BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

LLOYD E. JACKSON) Case No. 22193-EL-CSS) VS.) THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC)

THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC)
COMPANY)

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

Before James Lynn, Attorney Examiner, for the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, via WebEx on Thursday, September 29, 2022, at 12:33 P.M.

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4620
(614)224-9481

```
2
 1
                             APPEARANCES
 2
 3
               Lloyd E. Jackson
                     Pro Se
 4
 5
               John Brieg
               Attorney At Law
 6
               Benesch, Friedlander, Coplan & Arnoff
               200 Public Square
               Suite 2300
 7
               Cleveland, Ohio 44114
 8
               jbrieg@beneschlaw.com
                    On behalf of The Cleveland Electric
 9
10
     Company.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1					
					3
1	INDEX	TO WITNESSES			
2					
3		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
4	APPLICANT'S WITNESS				
5	Lloyd E. Jackson	06-04	10-10		
6	COMPANY'S WITNESS				
7	Princess Davis	37-08	46-04		
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

	4				
INDEX TO EXHIBITS					
Company's Exhibits ID ADM					
1 - Bill 12-03 35-09					
2 - Statement of Account 24-02 35-09					
3 - Princess Davis' Testimony 37-22 52-18					
	Company's Exhibits ID ADM 1 - Bill 12-03 35-09 2 - Statement of Account 24-02 35-09				

Thursday, September 29, 2022 1 2 12:33 p.m. 3 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go on the record at this time. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 5 assigned for hearing at this time Case Number 22193TRCEF, 6 7 the complaint of Lloyd Jackson versus the Cleveland 8 Electric Illuminating Company. And I'll note that this 9 hearing is taking place electronically via Webex. 10 I'm Jim Lynn, the Attorney Examiner assigned to hear this case. At this time I'll have the appearances 11 12 of the parties. 1.3 Mr. Jackson, if you can just state your name 14 and address, please. 15 MR. JACKSON: Lloyd Jackson, 24455 Lakeshore Boulevard, Apartment 1815, East Building, Euclid, Ohio, 16 17 44123. 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Great. Thank you very 19 much. And Mr. Brieg for Cleveland Electric Illuminating. 20 MR. BRIEG: Yes, good morning, Your Honor, 21 John Brieg of Benesch, Friedlander on behalf of the 22 Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company. Benesch's 23 address is 200 Public Square, Suite 2300, Cleveland, Ohio. 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. 25 Mr. Jackson, since you filed the complaint, we'll begin

with you. How about telling us some detail about why you filed the complaint and what brings you here today?

1.3

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. JACKSON: Well, I'm disputing the charges that the Electric Illuminating Company is charging me. They are giving me a year and a half of estimated charges and if you look over my electricity bill over the last 40 or 50 years that I have been dealing with the Illuminating Company, you will see that my bills have not been underestimated or actual charges and I have paid my bill every month.

So I can't understand how they can underestimate my bill for 17 months. If it was underestimated, they should have sent me a bill with underestimation 17 months ago when it was first underestimated.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.

MR. JACKSON: They are going 17 months before they send me an underestimated bill. I don't like that idea of estimation.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. All right. So your concern is that you had bills estimated for a number of months and what period of time, Mr. Jackson, in your opinion, what period of time are we talking about as far as when the bills were estimated?

Proceedings

MR. JACKSON: This is 17 months ago. So that was back in 2020.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yeah, okay.

1.3

2.2

MR. JACKSON: September I think it was. From then up until September of 2022.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. And your complaint is that not only were they estimated, but I'm assuming that you, in your opinion, the estimates were incorrect?

MR. JACKSON: Correct.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. All right. And then you are indicating Cleveland Electric did eventually send you a bill based on an actual read and you're objecting to --

MR. JACKSON: Yeah, they sent it. The actual reading that I get every month from the Illuminating

Company is for \$20 or \$30, which I pay every month. I have been paying my bill every month for the last 40 or 50 years, since I have been dealing with them. And I have never got a bill like this in 40 or 50 years and I'm not going along with it.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Let me think.

Mr. Jackson, you indicated how many years you have

typically received a bill. I believe you said it was \$20

to \$30. Were you always at that address during that

```
period of time?
```

1.3

2.2

2 MR. JACKSON: Oh, no.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I just wanted to know. And then also what kind of heat do you have in your residence, is that gas or electric?

MR. JACKSON: It is electric.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Electric heat, okay.

All right. Did you have any other comments that you wanted to add?

MR. JACKSON: Nothing but misinformation that I have received from the internet and it has been spread over the Fox News and Forbes and other entities where it says the electric -- this guy has invented something that can save up to 90 percent of the monthly electric bill and it says the electric power companies are demanding it to be banned immediately. It also says --

MR. BRIEG: Just objection, Your Honor, to relevance.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yeah, Mr. Jackson, I'm not quite sure what you are referring to. I just wanted to --

MR. JACKSON: This is --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I guess what I wanted to know was, you know, you stated your complaint I think quite clearly. And as far as that period of time where

you felt that the estimates were, you know, you didn't like that they were estimates for that long a period of time and also you felt the estimates were inaccurate, I'm assuming too high.

Am I right, you thought the estimates were too high, correct?

MR. JACKSON: Right.

1.3

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Was there anything else you wanted to add to that in particular and maybe you don't have anything more to add. I just wanted to check.

MR. JACKSON: Well, one thing First Energy is affiliated with the Illuminating Company, right?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, it is part of the -Illuminating Company is part of First Energy, yes.

MR. JACKSON: Okay. Now, if First Energy didn't think that they overcharged me, why would they send me a check and settle? That don't make sense to me.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, I'm not quite sure what you are referring to there either really.

MR. JACKSON: Yeah, well, they sent me a check and settled this complaint with them, but not with the Illuminating Company.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Can we go off the record for a moment, please?

25 | - -

(Discussion was held off the record.)

2

3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We'll go back on the record.

5 Mr. Brieg, did you have any questions for

6 Mr. Jackson?

1

7

8

9

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. BRIEG: I did.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. BRIEG:

- Q. Hello, Mr. Jackson. My name is John Brieg and I'm an attorney from the law firm of Benesch, Friedlander and I'm here today representing the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company. Can you hear me okay?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. I'm just going to ask you a few questions related to some of the things that you just testified regarding. I ask that if you do not understand the question I ask just ask me to rephrase it. Sometimes the question I can have is clunky and if you don't understand, just ask me to rephrase. If you answer I'm assuming that you understood what I asked you. Fair enough?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. It is my understanding that -- is it your testimony here today that you are saying that you're

complaining about the amount you were billed when you received the bill of -- ending -- the bill ending of September 2021 for the \$476?

- A. That's right.
- Q. Do you understand that that \$476 was not just the amount of electric you used in one month, but was based off of the underestimation from the previous April 2020 to September, correct, do you understand that?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Are you aware that during that time the Public Utility Commission of Ohio had asked all the utilities to suspend in-person actual meter readings where the meter is located in a customer's home due to the Covid 19 pandemic?
 - A. That's what I was told.
- Q. And is it your understanding that the estimated readings were taken because an actual reading could not be taken of your meter?
 - A. No, I don't -- I don't believe that.
- Q. But you are aware that the Public Utility

 Commission told the CEI, particularly Cleveland Electric,

 to perform estimated meter readings?
 - A. I'm not aware of that.

MR. BRIEG: Okay. Can I show a document, Your
Honor? I would like to mark an exhibit as Company Exhibit
for identification. Can I share my screen?

```
12
1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure.
2
 3
               (Company Exhibit 1, was marked for
               purposes of identification.)
 4
 5
                 MR. BRIEG: I think I need to have access
 6
7
    provided.
8
                 MR. SCHMIDT: Actually our Webex we have
    disabled screen sharing. You will have to circulate it
 9
10
    via email to all the parties.
                 MR. BRIEG: I did circulate.
11
12
    BY MR. BRIEG:
1.3
            Q. Mr. Jackson, do you have access -- I emailed
    you yesterday a couple of several documents?
14
15
            Α.
                I guess I still have it, if you sent it.
                 MR. BRIEG: I'm just not sure how to question
16
17
    the witness on an exhibit especially with his computer
18
    problems.
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Well, Mr. Brieg
    were you going to question Mr. Jackson about -- apparently
20
21
    then about one of the three things you emailed yesterday;
2.2
    am I correct?
23
                 MR. BRIEG: Correct.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And which one was it in
25
    particular, was it on the --
```

MR. BRIEG: There were two documents I intend 1 2 to use in this cross-examination. One of them is his 3 March 2nd, 2020 bill. The other is the detailed statement 4 of account. 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Jackson, while 6 you are on the call, is there any way that you could -- is 7 there any way you can be sitting next to your own computer 8 and --9 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm next to it now. 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Good. Excellent. Good. 11 In that case, could you find the email that Mr. Brieg sent 12 yesterday and there are several attachments with that 1.3 email and try to get his email up myself. There is one 14 that he wants you to click on. 15 MR. BRIEG: I just resent the email so it will 16 be at the top. 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure. Go ahead.

MR. BRIEG: I resent it now, so...

19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And then

20 Mr. Jackson, when you have that email and you have opened

21 | it up, let me know.

18

22 THE WITNESS: Okay. It is from whom?

23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: John Brieg, Benesch Law.

24 | He just sent it a minute ago. He said he sent it a minute

25 | ago. He just sent it a minute ago.

14 Mr. Jackson, are you able to open that email 1 2 up yet? MR. JACKSON: Haven't got it. 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. It should arrive 4 5 shortly. 6 MR. JACKSON: Okay. 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Brieg, you were saying that this copy of Mr. Jackson's bill, that will be CEI 8 Exhibit 1? 9 10 MR. BRIEG: I'm going to mark it for identification and move at the end of my cross for --11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Great, no problem. I'll 12 1.3 just indicate that is CEI 1. To our court reporter we'll 14 make sure you get these exhibits at the end -- after the 15 end of the hearing. MR. BRIEG: Just so I verify the email I have 16 is correct, I have Alex, A-1-e-x, Van, V-a-n, Quarter, 17 18 Q-u-a-r-t-e-r, Main, m-a-i-n at AT&T.net. 19 MR. JACKSON: I think I have got this old 20 email the one you sent first. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Do you have an 21 22 email either one sent yesterday or today where John Brieg 23 at Benesch Law? 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I got that from yesterday. 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: If you can open that up

there are three attachments.

- 2 MR. JACKSON: I opened all three of those up
- 3 and it was so small I couldn't read it.
- 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Is there any way you can
- 5 | expand it on your screen?
- 6 MR. BRIEG: The first document, Mr. Jackson,
- 7 | the first document I'm trying to show you is just the
- 8 copy, it is Lloyd Jackson 030220 billing.PDF. This is
- 9 your March 2nd, 2020 bill.
- 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Jackson, what you
- 11 | would do, maybe I misunderstood what you said,
- 12 Mr. Jackson, you would go to the email has like three what
- 13 | is called attachments.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Right.
- 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go to the attachment that
- 16 | it has Lloyd Jackson 030220 billing 2 MB, click on that
- 17 and open it up. Okay. That's what Mr. Brieg is going to
- 18 | be focusing on first.
- MR. JACKSON: Now, which attachment is that,
- 20 | first, second, or third?
- 21 MR. BRIEG: First, if you are looking at the
- 22 document. It should be any way.
- 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It is the one that says
- 24 Lloyd Jackson 030220 billing.
- MR. JACKSON: I can't open that up.

16 1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just move your arrow or 2 cursor over it and just click on it a couple of times. 3 MR. JACKSON: I can't get it open. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It did not open. Okay. 4 5 MR. BRIEG: I feel like we can go off the 6 record. I forgot we are on the record. 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go off the record. 8 (Discussion was held off record.) 9 10 11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Jackson, you did 12 receive the email from Mr. Brieg that contained these 1.3 three attachments; am I right? 14 MR. JACKSON: Yes. 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And are you saying -- okay. Again, did you click on -- did you click 16 17 on the attachment? 18 MR. JACKSON: Yes. 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let me finish. You 20 clicked on the one that says 030220 and did it open up? 21 MR. JACKSON: They didn't -- they didn't send 22 I mean, it says -- the three attachment says, first 23 one says Lloyd Jackson PDF 1.7 MB. The second one says 24 Lloyd Jackson PDF 36.6 KB or kilobytes, I guess. 25 third one says 2022815 PDF 101.8 kilobytes. That's all it

```
1 says.2 MR. BRIEG:
```

3

4

12

1.3

14

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BRIEG: It is the two MB document is the document I'm trying to have you look at, Mr. Jackson.

MR. JACKSON: The which one?

MR. BRIEG: The one that says Lloyd Jackson and then on your screen what you are seeing is 2 MB I believe?

8 MR. JACKSON: No, I see Lloyd Jackson PDF 1.7 9 MB.

MR. BRIEG: Yes, that's the document. That is the document.

MR. JACKSON: That is the document. That's the one that I clicked on and it came out so small, the reading, that I couldn't read it.

15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let me think for a minute.

16 I'll try something here and if that doesn't work.

MR. BRIEG: If it doesn't work I can modify my questions.

19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yeah, I suppose that
20 might -- let me see.

Mr. Jackson, when you say when you open it up it comes out very small, which I understand the print or the typing on this is not very big. I have a question.

MR. JACKSON: Usually all the typing on my emails or attachments come out large where I can read them

and everything. This one didn't.

1.3

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: How about this, I'll just ask one question and maybe we will have Mr. Brieg modify his questioning if necessary.

Mr. Jackson, if you can open up that document for a minute and let me know --

MR. JACKSON: That's what I'm trying to do is open it up again, but I can't -- I can't get it opened.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It won't open up. So you went to the document Mr. Brieg was describing, but you can't open it up now?

MR. JACKSON: Right.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right, Mr. Brieg, if you have an idea of how to proceed, go ahead and maybe I have an additional idea beyond that.

MR. BRIEG: I do.

 $\mbox{ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go ahead then. Go back on} \\ \mbox{the record to our court reporter. Thank you.}$

19 BY MR. BRIEG:

Q. Mr. Jackson, if Cleveland Electric

Illuminating Company's billing records for your account
show that you used over -- if you used approximately 625
kilowatt hours for the billing cycle in January of 2020,
do you have anything to dispute that?

A. No, I'm disputing it period.

- Q. This is an actual read from January of 2020 that is not at the heart of your dispute.
- A. What do you mean not at the heart of my dispute? I'm disputing all of them.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Well, this was an actual reading in January of 2020. So before the estimated reads started.
- A. They are charging me for estimated readings of the last 17 months.
- Q. And I am talking about January 2020. So prior to those estimated reads, do you have the correct time frame now with me, January of 2020?
 - A. Yeah, I hear what you are talking about.
- Q. Okay. On your January 2020 bill, for the period of January, you used approximately 625 kilowatt hours and that was an actual reading not an estimated reading. Do you follow me?
- A. I follow what you are saying I used, but is there another time I used that much?
- Q. Yes. In February of 2020, on an actual reading you used 819 kilowatt hours.
 - A. Why? Why on this document you sent me it starts at 3/28/2020? What happened to 1/2020 and 2/2020?
- Q. So you are looking at the detailed statement of account which only goes back so many years.
- 25 Mr. Jackson, I'm looking at your bill from March of 2020,

- which includes the previous years history on it.
- 2 A. It does not say nothing about no 600
- 3 kilowatts.

10

14

15

16

17

- 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, Mr. Jackson --
- 5 MR. JACKSON: 3/28/20 is what I have got for
- 6 | the first entry here.
- 7 BY MR. BRIEG:
- Q. You are looking at a different document. The first document.
 - A. What document are you talking about?
- 11 Q. The first document, which you had trouble 12 seeing because it was so small is the March bill.
- 13 A. Okay.
 - Q. On that bill, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company provides a year usage history dating a year back and that is what I'm looking at right now from your actual usage in January 2020, February 2020, and March 2020.
 - A. And what does that add up to?
- 19 Q. That's what I'm saying.
- 20 A. Those three months.
- Q. In January of 2020, you used approximately 625 kilowatt hours for that billing cycle.
- A. Okay. And how much does that add up to as far as a bill is concerned?
- Q. Well, unfortunately, I'm asking the questions.

Mr. Jackson, if you can just answer my question.

In February of 2020, do you have anything to dispute that Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company's records show that for the February 2020 bill you used approximately 819 kilowatt hours?

- A. I'm disputing it.
- Q. In March of 2020, do you have anything to dispute that the March 2020 bill you used approximately 846 kilowatt hours?
- A. I'm disputing it.
- 12 readings, do you understand that, Mr. Jackson?
- 13 A. What about -- go ahead with the actual

 14 readings from then on. How much did I use? How much did

 15 I use then?
- Q. As we previously --
- 17 A. After February -- go ahead.
- Q. And at this time I'm just asking you questions
 for testimony purposes. It is not -- I mean, if you can
 just answer my question, I'll ask that, please,
- 21 Mr. Jackson?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. I answered them. I answered them I dispute
 them.
- Q. I understand that, but then you are asking me questions and unfortunately, that's not this format right

now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. Okay. All right. Go ahead. I dispute what you asked me. Go ahead.
- Q. Okay. Starting on the March 28th -- on the March 26th, 2020 read date, that is when your account and your meter was estimated. Do you agree with me?
- A. No, I don't agree. I don't know when it was estimated. I don't know when they read the meter. When, in fact, they didn't read them if they was estimated bills.
- 11 Q. Exactly and that's the point I'm trying to 12 clarify.
 - A. They could have said -- they could have said it was anything. They could have said it was a million kilowatts I used. And what am I supposed to do, oh, okay, I used a million kilowatts? No, that was estimated.
 - Q. And I understand and where I'm going to, it looks like you are able to see that 3/28/2020 entry date; is that correct?
 - A. On the document you sent me?
 - O. Correct.
 - A. Yeah, I see that 3/28/2020.
- 23 MR. BRIEG: Your Honor, it appears that he is 24 able to open up the statement of account. Therefore, I 25 would have to -- like to have this exhibit marked as -- I

don't think I can move for Company Exhibit 1.

MR. JACKSON: It says here on 3/28/2020 the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company billed me \$43.35 and --

MR. BRIEG: Your Honor, I would ask that no question is pending currently and to instruct the witness to answer the questions. It is kind of getting into a mediation kind of conversation.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Jackson, let's backup a little bit. So Mr. Brieg, you are indicating that you are hesitant to move Exhibit 1 into evidence because Mr. Jackson has difficulty seeing it or reading it; am I right?

MR. BRIEG: Correct. I mean, I would let
Mr. Jackson know, obviously, that the document was his
actual bill from March 2nd, 2020. I think it was used and
we discussed specific information from that.

So and he has it opened, it is just the sizing of it. It was shared properly prior to the hearing and it sounds like he has it, so I would move for its admission.

The reason why I'm having this conversation is because I would like to mark -- he is currently looking at the statement of account and I think he can read the statement of account. Therefore, I would like to mark this as Company Exhibit 2 for identification.

24 1 2 (Company Exhibit 2, was marked for 3 purposes of identification.) 4 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We'll mark the statement of account as CEI Exhibit 2, okay. 6 7 BY MR. BRIEG: Q. And Mr. Jackson, the document you are looking 8 9 at currently that starts with as you said correctly, that 10 \$43.35 charge, if you look in the column that says read type, it shows that you had estimated reads, the EST, 11 12 estimated reads until August 27th, 2021; is that correct? 13 Α. No, what column are you talking about? 14 So there is a read date column on the Q. 15 statement of account, it says read date. 16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It says read type, 17 Mr. Jackson. MR. BRIEG: Well, I'm going with read date 18 19 first, Your Honor, just to show him. It is the second 20 column from the left. 21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: My mistake, I got it.

22 BY MR. BRIEG:

2.3

24

- The second column from the left, Mr. Jackson, Q. do you see how it says read date?
 - A. Read date, I see that, yes, 3/26/20.

- Q. And you see the very first entry is 03/26/20, do you see that?
 - A. Read date 3/26/20.
- Q. Correct. Now, looking six columns over there is another column titled read type. Do you see that?
 - A. I see it, yes.
- Q. And do you see the letters E-S-T with that first entry?
 - A. Yes, it means estimated I imagine.
- Q. Correct. I can submit to you that means estimated.
- 12 A. Right.

3

6

- Q. So looking down on all of the entries for this
 first page, do you see how every single one of them says
 estimated?
- 16 A. Right, I see that.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. So they estimated -- so they estimated wrong.
- Q. And Mr. Jackson, do you, looking down at the
 December 29th, 2020 read date, do you see how they show --
- 21 A. December 29th.
- 22 Q. Yep, December 29th, 2020, can you find it?
- 23 A. I see it. I see it.
- Q. Three columns over do you see the number 514?
- A. Three columns over 514?

Q. Yes.

1

7

8

9

10

11

A. I don't see -- I don't see 514.

3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Jackson, you have the

4 | 12/29/20, you found that, correct?

5 THE WITNESS: 12 -- 12/29.

6 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Correct, 12/29/20.

THE WITNESS: 12/29.

HEARING EXAMINER: You found that. Then to the right of that -- okay. To the right of that it has KWH and then 24,088 and then it has 514. Do you find that?

12 THE WITNESS: Right.

13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Brieg, go

14 ahead.

15 | BY MR. BRIEG:

- Q. Okay. And that 514 for that cycle, do you see below that how it says 571?
- 18 A. What do you mean for that cycle?
- 19 Q. That 12/29/20 read date was the estimated 20 reading during that time period.
- A. There we go -- there we go with that estimated stuff. I don't want to hear nothing about no estimated readings. I want to hear about actual readings -- actual readings.
- MR. BRIEG: Your Honor, I would ask that the

witness be directed to answer the questions.

THE WITNESS: You can estimate -- you can estimate something to be whatever you want it to be. I want an actual reading.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Jackson.

MR. JACKSON: Don't you --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Jackson, again, what Mr. Brieg is pointing out is that at this point in time for quite a few months, the reads were estimated and you may disagree with it being estimated, but that's what Cleveland Electric was doing for a time.

You know, Mr. Brieg indicated that it was -- Mr. Brieg indicated that the readings were estimated for quite a long period of time because of the pandemic that was going on, the Covid 19 pandemic.

Mr. Brieg, I'll go back to you.

BY MR. BRIEG:

- Q. And my point of showing you these two numbers here of the 514 and 571, was to draw your comparison to the actual readings you had a year earlier where you used over 600 kilowatt hours.
- A. Where does it say that? Where does it say that?
- Q. That was in the document that has been identified as Exhibit 1, that allegedly is too small for

- you to read on your end, but is in your usage history,
 that January 2020 you used 625 kilowatt hours, February
 2020 --
 - A. Well, that's what you say. That's what Electric Illuminating Company says.
 - Q. And the records indicate that based upon an actual reading in February 2020, you used 819 kilowatt hours and in March of 2020 an actual reading determined that you used 846 kilowatt hours.
 - A. No, I don't see that.

1.3

- Q. I understand you don't see that and I'm trying to tell you that that is what the records, your records for your account --
 - A. I don't care what the records say. I don't care what the records say. They can say anything. They can say anything.

Mr. Jackson, you can disagree. What Mr. Brieg is pointing out is that the bill dated March 2nd, 2020, which is the one that you said you had difficulty reading and certainly the print is small on it, that bill from March 2nd, 2020 contains a usage history, which includes several months January, February, and March of 2020 that were, according to Mr. Brieg, actual reads.

MR. JACKSON: I wonder why the typing on this

one -- that one is so small that I can't read it, but the typing on the other two attachments came out fine and I can read it. And I wonder why that happened?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go off the record just for a minute.

- -

(Discussion was held off record.)

8

9 BY MR. BRIEG:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

- Q. Mr. Jackson, if company records show that your last actual reading, which I understand your last actual reading before this time frame where the estimates occurred, the last actual reading occurred on February 27th, 2020. Do you agree with that?
 - A. No. Was it estimated?
- 16 O. No.
- 17 A. I don't agree with anything that was 18 estimated.
 - Q. The last actual reading occurred --
- 20 A. I don't see that. I don't see that.
- Q. But if the company records show that an actual reading occurred on February 27th, 2020, do you have any information to dispute that?
- A. They can put anything on the company record, but the actual, I don't have no actual readings on this

- account page that you were talking about before. All of them was estimated.
- Q. I'm speaking about the February 27th, 2020 reading which occurred --
- A. I know what you are speaking about. I know what you are speaking about, but I don't see that. I don't see anything.
 - O. I understand.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Jackson.
- THE WITNESS: I don't see anything about the actual readings.
- 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Jackson, just
 13 let Mr. Brieg finish. Mr. Brieg, you mentioned
 14 February --
- 15 THE WITNESS: All right. Go ahead.
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Hold on. Mr. Jackson -
 Mr. Brieg you are mentioning a February 27th, 2020 actual

 read. Is that somewhere on the documents you have already

 introduced?
- MR. BRIEG: It is on Exhibit 1, which while I
 understand he can't read it, I need to introduce the fact
 of that numbers actual reading and I'm asking if he has
 any information to dispute what the company records have.
 But that is located on the usage information for the meter
 below the account summary on the March 2nd bill. That is

the last --

1.3

MR. JACKSON: Let me ask you a question. Can I ask you a question? Why -- why does my bills before this 17 months and the bills after the 17 months, actual bills and estimated bills don't amount up to anything like what you are trying to charge me?

MR. BRIEG: Your Honor, I object. There is no question pending.

MR. JACKSON: None of this -- you object to everything I say, but everything you say is okay. I object to everything you say.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Brieg, is there some way you can go on with a different line of questioning maybe.

MR. BRIEG: I mean, I'm going to ask this line of questioning and if he doesn't have a response then I'll move forward, but for the record I need to build this line of questioning for the specific proof of the actual read, the two actual reading dates.

BY MR. BRIEG:

Q. So Mr. Jackson, as I stated, the company records indicate that on February 27th, 2020 an actual reading of your meter was taken which resulted in the numbers 21,997. Do you have any information to dispute that?

- I dispute all of it. Α.
- 2 Q. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.3

17

18

- The amount, the estimate, when it amounts to Α. \$400 and something dollars and I never had that kind of bill before the 17 months or after the 17 months I'm disputing it.
- Q. Okay. And the next actual reading that took place after that February 27th, 2020 reading took place on August 27th, 2021, and that meter reading resulted in a read of 28,299, you can see that on Exhibit 2, can you not, Mr. Jackson?
- 12 Α. No, I don't.
- Q. Can you turn -- can you go to the second page 14 of that statement of account that you have open in front 15 of you? If you scroll down to the second page, let me 16 know when you are there.
 - Α. Go ahead.
 - Do you see the read date 8/27/2021? Q.
- 19 8/27, yeah, I see that. Α.
 - Okay. You see the next column says KWH? Q.
- 21 Α. Yeah.
- 22 And the next column says 28,299, do you see Q. 23 that?
- 24 Α. I see it.
- 25 Q. And then do you see three more columns over,

the letters ACT?

1

2

6

7

8

9

- A. Right.
- Q. So that was an actual reading that took place on that date and your meter read those numbers 28,299, do you agree?
 - A. It is on the paper here.
 - Q. And do you understand that when your meter was read it showed that your meter had progressed from that initial 21,997 in February of 2020 to 28,299 as of August 27th, 2021. Do you understand that?
- 11 A. That's what it says.
- 12 Q. Therefore --
- 13 A. To me it could be the meter could be wrong,
 14 too.
- Q. Okay. Well, do you understand that your meter was removed and tested on September --
- A. No, I don't.
- 18 Q. -- 19th?
- A. No, I don't. No, I don't. That's what they said, but I don't know nothing about that.
- Q. But you have nothing to dispute that your meter was removed and tested?
- A. I do not know that. I'm disputing it because
 I don't know that it was removed and I don't know that it
 was tested.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Jackson, did you ask for the meter to be changed?

MR. JACKSON: No, I did not.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You did not, okay.

MR. JACKSON: No, I did not.

BY MR. BRIEG:

- Q. Now at that time you were calling -- isn't it true that after you received the bill for the \$335.94, you called in to Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company to lodge a complaint?
- A. I called when it was \$400 and something dollars, \$76 dollars. I don't know nothing about no \$300 and something dollars.
- Q. And then at that time, do you have anything to dispute that CEI removed the meter and tested it and it came back registering an accuracy of 99.99 percent?
- A. Didn't I just tell you I dispute it because I don't know if it was removed? I don't know if it was tested. I don't know what they did with it. And I didn't ask them to remove a meter. We got over \$200, \$300, I mean, 200 or 300 meters in this building where I live. I don't even know where these meters are. Somebody could have been taking using my electricity. I don't know nothing about that.
 - Q. And Mr. Jackson, you don't have any proof that

- 1 | someone was using your electricity, correct?
- 2 A. You don't have any proof that someone was not
- 3 using.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 MR. BRIEG: That's all the questions I have
- 6 for Mr. Jackson at this time.
- 7 Your Honor, at this time I would move for the
- 8 admission of CEI Exhibit 1 and CEI Exhibit 2.
- 9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. We'll admit those
- 10 into evidence. Okay. And I believe you have a witness as
- 11 | well?
- MR. BRIEG: I do.
- 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please go ahead.
- 14 | Actually, I'll swear in the witness. Miss Davis, are you
- 15 | available now?
- MR. BRIEG: I think she just got promoted to
- 17 panelist, so I think she can unmute herself.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am available.
- 19 MR. BRIEG: The Company calls Miss Princess
- 20 Davis.
- 21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Miss Davis.
- 22 MR. JACKSON: Wait a minute. Wait a minute.
- 23 | Before you start questioning her, I do not know this lady.
- 24 Never heard of her. And, of course, whatever she
- 25 | testifies is going to be for the Electric Illuminating

```
1 | Company since she is an employee for them.
```

So whatever she says I'm disputing all of that because I don't know if what she is saying is true or not.

5 MR. BRIEG: Your Honor, can I just make a 6 statement for the record?

7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, I'll make a comment 8 first.

Mr. Jackson, we'll let Ms. Davis state whatever she needs to then you can raise any objections or concerns after that.

MR. JACKSON: Okay.

9

10

11

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Brieg, go ahead.

MR. BRIEG: I would just like to state that pursuant to the Attorney Examiner's entry dated June 22nd, 2022, specifically paragraph 9, CEI intending to present this expert testimony complied with Ohio Administrative Code 4901-1-29 (A)(1)(H) and we prefiled this testimony more than seven days prior to the commencement of the hearing, which was continued, but the testimony was provided via actual mail, not electronic mail, to Mr. Jackson back when it was filed then and it was again resent yesterday via email.

24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. All 25 right.

	37
1	
2	PRINCESS DAVIS,
3	being first duly sworn, as provided by law, was examined
4	and testified as follows:
5	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Thank you.
6	Mr. Brieg, go ahead with your questioning.
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. BRIEG:
9	Q. Miss Davis, can you please state your full
10	name and spell it for the record?
11	A. Princess Davis, P-r-i-n-c-e-s-s Davis,
12	D-a-v-i-s.
13	Q. And by whom are you employed and in what
14	capacity?
15	A. By First Energy in the compliance department.
16	Q. And what is your specific title?
17	A. Advanced customer service specialist.
18	MR. BRIEG: Your Honor, may I direct the
19	witness to what will be premarked for identification as
20	Company Exhibit 3?
21	
22	(Company Exhibit 3, was marked for
23	purposes of identification.)
24	
25	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, you can. Yes, you

```
38
1
    may.
2
                 MR. BRIEG: Go ahead, sorry, Your Honor.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Jackson, this will
 4
    be --
 5
                 MR. JACKSON:
                              Excuse me, she didn't answer the
    question about who she worked for. She works for the
 6
7
    Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company.
8
                 MR. BRIEG: Your Honor, the witness testified
    to her actual employment. If Mr. Jackson has any
 9
10
    questions I would ask that he ask the questions of the
    witness to cross-examine when it is his time.
11
12
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yeah, Mr. Jackson, if you
1.3
    can just hold off until Miss Davis is finished. Thank
14
    you.
15
                 MR. JACKSON: Go ahead.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So Mr. Jackson, Mr. Brieg
16
    is referring to now the third thing that was sent in that
17
18
    email to you. It is a -- what is called a deposition or
19
    just some question and answer that Miss Davis completed
20
    before this hearing, long before this hearing took place.
21
                 MR. JACKSON: I don't have that.
2.2
                 MR. BRIEG: It is the 20220815 document.
23
                 MR. JACKSON: I already have what she
24
    testified.
```

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You say you already have

```
39
 1
     it, right?
 2
                 MR. JACKSON: Yes.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Just wanted to be
 4
     sure.
                 MR. JACKSON: I have got every word -- I have
 5
     got every word that she is going to say right here in
 6
 7
     front of me.
 8
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, we are just
     trying -- we are trying our best to make something work
 9
     when it is a remote or electronic hearing, which isn't
10
11
     that easy to do. We appreciate you're doing your best.
12
                 Mr. Brieg, go ahead. I assume you had some
13
     questions for Miss Davis.
14
                 MR. BRIEG: I do.
     BY MR. BRIEG:
15
16
                Miss Davis, do you recognize this document,
17
     which has been premarked for identification as Company
     Exhibit 3?
18
19
            Α.
                Yes.
20
                What is it?
            Q.
                Prefiled written testimony prepared for this
21
            Α.
22
     hearing.
                 Did you prepare this or cause this to be
2.3
            Q.
24
     prepared at your direction?
25
            Α.
                Yes.
```

- Q. If I were to ask you the questions that are in that testimony here today, would your answers be the same?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And were you present for the testimony of Mr. Jackson here today?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

- Q. And did you hear -- did you hear our conversations discussing what has now been marked into evidence as Company Exhibit 1? What is your understanding of what Company Exhibit 1 is?
- A. The detailed statement of account.
- Q. I want to just direct your attention -- is that -- is it your understanding that that is Company Exhibit 1 or Company Exhibit 2?
- 15 A. I'm sorry, Company Exhibit 2 is the statement 16 of account. Exhibit 1 is the bill.
 - Q. Okay. Regarding Company Exhibit 1, the bill, can you please describe what specifically that is?
- A. That is a copy of Mr. Jackson's billing statement usage from January 30th of 2020 to February 21 27th, 2020.
- Q. And on that bill, does it provide a customer with usage history?
- A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And does that bill provide usage history for

the months of January 2020 through March 2020?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

1.3

14

- Q. And can you please indicate for the record what the usage history was for January 2020?
 - A. 625 kilowatts.
- Q. Can you please indicate for the record what the February 2020 usage was?
 - A. 819 kilowatts.
 - Q. And the same for March 2020, please?
- 10 A. 846 kilowatts.
 - Q. And is there something on this document that lets you know those were based off of actual meter reads?
 - A. Yes, there is a bar graph on the left-hand side below the usage history that indicates the actual read.
- Q. And is it your understanding that all three of those were actual meter reads?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And then only one other thing I would like to direct your attention to on this document, does this document give the exact meter numbers that is read on the meter?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And can you please indicate for the record
 what the numbers on the meter read on February 27th, 2020?

A. 21,997.

1.3

- Q. And turning to Company Exhibit 2, we know from the testimony of Mr. Jackson that they were actual -there were estimated meter reads from that actual meter reading to another date. Do you know when the next actual meter read was?
 - A. Yes, August 27th, 2021.
- Q. And what was the number on the meter on that date?
- A. 28,299.
- 11 Q. Perfect.
 - MR. BRIEG: That's all the questions I have at this time, Your Honor. I have no further additional direct on Mr. Jackson's prior testimony. Miss Davis is available for cross-examination.

any questions from Mr. Jackson, okay, Miss Davis, you indicated that when you are looking at Company Exhibit 1, you indicated that the reads for January, February and March of 2020 were all actual reads. Then you refer to that bar graph below and you were saying that by looking at that bar graph you know that the reads for January, February, March of 2020 were actual reads.

Are you saying that, because if I'm looking at that graph, it looks like there is a little A in each of

43 those months in the bar graph, looks like a little A 1 2 which might indicate an actual read; is that correct? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, and actually below that is a chart, I guess, I'm sorry, I'm not thinking of the right 4 5 word. It says A for actual, E for estimate, and C for 6 customer. 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Oh, I see it. Okay. 8 Okay. And the second you were mentioning that there was -- you made a reference to the last actual read being 9 10 21 -- I'm sorry, 21,997. Am I right about that I think? THE WITNESS: The --11 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You indicated that number. 13 I was just trying to find where that is. 14 THE WITNESS: I believe that is on Exhibit 1, 15 21,997. It is on the right-hand side of the bill where it 16 says usage. 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Oh, I see. 18 THE WITNESS: It has got February 27th, 2020 19 on it. 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I got it. Okay. Okay. 21 That's good. Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS: You are welcome.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. I'll take a

22

23

24

quick look at your testimony. I don't believe I had any

in time.

2.2

Okay. Mr. Jackson, did you, you know, you indicated that you disagree with -- before Miss Davis spoke you indicated that you had objected to whatever she had said, but having heard her comments, did you have any additional questions or comments yourself, Mr. Jackson?

MR. JACKSON: I just, like I said, I'm getting bills now and I have always from the Electric Illuminating Company and I have a bill I'm looking at here now in May of 20 -- due date was May of 2022. And January and February and March, it has no way the kilowatt usage that they say I used back in '20, whenever. It is kilowatt usage in January, February, and March, and I used in the summer.

MR. BRIEG: Your Honor, I object to this exhibit. This exhibit is being used that was not shared.

MR. JACKSON: Why in that particular 17 months I used God knows how much kilowatt usage?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, I'll agree to the objection. I agree with the objection. We are not, of course, we don't have a copy of the bill you are looking at Mr. Jackson.

MR. JACKSON: Yeah, I can send you a copy. I have two or three bills here from January through March that I have not used --

45 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Those are the bills for 1 2 your most recent months; am I correct? 3 MR. JACKSON: Yeah. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are referring to bills 4 from January, February, and March -- January and February 5 and March of 2022; am I correct? 6 7 MR. JACKSON: Right. 8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Well, you know, 9 thank you for your comments, but those bills are a little 10 beyond what we are focusing on here because, you know, we 11 are focusing on that period of time where you felt that 12 the estimates were too high. But having heard --1.3 MR. JACKSON: What about the bills -- what 14 about the bills before this started estimating 17 months 15 I never had any problems with underestimating my bills and they were actual bills. They weren't estimated. 16 17 MR. BRIEG: I'm not sure he is asking the 18 question to the witness. 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sorry, Mr. Brieg, what did 20 you say again? 21 MR. BRIEG: I'm not sure if he is asking that 22 question to the witness. 23 MR. JACKSON: I'm asking anybody that want to 24 answer it.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, how about asking

your question and perhaps the witness can answer. What was your question then, Mr. Jackson?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. JACKSON:

1.3

Q. Why I had no problems before this 17 months in January and February and March or whenever and even after the 17 months, January, February, and March, I never used anywhere near the kilowatt usage that you say I used in 2020. I never had any problems with any bills before then or after -- even after that. How is that? It is just these 17 months when you were estimating that I had these big problems with kilowatt usage that you were estimating.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It is, I think, maybe more of a statement than a question.

MR. BRIEG: I'll just object to the compound question, but I would tell my witness -- I would let her know she can answer if she has an answer and understands the question.

THE WITNESS: I believe what you are asking,
Mr. Jackson, is your usage before and your usage after
that you did not have any concerns with and you are
inquiring as to why you received the large August bill
following the estimations; is that correct?

BY MR. JACKSON:

O. Yes.

1.3

A. In the months that you were estimated for those months between March of 2020 and August of 2021, we don't know what your actual usage was because we did not obtain a reading. So in August, we reconciled the usage.

So there may have been some months that you were overestimated and other months that you were, you know, maybe billed for more appropriate usage, but during the months that you were underestimated we reconciled that when we received that actual reading.

- Q. You didn't have -- you don't have any records of my bills before that 17 months?
 - A. We do. That's the bills that we --
- Q. All right then. Well, all right then. You can see that I had no problems before the 17 months. I never used as much kilowatt usage in January, February, and March or whenever before that 17 months. So why during that 17 months when you are estimating that I got this big bill that you say you underestimated?
- A. Well, the months that you had the actual readings you were averaging kilowatt hours. Just, for example, based on our Exhibit 1, you had 819 kilowatt hours between January --
- Q. I'm talking about before.
- MR. BRIEG: Your Honor, I would object and ask

to allow my witness to answer the question.

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Jackson, let --

3 Mr. Jackson, when you --

1.3

2.2

MR. JACKSON: She is still talking about 2020 and 2021. I'm talking about before then. I don't want to hear about 2021. I done heard everything about 2021. I'm talking about before the 17 months.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And I believe Miss Davis was referring to the three months in 2020, January, February, and March leading up to when the bills had to be estimated, okay. I think that's what she was addressing.

MR. JACKSON: Right.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Well, then let her continue with her answer. Okay?

MR. JACKSON: Go ahead. Go ahead.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So Miss Davis, you were going back to the three months immediately before the estimates began and you were saying, Miss Davis, please, if you can just repeat or elaborate on what you said of January, February, March of 2020 and the usage then?

THE WITNESS: It is on the actual reading for Exhibit 1. From January 30th to February 27th, 2020, the actual usage was 846 kilowatt hours, which was an average of 29 kilowatts per day.

On the statement of account, for instance, which is Exhibit 2, the reason that you would have been underestimated is if you look at that actual billing for the estimate in let's say January 28th, 2021, which is on Exhibit 2, we only estimated you at using 19 kilowatts a day. Whereas last year, the year prior, you had 28 kilowatts per day.

1.3

So that's just an example of the underestimation for those number of kilowatts per day that got billed when we reconciled that usage.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. JACKSON: She is still talking about 2020 and 2021. I'm talking about before 2020 and 2021. I didn't have any problems then with actual bills. You are talking about estimated bills.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I think the witness answered to the best of her ability.

Mr. Jackson, I'll ask you this question, you know, of course, you are still required to answer to the best of your ability, too.

Mr. Jackson, you are saying that in the months leading up to when the estimates began, those months leading up to it would have been January, February, and March of 2020, you are saying that you never really had any difficulty or objection to the amount of the bills you

received leading up to the time where those estimates began; am I correct?

MR. JACKSON: That is correct. I didn't have any problem with bills then and I have no problem with bills now. I have paid my bill every month for the last 40 or 50 years. I have never missed a bill because I felt they were correct, but this I do not.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure. Okay. And Mr. Jackson, again, I'm looking at the company exhibit. It is that bill that, unfortunately, you said was a little too small for you to read. It is indicating in January, February, March of 2020, your kilowatt hours were, let's see, it was 625 and then 819 and then 846 and in those numbers, you know, I don't know what the dollar amount of those bills was, but you are saying that -- you are saying even though the kilowatt usage in those months was higher than prior months, you didn't have any problem with paying those bills; am I right?

MR. JACKSON: Right.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And you indicated that you have electric heat?

MR. JACKSON: Right.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Jackson, do you

24 find --

1.3

MR. JACKSON: Not heat. I don't have electric

heat. I have electric stove.

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Maybe I

3 misunderstood you. I thought earlier when I was

4 questioning you you said you had electric heat. Is it gas

5 | heat in your --

1

9

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JACKSON: I don't know what kind of heat
this is. It is -- I don't know. It is -- it is on the
floor and it comes up out of some -- I don't know what

10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: If you don't, that's all
11 right. That would add some additional background to the

12 | complaint case.

kind of heat it is.

At any rate, we have noted your objections to Miss Davis's testimony. Did you have anything else to add, if you don't that's okay?

MR. JACKSON: No, I'm going to get back to this information that's on the Internet and with Fox News and Forbes --

MR. BRIEG: Objection.

MR. JACKSON: -- and everywhere else. You want to object to that because you want to ban it, ban it. You don't want people to know about it. That's why you are objecting.

And I have got a lot of information here about how we are getting scammed by the big power companies.

MR. BRIEG: Objection, Your Honor.

1.3

MR. JACKSON: Objection, yeah, objection.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, Mr. Jackson, those stories may be out there, but what we are trying to focus on today is the months in particular you are complaining about.

MR. JACKSON: After reading this, yeah, I'm complaining. I don't want to be scammed.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. That's your right to make that statement, certainly. Again, we are trying to focus on the months specific to your complaint.

Mr. Brieg, I'm guessing then at this point you probably want the testimony of your witness to be admitted into evidence?

MR. BRIEG: Yes, Your Honor, at this time I would move for the admission of Company Exhibit 3 into evidence.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will admit that into evidence as well.

Mr. Jackson, you know, I understand your disagreement with a lot of what was said by the company today, but at any rate, we'll weigh your testimony as well as the testimony and the exhibits of CEI in evaluating everything.

MR. JACKSON: Okay.

53 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We'll just go off the 1 2 record for a moment here. 3 4 (Recess was taken.) 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go back on the record with 6 7 that for a minute. 8 MR. BRIEG: Only thing I would ask if obviously we receive a brief, I would ask for permission 9 10 to file a reply. 11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Oh, sure. 12 MR. BRIEG: By just November 21st, right 1.3 before Thanksgiving. 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Let's see now. So November and Miss Chafins we were talking about briefs. 15 So we agree that it was November 10th for the briefs and 16 17 November 21st for reply briefs and again, Mr. Jackson, 18 that is not something that has to be done. It is just a 19 written argument of the arguments that were made today and you can file something if you wish. 20 MR. JACKSON: Is this filing objections to 21 22 what has happened today? 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, no. Again, what you 24 basically would be filing would just be, you know, any --25 say any explanations you made today about why you filed

the complaint, why you think you are correct in what your objections are that kind of thing.

1.3

It wouldn't really be I object to what CEI is saying. It would be more just trying to whatever -promote whatever your case is, whatever your argument is,
you know. For example, you know, you were saying I had no
problem paying my bills all along until after the
estimates were done or something of that nature.

But again, it is not something you would have to file. You would mail it in, U.S. Mail to our address at the Commission. I can give that to you -- email you that after the hearing.

Let me think if there is anything else.

Mr. Jackson, I'll also mention this. As you might have guessed, everything was sort of very much slowed down because of the pandemic and we are trying to catch up on hearings and Commission decisions on the hearings, that kind of thing.

I don't make the final decision. It would go to all of our Commissioners who would review the record that I summarize. So it may take quite awhile for you to get the outcome of this case. Eventually you will. I just want to let you know that we really have a lot to catch up on because for a time during the pandemic no hearings were being held — conducted at all.

With that being said, see, we have admitted 1 2 all the exhibits into evidence. I want to thank everyone for participating today. Micah Schmidt for our technical 3 4 expert and Donna Chafins as our court reporter as well. 5 Any final questions from anyone? 6 MR. JACKSON: No, I want to thank you for the 7 hearing and I'd just like to know how many other people 8 Electric Illuminating Company has gave a big bill to 9 because they said they underestimated what they told them. 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I understand your question, Mr. Jackson. I don't have an answer to that and 11 12 Mr. Brieg, I think you had one other comment. 1.3 MR. BRIEG: Yes, just logistically on how to share the exhibits with Miss Chafins. 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That can be -- I can email 15 those to her. 16 17 MR. BRIEG: Perfect. Yeah, I think all three 18 I sent you were used today. 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. That's fine. 20 Thanks everyone for your participation and patience in 21 getting up and running and I ask you all to stay safe and 22 stay well. You know, we are entering another Covid and 23 flu season, so be careful. Thank you. Have a good day. 24 (Hearing was concluded at 1:52 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, September 29, 2022, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Donna D. Chafins, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires November 8, 2026.

_ _

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/17/2022 1:03:54 PM

in

Case No(s). 22-0193-EL-CSS

Summary: Transcript September 29th 2022 LLOYD E. JACKSON VS. THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC COMPANY electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Chafins, Donna