

**From:** [Puco ContactOPSB](#)  
**To:** [Puco Docketing](#)  
**Subject:** public comment 21-0669-EL-BGN  
**Date:** Monday, August 29, 2022 11:02:05 AM

---

Dear Power Siting Board,

What amount of miles determines a projects direct community? Is it 2, 5, or 10 miles? Originally South Branch Solar was to do studies 5miles out from the project site. They were then told to only do 2miles out. However 10miles away is the city of Findlay. The recent staff brief posted on the case record says that this is not a one sided opposition case like Republic wind, but it really is. The direct community effected by this is a 5 mile radius where the opposition is fully 1 sided. However 10miles away in Findlay not even effected by this is where all the support for the project is. Majority of the letters on the comments section for the project are college students who are international and non permanent residents of Hancock County. Why are you allowing there voices to be heard but shutting down the local direct community voices. They don't live next to this project or in the direct community of the project. At the evidentiary hearing Lanny Boes, me, and 4 others were struck down from being witnesses for our intervener. However Lanny has been a drainage contractor for over 40 years. His business was mentioned several times in other testimony, but no one ever questioned his involvement to the business. This is definitely one sided in opposition but the staff brief and South Branch want to make it 1 sided in support. Do the right and honest thing and fully look at the direct project area not 10miles away. Think of Arcadia and those who will directly be effected by this. This week is our county fair and by allowing this project your taking away 4-H and FFA students futures in agriculture. Let our A1 zoned agriculture community do our thing and Country On.

Rachelle Harmon - Arcadia FFA Alumni president

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities  
Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**8/29/2022 12:47:37 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 21-0669-EL-BGN**

Summary: Public Comment of Rachelle Harmon, via website, electronically filed by  
Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing