

22-0549-EL-BGN; 22-0550-EL-BTX

OPSB,

 **FILE**

How do you get concurrence from the Ohio Historic Preservation Office and approval from the OPSB of an area that shows no history of an “sand and gravel mining activities” was in existence. The applicant is pushing a narrative that is an assumption and is not based in facts. “It is not surprising that evidence of site 33MA0003 was not encountered during the Oak Run Solar survey, since the site is reported to have been discovered and destroyed as a result of mining activities. Aument (2019:1-2) also relays that a mastodon was recovered from a kettle hole roughly 300 feet (91.4 m) in diameter near 33MA0003. A site number was not assigned to the mastodon find. Because both sites were discovered during sand and gravel mining activities then subsequently excavated, it is unlikely that intact deposits associated with either site remain.” Commonwealth Heritage Group, Stantec Inc. and Savion (Oak Run Solar) are using their assumptions and Aument (2019:1-2) to get the Archaeology plan approved. There was no good faith effort to identify archaeological sites eligible for listing in the NRHP in the portion of the project area that has been surveyed to date. The project will have an impact on archaeological sites eligible for listing in or listed in the NRHP that are located within the project area. The project area does not meet compliance with requirements for Certificate Applications for Electric Generating Facilities and Electric Transmission Facilities as detailed in OAC 4906-04 and 4906-5, and as administered by the OPSB. This project will destroy Ohio history that has not been discovered and will be lost forever to the generations ahead.

Would you like to be contacted by the OPSB: YES

C. N.

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2022 NOV 29 PM 2:46

PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician DCH Date Processed 11-29-22