PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of

David D. Grass, Notice : Case No. of Apparent Violation and : 22-85-TR-CVF

Intent to Assess Forfeiture. :

PROCEEDINGS

Before Daniel Fullin, Attorney Examiner, held at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, on Friday, October 7, 2022, at 10:00 A.M.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. David D. Grass
 3
            1308 State Route 39 NE
            New Philadelphia, Ohio 44663
 4
                 Appearing Pro se.
 5
 6
            Ms. Sarah Feldkamp
 7
                  and
            Mr. Warner Margard
 8
            Assistant Attorneys General
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
 9
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
10
                 On behalf of the Staff of the
                  Public Utilities Commission
11
                 of Ohio.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

				3
1	INDEX TO WIT	'NESSES		
2				
3	STAFF WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	
4	Trooper Chad Durben	10	23	
5	Rod Moser	53	60	
6	RESPONDENT WITNESSES			
7	David D. Grass	61		
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

					4
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS			1
2					
3	STA	TE'S EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADT	
4	1	Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	12	51	
5	2	Notice of Preliminary	56	61	
6		Determination			
7	3	Video	33	51	
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

```
5
1
                          Friday Morning,
                          October 7, 2022.
2
 3
 4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER FULLIN:
                                             The
 5
     Commission has assigned for hearing at this time
 6
     and place Case No. 22-85-TR-CV which is The
7
    Matter of David D. Grass Notice of Apparent
     Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture.
8
9
                 My name is Daniel E. Fullin, I am
10
     the Attorney Examiner assigned to hear this
11
     case. And I will begin by having appearances on
12
     the record. So before we do anything else I
13
     will just have each of the parties enter an
14
     appearance.
15
                 So begin with the Respondent. I am
16
     just asking you at this point to identify
17
     yourself, name and address for the record.
18
                 MR. GRASS: David D. Grass, 1308
19
     State Route 39 NE, New Philadelphia, Ohio.
20
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
                                                  And
21
     for the Staff.
22
                 MS. FELDKAMP:
                                Thank you, your
23
     Honor. On behalf of the Staff of the Public
24
     Utilities Commission of Ohio, Dave Yost, Ohio
25
     Attorney General; John H. Jones, Section Chief
```

the Public Utilities Section; by Assistant
Attorney General Sarah Feldkamp, 30 East Broad
Street, 26th Floor, Columbus, Ohio.

2.1

am going to explain that this case comes about because the Commission Staff issued a notice of violation. As a result they have the burden of proof in this case. And so that means they are going to go first in terms of presenting their evidence. And the way they will be doing that is they will be putting on witnesses, I will say on the stand, but witnesses will be testifying, be called to testify and there will probably be an introduction of exhibits.

I am going to let the Attorney

General ask questions of their witnesses and if
you have some objection to the question you can
raise an objection.

And when we go to actually introduce the exhibits into the record, at that time before I would allow it into the record there will be some testimony about it and so forth. When the time comes if they move it into the record, at that point I will give you a chance to object to anything that you want to object to

in terms of the evidence they present.

2.1

And then that is usually the extent of it. And when Staff is done with their presentation of the case I will make them say on the record that they rest in terms of their presentation of their case.

And I want to emphasize when they are done presenting their witnesses, actually the way -- when their witness testifies, when they are done asking questions of their own witness, then you get a chance to cross-examine that witness if you want. And you can, then they will get a chance to ask redirect questions of anything that came up in your cross-examination. You can recross on anything that came up in the next round.

So, normally at that point when I make a ruling, I will let the witness step down and make a ruling on the exhibits. And they rest.

Then it will be your turn. And again, I don't see that you have any other witness, so I am expecting you will want to testify. And I will swear you in as a witness, and you can present whatever evidence you want

to present including your testimony and any exhibits that you want to bring. And the same rules will apply.

2.1

2.2

You will give your testimony, they will get to cross-examine you, you get to follow up after they are done with any cross-examination questions, in terms of presenting further information related to their cross-examination and so forth.

When you are finished with your presentation of your case then I make a ruling on any exhibits that you present. Then I would expect then the hearing will be closed. And at that point the chance to present evidence in the case will be over.

So when the Commission makes the decision in the case, and I want to emphasize, we are going to base the decision based on what gets transcribed here at the hearing and the exhibits that get admitted into the record as well. So the Commission is going to make it's decision based on the hearing evidence, which is the testimony and exhibits presented today.

And so this is your first hearing

chance and your last hearing chance to present that kind of evidence. The only thing that will come in later is some kind evidence that you can demonstrate that it was not available as of today and it's important to the case and you think it ought to be considered and make your case to the Commission and the Commission agrees with you that it's something that wasn't available to you to present today.

2.1

So this is the day and the chance for you to present any evidence that is available as of today. So that is enough of an explantation about the procedure. Maybe it was longer that it needed to be but I wanted you to be clear on that's the purpose of today is gather the record that the Commission will use. My role is to be the referee that makes the decision about what does or doesn't come in and makes the case move along so we get all that evidence in the record.

So with that being said, Staff has the burden of proof so get to go first and you can call your first witness who can testify from where they are at. But I will have them stand and be sworn in before they testify.

10 MS. FELDKAMP: Staff calls Mr. 1 2 Durben. 3 (WITNESS SWORN) 4 5 TROOPER CHAD DURBEN called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 6 7 testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 9 By Ms. Feldkamp: 10 Could you please state and spell Q. 11 your full name for the record? 12 Α. Trooper Chad Durben, D-U-R-B-E-N. 13 Q. And where are you employed? 14 With the Ohio State Highway Patrol. Α. What is your position within the 15 Q. 16 Highway Patrol? 17 Α. I am a Commercial Trooper. 18 Q. And how long have you been with the Patrol? 19 20 Α. Little over 20 years now. 2.1 Q. What are your duties in your 22 position? 23 I enforce the Ohio Revised Code Α. 24 laws, investigate crashes and also to enforce

the Federal Standards on Commercial Motor

Vehicles.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. What kind of training do you have in that area?
- A. In the commercial aspect I have got certificates to do Level 1, Level 2, Level 3 inspections, hazmat tankers, school bus and passenger vans.
- Q. Have you taken any continuing education courses?
- A. We go through in-service every year at the Academy where they update us on anything that's changed or any certifications. And go through that with the Commercial side of it and also with the Highway Patrol side of it.
 - Q. Are commercial motor vehicle inspections conducted to protect the safety of the Ohio traveling public?
- A. Yes.
- Q. How many inspections on average do you perform in a year?
- 21 A. Probably 8 to 900 inspections a 22 year.
- MS. FELDKAMP: May I hand the witness an exhibit?
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure.

```
12
                 MS. FELDKAMP: I am handing the
 1
     witness what's been marked as Staff's Exhibit 1.
 2
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Since it's
     already marked it will be noted for the record.
 4
 5
                 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR
 6
     IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
 7
                  Trooper Durben, do you recognize
            Ο.
     this document?
 8
                 I do.
 9
            Α.
10
            Q.
                 Is this document a Patrol record?
11
            A. Yes.
12
                 And is it kept in your ordinary
            Q.
     course of business?
13
14
            Α.
                 Yes.
15
            Q.
                 Is it the practice of the Patrol to
16
    make reports like this?
17
            Α.
                 Yes.
18
            Q.
                 Let's talk about this report.
                                                  Ιn
19
     this particular report did you report what you
20
     observed?
2.1
                 T did.
            Α.
22
                 Did you report what you observed
            Q.
     soon after the inspection?
23
24
            Α.
                 Yes.
25
            Q.
                 Does your job carry with it a duty
```

```
13
 1
     to report?
 2
            Α.
                 Yes.
 3
            Q.
                 Is that duty to report enforced by
     law?
 4
 5
            Α.
                 Yes.
                 Did you create an inspection for
 6
            Q.
 7
     this case because you have a duty to report?
 8
            Α.
                 Yes.
 9
            Ο.
                 Is this document in substantially
10
     the same condition as when you prepared it?
11
            Α.
                 Yes.
12
            Q.
                 Do you produce inspection reports
13
     like this document when you perform inspections?
14
            Α.
                 Yes.
15
            Q.
                 Did you produce this inspection
     report based on your inspection of the
16
17
     commercial motor vehicle driven by David Grass?
18
            Α.
                 Yes.
19
                 And just for clarity of the record
            Ο.
20
     and to make sure you and I are on the same page,
2.1
     when I say David Grass or Respondent or driver
22
     can we agree that we are talking about the same
23
     person?
24
            Α.
                 Yes.
25
            Q.
                 Okay. Do you remember this
```

normally do. There is a button to click for

- print notes. We don't do that unless we come to a hearing. Those are our personal notes to remind us of what happened during the inspection, the violations that occurred. Those just aren't things that we pring and give
 - Q. And when is the inspection report transmitted electronically to PUCO compliance?
 - A. At the end of my shift I will upload all these inspections. And I imagine they can download whenever they want.
 - Q. Are there any violations noted on this report?
 - A. There is one.
- Q. What is it?

out to the drivers.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

2.1

- 16 A. For a seat belt.
- Q. What is the number?
- 18 A. 392.16, failing to wear a seat belt.
 - Q. And when did you observe Mr. Grass wearing the seat belt incorrectly?
 - A. October 29th of 2021.
- Q. And on that date where were you?
- A. I was on Interstate 77 about mile post 62 in Tuscarawas County.
- Q. So did you see him not wearing his

seat belt as he was driving past?

2.1

- A. Correct. I was sitting in the cross-over. I was watching the north bound traffic. I observed him as he went by me with no seat belt on. Bright yellow shirt, with a black belt. There was no seat belt on going across.
- Q. And then at the inspection stop was he wearing his seat belt at that point?
- A. When I walked up on the passenger side he had already gotten up to open up the door. So I spoke to him about the reason for the stop. He was very rude, very upset, talking about that he was being harassed.

And that he didn't have time for this because it was Friday. And so we asked about the seat belt. He stated he had it on. I asked him to show me how. He put the seat belt on and the shoulder strap went well below his left elbow.

I asked him why he was wearing it like that. He stated he is allowed because he has a comfort strap. Called it a comfort something. It's a button up on the B pillar for the seat belt to put the slack in it. And we

disagreed about the way he should be wearing it.

And he was written up for the violation for seat belt. When I walked back up, after I prepared the inspection, I walked back up to give it to him. He had his cell phone out, which looked like he was trying to record. He was being very polite, very nice at that time.

He was asking questions for me to explain stuff to him again. I told him I wasn't going to explain it because we had already argued right before. That he was given the inspection and the traffic stop was concluded.

- Q. Okay. When our driver was driving past you where were you sitting?
 - A. In the cross-over.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Can I interrupt?

Because I was waiting for the chance to ask,

what do you mean by the cross-over?

THE WITNESS: There is paved portion in the middle of the interstate about six foot grassy median separating northbound and southbound, paved portion in the median so we can actually turn around. There is usually a no

1 u-turn sign there and a sign that says
2 authorized vehicles only.

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I am familiar with that concept. Thank you.

MS. FELDKAMP: Thank you for clarifying that.

- Q. How long was the Respondent in your view as he was driving by?
- A. I could see him approaching probably
 about half a mile in the line of sight. He was
 coming off a slight grade where I was sitting.

 And for me to be able to see him not having his
 seat belt was probably about three seconds of
 actually being able to view him as he went by
 me.
 - Q. The road that he was driving on, was it curved or was it straight?
 - A. Relatively straight. Like I said, a slight increase in elevation.
 - Q. How busy was traffic that day?
 - A. It was very light.
- Q. And I think you have already touched on this, but I just want to make sure we cover it. As he was driving by how did it appear the belt was being worn?

- A. It didn't look like it was being worn at all. The B pillar, which is where the seat belt actually comes out for the shoulder strap, going straight down, there was no strap going across the shoulder, no strap going across his chest.
- Q. And how should a seat belt be worn to avoid a violation?
- A. All components of the seat belt properly adjusted, properly worn. So the shoulder strap needs to be coming up on the shoulder coming across the chest, lap belt going across his lap.
- Q. Okay. And you mentioned earlier that he was wearing a light colored shirt. Would a lighter shirt make it easier or more difficult to observe whether the seat belt was on?
 - A. Very easy.
- Q. Would it be correct to say that you saw that the Respondent either wasn't wearing his seat belt or wasn't wearing it correctly as you pulled him over?
- A. Correct.

2.1

Q. And once you pulled the Respondent

over did you walk up to the side of the vehicle to talk to him?

- A. Yes. On the passenger side.
- Q. And, I am sorry if we already covered this, what was the status of Respondent's seat belt as you approached him?
- 7 A. He had it off because he got up and 8 opened the door.
 - Q. It was completely off?
- 10 A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

9

11

12

13

14

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. All right. So on your inspection report that I handed to you that was marked as Staff Exhibit 1 under the inspection notes under Locally Defined Fields it says Reason Code OBVI.
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. What does that mean?
- 17 A. Obvious violation.
- Q. Can you describe what that means to me?
 - A. Obvious violation is anything that is obvious to us that is a violation of law, for them a seat belt, light being out. Just anything that we observe that is obviously a violation.
- Q. So commonly as a part of your job

1 you specifically look for drivers not wearing
2 their seat belts?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is it correct to say that the stop was at 2:02 in the afternoon?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. How was the weather that day?
- A. Very light rain, cloudy.
- Q. Would that light rain and those clouds have impaired your vision that day?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Do you wear glasses or contacts?
- A. Contacts.
- Q. And do you get regular checkups?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And just to verify, is it your testimony that you observed Mr. Grass not wearing his seat belt?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Is there anything else important
 that you would like to note that we haven't
 discussed, but you would like the Commission to
 note for the record?
- A. No. I don't think so. That is it.

 MS. FELDKAMP: I have no further

```
1
     questions for this witness, your Honor.
 2
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
 3
                 MS. FELDKAMP: I would like to
     reserve this witness for possible rebuttal.
 4
 5
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: In other words,
 6
     you might call him back after --
 7
                 MS. FELDKAMP: Right. Yes.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: After
 8
9
     the testimony of the Respondent.
10
                 MS. FELDKAMP: Correct.
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I don't
12
     know if I covered that in the introduction, but
13
     I will allow that kind of thing to happen. Once
14
     you testify she gets another chance to rebut
15
     what you do and they can use a witness to do so.
16
                 But at this point you are done with
     your direct testimony of this witness. I will
17
18
     allow him to cross-examine the witness.
19
                 MS. FELDKAMP: Could I also move
20
     Exhibit 1 into the record?
2.1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go ahead
22
     and have you move that, but I will wait until we
     are done with all the cross-examination to make
23
24
     a ruling on that or about any of your objections
25
     you have for the exhibit.
```

23 1 Right now you can ask questions of 2 the witness. 3 MR. GRASS: First of all I would like to introduce dash cam video into --4 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I think that 6 will be your chance to present your side of 7 the case. 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 By Mr. Grass: 10 Q. Officer, at the time you pulled me over you said you could see the pillar of my 11 12 truck; correct? 13 Α. B pillar. 14 The B pillar. Where the seat belt Ο. 15 is mounted; correct? Yes or no? 16 I could see the B pillar, yes. Α. 17 Yes or no? Okay. On that -- if I Q. 18 was not wearing my seat belt you never said you 19 saw the buckle itself which is chrome-type or 20 silver; correct? 2.1 Α. Correct. I never saw the chrome. 22 So that would be an indication I was Q. 23 not wearing my seat belt; wouldn't it? 24 Α. No. 25 Q. If it was hanging there you would be

able to see. You said you could see the pillar. If it was hanging there you would have been able to see the buckle.

2.1

2.2

- A. I was describing where the seat belt comes from the B pillar. And the seat belt comes from the B pillar. So the B pillar is behind you. If you put your seat belt on properly you are going to have a gap coming from the B pillar over to your shoulder. Okay. I could see the B pillar. That was what was right behind you. So, yes, I could see the B pillar. Could I see your latch for the seat belt? No.
- Q. No. But if I did not have my seat belt on and you could see the B pillar then you would be able to see that buckle because it was shiny. Because you could tell the color of my shirt. Yes or no?
 - A. I disagree.

MS. FELDKAMP: Asked and answered.

- Q. So the answer is that you couldn't see any buckle and you could see the pillar?
 - A. I couldn't see the buckle, correct.
- Q. But you could see the pillar?

 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: He has already

 said that too.

- Q. Okay. Officer, on your training, you have been trained to or been to classes to, taught how to collect evidence and preserve it?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Take witness statements. Correct?
- A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

15

- Q. And on these trainings they tell you the importance of collecting evidence and the value of it; correct?
- 10 A. They explain how to collect evidence
- Q. So you were trained on your dash
- 14 A. No, I was not.
 - Q. You have not been trained on your dash cam?
- A. We are shown how to turn it on and turn it off.
- Q. And you know that is constant audio
 when you talk to me in the cab, it's constant
 audio. I can hear what you are saying. It's
 recorded on your vehicle; correct?
- A. The way that camera works is we have a belt mike and the belt mike is actually on our waist. And that records the audio as we

```
go up to the vehicles. And commercial vehicles
 1
 2
     are long enough where when you go up to that
     vehicle the audio is going to cut in and out.
 3
     There is nothing else we can do about it.
 4
 5
                 Except now with our new system with
 6
     the body cams they don't cut out that distance.
 7
                 And that day you did have a body cam
 8
     on; correct?
                 No, I did not. I had the belt mike
 9
10
     on. We didn't get the body cams until February
11
     of '22.
12
                 All right. You had a small camera
            Ο.
13
     with you that you took pictures of my truck;
14
     correct?
15
            Α.
                 Correct.
                 That camera was a 35 mil?
16
            Q.
17
                 It's a digital camera. That's all I
            Α.
18
     can tell you.
19
                 Does it have video on it?
            Ο.
20
            Α.
                 No.
2.1
            Ο.
                 You cannot record on it with
```

2.2

the video?

things so we can say, yes, we did a vehicle inspection on this vehicle. Here is the license plate, here are the markings on it.

- Q. But if you would have found something wrong, say a lug nut or a fuel cap missing would you take a picture of it?
- A. I take pictures of all violations, yes.
- Q. Correct. Thank you. On that day when you were standing and questioning me and you thought I was rude, we first started talking about Friday, weekend, all that good stuff; correct?
- A. You brought up about being Friday and you don't have time for this.
 - Q. Okay. All right. But you asked me one question. You asked me why I did not have my seat belt on; did you not?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. And I responded very loudly which you called rude what? Can you remember?
 - A. You said you had your seat belt on.
- Q. And what did you say next, Officer?
 - A. Can you show me how.
- 25 | O. And did I do that?

A. Yes, you did.

2.1

- Q. And then in that commentary you said it was down by my elbow?
 - A. Below your left elbow.
- Q. You have a camera. That is a violation. Why didn't you take a picture?
 - A. Don't need to.
- Q. Why not? It's a violation. Just earlier you testified if I did not have a fuel cap or missing lug nuts or broken windshield you would take a picture to show that violation.
- A. Because you put the seat belt on after we have already made contact isn't going to prove what I saw as you went by. So if I walked right up to you after you opened the door for me and took a quick picture of you not having the seat belt on doesn't prove that you didn't have the seat belt on when you went by me.
- Q. Okay. The thing is when you stood at the door did you ever get up on the steps of my truck and look in when I put my seat belt on?
- A. Yes. The door was open, I was up on the step of the truck when I asked you about show me how you put the seat belt on.

Q. Why didn't you take a video picture?

It's a violation, clear violation, as you said,

correct? Yes or no?

2.1

- A. We don't take pictures like that because that's not going to prove whether you had it on when you went by me.
- Q. But it does prove I had it on because you said show me how I was wearing my seat belt. That is what the video says, show me how you were wearing your seat belt. So you admit that now I was wearing my seat belt. Those are your own words; correct?
- A. Those things were documented in my notes as exactly how you were and I testified exactly how you had it on.
- Q. Correct. You said show me how you were wearing your seat belt; correct? Yes or no?
 - A. I think I already answered that.
- Q. Yes is the answer. So, one, back to the pillar, you did not see my chrome buckle, correct, but you say you could see the pillar.

23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Pillar, is that
24 what you are saying? The pillar is in the cab
25 where the seat belt connects?

MR. GRASS: Yes.

- A. Yes, that is the whole piece that is right behind him. I can see part of that. I cannot see chrome attachment like we have already testified several times to.
 - Q. Okay. Let's see.

And you said my seat belt was down by my elbow?

- A. Correct.
- 10 Q. But no proof of that, just your words?
- 12 A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Did I protest about putting the seat belt on when you asked me to? Show me how you were wearing your seat belt. Did I say no?

 Was I rude?
- A. You were rude the whole stop, when you did show me how you said you had the seat belt on.
- Q. Officer, I wasn't rude the whole
 stop. But we will go over that. I put the seat
 belt on, didn't I? I did not have to; did I?
- A. You showed me how you stated you had the seat belt on, yes.
- Q. Yes. I know the Constitution Fifth

```
Amendment I have the right not to incriminate myself; correct?
```

- A. Correct. You don't have to.
- Q. I put my seat belt on and I showed you.
- 6 MS. FELDKAMP: Asked and answered.
- 7 Q. Yes. Okay.

