THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF CARMEN SCHATZMAN,

COMPLAINANT,

v.

CASE NO. 22-728-EL-CSS

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

RESPONDENT.

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on October 7, 2022

- {¶ 1} Pursuant to R.C. 4905.26, the Commission has authority to consider written complaints filed against a public utility by any person or corporation regarding any rate, service, regulation, or practice relating to any service furnished by the public utility that is in any respect unjust, unreasonable, insufficient, or unjustly discriminatory.
- $\{\P\ 2\}$ Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke) is a public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02 and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- {¶ 3} On July 25, 2022, Carmen Schatzman (Ms. Schatzman or Complainant) filed a complaint against Duke concerning her bill. Ms. Schatzman explains that she had received a September 1, 2020, letter stating that, because of governmental aggregation, Dynergy Energy Services (Dynergy) would become the supplier of her electricity.¹ According to Complainant, the letter also indicated that Complainant had until September 10, 2020, to cancel the transfer of her service to Dynergy. Complainant contends that she called to cancel the transfer in a timely manner and received a letter indicating that her electric suppler would continue to be Duke. However, she asserts, her electric supplier was changed to

Complainant references that she provided three documents as attachments to the complaint. These documents are not in the case docket.

22-728-EL-CSS -2-

Dynergy, and despite "multiple other callings * * * [to] Duke, Dynergy and * * * PUCO," no party has found any record of her calls or letters indicating that she was to remain with Duke. She requests a credit to her account of the amounts billed by Dynergy.

- [¶ 4] Duke filed its answer on August 15, 2022. Duke admits that Complainant is a Duke customer and that Duke mailed a letter to Complainant on September 1, 2020, stating that her supplier would change to Dynergy because of governmental aggregation. Duke further states that it sent Complainant a letter dated September 10, 2020, documenting her request to decline enrollment with Dynergy and remain with Duke as her supplier of electricity. However, Duke adds that, on October 1, 2020, Complainant called Duke to explain that, in her prior calls, she only had questions about aggregation and Dynergy, and that Duke had incorrectly removed Dynergy as her supplier of electricity. Duke asserts that it then attempted to cancel the rescind request concerning Dynergy, but could not confirm that the cancellation was successful, and therefore took steps to re-enroll Complainant with Dynergy. Duke contends that the time period of the alleged complaint does not allow for "the preservation/availability of many of the calls/recordings, as alleged by Complainant." Finally, Duke asserts that Complainant did not provide any copies of the letters that she alleges support her contentions.
- {¶ 5} The attorney examiner finds that this matter should be scheduled for a settlement conference. The purpose of the settlement conference will be to explore the parties' willingness to negotiate a resolution in lieu of an evidentiary hearing. In accordance with Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-26, any statements made in an attempt to settle this matter without the need for an evidentiary hearing will not generally be admissible to prove liability or invalidity of a claim. An attorney examiner from the Commission's legal department will facilitate the settlement process. However, nothing prohibits any party from initiating settlement negotiations prior to the scheduled settlement conference.
- $\{\P 6\}$ Accordingly, a settlement conference shall be scheduled for 10:00 a.m. on October 14, 2022. The settlement conference shall be held telephonically. To participate in

22-728-EL-CSS -3-

the settlement conference, the parties shall call (614) 721-2972 and enter 948 930 170# when

prompted.

 $\{\P 7\}$ If a settlement is not reached at the conference, the attorney examiner may

conduct discussion of procedural issues. Procedural issues for discussion may include

discovery dates, possible stipulations of facts, and potential hearing dates.

{¶ 8} Pursuant to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-26(F) the representatives of the public

utility shall investigate the issues raised on the complaint prior to the settlement conference,

and all parties attending the conference shall be prepared to discuss settlement of the issues

raised and shall have the authority to settle those issues.

§¶ 9 As is the case in all Commission complaint proceedings, the complainant has

the burden of proving the allegations of the complaint. *Grossman v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 5 Ohio

St.2d 189, 214 N.E. 2d 666 (1966).

 $\{\P 10\}$ It is, therefore,

{¶ 11} ORDERED, That a settlement conference be scheduled for October 14, 2022, at

10:00 a.m. as indicated in Paragraph 6. It is, further,

¶ 12 ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/James M. Lynn

By: James M. Lynn Attorney Examiner

MLW/hac

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/7/2022 9:27:29 AM

in

Case No(s). 22-0728-EL-CSS

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry ordering that a settlement conference be scheduled for October 14, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. electronically filed by Heather A. Chilcote on behalf of James M. Lynn, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission