## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., for Authority to Amend its Filed Tariffs to Increase the Rates and Charges for Gas Services and Related Matters.	) ) Case No. 21-637-GA-AIR ) )
In the Matter of the Application of	)
Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. for	) Case No. 21-638-GA-ALT
Approval of an Alternative Form of	)
Regulation.	)
In the Matter of the Application	)
Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. for	)
Approval of a Demand Side	) Case No. 21-639-GA-UNC
Management Program for its Residential	)
and Commercial Customers	)
In the Matter of the Application of	)
Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. for	) Case No. 21-640-GA-AAM
Approval to Change Accounting	)
Methods.	)

## PREFILED TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF THE STIPULATION

OF

# DAVID M. LIPTHRATT RATES AND ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

# STAFF EXHIBIT\_\_\_\_

November 7, 2022

1	1.	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2		A.	My name is David M. Lipthratt. My address is 180 East Broad Street,
3			Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793
4			
5	2.	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
6		A.	I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (the
7			Commission or PUCO) as a Public Utilities Administrator within the Rates
8			and Analysis Department.
9			
10	3.	Q.	Please briefly describe your educational and professional background.
11		A.	I earned a Bachelor of Arts Degree that included a Major in Political
12			Science and a Minor in History from the University of Georgia.
13			Subsequently, I earned a Masters in Public Administration degree with a
14			focus on public budgeting and finance and policy analysis from the
15			University of Georgia. In addition, I earned a post-baccalaureate Certificate
16			of Accounting Concentration at Columbus State Community College. I am
17			a Certified Public Accountant (Ohio License # CPA.48876). Moreover, I
18			have attended various seminars and rate case training programs sponsored
19			by this Commission, professional trade organizations, and the utility
20			industry community.
21			

1	4.	Q.	Please describe your work experience.
2		A.	I have previously served as a Budget/Management Analyst for the Ohio
3			Office of Budget and Management and a Fiscal Officer for the Ohio
4			Department of Commerce. In each of these roles I have been responsible
5			for various accounting and financial-related tasks and responsibilities.
6			
7	5.	Q.	Have you testified in previous cases at the PUCO?
8		A.	Yes.
9			
10	6.	Q.	Will you also be filing testimony responding to objections to the Staff
11			Report in this proceeding?
12		A.	No.
13			
13 14	7.	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
	7.	Q. A.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding? The purpose of my testimony is to support the Joint Stipulation and
14	7.		
14 15	7.		The purpose of my testimony is to support the Joint Stipulation and
14 15 16	7.		The purpose of my testimony is to support the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation (Stipulation) in this case by confirming the Stipulation
14 15 16 17	7.		The purpose of my testimony is to support the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation (Stipulation) in this case by confirming the Stipulation complies with the Commission's three-part test for determining a
14 15 16 17 18	7.		The purpose of my testimony is to support the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation (Stipulation) in this case by confirming the Stipulation complies with the Commission's three-part test for determining a
14 15 16 17 18 19		A.	The purpose of my testimony is to support the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation (Stipulation) in this case by confirming the Stipulation complies with the Commission's three-part test for determining a stipulation's reasonableness.

1			(1) Is the settlement a product of serious bargaining among capable,
2			knowledgeable parties?
3			(2) Does the settlement, as a package, benefit ratepayers and the public
4			interest?
5			(3) Does the settlement package violate any important regulatory principle
6			or practice?
7			
8	9.	Q.	Is the Stipulation a product of serious bargaining among capable,
9			knowledgeable parties?
10		A.	Yes. The Stipulation is the product of an open process in which all
11			intervenors were given an opportunity to participate. All parties were
12			represented by experienced and competent counsel, many of whom have
13			participated in numerous regulatory proceedings before the Commission.
14			There were extensive negotiations among the parties and the Stipulation
15			represents a comprehensive compromise of the issues raised by parties with
16			diverse interests.
17			
18	10.	Q.	Which parties have signed the Stipulation?
19		A.	The Signatory Parties to the Stipulation are the Staff of the PUCO (Staff),
20			Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. (Columbia or Company), Office of the Ohio
21			Consumers' Counsel (OCC), Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council
22			(NOPEC), Industrial Energy Users – Ohio (IEU-Ohio), Ohio

1			Manufacturers' Association Energy Group (OMA Energy Group), The
2			Kroger Co. (Kroger), Ohio Schools Council (OSC), Ohio Energy Group
3			(OEG), Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. (IGS), and the Retail Energy Supply
4			Association (RESA).
5			
6	11.	Q.	Which parties have agreed not to oppose the Stipulation?
7		А.	There are no parties that has agreed to not oppose the Stipulation.
8			
9	12.	Q.	Does the Stipulation benefit ratepayers and the public interest?
10		А.	Yes. The Stipulation results in a just and reasonable resolution of the
11			matters pending in these Commission dockets. Included in this reasonable
12			resolution is a revenue requirement that benefits ratepayers, through a
13			balanced approach by recognizing some of the objections to the Staff
14			Report of Investigation raised by intervening parties, rejecting some of the
15			objections, and considering alternative approaches. Additionally, the
16			following are some of the key benefits of the Stipulation:
17			• The stipulated revenue increase of \$68.192 million is significantly
18			lower than the \$221.5 million increase requested by Columbia in its
19			application.
20			• The stipulated rate of return of 7.05 percent is lower than the 7.85
21			percent requested by Columbia in its application. Additionally, the

1	stipulated return on equity of 9.6 percent is significantly lower than
2	the 10.95 percent requested by Columbia in its application.
3 •	Provides for bill proration for the Small General Service (SGS)
4	Class, which will be phased in over three years.
5 •	Removes incentive compensation from operating and maintenance
6	attributable to stock-based compensation and financial performance
7	of the Company. The Stipulation removes capitalized financial
8	incentives from the Capital Expenditure Rider (CEP Rider) and
9	Infrastructure Replacement Program Rider (Rider IRP) subsequent
10	to December 31, 2022, except for up to \$4 million accrued
11	capitalized financial incentives within Construction Work in
12	Progress as of December 31, 2022.
13 •	The removal of cloud computing software from rate base.
14 •	The Company withdraws its request for implementation of the
15	Federal/State Tax Reform Rider, Carbon Reduction Rider, and the
16	Federally Mandated Investment Rider.
17 •	Columbia's existing Regulatory Assessment Rider will cease with
18	the effective date of base rates.
19 •	Columbia agrees to have the approved CEP Rider Auditor, in its
20	next adjustment proceeding for calendar year 2022 capital, review

the methods by which Columbia tracks plant, tags assets, unitizes
plant, and retires plant, and the asset accounting process.
• Limits deferral of environmental remediation cost for manufactured
gas plant (MGP) sites to the currently identified 14 MGP sites plus
the Toledo 1 site with a cap of \$24,997,442.98.
• Elimination of Columbia's pension and other postemployment
benefits or OPEB deferral.
• Allows for continuation of the Pipeline Safety program deferral
through December 31, 2030, with a \$10 million annual cap in order
for Columbia to complete the remediation of Columbia's GPS data
currently being gathered in its service area.
• Columbia will not earn and collect from consumers its proposed
\$10,000,000 of shared savings (nor any other shared savings) as part
of its Demand Side Management Program (i.e., WarmChoice®) or
otherwise.
• A commitment by Columbia to file a base distribution rate case by
September 1, 2027; otherwise, Columbia shall file revised tariff
sheets where the Rider IRP rate and CEP Rider rate charged to
consumers will be adjusted to \$0 on September 1, 2027.
• Continuation of Rider IRP with SGS rate caps that are \$.48 lower on
annual basis than what the Company requested in its application.

1			• Continuation of the CEP Rider with caps significantly lo	wer than
2			what the Company proposed in its application as shown	below:
			CalendarCalendarCalendarCalendarCalendarYearYearYearYearYear2022 In-2023 In-2024 In-2025 In-ServiceServiceServiceServiceCapitalCapitalCapitalCapitalCompany\$4.31\$6.96\$10.54\$13.14RequestedStipulated\$3.41\$4.80\$6.15\$7.26	Year
3				φο., τ
4			• Columbia shall offer a \$3.5 million customer bill-payme	nt assistance
5			program (without charging to consumers \$2.3 million of	
6			cost, with the balance being recovered through the DSM	
7	13.	Q.	Does the settlement package violate any important regulatory p	
	13.	Q.		incipies of
8			practices?	
9		A.	Based on my experience, involvement in this proceeding, and r	eview of the
10			Stipulation, Staff concludes that it does not violate any importa	nt regulatory
11			principle or practice.	
12				
13	14.	Q.	Are you recommending that the Commission approve the Stipu	lation?
14		A.	Yes. In my opinion, the Stipulation represents a fair, balanced,	and
15			reasonable compromise of the issues in this proceeding. I believ	ve that the
16			Stipulation satisfies all of the Commission's criteria for adoptic	n of

1			settlements, and it is my recommendation the Commission issue an order
2			approving the Stipulation.
3			
4	15.	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
5		A.	Yes. However, I reserve the right to submit supplemental testimony as new
6			information subsequently becomes available.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the Pre-filed Testimony of David Lipthratt in Support of the Stipulation has been served upon the below-named counsel via electronic mail, this 7<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2022.