2

3

4

5

8

9

- Officer, when you ran my driver's records how many moving violations I have had and how far can you see my record?
- 11 A. I think it goes back 20 years. I
 12 never even looked at your driving record when I
 13 ran your license just to make sure you had a
 14 valid CDL.
- MR. GRASS: Okay. For the record I
 have none for the last 20 years. And for the
 last 50 years of driving --
- 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You can testify
 19 to that, but this is the time to ask him
 20 questions.
- 21 MR. GRASS; Sorry, sir.
- Q. Okay. So you did not know if
 the -- on your dash cam that we were being
 recorded or not?
- A. I knew we were being recorded.

```
32
               You did not know if the audio would
 1
            Ο.
 2
     be all there; correct?
 3
            A. I don't know, it doesn't tell me
     when I am up there talking with you if it's cut
 4
 5
     out at that time or not.
 6
                 MR. GRASS: Okay. I want to read my
 7
     notes.
 8
            Q.
               We are alongside the freeway,
     correct, Officer?
9
10
            Α.
                 We were on Interstate 77 on
11
     the berm.
12
                There was traffic?
            Q.
13
           A. There was some traffic going by,
14
     yes.
                And it's loud out there; isn't it?
15
            Q.
               When the traffic is going by, yes,
16
            Α.
17
     it is.
18
               Could that be why my voice was
            Q.
     raised?
19
20
            Α.
                You are asking my opinion on that?
    My opinion would be, no, there was no reason for
2.1
22
     you to raise your voice, swear, and say
     the things you said.
23
24
            O. I sweared?
25
            A. Yes, you did.
```

```
1
                 MR. GRASS: I am done, sir.
 2
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
 3
     Thank you. You will have a chance to redirect
     with anything that relates to the
 4
 5
     cross-examination.
                 MS. FELDKAMP: Could we have just a
 6
 7
     moment?
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. You can
 8
     have a minute.
9
10
                 (RECESS TAKEN)
11
                 MS. FELDKAMP: Your Honor, we have
12
     a video that we could play, the dash cam
13
     footage.
14
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Are you wanting
15
    to mark that as an exhibit?
                 MS. FELDKAMP: I can, yes. It will
16
17
    be Exhibit 3 because I already have another
18
     exhibit labeled as Exhibit 2.
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I don't care
20
     about the numbering. If you are going to play
2.1
     it now because you are later going to introduce
22
     it as an Exhibit, identify it as 3, that is
23
     fine.
24
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF
25
     IDENTIFICATION)
```

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again you are producing some additional evidence that you didn't earlier. So he is going to get a chance to ask questions about the video.

2.1

The other thing I was going to bring up is that he mentioned bringing up the dash cam video. I don't know if it's the same one or not, but I was going to say that when I told you that you couldn't do that right now, when you do do that if there is some reason you want to ask this Trooper about what's in the video I was going to allow him to bring the Trooper back up and testify when it's his turn about the video he is presenting.

Maybe you both will be presenting the same video. We will find that out.

 $\mbox{MS. FELDKAMP:} \quad \mbox{It should be the same} \\ \mbox{video that we sent you.} \\$

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Is this actually for purposes of the audio portion of the video?

MS. FELDKAMP: Just to show that we have the video and to verify that it was the dash cam video that was taken on that day.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Now, the main thing is that the parties can agree to

```
authenticate this video. We can get that resolved today and it becoming part of the record.
```

2.1

So if everyone agrees that whatever is left with me to see later on, today, but also to have as part of the record for future review to review the record. Are the parties in agreement how that is going to come about in this case?

MR. GRASS: I agree, it's probably
the same video I have.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And how is that physically going to become part of the record?

MS. FELDKAMP: I have a flash drive that I can give to you.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And you share with them, right?

18 MS. FELDKAMP: Yes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: As long as we are all agreed that the driver and Trooper is using the same one that we are talking about and viewing and using for purposes of evidence today, I will allow you to proceed. I am seeing that I have agreement from both sides about proceeding that way today.

```
1
                 The transcript will note that it
 2
     was played and the flash drive will be in
 3
     evidence.
                 MS. FELDKAMP: All right. So it's
 4
 5
     all right if I play the video now?
 6
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.
 7
                 MR. GRASS: I would like to see
 8
     the part where he comes up behind me. I
9
     forgot -- I would like to have redress on him, I
10
     have some more questions.
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are going to
12
     get a chance to ask anything that comes up
13
     related to this video. This is the area you
14
     didn't get a chance before.
15
                 Again, I am not trying to rush
     things, if it takes 20 minutes it takes 20
16
17
    minutes. I just, you know, if it's not really
18
     serving any purpose then we can cut to the parts
19
    we need to see. But I am willing to sit here
20
     and watch it.
2.1
                 MR. MARGARD: Can we go off the
2.2
     record?
23
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, let's go
```

(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)

24

25

off the record.

the video submitted as a Staff exhibit, and that the parties agree that the video that both sides want to use is the one that is being submitted as Staff Exhibit 3. We are going to use this period of time during the hearing to view it and then after seeing the relevant portions of it I am going to allow the Staff to go first in terms of getting back to the portions of the video they want to discuss and presenting the testimony they want to help understanding what it is we are seeing.

2.1

2.2

The Respondent will get a chance to cross-examine the witness on those portions and then later before we do anything else we will also go back to whatever portions that the Respondent wants to present. And he will get a chance to present those subject to cross-examination and redirect, and so forth.

So with that understanding we are going forward. Thank you.

(The video was played)

MS. FELDKAMP: The rest of the video was pretty audible. The only part that I could understand was "Any reason why you weren't

```
1
     wearing your seat belt, and I was wearing my
 2.
     seat belt."
 3
                 That was why I was introducing it.
     And I would just like to verify with our
 4
 5
     Trooper. Is this the dash cam that we have been
 6
     discussing today?
 7
                 TROOPER DURBEN: It is.
 8
                 MS. FELDKAMP: And this dash cam was
9
     taken on October 29, 2021?
10
                 TROOPER DURBEN: Correct.
11
                 MS. FELDKAMP: And was Mr. Grass
12
     driving the truck, vehicle?
13
                 TROOPER DURBEN: Correct.
14
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. So I was
15
     going to extend to Mr. Grass the chance to ask
16
     the Trooper about anything that we have seen so
17
     far, or anything that you said about the video
18
     so far.
19
                FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION
20
     By Mr. Grass:
2.1
            Ο.
                 Trooper, when you pulled out and you
22
     got into the left lane and you came down, you
23
     were using your dash cam to point at my mirror;
24
     correct?
25
            Α.
                No.
```

- Q. Not my driving mirror?
- A. No.

2.1

- Q. Why were you in the left lane?
- A. I was in the left lane getting your license plate, where I actually entered your license plate on the computer that we have inside of the car. So I was actually entering your plate on the MCT on the inside of the car and I was waiting for the time to be able to go with radio traffic, but as you can hear those other people on the radio.

When I like to stop trucks I like to go in the middle of both lanes. That way they can see back at me, we can keep people out of that left lane a little bit while we pull off to the berm.

And I wasn't going to stop you on that hill crest in the curve, so I was going to wait until we got on a straight-away, that way people could get over and people would be safer.

- Q. Trooper, you did not use your right hand to adjust the dash cam at my mirror?
- A. I never touched the camera the whole time we were on that traffic stop. That camera mount is to the right of me, to the right of the

middle consul up on the window. I am not reaching over there touching that camera for recording any of you being in the outside of your mirror, I have never done that in my whole career.

- Q. Trooper, you said I was loud and rude when you pulled me over. When you were talking you were loud; were you not? On the video it sounds like you were loud.
- A. Well, it sounds like I am loud
 because the mike is right underneath me. So
 with us being in that space, yes, it's going to
 sound louder for me. That is the reason why we
 can't hardly hear you, but I perceived you that
 whole stop being loud.
 - Q. Loud. I am a loud person, so.

 On this traffic stop you asked me,
 as you said on the video, you can hear him say I
 was wearing my seat belt; correct? On this
 video you just watched you heard yourself say
 how were you wearing your seat belt?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Show me. Correct?
- A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

25 Q. And I did.

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Okay. So the reason you were in the left lane so long was to run my license plate?
- A. Run your license plate and wait until we got up to the spot where I was going to stop you, yes.
- Q. And then you said my seat belt was down by my elbow; correct? That was on the audio?
- 10 A. The seat belt was below your left elbow.
- Q. Okay. I did record some of this
 while we were doing that. And me and -- but
 I -- Officer, I opened the door; correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. All right. And I had my seat belt off and I put my seat belt back on when you asked me how I was wearing it. Correct again?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. But you did not, never, just going to ask one more time, in the left lane you did not move that dash cam with your right hand?
- A. I did not touch the camera
 the whole time we were on that traffic stop.
- MR. GRASS: That's all.

1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank 2 you. Again, it sounds from what you were just saying maybe you have other photos or other 3 video that you might be interested in presenting 4 5 when it's your turn to put on your case. 6 do you have anything else about this particular 7 video that you want to bring up? Because I am thinking of going out of turn and allow you to 8 9 just do that while we have it up there. 10 Is there something in this video 11 that --12 Yes. At the end --MR. GRASS: 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Was there any 14 objection of we do it that way? 15 MS. FELDKAMP: No. 16 MR. GRASS: Just go to the end where 17 the Trooper is walking around with the camera. 18 (The video was played) 19 MR. GRASS: Right there. 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you want to 2.1 see it again before you ask questions? 22 MR. GRASS: I would like to show 23 the Trooper walking around using his camera. 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We are watching 25 this and you are going to put on some testimony.

- So, I think I am going to allow you to -- you are describing about this video is your testimony about it.
- MS. FELDKAMP: I do have follow-up questions for the Trooper.
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Maybe we can

 just pull this video back up when the time

 comes. You will get the chance, but let's

 finish up with your presentation of this video,

 then give him his chance to present what he

 wants to. Okay.
- MS. FELDKAMP: Can I finish playing this part?
- 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We can do that 15 now.
- 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 By Ms. Feldkamp:

2

- Q. Trooper Durben, is your dash cam always on when you are in your car?
- A. It's always powered on. The way the camera works is when we activate our lights or sirens it kicks on the camera. So we don't manually do anything with the camera except stop it at the end.
- So when I kicked on my lights it

goes back one minute prior to. That is the way it does with every traffic stop.

- O. And when does the audio turn on?
- A. When I activate my lights.
- Q. All right. And are you trained on when your dash camera is on and when your audio should be on?
- A. Policy says it should be recording every stop. If we find out that it is not recording then it's supposed to be reported, the tech guys will take a look at it. But we also have a body or belt mike check to make sure that it's working.

14 And at that day it was working.

Belt mike had just -- it goes out of range. We can't help that.

MS. FELDKAMP: No further questions.

18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let me ask you

19 this.

20

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

EXAMINATION

21 | By the Attorney Examiner:

Q. When you said that the camera kicks on when you turn the lights on, I am assuming it's more than just your headlights, it's when you are putting your flashers on to make a stop?

- A. Right. Headlights or sirens will activate the camera and it goes on and records the previous minute of that too. That is why we are recording him going down the hill.
- Q. It records the previous minute of something?
- A. The camera is basically recording all the time. It only stores one minute. So when I hit the lights it goes back to that one minute mark and records.
- Q. All right. So it's recording all along. The part that gets saved goes back one minute?
 - A. Correct.
- 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Any 16 questions for the Trooper.
- MR. GRASS: Yes. I have one more.
- 18 FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 19 By Mr. Grass:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Officer, when you asked me to put
 my seat belt back on to show you how I was
 wearing it, did I tell you I had my comfort
 strap set, and do you know what a comfort strap
 is?
- A. Well, I did know what a comfort --

- 1 | yes, you did say you had a comfort strap set.
- 2 | I did know what it was before I spoke with you.
- 3 | But when you pointed up to that adjustable
- 4 | clicker up on the B pillar that is when I
- 5 | figured that is what it was.
- Q. And do you know what proper law covers that?
 - A. Law covers what?
- 9 Q. The use of the comfort strap in a 10 DOT vehicle.
- 11 A. No. I know what the law says about 12 the seat belt, and it's got to be properly
- adjusted and properly worn.
- Q. But is a comfort strap illegal in a ODOT vehicle, commercial vehicle?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. So you do not know what law covers
- 18 the use of a comfort strap?
- MS. FELDKAMP: That is a
- 20 misstatement of his testimony.
- 21 MR. GRASS: I asked him if he new
- 22 what a comfort strap was. He said he did not
- 23 know.

- 24 A. There is no law --
- Q. I mentioned it, DOT or comfort

straps are commonly used.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are asking him questions. I do think from what I heard was a mischaracterization of what I heard him answer. And I am going to just allow you to continue, but do it in the form of asking him questions and allow what comes out here to come out from him in response to the questions you have.

You were putting words in his mouth. Just ask him questions that allow him and you to two to come to some kind of understanding what it is he is saying in response.

MR. GRASS: I asked him if he knew what a comfort strap was. He said he did not know.

17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I heard that 18 part.

- Q. I asked him what regulation or law covers the comfort strap. He said he did not know; correct?
- A. There is no law against a comfort
 strap, that adjustable thing up there. But
 there is a law about how to wear the seat belt
 properly.

Now, you can use that comfort strap and still have the seat belt on properly by putting a little bit of slack on it. So there is nothing illegal about it. But how you use it, that is what makes everything with the seat belt illegal.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Officer, do you know how the comfort strap works, how it activates, how it takes the tension off or takes -- releases the slack and tightens up? Do you know how that works?
- A. You fold it down and that basically slips that shoulder strap into that position where it doesn't move.
 - Q. But there is slack in it; that is the purpose?
 - A. You can put as much slack in it as you want. So then clip that thing down so you can -- I have seen drivers where it's a whole lot worse that what you have stated you had it on. So, it's adjustable.
 - Q. It is adjustable. But do you know how the mechanism works?
- A. No, I do not know how the mechanism works.
- Q. And is it covered in the FMCFR?

- I don't know what FMCFR --Α.
- Federal Motor Carrier Regulations. Q.
 - Α. No, I do not.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have

5 anymore?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

MR. GRASS: Go ahead, sir.

FURTHER EXAMINATION

By the Attorney Examiner:

- Ο. We have had several times testimony about when you asked him to show you how he was wearing it and you described that -- testimony was about that the seat belt came down to near his left elbow.
 - Α. Correct.
- Q. Again, even understanding what this testimony is about, this is when you asked him to show you how he was wearing it, this is demonstration of how he was wearing it 19 demonstrated that he was wearing it slack on the belt down to his left elbow. Is that the gist of your testimony?
 - Α. That's correct.
 - Okay. And it's important to see Q. that not necessarily the way he was wearing it at the time that you first observed him not

wearing the seat belt, but it is the way that he showed you when you asked him to show you how he wears his seat belt?

A. Correct. And with him having that much slack in it, that could be easily why I didn't see him have that seat belt on because it was not coming on the shoulder going across the chest from the B pillar like it should. It was lying straight down going down below the elbow so, yes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You can ask more especially in relation to what I just asked.

MR. GRASS: Well, he is saying the slack and not seeing the belt, but on the previous question --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I am not asking you to testify, but if you want to ask him questions --

MR. GRASS: No.

21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Anymore 22 questions?

MS. FELDKAMP: Just one moment.

24 I am sorry.

I have no further questions for

```
1 | the witness.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Well, then I don't have anymore questions. And you don't have anymore questions. So I am going to let the witness be done with testifying for now on the first direct examination of this witness as the staff witness. You may come back up later.

And I am wanting to rule on the exhibit if you -- since you already moved it, do you have any objection to the admission of that?

MR. GRASS: Of the dash cam video,

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I am talking about the inspection report, Staff Exhibit 1.

MR. GRASS: I do not.

17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It will be admitted into evidence.

19 (EXHIBIT HEREBY ADMITTED INTO

20 EVIDENCE)

no.

21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: This is labeled 22 as Staff Exhibit 3. You want to move this too? 23 MS. FELDKAMP: Yes, please.

24 MR. GRASS: Since I am testifying 25 can I use the video that you have hooked up so --

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, you are not testifying yet. We will bring this back up when it's your turn to testify.

MR. GRASS: Thank you.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: But I am going to take this time for -- I think you said, yes, you want to move Staff Exhibit 3 into evidence. And if there is no objection I will allow this video, Staff Exhibit 3, to be part of the record in this case. So it will be admitted into the record.

And again my understanding, I think we are all clear, that when it's your turn to testify you want to use Staff Exhibit 3, you can ask them to put it back up on the screen and use it in your case.

MR. GRASS: Thank you.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: But for now, we have Staff Exhibit 1, Staff Exhibit 3, and the first witness' testimony all admitted into the record at this time.

You don't object to the -- we dismissed the witness, so I think his testimony

Proceedings 53 1 is already in the record. So do you have 2 another witness? 3 MS. FELDKAMP: I do, yes 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. 5 MS. FELDKAMP: I would like to call 6 our Staff witness Rod Moser. 7 (WITNESS SWORN) 8 9 ROD MOSER 10 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 11 testified as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 By Ms. Feldkamp: 14 Could you please state and spell Ο. 15 your name for the record? 16 My name is Rod Moser, M-O-S-E-R. Α. 17 And where are you employed? Q. 18 I am employed by the Public Α. 19 Utilities Commission of Ohio as specifically I 20 am the Chief of the Compliance and Registration 2.1 Sections within the Transportation Department. 22 How long have you been in that Q.

- position?
- 24 Approximately five and a half years. Α.
- 25 Q. How long have you worked for

the Public Utilities Commission?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- A. Five and a half years.
- Q. What are your duties?
- A. As relates to this case my duties are to basically shepherd the civil forfeiture process for the PUCO. We see that inspections that are submitted to us undergo the civil forfeiture assessment process, mail letters, arrange hearings, just basically the whole process.
- Q. And what are your expert qualifications in the violations for the subject matter of these violations?
- A. I was a State Trooper for just shy of 30 years. During that time I had extensive experience in commercial enforcement. I ended my career the Commercial Enforcement Coordinator for the District 6 area which is Franklin County and surrounding counties.

I also trained in North America Standards Parts A and B, motor coach, hazmat, general hazmat, bulk and nonbulk.

- Q. And do you take part in any continuing education?
- 25 A. Yes. We have annual in-service

programs that I attend.

2.1

- Q. And if we have functional questions on how the calculation that would be written in the Notice of Preliminary Determination, if we have any questions about the calculations in that, do you have training that you would be able to answer those questions?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. And are you able to explain how you determine the amount of a forfeiture?
- A. Sure. So in general our violations are divided into groups. For non-hazmat inspections, which this was, those violations are divided into five different groups.

Group zero, Groups 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Group zero -- the groups that are zero through 3 are mechanical violations. And zero violations never get a fine.

Groups 1, 2 and 3 may be assessed a civil forfeiture depending on whether or not they are as out of service. Those violations are, in descending order, likely to cause a crash. Most likely Group 1, least likely Group 3.

Group 4 violations always are

```
assessed a civil forfeiture amount. Those violations are driver behavior, insurance and authority, that kind of thing.
```

This particular violation is a safety belt violation, it is a Group 4. It always gets a civil forfeiture. And in each case our system for non-hazmat violations is automated, so each respondent is treated the same as the last. So anybody getting this violation would receive a \$100 civil forfeiture assessment for that violation.

- Q. Okay. Just to clarify, does the Commission apply this process uniformally to everyone?
- 15 A. Yes. It is an automated process.

 16 MS. FELDKAMP: May I hand the

17 | witness an exhibit?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

24

25

18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure.

MS. FELDKAMP: I am handing the
witness what has been marked as Staff Exhibit 2.

21 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF

22 IDENTIFICATION)

Q. This is a notice of Preliminary

Determination. Do you recognize this document?

A. I do.

What is it? Q.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

2 Well, it is entitled a Notice of Α. Preliminary Determination. We commonly refer to 3 as an NPD. 4

It is a statement from the Commission that says there was an unsuccessful attempt to resolve these issues during a telephone conference with the assigned compliance officer. And this notice tells the respondent they can either pay the fine or they can request a formal administrative hearing.

Q. And was this document sent to the Respondent?

And gives them instructions on how to do so.

- 15 Α. Yes, it was.
- 16 Did you review this document in Ο. 17 preparation for this hearing?
- 18 Α. T did.

record?

- Is this document a Commission 19 20
- 2.1 Yes, it is. Α.
- 22 And is it kept in the ordinary Q.
- course of business? 23
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Q. So you already touched on this, but

```
could you please explain how the civil forfeiture in this case was derived?
```

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

- A. Sure. Once the inspection was uploaded and came into our system our system recognized it as a non-hazmat inspection. The only violation is a Group 4 violation.
- As I say, a Group 4 always is assessed a civil forfeiture. And in this case the civil forfeiture amount for a seat belt violation is \$100.
- Q. Is this penalty consistent with
 the recommended fine schedule, recommended civil
 penalty procedure adopted by the Commercial
 Motor Vehicle Alliance and Commission rules?
- A. It's the Commercial Vehicle Safety
 Alliance. But, yes.
 - Q. And you stated that forfeiture amount is \$100; correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. Is that the correct forfeiture amount for this case?
- 22 A. It is.
- Q. Would you recommend this amount to the Commission?
- 25 A. I do, yes.

- Q. Could you please tell me about your understanding of what comfort straps are especially in relation to seat belt regulations?
- A. I really don't have any familiarity with that at all. My familiarity with safety belt was as a Trooper. I wrote them if we felt there was an egregious violation involved. But I don't have any familiarity with comfort straps.
- Q. And is there anything else important that we should note that we haven't discussed that you would like the Commission to note for the record?
 - A. No, ma'am.

2.1

2.2

MS. FELDKAMP: I have no further questions for this witness at this time. And I would like to move all of Staff's evidence into the record.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. It's been moved, but I will let him do cross-examination and then make a ruling on the exhibits.

Actually, I believe there is only three exhibits, and two of them are already admitted. So really talking about Staff Exhibit 2 at this point.

```
60
 1
                 But, do you have any questions for
 2
     this witness?
 3
                 MR. GRASS: Yes, I do.
 4
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
 5
     By Mr. Grass:
 6
                 Sir, on this letter, it was a
            Ο.
 7
     forfeiture of $100, and I talked to a young lady
     about it on the phone on the appointment. And I
 8
     denied, and I never admitted that I did
9
10
     something wrong; correct? That is what she
11
     wrote down?
12
            A. I don't recall her specific notes on
13
     this case, no.
14
            O. But the reason we are here is
15
     because I am fighting the process to prove my
16
     innocence; correct?
17
            Α.
                 I would say so, yes.
18
                 MR. GRASS: Okay. Thank you.
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Do
20
     you have any, I don't have any questions, do you
2.1
     have any objection to admission of Staff Exhibit
2.2
     2?
23
                 MR. GRASS: No, I don't.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It is admitted.
25
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
```

1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Do 2 you have any other witnesses or exhibits? 3 MS. FELDKAMP: No. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. At this 4 5 point then the Staff rests in terms of its 6 presentation of evidence, which means it's your 7 turn to present evidence. And you can do that by testimony and/or exhibits. 8 9 Let's go off the record for a 10 minute. 11 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD) 12 (WITNESS SWORN) 13 14 DAVID D. GRASS 15 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 16 testified as follows: 17 DIRECT TESTIMONY 18 MR. GRASS: On the day I was coming 19 back from Cambridge the officer pulled me over. 20 He was sitting in the middle. Just the normal 2.1 place where the DOT sits. 2.2 He came out of the middle and 23 followed me down the road as the video -- as we 24 see. And he stood in left lane. 25 I knew I was going to get pulled

over, it's standard procedure. As he was going down, as we were going down we were doing about 65 miles an hour, I was. And he was behind me in the left lane. And he had his right hand up on the dash cam trying to point it -- he was in the left lane, he was trying to point the dash cam, move it, because I am looking in the mirror, wondering why he is over there, and he is pointing it in the mirror trying to get the reflection of the inside of the cab of me in there to gather proof that I didn't have my seat belt on.

2.1

After that he did pull me over and we did talk. In the video you saw where he -ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let the record reflect that we have the video, we are watching the video projected in the room and he is moving through various parts of the video to demonstrate what he wants us to understand about.

(Video was played)

MR. GRASS: He is going down the road and he is in the left lane. And he comes up, goes -- I didn't go far enough. As he is coming up on me he is in the left lane. And

he is following me up.

2.1

2.2

And you will see as you look at the time how long he stays in the left lane.

MS. FELDKAMP: Just for clarity of the record if you could tell us what time is on the video so we know what is happening that would be helpful.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Because there is numbers at the bottom of the video so when we watch this and we will have time references based on that. We will know where on the video to watch to fit in with the description that you are giving. I was going to ask you the same thing.

MR. GRASS: This will be about the 42 mark. You see the Trooper is about 42 mark, Trooper is behind me in the left lane.

As he is in the left lane I am looking in the mirror wondering what he is doing. Maybe I had a flat tire or something.

I am looking, and I can see him adjusting the dash cam up at the mirror with his right hand as I am going down the road.

As I am going on down he keeps going. And then this is the usual place where

```
we get pulled over. This is -- we as truck drivers know the spots. And then he will slidd over and the audio will come on.
```

2.1

2.2

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: When you mentioned the mirror, which mirror are you talking about?

MR. GRASS: Talking about this mirror here (indicating).

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are saying that he is trying to adjust the dash cam in his vehicle so that it's focused on the mirror on your left-hand --

MR. GRASS: It's a big flat
mirror --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I understand it's your mirror, I thought you were talking about the mirror inside of his --

MR. GRASS: No. He is trying to get the reflection to see people in the mirror and he was trying to record to see evidence that I didn't have my seat belt on.

And that didn't go. And then approximately we have gone -- that is about 62 he is pulling me over. As he walks up -- MS. FELDKAMP: At 220.

```
1
                 MR. GRASS: And asks me for my
 2
     license and registration, which I gave him.
     sorry about this.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That is all
 4
 5
     right. We have got time.
                 MR. GRASS: Now we are having a
 6
 7
     conversation about Friday.
 8
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: What is the time
 9
     stamp on what you are showing?
10
                 MR. GRASS: 3 minutes 12 seconds.
11
     Now, right there you can see he asked me
12
     the question why I wasn't wearing my seat belt.
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: What is the time
14
     stamp?
15
                 MR. GRASS: Two minutes and 53
16
     seconds. And you will hear my response I was
17
     wearing my seat belt. Then you just heard him
18
     say show me how you had it on.
19
                 So, now he is admitting that I had
20
    my seat belt on. And I tell him I had my seat
2.1
     belt on, I had my comfort strap set.
2.2
                 Then he says it's down by my elbow.
    And then he reads the rules that cover the use
23
24
     of a seat belt, no slack, blah, blah. But, on
25
     that part.
```

Now on this part you will see him walking around taking pictures.

2.1

2.2

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Give the time stamp of the spot you want it on.

MR. GRASS: 1654 you can see

the Officer walking around taking pictures.

Identifying the markings of the truck and that.

And putting the form up for the citation,

alleged citation.

At this time he is telling me about the violation, how long I had, and gives me the paper. I try to ask him questions and follow up. He asked me if I had any questions at 1748, and I did. And the Officer walked away and went on with our life until now.

I would like to testify on my own behalf. On this day, I always wear my seat belt. I am a 16 and a half year veteran of the United States Air Force. Anybody that's been in the military knows any time you are on base you wear your seat belt. I have always wore my seat belt.

I drove over 30 years with commercial vehicle, I have used my comfort strap, I have gone over a thousand scales, weigh

scales, never been pulled over for seat belt violations.

2.1

2.2

I have six tickets, I think, five maybe, two of them are headlights out, and a couple of them was speeding in 51 years, 50 years of driving almost. November I will be 66. Drove over 50 years.

I am not reckless, I obey the law.

If you look at my last ticket I got as the

Officer said there is none for 20 years.

The reason I am fighting this is because I was wearing my seat belt, I did have my comfort strap on, it was going across my shoulder. And the operation of a comfort strap is to take the tension off your body, you set it by putting you hand between your chest and the strap. It usually gives you a little play and takes the tension off so it's there.

When you hit the brakes that comfort strap will release and it tightens up just like a regular seat belt.

I was mad because it's Friday, I was heading home after a 60-hour week and I finally got off early. But -- I lost my train of thought.

I asked the Officer to explain this.

I think the Officer made a mistake and manhood and pride would not let him back out of it.

And he still wanted to give me a ticket.

2.1

When the Officer asked me to put my seat belt on I did the way I was wearing it. I did not argue with him, I wanted to prove to him that I was wearing my seat belt. Anybody else would have said no. And if I was very rude I would have told him to get lost, but I didn't.

I was cooperating with the Officer at the time showing that I was wearing my seat belt. And I was wearing it correctly.

As the Officer testified, he did not know what a comfort strap is, how to use it, and the purpose of it. I do.

I want the Commission to look at my driving record for the last 50 years. I imagine the State of Ohio has got it. My license has been here. I have drove all 50 states and Europe, I have never had any problem.

I know how to follow the law and I do follow the law. That is why I am here. As you see, we have the first preliminary hearing that they submitted and the lady told me \$100

fine, I told her she didn't listen to me.

2.1

And I told her I wouldn't plead guilty to something I didn't do. When I talked to the young lady over here, when we had the pretrial, the same thing. I will not plead guilty to something I didn't do. I did not do this, I was following the law and I want the Commission to look at all the evidence that was presented today.

The Officer could not see the buckle on the beam, which he said he could see the beam. He did not take pictures, which he had ample equipment and training to do that. He had that camera which he could have took the picture of me with that seat belt on.

If it was down by my elbow, and he said that might not be the way that I was wearing it, he could have still took a picture to show how I was wearing my seat belt. My seat belt was not down by my elbow. It was across my shoulder with some slack in it. He had a camera and that camera is equipped with video, he could have took pictures or video of it. He could have secured evidence to prove his allegation. He could have proved what he was talking about.

This is why this equipment is bought. The dash cam, the body cam and the cameras are all bought to use to prove allegations. Like I said, if I was missing a lug nut, had a flat tire, broken windshield, he would have took a picture.

2.1

What is the difference, why did he not take a picture of my seat belt if I was not wearing it right. This would prove automatically that I was guilty. But he didn't.

Like I said before, he would have took a picture of any other defect to prove that I was guilty. This he did not.

The officer made a mistake. He did not want to back off of it. His pride, and that, and me, what he says, but he said I was rude, would not let him back away. And he made sure I got this violation.

I told him I would see him in court, he snickered and left away. This has been a long process. And I will not plead guilty to something I didn't do.

Thank you for your time.

24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Did you have 25 anything else that you wanted to present?

```
71
 1
                 MR. GRASS: No. I will keep that to
 2
    myself.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Any
     cross-examination?
 4
                 MS. FELDKAMP: I have no cross for
 5
 6
     the witness. Thank you, your Honor.
 7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. I
     don't think -- so let me think. No, I don't
 8
9
     think I am going to ask any questions either. I
10
     appreciate your testimony. And I think that's
11
     it, you are off the stand.
12
                 And now I think you rest in terms of
13
     your presentation?
14
                 MR. GRASS: Yes, I do.
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So I think both
16
     sides have rested. I think that brings
17
     the hearing to -- well, go ahead.
18
                 MS. FELDKAMP: I am sorry. Can I
19
    make a closing statement?
20
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. I was just
2.1
     going to say I think it's done, we have brought
22
     the hearing to a close. I guess we will stay on
23
     the record.
24
                 It's possible, I know you are
25
     getting ready to leave in the next few days, if
```

the parties wanted to they could file briefs in the case, which basically means you put together some kind of -- I am going to explain it to you because you may not understand what a brief is. I am sure the other side does.

2.1

But it will be another chance for you to make arguments rather than present evidence that helps the Commission understand what it is that you think you proved here today, and they do the same thing.

But rather than do briefs, which adds extra time to the decision and it's a lot of work for you to do, instead of doing that if you want to make an argument about what you think you just proved to the Commission I will allow both sides to make a closing statement, which is basically not testimony, not evidence, but the Commission would consider anything that either side wants to present now as a closing argument that — and again the most useful closing argument that I would think would be one that helps the Commission understand what it is each side feels they presented and how the Commission should decide the case.

So I think because what I am

understanding, I don't think the parties have that much interest in doing briefs, but I will allow them if both parties want to do that, but in lieu of briefs I am going to allow before we close today each side beginning with the Staff to make a closing argument in the case.

2.1

MS. FELDKAMP: Thank you.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And then after closing arguments then I will bring the hearing to a close. The record will be closed. Go ahead.

MS. FELDKAMP: Okay. Today the Staff has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that the driver, Mr. Grass, was in violation of 49 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 392.16, failing to properly wear his seat belt.

Inspector Durben has particular expertise in motor vehicle inspections and has prepared a report and testified that the driver violated these sections. Inspector Durben testified that in his professional opinion Mr. Grass was in violation of 49 CFR Section 392.16(a) because Mr. Grass was driving a commercial motor vehicle while not wearing his

seat belt.

2.1

This section states "No driver shall operate a commercial motor vehicle and a motor carrier shall not require or permit a driver to operate a commercial motor vehicle that has a seat belt assembly installed at the driver's seat unless the driver is properly restrained by the seat belt assembly."

Inspector Durben testified that the violations at issue are safety issues to protect the citizens and travelers of Ohio from accidents that harm property and life.

Trooper Durben testified that from his understanding as a qualified expert in the field the violations are valid.

Staff witness Rod Moser testified that the forfeiture amount was accurate and consistent with the recommended fine schedule and recommended civil penalty procedure adopted by the Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Alliance.

Staff seeks a civil forfeiture derived from a method nationally authorized by USDOT and used by the Commission. Staff applies the system to everyone.

There is case law on this topic.

Case No. 08-734 involving Lyden Oil Company and Leslie Bardo. In this case Bardo admits to not wearing a shoulder belt, but claimed that a lap belt was sufficient. While wearing a lab belt the shoulder strap was under the Respondent's arm.

2.1

2.2

In this case the Commission found that if the seat belt is equipped with both a lap and shoulder belt then both must be worn.

I would also point the Commission to Case No. 09-1926 involving Rickard. It has a similar opinion.

The Commission should find by a preponderance of the evidence that Mr. Grass was in violation of the Code of Federal Regulations Title 49, Section 392.16 because he was not wearing his seat belt properly when he was driving. Thank you.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. You don't have to make a closing statement, but if you want to this is your chance.

MR. GRASS: I will. I proved today that I was wearing my seat belt; that the Officer was confused at the beginning as when he pulled me over and asked me why I was

not wearing my seat belt.

2.1

2.2

And when I told him I was he admitted by saying how were you wearing your seat belt. So he did not know if I was wearing the seat belt or not, but he asked me how I was wearing my seat belt. So he admitted after talking to me that I was wearing my seat belt.

Also the officer did not know what a comfort strap was, and how it's used and what he thought was too much slack or not enough. He cannot -- didn't know how the comfort strap is set. And he took it as I was wearing it wrong.

I take it as I was wearing it right. When I set my comfort strap for the last 30 years of driving, and as I said before, I have been across a thousand scales and I have been past a thousand Troopers on the side of the road, I always bring my comfort strap down and lock it in. I put my hand in between my chest and the strap and I lock the fitting. It gives you about half an inch play, takes the tension off your shoulder.

The comfort strap works, when you hit the brakes it releases back into its restraining process.

I would also like the Commission to realize that I have been fighting this since the very day that I got this ticket. I called the barracks on the day and asked them to review it. I sent notes and letters to the PUCO. I don't know who has got them, but I sent them on this that I was innocent.

2.1

February when I was at the conference with the lady I told her that -- I gave her my story and she said that she sided with the Officer. I told her I will not plead guilty to something I didn't do. I did the same thing at pretrial. And the reason is I believe in the justice system to work; that the justice system has to hear everybody.

We have equipment that is used to show the evidence. Not one word against the other. We have video evidence, we have cameras. This proves what the Officer is saying or what the witness is saying. Neither one of these were used. The camera was not used to take pictures of me sitting there with the seat belt on.

If it was down to my elbow this would be proof that I was wearing it wrong, but

it wasn't. This is why I am fighting is because I think the justice, you have to be heard and that we just can't be run over by just one word against the other.

2.1

And that is why this equipment has been made possible so the officer when he believes what is happening that the court system can see and rule on that. And now they only get half the picture of what really happened out there.

I want -- if I was loud, it's because of the traffic out there. It's a long distance down there and it's hard to hear him too. I am a loud person anyhow. I have a deep voice. From that distance and the road traffic I speak up. That is how you hear. Death people speak loud because they can't hear.

You have noise from traffic and that. You bring your level of your voice up.

I just ask the Commission to look at everything. The Officer said he didn't see the buckle when I went by. He said he could see the pillar. He didn't see the buckle. If he was looking at the pillar where the seat belt was he should have been able to see the chrome buckle.

Because he said it wasn't across my strap, the buckle would be right there (indicating), right above my left shoulder. He never said he saw it, and he said he didn't notice it.

So, I had my seat belt on. I think I will keep quiet now and let the Commission go on.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. And that brings this hearing to a close. Thank you very much.

And we will get the decision out as soon as I can, but I do think it will be a while before that happens.

(At 11:50 A.M. the hearing was concluded)

2.1

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on October 7, 2022, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/31/2022 1:38:05 PM

in

Case No(s). 22-0085-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript October 7th 2022 In the Matter of David D. Grass, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.