So this whole timeline, if you add it up, can take six months to complete it generally -- generally any conversion.

- Q. And the next line down you had mentioned Bantry Bay that was completed in no less than a year. Do you recall whether any of the sites were completed earlier than a year, any of the conversion sites?
- A. Yes. Some of the master meter conversions were completed within a few months. And then it was on hold I believe -- not really on hold, but we were waiting on AEP to coordinate the -- the next set of buildings. And the, you know, multiple e-mails of us sending out trying to -- trying to

coordinate and get this thing moving along, we were just being told that it's a lengthy process to -- to create work orders for removal work orders.

- Q. And if you would go with me to page 6, question 15 at the bottom there. If you recall, Mr. Schuler was asking you some questions about the invoice by Vaughn. Do you recall that conversation?
  - A. I do.

2.1

2.3

- Q. All right. I believe you mentioned about remobilization, but just to clarify, were there costs to demobilize as well as remobilize that would not have been incurred if not for this stop in construction?
- A. Yes. That's correct. Any -- any extra costs that the subcontractors took on were -- were -- were sent to us in that invoice when we had to stop work.
- Q. And then just going back one page with me, if you would, question 11, Mr. Schuler also discussed a meeting that you had in the summer 2021 with Dean Hartzell. Do you remember that meeting -- I am sorry. Do you remember that conversation you had?
  - A. With Dean?
  - Q. Sorry, I meant with Mr. Schuler actually.

A. Oh, yes, yes. Okay.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Q. And he just had mentioned -- I believe he said there was just one meeting in July. Do you recall any other meetings with AEP Ohio, staff or employees or management, that you had during the summer of 2021?

MR. SCHULER: Objection. Beyond the scope of cross.

MR. GURAN: Your Honor, he asked about meetings. He actually asserted that there was only one meeting during that summer with Dean Hartzell. I am just clarifying that there in fact were additional meetings that occurred.

MR. SCHULER: Yeah, the question was about the meetings with Dean and was specifically referencing the meeting -- my questions were very targeted towards what was actually discussed in Mr. Depinet's testimony, not generally about meetings between NEP and AEP Ohio.

EXAMINER HICKS: I will sustain the objection. I would agree how the questioning went across.

Q. (By Mr. Guran) You were also asked whether you had any meetings with AEP Ohio managers, or management, correct?

MR. SCHULER: Objection. 1 That was not a 2 question that was asked. It was asked -- it was 3 specifically asking about whether he considered Dean 4 Hartzell management, not a general question about --5 EXAMINER HICKS: Agreed. Sustained. MR. GURAN: That's all I have. 6 7 you. 8 EXAMINER HICKS: Any recross? 9 MR. SCHULER: No recross, your Honor, but 10 I will move for the admission of AEP Ohio Exhibit 10. 11 MR. GURAN: Don't I need to renew my 12 motion first? 13 EXAMINER HICKS: Sure. I will consider 14 it renewed. 15 MR. GURAN: All right. Thank you, your 16 Honor. For Exhibit 91. 17 EXAMINER HICKS: Okay. We will take up 18 NEP Exhibit 91 first. Any objection from AEP Ohio to its admission? 19 20 MR. SCHULER: No, your Honor. 21 EXAMINER HICKS: Okay. NEP Exhibit 91 22 will be admitted. 2.3 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 2.4 EXAMINER HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Depinet, 25 by the way, for your testimony.

And AEP Ohio moved for admission of its Exhibit 10. Any objection from NEP?

2.1

2.4

MR. GURAN: Your Honor, yes, we actually do have an objection. The one part that we are confused about, this appears to be actually a compilation prepared by Ms. Westfall by AEP, the customer e-mails, and then it just has a printout of a whole bunch on page 545. Yeah, and on that 545 there is actually a heading that says, "Customer E-mails," that doesn't appear to be part of the original, and then on page 561 there are replies from AEP. So again, this appears to be just a compilation document that was prepared on April 20, 2022, so...

MR. SCHULER: Go ahead.

MR. GURAN: I was just saying if this were the originals of these, I wouldn't have an issue, but it's the fact that this appears to have been modified and collected I am assuming for purposes of litigation, but I just can't tell whether -- you know --

EXAMINER HICKS: Go ahead.

MR. SCHULER: Mr. Depinet said he recognized the document. He saw it. It was exactly what it was represented to be, were the online submissions. Again, it's a portal, it's not an

e-mail so they have to be amassed. It's not like we can put in the actual portal itself. So this captures them. He testified that it is accurate. He remembers seeing them. I laid a very strong foundation on his knowledge about these, so for those reasons this should go into the record.

2.1

2.2

2.4

MR. GURAN: And, your Honor, I just dispute the authenticity given that it was a fairly modified and prepared set of e-mails.

MR. SCHULER: Your Honor, I believe we have already agreed to authenticity of anything produced in discovery and this is an AEP discovery document.

EXAMINER HICKS: Sorry. I am just leafing through.

MR. GURAN: Understood.

MR. SCHULER: And, your Honor, I will also add the relevance of this. There is obviously timeline issues in here. Mr. Depinet talks about resubmission. These are the documents that reflect it from the portal. He testified to that.

EXAMINER HICKS: I guess I am not seeing -- outside of the -- he agreed that these were the submissions, to the extent he also recognized at least one of the replies, these all appear to be

automated replies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

MR. GURAN: And again, your Honor, it's -- it's the fact that this was clearly like put together and with the customer e-mails' headings and the replies from AEP Ohio headings, that's my problem with this.

EXAMINER HICKS: I understand. But I mean, I also get -- I mean, generating this from a portal, I doubt there is a tidy way to do it.

MR. GURAN: And, your Honor, I don't know. That's part of the issue here.

EXAMINER HICKS: Based on -- the Bench is going to admit AEP Ohio Exhibit 10 based upon him recognizing the meat of this, and he even provided some testimony to give additional context beyond some of the automated e-mails, so it will be admitted into evidence.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MR. GURAN: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. SCHULER: Thank you, your Honor.

EXAMINER HICKS: Let's go off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

EXAMINER HICKS: We finished up with Mr. Depinet and went off the record briefly to discuss some scheduling and procedural issues.

1 The plan now will be to reconvene 2 virtually, via Webex at 10:00 a.m. on Friday, 3 November 4, to take the testimony and 4 cross-examination of Ms. Schoen. And then to the 5 extent that we need confidentiality sessions for 6 either AEP Ohio or NEP, we would reconvene to handle 7 those on Tuesday, November 8, at 1:00 p.m. 8 would also be done virtually via Webex. And with that I think we are done until 9 10 Friday, or at least the Bench is. 11 MR. NOURSE: Thank you, your Honor. 12 EXAMINER HICKS: And we are adjourned. 13 (Thereupon, at 12:47 p.m., the hearing 14 was adjourned.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 2.4 25

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, November 1, 2022, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-7362) 

## This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

12/19/2022 1:43:51 PM

in

Case No(s). 21-0990-EL-CSS

Summary: Transcript of the Ohio Power Company hearing held on 11/01/22 - Volume VII - Public electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.