<u>/s/ Werner L. Margard</u> Werner L. Margard

## **Parties of Record**

Joseph M. Clark (0080711) John R. Ryan (0090607) P.O. Box 117 290 W. Nationwide Blvd. Columbus, Ohio 43216-0117 josephclark@nisource.com johnryan@nisource.com

Eric B. Gallon (0071465) Mark S. Stemm (0023146) L. Bradfield Hughes (0070997) Devan K. Flahive (0097457) Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP 41 South High Street Columbus, OH 43215 egallon@porterwright.com mstemm@porterwright.com bhughes@porterwright.com dflahive@porterwright.com

Attorneys for Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.

Angela D. O'Brien (0097579) William J. Michael (0070921) Connor D. Semple (0101102) Assistant Consumers' Counsel Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 65 East State Street, Suite 700 Columbus, Ohio 43215 angela.obrien@occ.ohio.gov william.michael@occ.ohio.gov connor.semple@occ.ohio.gov

Brian M. Zets (0066544) Isaac Wiles & Burkholder, LLC Two Miranova Place, Suite 700 Columbus, Ohio 43215 <u>bzets@isaacwiles.com</u>

Attorneys for the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

Michael Nugent (0090408 Joe Oliker (0086088) Evan Betterton (0100089) Stacie Cathcart (0095582) IGS Energy 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 michael.nugent@igs.com joe.oliker@igs.com evan.betterton@igs.com stacie.cathcart@igs.com

Attorneys for IGS Energy

Michael J. Settineri (0073369)

Gretchen L. Petrucci (0046608) Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street Columbus, OH 43215 mjsettineri@vorys.com glpetrucci@vorys.com

Attorneys for the Retail Energy Supply Association

Dane Stinson (0019101) Rachael Mains (0098681) Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 <u>dstinson@bricker.com</u> rmains@bricker.com

Attorney for Ohio Schools Council

**Devin D. Parram** (0082507) Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 dparram@bricker.com

Glenn S. Krassen (0007610) Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council 31360 Solon Road, Suite 33 Solon, Ohio 44139 <u>gkrassen@nopec.org</u>

Attorneys for Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council

Kimberly W. Bojko (0069402) Jonathan Wygonski (100060) Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP 280 North High Street, Suite 1300 Columbus, Ohio 43215 Bojko@carpenterlipps.co Wygonski@carpenterlipps.com

Attorneys for the Ohio Manufacturers' Association Energy Group

Angela Paul Whitfield (0068774) Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP 280 North High Street, Suite 1300 Columbus, Ohio 43215 paul@carpenterlipps.com

Attorney for The Kroger Company

Matthew R. Pritchard (0088070) Bryce A. McKenney (0088203) Mcnees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, OH 43215 mpritchard@mcneeslaw.com bmckenney@mcneeslaw.com

Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

Michael L. Kurtz (0033350) Kurt J. Boehm (0076047) Jody Kyler Cohn (0085402) Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com

Attorneys for the Ohio Energy Group

Gregory Price Jacqueline St. John gregory.price@puco.ohio.gov jacqueline.st.john@puco.ohio.gov

Attorney Examiners

Trent Dougherty (0079817) Hubay|Dougherty 1391 Grandview Ave. #12460 Columbus, Ohio 43212 trent@hubaydougherty.com

Attorney for the Citizens' Utility Board of Ohio

Janean Weber (0083960) Environmental Law & Policy Center 21 W. Broad Street, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, OH 43215 jweber@elpc.org

Robert Kelter (PHV-2685-2022) Environmental Law & Policy Center 35 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 1600 Chicago, IL 60601 <u>RKelter@elpc.org</u>

Attorneys for the Environmental Law & Policy Center

Robert Dove (0092019) Nicholas S. Bobb (0090537) Kegler Brown Hill + Ritter Co., L.P.A. 65 E State St., Ste. 1800 Columbus, OH 43215-4295 rdove@keglerbrown.com nbobb@keglerbrown.com

Attorney for Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/7/2022 3:31:28 PM

in

## Case No(s). 21-0637-GA-AIR, 21-0638-GA-ALT, 21-0639-GA-UNC, 21-0640-GA-AAM

Summary: Testimony PREFILED TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF THE STIPULATION OF DAVID M. LIPTHRATT RATES AND ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO electronically filed by Mr. Steven L. Beeler on behalf of The Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio