BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Case No. 17-974-EL-UNC

NOTICE OF FILING OF TRANSCRIPT FOR DEPOSITION OF EBONY YEBOAH-AMANKWAH ON JULY 21, 2022 BY OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, consistent with O.A.C. 4901-1-21(N), hereby gives notice of the filing of the attached deposition transcript for the deposition of Ebony Yeboah-Amankwah taken on July 21, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Weston (0016973) Ohio Consumers' Counsel

/s/ Maureen R. Willis

Maureen R. Willis (0020847) Counsel of Record John Finnigan (0018689) Connor D. Semple (0101102) Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

65 East State Street, Suite 700

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Telephone [Willis]: (614) 466-9567 Telephone [Finnigan]: (614) 466-9585 Telephone [Semple]: (614) 466-9565

maureen.willis@occ.ohio.gov john.finnigan@occ.ohio.gov connor.semple@occ.ohio.gov (willing to accept service by email)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading was served on the persons stated below via electronic transmission, this 10th day of August 2022.

/s/ Maureen R. Willis
Maureen R. Willis
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

The PUCO's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the following parties:

SERVICE LIST

thomas.lindgren@ohioAGO.gov
werner.margard@ohioAGO.gov
joliker@igsenergy.com
Mnugent@igsenergy.com
evan.betterton@igs.com
dstinson@bricker.com
whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com
trent@hubaydougherty.com
mwise@mcdonaldhopkins.com
mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com
kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com
jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com
talexander@beneschlaw.com
gkrassen@nopec.org

Attorney Examiners: megan.addison@puco.ohio.gov jacqueline.st.john@puco.ohio.gov

edanford@firstenergycorp.com cwatchorn@firstenergycorp.com bknipe@firstenergycorp.com mrgladman@jonesday.com mdengler@jonesday.com radoringo@jonesday.com marcie.lape@skadden.com iavalon@taftlaw.com kverhalen@taftlaw.com mpritchard@mcneeslaw.com rdove@keglerbrown.com bojko@carpenterlipps.com ctavenor@theOEC.org knordstrom@theoec.org iweber@elpc.org trhayslaw@gmail.com leslie.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov sgoyal@jonesday.com calee@jonesday.com dparram@bricker.com rmains@bricker.com

	Page 1
1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES
2	COMMISSION OF OHIO
3	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE OHIO EDISON
6	COMPANY, THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC
7	ILLUMINATING COMPANY, AND
8	THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY'S
9	COMPLIANCE WITH R.C. 4928.17,
10	AND THE OHIO ADMIN CODE
11	CHAPTER 4901:1-37
12	
13	CASE NO. 17-974-EL-UNC
14	
15	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
16	DEPOSITION OF
17	EBONY YEBOAH-AMANKWAH
18	
	July 21, 2022
19	10:08 a.m.
20	Taken at:
	Embassy Parkway Suites
21	4040 Embassy Parkway
	Fairlawn, Ohio
22	
23	
24	Kurt M. Spencer, Notary Public
25	

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	On behalf of the Deponent:
4	Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP, by
5	MARCIE LAPE, ESQ.
6	PATRICK FITZGERALD, ESQ.
7	BRIAN O'CONNER, ESQ.
8	155 North Wacker Drive
9	Suite 2700
10	Chicago, Illinois 60606
11	(312) 407-0954
12	marcella.lape@skadden.com
13	
14	On behalf of Ohio Consumers' Counsel:
15	JOHN FINNIGAN, ESQ.
16	MAUREEN R. WILLIS, ESQ.
17	LARRY SAUER, ESQ.
18	MIKE HALL, ESQ.
19	65 East State Street
20	Seventh Floor
21	Columbus, Ohio 43215-3420
22	(614) 466-8574
23	john.finnigan@occ.ohio.gov
24	maureen.willis@occ.ohio.gov
25	

		Page 3
1		
2	On behalf of Ohio Edison Company:	
3	Jones Day, by	
4	RYAN A. DORINGO, ESQ.	
5	MICHAEL R. GLADMAN, ESQ.	
6	North Point	
7	901 Lakeside Avenue	
8	Cleveland, Ohio 44114	
9	(216) 586-7273	
10	radoringo@jonesday.com	
11		
12	On behalf of FirstEnergy Corp:	
13	Jones Day, by	
14	ADAM J. HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ.	
15	MICHAEL KOSLEN, ESQ.	
16	North Point	
17	901 Lakeside Ave,	
18	Cleveland, Ohio 44114	
19	(216) 586-7112	
20	ahollingsworth@jonesday.com	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 4
1	
2	On behalf of Ohio Attorney General:
3	THOMAS LINDGREN, ESQ.
4	WERNER MARGARD, ESQ.
5	RHIANNON PLANT, ESQ.
6	CHRISTINA SHAFFER, ESQ.
7	DONALD LEMING, ESQ.
8	TOM SHEPHERD, ESQ.
9	30 East Broad Street
10	Twenty-fifth Floor
11	Columbus, Ohio 43215
12	(614) 644-8768
13	thomas.lindgren@ohioattorneygeneral.gov
14	
15	On behalf of Ohio Manufacturers'
16	Association Energy Group:
17	Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP, by
18	KIMBERLY W. BOJKO, ESQ.
19	JONATHAN WYGONSKI, ESQ.
20	280 North High Street
21	Suite 1300
22	Columbus, Ohio 43215
23	(614) 365-4124
24	bojko@carpenterlipps.com
25	

	Page 5
1	
2	On behalf of Northeast Ohio
3	Public Energy Council:
4	Bricker & Eckler, LLP, by
5	DANE STINSON, ESQ.
6	100 South Third Street
7	Columbus, Ohio 43215
8	(614) 227-2300
9	dstinson@bricker.com
10	
11	On behalf of Interstate Gas Supply:
12	JOSEPH OLIKER, ESQ.
13	EVAN BETTERTON, ESQ.
14	6100 Emerald Parkway
15	Dublin, Ohio 43016
16	(614) 659-5069
17	joe.oliker@igs.com
18	
19	On behalf of Ohio Environmental Council:
20	Clean Energy Attorney,
21	KARIN NORDSTROM, ESQ.
22	1145 Chesapeake Avenue
23	Suite I
24	Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449
25	

	Page 6
1	
2	On behalf of Industrial Energy Users, IEU-Ohio:
3	Wallace Mcnees & Nurick, LLC, by
4	MATTHEW R. PRITCHARD, ESQ.
5	21 East State Street
6	Suite 1700
7	Columbus, Ohio 43215
8	(614) 719-2853
9	mpritchard@mcneeslaw.com
10	
11	On behalf of Ohio Energy Group
12	Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry, by
13	JODY KYLER COHN, ESQ.
14	36 East Seventh Street
15	Suite 1510
16	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
17	(513) 421-2255
18	
19	On behalf of Citizens Utility Board, Ohio
20	Hubay Dougherty, by
21	Trent Dougherty
22	PO Box 12460
23	Columbus, Ohio 43212
24	trent@HubayDougherty.com
25	

	Page 7
1	TRANSCRIPT INDEX
2	
3	APPEARANCES 2
4	
5	
6	EXAMINATION OF EBONY YEBOAH-AMANKWAH
7	BY MR. FINNIGAN
8	BY MR. OLIKER 100
9	BY MS. BOJKO 121
10	BY MR. STINSON
11	
12	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 202
13	
14	EXHIBIT CUSTODY
15	NO EXHIBITS MARKED
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
2 5	~ ~ ~ ~

EBONY YEBOAH-AMANKWAH, of lawful 1 2. age, called for examination, as provided by the 3 Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, being by me first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, 4 deposed and said as follows: 5 MR. FINNIGAN: Good morning, Ma'am. 6 7 My name is John Finnigan. I am with the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel. With me is my 8 9 colleague, Ms. Maureen Willis. The first thing 10 we would like to do today is to enter 11 everyone's appearance so we know who was here 12 in attendance at the deposition. So I've done 13 that for us. Let's go around the table with the people in the room, and, then, we will do 14 15 the ones remotely after that. 16 Marcie, would you like to begin. 17 MS. LAPE: Sure. Marcie Lape from Skadden & Arps. I am here on behalf of the 18 19 Deponent, Ebony Yeboah-Amankwah. 20 MR. FITZGERALD: And joining 21 Ms. Lape is Pat Fitzgerald from Skadden & Arps. 2.2 MR. O'CONNOR: And also joining

Hollingsworth from Jones Day on behalf of

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH:

23

2.4

2.5

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

Ms. Lape is Brian O'Connor from Skadden & Arps.

Adam

- 1 FirstEnergy Corp.
- 2 MR. DORINGO: Ryan Doringo, and my
- 3 | colleague, Mike Gladman, who is remote, of
- 4 Jones Day on behalf of Ohio Edison Company, the
- 5 | Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and the
- 6 Toledo Edison Company.
- 7 MR. FINNIGAN: That is everyone in
- 8 | the conference room. Let's go ahead and do the
- 9 | appearances for the people who are
- 10 participating remotely. What I will do is
- 11 mention the name of each organization and then
- 12 whoever is on the call for that organization
- 13 can enter their appearance.
- 14 Let's first begin with OCC. Is
- 15 | there anyone participating remotely from OCC?
- MR. SAUER: Larry Sauer with OCC,
- 17 as well, John.
- MR. HALL: Mike Hall from OCC is
- 19 also on.
- 20 MR. FINNIGAN: Is there anyone
- 21 participating remotely from FirstEnergy
- 22 Utilities?
- MR. DORINGO: John, it's just me
- 24 and Mike today.
- 25 | MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. Anyone else

```
1 from First --
```

9

13

17

MR. GLADMAN: Hey John, it is Mike

Gladman for the companies, and I will tell you

that Matt Pritchard is noted in the chat.

We can barely hear you guys at all.

6 MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. Thank you,

7 | Mike. So we need to speak more loudly. So

8 Mike, please let us know if you are having that

problem again. We have the computer facing

10 | Ms. Yeboah-Amankwah so that you can hear her

11 | clearly. But if you don't hear any of the

12 attorneys, will someone please speak up and

notify us, and we will try to speak more

14 loudly. Thank you, Mike.

MR. HALL: Okay.

MR. FINNIGAN: Let's go through the

list then. Is there anyone else on for

18 | FirstEnergy Corp?

19 MR. KOSLEN: Yes. Michael Koslen

20 | for FirstEnergy Corp.

MR. FINNIGAN: Is there anyone for

22 | the Office of the Ohio Attorney General?

MR. LINDGREN: Yes. Good morning.

24 This is Tom Lindgren. My colleague, Werner

25 | Margard, is also on and we are representing the

- 1 staff. We have Christina Shaffer, Donald
- 2 | Leming and Tom Shepherd from the staff on as
- 3 | well.
- 4 MR. FINNIGAN: Thank you. Anyone
- 5 | from OMA EG?
- 6 MS. BOJKO: Yes. This is Kim Bojko
- 7 and John Wygonski for the Ohio Manufacturers'
- 8 | Association Energy Group with Carpenter Lipps
- 9 and Leland.
- 10 MR. FINNIGAN: NOPEC?
- MR. STINSON: Yes. This is Dane
- 12 Stinson on behalf of NOPEC with Bricker &
- 13 Eckler, LLP.
- 14 MR. FINNIGAN: NRDC? IGS?
- MR. OLIKER: Hi, this is Joe Oliker
- 16 from IGS Energy. Evan Betterton is also on the
- 17 phone.
- MR. FINNIGAN: IEU?
- MR. PRITCHARD: Good morning, this
- 20 | Matt Pritchard on behalf of IEU Ohio with the
- 21 | law firm of Mcnees Wallace & Nurick.
- MR. FINNIGAN: OEG?
- MS. COHN: Good morning, this is
- 24 Jody Cohn calling with the Ohio Energy Group.
- 25 | Thank you.

- MR. FINNIGAN: Is there anyone else 1 2. who has not identified themselves, if so, 3 please do so now.
- MS. NORDSTROM: Good morning, Karin 4 Nordstrom with Ohio Environmental Council. 5
- MS. PLANT: And Rhiannon Plant. 6 Ι 7 am also here at the Ohio Attorney General's Office. 8
- 9 MR. DOUGHERTY: This is Trent Dougherty with Citizens Utility Board, Ohio. 10
- 11 MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. Let's go 12 ahead and get started.
- 13 EXAMINATION OF EBONY YEBOAH-AMANKWAH BY MR. FINNIGAN: 14
- Ms. Yeboah-Amankwah, thank you for appearing for your deposition today. Let the 17 record reflect that your deposition is being taken pursuant to a motion for subpoena and an Attorney Examiner Order that was issued on 19 20 June 16th, in the case of 17-974 EL UNC.
- 21 Ma'am, have you given a deposition 2.2 before?
- 2.3 Α. No, I have not.

15

16

18

2.4 Okay. Could you tell us about your Q. background, beginning with your educational 2.5

1 background.

1.3

2.2

2.5

- A. I attended law school at

 Washington and Lee University. Started out of

 school at a law firm in Cleveland.
 - Q. What year was that, please?
 - A. 2003 to 2005. Took position at FirstEnergy in 2005 doing commercial finance work, then, switched over to state regulatory some years after that. I'm not sure exactly when. Did Ohio regulatory work until 2011 with the merger of Allegany. Did some State Affairs work from 2011 to -- I'm not sure the end date with that. Went back to the Legal Department did state and federal regulatory work.

2017, became corporate secretary,
Chief Ethics Officer, from 2017 to maybe
2018/2019 and then came back to the Legal
Department and as Deputy General Counsel
overseeing a number of different affairs within
the Legal Department, before assuming the
position of General Counsel in 2020, the spring
of 2020, until about --

MR. GLADMAN: John, I hate to interrupt. But on our end, we can't hear -- we effectively can't hear anything.

	Page 14
1	(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
2	Q. Ma'am, I believe you were telling
3	us about your employment history and you've
4	reached the year 2020, when you were appointed
5	General Counsel for FirstEnergy?
6	A. Yes. I served in that position
7	until November of 2020.
8	Q. Are you employed at this time?
9	A. Yes, I am.
10	Q. What is your employment, at this
11	time?
12	A. I work for Signet Jewelers.
13	Q. And what is your position there?
14	A. Vice President, Ethics Compliance
15	and Enterprise Risk Management.
16	Q. And you began your employment there
17	when?
18	A. February of 2022.
19	Q. Where is that business located at?
2 0	A. Akron, Ohio.
21	Q. What kind of business is it, just a
22	retail jewelry business?
23	A. Correct.
2 4	Q. Now, I'd like to go through your
25	employment history just to make sure I

	Page 15
1	understand the details you gave me. You
2	mentioned coming out of law school, you worked
3	for a law firm beginning in 2003. What law
4	firm was that?
5	A. Calfee & Halter.
6	Q. And was that in the commercial
7	finance area?
8	A. Yes, it was.
9	Q. Who was your supervisor there?
10	A. Carl Buse.
11	Q. You joined FirstEnergy in 2005?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And that was in the Legal
14	Department?
15	A. Yes, it was.
16	Q. In what area of the Legal
17	Department?
18	MS. LAPE: Asked and answered.
19	Q. Go ahead. You may answer.
2 0	A. I did commercial finance work.
21	Q. Did you stay in that Department of
22	Commercial Finance within the Legal Department,
23	until your next position within FirstEnergy?
24	A. No. I went from commercial finance
25	work to state regulatory work.

- I had gone back to the Legal No. 1 Department at a certain date that I don't 2. recall. 3
 - About when? What is your best 0. estimate?
- Perhaps '12 or '13. 6 Α.

4

5

7

8

1.3

15

2.5

- And what position did you take upon 0. returning to the Legal Department?
- 9 Α. I don't recall. Maybe Executive 10 Director, State Affairs, maybe, State Legal 11 Affairs.
- 12 You mentioned that your current employment is with Signet Jewelers. How do you spell that? 14
 - Α. S-I-G-N-E-T.
- 16 Ο. And what is your business address 17 there?
- I don't know, off the top of my 18 Α. 19 head.
- 20 Q. Okay. Now, at your different levels of employment within FirstEnergy, when 21 2.2 you worked in the Legal Department, who was the head of the Legal Department, at that time? 23 2.4 MS. LAPE: Objection. Time.
 - When you started there in 2005? Q.

	Page 18
1	A. Leila Vespoli.
2	Q. And how long did she remain General
3	Counsel?
4	A. I don't recall that date.
5	Q. Who succeeded her as General
6	Counsel?
7	A. Bob Ruffner.
8	Q. Do you know, approximately, when he
9	became General Counsel?
10	A. I don't.
11	Q. When you were Executive Director of
12	State Affairs, to whom did you report?
13	A. When I was in legal or out of the
14	legal?
15	Q. Why don't you give me both.
16	A. Out of legal, Mike Dowling; in
17	legal, Bob Ruffner.
18	Q. When you were Assistant General
19	Counsel, did you report to Mr. Ruffner?
2 0	A. I'm not sure I held that title.
21	Q. When you were Chief Ethics Officer,
22	to whom did you report?
23	A. When I was within or outside of
2 4	legal?
25	Q. Both.

- A. When I was outside of legal, I reported to Chuck Jones; within legal, I reported to Bob Ruffner.
 - Q. Have you ever acted as a registered lobbyist in any state?
 - A. I don't recall.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

Q. Have you ever met with any state legislators to advocate for any bill involving FirstEnergy's business?

MS. LAPE: Objection.

- A. I don't think so.
- Q. Did you supervise lobbyists, when you worked in any role at FirstEnergy?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did you have responsibility for knowing what the circumstances were, under which lobbyists had to register with any state legislative lobbying authority?
 - A. I don't remember.
- Q. When you held any of your positions at FirstEnergy, did you have any responsibility for meeting with state regulatory officials, like, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio?

MS. LAPE: Objection. Vaque.

- A. I don't think so.
- Q. Did you supervise others, who met with regulatory officials?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

- Q. Did you need to know, as their supervisor, what were the circumstances, under which they were allowed to have contact with those regulatory officials?
 - A. I don't remember.
- Q. Have you heard of a concept called ex parte communications?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. What is your understanding of that concept?
 - A. Communications with a regulator between a party to a pending case.
 - Q. Okay. And did you have any responsibility for training the people who reported to you about ex parte communications?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - MS. LAPE: Does this have something to do with Corporate Separation?
- MR. FINNIGAN: Yes, it does. It

 does have to do with the topic of Corporate

 Separation, because some of the cases involved

- in this case, we believe involved improper
 ex parte communications. So this relates to
 those transactions.
- MS. LAPE: That has to do with whether or not FirstEnergy complied with Corporate Separation Rules?
- MR. FINNIGAN: Yes, that's right.

 Because part of the rules have to do with

 allocating costs that were generated or

 originated with a competitive business to the

 non-competitive business. And so I'm asking

 this as background to those allocation

 transactions.
- MS. LAPE: This is a close line, but let's continue.
- MR. FINNIGAN: And I'm sorry.
- 17 Could you repeat the last answer, please.
- 18 (Whereupon, the court reporter read back the last answer)
 - Q. Okay. Have you ever registered as a legislative or regulatory lobbyist?
 - A. I don't recall.

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

Q. Did you ever become aware of any ex parte communications between anyone at FirstEnergy and any Ohio regulatory officials?

Page 22 MS. LAPE: Objection. 1 2. Α. Can you repeat the question. (Whereupon, the court reporter read 3 back the last question.) 4 Α. I don't think so. 5 Did you ever appear before the 6 0. 7 Public Utilities Commission of Ohio on behalf of FirstEnergy in the capacity as an attorney 8 for the companies? 10 Α. Yes, I did. During what period of time? 11 0. 12 I'm not sure; you know, commencing Α. 13 in 2006, perhaps, but I don't know until then. 14 Did you ever appear as an attorney 15 for the FirstEnergy Utilities before the PUCO on any electric security plan case? 16 17 Α. I believe so. 18 0. Which ones were those? I believe the first one. 19 Α. 20 And what year? Q. 21 I don't recall. Α. 2.2 Q. Would it have been around 2008? It could have been. 2.3 Α. 2.4 Q. Did you appear as an attorney on

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

behalf of the FirstEnergy utilities for a 2014

2.5

electric security plan case?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. I don't remember.
- Q. Did you have any supervisory responsibilities that involved 2014 electric security plan case?

MS. LAPE: Objection. Vague.

- A. I believe so.
- Q. What were those responsibilities?
- A. I believe, at that time, I served as Executive -- I'm not sure of my title there, but, I believe, I oversaw the state regulatory group of FirstEnergy.
- Q. And who was in that group that you supervised, at that time?
- A. Brett Bingaman oversaw the group.
 But I don't recall all the attorneys in the group, at the time.
- Q. Did you participate in settlement negotiations in that case?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. With what other --
- 22 MIKE RODMAN: John, I'm sorry.
- 23 | It's Mike Gladman. Can you tell us what this
- 24 has to do with Corporate Separation, and
- 25 | whether the companies are compliant with the

- 1 | Corporate Separation laws and regulations in
- 2 Ohio -- I don't see a connection between
- 3 | Ebony's participation in an ESP case from eight
- 4 years ago.
- 5 MR. FINNIGAN: The connection is
- 6 that we believe that there was a settlement
- 7 | that was entered into with Sustainability
- 8 | Funding Alliance, as a result of that case, and
- 9 | that those costs were charged to the utilities,
- 10 and that they were misallocated, which is
- 11 | Corporate Separation violation.
- MR. GLADMAN: Well, ask a question
- 13 | related to that, instead of trying to get into
- 14 settlement discussions --
- 15 | MR. FINNIGAN: Well, I will --
- MR. GLADMAN: -- we are not going
- 17 to --
- 18 MR. FINNIGAN: I will when I get to
- 19 | that point. I'm just setting the background
- 20 for right now.
- MR. GLADMAN: John, let me just put
- 22 this out there, and you know this. There is an
- 23 entirely different proceeding related to this
- 24 | specific transaction, which has been put on by
- 25 | the Commission. I have grave concern that you

are trying to probe into an area that the Commission has stayed, at this point in time.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

So a little bit more latitude on background, but I don't know how much further we can let this go.

MR. FINNIGAN: Well, you say you can let this go, I don't believe you have any authority to stop this deposition from occurring. This is not your client. This is a different party than the client you represent.

So, and in addition to that, as you know from the comments that we filed in this case, we believe that this case involves issues of misallocated costs related to the contract with Sustainability Funding Alliance, which I am asking about.

MS. LAPE: It sounds like, I think, the allocation of cost questions, we can get into, but there is an Order from the Commission stating that you should not get into the subject matters that are under investigation by the US Attorney's office. So we are going to cut it off, or get the Examiner on the line, if you keep asking questions that relate to that subject matter.

MR. FINNIGAN: Well, yes, I do have 1 2. to ask questions about the transactions that 3 underlie the misallocated costs, and the transactions with the Sustainability Funding 4 Alliance are among those misallocated costs. So I have to ask those, because those are 6 issues in this case. So that's one of the primary reasons for this deposition. 8 9 MS. LAPE: Then I think we're going 10 to have to get the Examiner on the line. 11 MR. FINNIGAN: Well, at this point, 12 I don't even have a question pending before the 13 witness.

MS. LAPE: Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MR. FINNIGAN: And let me ask, are any of the Attorney Examiners on the call -- okay -- it sounds as if not.

Q. Okay. So my question was --

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Sir, I was just going to say, we know that the SFA issues are going to be something that we are going to have to deal with separately. So one way to approach this is for you to ask all of the other transactions that you are interested in, and, then, we can get to the SFA stuff at the

1 end of that.

1.3

2.5

- MR. FINNIGAN: Sure. But let me
 proceed. I think I have an idea of how I would
 like to do my questioning. But thank you.
 - Q. So before we had a discussion among attorneys, we were talking about settlement negotiations in the 2014 ESP case --
 - MS. LAPE: Again, just to set the stage. Those settlement negotiations, the content of them would be confidential and we shouldn't be discussing them. But to the extent you're asking just, did you participate, did they occur, those are fine.
 - MR. FINNIGAN: Well, actually, they might have been confidential, at one time, but there was information about those settlement negotiations that have been disclosed by FirstEnergy. So to the extent that they might have been confidential, they no longer are, because of the disclosure of that information.
 - $\label{eq:ms.lape: We'll see when the question arises.} \\$
 - Q. So, Ma'am, I was asking about what your role was in the settlement negotiations, and my question is, what outside parties did

Page 28 you negotiate with? 1 Α. I don't recall. Do you recall any? 3 0. Α. No. 4 Did you negotiate with Sam 5 Ο. Randazzo? 6 7 MS. LAPE: Objection. This is outside the scope. This is exactly the subject 8 matter that we're not supposed to be getting 10 into, per the Commissioner's Order. 11 MR. FINNIGAN: Are you instructing 12 her not to answer? 13 MS. LAPE: I am at this point. 14 Did you participate in any Ο. 15 settlement negotiations with Sustainability 16 Funding Alliance? 17 MS. LAPE: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Do I need to answer? 18 19 MS. LAPE: You don't need to 20 answer. 21 Did you participate in any 22 settlement negotiations with IEU Ohio? 23 MS. LAPE: Same objection. And you do not need to answer. 2.4 2.5 MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. Now, at this

- point, I'm not asking about the content of any settlement negotiations. I am just asking whether there were any, in which she
- 4 participated. So I want the record to be clear about that.
- Q. Did you -- well, strike that. Is Ebony Yeboah-Amankwah your married name?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. When you worked at FirstEnergy, did you appear before the PUCO under your maiden name?
- 12 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What was that name?
- 14 A. Miller.

8

9

10

11

- Q. Did you appear for the FirstEnergy
 Utilities before the Public Utilities
 Commission of Ohio on the Utilities Corporate
 Separation case?
- 19 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you recall participating with

 Jim Burke in an application for approval of the

 Companies' initial Corporate Separation Plan?
- A. I may have.
- Q. Is there anything you recall about that case?

MR. DORINGO: And I'm just going to 1 object, and just caution you, Ebony, as the lawyer, I think you were acting in legal capacity for the companies, at the time, and not to reveal any privileged communications. The companies will assert that privilege. 6

> THE WITNESS: Thank you.

- What do you recall about that case? Q.
- Α. I don't have any active memory of it.
- Did you have to familiarize 0. yourself with Ohio law and regulations pertaining to Corporate Separation, in connection with that case?
- I don't remember my involvement in Α. the case.
 - All right. So you don't remember whether you became familiar with Corporate Separation law and regulations?
 - I can make a lot of assumptions on what I would have done, in preparation for the case, but I don't remember.
- Have you ever had to study Ohio 2.3 0. Corporate Separation laws and regulations? 24
- 2.5 Α. Yes.

2.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. And why did you have to become familiar with those?
- A. I don't have an active memory on why. But overseeing Ohio regulatory law, I can make an assumption on why I would have made myself familiar with it.
 - Q. And why was that?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A. Because I oversaw Ohio regulatory.
- Q. So you are familiar with Ohio Corporate Separation requirements?
 - A. Not sitting here today.
- Q. Can you tell me what your responsibilities were as Chief Ethics Officer?
- A. I oversaw the Companies' employee concerns line. If an employee had a concern, they could report it directly to me. I oversaw Code of Conduct training and Insider Trading.
 - Q. Anything else?
- A. That's what I recall, off the top of my head.
 - Q. And you mentioned the employee concerns line. As your, in your role as Chief Ethics Officer, were all the employee concerns that were reported over the employee concerns line reported to you?

- A. They were reported. They could have been reported directly to me, or through the employee concerns line, they would have gone to a third party vendor that then sent a report to me and to others.
 - O. Who are the others?
- A. The head of internal audit, at the time. And I served in the role, and would have also gotten the report.
- Q. I'm sorry. Did you mention that person's name? I didn't hear, if you did.
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. What was that person's name?
- 14 A. Kevin Burgess.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.5

- Q. What responsibility did you have for investigating calls that came in over the employee concerns line?
 - A. Once we received the report, Kevin Burgess and I would align on who would be assigned to investigate the report and then they would do the investigation and report back the findings.
 - Q. Were written reports made?
- A. Yes, they were.
 - Q. And when you say that the findings

Page 33 were reported back, was it to you and 1 Mr. Burgess? 3 Α. That's correct. Anyone else? 4 0. Α. Someone in Human Resources. 5 Who was that? 6 0. Α. Dave Winston. Q. Anyone else? 8 Α. I don't recall. 10 Ο. After the concerns were 11 investigated and a report came back -- well, 12 strike that. Who did the actual investigation? 13 MS. LAPE: Objection. 14 Α. It depended on the nature of the claim. 15 16 Could you explain that? 0. 17 Α. If it was a Human Resources-related 18 issue, someone in Human Resources would investigate it; if it was a financially-related 19 20 issue, someone in internal audit would 21 investigate; if it was a security-related 2.2 issue, someone in securities would investigate, 23 for example. 2.4 Would people within those Q. respective departments be appointed to do the 2.5

2.5

Q.

Yes.

- A. Were committee minutes taken?
- Q. Yes.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. Yes.
 - Q. During your tenure at FirstEnergy, were there ever any calls on the employee concerns line related to level of signature authority?
 - MS. LAPE: Objection. Foundation.
- 9 A. I don't recall.
 - Q. During your time as Chief Ethics
 Officer, were there ever any calls that came in
 on the employee concerns line relating to
 improper payment of invoices, or improper
 payments to a vendor?
 - A. Not that I can remember.
 - Q. Were there any calls that came in on the employee concerns line relating to Generation Now?
- MS. LAPE: Objection. Foundation.

 Scope. This is also going into the subject

 matter under investigation by the US Attorney's
- office, once again. You don't need to answer.
- MR. FINNIGAN: Did you instruct her
- 24 not to answer?
- MS. LAPE: About a question related

1 to Generation Now --

4

5

6

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- MR. FINNIGAN: Yes.
- MS. LAPE: Yes.
 - MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. Well, this is an issue in this case, just, because, costs for Generation Now were charged to consumers. So that's why I'm asking about this issue.
 - Q. Did you ever receive any calls on the employee concerns line relating to Sustainability Funding Alliance?
- MS. LAPE: Same objection.
- MR. FINNIGAN: You will have to let
 us know if you are instructing her not to
 answer.
 - MS. LAPE: I'm instructing you not to answer. And you can ask the question of, did you ever receive a call about a Corporate Separation issue, which would be allowable in this proceeding.
 - MR. FINNIGAN: Well, and these are, the questions I just asked are related to Corporate Separation violations, because of the improper allocation of costs. That's why I was asking her.
- MS. LAPE: Well, you can ask a

general question that is permissible in this
proceeding that would cover what you're asking
about.

2.2

2.5

- MR. FINNIGAN: Well, I appreciate that. But I will judge the way in which I will want to ask the questions. And, as I mentioned, these are all relevant, in that they have to do with the costs that were misallocated to consumers.
 - MS. LAPE: And they are all issues that the Commission has instructed not to be questioned about in this proceeding.
- MR. FINNIGAN: No, they haven't made such an instruction.
 - MS. WILLIS: If I could jump in.

 You are referring to an Order. I just want to
 make sure the Order you are referring to, where
 the Commission ruled we cannot ask these
 questions, that's kind of my -- a very basic
 Order. Which Order are you referring to?
 - MR. DORINGO: And, Maureen, it's in the -- from the Commission, you guys know that the Commission expanded the scope of the DCR case to investigate whether there was a violation of the Ohio statute that required

- 1 FirstEnergy, or the companies, to disclose the
- 2 | side agreement. In that Order and elsewhere,
- 3 | frankly, the Commission was very clear that
- 4 discovery into the Sustainability Funding
- 5 Alliance, and the other matters that are under
- 6 investigation by the DOJ, is somewhere where
- 7 | the Commission is not going to go, they said.
- MS. WILLIS: Okay. And --
- 9 MR. DORINGO: -- Examiner Price
- 10 | said, "This is the worst mistake that
- 11 Commission could make."
- MS. WILLIS: Ryan, which Order, if
- 13 | you could, because I got the -- I'll just pull
- 14 | it up on the --
- MR. DORINGO: It is
- 16 December-something, 2021.
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: December 15th.
- 18 | MS. WILLIS: And the 20-1629, is
- 19 | that what you are referring to?
- MR. DORINGO: Yes.
- MS. WILLIS: Okay. Can you give us
- 22 a moment. And that is the same Order that you
- 23 | are referring to?
- MS. LAPE: Yes. Paragraph 14.
- 25 | MS. WILLIS: All right. Let me

- 1 | pull that up.
- MR. GLADMAN: I'm sorry. Can you
- 3 repeat that. It wasn't quite clear.
- 4 MS. LAPE: Yes. It is in the
- 5 December 15, 2021 Order, Case No. 20-1629 in
- 6 paragraph 14, where the Commission states that
- 7 | it is of the utmost importance that our
- 8 | investigations do not interfere with the
- 9 criminal investigation by the United States
- 10 Attorney or the action brought by the Ohio
- 11 Attorney General.
- Q. Ma'am, have you been notified by
- anyone from the Department of Justice that you
- 14 | are under investigation?
- 15 MS. LAPE: Objection. Do not
- 16 answer that question.
- Q. Ma'am, I want to ask you about the
- 18 circumstances under which your employment ended
- 19 | with FirstEnergy. Who notified you that your
- 20 | employment was ending?
- MS. LAPE: Objection.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Can I answer the
- 23 | question?
- MS. LAPE: Yes.
- A. My counsel.

Page 40 0. Who? 1 Α. Counsel. And what was counsel's name? 3 Ο. Pat Fitzgerald. 4 Α. What do you understand were the 5 0. 6 reasons why your employment at FirstEnergy ended? I think the company issued an AK 8 9 that provided its reasons. 10 And I have read that AK, and it 11 says the reason was because of inaction on your 12 part that was influenced by improper tone at 13 the top; is that correct? 14 MS. LAPE: Objection. I think the document states what it 15 Α. 16 states. 17 Is that what you understand was the Q. 18 reason? My understanding was the document. 19 Α. 20 All right. Do you understand that Q. your employment at FirstEnergy ended, because 21 22 of any inaction on your part? 2.3 Not to my knowledge. 2.4 Do you understand that your Q.

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

employment at FirstEnergy ended, because of

25

	Page 41
1	some improper tone at the top that influenced
2	your work there?
3	MS. LAPE: Objection.
4	MR. GLADMAN: Let me get an
5	objection in. Unless you are asking whether or
6	not there was something to do with Corporate
7	Separation related to Ebony's departure from
8	the company, I think this is inappropriate. So
9	note my objection.
10	A. No behavior on my part, but I can't
11	speak to the Company's rationale. You would
12	have to ask the company.
13	Q. So you don't really know why your
14	employment ended at FirstEnergy
15	MS. LAPE: Objection. Asked and
16	answered.
17	Q. Is that fair?
18	A. I've indicated my understanding.
19	Q. And do you feel that you did
20	anything wrong during your employment at
21	FirstEnergy that led to your employment ending?

A. Absolutely not.

MR. GLADMAN: Objection scope.

Q. During your tenure at FirstEnergy,

MS. LAPE: Objection. Scope.

22

23

24

25

did you have any responsibility for the Corporate Separation Plan for the Ohio FirstEnergy utilities?

2.

1.3

2.2

MS. LAPE: Objection. Time period.

- A. While I was engaged, I don't recall my role.
- Q. Okay. When you say while you were engaged, do you mean while you were engaged in employment at FirstEnergy, or while you were engaged with the Corporate Separation Plan, you don't recall your role? Can you explain that answer?
- A. You referenced a filing that had my name on it, and while I recall being engaged in that filing, I don't recall my role.
- Q. Okay. I want to ask a different topic from that. I don't want to ask you any questions, at this point, with respect to any role you might have played regarding the initial approval of the Corporate Separation plan. Let's put that aside.

What I want to ask you now, is that, apart from the initial approval of the Corporate Separation plan, did you have any responsibility at any time after that for

- 1 | whether the Corporate Separation Plan was
- 2 | complied with, and I am referring to the
- 3 | Corporate Separation Plan for the FirstEnergy
- 4 | Ohio Utilities?
- MR. DORINGO: And I'll just again
- 6 | caution not to reveal any privileged
- 7 information relating to your representation
- 8 | with the companies.
- 9 A. In my role as Chief Ethics Officer,
- 10 | if there was a concern with compliance with
- 11 | Corporate Separation, internal or external
- 12 parties could contact me, and report it, just
- 13 | like many of the employee concerns lines, or
- 14 other concerns that people brought on behalf of
- 15 themselves or others.
- Q. Did you ever have any
- 17 responsibility for maintaining records related
- 18 to FirstEnergy Ohio Utilities Corporate
- 19 | Separation Plan?
- 20 A. I don't recall if that was a direct
- 21 responsibility.
- 22 Q. Could it have been an indirect
- 23 responsibility?
- MS. LAPE: Objection.
- A. I don't recall if that was a

responsibility.

Conduct training.

1

6

10

1 1

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

2.2

2.3

- Q. Did you ever have any
 responsibility during your tenure at
 FirstEnergy for determining whether FirstEnergy
 employees received any training on Ohio
- A. Through my role as Chief Ethics

 Officer, I am sure that we provided training on

 Corporate Separation through our Code of

Corporate Separation requirements?

- Q. And did that training include training on Ohio Corporate Separation requirements?
 - A. Yes, it did.
 - Q. Are you aware of any audit findings that FirstEnergy did not provide training on Ohio Corporate Separation requirements?
 - MS. LAPE: Objection. Foundation.
- 19 A. Not that I can recall.
 - Q. Are you familiar with a Sage audit of the FirstEnergy Corporate Separation Plan, during the time you worked there in 2018?
 - A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. Did you recall anyone ever bringing to your attention that -- well, strike that.

Are you familiar with the FERC standards of conduct?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

2.5

- A. I'm aware that they exist. I would have to see them to be able to speak to them.
- Q. Are the Ohio Corporate Separation requirements co-extensive with the FERC standards of conduct, in other words, if one complies with the FERC standards of conduct, will they automatically be complying with the Ohio Corporate Separation requirements?

MS. LAPE: Objection. Are you asking for a legal opinion?

MR. FINNIGAN: Her understanding, as Chief Compliance Officer.

MS. LAPE: Objection. She was
Chief Ethics Officer.

- A. I don't believe that they overlapped, precisely.
- Q. So are there requirements under the Ohio Corporate Separation laws and regulations that would not be met merely by complying with FERC standards of conduct?

MS. LAPE: Objection. You can answer, if you know.

A. I believe so.

Q. Who did the training for employees of the FirstEnergy Ohio Utilities on Ohio Corporate Separation requirements?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A. Ohio Corporate Separation was decentralized, in the sense that it was covered by a variety of different departments. As Chief Ethics Officer, I provided for our Code of Conduct certain Ohio Corporate Separation training. But I'm not saying that that was the only training provided.
- Q. I'm sorry. When you say you provided the training, did you provide it personally?
 - A. There was, through the Code of Conduct that my team administered, there was a Corporate Separation component to it.
 - Q. And was this Ohio Corporate Separation requirements?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And you mentioned "my team." Who was on that team?
 - A. Chief Ethics Officer was an unstaffed position, and, so, I would work with internal auditing and H.R. And so, with the Code of Conduct training, I think I worked

- 1 mostly with internal auditing to administer the 2 training.
 - Q. And are you saying that there was separate training on Ohio Corporate Separation requirements that was separate and apart from FERC standards of conduct training?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And did you keep records of which employees completed that training?
- 10 A. The system would note who completed
 11 the training and who didn't.
 - Q. I'm sorry. Who would note?
- A. The system.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

19

21

2.2.

- Q. When you say the system, what is the system?
- A. It was an electronic program, or something that was administered over the computer.
 - Q. What was the name of that program?
- A. I don't know.
 - Q. Who had responsibility for administering it?
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Was that part of your
- 25 responsibility as Chief Ethics Officer?

- A. I would ensure that the training was launched, and, then, I would get reports back on the completion, and follow-up with members of management to ensure their team had taken the training, and then get reports back regarding certain components of the training.
 - Q. Now, you've talked about your role as Chief Ethics Officer. Did you ever hold the title of Chief Compliance Officer?
 - A. No, I did not.

1

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Q. Now, during the time you worked at FirstEnergy, was there ever any issue with missing records related to compliance with the Ohio Corporate Separation requirements?

MS. LAPE: Objection.

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Were the records for compliance with Ohio Corporate Separation Rules maintained in electronic or paper form or both?
 - MS. LAPE: Objection. Time period.
- A. I don't know. My records would have been electronic. But, as I said, the role was decentralized amongst a variety of different departments, and I'm not sure how they kept their records.

- Now, going back to when you were notified that your employment at FirstEnergy ended, did you have access to any company records, after you were notified about that? MS. LAPE: Objection.
 - I did. Α.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

21

2.2

- And what was the nature of your 0. access to those records?
- Α. At that time, we were working remotely, and I had certain paperwork and documents in my possession.
- What did you do with the paperwork 0. and documents when you ended your employment?
 - Α. I returned them to FirstEnergy.
 - 0. And to whom did you return them?
 - I don't recall. Α.
- 17 What was the person's position? Q.
- I don't know. 18 Α.
- Was there somebody who, at H.R., 19 Q. 20 who supervised your separation from the
- Α.

company?

23 Did you turn over all the records 0. within your possession? 24

I don't know.

Α. T did. 2.5

- Q. Did you ever have any responsibility for overseeing how costs were allocated from FirstEnergy's competitive businesses to the non-competitive businesses?
 - A. I don't think so.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

15

18

20

Q. So your job did not include oversight of whether there were ever improper subsidies from the competitive business to the non-competitive business --

MS. LAPE: Objection.

- A. That's correct.
- Q. -- or vice-versa, any subsidies
 from the non-competitive business to the
 competitive business.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you know who had that responsibility?
 - A. I would assume Accounting.
- Q. What person in Accounting?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Was it Art Richards?
- A. I'm not familiar whether he's in Accounting.
- Q. Are you aware of whether there are any Ohio Corporate Separation requirements

1 | relating to cost allocation?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- \mathbb{R} A. I am aware that there are.
 - Q. And what did you do to make sure that those were complied with?
 - A. There was training.
 - Q. What review was done of the cost allocations to make sure that they were properly done, and in compliance with the Ohio separation requirements?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Are you familiar with an entity by the name of Sustainability Funding Alliance?
- MS. LAPE: Are we going to go into these questions again?
- MR. FINNIGAN: I have a list of questions about this, yes.
 - MS. LAPE: Okay. Well, I will let you ask if she is familiar with it, but we're not going to go much further than that.
 - A. I'm familiar with that name.
 - Q. Okay. And what dealings did you have with that organization?
- MS. LAPE: Objection. Scope.
- MR. DORINGO: Objection scope.
- MS. LAPE: And also, we have the

- 1 | Commission's Order.
- MR. FINNIGAN: Well, again, I'm
- 3 | going to -- if you are instructing the witness
- 4 | not to answer, you need to tell her so that we
- 5 all know.
- MS. LAPE: Yes. I am instructing
- 7 the witness not to answer questions about any,
- 8 | about Sustainability Funding Alliance, as that
- 9 is under investigation. Those topics are under
- 10 | investigation by the US Attorney's Office.
- 11 | MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. She hasn't
- 12 | told us that, so we have no way of knowing
- 13 that.
- MS. LAPE: It's public record. I'm
- 15 | pretty sure everybody in this room knows that.
- MR. FINNIGAN: Well, that might be.
- 17 But if the witness is not part of that
- 18 | investigation or a subject or a target, there
- 19 is no reason why the witness can't answer
- 20 questions about it.
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Except for the
- 22 Order.
- MS. LAPE: Except for there is that
- 24 Order by the Commission saying that these
- 25 | topics should not be explored.

MS. WILLIS: Well, I mean, to be
fair, we can all pull up the Order and take a
look at it. And I think the Order, I don't
think it goes quite nearly as far as you would
say. So, I mean, perhaps we need to get the

MS. LAPE: I read the exact language from that Order. I did not mischaracterize it.

Examiner on the phone. We can do that.

2.2

2.5

MS. WILLIS: You didn't read the entire paragraph.

MS. BOJKO: Excuse me. We disagree with your interpretation of the Order. We are not talking about criminal matters, at this point, or anything related to the Attorney General's Office. We are talking about Corporate Separation, and all of these companies and issue directly relate to the Corporate Separation proceeding, and how the costs were allocated, you know, we're not getting into the same issues that the Order was referencing.

MR. FINNIGAN: And let me just see if we can get an answer on this. So Tom or Vern, could one of you contact one of the

1 Attorney Examiners to see if they can join the call.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

MR. LINDGREN: Hey John, I don't have their direct phone number, but I will attempt to contact her.

MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. Let's take a short recess, while you do that.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

MR. FINNIGAN: Your Honors, thank you both for joining. This is John Finnigan with OCC. We are here in Akron for the deposition of Ms. Ebony Yeboah-Amankwah, and an issue has arisen about the scope of questioning.

I started to ask a series of questions relating to her dealings with Mr. Randazzo and Sustainability Funding Alliance that she was instructed not to answer. That's why we asked you to join the call.

Our theory of this case is that these questions are issues in the case, because this case involves Corporate Separation requirements and possible violations, part of which would include cost misallocations. We know from the audit report for the expanded

1 | scope audit in the DCR case that some, I

- 2 | believe, it was \$24 million in costs from
- 3 | Sustainability Funding Alliance were improperly
- 4 allocated to the FirstEnergy Ohio Utilities.
- 5 | So I was asking these questions as background
- 6 to find out more information about those
- 7 | improper cost allocations in violation of the
- 8 | Corporate Separation requirements.
- And, so, we were wondering if you
- 10 can give us guidance, as to whether that is a
- 11 legitimate scope of questioning in this case.
- 12 And Ms. Lape is here representing the witness.
- MS. LAPE: Good morning. Thank you
- 14 | for joining the call. We did have a question
- 15 with respect to scope, and whether these
- 16 | questions were appropriate, in light of the
- 17 | Commission's prior Order, that it is of the
- 18 utmost importance that the investigation not
- 19 interfere with the criminal investigation by
- 20 | the US Attorney's office and the action brought
- 21 by the Ohio Attorney General.
- It is impossible to speak to the
- 23 | allocation of payments with respect to
- 24 | Sustainability Funding Alliance and
- 25 Mr. Randazzo without getting into the subject

1 matter of, you know, the settlement

2 discussions, without getting into the purpose

3 of the payments, without getting into the

4 subject matters that are under direct

5 investigation by the US Attorney's office.

And so they seem inappropriate, at this point in time, and given the Commission's prior Order with respect to that matter.

MR. FINNIGAN: Your Honors, if I may respond to that. You know, we are here on a public call, where not everyone on this call has signed a confidentiality agreement with FirstEnergy Corp, but, we have, and in the course of records that we have received, there is great detail about all the payments that were made to Sustainability Funding Alliance. There is a great deal of information about e-mail communications and text communications between Mr. Randazzo and Ms. Yeboah-Amankwah and others at FirstEnergy about --

MS. LAPE: Objection. You are misrepresenting the record.

MR. FINNIGAN: Well, excuse me.

24 Let me --

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please let him

finish and then we can allow you to respond.

2.

2.2

2.5

MR. FINNIGAN: Yes, I would just like to finish. So it is our view that this subject matter is not confidential in any way, or outside the scope of questioning in this case. Because to establish that these costs were misallocated, we have to ask about the nature of the dealings with Mr. Randazzo and Sustainability Funding Alliance.

It is all documented in the text messages and the e-mail exchanges that we've received in documents, and, now, we're simply asking the witness what her involvement was in all of those communications that we already have in paper form.

MR. DORINGO: Your Honors, this is Ryan Doringo on behalf of the companies. If I could just be heard for a moment.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Certainly.

MR. DORINGO: Thank you. Your

Honors, fundamentally, the Commission has

expressed, not just in the December 15th Order

in the Rider DCR case, but continuously through

these proceedings something more than

hesitation, I mean, an outright refusal to get

into the details of the matters that are under investigation by the Department of Justice and other regulators. These questions go directly to that.

2.

2.2

2.5

whether, or the substance of the communications that happen during ESP 4 with Randazzo or IEU Ohio. These are not germane to Corporate Separation issues. We disagree with that. OCC and others have all the information about the payments that were made and how they were allocated. That's not what they are going for here. They are using that as a pretext to get to information that should not be disclosed, in light of the Commission's Order on December 15th and its other statements on these issues.

MR. OLIKER: I will wait to speak, but I just want to reserve a moment to suggest an additional topic, since you are on the line. But I don't want to interrupt the discussion.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Would anyone else like to weigh in on this?

MS. BOJKO: Yes, Your Honor. This is Kim Bojko with Ohio Manufacturers's

Association Energy Group. We believe, first of

2.

1.3

2.2

2.4

2.5

all, a bit of misrepresentation by the companies. We weren't even allowed to ask questions about, did settlement discussions happen, did you talk to this entity, did this entity speak with you? It wasn't even the contents of settlement discussions. It was whether they occurred and who did they occur with. Those are proper, even under the Commission's current rules in the current proceedings. So those questions were not allowed to be asked either, the witness was instructed to not answer.

But I think that any kind of allocation of cost directly goes to this proceeding Corporate Separation violation.

This witness was the ethics officer, at the time, and she was involved in the process, in the Complaint process, and she's also stated she was involved in settlement discussions.

And anything that occurred that resulted in allocations of cost that were improper to the Ohio utilities, is very much a Corporate Separation violation issue, and it should be allowed to move forward.

So we support the position, I

- 1 | think, that the companies didn't properly
- 2 | instruct, or the witness' attorney didn't
- 3 | properly instruct her there. It wasn't proper.
- 4 Thank you.
- MR. OLIKER: Your Honor, if I could
- 6 add to that. There are questions regarding the
- 7 allocation. For example, we should be entitled
- 8 | to explore whether the agreement with
- 9 | Sustainability Funding Alliance was for the
- 10 benefit of FirstEnergy Solutions, a competitive
- 11 | affiliate and questions regarding how those
- 12 costs were coded to the Ohio utilities, what
- 13 | the intent was, those all go to chapter
- 14 29.2817, and whether or not there was undue
- 15 | benefit being made to an affiliate. That is
- 16 | the whole proper context of this letter.
- And we don't want prejudge any of
- 18 | the things regarding the DOJ. That's not what
- 19 we're here to explore. That's separate. The
- 20 actual activities that took place near the
- 21 | agreement, that's completely separate aside.
- 22 | That's not what we're asking about.
- 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Lape, any
- 24 response?
- 25 MR. DORINGO: Your Honors, for the

companies again. First of all, I want to clarify that we have not instructed the witness not to answer anything. I want to be clear on that front.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

Second of all, there hasn't been a single question about the actual cost allocation. It's been about the substance of the communications. I think, look, cost allocation matters are relevant here, but the substance of those communications is directly involved in the expanded scope DCR case. It's for another proceeding that the Commission has stayed. Thank you.

MS. LAPE: Your Honors, just to reiterate; the fact of the settlement discussions with SFA, the substance of those contracts with SFA, those are direct subject matters under investigation by the US Attorney's office. We don't believe that the questions that have been posed here are proper.

Again, I understand if there are questions related to the allocation, itself, and aside from that, any questions related to the subject matter we think are inappropriate, in light of the investigation that is ongoing

1 | right now.

2.

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

MR. FINNIGAN: Your Honor, if I may respond to that. We've received thousands and thousands of pages of documents relating to contracts, e-mail communications, text discussions, relating to the subject area. So this certainly is not off limits to the case, because we've already received this information. Now we are asking one of the persons involved what her role was, with respect to these communications.

So we would maintain that it is not off limits, because we have all of these documents that center on this topic. It does go to the issue of improper cost allocations, and we are simply asking her these background questions about what her role was in these improper cost misallocations.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Your Honors, these subject matters became off limits on December 15, 2021, when the Commission issued its Order, and it was issued because there is an ongoing criminal investigation of a very serious matter.

So there is an existing stay of

discovery, and it's the very subject matter
that OCC is trying to get into. We are all
aware of the fact that there was a search
warrant at Commissioner Randazzo's home. That
is a matter of public record. And the subject
matter that they are trying to get into is
precisely related to the underlying US
Attorney's office investigation.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

2.5

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.

Anyone else? Okay. We are going to take a couple minutes to discuss the arguments and come back on with our ruling. Thank you.

MS. LAPE: Thanks very much.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We are ready to make the ruling. Can everyone hear me?

MR. FINNIGAN: Yes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.

Okay. Before getting into the substance of the ruling, I will note Attorney Examiners always

ruling, I will note Attorney Examiners always
seem to be at a disadvantage getting pulled
into depositions without knowing, you know, the
questions proceeding the disputed question,

or the 20 questions that will come thereafter.

But based on the argument presented

by the parties, we do find that this line of questioning will be permitted.

2.

1.3

2.5

In the June 16, 2022 entry in this proceeding, we did deny the motion to quash and allow this deposition to proceed.

And based on that, I will remind the parties that the discovery threshold is a very low bar, in that it's only allowable for information reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, pursuant to Ohio Admin Code 4901:1-16(B). And while we note that these questions are being permitted, as of today for the purposes of the deposition, we again reiterate the relevancy of this proceeding and this line of questioning will be addressed at the hearing.

regarding certain documents pertaining to the DOJ or FERC investigations, we did note in that same entry that documents that had already been produced, we would allow parties to ask questions related to those documents, during those depositions subject to that ruling, that's paragraphs 38 and 39 of this June 16, 2022 entry. If we do tread into areas that

have otherwise been deemed confidential, I believe, that we already have an adequate means of making sure that that information is not inadvertently disclosed in the public record by accessing the confidential portion of the deposition that has already been set up.

1

2.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

So we would expect the parties to refrain from asking certain questions if those would divulge confidential details, until the confidential portion of the deposition is held.

So I believe that covers everything that had been raised. Are there any additional questions for the Attorney Examiners, at this time?

MR. DORINGO: Your Honors, I think we would insist that, precaution, that this line of questioning just goes forth entirely in the confidential session?

MR. FINNIGAN: Your Honor, this is John Finnigan for OCC --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.

MR. FINNIGAN: We are happy to proceed that way and we do have a number of documents that we had intended to mark as deposition exhibits, during the confidential

session. So it would be just as easy for us to conduct all that questioning during this afternoon's confidential session.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, I certainly think that makes sense, and well done.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MS. LAPE: Can I ask one clarify question, Your Honor?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, you may.

MS. LAPE: Understanding the ruling with respect to confidentiality, our concern was really with respect to interference with the criminal investigation by the US Attorney's office. And so I just wanted to understand if the ruling is that they are authorized to ask any questions, with respect to those issues that are under investigation?

ruling is permitting them to ask any questions related to the documents that have already been produced in the discovery phase of this proceeding. If there are additional questions of a particular document, or a particular line of questioning related to a specific document is raised in the confidential session, we can

- take that up. But I don't believe my ruling is
 quite as broad as what you're trying to argue
 for.
- MS. LAPE: Well, I'm certainly not trying to argue for that. I just want to understand where we draw the lines here.
- 7 Because if it's about asking about a specific
- 8 document that had been produced, that's one
- 9 thing. But if it's about authorizing
- 10 Mr. Finnigan to ask questions about
- 11 interactions with Mr. Randazzo, about payments
- 12 made to SFA, about the settlement negotiations,
- 13 | you know, that are under investigation, you
- 14 know, it's going to be hard. We just need to
- 15 know so that we know how to move forward.
- 16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: How would that
- be any different than questions regarding the
- 18 documents --
- MS. WILLIS: It's not.
- 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The 470,000
- 21 pages of documents or --
- MS. WILLIS: Your Honor, it would
- 23 | not. You know, we were just giving background
- 24 questions leading into those documents. Those
- 25 documents are very detailed, very specific, and

- 1 | they were produced, as you noted, they were
- 2 | produced by FirstEnergy Corp. So there is no
- 3 | privilege existing with regard to those
- 4 documents. So these were background questions.
- 5 We can go into the documents themselves. We
- 6 | were just trying to establish background and
- 7 foundation.
- 8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Does that
- 9 | answer your question?
- 10 MS. LAPE: Yes. And the December
- 11 | 15, 2021 ruling is still in effect, as well --
- 12 | we assume?
- 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I'm sorry. Can
- 14 | you ask your question one more time.
- 15 MS. LAPE: Yes. Just confirming
- 16 that the December 15, 2021 Order is still in
- 17 effect, as well.
- 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Unless the
- 19 attorney examiners with the Commission have
- 20 overruled one of our prior entries and/or
- 21 Orders, they are still standing, yes.
- MS. LAPE: Great. Thank you.
- 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Of course.
- MS. BOJKO: Your Honor, this is Kim
- 25 | Bojko. This is why we ended up calling you,

because the attorney is claiming that that

December 15th Order, paragraph 14, precludes us

from asking any questions, regarding these
exact questions and issues. And we believe
that she is misinterpreting the Order, or
broadly interpreting it. And so we're not
talking about the crime in the criminal
investigation, or the AD mentioned. We are
trying to ask questions about the payments and
allocations and everything else.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Which I think would, I agree would be fair game consistent with our rulings today, as well as our prior entries and orders.

MS. WILLIS: Your Honor, to put it into focus, I believe the attorney believes that the Commission, because they deferred ruling on the violation of 4928.145, the disclosures of side agreements, that, because that, the Commission made that ruling, that that means we can't ask any questions about side agreements.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Didn't that ruling also provide a stay of discovery, as to that specific issue, Ms. Willis?

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

MS. WILLIS: Your Honor, with respect to the violation of that particular law, 4928.145 -- our questions are not going to a violation of that law, and whether there was action that violated the law -- our questions are going to whether side agreements existed, which resulted in costs being allocated improperly to the FirstEnergy Utilities, and, in turn, to their customers.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Lape, would you, or I'm sorry -- whoever would like to respond to that.

MR. DORINGO: Your Honor, right.
With respect to the ruling, that DCR matter,
it's, the point of the Commission's stay of
discovery was, as we read it, to not get into
the substance of the communications relating to
the side agreement, or the potential side
agreement that was discussed in that Order and
referred to in the DPA. So I agree that the
Commission stayed discovery on that issue,
which, I think, was your question. And that is
a much broader issue than just cost allocation.

you don't agree that that discovery on that

ATTORNEY EXAMINER:

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

Mr. Doringo,

issue could, in fact, also apply to the broader, as you just said, the broader issue of cost allocation?

2.

2.2

2.5

MR. DORINGO: Well, I think the Commission just stayed discovery on the issue, Your Honor, and I think it's a very broad stay of discovery on that issue. That is how we interpret the Order, and that is the reason we got you on the phone.

But, yes. I don't think that agreement is relevant, and the potential side agreement, ESP 4, is relevant to Corporate Separation, you know, matters. But I think that there is a broad stay of discovery on that side agreement, or potential side agreement in ESP 4. That was the point of our argument.

MR. FINNIGAN: Your Honor, just to present our side. This is another way of attacking the ruling that you just made a couple of minutes ago, where you said that we are allowed to ask questions about the documents that were produced by FirstEnergy Corp in discovery that relate to the dealings with Mr. Randazzo and Sustainability Funding Alliance. Now, the company and the utilities

are trying to come through the back door, and say, "Well, no, you can't ask about those, because those all relate to a side deal." And then the side deal in question is one between the FirstEnergy utilities and Sustainability Funding Alliance.

2.

2.5

So what they're trying to say is that, "Well, that's a side deal. You can't ask any questions about that, because discovery is stayed on that topic." If that were the case, then, the ruling that you just made moments ago would have no impact, where you said that we are allowed to ask questions about the discovery documents that were produced by FirstEnergy Corp in response to the subpoena.

We have many documents that were produced in discovery that relate to the dealings between Mr. Randazzo and Sustainability Funding Alliance, and our position is that they can't say that, "Well, that was a side deal," and block all of our questioning about that through those means.

MR. DORINGO: Just to clarify, Your Honor, we're not saying it was a side deal.

That's not something the Commission has

- 1 determined, or anybody has determined yet.
- 2 What we are saying is that the December 15th
- 3 Order references a 2015 agreement between SFA
- 4 and FirstEnergy, and says we are going to stay
- 5 discovery on that issue. We think that is
- 6 pretty broad, and that's the point.
- 7 MS. WILLIS: But, one last point --
- 8 | the entry went to whether or not a statute was
- 9 | violated. That statute is whether or not, if
- 10 there was a side agreement, whether or not the
- 11 company was obligated to, on the basis of this
- 12 written discovery, to alert the parties.
- 13 That's what that's about. It's not about
- 14 whether or not a side agreement existed. It is
- 15 | about whether or not that law was violated, and
- 16 | that law is very specific.
- So to say that this issue, the side
- 18 | agreement is an issue that's then deferred,
- 19 that's not correct. It was whether or not
- 20 4928.145 was violated.
- 21 MR. DORINGO: That's a legal
- 22 | question. The underlying facts go to the DOJ's
- 23 investigation.
- MS. WILLIS: Well, I will say this,
- 25 that the documents that --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.

Thank you. Would anyone else care to weigh in?

Okay. Hearing none. I am a little frustrated

that, if this was the crux of the dispute, why

that wasn't raised in the first instance of the

6 Examiners joining in the call.

2.2

That being said -- I am looking at the entry dated December 15, 2021, and issued in Case No. 20 1629 EL RDR, in which it states, we will stay further investigation of this issue, including discovery, it's also been Ordered by the Commission. The companies are directed to preserve all documents and all records related to the potential violation of R.C. 4928.145, including, but not limited to, all documents and records related to any agreements of SFA, or IEU Ohio Administration Company, until the stay has been lifted and the investigation has been completed, including a hearing, if necessary.

And Mr. Doringo, that entry only
was regarding the potential violation of
R.C. 4928.145 from the issues raised in the
audit reports in that case; isn't that correct?

MR. DORINGO: So, right, I agree

that that entry relates to that statute, and 1 2. the stay of discovery, you know, in the updated expanded scope case to investigate whether 3 there was a violation of that statute. But we 4 think the underlying facts subject to the stay 5 of discovery should remain protected, 6 specifically, given the Commission's statement in paragraph 14 of that Order, that it is of 8 the utmost importance that our investigations 10 do not interfere with the criminal 11 investigation by the US Attorney's Office, or 12 the action brought by Ohio attorney general. 13 And, Your Honors, we think that the 14 facts that OCC and others may try to get into 15 here, go directly to those issues that are 16 under investigation, and which prompted the 17 Commission to issue that stay. 18 MR. OLIKER: Your Honor, may I say 19 something? 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may. 21 MR. OLIKER: The renegotiations that we are talking about took place many, many 2.2

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

really think people are confusing the issues

years, before House Bill 6. It is only

tangentially connected to those events.

23

24

2.5

here when we're talking the Corporate

Separation issue. We can't explore the facts

at all. There is no foundation established for
a cost allocation.

2.

2.2

2.5

Now, I agree somewhat that people should tread lightly, try to keep the issues a focus of this case, not the (inaudible). But to say those issues are completely, you know, out of bounds, would prevent us from having a complete log here.

MS. LAPE: Your Honor, if I may add, the reason we objected in the first instance is because the reasons that the Commission stayed the discovery, related to the SFA or IEU Ohio Administrative Company agreements and documents and records in the, you know, Case 20-1629, are the exact -- we think it applies equally to this case, you know, discovery was stayed, as to those particular agreements. And the other matter, because of the interference, the potential interference with the criminal investigation, that same reasoning applies in this case, and so that's why we asked for the call in. And apologies, if we were not clear enough about

the reasoning behind the issue.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

2. MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Your Honor, it's not that OCC will never be able to ask 3 questions about these topics. It's just that, 4 until the stay is lifted, the Commission has 5 spoken. And your decision here is going to 6 have pretty wide-ranging impacts, both on this ongoing criminal investigation, and, also, 8 9 potentially, on the Liberty of the people who 10 may be under investigation.

And so I think it is incumbent upon Your Honors to really sort of make sure that the decision here is not off the cuff, and it is well thought out and grounded in the discovery stay and the logic behind it that the Commission implemented in December.

MS. WILLIS: Your Honor, if I may, the documents that we intended to question on were released by FirstEnergy Corp, clearly, they would not have released the documents, if they believed that releasing the documents in discovery would interfere with the investigations that have been ongoing.

you all. As we have a clearer picture, as to

ATTORNEY EXAMINER:

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

Okay.

Thank

what the specific issue is, now, after hearing the second round of arguments, we will take a couple minutes again to discuss this. The examiners will take a couple minutes to discuss this between themselves and we will be back in the next couple minutes. Thank you.

2.2

2.5

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Examiner

St. John and I did have a chance to discuss the arguments raised in the second round from the parties, and we do tend to agree with OCC that questions regarding the use agreement should be permitted, as to whether it goes to violations of the Corporate Separation plan, specifically, Ms. Willis, is certainly entitled to ask whether or not these agreements were entered into with the express purpose to benefit the utilities competitive affiliate, and related questions of that nature.

So we do believe, despite the Company's arguments, otherwise, the entry and Case No. 20-1629 on December 15, 2021 was directed and targeted toward a violation of R.C. 4928.145, and that will not have bearing on questions related to those agreements, as it

1 relates to the Corporate Separation plan.

2.

2.5

Any additional questions?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Your Honor, so just to clarify, are you permitting questions that are narrowly tailored to the specific issue of Corporate Separation, but leaving other issues off the table?

audio cut out just for the tail end of your question. Can you repeat that, please.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Yes. I just wanted to clarify that questions that are narrowly tailored to Corporate Separation are permitted, but things that go beyond that are not?

proceeding. It is an investigation into the companies' compliance with the Commission's Corporate Separation rules. Apart from that, I will not go into detailing the scope of this proceeding. I feel like we've ad nauseam went into that in prior entries and orders, however, if that question does come up, the Examiners are a phone call away, and would be happy to

- 1 | join the call again.
- MS. WILLIS: Thank you, Your
- 3 Honors.
- 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINERS: Thank you.
- 5 | Anything else?
- MS. LAPE: None here. Thank you.
- 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. We
- 8 | will go ahead and log off. We, of course, will
- 9 be available in the course of any additional
- 10 disputes, as the deposition carries on.
- MR. FINNIGAN: Thank you. Let's go
- 12 ahead and resume the deposition.
- Q. Ma'am, did FirstEnergy ever enter
- 14 | into contract with Sustainability Funding
- 15 | Alliance?
- MS. LAPE: Objection. Entity.
- MR. DORINGO: John, I thought we
- 18 | agreed we would put this in the confidential
- 19 session.
- 20 MR. FINNIGAN: I have some general
- 21 | questions about the relationship, and a lot of
- 22 | this has been reported in the news media. So I
- 23 don't think any general questions implicate any
- 24 confidential information.
- MS. LAPE: Maybe we should read

- 1 | back that Attorney Examiner's ruling, because
- 2 I'm pretty confident that she said the
- 3 questions related to these issues should be in
- 4 the confidential session.
- 5 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Yes. Erring on
- 6 the side of caution.
- 7 MS. LAPE: I mean, we have the
- 8 transcript.
- 9 MS. WILLIS: We don't need to do
- 10 | that. Let's just move on.
- Q. Ma'am, do you know a gentleman by
- 12 the name of Matt Evans?
- 13 A. I've met Mr. Evans.
- Q. And by whom is he employed?
- 15 A. At the time I met him, he was
- 16 | employed by Boych.
- Q. Did FirstEnergy ever have any
- 18 consulting agreement with Boych?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 Q. Did Mr. Evans ever perform any
- 21 services for FirstEnergy?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- Q. Did Mr. Evans ever meet with any
- 24 legislators to argue in favor of legislation
- 25 | that would have benefited FirstEnergy?

- A. I don't know.
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: And I would
- 3 | object on scope and how this ties with
- 4 | Corporate Separation.
- 5 Q. Did Mr. Evans ever register as a
- 6 lobbyist on behalf of FirstEnergy?
- 7 A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know Tony George?
- 9 A. I've heard the name.
- 10 Q. In what context?
- 11 A. I don't remember.
- Q. Did Mr. George ever perform
- 13 | lobbying services on behalf of FirstEnergy?
- A. I don't know.
- 15 Q. Did Mr. George ever register as a
- 16 | lobbyist for FirstEnergy?
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Same objection
- 18 as to scope.
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 Q. I want to go back to your role with
- 21 | the Ohio Corporate Separation plan. Were you
- 22 | ever designated as the Compliance Officer for
- 23 | the Ohio Corporate Separation Plan for the Ohio
- 24 FirstEnergy utilities?
- A. Yes, I was.

Q. For what period of time?

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. For the time I served as Chief Ethics Officer.
 - Q. During the entire time you were
 Chief Ethics Officer, you were the designated
 Compliance Officer under the Corporate
 Separation plan?
 - A. It was that title that was designated, not myself, specifically.
 - Q. What were your responsibilities as Compliance Officer under the Ohio Corporate Separation Plan?
 - A. I'd have to review the language.
 - Q. What language is it that you need to review?
 - A. Either the plan, or -- or there's something to indicate that title will serve as a Corporate Compliance, Ohio Corporate -- Corporate Separation compliant -- there's some language to that, but I don't know off the top of my head.
 - Q. Are there any activities that you ever performed in your role as a Compliance Officer for the Ohio Corporate Separation Plan?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. What were those activities?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A. Training, communications, to the extent there was any complaint, the investigation or assigning the investigation.
- Q. Did you have any interaction with the auditor of the Ohio Corporate Separation plan, during the time you held that role?
 - A. What's the auditor?
- Q. Were there any audits ordered of the Ohio Corporate Separation plan during the time that you held the role of Compliance Officer?

MS. LAPE: Objection.

- A. Ordered by who?
- Q. Ordered by the Public Utilities
 Commission?
- A. I don't recall if there were any audits by the PUCO or FERC.
- Q. What training did you perform as the Compliance Officer?
- A. There's training contained in the Code of Conduct training. There was some ad hoc trainings conducted from time-to-time by the Legal Department.
- Q. I just want to come back to the

- time when your employment with FirstEnergy ended -- you said that was in November of 2020?
 - A. That's correct.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q. Was there a period of time from when Mr. Jones was fired by FirstEnergy, before you were separated?

MS. LAPE: Objection.

A. Yes.

permitting that.

- Q. So did you have any role in any investigation that occurred before Mr. Jones was fired relating to what his role was with House Bill 6?
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Objection to scope.
 - MS. LAPE: And you can answer a specific question about whether an investigation existed, but I would caution you not to waive any attorney/client privilege, unless your -- unless FirstEnergy's counsel is
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: No. We would also uphold the privilege here.
- MR. DORINGO: Companies also object on scope.
- A. Could you repeat the question.

	Page 86
1	MR. FINNIGAN: Could you repeat the
2	question.
3	(Whereupon, the court reporter read
4	back the last question.)
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What was your role?
7	MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Same objection
8	to scope.
9	A. Counsel for the company.
L O	Q. And what activities did you perform
L1	in carrying out that role?
L 2	MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Same objection.
L 3	This is nothing to do with Corporate
L 4	Separation.
L 5	A. Worked with outside counsel.
L 6	Q. Who was your outside counsel that
L 7	you worked with?
L 8	MS. LAPE: Objection. What does
L 9	this have to do with Corporate Separation?
2 0	MR. FINNIGAN: This goes to the
21	whole point of Corporate Separation with the
22	governance issues that the company related in
23	its SEC files. But, in any event, let me just
2 4	conduct the questioning. You certainly can
) E	object and instruct her not to answer but you

- 1 know, it is related to the case.
- 2 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: And just to
- 3 | make sure the record is clear, we are also
- 4 asserting privilege objection to this question,
- 5 as well.
- A. Jones Day.
- 7 Q. All right. Did you perform any
- 8 part of the investigation into Mr. Jones'
- 9 | conduct that led up to his firing?
- 10 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Objection.
- 11 | Scope and privilege.
- MS. LAPE: Yes. Same objection.
- 13 It's a privileged investigation. She says she
- 14 | was acting as counsel. It has nothing to do
- 15 | with Corporate Separation, and I don't know why
- 16 | you keep pursuing these lines.
- MR. FINNIGAN: Well, this has
- 18 | everything to do with Corporate Separation,
- 19 because --
- 20 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: And we would
- 21 instruct the witness not to answer this
- 22 question.
- 23 MR. FINNIGAN: I don't know that
- 24 you have any ability to do that. But let me
- 25 | just say that, for the record, these questions

- all relate to Corporate Separation, because

 Mr. Jones' conduct enabled these cost
- 3 allocations to occur. The whole reason, or the
- 4 driving force behind the cost allocations that
- 5 | we are concerned about -- these cost
- 6 allocations have to do with the contracts
- 7 between Mr. Randazzo and the company. And it
- 8 | was Mr. Jones' improper tone at the top that
- 9 allowed those misallocations to occur.
- 10 So we have a series of questions
- 11 about what Mr. Jones' actions were that enabled
- 12 these cost allocations. So we have to ask,
- 13 | just to get into the background of how the cost
- 14 allocations happened, who authorized them, who
- 15 approved of them -- so this is all part of that
- 16 | line of questioning.
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Well, any
- 18 questions that go under the privileged internal
- 19 investigation are privileged and we are not
- 20 | going to allow the witness to answer.
- 21 MS. LAPE: And we will instruct her
- 22 | not to answer any questions that go into areas
- 23 | that FirstEnergy has client privilege over.
- MR. FINNIGAN: Well, let me -- I'll
- 25 ask the questions, and you can certainly

- instruct her not to answer, and, then, we can qet a ruling from the Attorney Examiners.
 - Q. So the SEC filings that reported on Mr. Jones' firing, are you familiar with those?
 - A. I don't recall.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

- Q. Are you aware that FirstEnergy Corp stated publicly that Mr. Jones was fired, because of an inappropriate tone at the top?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. What do you understand was the reason Mr. Jones was fired?
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Objection.
- 13 | Calls for privileged information.

to pick it up.

- MS. LAPE: Objection. Do not answer.
- MR. FINNIGAN: If you're going to
 instruct the witness not to answer, you need to
 state it loudly enough for the court reporter
- MS. LAPE: Yes. If you could not hear me, I instructed her not to answer.
- Q. What does the term improper tone at the top mean to you?
- A. Inappropriate -- I don't know a different adjective for "tone" -- I do this

- sometimes with my children, where I try to give them a different adjective for a word that they don't know.
- But I don't know what -- an inappropriate tone at the upper level of a company.

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

20

21

22

23

- MS. LAPE: And this is just, you are asking her just generally what her understanding is, because we've already said that she is not going to testify about specific decisions at FirstEnergy.
- Q. Okay. Did Mr. Jones engage in any unethical conduct, during your tenure at FirstEnergy?
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Objection.
- 16 Calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer.
- MS. LAPE: Objection. I'm instructing the witness not to answer.
 - Q. In your role --
 - MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: If you want to ask questions about Corporate Separation, we're all here to do that.
- MR. FINNIGAN: Well, as I mentioned earlier, all these questions have to do with

1 | what allowed the improper cost misallocations.

2.

2.2

2.5

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Sure. So if you want to get onto those questions.

MR. FINNIGAN: I will. But I'm going to ask these first as background, so I need to find out how they happened. It's important to this case. It is important for finding out, you know, how and why and who were involved in the misallocations. We can't just receive a number that there was \$24 million in improper cost misallocations, and just be satisfied with that. We have to find out the background of who did it, why they did it, who they did it with, how it happened and that's what all these questions related to.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: But you haven't asked any of those questions yet.

MR. FINNIGAN: Well, I certainly am, and you're not letting the witness answer them.

Q. So let me ask you this, Ma'am, in your role as Chief Ethics Officer, did you have any responsibility for dealing with complaints of criminal violations that were reported to you?

- 1 MR. DORINGO: Objection to scope.
- MS. LAPE: Objection. It assumes
- 3 facts not in evidence.
- 4 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: And objection
- 5 and instruct her not to answer, to the extent
- 6 that the answer would reveal any
- 7 | attorney/client communications or privileged
- 8 information.
- 9 Q. Ma'am, are you familiar with the
- 10 | Companies' Corporate Compliance Program?
- 11 A. I don't have an active memory of
- 12 | it, but, at the time I was at the company, I
- 13 | was familiar with it, yes.
- Q. Did you have any responsibilities
- 15 as Chief Ethics Officer for implementing the
- 16 | Corporate Compliance Program?
- 17 A. I took responsibilities.
- 18 Q. And what were they?
- 19 A. Well, there was a document that
- 20 detailed the responsibilities for the position.
- Q. Did those responsibilities include
- 22 | being the person to whom people would report
- 23 | allegations of improper conduct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you become aware of any

- 1 | allegations of improper conduct against
- 2 | Mr. Jones at any time you worked at
- 3 | FirstEnergy?
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: I would object,
- 5 and instruct the witness not to answer, to the
- 6 extent that it calls for her to reveal any
- 7 | attorney/client privileged communications or
- 8 | privileged information.
- 9 MS. LAPE: Objection. You can
- 10 answer to the extent it doesn't lead to any
- 11 | attorney/client privileged information. And
- 12 it's, again, outside the scope.
- 13 A. Could you repeat the question.
- 14 Q. Let me ask a different question.
- 15 During the time you were responsible for the
- 16 | Companies' compliance program, did you become
- 17 | aware of any allegations of improper conduct
- 18 | against Mr. Jones?
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Same objection
- 20 and same instruction.
- MS. LAPE: Again, you can answer to
- 22 | the extent you don't reveal any attorney/client
- 23 privileged information. Why don't you ask her
- 24 | if she had any complaints about Corporate
- 25 | Separation policy.

Q. Just go ahead.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

MR. FINNIGAN: I mean, you can instruct the witness not to answer, but, otherwise, I will ask the questions I am inclined to ask, not the ones you would like me to ask her.

- A. I apologize. I just don't know what would be a violation of my attorney/client obligations, at this point, with that question.
- Q. During your employment at
 FirstEnergy, did you ever have to take any
 action in your role as Chief Ethics Officer
 with relation to the Corporate Compliance
 Program that involved Mr. Jones?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Same objection. Same instruction. Again, the question is not tailored to Corporate Separation, and to the extent it calls for privileged information, we ask the witness not to answer it.

MS. LAPE: Same objection and instruction.

Q. During your tenure as Chief Ethics
Officer, did you ever become aware of any
complaints of improper conduct by Mike Dowling?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Same objection.

- 1 | Same instruction.
- MS. LAPE: Same objection. Same instruction.
- Q. During your tenure as Chief Ethics

 Officer, were you ever aware of any allegations

 of improper conduct by Mr. Dennis Chack?
- 7 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Same objection 8 same instruction.
- 9 MS. LAPE: Same objection. Same 10 instruction.
- Q. The media has reported that

 Mr. Ruffner was separated from the company.
- What is your understanding of the reason why he was separated?
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Objection to scope.
- MS. LAPE: Objection to scope, and,
 to the extent that your recollection, or
 anything that you know, came from communication
 with counsel, that should not be revealed
 either.
- THE WITNESS: Could you read back the question?
- Q. I will re-ask it. I'm just asking,
 what is your understanding of why Mr. Ruffner

Page 96 was separated? 1 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Same objection, 3 scope. MS. LAPE: Same objection and 4 5 instruction. Just the AK, complete the AK. 6 Α. 7 What the AK says is that he was 0. separated by inaction that was influenced by 8 improper tone at the top. What did you 10 understand that to mean? MS. LAPE: Objection. AK speaks 11 12 for itself. Do you have the AK? 13 Α. I didn't have any special understanding of it. 14 Do you think that Mr. Ruffner did 15 16 anything wrong, related to the House Bill 6 17 matter? MR. DORINGO: Objection to scope. 18 19 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Objection, to 20 the extent that it calls for the revelation of 21 any attorney/client privileged information, I 2.2 instruct the witness not to answer. 23

> Was anyone else separated from Q.

2.4

2.5

instruction.

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376 www.veritext.com

MS. LAPE: Objection and same

	Page 97
1	FirstEnergy, besides yourself relating to House
2	Bill 6?
3	MS. LAPE: Objection.
4	MR. DORINGO: Objection.
5	A. You would have to ask FirstEnergy.
6	Q. Hmm?
7	A. You would have to ask FirstEnergy.
8	Q. I'm just asking you from your
9	knowledge.
L O	MS. LAPE: Same objection. And
L1	scope.
L 2	MR. FITZGERALD: And nothing you
L 3	heard from counsel you should reveal, meaning,
L 4	your personal counsel.
L 5	MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: And, again, for
L 6	the record, this has nothing to do with
L 7	Corporate Separation.
L 8	A. I don't know.
L 9	Q. Did you sign some separation
2 0	agreement with FirstEnergy?
21	MS. LAPE: Objection. This is far
22	outside the scope. This has nothing to do
23	with
24	MR. DORINGO: Objection to scope.
2.5	Q. Go ahead. You can answer.

1 A. No.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Q. Did you enter into any joint defense privilege with FirstEnergy Corp, or the FirstEnergy utilities, or any other party?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Objection.

MS. LAPE: Objection. Scope --

MR. DORINGO: Objection. Scope.

MS. LAPE: -- and instruct you not to answer, to the extent it reveals any privileged information.

- A. At what time?
- Q. At any time.
- A. I believe as an Officer of the company, there may have been a joint defense.
- Q. I'm asking, did you enter into a joint defense agreement, after your employment ended with FirstEnergy?
 - A. That is a different question.
 - Q. Okay. That is my question.

MR. DORINGO: Objection to scope.

MS. LAPE: Objection to scope, and to the extent it calls for privileged information.

- A. I don't think so.
- Q. Has the company notified you that

Veritext Legal Solutions

twenty questions. So I hope I can do it in the

turn it over to any other parties that want to

MR. OLIKER: John, I have about

ask questions during the public session.

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

public session. I don't know if you want me to proceed before we go to lunch. I will defer to you for that.

MR. FINNIGAN: My preference would be to just push through the public session now, get that over with, and, then, take a lunch break and come back for the confidential session. So why don't we go ahead and do that. And it sounds like that might be Joe, but whoever it is really needs to speak up, because we are having trouble hearing you.

EXAMINATION OF EBONY YEBOAH-AMANKWAH BY MR. OLIKER:

- Q. All right. Thank you. Ebony, good to see you again. My name is Joe Oliker. We've known each other for a long time.
 - A. Hi Joe.

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Q. Good to see you. I'm going to try to follow-up on some of the questions received from Mr. Finnigan. I will try to keep this in the public record, please, if anybody objects, let me know. I will try to keep the questions as generic as possible to avoid having to do that.

Going back to some of the

discussions about the audit reports, are you
familiar with the Sage Audit report, (phonetic)

Daymark Audit Report?

- A. I don't recall them.
- Q. Are you familiar that there is an audit in Case No. 17-974?
 - A. I'm not familiar with the docket.
 - Q. Did you participate in the Corporate Separation audit of the FirstEnergy utilities, while you were still employed by FirstEnergy?
 - A. I did not.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. So I think it's safe to say you have not reviewed any audit reports that were filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. You were generally familiar with the purpose of Ohio's Corporate Separation requirements, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And are you familiar -- scratch that. Do you know if FirstEnergy has Corporate Separation policies that are specific to Ohio, or does it leverage its first Code of Conduct?

A. I don't remember.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. And are you aware that while you were employed by FirstEnergy, it offered non-jurisdictional services, such as home warranty products?
 - A. I recall it offered services. I don't recall if that is a correct example of them.
 - Q. Are you familiar with a company called Home Search?
 - A. I am not.
- Q. And you are familiar with the term non-jurisdictional service, are you not?
- A. I am generally familiar with that term, correct.
- Q. Can you give a general definition for the record, based upon your knowledge.
- A. Services, other than the distribution of energy that a utility may offer that the Commission does not regulate in the same way.
- Q. But you generally agree that there are non-competitive services, such as distribution service and competitive retail electric services, such as generation service,

and other services that are typically considered non-jurisdictional outside of the Commission's general regulation.

A. Yes.

2.

1.3

2.5

- Q. And I think we already established this, but I want to make sure we are clear. You agree that while you were employed by FirstEnergy, FirstEnergy did, in fact, market/provide non-jurisdictional services to its customers.
- MS. LAPE: Objection.
- MR. DORINGO: Objection. And Joe, my objection is just, I know it's easy to do, but you're using FirstEnergy, and, you know, we have three utilities. We have other Ohio operating -- you have other entities operating in Ohio.
 - So just for the clarity of the record, if you could be a little more precise, I would appreciate that.
 - Q. So with that objection, and I'm happy to have it clarified, we are referring to the three FirstEnergy utility operating companies collectively as FirstEnergy or FirstEnergy Utility.

MS. LAPE: Do you want the question read back?

THE WITNESS: No.

- A. I am aware that the services were offered by an entity in the corporate umbrella, but I do not recall which entity it was.
- Q. Are you familiar with the entity known as Smart Mark?
 - A. I am not.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. What about FirstEnergy products?
- A. I am aware of that name. I don't know if that is an entity name or a group name.
- Q. Do you know where that entity or group resides within the FirstEnergy family tree of companies?
- A. My recollection, which may or may not be correct, is that it was a group or a department within FirstEnergy.
- Q. And when you say FirstEnergy, are you referring to utility or one of the service companies outside of the utility?
- A. My understanding or recollection is that it would have been perhaps with a service company, FirstEnergy service company.
 - Q. And are you familiar with the

- entity known as FirstEnergy Home?
- A. I am not.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. And do you know, did FirstEnergy, the utility, have any internal safeguards or Corporate Separation requirements that were applicable to FirstEnergy employees marketing non-jurisdictional service?
 - A. The FirstEnergy Ohio operating companies, is that what you're asking about?
- Q. Yes.
- 11 A. I recall that there were.
- Q. And what type of safeguards were in place?
- A. I don't remember them.
 - Q. And am I correct that when the FirstEnergy, the utility, provided a non-jurisdictional product to customers, they would invoice and collect for those services on the utility bill?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Do you remember a Corporate

 Separation complaint that was filed against

 FirstEnergy by the Retail Energy Supply

 Association?
- A. I don't remember it.

- Q. Do you remember a letter that Mark
 Whitt, on behalf of the Retail Energy Supply
 Association addressed to you, regarding the
 alleged Corporate Separation violation of
 FirstEnergy Ohio Utilities?
 - A. No, I don't remember.
- Q. Can we go off the record for a second.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

- Q. Now, can you see my screen?
- A. I can.

6

9

10

11

17

18

19

- Q. And just take a minute and look.

 This is an exhibit to a Compliant. The letter

 is titled January 4, 2018, and just let me know

 if this refreshes your recollection about a

 particular issue.
 - A. Can you scroll down to the next page. Can you scroll down again.
 - Q. Yes.
- 20 A. Is there another page -- I've
 21 reviewed the letter. It does not refresh my
 22 memory.
- Q. You said you don't remember that?
- A. No. I don't have any active memory of it, no.

- Q. Okay. So, and I'll go to the next page. Do you remember writing this e-mail,
 January 16, 2018?
 - A. No. But it appears consistent on how I would have handled such a complaint, but I don't remember the communication.
 - Q. Okay. And am I correct that, at your direction, there was a preliminary investigation conducted in FirstEnergy? Do you remember doing that?
 - A. I don't.
 - Q. You do or you do not?
 - A. I do not.

4

5

6

7

8

10

1 1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Okay. I can stop sharing now.

 Now, how many, can you recall any other times,
 when a specific Corporate Separation violation
 or allegation was brought to your attention?
 - A. I do not recall.
- Q. And regarding the separation of non-jurisdictional services, do you know the methods through which FirstEnergy marketed its product?
- 23 A. I don't.
- Q. Do you know if FirstEnergy
 utilities used its call center to market its

non-jurisdictional service?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

- A. I don't.
- Q. And would you agree that, at one point in time, IGS approached FirstEnergy, and requested that it be permitted to offer its own non-jurisdictional services on the utility bill?
- A. I recall that IGS approached the Ohio utilities to offer certain non-jurisdictional services, but I don't recall the nature or scope or if the request was to be on the utility. But I don't recall the specifics around the request.
- Q. Do you remember -- strike that. Would you agree that FirstEnergy declined to permit IGS to put its non-jurisdictional services on the utility bill?
 - A. I don't remember.
- Q. And am I correct that you were not aware how FirstEnergy may have accounted for any of its own costs to provide non-jurisdictional services to customers?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. And do you know if FirstEnergy had any restriction in place that would prevent

FirstEnergy employees from accessing customer information to market non-jurisdictional services?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

A. Could you repeat the question.

MR. OLIKER: Could the court
reporter read it back.

(Whereupon, the court reporter read back the last question.)

MR. DORINGO: I'm just going to object on the use of "FirstEnergy" there being imprecise and vague.

- Q. To make it clear, when I'm talking about FirstEnergy, I'm talking about utilities. But if the witness wants to correct it in her response, that's fine.
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you believe it would have been improper for a FirstEnergy employee to use the utility database to access FirstEnergy distribution customer information to market non-jurisdictional service?
- A. I've been away from the Corporate Separation rules and regulations for a bit now, and I don't have a working knowledge, sitting here today, of the ins and outs to compare it

to what you've asked me.

- Q. If a customer was calling FirstEnergy, the utility, to connect its electric service, do you believe it would be improper for a call center agent to market non-jurisdictional service?
 - MR. DORINGO: Object to form.
- Same response. I would have to Α. look over the Corporate Separation rules and regulations to be able to advise on what is permissible and not permissible.
- Am I correct that FirstEnergy 0. Utility's call center get questions from customers regarding non-jurisdictional services provided by the FirstEnergy Utility?
 - I don't know.
- And because you do not know, would you agree if such calls occurred, you also do not know how those call center employees were accounted for?
 - Could you repeat the question. Α. MR. OLIKER: Could the court
- 23 reporter read it back.
- 2.4 (Whereupon, the court reporter read back the last question.)

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376 www.veritext.com

2.5

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Page 111

(Mr. Oliker dropped from the call)

MR. FINNIGAN: Joe, you are back on. Please re-ask your last question.

- Q. We touched on this just a few minutes ago, are you familiar with FirstEnergy Utility's relationship with HomeServe?
 - A. I don't recall, no.
- Q. And what about the FirstEnergy parent company, are you familiar with their relationship with HomeServe?
 - A. No.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. And you remember discussions earlier how you told about the agreement with the Sustainability Funding Alliance, I want to keep the conversation general here, do you remember that discussion?
 - A. Here today?
- Q. Yes.
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. And when did you become aware of any of the -- and to streamline things -- can you call Sustainability Funding Alliance, SFA, because I simply cannot say it?
 - A. Okay.
- MS. LAPE: I think that we had an

agreement, or that Attorney Examiner had said that this could be, all questions related to SFA would be under the confidentiality session.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

MR. OLIKER: I'm not sure they said all questions. I think they said specific questions regarding things, other than the public documents themselves. I have some very, very high level background that is not confidential.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Our preference would be for all questions related to SFA to be in the confidential session consistent with the Attorney Examiner's inclination.

MS. WILLIS: Well, to be clear, the Attorney Examiner said that it would be a good idea. She hadn't really ruled. Let's be clear about that -- she said, "That's a good idea."

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: That's why I said "inclination."

MS. WILLIS: But, you know, Joe, if you want to ask, you ask.

MR. OLIKER: There has already been some questions on the record about this. So if I get into confidential, then, you just try to cut me off. But I don't think you'll find this

to be such.

2.2

2.5

Q. When did you become aware of FirstEnergy's or any FirstEnergy entity used, its agreement with SFA?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: I'm going to object, and instruct the witness not to answer, to the extent that it reveals any attorney/client privileged information. And, again, I would reiterate my request that these questions be posed in the confidential session.

MS. LAPE: I'm also going to object, and instruct that you not waive any attorney/client privileged information. And I do think that these questions should be in the confidential session given the discussion we had earlier with the Attorney Examiner.

MR. OLIKER: Okay. If we go down this route, we're going to have to submit this whole transcript to the Attorney Examiners, and make them go through line-by-line for what is confidential and what is not. These questions are not who has any confidential information.

MR. DORINGO: Joe, from the Companies' point of view, it's a sensitive enough issue. I would hate to burden the

```
attorney examiners with that, but, we think just being careful here makes sense, and the Attorney Examiners seemed to agree with that.
```

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

MR. OLIKER: Well, I will make that requirement, if these questions have to be in the confidential session. So if you are going to require that, then, you are putting that on the Attorney Examiners.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: That's our position.

MS. WILLIS: Go ahead and ask, Joe.

MR. OLIKER: I'm sorry.

MS. WILLIS: I said to go ahead and ask your questions. I don't think they're going to require you to put it into the confidential session.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: No, we are.

That's our position. We requested --

MS. WILLIS: Well, you're not going to require him, require, you're not going to require him. So we don't -- I'd rather us not keep calling the Examiner up over these issues. I think we should be able to work them out.

You would like him to do it. He doesn't want

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

to do it. There was no ruling. He should go

```
1 ahead.
```

8

9

10

2.2

23

24

25

- MR. FITZGERALD: I think, before we work things out, when we talked to the
- Examiners, we clearly understood this would be handled in a confidential session.
- MS. WILLIS: But it's not a ruling.

 NS. WILLIS: But it's not a ruling.
 - MS. LAPE: You agree that she said,
 "I would be inclined to have everything in the
 confidential session?"
- MS. WILLIS: I think what she said,

 "That sounds like a good idea."
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Correct. And so we agree that it's a good idea.
- MR. FITZGERALD: And then why don't we take it up with the Examiner? If this --
- MS. WILLIS: Well, do you want to
 call the Examiner over that -- have -- you
 know, have at it. But I think she's going to
 get a little annoyed by calls over things like
 this.
 - MR. FINNIGAN: Joe, let me suggest that we are operating with a limited amount of time here. We can certainly carve out time and go to the Attorney Examiner to talk about

whether this discussion, where you've got a couple of questions, ought to be in a public or a confidential session. But to save time, and since you are participating in the confidential session, would it make sense for you just to reserve those questions for the confidential session?

1

2.

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

MR. OLIKER: I have about five questions. I don't want to talk about House Bill 6. I don't want to talk about anything post-2018. I have some simple questions regarding the agreements.

MR. FINNIGAN: Well, why don't you ask your five questions, and they can instruct the witness not to answer, if they are declined, or let the witness answer. So go ahead.

Q. Ebony, are you familiar with the initial 2013 agreement with SFA?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: I'm going to object and instruct the witness not to answer, until we are in the confidential session.

MS. LAPE: Same objection and instruction.

Q. All right. Well, then, are your

thoughts -- a similar question -- you are aware that the FirstEnergy utility voted expenses related to the SFA as distribution-related?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MR. DORINGO: Objection.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Same objection. Same instruction.

MS. LAPE: Same objection. Same instruction.

MS. BOJKO: I would like to note on the record that these are not confidential questions; whether she was aware or not, are not confidential questions, and I want to note that on the record so we can go back and release everything to the public, if you are going to instruct the witness not to answer. These are not confidential questions, whether she was aware.

MR. FINNIGAN: Yes. OCC joins in that objection. And this is something that we can take up with the attorney examiners at another time, either this afternoon, or at a different date. But, yes, we think that these are all public record type of questions that ought to be answered, and inappropriate use of an instruction not to answer. So we join in

1 that.

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

with it that way.

2. MR. OLIKER: What I will suggest, after this is done, I don't necessarily think 3 the attorney examiners will have to get 4 involved today. But there will be a 5 transcript. It will have a confidential and a 6 public session. I suggest that we send the confidential session to the attorney examiners 8 and let them decide what goes into the public 10 record. We can take their arguments and deal

MR. FINNIGAN: Yes. That might be a good approach, Joe. Let's deal with that, you know, at the appropriate time. Do you have any more questions, at this time?

MR. OLIKER: Give me one minute to look at my notes. Okay. Just a couple more questions.

- Q. Ebony, are you familiar with the process of building expenses between different utility service companies in general?
 - A. I'm aware that it is done.
- Q. Okay. Back in your earlier days at FirstEnergy, you represented the company in distribution cases, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And one of the rules of a distribution case is to identify the operation and maintenance expenses that are necessary to operate the utility, correct?
 - A. The cost to provide service?
- 7 O. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A. Cost of service is one component, yes.
- Q. And, in order to come to a cost of service, you look at various allocations from the parent company, not just the utility, correct?
 - A. I don't recall how the parent company filters into the cost of service.
 - Q. In your most recent role at FirstEnergy, were you involved in approving invoices for different operating companies?
 - A. No.
- Q. Would that have been Bill Redman or Eileen Mickelson?
 - A. I don't think so.
 - Q. Who do you believe would have been responsibility for making determinations on which costs should be assigned to which

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

operating companies?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

2.3

24

2.5

- A. That's a different question, perhaps, Accounting and the rates department.
 - Q. Are you familiar with their review process, because you ultimately would have to sign-off on the allocation that's invoiced?
 - A. I would not do that, what you indicate -- I would not ultimately sign-off on allocation of those invoices.
 - Q. Do you know who, specifically, within FirstEnergy would sign-off?
 - A. I do not.
 - Q. Were you familiar with the process that FirstEnergy would undertake to assign expenses from various operating companies?
 - A. Well, I had different levels of understanding, depending on what position I held, at the time, and what I was working on; if I was engaged in a rate case, I would have had a more in-depth understanding. My understanding changed. Sitting here today, I don't recall the specifics.
- Q. Was Chuck Jones ever involved in those determinations, if you know?
 - A. I don't know.

- O. How about Dennis Chack?
- A. I don't know.

1

2.

3

4

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Q. Those are all the questions that I have for the public record. Thank you, Ebony.

MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. I think
that's all for now. It is 10 after 2:00. Why
don't we resume at 3 o'clock. We will finish
up the questioning in the public session that
Kim and Dane mentioned that they have and then
we will go to the confidential session after
that. So we'll see you back at 3 o'clock.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

MR. FINNIGAN: We are ready to get started now for the afternoon public session.

I think where we left off, Joe had finished his public questioning, and, now, Kim, we are going to turn it over to you for your public questioning.

EXAMINATION OF EBONY YEBOAH-AMANKWAH BY MS. BOJKO:

Q. Okay. Off the record, Ebony, you graciously agreed to let me call you Ebony; so, thank you for that. As you know, my name is Kim Bojko, and, in this proceeding, I represent the Ohio Manufacturers Association Energy

1 Group.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

My questions this afternoon are going to focus on your time when you served as Chief Ethics Officer from 2017 until November of 2020. Okay?

- A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. And in that position, you were ultimately responsible for compliance monitoring and tracking; is that correct?
 - A. Certain corporate compliance.
 - Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear you.
- A. I was responsible for certain corporate compliance.
 - Q. And would your, would one of those -- you said certain corporate compliance -- could you maybe tell me what certain corporate compliance is that you were responsible for?
 - A. It was decentralized at

 FirstEnergy, and, so, there was a variety of

 different departments that handled different

 components of corporate compliance. You know,

 sitting here today, I can't really name them.

 I don't kind of recall all the groups. There

 was federal regulatory energy compliance, but

there were a number of different other areas of 1 compliance.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

I do recall that we would have a compliance summit, that we would get together all of the people responsible for compliance, and go through their various responsibilities and what they were doing to execute those.

- I'm sorry. Execute what? Q.
- Α. Their responsibilities.
- And one of those responsibilities Q. would have been Corporate Separation compliance; is that right?
 - Α. That's correct.
- And when you engaged in the 0. corporate compliance monitoring and tracking, during your time at the company, did you have a process or a system in place for employees to report potential client violations, regarding Corporate Separation compliance?
- Employees could report it through Α. the employee concerns line.
- Q. And the employee concerns line is what you referenced this morning with Mr. Finnigan. Were there any other methods in place for employees to highlight Corporate

Separation concerns?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- A. They could report it to the Legal
 Department, Human Resources, internal audit.
 - Q. And internal audit is actually a separate section or division within the company; is that correct?
 - A. It is.
 - Q. Was there a tracking system that employees could access electronically, in order to, I guess, in order to make their complaint known to one of these departments?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. How were employees made aware of the ability for them to raise Corporate Separation compliance issues with either the employee concerns line, Legal Department, H.R. or internal audit?
 - A. I can't -- I don't recall all the different mechanisms that were available to employees, but there was training and various communications.
 - Q. When you say various communications, by whom?
 - A. The communications department.
 - Q. Do you know if they were given

anything in writing, regarding their ability to make a complaint regarding Corporate Separation requirements?

A. I don't recall.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. You mentioned a third party vendor receiving the calls on the employee concerns line; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you stated that the third party vendor line issued, or created a report, that then you received and Kevin Burgess; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Was that report sent to you via e-mail, or was there some kind of tracking system at FirstEnergy that would house that report?
 - A. I received it via e-mail.
- Q. And how were the records maintained with regard to the employee concerns line component?
 - A. Through the third party database.
- Q. And what about the reports that you received from third party vendor, where were those reports housed and maintained?

- A. I would get an e-mail regarding the complaint, and there would be a link to go back to the third party vendor's database.
- Q. Thank you very much for that clarification. These employee concerns lines, they could have covered other issues, other than Corporate Separation; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

- Q. So were the complaints classified or indexed, based on the category of concern?
- A. I believe that there were some categories.
- Q. Did the company have a method for marking the complaint that's resolved?
- A. I believe it was noted in the database.
- Q. Do you know if an employee could make a written complaint, instead of just calling into the employee concerns line?
 - A. Yes, they could.
- Q. And was there a written process in place for them to do that?
 - A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Did you have a written process?

 Did employees know how to or who to submit a

written Complaint to?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- A. I believe there was communication that a written complaint could be sent to the Chief Ethics Officer.
- Q. So you were the only one that received written complaints, during your time as Chief Ethics Officer?
- A. No. It could also be sent to the Legal Department or Human Resources. Employees could send a written complaint to anyone in the organization.
- Q. Were there physical records of these complaints kept by FirstEnergy by you?
- A. At one time, there were hardcopy records and then there was kind of an initiative to convert them to all electronic records. I'm not sure if there were any kind of paper records maintained, after they went to electronic format.
- Q. And where would the electronic records be held in the system?
- A. I believe the employee concerns would have been entered into the database.
- Q. I'm sorry. Into what database, the third party database?

A. That's correct.

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. And Mr. Oliker showed you earlier a complaint launched by PUCO, and you received -- I know you don't recall that -- presumably, you received the hardcopy or electronic of that.

 What would have been done with that Complaint after receiving it?
- A. I would have assigned it either to internal audit or the Legal Department for review and investigation.
- Q. So, if you received that letter electronically, would you have forwarded it to one of the those departments for investigation?
- A. I don't know if I would have forwarded it or had the document, run it down to the department.
- Q. Okay. And then physically what would you have done with the document? Where would you have put it? Would you have kept it in a file somewhere? Where would you have put that letter?
- A. If I would have run the document down to the department, they would have retained the document.
 - Q. Okay. And if you received it

electronically, would you have saved it on the 1 system somewhere?

> I don't recall. Α.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

So, other than the recent complaint that's been discussed hear today, did you receive other complaints, during your time as Chief Ethics Officer from 2017 through November of 2020?

MS. LAPE: Objection. Related to Corporate Separation, or anything?

MS. BOJKO: Anything, right now.

- Α. I did.
- And were any of those complaints related to Corporate Separation?
 - I don't recall. Α.
- So the third party vendor system that you referenced that had a database that housed the complaints, who had access to that database?
- Α. I don't recall sitting here today everyone that had access to that database. would have had access. An administrative assistant would have had access. Someone in internal auditing would have access, and someone in H.R. would have had access.

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376 www.veritext.com

- were more people who had access, but I don't
 recall who.
 - Q. And the regular employees would not have had access, right?
 - A. That's correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. Do you believe that that third party database is still in existence today?
 - A. I don't know.

MS. LAPE: Objection.

- Q. Was the compliance system and third party database that you described in place, before you took the position as Chief Ethics Officer, or did you implement its use?
- A. The third party vendor had a product already in place. When I took office, I implemented an enhanced version of it.
- Q. And prior, right before leaving office, was that enhanced version still in place in use?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you don't know whether the County still utilizes the same version that you used; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So we talked about the

employees having this employee concerns line, and I did already mention that stakeholders, customers or other third person, if they had a complaint regarding Corporate Separation, would they, where would they -- just send it to you, or H.R. or legal -- would that have been the process, at the time you were there?

- A. Those are places a third party could have sent it to.
- Q. Let me -- I apologize. That was a poorly-worded question. Let me ask it different way. A stakeholder or a customer or another certain person could not have called the employee concerns line; is that fair --
 - A. They could have.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. -- or they could have -- how would they have known what number to call? Was that posted on your website or something?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. And during your time, as Chief Ethics Officer, if an audit was conducted, would the auditor have access to the third party vendor database that housed the complaints regarding Corporate Separation?

MS. LAPE: Objection. An audit

about Corporate Separation? Or any audit?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- Q. Well, given that the complaint is broader than Corporate Separation, my question was, any auditor, that would have needed access to this database for any type of complaint?
 - A. External or internal auditor?
- Q. Well, let's do both. First, internal auditor.
- A. Internal audit had access to the database.
- Q. All right. How about external audit? Let's take them apart. During your time at FirstEnergy, you have been involved in various audits supported by the Commission in various audits; is that fair?

MS. LAPE: Objection.

- A. In general, over the course of my career, I have engaged in a few audits.
- Q. So when the Commission hires an external auditor to do a review of a rider, or Corporate Separation, would that auditor have had access to this third party vendor database to review complaints that had been made?
 - A. I don't recall such a request.
 - Q. So if an auditor asks for all

records, regarding Corporate Separation compliance and monitoring tracking Corporate Separation issues, as Chief Ethics Officer, you don't believe that that auditor would have been provided access to the third party vendor database, unless the auditor specifically knew about it and asked, would have had access to that database?

MR. DORINGO: Object to form.

MS. LAPE: Objection.

A. I didn't say that.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

1 1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

- Q. So if an auditor was auditing company compliance issues, and there were complaints regarding compliance issues, how would this third party database, would you have given access to the auditor to review those records?
- A. I would have acted under advice from counsel from our Legal Department.
- Q. Advice from counsel for what, whether you should allow access, or whether you should tell the auditor that the database exists?
- MS. LAPE: Objection.
 - A. If the request came in through the

Legal Department, they would have advised me on how to respond to the request. I don't know.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Under a hypothetical situation, if an auditor called me up specifically, and asked me for access to the database, I don't know that specific hypothetical, but, typically, a request would have come to me through our Legal Department.

- Q. All right. So during your time as Chief Ethics Officer, you don't ever recall a time where an audit of the Corporate Separation rules and Code of Conduct were conducted and you provided access to the thirty party vendor's database, regarding Corporate Separation?
 - A. I don't recall.

 MS. LAPE: Objection.
- Q. If an auditor asked you for records, would you consider the third party database for records -- let me rephrase.

If an auditor asked for records, regarding compliance monitoring and tracking, would you have provided the third party database records to the auditor?

- A. It's hard for me to answer the question, but auditor typically would not be asking me anything. They would be making a request to the company that would go through the Legal Department, and the Legal Department would ask me to provide any documentation that they needed to satisfy the auditor's request.
- Q. Okay. Fair enough. So under that scenario in your internal process, were you ever asked to provide an auditor access to the third party vendor database, with regard to Corporate Separation compliance?
 - A. Not that I recall.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- Q. Understanding November of 2020, you were working remotely; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you indicated that you had documents, and I'm assuming they were loaded at your house, you had documents, company documents, at your house; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you return all of the documents you had, or did you return a portion of the documents?
 - A. I returned everything I have had.

- Q. When did -- I believe, you still said you had computer access, after your termination. When did that computer access terminate?
 - A. I didn't say that.
 - Q. I misheard that. I'm sorry. Did you -- I thought you said that, after you leave, you still had computer access; is that not correct?
- A. I didn't understand your question.

 But, no, I didn't have access, to my knowledge.
 - Q. Okay. So upon termination, you believe you lost access to corporate records -
 MS. LAPE: Objection.
- Q. -- in that timeframe, correct?

MS. LAPE: Objection.

MS. BOJKO: I didn't hear your

18 objection. I'm sorry.

MS. LAPE: Form. Upon her

20 separation.

5

6

7

8

12

1.3

14

2.3

MS. BOJKO: I'm actually talking about the documents, not the separation.

Q. Did you --

A. Upon my separation, I don't recall when my access was terminated.

- Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear you. You don't recall when, is that what you said?
 - A. Yes. I don't recall when my access was terminated, immediately, at the time of my separation, or any moments after that.
 - Q. Did you access any corporate documents, after you received your termination notice?

MS. LAPE: Objection.

A. I don't recall.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. You mentioned to Mr. Finnigan that you returned records/documents, in your possession. What was the time period that that occurred -- was it the same day? A week later? When did you return the documents?
- A. It was not the same day, but I don't recall when.
- Q. Weeks or months or days after, do you recall?
 - A. No. I don't recall.
- Q. During your time as Chief Ethics
 Officer, did the utility companies propose any
 changes to their approved Corporate Separation
 Plan?
- A. I don't know.

Q. Do you recall any discussions, regarding potential changes to the Companies' Corporate Separation Plan?

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

MR. DORINGO: I will object. And just -- well, caution -- you have a need to not reveal privileged communications, in your capacity as a lawyer for the companies.

MS. BOJKO: I'm sorry. I can't hear the attorney very well. But he is objecting based on privileged information, but all my questions are about when she was Chief Ethics Officer, not when she was acting in the capacity of an attorney.

MS. LAPE: She was also General Counsel, during the time that she was Chief Ethics Officer, as well, and Deputy General Counsel. So there is a line to be drawn here, and to the extent that she would need to provide any attorney/client privileged information, in order to answer a question, we would advise her not to do so.

MS. BOJKO: Fair enough. But I didn't ask for substance. I just asked if there were any discussions regarding the potential changes.

A. I don't remember.

1

9

10

1 1

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. Do you recall whether you internally suggested any changes to the Corporate Separation Plan?
- 5 MR. DORINGO: Same caution from me.

MS. LAPE: Yes. Objection, to the
extent it would require her to disclose
privileged information.

MS. BOJKO: Again, I'm not asking for the substance, just whether it occurred or not.

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you recall any other employees recommending or suggesting any changes to the Corporate Separation Plan?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you recall any specific compliance issues, regarding the Corporate Separation Plan, that may have existed when you were Chief Ethics Officer?
 - A. No. I don't recall.
 - Q. So you don't recall whether there were any instances of potential compliance issues, regarding Corporate Separation, during your time as Chief Ethics Officer?

- A. I think if you would have asked me four years ago, or three years ago, I would have had a better memory. But, sitting here today, no, I don't recall.
 - Q. Fair enough. Are you familiar with the Company's regulated money pool?
 - A. Generally.
- Q. To your knowledge, do the companies
 -- and when I say companies, I'm talking about
 the Ohio -- do the companies track the source
 of funds from the regulated money pool, to your
 knowledge?
- A. Can you repeat the question, or can I have it read back?
- Q. I will repeat it. To your knowledge, do companies track the source of funds that were placed in the regulated money pool?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. And do you know whether the DMR, Distribution Modernization Rider funds were placed in the regulated money pool?
- MR. DORINGO: Objection. Scope.
- MS. LAPE: Objection. Same
- 25 objection.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. Well, I was aware that there was a money pool agreement. I was not aware or involved in any of the details around that agreement.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

- Q. Do you know whether or not Ohio subsidiaries have access to the regulated money pool?
- A. If Ohio's -- you said, if the subsidiaries have access?
 - Q. Non-Ohio subsidiary.
- A. My understanding was that there was a regulated and unregulated money pool. There could have been others, but, that's, pretty much, the extent of my understanding of the money pool agreements.
- Q. Did you know, or do you know whether funds that were placed in the money pool, whether they're earmarked for that?
- A. I don't know what that means, and I was not engaged in the money pool agreement.
- Q. Well, did you ever have an opportunity to handle any complaints, regarding the need of the money pool funds?
 - A. Not that I remember.
- Q. Were there ever any internal

- 1 instructions, regarding Corporate Separation
- 2 violations with respect to the money pool?
- MS. LAPE: Objection. I caution
- 4 | you not to answer to the extent you would need
- 5 to disclose attorney/client privileged
- 6 information.

9

- MS. BOJKO: Again, I'm not asking for substance, just whether it happened.
 - A. I don't remember.
- Q. Were there ever any internal discussions about revising accounting practices for the money pool?
- MR. DORINGO: Objection. Scope.
- 14 A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. Do you know whether the Ohio
 companies incurred any direct or indirect costs
 for political or charitable spending that have
 been identified?
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: So I would

 object and caution the witness not to answer,

 to the extent it reveals any attorney/client

 privileged information.
- MS. BOJKO: I'm sorry. We can't hear any -- when FirstEnergy Corp's attorney objects, nobody can hear him.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: So I will repeat the objection. For the record, I object, and I'm cautioning the witness not to answer the question, to the extent it reveals any attorney/client privileged information.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

MS. BOJKO: Thank you.

- A. I'm sorry. Was that question complete? It seemed to lack an ending. Maybe you can read it back to me. I don't think I had the whole question.
- Q. Sure. My question was simply, to your knowledge, did the companies incur any direct or indirect cost for political or charitable spending?

MS. LAPE: Objection. You can answer to the extent you understand the question.

- A. I guess I struggle with the question. I mean, to the extent that the company buys a table at the Boy Scout's event, is that incurring a cost -- and, if so, I'm aware that the company participated in events, and, I guess, purchased tables.
- Q. And were those costs allocated to the Ohio companies?

```
1 MR. DORINGO: Objection. Form.
```

- A. I think first, for clarification, when I said company, I was referring to a subsidiary of FirstEnergy Corp, unaware of which one it was. I guess I was more specifically referring to the FirstEnergy Foundation, and I'm not aware of any costs that the companies incurred, to your question.
- Q. Do the Ohio companies engage in, or support the enactment of House Bill 6?

MR. DORINGO: Objection. Scope.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Objection.

13 | Scope.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

14

15

16

17

20

MS. LAPE: Objection.

- A. I understand that the companies engaged in a certain section of House Bill 6.
- Q. Which section was that?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Objection.

19 Scope.

- A. A provision on decoupling.
- Q. And I think you said previously
 that you are aware that payments were made by
 FirstEnergy Corp to third parties to support
 the enactment of HB 6; is that correct?
- A. I did not say that.

- Q. Okay. Then I will just ask you, I didn't want to repeat. Thank you. Are you aware that payments were made by FirstEnergy Corp to third parties to support enactment of House Bill 6?
- MR. DORINGO: Objection. Scope.

 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Objection

 8 scope.

1

2.

3

4

5

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.5

- 9 A. I apologize. I can't -- I'm not 10 sure if responding to your question, in any 11 manner, would violate my attorney/client 12 privilege.
 - MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: In light of that response, we ask the witness not to answer the question, to the extent that it would reveal any attorney/client privileged information.
 - MS. BOJKO: Okay. Well, I think it is public record. But I will ask my next question --
 - Q. Were portions of those payments allocated to the Ohio companies, do you know?
- A. If there were payments, I don't know how they were allocated.
 - Q. And I'm assuming that your answer

- 1 | would also be that you don't know the party,
- 2 what were the expenses related to those
- 3 | payments, if they were allocated, to your
- 4 knowledge?
- 5 MS. LAPE: Objection. This is all
- 6 | hypothetical, and you're asking the witness to
- 7 | speculate.
- MS. BOJKO: Well, I strongly
- 9 disagree. I think the witness can answer, if
- 10 she knows.
- 11 A. If there were expenses that then
- 12 | led to payments of those expenses -- I don't
- 13 know how those hypothetical expenses or
- 14 payments were allocated.
- 15 Q. Were you responsible for monitoring
- the companies' vending, Ohio companies'
- 17 | vending, to make sure it was compliant with the
- 18 | Corporate Separation Plan?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. I'm sorry. Did you say no?
- 21 A. Yes. I said no.
- Q. Who would have been responsible for
- 23 | monitoring the Ohio Companies' vending to
- 24 ensure it was compliant with the Corporate
- 25 | Separation Plan, if it was not the Chief Ethics

Officer?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

2 MR. DORINGO: Object to form.

- A. I would think it would be the business unit that was trained on it, and the accounting unit that oversaw it.
- Q. Did you state, again, that you were not ever the Ohio Compliance Officer?
- A. I never served in a title of Chief Compliance Officer.
 - Q. Who did serve that title?
 - A. I'm not aware of that position.
- Q. So you would not have kept records, regarding the allocation of money to the company, regarding any payments related to counsel; is that correct?
- A. Assuming that there were payments associated with House Bill 6, I guess, I would need a little clarification.

The companies did engage in a section of House Bill 6, in connection with that, there were outside legal expenses associated with that representation. I was aware of the legal expense for decoupling. I don't recall being aware of any other expense, at that time.

- Q. And were you in charge of approving those outside legal expenses that you just mentioned?
 - A. I don't recall.

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Would you have kept records of the outside legal expenses associated with the Ohio companies' decoupling issue?
- A. It would have been in a database in the system.
- 10 Q. What database? Is it a different
 11 one than you were talking --
 - A. CounselLink.
 - Q. CounselLink. Is this an internal database for FirstEnergy Corp?
- 15 A. I don't know how the software is structured.
 - Q. But you had access to this CounselLink database, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. So do you recall, is it your belief that the legal expenses associated with the decoupling issue were charged to the companies?

 MR. DORINGO: Object to form.
- MR. DORINGO: Object to for MS. LAPE: Objection.
- A. I don't know.

Q. But you would have known, back when you were Chief Ethics Officer; is that a fair assumption?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

MS. LAPE: Objection.

- A. I'm not sure if I would have been aware of how every person allocates their expenses in every matter throughout the organization.
- Q. Would you have reviewed the outside legal expenses, regarding the House Bill 6 decoupling issue, to make sure it was compliant with the companies' Corporate Separation plan?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Objection. And I would just caution the witness not to reveal any attorney/client privileged information.

MR. DORINGO: Objection. Form.

- A. I don't know.
- Q. Did anyone raise a concern with House Bill 6 related expenses with regards to Corporate Separation issues?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Same objection. Same instruction.

- A. I don't remember.
- Q. If there was an audit conducted, would the auditor, regarding Corporate

Separation issues, would the auditor have had access to the CounselLink database, in order to review the expenses related to House Bill 6 that were charged to the Ohio Companies?

MS. LAPE: Objection. Form.

A. I don't know.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. If somebody would have reported an issue, a Corporate Separation concern regarding expenses allocated to the Ohio companies, would you have been made aware of that Corporate Separation concern?
- A. If someone would have reported it to me, or to the employee concerns line, I would have been aware. If they would have reported it to, in another way, I would have only been aware if it was brought to my attention.
- Q. So would the Legal Department or H.R. or any other division, department, that received a complaint, regarding Corporate Separation, have brought it to the attention of the Chief Ethics Officer?
- MS. LAPE: Objection. Calls for speculation.
 - A. I believe it depends on whether it

was deemed a legal matter.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. But your counsel explained to me earlier that you were also General Counsel, during this time, or Assistant General Counsel, during this time; is that right?
 - A. For part of the time.
- Q. So you wouldn't have been made aware of Corporate Separation concern or violation, as Chief Ethics Officer and General Counsel?
- MR. DORINGO: Object to form.

 MS. LAPE: Objection.
 - A. I think that it is possible that I would have been aware. I think it's also possible that an outside party would not bring it to my attention.
 - Q. What do you mean an "outside party," somebody -- we were talking about H.R. -- do you mean outside the companies or outside H.R.?
 - A. Outside the company.
 - Q. Okay. But in the normal course of the process that the company engaged in, when you were their Chief Ethics Officer, would you assume that there was a Corporate Separation

violation, concern, regarding expenses
allocated to the Ohio company, that issue would
have been brought to your attention, as Chief
Ethics Officer, in general?

1.3

2.5

- A. I don't know. If it was raised in the Legal Department, and it was resolved with the party, it may or may not have been brought to my attention.
- Q. Okay. And just to clarify the record, can you tell me, just for the time you were General Counsel, can you just more clearly define for me, during your time as Chief Ethics Officer, and when you were also General Counsel, please?
- A. From May of 2020 to November of 2020, I also served as General Counsel.
- Q. And did you also say you were
 Assistant General Counsel, prior to that, then,
 while you were also Chief Ethics Officer?
- A. I was Deputy General Counsel. I don't have my CV in front of me. I don't recall when I became Deputy General Counsel and Chief Ethics Officer.
- Q. My understanding, you were Chief Ethics Officer in 2017, were you also Deputy

- General Counsel back in 2017 through May 2020? 1
- 2. No, I was not. I was Chief Ethics 3 Officer and not Deputy General Counsel, for a
- period of 2017 to 2018 or 2019. 4
- So, then, sometime in 2018 or 2019, is when you became Chief Ethics Officer? 6
 - That's correct.
 - Thank you very much for that clarification. I just have a couple more questions.
 - Are you being paid today for your time to testify here?
- 1.3 Α. T am not.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- 14 Are you being compensated in any 15 other way for your time to testify today?
 - T am not. Α.
 - Thank you so much for your time, Ebony. I have no further questions.
 - Α. Thank you.
 - MR. FINNIGAN: I think one other person indicated that they had questions for the public session. I believe, Dane, you said you had some -- or, now, that you are going to participate in the confidential, do you want to hold those for the confidential session?

MR. STINSON: No. I just have a very few questions for the public session, so I think we can get started with them.

MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. Go ahead.

EXAMINATION OF EBONY YEBOAH-AMANKWAH
BY MR. STINSON:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

20

21

2.2.

- Q. My name is Dane Stinson. I am representing NOPEC. Good to see you, again, Ebony. Just a few questions, and they relate to the period when you were Chief Ethics Officer and Compliance Officer, but I want to focus initially on the period from January and through April of 2020.
 - MS. LAPE: Objection.
- Q. Now, during that time, Chuck Jones
 was the CEO of FirstEnergy Corp; is that
 correct?
- 18 A. Can you give me the period of time 19 again.
 - Q. January through April 2020.
 - A. From January to April, 2020, Chuck Jones was the CEO.
- Q. Right. During that same time, he would have been Director of the three Ohio

 FirstEnergy utility companies; is that also

1 | correct?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

- A. I don't know.
 - Q. You don't know if he has held executive positions with the electric utilities during that period?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Do you know if he was the manager of SUVON, LLC, FirstEnergy advisors?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. What about with Steven Straub, do you know whether he was the Director of the three Ohio utilities during that period?
 - A. No, I don't.
 - Q. Do you know the position of Dennis
 Chack, during that period when FE was
 FirstEnergy Corp or FirstEnergy Services
 Company?
 - A. I don't recall his title, but he was over communications and FE Products.
 - Q. Would he have been a Vice President of Marketing and Branding at FirstEnergy Services Company?
 - A. I don't recall his title.
- Q. During your term as Chief Ethics
 Officer, did anyone inquire about whether

- 1 Mr. Jones' positions with the three Ohio
 2 utilities, and any affiliates, violated the
 3 Corporate Separation rules?
- MR. DORINGO: Object to the form.

 And I just caution you, Ebony, not to reveal
 any privileged information.

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A. I don't recall what, if any position, he had with the Ohio utilities, nor do I recall any questions regarding it.
- Q. What about with respect to
 Mr. Straub? Were there any questions or
 inquiries about his decisions with a regulated
 utility and a non-regulated affiliate?
- MS. LAPE: And same caution, it's okay to answer this question, but just make sure that you don't disclose any attorney/client privileged information.
- A. I guess it's a different question. You've indicated a non-utility affiliate. I don't recall any questions regarding
 Mr. Straub, nor am I aware of the positions that he may or may not have had.
- Q. Okay. Just one other question.

 Mr. Finnigan had asked you if you were aware of
 any party conversations between FirstEnergy and

Ohio regulatory officials. You indicated that you didn't think so. Let me be a little more specific.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

Are you aware of any conversations Mr. Jones had with PUCO Chairman Randazzo, during that same January through April, 2020, timeframe, regarding approval of an energy license?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: So I would object to that question, to the extent that it calls for any attorney/client privileged information. And, also, it was my belief the questions related to Mr. Randazzo were going to be held in the confidential session.

MR. STINSON: I can't hear your objection. So I would like the objections restated so I can hear them.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Sure. I objected and cautioned the witness not to answer the question, to the extent that it would reveal any attorney-client privileged information. And then I also noted my objection to the scope, and, also, my understanding that we were reserving questions related to Mr. Randazzo for the confidential

- 1 session.
- MS. LAPE: And also, to the extent
- 3 | that she learned information through her
- 4 attorneys, she should not answer as well.
- MS. WILLIS: I would note for the
- 6 record that the company itself accompanied with
- 7 | a filing in a case, the text message between
- 8 | Jones and Chairman Randazzo, so, I believe, the
- 9 | attorney/client privilege has been waived.
- MR. DORINGO: I strongly object.
- 11 | The privilege has never been waived by the
- 12 companies.
- MS. WILLIS: By the filing of the
- 14 public document with the text exchange, that is
- 15 not a waiver?
- 16 MR. DORINGO: It was not a
- 17 | privileged document that was filed.
- MS. LAPE: And to the extent that
- 19 | Ebony learned things through her attorneys,
- 20 | that privilege has never been waived.
- MR. STINSON: Again, I'm having
- 22 | trouble hearing what the objections and
- 23 conversations are in the room. I didn't hear.
- 24 | I think you're far away.
- 25 MS. LAPE: Is there a question

- pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all of our
 pending -- do you need us to repeat all our pending -- do you need us to repeat all our pending -- do you need us
- MR. STINSON: Right. I didn't hear the objections. Sorry.
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: So on behalf of
 FirstEnergy corporation, I objected and
 cautioned the witness not to answer the
 question, to the extent it would reveal any
 privileged and confidential information.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- I objected to the scope, and I also noted for the record our understanding that the questions related to Commissioner Randazzo would be asked in the confidential session.
- MS. LAPE: I further objected to the extent that disclosure of any answer would involve disclosure of information that Ebony learned through her own attorneys, as that has never been waived.
- MR. STINSON: Well, I disagree. I think that some of these text messages between Mr. Chack and Mr. Jones go to the question of whether there was a conversation with Mr. Randazzo, I think that's fair game in the public portion of the company deposition.

MS. LAPE: I don't think we even

1 remember the question that is pending.

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MR. STINSON: Okay. I'm just talking about, I've asked whether you're aware of any conversations between Mr. Jones and PUCO Chairman Randazzo, during the January through April of 2020 timeframe.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Same objection and same instruction.

- A. I don't know if I'm able to respond to the question and maintain attorney/client privilege.
- Q. Again, I'm not asking about the content of the communications or conversations. I'm asking whether you are aware of any conversations between Mr. Jones and Mr. Randazzo during that period.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Same objection and same instruction.

MS. LAPE: Same objection and same instruction. And this seems very outside the scope right now of Corporate Separation.

MR. STINSON: It is not outside the scope of Corporate Separation, when you have an official of a non-regulated utilities affiliate directing the Executive of the electric utility

to make inquiries about a pending certification allocation with PUCO Chair; clearly, this isn't about Corporate Separation issues.

A. I don't think I'm aware.

1

2.

3

4

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Q. You don't think or you weren't -I'll withdraw that.

7 MS. LAPE: Yes. As she is sitting 8 here today, or at the time?

MR. STINSON: I withdrew that question. No other questions, Your Honor.

MR. FINNIGAN: Well, thank you,
Dane. Thanks a lot. So I think that's all the
folks who indicated this morning that they
would have questions for the public session.
But let me ask again -- are there any other
parties who haven't gone yet that want to ask
questions during this public session, before we
move to the confidential session? Okay.

Hearing none. Let me just, before you jump off, there has been a slight change of plans here, and that's this --

At the beginning of today's deposition, I said that we are going to end the public session and then go into the confidential session for the rest of the

- 1 deposition. Well, that may not be true now,
- 2 based on some information we learned at lunch.
- 3 What we found out was that --
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Hold on a
- 5 second. No. We can go into the confidential
- 6 session.
- 7 MR. FINNIGAN: So what we found out
- 8 | this morning was that --
- 9 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: John, please,
- 10 let's go into the confidential session.
- 11 MR. FINNIGAN: What we found out
- 12 | this morning was that there was a ruling --
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Excuse me,
- 14 John --
- 15 MR. FINNIGAN: -- from the Attorney
- 16 Examiner that we are allowed to ask questions
- 17 | about the confidential documents that were
- 18 | produced in discovery relating to
- 19 Sustainability Funding Alliance and
- 20 Mr. Randazzo. And that was our intent during
- 21 the confidential session, however, we found out
- 22 | from First --
- 23 | MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: John, I would
- 24 ask you not to reveal --
- MR. DORINGO: Can go off the

- 1 record?
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Yes. We need
- 3 to go off the record here.
- 4 MS. WILLIS: This is a public
- 5 record. There is nothing confidential about
- 6 what you communicated to us. It involves
- 7 | everyone in this case, and it involves the
- 8 Attorney Examiner. It is a matter of public
- 9 record.
- MR. DORINGO: It has nothing to do
- 11 with the examination of this witness.
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: It has nothing
- 13 to do with this witness.
- MS. WILLIS: Sure, it does.
- 15 MR. FINNIGAN: Hold on. Let me
- 16 | finish my statement. Do not interrupt me.
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Well, I would
- 18 | just ask you, before you continue speaking, not
- 19 to reveal the contents of what I shared with
- 20 | you.
- MR. FINNIGAN: I refuse to not
- 22 disclose that, because you didn't tell me
- 23 | anything in confidence.
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: I certainly did
- 25 | tell you --

- 1 MR. FINNIGAN: No, you did not.
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: -- when we
- 3 stepped outside and we had the conversation off
- 4 the record.
- MR. FINNIGAN: We stepped outside
- 6 in the hallway and we had a conversation off
- 7 | the record, but you didn't say that it was in
- 8 confidence.
- 9 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Well, I don't
- 10 | think I need to spell that out.
- MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. Well, you
- 12 | should have spelled it out, if you wanted it to
- 13 be confidential.
- MS. WILLIS: And, honestly, there
- 15 | is nothing confidential about a request to an
- 16 | examiner that you are making, you have asked
- 17 it, or you had a conversation with the US
- 18 Attorney and that --
- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: I have asked
- 20 you that we do this on the confidential
- 21 session. That was the purpose of the
- 22 | conversation outside.
- MR. FINNIGAN: So anyway, let me
- 24 | continue my statement --
- MS. BOJKO: Okay. Wait a second.

John, before you continue your statement. I'm sorry. We cannot hear the FirstEnergy counsel when he speaks. It is completely unfair for the rest of us to not be able to hear.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: So since we are done with the public session with the questions, I'd ask that we terminate the Zoom and then we can continue with the confidential session.

MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. I just want to make a statement for the record, while we are on the public session. So let me do that.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Well, John, again, I would ask you not to reveal the contents of what I told you.

MR. FINNIGAN: Again, you didn't tell me anything in confidence, so I don't believe --

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Well, right now, I'm clarifying that I was doing it in confidence, so I would ask you to respect that, as a professional.

MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. But you didn't tell me anything, at the time, that was in confidence, so I didn't take it as a

confidential communication.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.4

2.5

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: And, now, I am clarifying, and I didn't know that I needed to use any magic words, in order to have a professional confidential conversation.

MS. LAPE: And perhaps we could have the Attorney Examiner just make a decision on this and --

MS. WILLIS: Well, that would be on the public record, absolutely, on the public record. When the Attorney Examiner comes on, that is a matter of public record. It is not, these are not secretive proceedings.

This is a deposition open to all, and to push a matter, because you don't want the appearance to be whatever it is, you know, being done in the secrets and done in the shadows, which is the way companies operate, that's inappropriate. We are in a jurisdiction where it's public. There are public records. There are laws that are about the public, and that require these proceedings to be public. There is nothing private or confidential about the fact that he has asked the Attorney

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: We -- hold on.

MS. LAPE: Come on.

MS. WILLIS: -- asked that the

4 Attorney Examiner be called.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

protection.

MR. FINNIGAN: Excuse me, folks.

6 Let me -- I'm going to say something in the

7 public record of this deposition. So please

8 don't interrupt me as I say it.

MR. FITZGERALD: I just want to note that we are objecting to it. I never heard the word off the record mean something but confidential. And if that's the --

MS. WILLIS: And, if you continue to object, your remedy is to seek a protective order of this, and keep it from being on the public. But at this point, it becomes public. It will be transcribed and that will be your opportunity, if you believe that it is confidential, to make that motion for

MR. FITZGERALD: I recognize you want to put the horse out of the barn and then say later, "Well, you can deal with it after it is out of the barn." But it's out of the barn.

MR. FINNIGAN: So folks on the

public call, here is what I was starting to say. At this morning's session, when we started this deposition, I said that you could just hang up, and when we ended the public session that would be the end of your involvement. But, now, that's not the case.

1

2.

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

The reason is, because when we recessed for lunch, FirstEnergy Corp's attorney, Mr. Hollingsworth, told us that after the Attorney Examiners made their ruling, stating that the parties are allowed to ask the witness about the documents that were produced in discovery relating to Sustainability Funding Alliance payments by FirstEnergy Corp and activities by Mr. Randazzo, as the 100% shareholder of Sustainability Funding Alliance, apparently, Mr. Hollingsworth, or another attorney at his firm, had a conversation with Emily Glatfelter of the US Attorney's office, and has tried to arrange a call between her and the plural Attorney Examiners in an apparent attempt to get them to reverse their ruling.

So when we go into this confidential session, I am going to ask the Attorney Examiners that that part of the

discussion be in the public record, just as their ruling that we were allowed to ask those questions, as part of the public record. So I would ask that the folks, who were in the public session of the deposition, stay on this call for another half hour.

2.

2.2

2.5

We are going to go to -- or, let me make this suggestion, we are going to have to end the public session now. But I would say check your computers for the next half hour, and we may be alerting you that we will resume the public session, because it is our intent that when we go into the confidential session, that we will ask the Attorney Examiners that this whole discussion about FirstEnergy Corp's contact with Ms. Glatfelter, and

Ms. Glatfelter's position about what should happen at this deposition, should all be part of the public record. And if that is the case, then, we want you all to participate in that and be aware of that.

So please check your computers for the next half hour, and we will notify you. We should know by that time if we will be resuming the public session. So, with that, if no one

has any questions, we will end it for now,
and then --

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: So I do have an objection, both to your statement and also the mischaracterization of my representation to you of the communication with AOC Glatfelter.
 - MS. BOJKO: We cannot hear you.
 - MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: So I just objected to Mr. Finnigan's representation of the communication with AOC Glatfelter and objected to this proceeding being done on the public session.
 - MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. We are going to end the public session, at this time, and we will start the --
 - MS. BOJKO: John, before you do that, can I just ask a question. There was a fight in the room and we couldn't hear the fight over resolution. Was that on the record, or not on the record, the last -- the statement by Mr. Finnigan and the objection by FirstEnergy company?
- MR. FINNIGAN: It's on the record.
- MS. BOJKO: Okay. I didn't think
- we went back on the record, after we went off

1 the record.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

MR. FINNIGAN: That is on the record. We are going to go off the record now, and end the public session, and we are going to start the confidential session immediately after that.

THE WITNESS: I will read.

(Morning session concluded at 4:26 p.m.)

(NOTE: The confidential afternoon session was ruled by the Attorney Examiners to be moved over and is heretofore a continuous transcript with the public morning session that was just completed.)

AE ADDISON: Hello everyone. Can everyone hear me?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Yes, we can.

Thank you. And so Attorney Examiners, we just wanted to thank you for joining us and apologize for bothering you this late in the day. An issue came up during the course of the deposition that we want to bring to your attention.

So since you joined the deposition earlier today, and made your ruling on the

permissible scope of the deposition, counsel for OCC has asked a series of questions that really go to the heart of the ongoing US Attorney Office prosecution and investigations, including several questions about HB 6, the termination of Chuck Jones, other topics unrelated to Corporate Separation, in addition to its previously posed questions about Sustainability Funding Alliance and Sam Randazzo.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

And so pursuant to FirstEnergy's ongoing cooperation obligations to DOJ, we reached out to the lead prosecutor of the DOJ investigation to inform her about this development, since her previous understanding was that discovery into these topics was stayed by the Commission in its December 21, 2021 ruling, and she authorized us to represent to you, the Attorney Examiners, that DOJ has an objection to lines of questioning on the record in these proceedings about Sam Randazzo, about Sustainability Funding Alliance, and is available, if you would like to speak to her about the issue and DOJ's position, as it could be an important data point for your decision in

terms of the scope of these depositions.

1

2.

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

MR. FINNIGAN: Your Honor, this is
John Finnigan from OCC, just to let you know
the circumstances we are under right now, we
are in a confidential session of the
deposition, where only the parties that have
signed a confidentiality agreement with
FirstEnergy Corp have access to the information
that is being discussed, at this time.

Since your ruling earlier today about the questioning that parties were allowed to do in this deposition was made during the public session of this deposition, I would ask that this discussion that we are having now, also, be in the public session of the deposition, otherwise, by limiting it to only parties who have signed the confidentiality agreement with FirstEnergy Corp, we are unfairly excluding other parties to this case, who have a right to know what is being discussed here.

AE ADDISON: Thank you. Does anyone want to speak to either of those two issues?

MS. BOJKO: Yes, Your Honor. Kim

Bojko with OMA EG would like to speak on both First of all, I completely disagree issues. with the FirstEnergy corporate attorneys' characterization of the questions being asked. The questions were background questions leading up to questions that are directly related to this case. He didn't even let us get to the questions related to the case, because we couldn't properly lay the foundation, in order to ask if the witness had any knowledge of either the people, or the subject matter at Many of the questions were just asking if she recalled or if she knew about certain events that happened and not the substance of the events themselves.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

So I wholly disagree with the conversation that these are unrelated to the Corporate Separation. As you know, any payments made to these entities or individuals that were then charged back to the companies, is a Corporate Separation violation, or could be, and is directly related to this case, and these Corporate Separation compliance-type issues are what is being sought through the discovery of this witness.

2.

2.2

2.5

So I disagree with the characterization that these questions have nothing to do with Corporate Separation. I think that this is completely different than the criminal proceeding. We are not asking questions related to the criminal proceeding. We are asking questions that will go to the allocation of expenses, which is an issue in this case.

I also think it was improper for FirstEnergy Corporation's counsel to call the DOJ's office without all of us on the phone, ex parte, sort of, not with the Judge, but we think it was highly inappropriate to hear one side of the story, and him characterizing questions the way that he did, which, I am sure that I will disagree with, because I just disagreed with his statement. So I think that was inappropriate.

I also think it's inappropriate, as to the second issue. I think it is inappropriate that this discussion has been held in secret in a confidential session. It should be a public record. Many of the documents have already been released to the

public. This has been discussed in the media to great lengths. None of the questions that we had asked them so far have anything to do with a confidential issue, and should not be in confidential session, however, I think some of us reluctantly agreed, when we were on the call earlier, to just ask the questions in confidential session.

2.

1.3

2.2

So I think that this discussion and FirstEnergy's actions of calling the DOJ, should very much be in the public record and this whole discussion should be moved to the public transcript. Thank you.

MR. STINSON: Your Honor, this is

Dane Stinson with NOPEC. I agree with OCC's

and OMA EG's positions, especially, the fact

that a ruling was made on the public record,

and, now, we're being asked to modify or change

that ruling on the private non-transparent

record; transparency requires that we have this

discussion on the public record.

AE ADDISON: Thank you. Anyone else?

MR. BETTERTON: Your Honor, I'll just jump in real quick. I agree with what you

stated before. And I would just like to admit that a lot of the questions that were being asked, and objected to, long predate any investigation in the criminal proceedings. So that's another area to consider. But I agree with what OCC and OMA EG have said.

2.

2.2

2.5

MS. WILLIS: Your Honor, if we could speak to the merits of the call.

MR. FINNIGAN: Your Honor, do you want to have that discussion now, or do you want to give us guidance whether this should be in the public record or in the confidential session?

AE ADDISON: Well, I'm still mulling that over, Mr. Finnigan. We can certainly remove portions of the transcript, as we see fit. So let's continue. Ms. Wills?

MS. WILLIS: Mr. Finnigan is prepared to address it.

MR. FINNIGAN: Your Honor, this goes back to the ruling that the Commission made in the 20- 1629 case, where it said that the foreign investigations that the Commission is conducting into the House Bill 6 matters, all target topics that are part of the

Commission's exclusive jurisdiction. And to that extent, the Commission's investigations are complementary to the US Attorney's criminal investigations.

1.3

2.2

2.5

We do not see any circumstances, where FirstEnergy Corp should be permitted to come in and block any questioning about the documents that they have released. They released documents that provide information about the payments to Sustainability Funding Alliance that was owned by Mr. Randazzo, and they also entered into deferred prosecution agreement that admits that those payments happened, and admits that payments were made to a certain public official B for engaging in activities that would benefit FirstEnergy Corp, during his role as Chair of the Public Utilities Commission.

So all that information is in the public record through their admission of the criminal charge of honest services wire fraud. They signed a statement of facts, where they laid out all these facts into evidence in the public record. And now, when we bring in former employees from the company, they want to

stop us from asking any questions about it. So we don't really understand the argument, as to why this should be confidential, when all that information is in the public domain.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

At the end of the day, this case goes to issue of something that is in the Commission's exclusive jurisdiction, and that is whether costs were improperly allocated to utility customers for lobbying on behalf of the utility. And the Commission really needs to take action here to protect the consumers, and to really fulfill what it said all along, that it wanted to act on a transparent basis with a full set of facts, and we can't get the full set of facts, if we are not allowed to ask questions of witnesses about the documents that we've already received.

Now, it seems like, if FirstEnergy
Corp really had any concern that these matters
were confidential and might interfere with the
US Attorney's investigation, they might have
contacted the US Attorney, before giving us
about 500,000 pages of documents that contained
the same information that we want to ask
questions about. Why was it not interfering

with the criminal investigation to give us all those documents, and, now, it is interfering with the investigation to ask questions about the documents that they gave us?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Also, the whole idea about us taking Ms. Yeboah-Amankwah's deposition in the first place was the subject of a motion to quash that the Commission ruled on. They could have brought up these arguments in the motion to quash; they didn't. They could have notified the US Attorney that this deposition was going to take place, and they didn't; they waited until the eleventh hour, after you made your ruling this morning that we are allowed to ask questions about these documents, which we believe was the proper ruling. And, now, they are trying to do an end run around it by calling up the US Attorney's office in secret, and talking about it in this confidential session in secret, and keeping all this information out of the public domain.

So our position is that this is a topic that is the proper focus of this investigation, as the Commission has held all along, and indicated in its Order, in that, we

cannot proceed with our discovery, and prepare 1 2. for a hearing in the case, unless we have the ability to depose witnesses, and ask them 3 questions about the information that is in the 4 documents, otherwise, we just have to go to a 5 hearing and just dump a pile of documents in 6 the Commission's offices, and not present any testimony about it, because we were not allowed 8 to ask any witnesses questions about the documents that we were given. In my experience 10 11 and practice --

AE ADDISON: Mr. Finnigan, if I could interject, the Commission has noted its interest to not interfere with the federal investigation, however, correct --

MR. FINNIGAN: Yes, Your Honor.
That is correct.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

AE ADDISON: -- as was noted in our earlier phone call?

MR. FINNIGAN: Yes. Yes. And, you know, the heart of our position, Your Honor, is that any discussion of whether this might interfere with the US Attorney's investigation, should be held in public, and let's hear what the US Attorney was told about the deposition,

and let's hear the US Attorney's position, because we see no reason why that discussion should be held in secret, especially, when the point of the discussion is try to reverse your ruling from earlier today that we are allowed to ask these questions.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: So a couple of things that I would like to clarify for the record. Mr. Finnigan suggested that we, you know, waited until the eleventh hour to inform the Assistant United States Attorney about these issues, which is patently false.

She was aware of this deposition, and she was also aware the Commission's December 2021 ruling, which to everyone who read it, believed that these issues would not be fair game for deposition, because the Commission put a stay on discovery of these topics. And so when the Attorney Examiners made their ruling this afternoon, that was a significant material change in the scope of what we all believed the scope of the deposition would be, and, so, it was appropriate and consistent with our cooperation obligations to inform her, at that time.

It clearly was not done in secret, because I immediately informed counsel for OCC of the conversation, and of the AUSA's position that questions into these topics, into these subject matters, does exactly what the Commission was worried it would do and would interfere with her ongoing investigation. You don't have to take it from me. I am representing to you that that was her position, and she is more than happy to share it with you.

AE ADDISON: But she's not available on the phone call right now.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: I'm happy to provide contact information for you to speak with her.

MR. FINNIGAN: And, Your Honor, one other point, the questioning that we were going to do about documents, was going to be in a confidential session of the deposition, where everybody participating has signed a confidentiality agreement with FirstEnergy Corp, that none of the information is going to be disclosed to the public, unless it is done consistent with the terms of the

confidentiality agreement, where FirstEnergy
Corp would have full rights to object, and
would receive advanced notice of any attempt by
a party to make the information public, and
could file either before the Commission or a
court, in an attempt to block that from
happening.

2.

1.3

2.5

FirstEnergy Corp voluntarily entered into that confidentiality agreement, and all the parties that would be participating in the questioning, also, signed the agreement, and agreed to operate under those terms.

So if the information is going to be kept confidential, it doesn't seem like there is any way it could interfere with the criminal prosecution. The other thing we would note is that we are informed that there was a deposition of FirstEnergy Corp that took place on, I believe, it was May 19th and 20th, and we have the topics from that deposition. They were filed in the US District Court, before the deposition took place. All the topics that were to be discussed in that deposition, as indicated in the US District Court filing, were the same matters that we had intended to ask

about, and that you had allowed us to ask about in the confidential session of the deposition.

1.3

2.2

2.5

So if the Plaintiffs in the securities litigation are allowed to take a two-day deposition of FirstEnergy Corp about these same topics, why can't OCC take a same deposition about the same topics? It would be patently unfair to customers to be prevented from obtaining the same type of information that the Plaintiffs in the federal securities litigation were allowed to get.

AE ADDISON: Thank you.

Mr. Hollingsworth, do you want the last word?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: I would just say that nobody is trying to prevent the consumers from getting access to this information and to these witnesses. It's just a matter of timing, and the US Attorney's office has represented to us that the timing of these questions would materially impact their investigation.

And so, for the reasons set out in the December 2001 ruling by the Commission, where it says it is of utmost importance that our investigations do not interfere with the

criminal investigations. We would ask that you consider your ruling to be consistent with those admonishments by the Commission.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

AE ADDISON: Thank you. We will go ahead and take a brief break and confer with one another and hop back on when we are ready to provide a ruling.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: And just to be clear, we are going to break, and no additional questions are going to be posed until we resume. So there is not a need for an immediate response, particularly, if you would like to speak with the prosecutor at issue.

AE ADDISON: Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

AE ADDISON: All right.

Mr. Hollingsworth, just as a follow-up to our earlier argument, what, specifically, is your position, then, on what should not be permitted to be asked in Confidential Session?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Certainly, Your Honor. So if the question is, what should the permissible scope of deposition questions for the Corporate Separation hearing be on questions related to Mr. Randazzo and

Sustainability Funding Alliance, again, if the questions are narrowly tailored to the Corporate Separation topics specifically, and not underlying reasons for the payments, or for the other potential issues that could under investigation by the Department of Justice, then, we would have no problem with certain narrowly tailored questions like that.

2.

2.4

2.5

It's just getting into the underlying facts about the agreements with Sustainability Funding Alliance, the nature of the payments and the, you know, the FirstEnergy rationale for paying those payments. Those would be, I think, the topics of interest to the Department of Justice, and ones that should not be asked, at this time, on the record.

AE ADDISON: Would you agree with me, then, that questions pertaining to non-public information, related to the deferred prosecution agreement, that may interfere with the federal investigation, those should not be permitted, per your representations made from the DOJ?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Yes, definitely. Non-public information would

interfere with the investigation.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

AE ADDISON: Thank you. I do believe a balance can be struck, in terms of what questions may be permitted to be asked in confidential session, certainly, we agree that any non-public information that relates to the deferred prosecution agreement that may interfere with the federal investigations, should not be permitted, and we will not permit such questions to be asked.

It continues to be the Commission's interest, I believe, that we cited that before, to not interfere with the ongoing criminal investigation by the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Ohio, or the civil action by the Ohio Attorney General, Dave Yost, specific to Ohio's civil RICO statute. That continues to be the case today, however, I feel as if our ruling just now is not inconsistent with our prior rulings earlier today in the public transcript.

And further, in taking up various parties' arguments, as to whether those arguments entertained by the Attorney Examiners, at the beginning of this

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Your Honor.

confidential session, should be moved into the public session, we certainly agree that that does provide a level of transparency, and provides parties that may not have had access to the confidential session, a larger, better picture view, as to what types of questions

were actually permissible in this proceeding.

And so we should, we are going to be directing both, as soon as the Attorney Examiners entered the confidential, in which I believe we were at the very beginning, if not, very close to the beginning, as soon as we entered into the confidential session, as well as our ruling discussed right now, should be moved into the public transcript.

Are there any questions?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Not from us,

MR. DORINGO: Your Honor, I don't have any more questions on this topic. I am going to be a major downer. I do have one small issue that I want to raise, but not on this topic.

AE ADDISON: Well, let's hear if anyone has any issues with this topic, and,

then, we will move on to Mr. Doringo.

1

2.

3

4

6

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MR. FINNIGAN: Your Honor, I just wanted to clarify whether this will constitute your ruling, as you've just described it, or will there be any written ruling that comes out and memorializes what you've just said here?

7 AE ADDISON: Oh, no, Mr. Finnigan. 8 This will be all that the parties get.

MR. FINNIGAN: Okay. Thank you.

MS. WILLIS: Your Honor, if I may.

As you said, you believe your ruling is consistent with this morning's ruling, does

that mean this morning's ruling stands?

AE ADDISON: Absolutely. I did not provide any sort of ruling that contradicts what we stated earlier. I believe they can be -- they are both still good rulings for purposes of this deposition.

MS. BOJKO: Your Honor, I have a question.

AE ADDISON: Certainly.

MS. BOJKO: Thank you. You said non-public information cannot be disclosed. We are asking questions, it's my understanding, in a confidential session, so all of the

confidential session would not be considered non-public. So my understanding of your ruling is that these questions that we are asking, can be asked, and that there would be no limits on background information, or things that happened prior to House Bill 6 with regard to Corporate Separation issues and payments to entities; is that correct?

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

AE ADDISON: And I'm sorry,

Ms. Bojko. Could you go back just maybe a

step; my audio fell bad here just for a moment.

MS. BOJKO: Sure. My question was, you made a statement that no non-public information can be discussed or questioned.

And it's my understanding that the next session we are setting forth is purely a confidential session, so nothing in the confidential session will be disclosed publically, until either a party requests that it be disclosed, or Your Honors state it will be disclosed publically, similar to our discussion here.

So is it fair to assume from both your ruling this morning and the ruling this afternoon, that we are able to ask questions about history and background leading up to the

Corporate Separation allocation questions, as well as events and items that happened
Corporate Separation related before HB 6, we can ask all those questions, because none of them will be directly in the public record, when we ask them, right?

2.2

2.5

AE ADDISON: Ms. Bojko, my ruling is simply that we are not going to permit questions related to the non-public information, regarding the deferred prosecution agreement that could potentially interfere with the federal investigations. I think that we've, Mr. Hollingsworth made it very clear, based on the representation from the DOJ that that is taking it one step too far.

And while my earlier ruling stands, we will go ahead and pump the brakes, as it comes to that particular area of questioning. So anything that is not seeking that, that's where my ruling is limited.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: And thank you,
Your Honor. I think that that helps to
clarify. But just to be clear. So the subject
of the deferred prosecution agreement relates
to the payments related to House Bill 6, and,

Page 193 also, to Sustainability Funding Alliance. 1 2. AE ADDISON: Sir, Mr. Hollingsworth 3 4 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Yes, Your 5 Honor? AE ADDISON: Can you hear me? 6 7 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Yes, I can. AE ADDISON: Okay. I'm sorry. 8 9 Could you repeat that. 10 MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Yes. So I just 11 wanted to be clear that when you are referring 12 to the non-public information, related to the 1.3 deferred prosecution agreement, the subject of 14 the deferred prosecution agreement, were the 15 payments related to HB 6 and the Sustainability 16 Funding Alliance. So those would be, the 17 questions about anything non-public related to 18 those topics, would not be permitted; is that an accurate understanding of your ruling? 19

AE ADDISON: Well,

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Mr. Hollingsworth, I think it was a more general ruling than that. But any non-public information that would -- perhaps, I need to say this clearer -- any non-public information, related to the deferred prosecution agreement,

so anything that's referenced, anything that's; and, perhaps, we are trying to say the same thing.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: So any topic that is referenced in the deferred prosecution agreement.

MS. WILLIS: No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

AE ADDISON: I don't know if I would go that far, Mr. Hollingsworth. That would essentially nix everything in that line of questioning, and, I believe, we are trying to strike a balance here. My ruling is limited to non-public information related to the deferred prosecution agreement. I am not saying that all topics included in that deferred prosecution agreement are off the table. Does that help? Does that makes sense? MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: It does. T'm just trying to make sure that, before we hop off the call, everyone is on the same page so that we don't have to bother you again. So I apologize if I'm being thick and --AE ADDISON: No. No. That's fine.

MS. WILLIS: Your Honor, and since we are giving great weight to what the company

corp counsel represented, with respect to

Ms. Glatfelter, can we ask that Affidavits be

filed by Ms. Glatfelter or the company, under

oath, indicating exactly the conversation?

Because if we are going to go through this in a

public process, we can't really rely on a

representation made with respect to a

conversation had with a third party. So I

would ask that consistent with --

2.

2.5

making my ruling based on the arguments had during a call. I remind everyone this is a deposition transcript. And my ruling will stand. I will not be requiring or asking any additional process, as to these rulings, and, I believe, I've given my basis for providing my rulings and we will move on from there.

MS. BOJKO: Your Honor, Kim Bojko again. I'm sorry --

AE ADDISON: Yes.

MS. BOJKO: Maybe I'm being thick right now. But if you're, if you are saying we cannot ask any non-public information, then, shouldn't this part of the transcript also be made public, and that there would be no need

- for a confidential session? I'm just a little
 confused as --
- AE ADDISON: Well, Ms. Bojko, it's
- 4 related to the DPA, the deferred prosecution
- 5 agreement. So any other confidential
- 6 information, any other document of the 470,000
- 7 documents that were provided during discovery,
- 8 any of those that have been marked
- 9 confidential, or, otherwise, those would
- 10 certainly be, you know, appropriate to raise in
- 11 this confidential session.
- So I am only referring to the
- 13 | non-public information, as it relates to the
- 14 DPA, not any of the additional discovery
- 15 documents that we indicated in one of our prior
- 16 entries, that would be permissible to use
- 17 during this deposition.
- 18 MS. BOJKO: Okay. Thank you. That
- 19 helps. So then any event that happened, prior
- 20 to the DPA, or not related to the DPA, are
- 21 still fair game.
- 22 AE ADDISON: Correct.
- MS. BOJKO: Thank you.
- 24 AE ADDISON: Okay. And,
- 25 Mr. Doringo, what is the additional issue that

you had to address?

2.

1.3

2.5

MR. DORINGO: Thanks, Your Honors, for indulging me. OCC has a motion for extension under the procedural schedule. We have a testimony deadline for the companies on Monday, the 25th. We are trying to figure out deposition dates for remaining witnesses.

So I was just wondering if we would be likely to see a ruling on that motion by say Friday or Monday, or where that stands.

AE ADDISON: I won't go into the motion in this call in great detail. We are aware of the motion. It is properly before us, and a decision is forthcoming. So I will leave it at that. But, yes, thank you for raising that.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: And Your Honor, just a procedural question. In the unlikely event that there is a dispute about where this line is, going forward, what is your preferred preference for how we handle the situation; for example, if the person defending the deposition believes that the question has exceeded the permissible scope that you just articulated, would you like us to instruct the witness not

to answer, and, then, move on, or would you like us to have that issue teed up for resolution by the Attorney Examiners, or how would you like us to handle it going forward so that we act consistent with your desires?

2.

1.3

2.4

AE ADDISON: I should ask, how much longer are the parties prepared to go this evening for this deposition?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: So for today, we are not going to ask any substantive questions. We just wanted to get a resolution on this particular issue, because it's going to inform how the next session goes.

AE ADDISON: Certainly. I don't believe the Examiners would have a preference between either of those two alternatives that you just laid out. We will certainly be available, in the event the parties would like us to weigh in on any particular dispute, but as these are deposition transcripts, I will allow the parties to make that judgment call, and we will be happy to join in on any additional calls that you may need us.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

AE ADDISON: Absolutely. Any additional questions?

MR. FINNIGAN: Your Honor, just from a procedural standpoint, since this call was initiated, as a confidential call, during a confidential session of the deposition, as I understand your ruling, is it that this discussion that we are now having should be transferred into the public part of the deposition transcript?

AE ADDISON: Yes, Mr. Finnigan.

Thank you for raising that. I believe I had indicated earlier that the arguments had, before we took a brief break, as well as our rulings, based on those arguments, were to be moved into the public session. I believe that any discussion clarifying those particular rulings should also be moved into the public session.

So I agree that the entirety of the confidential session, as we did not go into any substantive questions, is permissible to be moved into the public transcript.

MR. FINNIGAN: Thank you, Your

25 | Honor.

1.3

2.4

Page 200 AE ADDISON: Absolutely. Any additional questions? Okay. With that, I wish you all a very good evening, and, again, we will be available in the event that you need us to resolve any additional disputes. MR. FINNIGAN: Thank you. MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Thank you, Your Honor. AE ADDISON: Thank you. (Deposition concluded at 6:07 p.m.) 2.2

	Page 201
1	Whereupon, counsel was requested to give
2	instructions regarding the witness' review of
3	the transcript pursuant to the Civil Rules.
4	
5	SIGNATURE:
6	Transcript review was requested pursuant to the
7	applicable Rules of Civil Procedure.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

2.5

	I do fu	rther certi	fy that	I am not
a relative,	counsel	or attorne	y for e	ither
party, or ot	herwise	interested	l in the	outcome
of this acti	lon.			

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Cleveland, Ohio, on this 26th day of July, 2022.

Kurt Spencer

Kurt M. Spencer, Notary Public
within and for the State of Ohio

My commission expires December 02, 2025.

	Page 204
1	Veritext Legal Solutions
	1100 Superior Ave
2	Suite 1820
	Cleveland, Ohio 44114
3	Phone: 216-523-1313
4	
	July 26, 2022
5	
	To: Marcie Lape, Esq.
6	
	Case Name: In Re: Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric
7	Illuminating Company, And The Toledo Edison Company'S Compliance, Et
	Al. v.
8	
	Veritext Reference Number: 5319823
9	
	Witness: Ebony Yeboah-Amankwah Deposition Date: 7/21/2022
10	
11	Dear Sir/Madam:
12	
	Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness
13	
	review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the
14	
1 -	included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and
15	the warren for the charge House the witness of circulture metavised and
16	the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and
10	forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address
17	shown
18	above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com.
19	above, of email to production midwebtevericent.com.
10	If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of
20	II one office to not foodings while of authorizing and fooding of
_ 0	this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.
21	
22	Sincerely,
23	Production Department
24	
25	NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA

Page 205 DEPOSITION REVIEW 1 CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2. ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5319823 3 CASE NAME: In Re: Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, And The Toledo Edison Company'S Compliance, Et Al. v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/21/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Ebony Yeboah-Amankwah 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. 6 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Ebony Yeboah-Amankwah Sworn to and subscribed before me, a 10 Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear 11 and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of 14 their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this _____, 20____. 17 18 Notary Public 19 Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22 2.3 24

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

25

Page 206 DEPOSITION REVIEW 1 CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5319823 3 CASE NAME: In Re: Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, And The Toledo Edison Company'S Compliance, Et Al. v. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/21/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Ebony Yeboah-Amankwah 4 In accordance with the Rules of Civil 5 Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. 6 I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). 8 I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. 10 I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize 11 that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. 12 13 Date Ebony Yeboah-Amankwah 14 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 15 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 16 17 They have read the transcript; They have listed all of their corrections in the appended Errata Sheet; 18 They signed the foregoing Sworn 19 Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 20 I have affixed my name and official seal 21 this _____, day of______, 20____. 22 23 Notary Public 24 25 Commission Expiration Date

[& - 6] Page 1

	1000 0010	1.7.1.1.2.2.2.2.1	40.40 4.04
&	1820 204:2	154:13,20,21	4040 1:21
& 2:4 4:17 5:4	19th 184:19	157:6 160:6	407-0954 2:11
6:3,12 8:18,21	2	2021 38:16 39:5	421-2255 6:17
8:23 11:12,21	2 7:3	62:21 68:11,16	43016 5:15
15:5	20 63:23,24 74:9	74:8 78:22	43212 6:23
0	177:22 205:16	172:17 182:15	43212-3449 5:24
	206:22 207:22	2022 1:18 14:18	43215 4:11,22
02 203:17	20-1629 38:18	64:3,25 203:8	5:7 6:7
1	39:5 76:17	204:4	43215-3420 2:21
10 121:6	78:22	2025 203:17	44114 3:8,18
100 5:6 7:8	2001 185:23	20th 184:19	204:2
168:15	2001 103.23 2003 13:6 15:3	21 1:18 6:5	45202 6:16
10:08 1:19	2005 13:6,7	172:17	466-8574 2:22
1100 204:1	15:11 17:25	216 3:9,19	470,000 67:20
1145 5:22	2006 22:13	216-523-1313	196:6
12 7:7 17:6	2008 22:13	204:3	4901:1-16 64:11
121 7:9	2011 13:10,12	227-2300 5:8	4901:1-37 1:11
12444 203:13	16:11	24 55:2 91:10	4928.145 69:18
12460 6:22	2013 116:19	25th 197:6	70:3 73:20
13 17:6	2013 110.19 2014 22:25 23:4	26 204:4	74:15,23 78:24
1300 4:21	27:7	26th 203:7	4928.17 1:9
14 38:24 39:6	2015 73:3	2700 2:9	4:26 171:8
69:2 75:8	2013 73.3 2017 13:15,16	280 4:20	5
15 39:5 62:21	16:25 122:4	29.2817 60:14	500,000 179:23
68:11,16 74:8	129:7 152:25	2:00 121:6	513 6:17
78:22	153:1,4	3	5319823 204:8
1510 6:15	2018 44:22	3 121:7,11	205:2 206:2
154 7:10	106:14 107:3	30 4:9	207:2
155 2:8	116:11 153:4,5	312 2:11	586-7112 3:19
15th 38:17 57:22	2018/2019 13:17	36 6:14	586-7273 3:9
58:16 69:2 73:2			
16 64:3,24 107:3	2019 153:4,5 202 7:12	365-4124 4:23 38 64:24	6
1629 74:9 177:22		39 64:24	6 75:23 85:12
16th 12:20	2020 13:21,22 14:4,7 85:2		96:16 97:2
17-974 1:13	122:5 129:8	4	116:10 144:10
12:20 101:6	135:14 152:15	4 58:7 71:12,16	144:16,24 145:5
1700 6:6		106:14	147:17,20
2.00	152:16 153:1		149:10,19 150:3

[6 - ae] Page 2

172:5 177:24				
193:15	172:5 177:24	136:2,3,8,11,13	actual 33:12	adjournment
60606 2:10 150:2 173:8 84:23 adam 3:14 8:24 administer 47:1	191:6 192:3,25	136:25 137:3,6	60:20 61:6	202:22
6100 5:14 185:16 189:4 accessing adam 3:14 8:24 add administer 47:1 administered 614 2:22 4:12,23 5:8,16 6:8 109:1 addison 171:15 administer 47:1 644-8768 4:12 accompanied 173:22 176:22 administering 46:15 47:17 659-5069 5:16 accounted 18:18 183:12 administering 47:22 administering 7 accounting 186:16 187:17 administration 74:17 administration 7/21/2022 204:9 50:18,19,23 188:2 189:24 76:15 129:22 administrative 7/21/2022 204:9 50:18,19,23 188:2 189:24 76:15 129:22 administrative 7/21/2022 204:9 50:18,19,23 199:19 12:7 administrative 76:15 129:22 administrative 9 accurate 193:19 199:27 administrative 76:15 129:22	193:15	141:6,9 148:17	ad 69:8 79:22	admin 1:10
614 2:22 4:12,23 accessing 65:5 add 60:6 76:12 administered 46:15 47:17 644-8768 4:12 accompanied 173:22 176:22 administering 46:15 47:17 659-5069 5:16 accounted 188:18 183:12 administration 7 7 accounting 186:16 187:17 administration 74:17 administration 7/21/2022 204:9 205:3 206:3 120:3 142:11 147:5 186:16 187:17 administration 74:17 administration 719-2853 6:8 120:3 142:11 147:5 188:2 189:24 47:14 181:12 administration 74:17 administration 9 205:13 120:1 120:1 120:1 120:1 120:1 120:1 120:1 120:1 120:1 46:15 47:17 administration 721/2022 204:9 205:13 120:1 120:1 120:1 120:	60606 2:10	150:2 173:8	84:23	64:11
5:8,16 6:8 109:1 addison 171:15 46:15 47:17 644-8768 4:12 accompanied 173:22 176:22 administering 659-5069 5:16 accounted 181:18 183:12 47:22 6:07 200:10 108:20 110:20 185:12 186:4,14 74:17 7 accounting 186:16 187:17 administration 7/21/2022 204:9 50:18,19,23 188:2 189:24 76:15 129:22 205:3 206:3 120:3 142:11 199:9 192:7 administration 719-2853 6:8 147:5 199:9 192:7 administration 9 acknowledge 199:9 192:7 administration 9 acknowledge 199:9 192:7 administration a.m. 1:19 acknowledge 199:19:12 administration a.m. 1:19 acknowledge 199:19:12 administration a.m. 1:19 acknowledge 199:19:2 46:15 47:17 administration a.m. 1:19 action 19:14 20:14 20:2 19	6100 5:14	185:16 189:4	adam 3:14 8:24	administer 47:1
644-8768 4:12 accompanied 173:22 176:22 administering 65 2:19 158:6 177:14 181:12 47:22 659-5069 5:16 accounted 181:18 183:12 administration 7 accounting 186:16 187:17 administrative 7/21/2022 204:9 50:18,19,23 188:2 189:24 76:15 129:22 205:3 206:3 147:5 120:3 142:11 190:7,14,21 administrative 9 accurate 193:19 accurate 193:29,68,20 administration 9 acknowledge 195:20 196:3,22 administrative 9 acknowledge 199:19 192:7 administrative 9 acting 30:19 199:20 196:3,22 administrative a.m. 1:19 act 179:13 198:5 196:24 197:11 178:20 administrative ability 87:24 124:14 206:16 199:2 100:1,9 addition 25:11 178:13 181:3 acting 30:3 87:14 138:12 acting 30:3 87:14 138:12 additional 58:19 advice 133:18,20 106:9	614 2:22 4:12,23	accessing 65:5	add 60:6 76:12	administered
158:6 177:14 181:12 47:22 administration 74:17 accounting 186:16 187:17 administrative 76:15 129:22 admissible 64:10 admission 178:20 admission 178:13 181:3 action 34:318 acting 30:3 able 45:4 77:3 atcion 34:8,10 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 19:12 20:15 188:16 20:3:4 199:1 20:2,5 accoss 49:3,8 109:19 120:9 120:9 188:16 20:3:4 accoss 49:3,8 109:19 124:9 129:18,21,22,23 129:18,21,22,23 129:24,25 130:1 130:4 131:22 132:49,22 133:5 4:10:16 133:15 adcounted 136:15 130:21 130:27 133:6 adcounted 186:16 187:17 administrative 76:15 129:22 admission 74:17 admission 74:17 admission 178:19 178:10 admission 178:20 admission 178:20 admission 178:20 admission 178:13 178:14 admission 178:14 admis	5:8,16 6:8	109:1	addison 171:15	46:15 47:17
659-5069 5:16 accounted 181:18 183:12 administration 7 accounting 185:12 186:4,14 74:17 7/21/2022 204:9 50:18,19,23 188:2 189:24 76:15 129:22 205:3 206:3 147:5 190:7,14,21 administrative 719-2853 6:8 20 120:3 142:11 190:7,14,21 admission 9 acknowledge 193:2,6,8,20 178:20 9 acknowledge 194:8,23 195:10 admits 177:1 a.m. 1:19 act 179:13 198:5 196:24 197:11 198:6,14 199:1 admits 178:13 ability 87:24 133:18 acting 30:3 addition 186:3 able 45:4 77:3 87:14 138:12 addition 55:11 advise 10:10 19:124 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 186:9 138:21 aboutely 41:23 188:16 203:4 199:2 200:2,5 ae 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 access 49:3,8 109:19 124:9 39:11 06:24	644-8768 4:12	accompanied	173:22 176:22	administering
6:07 200:10 108:20 110:20 185:12 186:4,14 74:17 7 accounting 186:16 187:17 administrative 7/21/2022 204:9 50:18,19,23 188:2 189:24 76:15 129:22 205:3 206:3 147:5 190:7,14,21 admissible 64:10 9 accurate 193:19 acknowledge 191:9 192:7 admission 901 3:7,17 205:11 206:16 195:20 196:3,22 admit 177:1 a.m. 1:19 act 179:13 198:5 205:14 206:20 198:6,14 199:1 admits 178:13 ability 87:24 acting 30:3 acting 30:3 addition 25:11 administrative 181:3 act 179:13 198:5 acting 30:9 193:2,6,8,20 178:20 admits 178:13 182:1 act 179:13 198:5 196:24 197:11 198:6,14 199:1 admits 178:13 178:14 able 45:4 77:3 87:14 138:12 additional 58:19 advise 110:10 138:21 absolutely 41:23 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 186:3 active 138:21 access 49:3,8 109:19 124:9 active 30:9 31:3	65 2:19	158:6	177:14 181:12	47:22
7 accounting 186:16 187:17 administrative 7/21/2022 204:9 205:3 206:3 719-2853 6:8 120:3 142:11 147:5 190:7,14,21 190:7,14,21 admission admissible 64:10 admission 9 acknowledge acknowledge ability 87:24 124:14 125:1 181:3 acting 30:3 able 45:4 77:3 110:10 114:23 160:9 165:4 191:24 absolutely 41:23 166:10 190:14 199:1 200:1 access 49:3,8 109:19 124:9 129:18,21,22,23 129:24,25 130:1 130:4 131:22 132:4,9,22 133:5 accounting 50:18,19,23 188:2 189:24 70:5 75:12 190:7,14,21 admissible 64:10 admission 178:20 admit 177:1 access 49:3,8 109:19 124:9 129:18,21,22,23 129:24,25 130:1 130:4 131:22 132:4,9,22 133:5 186:16 187:17 188:2 189:24 76:15 129:22 admissible 64:10 admission 178:20 admits 178:13 191:9 192:7 188:2 149:19 192:7 195:20 196:3,22 admits 178:13 178:13 178:14 admission 178:13 178:14 admission 178:14 admission 178:14 admission 178:20 admits 178:13 178:14 admission 178:14 admission 178:20 admits 178:13 178:14 admission 178:20 admits 178:13 178:13 178:14 admission 178:14 admission 178:14 admission 178:14 admission 178:20 admits 178:13 178:14 admission 178:13 178:14 admission 178:13 178:14 admission 178:14 admission 178:13 178:14 admission 178:15 178:14 admission 178:14 admission 178:16 179:12 179:11 199:11 200:1,9 addition 25:11 admits 178:13 178:13 178:14 179:11 199:11 190:10 179:1 186:3 advised 184:3 advised 184:3 advised 184:3 advised 184:1 advisors 155:8 advocate 19:8 accordance 19	659-5069 5:16	accounted	181:18 183:12	administration
7/21/2022 204:9 205:3 206:3 719-2853 6:8 9 205:18,19,23 120:3 142:11 147:5 205:18,29,23 120:3 142:11 147:5 205:18,29,23 205:3 206:3 2719-2853 6:8 205:18,29,23 120:3 142:11 147:5 205:11,206:16 205:12,202 205:11,206:16 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,206:10 205:12,202 205:11,200:1,20 205:12,20 205:1	6:07 200:10	108:20 110:20	185:12 186:4,14	74:17
120:3 142:11	7	accounting	186:16 187:17	administrative
120:3 142:11 190:7,14,21 admissible 64:10	7/21/2022 204.0	50:18,19,23	188:2 189:24	76:15 129:22
719-2853 6:8 147:5 191:9 192:7 admission 901 3:7,17 205:11 206:16 195:20 196:3,22 admit 177:1 a.m. 1:19 act 179:13 198:5 196:24 197:11 178:14 ability 87:24 205:14 206:20 198:6,14 199:1 admonishments 181:3 acting 30:3 acting 30:3 able 45:4 77:3 87:14 138:12 addition 25:11 advanced 184:3 100:9 165:4 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 advise 110:10 199:1 200:1 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 advised 134:1 199:1 200:1 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 advised 134:1 199:1 200:1 30:01		120:3 142:11	190:7,14,21	admissible 64:10
9 accurate 193:19 193:2,6,8,20 178:20 901 3:7,17 205:11 206:16 195:20 196:3,22 admit 177:1 a.m. 1:19 act 179:13 198:5 196:24 197:11 178:14 ability 87:24 205:14 206:20 198:6,14 199:1 200:1,9 admits 178:13 181:3 acting 30:3 172:7 advice 133:18,20 able 45:4 77:3 acting 30:3 172:7 advice 133:18,20 able 45:4 77:3 87:14 138:12 addition 25:11 advice 133:18,20 100:10 114:23 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 advise 110:10 19:24 40:5 75:512 195:15 196:14 advised 134:1 199:1 200:1 188:16 203:4 199:2 200:2,5 ae 171:15 173:22		147:5	191:9 192:7	admission
901 3:7,17 acknowledge 194:8,23 195:10 admit 177:1 a.m. 1:19 act 179:13 198:5 196:24 197:11 178:14 ability 87:24 acted 19:4 199:11 200:1,9 admiss 178:13 181:3 acting 30:3 acting 30:3 able 45:4 77:3 acting 30:3 action 34:8,10 10:10 114:23 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 advise 110:10 191:24 70:5 75:12 195:15 196:14 advisors 155:8 absolutely 41:23 188:16 203:4 199:2 200:2,5 ae 171:15 173:22 199:1 200:1 actions 88:11 176:10 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 access 49:3,8 30:9 31:3 204:16 addressed 48:16 183:12 185:12 129:18,21,22,23 32:11 106:24 32:24,25 130:1 36:20 168:15 36:20 17:14 36:21 17:14 130:4 131:22 86:10 168:15 36:20 8:25 36:20 19:25 36:21 19:29 130:4 131:22		accurate 193:19	193:2,6,8,20	178:20
a act 179:13 198:5 196:24 197:11 178:14 a.m. 1:19 acted 19:4 199:11 200:1,9 admonishments ability 87:24 124:14 125:1 133:18 acting 30:3 addition 25:11 advanced 184:3 able 45:4 77:3 87:14 138:12 additional 58:19 advise 110:10 110:10 114:23 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 advise 110:10 191:24 70:5 75:12 195:15 196:14 advisors 155:8 absolutely 41:23 188:16 203:4 199:2 200:2,5 actions 88:11 199:2 200:2,5 actions 88:11 176:10 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 access 49:3,8 109:19 124:9 active 30:9 31:3 204:16 183:12 185:12 129:18,21,22,23 92:11 106:24 addressed 64:16 186:3 advise 110:10 130:4 131:22 36:10 168:15 30:93 31:3 199:2 200:2,5 30:2 177:14 181:12,18 129:18,21,22,23 32:11 106:24 30:3 13 3		acknowledge	194:8,23 195:10	admit 177:1
a.m. 1:19 205:14 206:20 198:6,14 199:1 admonishments ability 87:24 133:18 199:11 200:1,9 186:3 181:3 acting 30:3 30:3 addition 25:11 advanced 184:3 able 45:4 77:3 87:14 138:12 additional 58:19 advise 110:10 110:10 114:23 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 advised 134:1 191:24 70:5 75:12 195:15 196:14 advisors 155:8 absolutely 41:23 188:16 203:4 199:2 200:2,5 actions 88:11 199:1 200:1 176:10 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 109:19 124:9 20:11 106:24 active 30:9 31:3 204:16 183:12 185:12 129:24,25 130:1 30:4 131:22 83:22 84:1 adequate 65:2 189:24 190:7,14 130:4 131:22 86:10 168:15 adjective 89:25 190:21 191:9 100:21 191:9 100:21 191:9 100:21 191:9	901 3:7,17	205:11 206:16	195:20 196:3,22	admits 178:13
ability 87:24 acted 19:4 199:11 200:1,9 186:3 able 45:4 77:3 acting 30:3 addition 25:11 advanced 184:3 able 45:4 77:3 87:14 138:12 additional 58:19 advise 110:10 110:10 114:23 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 advised 134:1 191:24 70:5 75:12 195:15 196:14 advisors 155:8 absolutely 41:23 94:12 179:11 196:25 198:23 advocate 19:8 199:1 200:1 actions 88:11 199:2 200:2,5 actions 177:19 197:1 186:3 190:19 124:9 actions 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 advised 134:1 199:1 200:1 186:3 advise 10:10 138:21 advisors 155:8 advocate 19:8 199:1 200:1 actions 88:11 176:10 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 181:12,18 199:2 24,25 130:1 activities 60:20 33:22 84:1 addressed 64:16 186:4,14,16 187:17 188:2 189:24 190:7,14	a	act 179:13 198:5	196:24 197:11	178:14
ability 37:24 124:14 125:1 133:18 acting 30:3 87:14 138:12 addition 110:10 114:23 87:14 138:12 160:9 165:4 39:10 55:20 191:24 70:5 75:12 absolutely 41:23 166:10 190:14 188:16 203:4 199:1 200:1 actions 88:11 176:10 109:19 124:9 active 129:18,21,22,23 92:11 106:24 130:4 131:22 83:22 84:1 130:4 131:22 86:10 168:15 133:18 addition 25:11 addition 172:7 addition 184:3 advice 133:18,20 advise 109:19 249 94:12 179:11 109:19 124:9 176:10 129:18,21,22,23 129:11 106:24 130:4 131:22 30:2 130:4 131:22 83:22 84:1 130:4 131:22 86:10 168:15 130:4 131:22 178:16 132:4,9,22 133:5 178:16	a.m. 1:19	205:14 206:20	198:6,14 199:1	admonishments
124:14 125:1 133:18 acting 30:3 addition 25:11 advanced 184:3 able 45:4 77:3 87:14 138:12 additional 58:19 advice 133:18,20 110:10 114:23 39:10 55:20 action 34:8,10 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 advised 134:1 191:24 70:5 75:12 94:12 179:11 195:15 196:14 advisors 155:8 166:10 190:14 188:16 203:4 199:2 200:2,5 actions 88:11 199:1 200:1 actions 88:11 176:10 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 129:18,21,22,23 92:11 106:24 activities 60:20 addressed 64:16 186:4,14,16 130:4 131:22 86:10 168:15 adjective 89:25 189:24 190:7,14 132:4,9,22 133:5 178:16 178:26	ability 87:24		199:11 200:1,9	
able 45:4 77:3 87:14 138:12 additional 58:19 advise 110:10 110:10 114:23 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 138:21 191:24 70:5 75:12 195:15 196:14 advised 134:1 absolutely 41:23 94:12 179:11 196:25 198:23 advisors 155:8 166:10 190:14 188:16 203:4 199:2 200:2,5 ae 171:15 173:22 199:1 200:1 actions 88:11 176:10 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 109:19 124:9 active 30:9 31:3 204:16 183:12 185:12 129:18,21,22,23 92:11 106:24 addressed 64:16 186:4,14,16 129:24,25 130:1 83:22 84:1 adequate 65:2 189:24 190:7,14 130:4 131:22 86:10 168:15 adjective 89:25 190:21 191:9		133:18	addition 25:11	advanced 184:3
able 43.4 77.3 action 34:8,10 65:12 66:22 138:21 100:9 165:4 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 advised 134:1 191:24 70:5 75:12 195:15 196:14 advisors 155:8 absolutely 41:23 94:12 179:11 196:25 198:23 advocate 19:8 166:10 190:14 188:16 203:4 199:2 200:2,5 ae 171:15 173:22 199:1 200:1 actions 88:11 176:10 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 109:19 124:9 active 30:9 31:3 204:16 183:12 185:12 129:18,21,22,23 92:11 106:24 addressed 64:16 186:4,14,16 130:4 131:22 83:22 84:1 adequate 65:2 189:24 190:7,14 132:4,9,22 133:5 86:10 168:15 adjective 89:25 190:21 191:9	181:3	acting 30:3	172:7	advice 133:18,20
160:9 165:4 39:10 55:20 79:2 80:9 186:9 advised 134:1 191:24 70:5 75:12 195:15 196:14 advisors 155:8 absolutely 41:23 94:12 179:11 196:25 198:23 advocate 19:8 166:10 190:14 188:16 203:4 199:2 200:2,5 ae 171:15 173:22 199:1 200:1 actions 88:11 176:10 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 109:19 124:9 active 30:9 31:3 204:16 183:12 185:12 129:18,21,22,23 92:11 106:24 addressed 64:16 186:4,14,16 129:24,25 130:1 83:22 84:1 adequate 65:2 189:24 190:7,14 130:4 131:22 86:10 168:15 adjective 89:25 190:21 191:9	able 45:4 77:3	87:14 138:12		
191:24 70:5 75:12 195:15 196:14 advisors 155:8 absolutely 41:23 94:12 179:11 196:25 198:23 advocate 19:8 166:10 190:14 188:16 203:4 199:2 200:2,5 ae 171:15 173:22 199:1 200:1 actions 88:11 176:10 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 109:19 124:9 active 30:9 31:3 204:16 183:12 185:12 129:18,21,22,23 92:11 106:24 addressed 64:16 186:4,14,16 129:24,25 130:1 83:22 84:1 adequate 65:2 189:24 190:7,14 130:4 131:22 86:10 168:15 adjective 89:25 190:21 191:9	110:10 114:23	· ·	65:12 66:22	138:21
191:24 70:5 75:12 195:15 196:14 advisors 155:8 absolutely 41:23 94:12 179:11 196:25 198:23 advocate 19:8 166:10 190:14 188:16 203:4 199:2 200:2,5 ae 171:15 173:22 199:1 200:1 actions 88:11 176:10 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 109:19 124:9 active 30:9 31:3 204:16 183:12 185:12 129:18,21,22,23 92:11 106:24 addressed 64:16 186:4,14,16 129:24,25 130:1 activities 60:20 106:3 187:17 188:2 130:4 131:22 83:22 84:1 adequate 65:2 189:24 190:7,14 132:4,9,22 133:5 86:10 168:15 adjective 89:25 190:21 191:9	160:9 165:4	39:10 55:20		advised 134:1
188:16 203:4 199:1 200:1 actions 88:11 176:10 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 189:24,25 130:1 130:4 131:22 132:4,9,22 133:5 188:16 203:4 199:2 200:2,5 address 17:16 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 183:12 185:12 186:4,14,16 106:3 activities 60:20 83:22 84:1 130:4 131:22 132:4,9,22 133:5 188:16 203:4 199:2 200:2,5 address 17:16 176:22 177:14 181:12,18 183:12 185:12 186:4,14,16 106:3 activities 60:20 187:17 188:2 189:24 190:7,14 199:2 200:2,5 address 17:16 176:22 177:14 181:12,18 183:12 185:12 186:4,14,16 106:3 activities 60:20 187:17 188:2 189:24 190:7,14 199:2 200:2,5 address 17:16 176:22 177:14 181:12,18 183:12 185:12 186:4,14,16 199:2 200:2,5 189:22 177:14 181:12,18 183:12 185:12 186:4,14,16 199:2 200:2,5 180:22 177:14 181:12,18 183:12 185:12 186:4,14,16 199:2 200:2,5 180:22 177:14 181:12,18 183:12 185:12 180:24,14,16 199:2 200:2,5 180:22 177:14 181:12,18 183:12 185:12 180:24,14,16 199:2 200:2,5 180:22 177:14 181:12,18 183:12 185:12 180:24,14,16 199:2 200:2,5 180:22 177:14 181:12,18 183:12 185:12 180:24,14,16 199:2 200:2,5 180:22 177:14 181:12,18 183:12 185:12 180:24,14,16 180:24,1		70:5 75:12	195:15 196:14	advisors 155:8
199:1 200:1 actions 88:11 176:22 177:14 199:1 200:1 176:10 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 109:19 124:9 active 30:9 31:3 204:16 183:12 185:12 129:18,21,22,23 92:11 106:24 addressed 64:16 186:4,14,16 129:24,25 130:1 activities 60:20 106:3 187:17 188:2 130:4 131:22 83:22 84:1 adequate 65:2 189:24 190:7,14 132:4,9,22 133:5 86:10 168:15 adjective 89:25 190:21 191:9	absolutely 41:23			
access 49:3,8 176:10 177:19 197:1 181:12,18 109:19 124:9 active 30:9 31:3 204:16 183:12 185:12 129:18,21,22,23 92:11 106:24 addressed 64:16 186:4,14,16 129:24,25 130:1 activities 60:20 106:3 187:17 188:2 130:4 131:22 83:22 84:1 adequate 65:2 189:24 190:7,14 132:4,9,22 133:5 86:10 168:15 adjective 89:25 190:21 191:9	166:10 190:14		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
actess 49.3,8 109:19 124:9 active 30:9 31:3 129:18,21,22,23 92:11 106:24 addressed 64:16 129:24,25 130:1 activities 60:20 130:4 131:22 83:22 84:1 adequate 65:2 132:4,9,22 133:5 86:10 168:15 adjective 89:25	199:1 200:1		address 17:16	
129:18,21,22,23 129:24,25 130:1 130:4 131:22 132:4,9,22 133:5 130:4 131:22 132:4,9,22 133:5 130:4 131:22 132:4,9,22 133:5 130:4 131:22 132:4,9,22 133:5	access 49:3,8		177:19 197:1	*
129:16,21,22,23 129:24,25 130:1 130:4 131:22 132:4,9,22 133:5 130:4 131:22 132:4,9,22 133:5 130:4 131:22 130:4 131:22 140:3 adequate 65:2 150:21 191:9 150:27 102:27 102:27 68	109:19 124:9		204:16	183:12 185:12
129:24,25 130:1 130:4 131:22 132:4,9,22 133:5 activities 60:20 83:22 84:1 86:10 168:15 adequate 65:2 adjective 89:25 106:3 adequate 65:2 187:17 188:2 189:24 190:7,14 190:21 191:9	129:18,21,22,23	92:11 106:24		1 1
130:4 131:22 132:4,9,22 133:5 83:22 84:1 adequate 65:2 189:24 190:7,14 178:16 adjective 89:25 190:21 191:9				
170.16	1		_	
170.16	132:4,9,22 133:5			
133.7,10,21	133:7,16,21	178:16	90:2	192:7 193:2,6,8
134:5,13 135:10	134:5,13 135:10			193:20 194:8,23

[ae - answer] Page 3

105 10 20 106 2	20.2	-1- 4 72 12	1(1 2 175 0
195:10,20 196:3	agreement 38:2	alert 73:12	161:2 175:8
196:22,24	56:12 60:8,21	alerting 169:11	192:1
197:11 198:6,14	70:18,19 71:11	align 32:19	allocations 51:7
199:1,11 200:1,9	71:12,15,15 73:3	allegany 13:11	55:7 59:21
affairs 13:11,19	73:10,14,18	allegation	62:15 69:10
16:14,16,20	78:12 81:18	107:17	88:3,4,6,12,14
17:10,11 18:12	97:20 98:16	allegations	119:11
affidavits 195:2	111:13 112:1	92:23 93:1,17	allow 57:1 64:5
affiliate 60:11,15	113:4 116:19	95:5	64:21 88:20
78:18 156:13,19	141:2,4,20 173:7	alleged 106:4	133:21 198:21
160:24	173:18 178:13	alliance 24:8	allowable 36:18
affiliates 156:2	183:22 184:1,9	25:15 26:5	64:8
affixed 203:6	184:11 187:20	28:16 36:10	allowed 20:7
205:15 206:21	188:7 192:11,24	38:5 51:12 52:8	59:2,11,24 71:21
aforesaid 202:12	193:13,14,25	54:18 55:3,24	72:13 88:9 91:1
afternoon	194:6,14,16	56:16 57:9 60:9	162:16 168:11
117:21 121:14	196:5	71:25 72:6,19	169:2 173:11
122:2 171:9	agreements	80:15 111:14,22	179:15 180:14
182:20 191:24	69:19,22 70:6	162:19 168:14	181:8 182:5
afternoon's 66:3	74:17 76:16,20	168:16 172:9,22	185:1,4,11
age 8:2	78:16,25 116:12	178:11 187:1,11	alternatives
agent 110:5	141:15 187:10	193:1,16	198:16
ago 24:4 71:20	ahead 9:8 12:12	allocated 50:3	amankwah 1:17
72:11 111:5	15:19 80:8,12	53:20 55:4	7:6 8:1,19 10:10
140:2,2	94:1 97:25	58:12 70:7	12:13,15 29:7
agree 69:12	100:8 114:11,13	143:24 145:22	54:12 56:19
70:20,25 74:25	115:1 116:17	145:24 146:3,14	100:12 121:19
76:5 78:11	154:4 186:5	150:9 152:2	154:5 202:10
102:22 103:7	192:17	179:8	204:9 205:4,9
108:3,15 110:18	ahollingsworth	allocates 149:6	206:4,13 207:20
114:3 115:8,14	3:20	allocating 21:9	amankwah's
176:15,25 177:5	ak 40:8,10 96:6	allocation 21:12	180:6
187:17 188:5	96:6,7,11,12	25:18 36:23	amount 115:23
189:2 199:20	akron 14:20	51:1 55:23	annoyed 115:20
agreed 80:18	54:11	59:14 60:7 61:7	answer 15:19
121:22 176:6	al 204:7 205:3	61:9,22 70:23	21:17,19 28:12
184:12	206:3	71:3 76:4 120:6	28:18,20,24
		120:9 147:13	35:22,24 36:14

36:16 39:16,22	appear 22:6,14	160:6	172:2 174:4
42:12 45:24	22:24 29:10,15	area 15:7,16	176:3,18 177:3
52:4,7,19 53:24	205:11 206:15	25:1 62:6 177:5	186:20 187:16
54:18 59:12	appearance 8:11	192:18	188:4,10 191:4
61:3 68:9 85:15	9:13 166:16	areas 64:25	asking 21:11
86:25 87:21	appearances 2:1	88:22 123:1	25:16,24 27:12
88:20,22 89:1,15	7:3 9:9	argue 67:2,5	27:23 29:1,2
89:17,21 90:17	appearing 12:16	81:24	36:7,24 37:2
90:19 91:19	appears 107:4	argument 63:25	41:5 45:12 55:5
92:5,6 93:5,10	appended	71:16 179:2	57:13 60:22
93:21 94:3,19	206:11,18	186:18	62:9,16 65:8
96:22 97:25	applicable 34:8	arguments 63:11	67:7 69:3 90:8
98:9 99:13,15	105:6 201:7	78:2,10,21	95:24 97:8
113:6 116:15,16	application	118:10 180:9	98:15 105:9
116:21 117:15	29:21	188:23,24	135:3 139:9
117:25 135:1	applies 76:18,23	195:11 199:13	142:7 146:6
138:20 142:4,20	apply 71:1	199:15	160:12,14
143:4,16 145:14	appointed 14:4	arisen 54:13	174:12 175:5,7
145:25 146:9	33:25	arises 27:22	179:1 190:24
156:15 157:20	appreciate 37:4	arps 2:4 8:18,21	191:3 195:14
158:4 159:7,15	103:20	8:23	asks 132:25
198:1	approach 26:23	arrange 168:20	assert 30:6
answered 15:18	118:13	art 50:21	asserting 87:4
41:16 117:24	approached	articulated	assign 120:14
anybody 73:1	108:4,8	197:24	assigned 32:20
100:21	appropriate	aside 42:21	119:25 128:8
anyway 164:23	55:16 118:14	60:21 61:23	assigning 84:4
aoc 170:6,10	182:24 196:10	asked 15:18	assignment
apart 42:23 47:5	approval 29:21	36:21 41:15	205:2 206:2
79:20 132:12	42:20,23 157:7	54:19 59:11	207:2
apologies 76:25	approved 88:15	76:24 91:17	assistant 18:18
apologize 94:7	137:23	110:1 133:7	129:23 151:4
131:10 145:9	approving	134:4,18,22	152:18 182:11
171:20 194:22	119:17 148:1	135:10 138:23	associated
apparent 168:21	approximately	140:1 156:24	147:17,22 148:6
apparently	18:8	159:13 160:3	148:21
168:17	april 154:13,20	164:16,19	association 4:16
	154:21 157:6	166:24 167:3	11:8 58:25
	1	1	1

105:24 106:3	78:8 79:8,16	174:3	200:4
121:25	80:4,7 81:1	audio 79:9	ave 3:17 204:1
assume 50:18	85:18 89:2 92:7	191:11	avenue 3:7 5:22
68:12 151:25	93:7,11,22 94:8	audit 32:7 33:20	avoid 100:23
191:22	96:21 99:6,14	34:16,17 44:15	aware 21:23
assumes 92:2	112:1,13,15	44:20 54:25	44:15 45:3
assuming 13:20	113:8,13,16,19	55:1 74:24	50:24 51:2 63:3
135:18 145:25	114:1,3,8 115:25	101:1,2,3,6,9,14	89:6 92:25
147:16	117:20 118:4,8	124:3,4,17 128:9	93:17 94:23
assumption 31:5	138:9,13,19	131:21,25 132:1	95:5 102:2
149:3	142:5,21,24	132:9,12 134:11	104:4,11 108:20
assumptions	143:5 145:11,16	149:24	111:20 113:2
30:20	149:15 156:17	auditing 34:9	117:1,11,17
attached 206:7	157:11,21 158:9	46:24 47:1	118:22 124:13
attacking 71:19	160:10 162:15	129:24 133:12	141:1,2 143:22
attempt 54:5	163:8 164:18	auditor 84:6,8	144:7,22 145:3
168:22 184:3,6	166:7,11,24	131:22 132:4,6,8	147:11,23,24
attendance 8:12	167:4 168:9,10	132:20,21,25	149:6 150:10,14
attended 13:2	168:18,21,25	133:4,6,12,16,22	150:16 151:8,14
attention 44:25	169:14 171:10	134:4,18,22,25	156:21,24 157:4
107:17 150:17	171:18 172:4,19	135:2,10 149:25	160:3,14 161:4
150:21 151:16	179:22 180:11	150:1	169:21 182:13
152:3,8 171:23	181:25 182:11	auditor's 135:7	182:14 197:13
attorney 4:2	182:19 188:14	audits 84:9,18	b
5:20 10:22 12:7	188:16,24 189:9	132:14,15,18	b 64:11 178:15
12:19 22:8,14,24	198:3 203:2	ausa's 183:3	back 13:13,17
26:16 39:10,11	attorney's 25:22	authority 19:18	17:1 21:19 22:4
53:15 54:1	35:21 52:10	25:8 35:7	32:21 33:1,11
55:21 56:25	55:20 56:5	authorize 206:11	34:3 48:3,5 49:1
57:19 58:21	61:19 63:8	authorized	63:12 72:1 78:5
60:2,23 63:9,15	66:13 75:11	66:15 88:14	81:1 82:20
63:18,20 65:13	168:19 178:3	172:18	84:25 86:4
65:21 66:4,9,18	179:21 180:18	authorizing 67:9	95:22 99:6,19
67:16,20 68:8,13	181:23 182:1	automatically	100:7,25 104:2
68:18,19,23 69:1	185:18	45:9	100:7,23 104.2
69:11,16,23	attorneys 10:12	available 80:9	110:25 111:2
70:10,24 74:1	23:16 27:6	124:19 172:23	117:13 118:23
75:12,20 77:24	158:4,19 159:17	183:13 198:18	117.13 110.23

[back - burgess] Page 6

121:11 126:2	6:19 8:18,25 9:4	better 140:3	195:18,18,21
140:14 143:9	11:12,20 22:7,25	189:5	196:3,18,23
149:1 153:1	43:14 57:17	betterton 5:13	bother 194:21
170:25 174:20	82:6,13 106:2	11:16 176:24	bothering
177:21 186:6	159:5 179:9	beyond 79:14	171:20
191:10 204:16	behavior 41:10	bill 19:8 75:23	bounds 76:9
background	belief 148:20	85:12 96:16	box 6:22
12:25 13:1	157:12	97:2 105:19	boy 143:20
21:12 24:19	believe 14:2 21:1	108:7,17 116:10	boych 81:16,18
25:4 55:5 62:16	22:17,19 23:7,9	119:20 144:10	brakes 192:17
67:23 68:4,6	23:11,20 24:6	144:16 145:5	branding 155:21
88:13 91:5,13	25:7,13 45:17,25	147:17,20	break 100:7
112:8 174:5	55:2 58:25	149:10,19 150:3	186:5,9 199:14
191:5,25	61:19 65:2,11	177:24 191:6	brett 23:15
bad 191:11	67:1 69:4,16	192:25	brian 2:7 8:23
balance 188:3	78:20 98:13	bingaman 23:15	bricker 5:4
194:12	109:17 110:4	bit 16:9 25:3	11:12
bar 64:8	119:23 126:11	59:1 109:23	bricker.com 5:9
barely 10:5	126:15 127:2,22	block 72:21	brief 99:16
barn 167:22,24	130:6 133:4	178:7 184:6	186:5 199:14
167:24	136:1,13 150:25	board 6:19	bring 151:15
based 63:25 64:6	153:22 158:8	12:10 34:17,23	171:22 178:24
102:17 126:10	165:18 167:18	bob 18:7,17 19:3	bringing 44:24
138:10 162:2	180:16 184:19	boehm 6:12	broad 4:9 67:2
192:14 195:11	188:3,12 189:11	bojko 4:18,24	71:6,14 73:6
199:15	190:11,16	7:9 11:6,6 53:12	broader 70:23
basic 37:19	194:11 195:16	58:23,24 68:24	71:2,2 132:3
basis 73:11	198:15 199:12	68:25 117:9	broadly 69:6
179:13 195:16	199:16	121:20,24	brought 39:10
bearing 78:24	believed 77:21	129:11 136:17	43:14 55:20
began 14:16	182:16,22	136:21 138:8,22	75:12 107:17
beginning 12:25	believes 69:16	139:9 142:7,23	150:16,21 152:3
15:3 161:22	197:23	143:6 145:18	152:7 180:9
188:25 189:11	benefit 60:10,15	146:8 164:25	building 118:20
189:12	78:17 178:16	170:7,16,24	burden 113:25
behalf 2:3,14 3:2	benefited 81:25	173:25 174:1	burgess 32:14,19
3:12 4:2,15 5:2	best 17:4	190:19,22	33:2 125:11
5:11,19 6:2,11		191:10,12 192:7	

[burke - charge] Page 7

burke 29:21	calls 32:16 34:5	158:7 163:7	115:24 163:24
buse 15:10	35:5,11,16 36:8	168:6 169:19	177:16 186:21
business 14:19	89:13 90:16	173:19 174:7,8	188:5 189:2
14:21,22 17:16	93:6 94:18	174:22 175:9	190:21 196:10
19:9 21:10,11	96:20 98:22	177:22 179:5	198:14,17
50:8,9,13,14	110:18 115:20	181:2 188:18	certificate 7:12
147:4	125:6 150:23	204:6 205:3	202:1 206:11
businesses 50:4	157:11 198:23	206:3	certification
50:4	capacity 22:8	cases 20:25	161:1 205:1
buys 143:20	30:4 138:7,13	118:25	206:1
c	caption 202:21	categories	certified 8:4
ca 204:25	care 74:2	126:12	certify 202:8,19
calculated 64:9	career 132:18	category 126:10	203:1
calfee 15:5	careful 114:2	cause 202:12	chack 95:6 121:1
call 9:12 26:16	carl 15:10	caution 30:2	155:15 159:21
36:17 54:2,19	carpenter 4:17	43:6 81:6 85:17	chair 161:2
55:14 56:11,11	11:8	138:5 139:5	178:17
74:6 76:24	carpenterlipps	142:3,20 149:14	chairman 157:5
	4:24	156:5,14	158:8 160:5
/9:25 80:1			
79:25 80:1 107:25 110:5.13	carries 80:10	cautioned	chance 78:9
107:25 110:5,13	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11	cautioned 157:19 159:7	chance 78:9 change 161:20
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20 169:6 175:11	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1 22:16 23:1,5,19	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13 110:19	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3 changed 120:21
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20 169:6 175:11 176:6 177:8	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1 22:16 23:1,5,19 24:3,8 25:13,13	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13 110:19 ceo 154:16,22	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3 changed 120:21 changes 137:23
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20 169:6 175:11 176:6 177:8 181:19 183:13	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1 22:16 23:1,5,19 24:3,8 25:13,13 26:7 27:7 29:18	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13 110:19 ceo 154:16,22 certain 16:2 17:2	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3 changed 120:21 changes 137:23 138:2,25 139:3
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20 169:6 175:11 176:6 177:8 181:19 183:13 194:20 195:12	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1 22:16 23:1,5,19 24:3,8 25:13,13 26:7 27:7 29:18 29:25 30:8,14,16	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13 110:19 ceo 154:16,22 certain 16:2 17:2 46:8 48:6 49:10	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3 changed 120:21 changes 137:23 138:2,25 139:3 139:14 204:13
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20 169:6 175:11 176:6 177:8 181:19 183:13 194:20 195:12 197:12 198:21	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1 22:16 23:1,5,19 24:3,8 25:13,13 26:7 27:7 29:18 29:25 30:8,14,16 30:22 36:5	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13 110:19 ceo 154:16,22 certain 16:2 17:2 46:8 48:6 49:10 64:18 65:8	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3 changed 120:21 changes 137:23 138:2,25 139:3 139:14 204:13 205:7 206:7,9
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20 169:6 175:11 176:6 177:8 181:19 183:13 194:20 195:12 197:12 198:21 199:4,5	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1 22:16 23:1,5,19 24:3,8 25:13,13 26:7 27:7 29:18 29:25 30:8,14,16 30:22 36:5 37:24 39:5	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13 110:19 ceo 154:16,22 certain 16:2 17:2 46:8 48:6 49:10 64:18 65:8 108:9 122:10,12	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3 changed 120:21 changes 137:23 138:2,25 139:3 139:14 204:13 205:7 206:7,9 chapter 1:11
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20 169:6 175:11 176:6 177:8 181:19 183:13 194:20 195:12 197:12 198:21 199:4,5 called 8:2 20:10	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1 22:16 23:1,5,19 24:3,8 25:13,13 26:7 27:7 29:18 29:25 30:8,14,16 30:22 36:5 37:24 39:5 54:20,21,22 55:1	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13 110:19 ceo 154:16,22 certain 16:2 17:2 46:8 48:6 49:10 64:18 65:8 108:9 122:10,12 122:15,17	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3 changed 120:21 changes 137:23 138:2,25 139:3 139:14 204:13 205:7 206:7,9 chapter 1:11 60:13
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20 169:6 175:11 176:6 177:8 181:19 183:13 194:20 195:12 197:12 198:21 199:4,5 called 8:2 20:10 102:10 131:13	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1 22:16 23:1,5,19 24:3,8 25:13,13 26:7 27:7 29:18 29:25 30:8,14,16 30:22 36:5 37:24 39:5 54:20,21,22 55:1 55:11 57:6,23	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13 110:19 ceo 154:16,22 certain 16:2 17:2 46:8 48:6 49:10 64:18 65:8 108:9 122:10,12 122:15,17 131:13 144:16	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3 changed 120:21 changes 137:23 138:2,25 139:3 139:14 204:13 205:7 206:7,9 chapter 1:11 60:13 characterization
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20 169:6 175:11 176:6 177:8 181:19 183:13 194:20 195:12 197:12 198:21 199:4,5 called 8:2 20:10 102:10 131:13 134:4 167:4	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1 22:16 23:1,5,19 24:3,8 25:13,13 26:7 27:7 29:18 29:25 30:8,14,16 30:22 36:5 37:24 39:5 54:20,21,22 55:1 55:11 57:6,23 61:11 62:7	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13 110:19 ceo 154:16,22 certain 16:2 17:2 46:8 48:6 49:10 64:18 65:8 108:9 122:10,12 122:15,17 131:13 144:16 174:13 178:15	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3 changed 120:21 changes 137:23 138:2,25 139:3 139:14 204:13 205:7 206:7,9 chapter 1:11 60:13 characterization 174:4 175:2
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20 169:6 175:11 176:6 177:8 181:19 183:13 194:20 195:12 197:12 198:21 199:4,5 called 8:2 20:10 102:10 131:13	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1 22:16 23:1,5,19 24:3,8 25:13,13 26:7 27:7 29:18 29:25 30:8,14,16 30:22 36:5 37:24 39:5 54:20,21,22 55:1 55:11 57:6,23 61:11 62:7 72:10 74:9,24	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13 110:19 ceo 154:16,22 certain 16:2 17:2 46:8 48:6 49:10 64:18 65:8 108:9 122:10,12 122:15,17 131:13 144:16 174:13 178:15 187:7	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3 changed 120:21 changes 137:23 138:2,25 139:3 139:14 204:13 205:7 206:7,9 chapter 1:11 60:13 characterization 174:4 175:2 characterizing
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20 169:6 175:11 176:6 177:8 181:19 183:13 194:20 195:12 197:12 198:21 199:4,5 called 8:2 20:10 102:10 131:13 134:4 167:4 calling 11:24 68:25 110:2	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1 22:16 23:1,5,19 24:3,8 25:13,13 26:7 27:7 29:18 29:25 30:8,14,16 30:22 36:5 37:24 39:5 54:20,21,22 55:1 55:11 57:6,23 61:11 62:7 72:10 74:9,24 75:3 76:7,17,18	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13 110:19 ceo 154:16,22 certain 16:2 17:2 46:8 48:6 49:10 64:18 65:8 108:9 122:10,12 122:15,17 131:13 144:16 174:13 178:15 187:7 certainly 57:19	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3 changed 120:21 changes 137:23 138:2,25 139:3 139:14 204:13 205:7 206:7,9 chapter 1:11 60:13 characterization 174:4 175:2 characterizing 175:15
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20 169:6 175:11 176:6 177:8 181:19 183:13 194:20 195:12 197:12 198:21 199:4,5 called 8:2 20:10 102:10 131:13 134:4 167:4 calling 11:24	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1 22:16 23:1,5,19 24:3,8 25:13,13 26:7 27:7 29:18 29:25 30:8,14,16 30:22 36:5 37:24 39:5 54:20,21,22 55:1 55:11 57:6,23 61:11 62:7 72:10 74:9,24 75:3 76:7,17,18 76:23 78:22	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13 110:19 ceo 154:16,22 certain 16:2 17:2 46:8 48:6 49:10 64:18 65:8 108:9 122:10,12 122:15,17 131:13 144:16 174:13 178:15 187:7 certainly 57:19 62:7 66:5 67:4	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3 changed 120:21 changes 137:23 138:2,25 139:3 139:14 204:13 205:7 206:7,9 chapter 1:11 60:13 characterization 174:4 175:2 characterizing 175:15 charge 148:1
107:25 110:5,13 110:19 111:1,22 115:18 121:22 131:17 168:1,20 169:6 175:11 176:6 177:8 181:19 183:13 194:20 195:12 197:12 198:21 199:4,5 called 8:2 20:10 102:10 131:13 134:4 167:4 calling 11:24 68:25 110:2 114:22 126:19	carries 80:10 carrying 86:11 carve 115:24 case 1:13 12:20 20:16 21:1 22:16 23:1,5,19 24:3,8 25:13,13 26:7 27:7 29:18 29:25 30:8,14,16 30:22 36:5 37:24 39:5 54:20,21,22 55:1 55:11 57:6,23 61:11 62:7 72:10 74:9,24 75:3 76:7,17,18	cautioned 157:19 159:7 cautioning 143:3 center 62:14 107:25 110:5,13 110:19 ceo 154:16,22 certain 16:2 17:2 46:8 48:6 49:10 64:18 65:8 108:9 122:10,12 122:15,17 131:13 144:16 174:13 178:15 187:7 certainly 57:19	chance 78:9 change 161:20 176:18 182:21 204:14,15 206:8 207:3 changed 120:21 changes 137:23 138:2,25 139:3 139:14 204:13 205:7 206:7,9 chapter 1:11 60:13 characterization 174:4 175:2 characterizing 175:15

1 240	170.5	1 1 1 (20	1.7.0
charged 24:9	178:5	cleveland 1:6 3:8	coming 15:2
36:6 148:22	cited 188:12	3:18 9:5 13:4	commencing
150:4 174:20	citizens 6:19	203:7 204:2,6	22:12
charitable	12:10	205:3 206:3	comments 25:12
142:17 143:14	civil 8:3 188:15	client 25:9,10	commercial 13:7
chat 10:4	188:17 201:3,7	85:18 88:23	15:6,20,22,24
check 169:10,22	205:5 206:5	92:7 93:7,11,22	commission 1:2
chesapeake 5:22	claim 33:15	94:8 96:21	19:23 22:7
chicago 2:10	claiming 69:1	113:8,13 123:18	24:25 25:2,19
chief 13:16	clarification	138:19 142:5,21	29:17 37:11,18
18:21 31:13,22	126:5 144:2	143:5 145:11,16	37:22,23 38:3,7
35:10 43:9 44:7	147:18 153:9	149:15 156:17	38:11 39:6
45:14,16 46:7,22	clarified 103:22	157:11,21 158:9	52:24 57:21
47:25 48:8,9	clarify 61:2 66:7	160:10	61:12 62:21
83:2,5 91:22	72:23 79:4,12	close 21:14	68:19 69:17,20
92:15 94:12,22	152:9 182:8	189:12	70:21 71:5
95:4 122:4	190:3 192:23	code 1:10 31:17	72:25 74:12
127:4,7 129:7	clarifying	44:9 46:7,14,25	75:17 76:14
130:12 131:20	165:20 166:3	64:11 84:22	77:5,16 84:16
133:3 134:10	199:17	101:25 134:12	101:15 102:20
137:21 138:11	clarity 103:18	coded 60:12	132:14,19
138:15 139:20	classified 126:9	cohn 6:13 11:23	172:17 177:21
139:25 146:25	clawback 99:1	11:24	177:23 178:18
147:8 149:2	clean 5:20	colleague 8:9 9:3	179:10 180:8,24
150:22 151:9,24	clear 29:4 38:3	10:24	181:13 182:18
152:3,12,19,23	39:3 61:3 76:25	collect 105:18	183:6 184:5
152:24 153:2,6	87:3 103:6	collectively	185:23 186:3
154:10 155:24	109:12 112:14	103:24	203:17 205:19
children 90:1	112:16 186:9	columbus 2:21	206:25 207:25
christina 4:6	192:13,23	4:11,22 5:7,24	commission's
11:1	193:11	6:7,23	52:1 55:17 56:7
chuck 19:2	clearer 77:25	come 63:12,24	58:15 59:9
120:23 154:15	193:24	72:1 79:24	70:15 75:7
154:21 172:6	clearly 10:11	84:25 100:7	79:19 103:3
cincinnati 6:16	77:19 115:4,7	119:10 134:7	178:1,2 179:7
circumstances	152:11 161:2	167:2 178:7	181:7 182:14
19:16 20:6	183:1	comes 166:11	188:11
39:18 173:4		190:5 192:18	

Veritext Legal Solutions

commissioned	142:16 143:12	compare 109:25	completeness
202:8	143:25 144:8,9	compensated	34:7
commissioner	144:15 145:22	153:14	completion 48:3
63:4 159:12	146:16,16,23	compensation	compliance 1:9
commissioner's	147:19 148:7,22	99:1	14:14 43:10
28:10	149:12 150:4,9	competitive	45:14 48:9,13,17
committee 34:16	151:19 154:25	21:10,11 50:3,4	51:8 79:19
34:18,24 35:1	158:12 166:18	50:8,9,13,14	82:22 83:6,11,18
communicated	174:20 197:5	60:10 78:18	83:23 84:11,20
163:6	company 1:6,7	102:23,24	92:10,16 93:16
communication	3:2 9:4,5,6 40:8	complaint 59:18	94:13 122:8,10
95:19 107:6	41:8,12 49:3,21	84:3 105:22	122:13,16,17,22
127:2 166:1	71:25 73:11	107:5 124:10	122:25 123:2,4,5
170:6,10	74:18 76:15	125:2 126:2,14	123:12,15,19
communications	86:9,22 88:7	126:18 127:1,3	124:15 130:10
20:11,15,19 21:2	90:6 92:12	127:10 128:3,6	133:2,13,14
21:24 30:5	95:12 98:14,25	129:4 131:4	134:23 135:12
56:18,18 57:14	102:9 104:24,24	132:2,5 150:20	139:18,23 147:7
58:6 61:8,10	111:9 118:24	complaints	147:9 154:11
62:5,11 70:17	119:12,15	91:23 93:24	174:23 204:7
84:2 92:7 93:7	123:16 124:6	94:24 126:9	205:3 206:3
124:21,23,24	126:13 133:13	127:6,13 129:6	compliant 23:25
138:6 155:19	135:4,19 143:20	129:13,18	83:19 106:13
160:13	143:22 144:3	131:24 132:23	146:17,24
companies 10:3	147:14 151:21	133:14 141:22	149:11
22:9 23:25	151:23 152:2	complementary	complied 21:5
29:22 30:4,6	155:17,22 158:6	178:3	43:2 51:4
31:14 38:1 43:8	159:24 170:22	complete 76:10	complies 45:8
53:18 57:17	178:25 194:25	96:6 143:8	complying 45:9
59:2 60:1 61:1	195:3 204:6,7	completed 47:9	45:21
74:12 79:19	205:3,3 206:3,3	47:10 74:19	component
85:23 92:10	company's 1:8	171:14 202:22	46:16 119:8
93:16 103:24	41:11 78:21	204:16	125:21
104:15,21 105:9	140:6	completely	components
113:24 118:21	company's	60:21 76:8	48:6 122:22
119:18 120:1,15	204:7 205:3	165:3 174:2	computer 10:9
137:22 138:2,7	206:3	175:4	47:18 136:2,3,8
140:8,9,10,16			

computers	confidence	confidentiality	contents 59:6
169:10,22	163:23 164:8	56:12 66:11	163:19 165:15
concept 20:10,14	165:17,21,25	112:3 173:7,17	context 60:16
concern 24:25	confident 81:2	183:22 184:1,9	82:10
31:15 43:10	confidential	confirming	continue 21:15
66:11 126:10	27:10,15,19 57:4	68:15	163:18 164:24
149:18 150:8,11	65:1,5,9,10,18	confused 196:2	165:1,8 167:13
151:8 152:1	65:25 66:3,25	confusing 75:25	177:17
179:19	80:18,24 81:4	connect 110:3	continues 188:11
concerned 88:5	100:7 112:9,12	connected 75:24	188:18
concerns 31:15	112:24 113:10	connection 24:2	continuous
31:22,23,24 32:3	113:15,21,22	24:5 30:14	171:12
32:17 33:10	114:6,16 115:5	147:20	continuously
34:12 35:6,12,17	115:10 116:3,4,6	consider 134:19	57:23
36:9 43:13,14	116:22 117:10	177:5 186:2	contract 25:14
123:21,22 124:1	117:12,16 118:6	considered	80:14
124:16 125:6,20	118:8 121:10	103:2 191:1	contracts 61:17
126:5,19 127:22	153:24,25	consistent 69:12	62:5 88:6
131:1,14 150:13	157:14,25 159:9	107:4 112:12	contradicts
concluded 171:8	159:13 161:18	182:24 183:25	190:15
200:10	161:25 162:5,10	186:2 190:12	control 99:8
conduct 31:17	162:17,21 163:5	195:9 198:5	conversation
44:10 45:2,7,8	164:13,15,20	constitute 190:3	111:15 159:22
45:22 46:8,15,25	165:8 166:1,5,23	consulting 81:18	164:3,6,17,22
47:6 66:2 84:22	167:12,19	consumers 2:14	166:5 168:18
86:24 87:9 88:2	168:24 169:13	8:8 36:6 37:9	174:17 183:3
90:13 92:23	171:5,9 173:5	179:11 185:16	195:4,8
93:1,17 94:24	175:23 176:4,5,8	contact 20:7	conversations
95:6 101:25	177:12 179:3,20	43:12 53:25	156:25 157:4
134:12	180:19 183:20	54:5 169:16	158:23 160:4,13
conducted 84:23	184:14 185:2	183:15	160:15
107:9 131:21	186:20 188:5	contacted	convert 127:16
134:12 149:24	189:1,5,10,13	179:22	cooperation
conducting	190:25 191:1,16	contained 84:21	172:12 182:24
177:24	191:17 196:1,5,9	179:23	corp 3:12 9:1
confer 186:5	196:11 199:5,6	content 27:10	10:18,20 56:13
conference 9:8	199:21	29:1 160:13	68:2 71:23
			72:15 77:19
	-	-	-

[corp - criminal] Page 11

89:6 98:3 144:4	109:22 110:9	125:7,8,12,13	133:20 138:15
144:23 145:4	122:10,13,15,17	126:7,8 128:1	138:17 147:15
148:14 154:16	122:22 123:11	130:5,23,24	151:2,3,4,10
155:16 168:14	123:15,19,25	135:15,16,20,21	152:11,14,16,18
173:8,18 178:6	124:14 125:2	136:9,15 144:24	152:20,22 153:1
178:16 179:19	126:7 129:10,14	147:15 148:18	153:3 165:2
183:23 184:2,8	131:4,24 132:1,3	148:19 153:7	172:1 175:11
184:18 185:5	132:21 133:1,2	154:17 155:1	183:2 195:1
195:1	134:11,14	181:15,17 191:8	201:1 203:2
corp's 142:24	135:12 136:13	196:22 202:17	counsel's 40:3
168:8 169:15	137:6,23 138:3	corrections	counsellink
corporate 13:15	139:4,15,18,24	204:13 206:17	148:12,13,18
20:22,24 21:6	142:1 146:18,24	cost 25:18 51:1,6	150:2
23:24 24:1,11	149:12,20,25	54:24 55:7	county 130:22
29:17,22 30:13	150:8,10,20	59:14,21 61:6,8	202:4 205:10
30:18,24 31:10	151:8,25 156:3	62:15,18 70:23	206:15
36:17,22 41:6	160:21,23 161:3	71:3 76:4 88:2,4	couple 63:11
42:2,10,20,24	172:7 174:3,18	88:5,12,13 91:1	71:20 78:3,4,6
43:1,3,11,18	174:21,23 175:3	91:11 119:6,8,10	116:2 118:17
44:6,9,12,17,21	186:24 187:3	119:15 143:13	153:9 182:7
45:5,10,20 46:3	191:6 192:1,3	143:21	course 56:14
46:4,8,16,17	corporation	costs 21:9 24:9	68:23 80:8,9
47:4 48:14,18	159:6	25:14 26:3,5	132:17 151:22
50:25 53:17,19	corporation's	36:5,23 37:8	171:21
54:22 55:8 58:8	175:11	50:2 53:20 55:2	court 21:18 22:3
59:15,22 71:12	correct 14:23	57:6 60:12 70:7	86:3 89:18
76:1 78:14 79:1	16:12 33:3 34:2	108:21 119:25	109:5,7 110:22
79:6,13,20 82:4	34:19 40:13	142:16 143:24	110:24 184:6,21
82:21,23 83:6,11	47:7 50:11,15	144:7 179:8	184:24 205:7
83:18,18,19,24	73:19 74:24	council 5:3,19	cover 37:2
84:6,10 86:13,19	85:3 101:20,21	12:5	covered 46:5
86:21 87:15,18	102:7,15 104:17	counsel 2:14 8:8	126:6
88:1 90:22	105:15 107:7	13:18,21 14:5	covers 65:11
92:10,16 93:24	108:19 109:14	18:3,6,9,19	created 125:10
94:13,17 97:17	110:12 115:13	39:25 40:2	crime 69:7
101:9,19,23	118:25 119:1,5	85:19 86:9,15,16	criminal 39:9
104:5 105:5,21	119:13 122:9	87:14 95:20	53:14 55:19
106:4 107:16	123:13 124:6	97:13,14 133:19	62:23 66:13
	<u> </u>	1	

69:7.75:10				
91:24 175:5,6 177:4 178:3,21 18:4 117:22 18:4 117:22 18:4 117:22 18:6 1184:16 204:9 205:3,9,19 122:19 16:11 17:2,8,22 16:11 17:2,3 39:13 16:51 18:9 16cision 77:6,13 18:24 127:9 128:9,16 16:57 17:25 109:20 110:2 137:16 171:21 131:12 179:5 185:5 16cilided 108:15 134:1,8 135:5,5 16:12 139:10 105:17 206:22 207:22 148:7,22 149:11 18:23 137:18 204:19 134:1,8 135:5,5 137:18 204:19 134:1,8 135:5,5 137:18 204:19 134:1,8 135:5,5 137:18 204:19 134:1,8 135:5,5 137:18 204:19 134:1,8 135:5,5 137:18 204:19 134:1,8 135:5,5 137:18 204:19 134:1,8 135:5,5 137:18 204:19 136:22 10:14 148:7,22 149:11 158:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 204:19 136:23 137:18 178:12 136:13 179:9 136:13 179:9 136:13 179:9 136:13 179:9 136:13 179:9 136:13 179:9 137:18 204:19 136:13 179:9 136:13 179:9 136:13 179:9 137:18 204:19 136:13 179:9 136:13 179:9 137:18 204:19 136:13 179:9 136:13 179:9 137:18 204:19 136:13 179:9 136:13 179:9 137:18 204:19 136:13 179:9 136:13 179:9 137:18 204:19 136:13 179:9 137:18 204:19 136:13 179:9 137:18 204:19 136:13 179:9 137:18 204:19 136:13 179:9 137:18 204:19 136:13 179:9 137:18 204:19 137:18 179:19 138:13 179:9 137:18 204:19 138:18 179:19 138:18 179:19 138:18 179:19 138:18 179:19 138:18 179:19 138:18 179:19 138:18	69:7 75:10	135:11 148:8,10	172:17 182:15	deny 64:4
177:4 178:3,21 18:4 117:22 204:9 205:3,9,19 186:1 188:13 206:3,13,25 decide 118:9 17:23 39:13 58:2 84:24 207:20,25 decide 118:9 decide 118:9 16:11 17:23 39:13 58:2 84:24 104:18 120:3 197:14 decisions 90:11 12:25 131:12 179:5 185:5 decimed 108:15 134:1,8 135:5,5 150:18,19 152:6 187:6,15 204:23 133:19 144:20 147:23 185:8 137:18 204:19 decide 205:14 206:20 122:21 124:11 122:5 131:2 170:15 137:18 178:24 197:22 124:11 128:13 166:17 172:25 124:11 121:9 153:22 154:7 161:12 176:15 data 172:25 database 109:19 125:22 126:3,16 127:23,24,25 129:17,19,21 130:7,111 131:23 132:5,10,22 133:6,8,15,22	76:22 77:8	148:14,18 150:2	185:23 203:17	department
180:1 184:16 204:9 205:3,9,19 122:19 16:11 17:2,8,22 17:23 39:13 17:23 13:13 17:24 17:25 17:24 17:	91:24 175:5,6	date 13:12 17:2	decentralized	13:13,18,20
186:1 188:13	177:4 178:3,21	18:4 117:22	46:5 48:23	15:14,17,21,22
crux 74:4 cuff 207:20,25 dated decision 77:6,13 166:7 172:25 58:2 84:24 104:18 120:3 124:3,16,24 104:18 120:3 124:3,16,24 124:3,16,12 124:3,16,24 124:3,16,14 125:14 124:3,16,14 125:14 124:3,16,14 125:14 124:3,16,14 125:14 124:3,16,14 125:14 124:3,16,14 125:14 124:3,16,14 125:14 124:3,16,14 125:14 124:3,16,14 125:14 124:3,16,14 125:14 124:3,16,14 125:14 124:3,16,14 125:14 124:12:14,12:13 124:14,12:13 124:14,12:13 124:14,12:13 124:14,12:13 124:14,12:13 124:14,	180:1 184:16	204:9 205:3,9,19	122:19	16:11 17:2,8,22
cuff 77:13 dated 74:8 166:7 172:25 104:18 120:3 59:9,9 dave 33:7 188:16 decisions 90:11 124:3,16,24 custody 7:14 day 3:3,13 8:25 156:12 128:23 133:19 customer 109:1 9:4 87:6 137:14 decisions 90:11 128:23 133:19 customers 70:9 203:7 205:16 decoupling 13:15:150:18,19 152:6 customers 70:9 203:7 205:16 144:20 147:23 departments 103:10 105:17 206:22 207:22 148:7,22 149:11 decoupling 18:6,15 204:23 108:22 110:14 days 118:23 206:20 124:11 128:13 departments 112:25 57:23 61:11 deed 205:14 48:24 122:21 departments 121:9 153:22 70:14 dedline 197:22 defending 120:17 depended 33:14 depended 33:14 depender 20:10 defer 100:2 defer 100:2 defer 100:2 defer deposed	186:1 188:13	206:3,13,25	decide 118:9	17:23 39:13
current 17:12 dates 197:7 dave 33:7 188:16 decisions 90:11 124:3,16,24 127:9 128:9,16 custody 7:14 day 3:3,13 8:25 156:12 128:23 133:19 customer 109:10 137:16 171:21 16:16 128:23 133:19 109:20 110:2 137:16 171:21 116:16 128:23 133:19 131:12 179:5 185:5 declined 108:15 134:1,8 135:5,5 150:18,19 152:6 131:12 179:5 185:5 decoupling 144:20 147:23 departments 103:10 105:17 206:22 207:22 days 118:23 148:7,22 149:11 departments 131:3 179:9 days 118:23 137:18 204:19 deemed 65:1 departments cut 25:23 79:9 der 37:23 55:1 15:1 204:20 depended 33:14 dune 55:5 11:11 121:9 153:22 dealine 197:22 defending depended 33:14 dune 55:5 11:11 121:9 153:22 15:12 20:23 187:19 188:7 deponded 33:14 data 17:23	crux 74:4	207:20,25	decision 77:6,13	58:2 84:24
Second Coustody 7:14 Customer 109:1 109:20 110:2 137:16 137:16 137:14 137:16 137:15 137:15 137:16 137:17 137:17 137:18 137:18 206:22 207:23 207:23 2	cuff 77:13	dated 74:8	166:7 172:25	104:18 120:3
custody 7:14 customer day 3:3,13 8:25 9:4 87:6 137:14 109:20 110:2 156:12 declined 108:15 132:15 150:18,19 152:6 109:20 110:2 137:16 171:21 179:5 185:5 116:16 decoupling 187:6,15 204:23 departments 103:10 105:17 108:22 110:14 131:3 179:9 203:7 205:16 days 118:23 departments 144:20 147:23 departments 33:25 46:6 decoupling 185:8 13:13 179:9 days 118:23 133:19 decoupling 148:7,22 149:11 deed 205:14 deed 205:14 deed 205:14 deed 205:14 deed 205:14 defending 128:24 122:21 deemed 65:1 decoupling 185:8 13:18 204:19 dcr 37:23 55:1 deemed 65:1 defending 151:1 204:20 defending 197:22 defending 120:17 depends 150:25 deponds 150:25 dep	current 17:12	dates 197:7	197:14	124:3,16,24
customer 109:1 9:4 87:6 137:14 declined 108:15 134:1,8 135:5,5 109:20 110:2 137:16 171:21 116:16 150:18,19 152:6 131:12 179:5 185:5 decoupling 187:6,15 204:23 customers 70:9 203:7 205:16 144:20 147:23 departments 103:10 105:17 206:22 207:22 148:7,22 149:11 33:25 46:6 108:22 110:14 days 118:23 206:20 124:11 128:13 185:8 137:18 204:19 deemed 65:1 departure 41:7 cut 25:23 79:9 dcr 37:23 55:1 151:1 204:20 depended 33:14 dev 152:21 dealine 197:5 defending 120:17 dane 5:5 11:11 121:9 153:22 154:7 161:12 167:23 deferse 98:3,14 depends 150:25 data 170:15 dealings 51:21 54:16 57:8 192:10,24 8:19 deposed 8:5 data 172:23,24,25 129:17,19,21 38:17 39:5	59:9,9	dave 33:7 188:16	decisions 90:11	127:9 128:9,16
109:20 110:2	custody 7:14	day 3:3,13 8:25	156:12	128:23 133:19
131:12 179:5 185:5 decoupling 187:6,15 204:23 customers 70:9 203:7 205:16 144:20 147:23 departments 103:10 105:17 206:22 207:22 148:7,22 149:11 33:25 46:6 108:22 110:14 daymark 101:3 deed 205:14 48:24 122:21 131:3 179:9 days 118:23 206:20 124:11 128:13 departure 112:25 57:23 61:11 deemed 65:1 departure 41:7 cuyahoga 202:4 70:14 197:22 depended 33:14 depending 197:22 depended 33:14 depending 197:22 depended 33:14 depending 197:22 defense 98:3,14 depended 33:14 depending 197:22 defense 98:3,14 depended 38:19 deferred 69:17 73:18 178:12 depose 18:3 depose 18:3 depose 18:13 depose 193:13,14,25 193:13,14,25	customer 109:1	9:4 87:6 137:14	declined 108:15	134:1,8 135:5,5
customers 70:9 203:7 205:16 144:20 147:23 departments 103:10 105:17 206:22 207:22 148:7,22 149:11 33:25 46:6 108:22 110:14 daymark 101:3 deed 205:14 48:24 122:21 131:3 179:9 days 118:23 206:20 124:11 128:13 185:8 137:18 204:19 deemed 65:1 departure 41:7 cut 25:23 79:9 dcr 37:23 55:1 151:1 204:20 depended 33:14 deroughoga 202:4 70:14 206:20 124:11 128:13 depended 33:14 deroughoga 202:4 70:14 206:20 40:21 1 depended 33:14 defending 197:22 defending 120:17 depended 33:14 depended 33:14 49:20:17 depended 33:14 defers 100:2 40:20:17 defers 100:2 40:20:17 deferred 69:17 73:18 178:12 40:20:17 40:20:17 40:20:18 40:20:18	109:20 110:2	137:16 171:21	116:16	150:18,19 152:6
103:10 105:17	131:12	179:5 185:5	decoupling	187:6,15 204:23
108:22 110:14 131:3 179:9 days 118:23 206:20 124:11 128:13 185:8	customers 70:9	203:7 205:16	144:20 147:23	departments
131:3 179:9	103:10 105:17	206:22 207:22	148:7,22 149:11	33:25 46:6
185:8	108:22 110:14	daymark 101:3	deed 205:14	48:24 122:21
cut 25:23 79:9 dcr 37:23 55:1 151:1 204:20 depended 33:14 cuyahoga 202:4 57:23 61:11 70:14 197:22 depending 120:17 d deadline 197:5 defense 98:3,14 depends 150:25 dane 5:5 11:11 72:3,4,8,21,24 98:16 deponded 33:14 deal 26:22 56:17 98:16 depends 150:25 deponded 33:14 depended 33:14 depended 33:14 depended 33:14 depended 33:14 depended 33:14 depended 33:14 depended 33:14 defense 98:3,14 genended 33:14 depended 33:14 depended 33:14 defense 98:3,14 genended 33:14 defense 98:3,14 genended 33:14 depended 33:14 genended 33:14 defense98:3,14 genended 98:16	131:3 179:9	days 118:23	206:20	124:11 128:13
112:25	185:8	137:18 204:19	deemed 65:1	departure 41:7
cuyahoga 202:4 70:14 197:22 depends 150:25 d deal 26:22 56:17 98:16 depends 150:25 dane 5:5 11:11 72:3,4,8,21,24 98:16 depends 150:25 dane 5:5 11:11 121:9 153:22 154:7 161:12 167:23 defer 100:2 depose 181:3 154:7 161:12 dealing 91:23 dealing 91:23 depose 18:13 depose 18:13 depose 18:13 depose 18:13 depose 18:13 depose 18:13 depose 18:13 depose 18:13 depose 18:13 depose 8:12 12:16,17,21 38:16 42:21 192:10,24 192:10,24 193:13,14,25 25:8 26:8 54:12 25:8 26:8 54:12 25:8 26:8 54:12 25:8 26:8 54:12 196:4 65:25 80:10,12 159:24 161:23 166:14 167:7 168:3 167:7 168:3 169:5,18 171:22 169:5,18 171:22 171:24 172:1 133:6,8,15,22 134:5,14,20,25	cut 25:23 79:9	dcr 37:23 55:1	151:1 204:20	depended 33:14
cv 152:21 deadline 197:5 defense 98:3,14 depends 150:25 dane 5:5 11:11 72:3,4,8,21,24 98:16 deponent 2:3 dane 5:5 11:11 72:3,4,8,21,24 defer 100:2 deponent 2:3 deferred 69:17 69:17 depose 181:3 deposed 8:5 deposed 8:12 192:10,24 8:12 12:16,17,21 192:10,24 193:13,14,25 25:8 26:8 54:12 196:4 196:4 65:25 80:10,12 196:4 196:4 65:25 80:10,12 196:4 196:4 196:4 196:4 196:4 196:4 196:4 196:4	112:25	57:23 61:11	defending	depending
d deal 26:22 56:17 98:16 deponent 2:3 dane 5:5 11:11 72:3,4,8,21,24 defer 100:2 8:19 121:9 153:22 118:10,13 defer efered 69:17 deposed 8:5 154:7 161:12 dealing 91:23 deposed 8:5 dealing 51:21 192:10,24 8:12 12:16,17,21 data base 109:19 54:16 57:8 193:13,14,25 25:8 26:8 54:12 127:23,24,25 71:23 72:18 194:5,14,16 64:5,13 65:6,10 129:17,19,21 38:17 39:5 196:4 65:25 80:10,12 130:7,11 131:23 38:17 39:5 169:1 166:14 167:7 168:3 132:5,10,22 62:21 68:10,16 102:16 169:5,18 171:22 134:5,14,20,25 69:2 73:2 74:8 dennis 95:6 171:24 172:1	cuyahoga 202:4	70:14	197:22	120:17
dane 5:5 11:11 72:3,4,8,21,24 defer 100:2 8:19 121:9 153:22 154:7 161:12 167:23 deferred 69:17 depose 181:3 176:15 dealing 91:23 dealings 51:21 deposed 8:5 data 172:25 dealings 51:21 192:10,24 8:12 12:16,17,21 54:16 57:8 193:13,14,25 25:8 26:8 54:12 127:23,24,25 71:23 72:18 194:5,14,16 64:5,13 65:6,10 129:17,19,21 38:17 39:5 definitely 187:25 159:24 161:23 132:5,10,22 38:17 39:5 definitely 187:25 162:1 166:14 133:6,8,15,22 62:21 68:10,16 102:16 169:5,18 171:22 134:5,14,20,25 69:2 73:2 74:8 dennis 95:6 171:24 172:1	cv 152:21	deadline 197:5	defense 98:3,14	_
dane 5:5 11:11 118:10,13 deferred 69:17 depose 181:3 154:7 161:12 167:23 187:19 188:7 deposed 8:5 data 172:25 187:19 188:7 192:10,24 8:12 12:16,17,21 125:22 126:3,16 54:16 57:8 193:13,14,25 25:8 26:8 54:12 127:23,24,25 129:17,19,21 194:5,14,16 64:5,13 65:6,10 130:7,11 131:23 38:17 39:5 194:5,14,16 65:25 80:10,12 132:5,10,22 38:17 39:5 193:13,14,25 159:24 161:23 132:5,10,22 57:22 58:15 194:5,14,16 196:4 65:25 80:10,12 159:24 161:23 162:1 166:14 167:7 168:3 169:5,18 171:22 134:5,14,20,25 69:2 73:2 74:8 102:16 171:24 172:1	d	deal 26:22 56:17	98:16	_
121:9 153:22 118:10,13 deferred 69:17 depose 181:3 154:7 161:12 dealing 91:23 dealing 91:23 depose 8:5 detata 172:25 dealings 51:21 192:10,24 8:12 12:16,17,21 125:22 126:3,16 127:23,24,25 193:13,14,25 25:8 26:8 54:12 129:17,19,21 december 38:16 196:4 65:25 80:10,12 130:7,11 131:23 38:17 39:5 definitely 187:25 162:1 166:14 132:5,10,22 62:21 68:10,16 102:16 169:5,18 171:22 134:5,14,20,25 69:2 73:2 74:8 dennis 95:6 171:24 172:1	dane 5.5 11.11		defer 100:2	
154:7 161:12 167:23 73:18 178:12 deposed 8:5 176:15 dealing 91:23 187:19 188:7 deposition 1:16 187:19 188:7 8:12 12:16,17,21 192:10,24 193:13,14,25 25:8 26:8 54:12 193:13,14,25 25:8 26:8 54:12 193:13,14,25 25:8 26:8 54:12 193:13,14,25 64:5,13 65:6,10 196:4 65:25 80:10,12 196:4 65:25 80:10,12 196:4 196:4 <		118:10,13	deferred 69:17	depose 181:3
data 176:15 dealing 91:23 187:19 188:7 deposition 1:16 data 172:25 dealings 51:21 192:10,24 8:12 12:16,17,21 125:22 126:3,16 54:16 57:8 193:13,14,25 25:8 26:8 54:12 127:23,24,25 dear 204:11 196:4 64:5,13 65:6,10 129:17,19,21 38:17 39:5 define 152:12 159:24 161:23 130:7,11 131:23 38:17 39:5 definitely 187:19 188:7 187:19 188:7 187:19 188:7 187:19 188:7 192:10,24 8:12 12:16,17,21 25:8 26:8 54:12 64:5,13 65:6,10 65:25 80:10,12 65:25 80:10,12 159:24 161:23 162:1 166:14 167:7 168:3 167:7 168:3 167:7 168:3 169:5,18 171:22 171:24 172:1 133:6,8,15,22 69:2 73:2 74:8 102:16 171:24 172:1 171:24 172:1		167:23	73:18 178:12	_
data 172:25 dealings 51:21 192:10,24 8:12 12:16,17,21 125:22 126:3,16 127:23,24,25 193:13,14,25 25:8 26:8 54:12 127:23,24,25 129:17,19,21 196:4 64:5,13 65:6,10 129:17,19,21 130:7,11 131:23 38:17 39:5 132:5,10,22 133:6,8,15,22 133:6,8,15,22 134:5,14,20,25 102:16 102:16 169:5,18 171:22 171:24 172:1				_
database 109:19 125:22 126:3,16 71:23 72:18 127:23,24,25 129:17,19,21 130:7,11 131:23 38:17 39:5 132:5,10,22 57:22 58:15 133:6,8,15,22 62:21 68:10,16 134:5,14,20,25 69:2 73:2 74:8 193:13,14,25 25:8 26:8 54:12 194:5,14,16 65:25 80:10,12 196:4 65:25 80:10,12 159:24 161:23 162:1 166:14 167:7 168:3 169:5,18 171:22 171:24 172:1 171:24 172:1		U	,	
71:23 72:18 dear 204:11 december 38:16 130:7,11 131:23 132:5,10,22 133:6,8,15,22 134:5,14,20,25 71:23 72:18 dear 204:11 december 38:16 38:17 39:5 57:22 58:15 62:21 68:10,16 69:2 73:2 74:8 71:23 72:18 194:5,14,16 196:4 define 152:12 definitely 187:25 definition 102:16 dennis 95:6 104:5,13 65:6,10 65:25 80:10,12 159:24 161:23 162:1 166:14 167:7 168:3 169:5,18 171:22 171:24 172:1		54:16 57:8	193:13,14,25	
127:23,24,25 dear 204:11 196:4 65:25 80:10,12 129:17,19,21 38:17 39:5 define 152:12 159:24 161:23 130:7,11 131:23 57:22 58:15 definitely 187:25 162:1 166:14 132:5,10,22 62:21 68:10,16 102:16 169:5,18 171:22 134:5,14,20,25 69:2 73:2 74:8 dennis 95:6 171:24 172:1			194:5,14,16	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
129:17,19,21 130:7,11 131:23 132:5,10,22 133:6,8,15,22 134:5,14,20,25 december 38:16 38:17 39:5 57:22 58:15 62:21 68:10,16 69:2 73:2 74:8 define 152:12 definitely 187:25 162:1 166:14 167:7 168:3 169:5,18 171:22 171:24 172:1		dear 204:11		
130:7,11 131:23 132:5,10,22 133:6,8,15,22 134:5,14,20,25 38:17 39:5 57:22 58:15 62:21 68:10,16 69:2 73:2 74:8 definitely 187:25 162:1 166:14 167:7 168:3 102:16 dennis 95:6 171:24 172:1				
132:5,10,22 133:6,8,15,22 134:5,14,20,25 132:5,10,22 62:21 68:10,16 69:2 73:2 74:8 definition 167:7 168:3 169:5,18 171:22 171:24 172:1			•	
133:6,8,15,22 134:5,14,20,25 62:21 68:10,16 69:2 73:2 74:8 102:16 dennis 95:6 171:24 172:1				
134:5.14.20.25 69:2 /3:2 /4:8 dennis 95:6 1/1:24 1/2:1				
77:16 78:22 121:1 155:14 173:6,12,13,16				
		77:16 78:22	121:1 155:14	173:6,12,13,16

100 6 11 101 5 =		4== 4 4=	4=604.1==10
180:6,11 181:25	development	175:1,17	176:21 177:10
182:13,17,23	172:15	disagreed	181:22 182:2,4
183:20 184:18	different 13:19	175:18	191:21 199:8,17
184:20,22,23	17:20 24:23	disclose 38:1	discussions
185:2,5,7 186:23	25:10 42:16	139:7 142:5	24:14 56:2 59:3
190:18 195:13	46:6 48:24	156:16 163:22	59:6,19 61:16
196:17 197:7,22	67:17 89:25	disclosed 27:17	62:6 101:1
198:8,20 199:6	90:2 93:14	58:14 65:4	111:12 138:1,24
199:10 200:10	98:18 117:22	183:24 190:23	142:11
202:20 204:9,12	118:20 119:18	191:18,19,20	dispute 74:4
205:1,3 206:1,3	120:2,16 122:21	disclosure 27:20	197:19 198:19
depositions	122:21 123:1	159:15,16	disputed 63:23
63:22 64:23	124:19 131:12	disclosures	disputes 80:10
173:1	148:10 156:18	69:19	200:5
depth 120:20	175:4	discovery 38:4	distribution
deputy 13:18	direct 43:20 54:4	63:1 64:7,10	102:19,24
138:16 152:20	56:4 61:17	66:21 69:24	109:20 117:3
152:22,25 153:3	99:14 142:16	70:16,21,25 71:5	118:25 119:3
described	143:13	71:7,14,23 72:9	140:21
130:11 190:4	directed 74:13	72:14,17 73:5,12	district 184:21
designated 82:22	78:23	74:11 75:2,6	184:24 188:15
83:5,9	directing 160:25	76:14,19 77:15	division 124:5
desires 198:5	189:9	77:22 162:18	150:19
despite 78:20	direction 107:8	168:13 172:16	divulge 65:9
detail 56:15	directly 31:16	174:25 181:1	dmr 140:20
197:12	32:2 53:18 58:3	182:18 196:7,14	docket 101:7
detailed 67:25	59:14 61:10	discuss 63:11	document 40:15
92:20	75:15 174:6,22	78:3,4,9	40:19 66:23,24
detailing 79:21	192:5	discussed 70:19	67:8 92:19
details 15:1 16:7	director 16:19	129:5 173:9,21	128:15,18,22,24
58:1 65:9 141:3	17:10 18:11	176:1 184:23	158:14,17 196:6
determinations	154:24 155:11	189:14 191:14	documentation
119:24 120:24	directors 34:17	discussing 27:11	135:6
determined 73:1	disadvantage	discussion 27:5	documented
73:1	63:21	58:20 111:16	57:10
determining	disagree 53:12	113:15 116:1	documents
44:4	58:9 146:9	169:1,15 173:14	49:11,13 57:12
	159:19 174:2,16	175:22 176:9,12	62:4,14 64:18,20

64:22 65:24	85:23 92:1	earlier 90:25	eileen 119:21
66:20 67:18,21	96:18 97:4,24	111:13 113:16	either 59:11
67:24,25 68:4,5	98:7,20 99:11	118:23 128:2	83:16 95:21
71:22 72:14,16	103:12 109:9	151:3 171:25	117:21 124:15
73:25 74:13,16	110:7 113:23	173:10 176:7	128:8 173:23
76:16 77:18,20	117:4 133:9	181:19 182:5	174:11 184:5
77:21 112:7	138:4 139:5	186:18 188:20	191:18 198:16
135:18,19,20,22	140:23 142:13	190:16 192:16	203:2
135:24 136:22	144:1,11 145:6	199:13	el 1:13 12:20
137:7,12,15	147:2 148:23	earmarked	74:9
162:17 168:12	149:16 151:11	141:18	electric 1:6 9:5
175:25 178:8,9	156:4 158:10,16	east 2:19 4:9 6:5	22:16 23:1,4
179:16,23 180:2	162:25 163:10	6:14	102:25 110:4
180:4,15 181:5,6	189:19 190:1	easy 66:1 103:13	155:4 160:25
181:10 183:19	196:25 197:2	ebony 1:17 7:6	204:6 205:3
196:7,15	dougherty 6:20	8:1,19 12:13	206:3
doing 13:7	6:21 12:9,10	29:7 30:2 54:12	electronic 47:16
107:10 123:7	dowling 18:16	100:12,14	48:19,22 127:16
165:20	94:24	116:18 118:19	127:19,20 128:5
doj 38:6 60:18	downer 189:21	121:4,19,21,22	electronically
64:19 172:12,13	dpa 70:20 196:4	153:18 154:5,9	124:9 128:12
172:19 176:10	196:14,20,20	156:5 158:19	129:1
187:23 192:14	draw 67:6	159:16 202:9	eleventh 180:13
doj's 73:22	drawn 138:17	204:9 205:4,9	182:10
172:24 175:12	drive 2:8	206:4,13 207:20	email 204:18
domain 179:4	driving 88:4	ebony's 24:3	embassy 1:20,21
180:21	dropped 111:1	41:7	emerald 5:14
donald 4:7 11:1	dstinson 5:9	eckler 5:4 11:13	emily 168:19
door 72:1	dublin 5:15	edison 1:5,8 3:2	employed 14:8
doringo 3:4 9:2	duly 8:4 202:7	9:4,6 204:6,7	81:14,16 101:10
9:2,23 30:1	202:10	205:3,3 206:3,3	102:3 103:7
37:21 38:9,15,20	dump 181:6	educational	employee 31:14
43:5 51:24	e	12:25	31:15,21,23,24
57:16,17,20	e 17:15 56:18	effect 68:11,17	32:3,17 34:12
60:25 65:15	57:11 62:5	effectively 13:25	35:5,12,17 36:9
70:13,24 71:4	107:2 125:15,18	eg's 176:16	43:13 109:18
72:23 73:21	126:1	eight 24:3	123:21,22
74:21,25 80:17			124:16 125:6,20

126 - 1 - 10	1 10 7 0 0		125 21 120 12
126:5,17,19	engaged 42:5,8,8	environmental	137:21 138:12
127:22 131:1,14	42:10,14 120:19	5:19 12:5	138:16 139:20
150:13	123:14 132:18	equally 76:18	139:25 146:25
employees 44:5	141:20 144:16	errata 204:14,19	149:2 150:22
46:1 47:9 105:6	151:23	206:7,10,18	151:9,24 152:4
109:1 110:19	engaging 178:15	207:1	152:12,19,23,25
123:17,20,25	enhanced 130:16	erring 81:5	153:2,6 154:10
124:9,13,20	130:18	esp 24:3 27:7	155:24
126:25 127:9	ensure 48:1,4	58:7 71:12,16	evan 5:13 11:16
130:3 131:1	146:24	especially	evans 81:12,13
139:13 178:25	enter 8:10 9:13	176:16 182:3	81:20,23 82:5
employment	80:13 98:2,15	esq 2:5,6,7,15,16	evening 198:8
14:3,10,16,25	entered 24:7	2:17,18 3:4,5,14	200:3
16:7 17:13,21	78:16 127:23	3:15 4:3,4,5,6,7	event 86:23
39:18,20 40:6,21	178:12 184:9	4:8,18,19 5:5,12	143:20 196:19
40:25 41:14,20	189:10,13 206:9	5:13,21 6:4,13	197:19 198:18
41:21 42:9 49:2	enterprise 14:15	204:5	200:4
49:13 85:1	entertained	essentially	events 75:24
94:10 98:16	188:24	194:10	143:22 174:14
99:4	entire 53:11 83:4	establish 57:6	174:15 192:2
enabled 88:2,11	205:5 206:5	68:6	everybody 52:15
enactment	entirely 24:23	established 76:3	183:21
144:10,24 145:4	65:17	103:5	everyone's 8:11
enclosed 204:12	entirety 199:20	estimate 17:5	evidence 64:10
ended 39:18	entities 103:16	et 204:7 205:3	92:3 178:23
40:7,21,25 41:14	174:19 191:7	206:3	ex 20:11,19 21:2
49:3,13 68:25	entitled 60:7	ethics 13:16	21:24 175:12
85:2 98:17	78:15	14:14 18:21	exact 53:7 69:4
168:4	entity 51:11 59:4	31:13,23 35:10	76:17
energy 4:16 5:3	59:5 80:16	43:9 44:7 45:16	exactly 13:9 28:8
5:20 6:2,11 11:8	104:5,6,7,12,13	46:7,22 47:25	183:5 195:4
11:16,24 58:25	105:1 113:3	48:8 59:16 83:3	examination 7:6
102:19 105:23	entries 68:20	83:5 91:22	8:2 12:13
106:2 121:25	69:14 79:23	92:15 94:12,22	100:12 121:19
122:25 157:7	196:16	95:4 122:4	154:5 163:11
engage 90:12	entry 64:3,20,25	127:4,7 129:7	examiner 12:19
144:9 147:19	73:8 74:8,21	130:12 131:21	25:23 26:10
	75:1 78:21	133:3 134:10	38:9 53:6 56:25

[examiner - feel] Page 16

57:19 58:21	exclusive 178:1	152:1 175:8	178:22,23
60:23 63:9,15,18	179:7	experience	179:14,15
65:21 66:4,9,18	excuse 53:12	181:10	187:10
67:16,20 68:8,13	56:23 162:13	expiration	fair 41:17 53:2
68:18,23 69:11	167:5	205:19 206:25	69:12 131:14
69:23 70:10,24	execute 123:7,8	207:25	132:15 135:8
74:1 75:20	executed 206:10	expires 203:17	138:22 140:5
77:24 78:8,8	execution 205:14	explain 33:16	149:2 159:23
79:8,16 80:7	206:19	42:11	182:17 191:22
112:1,15 113:16	executive 16:19	explained 151:2	196:21
114:22 115:16	17:9 18:11	explore 60:8,19	fairlawn 1:21
115:18,25	23:10 155:4	76:2	false 182:12
162:16 163:8	160:25	explored 52:25	familiar 30:18
164:16 166:7,11	exhibit 7:14	express 78:17	31:2,6,9 44:20
166:25 167:4	106:13	expressed 57:22	45:1 50:22
examiner's 81:1	exhibits 7:15	extension 197:4	51:11,18,20 89:4
112:13	65:25	extensive 45:6	92:9,13 101:2,5
examiners 26:16	exist 45:3	extent 27:12,18	101:7,18,22
54:1 63:20	existed 70:6	84:3 92:5 93:6	102:9,12,14
65:13 68:19	73:14 85:17	93:10,22 94:18	104:7,25 111:5,9
74:6 78:4 79:24	139:19	95:18 96:20	116:18 118:19
80:4 89:2 99:6	existence 130:7	98:9,22 113:7	120:4,13 140:5
99:14 113:19	existing 62:25	138:18 139:7	familiarize
114:1,3,8 115:4	68:3	141:14 142:4,21	30:11
117:20 118:4,8	exists 133:23	143:4,16,19	family 104:14
168:10,21,25	expanded 37:23	145:15 157:10	far 53:4 97:21
169:14 171:11	54:25 61:11	157:20 158:2,18	158:24 176:3
171:18 172:19	75:3	159:8,15 178:2	192:15 194:9
182:19 188:25	expect 65:7	external 43:11	favor 81:24
189:10 198:3,15	expense 147:23	132:6,11,20	fe 155:15,19
example 33:23	147:24	f	february 14:18
60:7 102:7	expenses 117:2	facing 10:9	federal 13:14
197:22	118:20 119:4	fact 61:15 63:3	122:25 181:14
exceeded 197:23	120:15 146:2,11	71:1 103:8	185:10 187:21
exchange 158:14	146:12,13	166:24 176:16	188:8 192:12
exchanges 57:11	147:21 148:2,6	facts 73:22 75:5	feel 41:19 79:22
excluding	148:21 149:7,10	75:14 76:2 92:3	188:18
173:19	149:19 150:3,9		

[fell - five] Page 17

fell 191:11	26:11,15 27:2,14	firing 87:9 89:4	103:23,24,25
ferc 45:1,6,8,22	28:11,25 35:23	firm 11:21 13:4	104:10,14,18,19
47:6 64:19	36:2,4,12,20	15:3,4 168:18	104:24 105:1,3,6
84:18	37:4,13 45:13	first 8:4,9 9:14	105:8,16,23
fifth 4:10	51:15 52:2,11,16	10:1 22:19	106:5 107:9,21
fight 170:18,19	53:23 54:6,9,10	58:25 61:1 74:5	107:24 108:4,15
figure 197:6	56:9,23 57:2	76:12 91:5	108:20,24 109:1
file 128:20 184:5	62:2 63:17	101:25 132:7	109:10,13,18,19
filed 25:12	65:19,20,22	144:2 162:22	110:3,12,15
101:15 105:22	67:10 71:17	174:2 180:7	111:5,8 113:3
158:17 184:21	80:11,20 86:1,20	202:10	117:2 118:24
195:3	87:17,23 88:24	firstenergy 3:12	119:17 120:11
files 86:23	89:16 90:24	9:1,21 10:18,20	120:14 122:20
filing 42:13,15	91:4,18 94:2	13:7 14:5 15:11	125:16 127:13
158:7,13 184:24	99:9,16,19 100:4	15:23 16:22	132:13 142:24
filings 89:3	100:20 111:2	17:21 19:13,21	144:4,6,23 145:3
filters 119:15	115:22 116:13	21:5,25 22:8,15	148:14 154:16
finance 13:7	117:18 118:12	22:25 23:12	154:25 155:8,16
15:7,20,22,24	121:5,13 123:24	27:18 29:9,15	155:16,21
financially 33:19	137:11 153:20	35:4 38:1 39:19	156:25 159:6
find 55:6 64:1	154:4 156:24	40:6,21,25 41:14	165:2 168:8,14
91:6,12 112:25	161:11 162:7,11	41:21,25 42:3,9	169:15 170:22
204:12	162:15 163:15	43:3,18 44:4,4	173:8,18 174:3
finding 91:8	163:21 164:1,5	44:16,21 46:2	175:11 178:6,16
findings 32:22	164:11,23	48:12 49:2,14	179:18 183:22
32:25 44:15	165:10,16,23	55:4 56:13,20	184:1,8,18 185:5
fine 27:13	167:5,25 170:13	60:10 68:2 70:8	187:12
109:15 194:23	170:21,23 171:2	71:22 72:5,15	firstenergy's
finish 57:1,3	173:2,3 177:9,15	73:4 77:19	19:9 50:3 85:19
121:7 163:16	177:18,20	80:13 81:17,21	113:3 172:11
finished 121:15	181:12,16,20	81:25 82:6,13,16	176:10
finnigan 2:15	182:9 183:17	82:24 85:1,5	fit 177:17
7:7 8:6,7 9:7,20	190:2,7,9 199:3	88:23 89:6	fitzgerald 2:6
9:25 10:6,16,21	199:11,24 200:6	90:11,14 93:3	8:20,21 40:4
11:4,10,14,18,22	finnigan's 170:9	94:11 97:1,5,7	97:12 115:2,15
12:1,11,14 20:23	fired 85:5,11	97:20 98:3,4,17	167:9,21
21:7,16 24:5,15	89:7,11	99:4 101:9,11,23	five 99:17 116:8
24:18 25:6 26:1		102:3 103:8,8,14	116:14

[flom - go] Page 18

flom 2:4	68:7 76:3 144:7	g	102:16 118:16
floor 2:20 4:10	174:9	g 17:15	154:18 177:11
flush 16:9	four 140:2	game 69:12	180:1 201:1
focus 69:16 76:7	frankly 38:3	159:23 182:17	given 12:21 56:7
122:3 154:12	fraud 178:21	196:21	75:7 113:15
180:23	free 205:14	gas 5:11	124:25 132:2
folks 161:13	206:20	general 4:2	133:16 181:10
167:5,25 169:4	friday 197:10	10:22 13:18,21	195:16 202:13
follow 34:8 48:3	front 61:4	14:5 18:2,5,9,18	202:18
100:19 186:17	152:21	37:1 39:11	giving 67:23
follows 8:5	frustrated 74:3	55:21 75:12	179:22 194:25
force 88:4	fulfill 179:12	80:20,23 102:16	gladman 3:5 9:3
foregoing	full 179:14,14	103:3 111:15	10:2,3 13:23
202:16,21	184:2	118:21 132:17	16:3 23:23
205:13 206:18	fundamentally	138:14,16 151:3	24:12,16,21 39:2
foreign 177:23	57:21	151:4,9 152:4,11	41:4,24
form 48:19	funding 24:8	152:13,16,18,20	glatfelter 168:19
57:15 110:7	25:15 26:4	152:22 153:1,3	169:16 170:6,10
133:9 136:19	28:16 36:10	188:16 193:22	195:2,3
144:1 147:2	38:4 51:12 52:8	general's 12:7	glatfelter's
148:23 149:16	54:17 55:3,24	53:16	169:17
150:5 151:11	56:16 57:9 60:9	generally 90:8	go 8:13 9:8
156:4	71:24 72:6,19	101:18 102:14	10:16 12:11
format 127:19	80:14 111:14,22	102:22 140:7	14:24 15:19
former 178:25	162:19 168:13	generated 21:9	25:5,7 38:7
forth 65:17	168:16 172:9,22	generation 35:18	51:13,19 58:3
191:16	178:10 187:1,11	36:1,6 102:25	60:13 62:15
forthcoming	193:1,16	generic 100:23	68:5 73:22
197:14	funds 140:11,17	gentleman 81:11	75:15 79:14,21
forward 59:24	140:21 141:17	george 82:8,12	80:8,11 82:20
67:15 197:20	141:23	82:15	88:18,22 94:1
198:4 204:16	further 25:4	germane 58:8	97:25 99:19
forwarded	51:19 74:10	getting 28:9	100:2,8 106:7
128:12,15	153:18 159:14	53:21 55:25	107:1 113:17,20
found 162:3,7,11	188:22 202:19	56:2,3 63:19,21	114:11,13,25
162:21	203:1	185:16 187:9	115:25 116:16
foundation 35:8		give 18:15 38:21	117:13 121:10
35:19 44:18		55:10 90:1	123:6 126:2

[go - hi] Page 19

135:4 154:4	189:8,21 192:8	151:20	122:11 129:5
159:21 161:24	195:5 197:20	half 169:6,10,23	136:17 137:1
162:5,10,25	198:4,10,12	hall 2:18 9:18,18	138:9 142:24,25
163:3 168:23	good 8:6 10:23	10:15	157:15,17
169:7,13 171:3	11:19,23 12:4	hallway 164:6	158:23 159:3
172:3 175:7	55:13 100:14,18	halter 15:5	165:2,4 170:7,18
181:5 186:4	112:15,17	hand 203:6	171:16 175:14
191:10 192:17	115:12,14	handle 141:22	181:24 182:1
194:9 195:5	118:13 154:8	197:21 198:4	189:24 193:6
197:11 198:7	190:17 200:3	handled 107:5	heard 20:10
199:21	gotten 32:9 99:7	115:5 122:21	57:18 82:9
goes 53:4 59:14	governance	hang 168:4	97:13 167:11
65:17 78:13	86:22	happen 58:7	hearing 64:16
86:20 118:9	graciously	59:4 169:18	74:3,20 78:1
177:21 179:6	121:22	happened 88:14	100:11 158:22
198:13	grave 24:25	91:6,14 142:8	161:19 181:2,6
going 16:6 24:16	great 56:15,17	174:14 178:14	186:24
25:22 26:9,20,21	68:22 176:2	191:5 192:2	heart 172:3
26:21 30:1	194:25 197:12	196:19	181:21
35:20 38:7 49:1	grounded 77:14	happening 184:7	held 16:24 18:20
51:13,19 52:3	group 4:16 6:11	happy 65:22	19:20 65:10
58:12 63:10	11:8,24 23:12,13	79:25 103:22	84:7,11 120:18
67:14 70:3,6	23:15,17 58:25	183:10,14	127:21 155:3
73:4 77:6 88:20	104:12,14,17	198:22	157:14 175:23
89:16 90:10	122:1	hard 67:14	180:24 181:24
91:5 100:18,25	groups 122:24	135:1	182:3
109:9 113:5,11	guess 124:10	hardcopy 127:14	hello 171:15
113:18 114:6,15	143:18,23 144:5	128:5	help 194:17
114:19,20	147:17 156:18	hate 13:23	helps 192:22
115:19 116:20	guidance 55:10	113:25	196:19
117:15 121:16	177:11	hb 144:24 172:5	hereinafter 8:4
122:3 153:23	guys 10:5 37:22	192:3 193:15	heretofore
157:13 161:23	h	head 17:19,23	171:12
		21 20 22 7	hereunto 203:5
167:6 168:24	h.r. 34:9 46:24	31:20 32:7	
169:7,8 170:13	h.r. 34:9 46:24 49:19 124:16	83:21	hesitation 57:25
169:7,8 170:13 171:3,4 180:12	49:19 124:16	83:21 hear 10:5,10,11	hesitation 57:25 hey 10:2 54:3
169:7,8 170:13 171:3,4 180:12 183:18,19,23	49:19 124:16 129:25 131:6	83:21 hear 10:5,10,11 13:24,25 32:11	hesitation 57:25
169:7,8 170:13 171:3,4 180:12	49:19 124:16	83:21 hear 10:5,10,11	hesitation 57:25 hey 10:2 54:3

[high - improper]

high 4:20 112:8	182:7 183:14	77:12 80:3	74:17 76:15
highlight 123:25	185:13,14 186:8	191:20 197:2	igs 11:14,16
highly 175:14	186:17,21	hop 186:6	108:4,8,16
hires 132:19	187:24 189:17	194:19	igs.com 5:17
history 14:3,25	192:13,21 193:2	hope 99:25	illinois 2:10
16:7 191:25	193:4,7,10,21	horse 167:22	illuminating 1:7
hmm 97:6	194:4,9,18	hour 169:6,10,23	9:5 204:7 205:3
hoc 84:23	197:17 198:9,24	180:13 182:10	206:3
hold 48:8 153:25	200:7	house 75:23	immediate
162:4 163:15	home 63:4 102:4	85:12 96:16	186:12
167:1	102:10 105:1	97:1 116:9	immediately
hollingsworth	homeserve 111:6	125:16 135:19	137:4 171:5
3:14 8:24,25	111:10	135:20 144:10	183:2
26:19 38:17	honest 178:21	144:16 145:5	impact 72:12
52:21 62:19	honestly 164:14	147:17,20	185:20
77:2 79:3,11	honor 58:23	149:10,19 150:3	impacts 77:7
81:5 82:2,17	60:5 62:2 65:19	177:24 191:6	implement
85:13,21 86:7,12	66:8 67:22	192:25	130:13
87:2,10,20 88:17	68:24 69:15	housed 125:25	implemented
89:12 90:15,21	70:1,13 71:6,17	129:18 131:23	77:16 130:16
91:2,16 92:4	72:24 75:18	hubay 6:20	implementing
93:4,19 94:15,25	76:11 77:2,17	hubaydougher	92:15
95:7,15 96:2,19	79:3 161:10	6:24	implicate 80:23
97:15 98:5	173:2,25 176:14	human 33:5,17	importance 39:7
112:10,18 113:5	176:24 177:7,9	33:18 124:3	55:18 75:9
114:9,17 115:13	177:20 181:16	127:9	185:24
116:20 117:5	181:21 183:17	hypothetical	important 91:7
142:19 143:1	186:22 189:18	134:3,6 146:6,13	91:7 172:25
144:12,18 145:7	189:19 190:2,10	i	impossible 55:22
145:13 149:13	190:19 192:22	idea 27:3 112:16	imprecise
149:21 157:9,18	193:5 194:24	112:17 115:12	109:11
159:5 160:7,17	195:18 197:17	115:14 180:5	improper 21:1
162:4,9,13,23	198:25 199:3,25	identified 12:2	35:13,13 36:23
163:2,12,17,24	200:8	142:18	40:12 41:1 50:7
164:2,9,19 165:5	honors 54:9 56:9	identify 119:3	55:7 59:21
165:13,19 166:2	57:16,21 60:25	ieu 6:2 11:18,20	62:15,18 88:8
167:1 168:9,17	61:14 62:19	28:22 58:7	89:22 91:1,11
170:3,8 171:17	65:15 75:13	20.22 20.7	92:23 93:1,17

0404056060		150 0 150 0 10	(1.2.00.01
94:24 95:6 96:9	indicate 83:17	173:8 178:9,19	61:2 89:21
109:18 110:5	120:8	179:4,24 180:21	instructing
175:10	indicated 41:18	181:4 183:15,23	28:11 36:13,15
improperly 55:3	135:17 153:21	184:4,13 185:9	52:3,6 90:19
70:8 179:8	156:19 157:1	185:17 187:19	99:9,12
inaction 40:11	161:13 180:25	187:25 188:6	instruction
40:22 96:8	184:24 196:15	190:23 191:5,14	37:14 93:20
inadvertently	199:13	192:10 193:12	94:16,21 95:1,3
65:4	indicating 195:4	193:23,24	95:8,10 96:5,24
inappropriate	204:14	194:13 195:23	116:24 117:6,8
41:8 56:6 61:24	indirect 43:22	196:6,13	117:25 149:22
89:8,24 90:5	142:16 143:13	informed 183:2	160:8,18,20
117:24 166:19	individuals	184:17	instructions
175:14,19,20,22	174:19	initial 29:22	142:1 201:2
inaudible 76:7	indulging 197:3	42:20,23 116:19	intended 65:24
inclination	industrial 6:2	initially 154:12	77:18 184:25
112:13,19	influenced 40:12	initiated 199:5	intent 60:13
inclined 94:5	41:1 96:8	initiative 127:16	162:20 169:12
115:9	inform 172:14	inquire 155:25	interaction 84:5
include 44:11	182:10,25	inquiries 156:12	interactions
50:6 54:24	198:13	161:1	67:11
92:21	information	ins 109:25	interest 181:14
included 194:15	27:16,20 43:7	insider 31:17	187:14 188:12
204:14	55:6 56:17	insist 65:16	interested 26:24
including 74:11	58:10,14 62:9	instance 74:5	203:3
74:15,19 172:5	64:9 65:3 80:24	76:13	interfere 39:8
inconsistent	89:13 90:16	instances 139:23	55:19 75:10
188:19	92:8 93:8,11,23	instruct 35:23	77:22 179:20
incorporated	94:18 96:21	60:2,3 86:25	181:14,23 183:7
206:12	98:10,23 109:2	87:21 88:21	184:15 185:25
incumbent 77:11	109:20 113:8,13	89:1,17 90:16	187:20 188:1,8
incur 143:12	113:22 138:10	92:5 93:5 94:3	188:13 192:11
incurred 142:16	138:20 139:8	96:22 98:8	interference
144:8	142:6,22 143:5	113:6,12 116:14	66:12 76:21,22
incurring 143:21	145:17 149:15	116:21 117:15	interfering
index 7:1	156:6,17 157:12	197:25	179:25 180:2
indexed 126:10	157:22 158:3	instructed 37:11	interject 181:13
	159:9,16 162:2	54:18 59:12	
			1

[internal - joint] Page 22

internal 32:7	77:8,10 79:18	54:13 59:23	january 106:14
33:20 34:9	84:4,4 85:10,17	62:15 64:17	107:3 154:12,20
43:11 46:24	87:8,13 88:19	69:25 70:21,23	154:21 157:6
47:1 88:18	107:9 128:10,13	71:1,2,5,7 73:5	160:5
105:4 124:3,4,17	172:14 177:4	73:17,18 74:11	jewelers 14:12
128:9 129:24	179:21 180:1,3	75:17 76:2 77:1	17:13
132:6,8,9 135:9	180:24 181:15	78:1 79:6	jewelry 14:22
141:25 142:10	181:23 183:7	106:16 113:25	jim 29:21
148:13	185:21 187:6,21	148:7,22 149:11	job 50:6
internally 139:3	188:1,14	150:8 152:2	jody 6:13 11:24
interpret 71:8	investigations	171:21 172:24	joe 11:15 100:9
interpretation	34:1,4,13 39:8	174:12 175:8,21	100:15,17
53:13	64:19 75:9	176:4 179:6	103:12 111:2
interpreting	77:23 172:4	186:13 189:22	112:20 113:23
69:6	177:23 178:2,4	196:25 198:2,12	114:11 115:22
interrupt 13:24	185:25 186:1	issued 12:19	118:13 121:15
16:4 58:20	188:8 192:12	40:8 62:21,22	joe.oliker 5:17
163:16 167:8	invoice 105:18	74:8 125:10	john 2:15 8:7
interstate 5:11	invoiced 120:6	issues 25:13 26:7	9:17,23 10:2
investigate	invoices 35:13	26:20 37:10	11:7 13:23 16:3
32:20 33:19,21	119:18 120:9	53:21 54:21	23:22 24:21
33:22 37:24	involve 159:16	58:9,16 66:16	54:3,10 65:20
75:3	involved 20:25	69:4 74:23	78:9 80:17
investigated	21:1 23:4 59:17	75:15,25 76:6,8	99:24 162:9,14
33:11	59:19 61:11	79:7 81:3 86:22	162:23 165:1,13
investigating	62:10 91:9	114:22 124:15	170:16 173:3
32:16	94:14 118:5	126:6 133:3,13	john.finnigan
investigation	119:17 120:23	133:14 139:18	2:23
25:21 32:21	132:13 141:3	139:24 149:20	join 54:1,19 80:1
33:12 35:21	involvement	150:1 161:3	117:25 198:22
38:6 39:9,14	30:15 57:13	173:24 174:2,24	joined 15:11
52:9,10,18 55:18	168:6	182:12,16 187:5	171:24
55:19 56:5 58:2	involves 25:13	189:25 191:7	joining 8:20,22
61:18,25 62:23	54:22 163:6,7	items 34:8 192:2	54:10 55:14
63:8 66:13,17	involving 19:8	j	74:6 171:19
67:13 69:8	issue 33:18,20	j 3:14	joins 117:18
73:23 74:10,19	33:22 36:5,7,18	J 3.17	joint 98:2,14,16
75:11,16 76:22	48:12 53:18		

[jonathan - lape] Page 23

: a = a4la = : 4 10	-	75.0 76 0 17 10	100.24 126 11
jonathan 4:19	k	75:2 76:8,17,19	109:24 136:11
jones 3:3,13 8:25	karin 5:21 12:4	81:11,19,22 82:1	140:8,12,16
9:4 19:2 85:5,10	keep 25:24 47:8	82:7,8,14,19	143:12 146:4
87:6,8 88:2,8,11	76:6 87:16	83:20 87:1,15,23	174:10
89:4,7,11 90:12	100:20,22	89:24 90:3,4	known 100:16
93:2,18 94:14	111:15 114:22	91:8 94:7 95:19	104:8 105:1
120:23 154:15	167:15	97:18 100:1,22	124:11 131:17
154:22 156:1	keeping 180:20	101:23 103:13	149:1
157:5 158:8	kept 48:25	103:14 104:12	knows 52:15
159:21 160:4,15	127:13 128:19	104:13 105:3,20	146:10
172:6	147:12 148:5	106:14 107:20	koslen 3:15
jonesday.com	184:14	107:24 108:24	10:19,19
3:10,20	kevin 32:14,18	110:16,17,19	kurt 1:24 202:6
joseph 5:12	125:11	112:20 115:7,19	203:14
judge 37:5	kim 11:6 58:24	118:14 120:10	kurtz 6:12
175:13	68:24 121:9,16	120:24,25 121:2	kyler 6:13
judgment	121:24 173:25	121:23 122:22	1
198:21	195:18	124:25 126:17	lack 143:8
july 1:18 203:8	kimberly 4:18	126:25 128:4,14	laid 178:23
204:4	kind 14:21 37:19	130:8,21 134:2,5	198:17
jump 37:15	59:13 122:24	137:25 140:19	lakeside 3:7,17
161:19 176:25	125:15 127:15	140:20 141:5,16	language 53:8
june 12:20 64:3	127:17	141:16,19	83:13,14,20
64:24	knew 133:6	142:15 145:22	lape 2:5 8:17,17
jurisdiction	174:13	145:24 146:1,13	8:21,23 15:18
166:19 178:1	know 8:11 10:8	148:15,25	17:24 19:10,25
179:7	17:18 18:8 20:5	149:17 150:6	20:21 21:4,14
jurisdictional	22:12,13 24:22	152:5 155:2,3,6	22:1 23:6 25:17
102:4,13 103:2,9	25:4,12 26:20	155:7,9,11,14	26:9,14 27:8,21
105:7,17 107:20	36:13 37:22	160:9 166:3,16	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
108:1,6,10,16,22	41:13 45:24	169:24 173:3,20	28:7,13,17,19,23
109:2,21 110:6	47:20 48:21	174:18 181:21	33:13 35:8,19,25
110:14		182:10 187:12	36:3,11,15,25
justice 39:13	49:18,22 50:16	194:8 196:10	37:10 38:24
58:2 187:6,15	50:20 52:5	knowing 19:16	39:4,15,21,24
,	53:20 54:25	52:12 63:22	40:14 41:3,15,22
	56:1,10 63:22	knowledge 40:23	42:4 43:24
	67:13,14,15,15	97:9 102:17	44:18 45:11,15
	67:23 71:13	> 1.5 IV=11	

[lape - llp] Page 24

45:23 48:15,20 larger 189:5 127:9 128:9 limiting 173: 49:5 50:10 larry 2:17 9:16 131:6 133:19 limits 62:7,13 51:13,17,23,25 late 171:20 134:1,7 135:5,5 191:4 52:6,14,23 53:7 latitude 25:3 147:21,23 148:2 lindgren 4:3	3,20
51:13,17,23,25 late 171:20 134:1,7 135:5,5 191:4 52:6,14,23 53:7 latitude 25:3 147:21,23 148:2 lindgren 4:3	3
52:6,14,23 53:7 latitude 25:3 147:21,23 148:2 lindgren 4:3	
55:12,13 56:21 launched 48:2 148:6,21 149:10 10:23,24 54:	:23
60:23 61:14 128:3 150:18 151:1 line 21:14 25	
63:13 66:7,10 law 11:21 13:2,4 152:6 204:1 26:10 31:15,	22
67:4 68:10,15,22 15:2,3,3 30:12 207:1 31:25 32:3,1	7
70:10 76:11 30:19 31:4 70:3 legislation 81:24 34:12 35:6,1	2,17
80:6,16,25 81:7 70:4,5 73:15,16 legislative 16:17 36:9 58:19 6	4:1
84:13 85:7,15 lawful 8:1 19:18 21:21 64:15 65:17	
86:18 87:12 laws 24:1 30:24 legislators 19:8 66:23 88:16	
88:21 89:14,20 45:20 166:21 81:24 99:6,7,15 11	3:20
90:7,18 92:2 lawyer 30:3 legitimate 55:11 113:20 123:2	1
93:9,21 94:20 138:7 leila 18:1 123:22 124:1	6
95:2,9,17 96:4 lay 174:9 leland 4:17 11:9 125:7,10,20	
96:11,23 97:3,10 lead 64:9 93:10 leming 4:7 11:2 126:19 131:1	,14
97:21 98:6,8,21 172:13 lengths 176:2 138:17 150:1	3
99:2,5,12 103:11 leading 67:24 letter 60:16 194:10 197:2	0.
104:1 111:25	'
113:11 115:8 learned 158:3,19 128:11,21 207:3	
116:23 117:7 159:17 162:2 204:20 lines 43:13 6	7:6
129:9 130:9 leave 136:8 letting 91:19 87:16 126:5	
131:25 132:16 197:14 level 35:6 90:5 172:20	
133:10,24 leaving 79:6 112:8 189:3 link 126:2	
134:17 136:14 130:17 levels 17:21 lipps 4:17 11	:8
136:16,19 137:9 led 41:21 87:9 120:16 list 10:17 51:	15
138:14 139:6 146:12 leverage 101:25 listed 206:7,1	7
140:24 142:3 lee 13:3 liberty 77:9 listing 206:7	
143:15 144:14 left 16:10 121:15 license 157:8 litigation 185	:4
146:5 148:24 legal 13:13,17,20 lifted 74:18 77:5 185:11	
149:4 150:5,23	3
151:12 154:14	
156:14 158:2,18 17:22,23 18:13 lightly 76:6 115:20 147:1	8
158:25 159:14 18:14,16,17,24 limited 74:15 157:2 196:1	
159:25 160:19	
161:7 166:6 45:12 73:21 194:12 llp 2:4 4:17 5	:4
167:2 204:5 84:24 124:2,16 11:13	

landad 125.10			
loaded 135:18	magic 166:4	market 103:9	meagher 2:4
lobbying 19:18	maiden 29:10	107:25 109:2,20	mean 42:8 53:1
82:13 179:9	mail 56:18 57:11	110:5	53:5 57:25 81:7
lobbyist 19:5	62:5 107:2	marketed	89:23 94:2
21:21 82:6,16	125:15,18 126:1	107:21	96:10 143:19
lobbyists 19:12	maintain 62:12	marketing 105:6	
19:17	160:10	155:21	167:11 190:13
located 14:19	maintained	marking 126:14	meaning 97:13
log 76:10 80:8	48:18 125:19,25	married 29:7	means 65:2
logic 77:15	127:18	material 182:21	69:21 72:22
long 18:2 100:16	maintaining	materially	141:19
177:3	43:17	185:20	mechanisms
longer 27:19	maintenance	matt 10:4 11:20	124:19
198:7	119:4	81:12	media 80:22
look 53:3 61:8	major 189:21	matter 1:5 25:25	95:11 176:1
106:12 110:9	making 65:3	28:9 35:21 56:1	meet 81:23
118:17 119:11	119:24 135:3	56:8 57:4 61:24	meeting 19:22
looking 74:7	164:16 195:11	62:24 63:1,5,6	meetings 34:23
lost 136:13	management	70:14 76:20	members 48:4
lot 30:20 80:21	14:15 48:4	96:17 149:7	memorializes
161:12 177:2	manager 155:7	151:1 163:8	190:6
loudly 10:7,14	manner 145:11	166:12,15	memory 30:9
89:18	manufacturers	174:11 185:18	31:3 92:11
low 64:8	4:15 11:7	matters 25:21	106:22,24 140:3
lowry 6:12	121:25	38:5 53:14 56:4	mention 9:11
lunch 100:2,6	manufacturers's	58:1 61:9,18	32:10 131:2
162:2 168:8	58:24	62:20 71:13	mentioned 15:2
m	marcella.lape	177:24 179:19	16:10 17:12
m 1:24 202:6	2:12	183:5 184:25	31:21 37:7
203:14	marcie 2:5 8:16	matthew 6:4	46:20 69:8
	8:17 204:5	maureen 2:16	90:24 121:9
ma'am 8:6 12:21	margard 4:4	8:9 37:21	125:5 137:11
14:2 16:6 27:23	10:25	maureen.willis	148:3
39:12,17 80:13	mark 65:24	2:24	merely 45:21
81:11 91:21	104:8 106:1	mcnees 6:3	merger 13:11
92:9	marked 7:15	11:21	merits 177:8
madam 204:11	196:8	mcneeslaw.com	message 158:7
		6:9	0

[messages - non] Page 26

	1		
messages 57:11	misinterpreting	motion 12:18	necessary 74:20
159:20	69:5	64:4 167:19	119:4
met 19:7 20:2	misrepresentat	180:7,9 197:3,9	need 10:7 20:5
45:21 81:13,15	59:1	197:12,13	28:18,19,24
method 126:13	misrepresenting	move 59:24	35:22 52:4 53:5
methods 107:21	56:22	67:15 81:10	67:14 81:9
123:24	missing 48:13	161:18 190:1	83:14 89:17
michael 3:5,15	mistake 38:10	195:17 198:1	91:6 138:5,18
10:19	modernization	moved 171:11	141:23 142:4
mickelson	140:21	176:12 189:1,15	147:18 159:1
119:21	modify 176:18	199:16,18,23	163:2 164:10
midwest 204:18	moment 38:22	mpritchard 6:9	186:11 193:23
207:1	57:18 58:18	mulling 177:15	195:25 198:23
mike 2:18 9:3,18	191:11	n	200:4
9:24 10:2,7,8,14	moments 72:11	n 17:15	needed 132:4
18:16 23:22,23	137:5	name 8:7 9:11	135:7 166:3
94:24	monday 197:6	29:7,11,13 32:11	needs 100:10
miller 29:14	197:10	32:13 40:3	179:10
million 55:2	money 140:6,11	42:14 47:19	negotiate 28:1,5
91:10	140:17,22 141:2	51:12,20 81:12	negotiations
minute 106:12	141:6,12,15,17	82:9 100:15	23:19 27:7,9,17
118:16	141:20,23 142:2	104:11,12,12	27:24 28:15,22
minutes 34:22	142:12 147:13	121:23 122:23	29:2 67:12
35:1 63:11	monitoring	154:7 204:6	never 77:3 147:8
71:20 78:3,4,6	122:9 123:15	205:3,4,15 206:3	158:11,20
99:17 111:5	133:2 134:23	205.3,4,13 200.3	159:18 167:10
misallocated	146:15,23	named 202:9	news 80:22
24:10 25:14	months 137:18	named 202.9	nix 194:10
26:3,5 37:9 57:7	morning 8:6	79:13 187:2,8	non 21:11 50:4,9
misallocations	10:23 11:19,23	nature 33:14	50:13 102:4,13
54:24 62:18	12:4 55:13	49:7 57:8 78:19	102:23 103:2,9
88:9 91:1,9,11	123:23 161:13	108:11 187:11	105:7,17 107:20
mischaracteriz	162:8,12 171:8	nauseam 79:22	108:1,6,10,16,22
170:5	171:13 180:14	nauseam /9:22 near 60:20	109:2,21 110:6
mischaracterize	191:23		110:14 141:10
53:9	morning's 168:2	nearly 53:4	156:13,19
misheard 136:6	190:12,13	necessarily 118:3	160:24 176:19
		110.3	187:19,25 188:6
			·

[non - office] Page 27

190:23 191:2,13	november 14:7	36:11 39:15,21	160:19 170:4,21
192:9 193:12,17	85:2 122:4	40:14 41:3,5,9	172:20
193:22,24	129:8 135:14	41:15,22,24 42:4	objections
194:13 195:23	152:15	43:24 44:18	157:16 158:22
196:13	nrdc 11:14	45:11,15,23	159:2,4
nopec 11:10,12	number 13:19	48:15,20 49:5	objects 100:21
154:8 176:15	54:4 65:23	50:10 51:23,24	142:25
nordstrom 5:21	91:10 123:1	56:21 80:16	obligated 73:11
12:4,5	131:17 204:8,14	82:17 84:13	obligations 94:9
normal 151:22	numbers 206:7	85:7,13 86:7,12	172:12 182:25
north 2:8 3:6,16	nurick 6:3 11:21	86:18 87:4,10,12	obtaining 185:9
4:20	0	89:12,14 90:15	occ 9:14,15,16
northeast 5:2	o'clock 121:7,11	90:18 92:1,2,4	9:18 54:11 58:9
notarized 204:15	o'conner 2:7	93:9,19 94:15,20	63:2 65:20
notary 1:24	o'connor 8:22,23	94:25 95:2,7,9	75:14 77:3
202:6 203:14	oath 195:4	95:15,17 96:2,4	78:11 99:21
204:25 205:10	object 30:2 82:3	96:11,18,19,23	117:18 172:2
205:18 206:15	85:23 86:25	97:3,4,10,21,24	173:3 177:6
206:23 207:23	93:4 109:10	98:5,6,7,20,21	183:2 185:6
note 41:9 47:10	110:7 113:6,12	99:2,11 103:11	197:3
47:12 63:20	116:21 133:9	103:12,13,21	occ's 176:15
64:12,19 79:17	138:4 142:20	116:23 117:4,5,7	occ.ohio.gov
117:9,12 158:5	143:3 147:2	117:19 129:9	2:23,24
167:10 171:9	148:23 151:11	130:9 131:25	occur 27:13 59:7
184:17 204:13	156:4 157:10	132:16 133:10	88:3,9
noted 10:4 68:1	158:10 167:14	133:24 134:17	occurred 59:7
126:15 157:22	184:2	136:14,16,18	59:20 85:10
159:11 181:13	objected 76:12	137:9 139:6	110:18 137:14
181:18	157:19 159:6,10	140:23,24,25	139:10
notes 118:17	157:19 139:0,10	142:3,13 143:2	occurring 25:9
notice 137:8	177:3	143:15 144:1,11	oeg 11:22
184:3	objecting 138:10	144:12,14,18	offer 102:19
notified 39:12,19	167:10	145:6,7 146:5	108:5,9
49:2,4 98:25	objection 17:24	148:24 149:4,13	offered 102:3,6
180:11	19:10,25 22:1	149:16,21 150:5	104:5
notify 10:13	23:6 28:7,17,23	150:23 151:12	office 8:7 10:22
169:23	33:13 35:8,19	154:14 157:16	12:8 25:22
	33.13 33.0,17	157:23 160:7,17	35:22 52:10

[office - order] Page 28

53:16 55:20	obio 1.2 5 10 21	204:2,6 205:3	112.17 114.4 12
56:5 61:19 63:8	ohio 1:2,5,10,21 2:14,21 3:2,8,18	204.2,6 203.3	113:17 114:4,12 116:8 118:2,16
66:14 75:11	, , ,	ohio's 101:19	128:2
	4:2,11,15,22 5:2	141:8 188:17	oma 11:5 174:1
130:15,18	5:7,15,19,24 6:2		
168:19 172:4	6:7,11,16,19,23	ohioattorneyg	176:16 177:6
175:12 180:18	8:3,8 9:4 10:22	4:13	once 32:18 35:22
185:19 203:6	11:7,20,24 12:5	okay 9:25 10:6	ones 8:15 22:18
officer 13:16	12:7,10 13:10	10:15 12:11,24	94:5 187:15
18:21 31:13,23	14:20 19:24	16:15 17:20	ongoing 61:25
35:11 43:9 44:8	21:25 22:7 24:2	20:17 21:20	62:23 77:8,23
45:14,16 46:7,22	28:22 29:17	26:14,17,18	172:3,12 183:7
47:25 48:8,9	30:12,23 31:4,8	28:25 36:4 38:8	188:13
59:16 82:22	31:9 37:25	38:21 42:7,16	open 166:14
83:3,5,6,11,24	39:10 42:2 43:4	51:17,21 52:11	operate 119:5
84:12,20 91:22	43:18 44:5,12,17	54:6 63:10,19	166:18 184:12
92:15 94:12,23	45:5,10,20 46:2	74:3 77:24	operated 16:23
95:5 98:13	46:2,4,8,17 47:4	90:12 98:19	operating
122:4 127:4,7	48:14,18 50:25	99:19 107:1,7,14	103:16,16,23
129:7 130:13	51:8 55:4,21	111:24 113:17	105:8 115:23
131:21 133:3	58:8,24 59:22	118:17,23 121:5	119:18 120:1,15
134:10 137:22	60:12 64:11	121:21 122:5,6,7	operation 119:3
138:12,16	74:17 75:12	128:17,25	opinion 45:12
139:20,25 147:1	76:15 82:21,23	130:25 135:8	opportunity
147:7,9 149:2	82:23 83:11,18	136:12 145:1,18	141:22 167:18
150:22 151:9,24	83:24 84:6,10	151:22 152:9	order 12:19
152:4,13,19,23	101:16,24	154:4 156:15,23	25:19 28:10
152:25 153:3,6	103:15,17 105:8	160:2 161:18	37:16,17,20,20
154:11,11	106:5 108:9	164:11,25	38:2,12,22 39:5
155:25	121:25 140:10	165:10,23	52:1,22,24 53:2
offices 181:7	141:5,10 142:15	170:13,24 190:9	53:3,8,13,21
official 160:24	143:25 144:9	193:8 196:18,24	55:17 56:8
178:15 205:15	145:22 146:16	198:24 200:2	57:22 58:15
206:21	146:23 147:7	oliker 5:12 7:8	62:22 68:16
officials 19:22	148:6 150:4,9	11:15,15 58:17	69:2,5 70:19
20:3,8 21:25	152:2 154:24	60:5 75:18,21	71:8 73:3 75:8
157:1	155:12 156:1,8	99:24 100:13,15	99:14 119:10
oh 190:7	157:1 188:15,16	109:5 110:22	124:9,10 138:20
	202:2,7 203:7,15	111:1 112:4,22	150:2 166:4

[order - period] Page 29

167:15 174:9	р	participated	pat 8:21 40:4
180:25	p.m. 171:8	29:4 143:22	patently 182:12
ordered 74:12	200:10	participating	185:8
84:9,14,15	page 106:18,20	9:10,15,21 29:20	patrick 2:6
orders 68:21	107:2 194:20	116:4 183:21	paying 187:13
69:14 79:23	204:14,16 206:7	184:10	payment 35:13
organization	207:3	participation	payments 35:14
9:11,12 51:22	pages 62:4 67:21	24:3	55:23 56:3,15
127:11 149:8	179:23	particular 66:23	58:11 67:11
originated 21:10	paid 153:11	66:23 70:2	69:9 144:22
ought 116:2	paper 48:19	76:20 106:16	145:3,21,23
117:24	57:15 127:18	192:18 198:12	146:3,12,14
outcome 203:3	paperwork	198:19 199:17	147:14,16
outright 57:25	49:10,12	particularly	168:14 174:19
outs 109:25	paragraph 38:24	186:12	178:10,13,14
outside 18:23	39:6 53:11 69:2	parties 27:25	187:4,12,13
19:1 27:25 28:8	75:8	43:12 64:1,7,21	191:7 192:25
57:5 86:15,16	paragraphs	65:7 73:12	193:15
93:12 97:22	64:24	78:11 99:22	pending 20:16
103:2 104:21	parent 111:9	144:23 145:4	26:12 159:1
147:21 148:2,6	119:12,14	161:16 168:11	160:1 161:1
149:9 151:15,17	parkway 1:20,21	173:6,11,17,19	people 8:14 9:9
151:19,20,21	5:14	184:10 188:23	20:18 33:24
160:20,22 164:3	part 21:8 40:12	189:4 190:8	43:14 75:25
164:5,22	40:22 41:10	198:7,18,21	76:5 77:9 92:22
overlapped	47:24 52:17	party 20:16	123:5 130:1
45:18	54:23 87:8	25:10 32:4 98:4	174:11
overruled 68:20	88:15 151:6	125:5,9,22,24	perform 81:20
oversaw 23:11	168:25 169:3,18	126:3 127:25	82:12 84:19
23:15 31:8,14,16	177:25 195:24	129:16 130:7,11	86:10 87:7
147:5	199:9 206:9	130:14 131:8,23	performed 83:23
overseeing 13:19	parte 20:11,19	132:22 133:5,15	period 22:11
31:4 50:2	21:2,24 175:13	134:13,19,24	42:4 48:20 83:1
oversight 50:7	participate	135:11 146:1	85:4 137:13
owned 178:11	23:18 27:12	151:15,18 152:7	153:4 154:10,12
	28:14,21 101:8	156:25 184:4	154:18 155:5,12
	153:24 169:20	191:19 195:8	155:15 160:16
	155.27 107.20	203:3	
	1		

permissible 37:1	pick 89:19	plural 168:21	169:17 172:24
110:11,11 172:1	picture 77:25	po 6:22	180:22 181:21
186:23 189:7	189:6	point 3:6,16	182:1 183:3,9
196:16 197:24	pile 181:6	24:19 25:2	186:19
199:22	place 60:20	26:11 28:13	positions 19:20
permit 108:16	75:22 105:13	29:1 42:18	155:4 156:1,21
188:9 192:8	108:25 123:17	53:15 56:7	176:16
permitted 64:2	123:25 126:22	70:15 71:16	possession 49:11
64:12 78:13	130:11,15,19	73:6,7 86:21	49:24 137:13
79:14 108:5	180:7,12 184:18	94:9 108:4	possible 54:23
178:6 186:19	184:22 202:20	113:24 167:16	100:23 151:13
		172:25 182:4	151:15
187:22 188:4,9	placed 140:17,22 141:17		
193:18	-	183:18	post 116:11
permitting 66:19	places 131:8	policies 101:24	posted 131:18
79:4 85:20	plaintiffs 185:3	policy 93:25	potential 70:18
person 50:19	185:10	political 142:17	71:11,15 74:14
92:22 131:3,13	plan 22:16 23:1	143:13	74:22 76:21
149:6 153:21	23:5 29:22 42:2	pool 140:6,11,18	123:18 138:2,25
197:22	42:10,21,24 43:1	140:22 141:2,7	139:23 187:5
person's 32:11	43:3,19 44:21	141:12,15,18,20	potentially 77:9
32:13 49:17	78:14 79:1	141:23 142:2,12	192:11
personal 97:14	82:21,23 83:7,12	poorly 131:11	practice 181:11
personally 46:13	83:16,24 84:7,10	portion 65:5,10	practices 142:11
205:11 206:15	137:24 138:3	135:23 159:24	precaution
persons 62:10	139:4,15,19	portions 145:21	65:16
pertaining 30:13	146:18,25	177:16	precise 103:19
64:18 187:18	149:12	posed 61:20	precisely 45:18
phase 66:21	plans 161:20	113:10 172:8	63:7
phone 11:17	plant 4:5 12:6,6	186:10	precludes 69:2
53:6 54:4 71:9	played 42:19	position 13:6,21	predate 177:3
79:25 175:12	please 10:8,12	14:6,13 15:23	preference 100:4
181:19 183:13	12:3 13:5 21:17	16:13,15,24 17:7	112:10 197:21
204:3	56:25 79:10	46:23 49:17	198:15
phonetic 101:2	100:21 111:3	59:25 72:20	preferred
physical 127:12	152:14 162:9	92:20 114:10,18	197:20
physically	167:7 169:22	120:17 122:7	prejudge 60:17
128:17	204:12,12	130:12 147:11	preliminary
		155:14 156:8	107:8

[preparation - public]

preparation	98:3 145:12	172:21 177:4	196:4
30:21	158:9,11,20	process 59:17,18	prosecutor
prepare 181:1	160:11	118:20 120:5,13	172:13 186:13
prepared 34:22	privileged 30:5	123:17 126:21	protect 179:11
177:19 198:7	43:6 87:13	126:24 131:7	protected 75:6
presence 202:15	88:18,19 89:13	135:9 151:23	protection
present 71:18	90:16 92:7 93:7	195:6,15	167:20
181:7	93:8,11,23 94:18	processing 34:5	protective
presented 63:25	96:21 98:10,22	produced 64:21	167:14
preserve 74:13	113:8,13 138:6	66:21 67:8 68:1	provide 44:16
president 14:14	138:10,19 139:8	68:2 71:22	46:12 69:24
155:20	142:5,22 143:5	72:14,17 162:18	103:9 108:21
presumably	145:16 149:15	168:12	119:6 135:6,10
128:4	156:6,17 157:11	product 105:17	138:19 178:9
pretext 58:13	157:21 158:17	107:22 130:15	183:15 186:7
pretty 52:15	159:9	production	189:3 190:15
73:6 77:7 81:2	probe 25:1	204:16,18,23	provided 8:2
141:13	problem 10:9	products 102:5	40:9 44:8 46:7
prevent 76:9	187:7	104:10 155:19	46:10,12 105:16
108:25 185:15	procedural	professional	110:15 133:5
prevented 185:8	197:4,18 199:4	165:22 166:5	134:13,24 196:7
previous 172:15	procedure 8:3	program 47:16	provides 189:4
previously	201:7 205:5	47:19 92:10,16	providing
144:21 172:8	206:5	93:16 94:14	195:16
price 38:9	proceed 27:3	prompted 75:16	provision 144:20
primary 26:8	64:5 65:23	proper 59:8 60:3	public 1:1,24 5:3
prior 55:17 56:8	100:2 181:1	60:16 61:20	19:23 22:7
68:20 69:13	proceeding	180:16,23	29:16 52:14
79:23 130:17	24:23 36:19	properly 51:8	56:11 63:5 65:4
152:18 188:20	37:2,12 53:19	60:1,3 174:9	84:15 99:21,23
191:6 196:15,19	59:15 61:12	197:13	100:1,5,21
pritchard 6:4	63:23 64:4,15	propose 137:22	101:15 112:7
10:4 11:19,20	66:22 79:18,22	prosecution	116:2 117:14,23
private 166:23	121:24 170:11	172:4 178:12	118:7,9 121:4,8
176:19	175:5,6 189:7	184:16 187:20	121:14,16,17
privilege 30:6	proceedings	188:7 192:10,24	145:19 153:22
68:3 85:18,22	57:24 59:10	193:13,14,25	154:2 158:14
87:4,11 88:23	166:13,22	194:5,14,16	159:24 161:14

161:17,24 163:4	pump 192:17	94:9,16 95:23	59:3,10 60:6,11
163:8 165:6,12	purchased	98:18,19 104:1	61:20,22,23
166:10,10,12,20	143:23	109:4,8 110:21	62:17 63:23,24
166:20,21,22	purely 191:16	110:25 111:3	64:12,22 65:8,13
167:7,16,16	purpose 56:2	117:1 120:2	66:16,19,22
168:1,4 169:1,3	78:17 79:17	126:23 131:11	67:10,17,24 68:4
169:5,9,12,19,25	101:19 164:21	132:3 135:2	69:3,4,9,21 70:3
170:12,14 171:4	purposes 64:13	136:10 138:20	70:5 71:21 72:9
171:13 173:13	190:18	140:13 143:4,7	72:13 77:4
173:15 175:24	pursuant 12:18	143:10,11,17,19	78:12,19,25 79:2
176:1,11,13,17	64:10 172:11	144:8 145:10,15	79:4,12 80:21,23
176:21 177:12	201:3,6	145:20 156:15	81:3 87:25
178:15,17,20,24	pursuing 87:16	156:18,23	88:10,18,22,25
179:4 180:21	push 100:5	157:10,20	90:22,25 91:3,15
181:24 183:24	166:15	158:25 159:8,21	91:17 94:4
184:4 187:19,25	put 24:21,24	160:1,10 161:10	99:20,23,25
188:6,21 189:2	42:21 69:15	170:17 186:22	100:19,22
189:15 190:23	80:18 108:16	190:20 191:12	110:13 112:2,5,6
191:2,13 192:5,9	114:15 128:19	197:18,23	112:11,23
193:12,17,22,24	128:20 167:22	questioned	113:10,14,21
194:13 195:6,23	182:18	37:12 191:14	114:5,14 116:2,6
195:25 196:13	putting 114:7	questioning 27:4	116:9,11,14
199:9,16,18,23	q	54:14 55:11	117:11,12,16,23
202:6 203:14	qualified 202:8	57:5 64:2,15	118:15,18 121:3
205:10,18	quash 64:4	65:17 66:2,24	122:2 138:11
206:15,23	180:8,10	72:22 86:24	153:10,18,21
207:23	question 22:2,4	88:16 99:7,15	154:2,9 156:9,11
publically	24:12 26:12,18	121:8,16,18	156:20 157:13
191:18,20	27:22,25 35:25	172:20 173:11	157:24 159:12
publicly 89:7	36:16 37:1	178:7 183:18	161:10,14,17
puco 22:15	39:16,23 55:14	184:11 192:18	162:16 165:7
29:10 84:18	61:6 63:23 66:8	194:11	169:3 170:1
128:3 157:5	68:9,14 70:22	questions 25:18	172:2,5,8 174:4
160:4 161:2	72:4 73:22	25:24 26:2	174:5,5,6,8,12
pull 38:13 39:1	77:18 79:10,24	36:21 37:6,19	175:2,6,7,16
		12.10 51.14 16	176.2 7 177.2
53:2	The state of the s	42:18 51:14,16	176:2,7 177:2
53:2 pulled 63:21	85:16,25 86:2,4 87:4,22 93:13,14	52:7,20 54:16,21 55:5,16 58:3,5	176:2,7 177:2 179:1,16,25 180:3,15 181:4,9

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

182:6 183:4	randazzo's 63:4	reasoning 76:23	155:23 156:7,9
185:20 186:10	ranging 77:7	77:1	156:20
186:23,25 187:2	rate 120:19	reasons 26:8	recalled 174:13
187:8,18 188:4	rates 120:3	40:6,9 76:13	receipt 204:19
188:10 189:6,16	rationale 41:11	185:22 187:4	receive 36:8,17
189:20 190:24	187:13	recall 17:3,9	91:10 129:6
191:3,24 192:1,4	rdr 74:9	18:4 19:6 20:20	184:3
192:9 193:17	reached 14:4	21:22 22:21	received 32:18
198:11 199:2,22	172:13	23:16 28:2,3	44:5 56:14
200:2	read 21:18 22:3	29:19,20,24 30:8	57:12 62:3,8
quick 176:25	40:10 53:7,10	31:19 33:9	99:3 100:19
quite 39:3 53:4	70:16 80:25	34:21 35:9 42:5	125:11,18,24
67:2	86:3 95:22	42:11,14,15	127:6 128:3,5,11
r	104:2 109:6,7	43:20,25 44:19	128:25 137:7
r 2:16 3:5 6:4	110:23,24	44:23,24 47:23	150:20 179:17
r.c. 1:9 74:15,23	140:14 143:9	48:16 49:16	receiving 125:6
78:24	171:7 182:16	51:10 84:17	128:7
radoringo 3:10	205:5,6,12 206:5	89:5,9 101:4,17	recess 14:1 16:5
raise 124:14	206:6,17	102:6,7 104:6	54:7,8 63:14
149:18 189:22	reading 204:20	105:11 107:15	78:7 99:17,18
196:10	ready 63:15	107:18 108:8,10	106:9 121:12
raised 65:12	121:13 186:6	108:12,23	186:15
66:25 74:5,23	real 176:25	109:16 111:7	recessed 168:8
78:10 152:5	really 41:13	119:14 120:22	recognize 167:21
raising 197:15	66:12 75:25	122:24 123:3	recollection
199:12	77:12 100:10	124:12,18 125:4	95:18 104:16,22
randazzo 28:6	112:16 122:23	128:4 129:3,15	106:15
54:17 55:25	172:3 179:2,10	129:20 130:2	recommending
56:19 57:8 58:7	179:12,19 195:6	131:19 132:24	139:14
67:11 71:24	reason 40:11,18	134:10,16	record 12:17
72:18 88:7	52:19 71:8	135:13 136:24	29:4 52:14
157:5,13,25	76:12 88:3	137:2,3,10,17,19	56:22 63:5 65:4
158:8 159:12,23	89:11 95:13	137:20 138:1	87:3,25 97:16
160:5,16 162:20	168:7 182:2	139:2,12,13,16	99:20 100:21
168:15 172:10	204:15 206:8	139:17,21,22	102:17 103:19
172:21 178:11	207:3	140:4 142:14	106:7 112:23
186:25	reasonably 64:9	147:24 148:4,20	117:10,13,23
100.23		152:22 155:18	118:10 121:4,21
	1	l	1

[record - rely] Page 34

143:2 145:19	referencing	register 19:17	78:18,25 81:3
152:10 158:6	53:22	82:5,15	86:22 87:1
159:11 163:1,3,5	referred 70:20	registered 19:4	91:15 96:16
163:9 164:4,7	referring 37:16	21:20	112:2,11 117:3,3
165:11 166:10	37:17,20 38:19	regular 130:3	129:9,14 146:2
166:11,12 167:7	38:23 43:2	regulate 102:20	147:14 149:19
167:11 169:1,3	103:22 104:20	regulated 140:6	150:3 157:13,25
169:19 170:19	144:3,6 193:11	140:11,17,22	159:12 174:6,8
170:20,23,25	196:12	141:6,12 156:12	174:22 175:6
171:1,3,3 172:20	reflect 12:17	156:13 160:24	186:25 187:19
175:24 176:11	refrain 65:8	regulation 103:3	192:3,9,25
176:17,20,21	refresh 106:21	regulations 24:1	193:12,15,17,25
177:12 178:20	refreshes 106:15	30:12,19,24	194:13 196:4,20
178:24 182:9	refusal 57:25	45:20 109:23	relates 21:2 75:1
187:16 192:5	refuse 163:21	110:10	79:1 188:6
206:9	regard 68:3	regulator 20:15	192:24 196:13
records 43:17	125:20 135:11	regulators 58:3	relating 35:12
47:8 48:13,17,21	191:6	regulatory 13:8	35:17 36:9 43:7
48:25 49:4,8,23	regarding 42:19	13:10,14 15:25	51:1 54:16 58:5
56:14 74:14,16	48:6 60:6,11,18	19:22 20:3,8	62:4,6 70:17
76:16 125:19	64:18 67:17	21:21,25 23:11	85:11 97:1
127:12,15,17,18	69:3 74:22	31:4,8 122:25	162:18 168:13
127:21 133:1,17	78:12 106:3	157:1	relation 94:13
134:19,20,22,25	107:19 110:14	reiterate 61:15	relationship
136:13 137:12	112:6 116:12	64:14 113:9	80:21 111:6,10
147:12 148:5	123:18 125:1,2	relate 25:24	relative 203:2
166:20	126:1 131:4,24	53:18 71:23	release 117:14
redman 119:20	133:1,14 134:14	72:3,17 88:1	released 77:19
reduced 202:14	134:23 138:2,24	154:9	77:20 175:25
reference 204:8	139:18,24	related 24:13,23	178:8,9
205:2 206:2	141:22 142:1	25:14 33:17,19	releasing 77:21
referenced 42:13	147:13,14	33:21 35:6,25	relevancy 64:14
123:23 129:17	149:10,25 150:8	36:21 41:7	relevant 37:7
194:1,5 202:13	150:20 152:1	43:17 48:13	61:9 71:11,12
202:18 205:11	156:9,20 157:7	53:15 61:22,23	reluctantly
206:15	192:10 201:2	63:7 64:22	176:6
references 73:3	regards 149:19	66:20,24 74:14	rely 195:6
		74:16 76:14	

[remain - results] Page 35

remain 18:2	92:22 101:2,3	134:2,7 135:4,7	142:2 156:10
75:6	123:18,20 124:2	164:15 206:9,11	165:21 195:1,7
remaining 197:7	125:10,14,17	requested 108:5	respective 33:25
remedy 167:14	reported 19:2,3	114:18 201:1,6	respond 56:10
remember 19:19	20:19 31:24,25	requests 191:19	57:1 62:3 70:12
20:9 23:2 30:15	32:1,2 33:1	require 114:7,15	134:2 160:9
30:17,22 35:15	80:22 89:3	114:20,20,21	responding
82:11 102:1	91:24 95:11	139:7 166:22	145:10
105:14,21,25	150:7,12,15	required 37:25	response 60:24
106:1,6,23 107:2	reporter 21:18	204:25	72:15 109:15
107:6,10 108:14	22:3 86:3 89:18	requirement	110:8 145:14
108:18 111:12	109:6,7 110:23	114:5	186:12
111:16 139:1	110:24 205:7	requirements	responsibilities
141:24 142:9	reporter's 7:12	31:10 44:6,13,17	23:4,8 31:13
149:23 160:1	202:1	45:6,10,19 46:3	83:10 92:14,17
remind 64:6	reports 32:23	46:18 47:5	92:20,21 123:6,9
195:12	34:3,20 48:2,5	48:14 50:25	123:10
remote 9:3	74:24 101:1,14	51:9 54:23 55:8	responsibility
remotely 8:15	125:23,25	101:20 105:5	19:15,21 20:18
9:10,15,21 49:10	represent 25:10	125:3	32:15 42:1,25
135:15	121:24 172:18	requires 176:20	43:17,21,23 44:1
remove 177:16	representation	requiring 195:14	44:3 47:21,25
renegotiations	43:7 147:22	reserve 58:18	50:2,17 91:23
75:21	170:5,9 192:14	116:6	119:24
repeat 21:17	195:7	reserving 157:24	responsible
22:2 39:3 79:10	representations	resides 104:14	93:15 122:8,12
85:25 86:1	187:22	resolution	122:18 123:5
93:13 109:4	representative	170:19 198:3,11	146:15,22
110:21 140:13	34:9	resolve 200:5	rest 161:25
140:15 143:2	represented	resolved 126:14	165:4
145:2 159:1	118:24 185:19	152:6	restated 157:17
193:9	195:1	resources 33:5	restriction
rephrase 134:20	representing	33:17,18 124:3	108:25
report 18:12,19	10:25 55:12	127:9	result 24:8
18:22 31:16	154:8 183:9	respect 42:18	resulted 59:20
32:5,9,18,20,21	request 108:11	55:15,23 56:8	70:7
33:11 34:6,11,15	108:13 113:9	62:11 66:11,12	results 34:12
43:12 54:25	132:24 133:25	66:16 70:2,14	

[resume - scope] Page 36

resume 80:12 revising 142:11 round 78:2,10 199:7 12:17 169:11 rhiannon 4:5 roufe 113:18 rulings 69:13 resuming 169:24 richards 50:21 18:19 19:3 195:15,17 199:15,18 retail 14:22 rider 57:23 ruled 37:18 199:15,18 rules:15,17 106:2 132:20 140:21 rider 132:20 140:21 ruled 37:18 rules:15,18 199:15,18 135:22,23 38:25 40:20 48:18 59:9 rules 83:21:6,8 rules 83:21:6,8 rules 83:21:6,8 rules 83:21:5,8 rules 83:21:5,18 rules 83:12 57:17 180:17 rules 83:21:6,8 rules 83:12:5,17 199:15,18 rules:15,18 rules 83:21:6,8 rules 83:12:5,17 199:15,18 rules 180:17 rules 83:18 199:15,18 rules 83:18 199:15,18 rules 83:18 199:15,18 rules 180:18				T
Tesuming 169:24 retail 14:22 rico 188:17 7 188:20 190:17 188:20 190:17 188:20 190:17 195:15,17 195:15,17 195:15,17 195:15,17 195:15,18 190:22 190:12 190:15,18 190:15 190:15,18 190:17 195:15,18 190:15,18 1		_	round 78:2,10	
resuming 169:24 richards 50:21 18:19 19:3 195:15,17 199:15,18 retail 14:22 rice 57:23 ruled 37:18 ruled 37:18 run 128:15,22 180:17 ruled 37:18 run 128:15,22 180:17 run 128:15,22 180:18 run 128:15,22 180:18 run 128:15,22 180:18 run 128:15,22 180:17 run 128:15,22 180:14 190:19:2 38:12 57:17 79an 3:49:2 5 5 17:15 204:16 206:8,8 207:3 3afe 10:13 3afe 10:13 3afe 10:13	121:7 169:11	rhiannon 4:5	route 113:18	
retail I4:22 rico 188:17 95:12,25 96:15 199:15,18 102:24 105:23 rider 57:23 ruled 37:18 ruled 37:18 run 128:15,22 return 49:15 24:20 30:17 rules 8:3 21:6,8 rules 8:3 21:6,8 135:22,23 38:25 40:20 48:18 59:9 rules 8:3 21:6,8 135:22,23 38:25 40:20 48:18 59:9 s returned 49:14 74:25 87:7 110:9 119:2 38:12 57:17 204:19 74:25 87:7 110:9 119:2 34:12 156:3 s 17:15 204:16 returning 17:8 130:4,17 132:11 201:3,7 205:5 sefe 101:13 safe 101:13 reveral 30:5,43:6 154:23 159:3 66:10,15,19 67:1 63:20 64:23 safe 101:13 safeguards 105:4,12 sage 44:20 101:2 same 28:5 172:9 172:21 safeguards 105:4,12 sage 44:20 101:2 same 28:5 172:9 172:21 satisfied 91:12 satisfied 91:12 satisfied 19:12 satisfi		12:6	ruffner 18:7,17	188:20 190:17
Tider 57:23 Tider 57:24 Tider 57:24 Tider 57:25 Tider 57:2	resuming 169:24	richards 50:21	18:19 19:3	195:15,17
106:2	retail 14:22	rico 188:17	95:12,25 96:15	199:15,18
retained 128:24 right 16:11 21:7 180:8 rules 8:3 21:6,8 return 49:15 38:25 40:20 48:18 59:9 s 135:22,23 38:25 40:20 48:18 59:9 s returned 49:14 74:25 87:7 110:9 119:2 38:12 57:17 135:25 137:12 100:14 116:25 134:12 156:3 110:9 119:2 36:8 s 17:15 204:16 204:19 123:12 129:11 130:4,17 132:11 130:4,17 132:11 206:5 134:9 151:5 206:5 ruling 63:12,16 36:20 64:23 36e 101:13 36e 101:13 36e 101:13 36e 10:13 36e 10:15,19 67:1 36e 11 69:18,20 36e 11 69:12,20 <	102:24 105:23	rider 57:23	ruled 37:18	run 128:15,22
return 49:15 24:20 30:17 rules 8:3 21:6,8 38:12 57:17 135:22,23 38:25 40:20 48:18 59:9 s 137:15 62:1 70:13 79:20 109:23 s 135:25 137:12 100:14 116:25 110:9 119:2 20:6:8,8 207:3 204:19 123:12 129:11 201:3,7 205:5 36te 101:13 reveal 30:5 43:6 134:9 151:5 206:5 105:4,12 92:6 93:6,22 154:23 159:3 66:10,15,19 67:1 105:4,12 97:13 138:6 160:21 165:19 66:10,15,19 67:1 115:23 145:16 149:14 159:8 162:24 192:6 195:22 71:19 72:11 satisfied 91:12 159:8 162:24 192:6 195:22 71:19 72:11 satisfied 91:12 satisfied 91:12 revealed 95:20 risk 14:15 168:21 168:10 168:22 169:2 role 19:13 27:24 168:22 169:2 saved 129:1 saved 129:1 saved 129:1 saved 129:1 saved 129:1 saving 46:9 47:3 73:2 194:15 195:22 says 40:11 73:4 73:2 194:15 195:22 says 40:11 73:4 73:2 194:15 195:22 says 40:11 73:4	106:2	132:20 140:21	112:16 171:10	180:17
135:22,23	retained 128:24	right 16:11 21:7	180:8	ryan 3:4 9:2
137:15	return 49:15	24:20 30:17	rules 8:3 21:6,8	38:12 57:17
137:15	135:22,23	38:25 40:20	48:18 59:9	S
returned 49:14 74:25 87:7 110:9 119:2 206:8,8 207:3 204:19 135:25 137:12 100:14 116:25 134:12 156:3 safe 101:13 returning 17:8 130:4,17 132:11 206:5 ruling 63:12,16 92:6 93:6,22 154:23 159:3 66:10,15,19 67:1 63:20 64:23 sage 44:20 101:2 97:13 138:6 160:21 165:19 66:10,15,19 67:1 68:11 69:18,20 66:10,15,19 67:1 145:16 149:14 173:4,20 183:13 68:11 69:18,20 66:24 70:14 71:19 72:11 satisfied 91:12 150:8 162:24 192:6 195:22 7isk 14:15 14:25 115:6,7 save 116:3 reveals 98:9 rodman 23:22 162:12 168:10 9:16 revelation 96:20 42:11,15,19 43:9 173:10 176:17 save 116:3 saved 129:1 review 34:6 51:6 83:23 84:7,11 85:9,11 86:6,11 185:23 186:2,7 185:24 87:13 96:7 review 34:6 51:6 85:9,11 86:6,11 190:4,5,11,12,13 190:15 191:2,23 23 schedule	137:15	62:1 70:13	79:20 109:23	
135:25 137:12 100:14 116:25 134:12 156:3 201:3,7 205:5 206:1 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:1 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:5 206:1 206:5	returned 49:14	74:25 87:7	110:9 119:2	
204:19 123:12 129:11 201:3,7 205:5 safeguards reveal 30:5 43:6 30:5,43:6 206:5 ruling 63:12,16 sage 44:20 101:2 sam 28:5 172:9 172:21 satisfied 91:12 satisfied 91:12 satisfied 91:12 satisfied 91:12 satisfied 91:12 save 116:3 save 12:1 save	135:25 137:12	100:14 116:25	134:12 156:3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
returning 17:8 130:4,17 132:11 206:5 reveal 30:5 43:6 134:9 151:5 ruling 63:12,16 63:20 64:23 97:13 138:6 160:21 165:19 66:10,15,19 67:1 66:10,15,19 67:1 68:11 69:18,20 66:10,15,19 67:1 68:11 69:18,20 69:24 70:14 712:21 satisfied 91:12 159:8 162:24 192:6 195:22 rights 184:2 71:19 72:11 81:1 89:2 71:19 72:11 81:1 89:2 71:19 72:11 81:1 89:2 71:10 72:11 81:1 89:2 71:10 72:11 81:1 89:2 71:10 72:11 81:1 89:2 71:10 72:11 81:1 89:2 72:10 72:11 72:12 72:12 72:12 72:12 72:12 72:12 72:12 72:12 72:12 7	204:19	123:12 129:11	201:3,7 205:5	
reveal 30:5 43:6 134:9 151:5 ruling 63:12,16 sage 44:20 101:2 92:6 93:6,22 154:23 159:3 66:10,15,19 67:1 63:20 64:23 66:10,15,19 67:1 145:16 149:14 173:4,20 183:13 68:11 69:18,20 172:21 69:24 70:14 159:8 162:24 192:6 195:22 71:19 72:11 81:1 89:2 172:21 satisfied 91:12 163:19 165:14 revealed 95:20 risk 14:15 14:25 115:6,7 role 19:13 27:24 168:21 168:10 9:16 save 116:3 saved 129:1 saved 129:1 <td< td=""><td>returning 17:8</td><td>130:4,17 132:11</td><td>206:5</td><td></td></td<>	returning 17:8	130:4,17 132:11	206:5	
92:6 93:6,22 97:13 138:6 145:16 149:14 156:5 157:21 159:8 162:24 163:19 165:14 revealed 95:20 reveals 98:9 113:7 142:21 143:4 revelation 96:20 reverse 168:22 182:4 review 34:6 51:6 83:13,15 120:4 83:13,15 120:4 128:10 132:20 132:23 133:16 150:23 133:16 150:21 165:19 160:21 165:19 160:21 165:19 168:11 69:18,20 69:24 70:14 71:19 72:11 81:1 89:2 114:25 115:6,7 162:12 168:10 168:22 169:2 173:10 176:17 173:4,20 183:13 186:16 189:14 71:19 72:11 81:1 89:2 114:25 115:6,7 162:12 168:10 168:22 169:2 173:10 176:17 176:19 177:21 182:4 173:10 176:17 176:19 177:21 182:15,20 183:13,15 120:4 83:23 84:7,11 85:9,11 86:6,11 90:20 91:22 182:4 170:18 185:23 186:2,7 185:24 87:13 96:7	reveal 30:5 43:6	134:9 151:5	ruling 63:12,16	<u> </u>
97:13 138:6 160:21 165:19 66:10,15,19 67:1 172:21 145:16 149:14 173:4,20 183:13 68:11 69:18,20 satisfied 91:12 159:8 162:24 192:6 195:22 71:19 72:11 satisfied 91:12 163:19 165:14 rights 184:2 71:19 72:11 satisfied 91:12 revealed 95:20 risk 14:15 14:25 115:6,7 save 16:12 168:10 reveals 98:9 role 19:13 27:24 168:22 169:2 saved 129:1 143:4 31:22 32:8 42:6 42:11,15,19 43:9 173:10 176:17 73:2 194:15 52:24 72:24 revelation 96:20 44:7 48:7,22 176:19 177:21 182:15 195:22 180:14,16 182:5 195:22 182:4 62:10,17 82:20 180:14,16 182:5 182:15,20 185:23 186:2,7 185:23 186:2,7 185:24 87:13 96:7 185:24 87:13 96:7 185:24 87:13 96:7 185:24 87:13 96:7 185:24 87:13 96:7 185:24 87:13 96:7 185:24 87:13 96:7 185:24 87:13 96:7 185:24 87:13 96:7 185:24 87:13 96:7 185:24 <t< td=""><td>92:6 93:6,22</td><td>154:23 159:3</td><td>63:20 64:23</td><td></td></t<>	92:6 93:6,22	154:23 159:3	63:20 64:23	
145:16 149:14 173:4,20 183:13 68:11 69:18,20 156:5 157:21 186:16 189:14 69:24 70:14 159:8 162:24 192:6 195:22 71:19 72:11 163:19 165:14 rights 184:2 81:1 89:2 revealed 95:20 risk 14:15 114:25 115:6,7 reveals 98:9 rodman 23:22 162:12 168:10 113:7 142:21 31:22 32:8 42:6 171:25 172:18 143:4 31:22 32:8 42:6 171:25 172:18 revelation 96:20 42:11,15,19 43:9 173:10 176:17 reverse 168:22 44:7 48:7,22 176:19 177:21 182:4 62:10,17 82:20 180:14,16 182:5 review 34:6 51:6 83:23 84:7,11 185:23 186:2,7 83:13,15 120:4 85:9,11 86:6,11 185:23 186:2,7 132:23 133:16 94:12 119:16 190:4,5,11,12,13 150:3 201:2,6 178:17 190:15 191:2,23 204:13 205:1 52:15 158:23 191:23 192:7,16 206:1 52:15 158:23 192:20 193:19 reviewed 101:14 170:18 193:22 194:12	97:13 138:6	160:21 165:19	66:10,15,19 67:1	
156:5 157:21 186:16 189:14 69:24 70:14 satisfy 135:7 159:8 162:24 192:6 195:22 71:19 72:11 sauer 2:17 9:16 163:19 165:14 rights 184:2 114:25 115:6,7 save 16:3 revealed 95:20 rodman 23:22 162:12 168:10 9:16 save 116:3 reveals 98:9 rodman 23:22 168:22 169:2 save 116:3 143:4 31:22 32:8 42:6 171:25 172:18 saved 129:1 revelation 96:20 42:11,15,19 43:9 173:10 176:17 52:24 72:24 182:4 62:10,17 82:20 180:14,16 182:5 195:22 182:4 62:10,17 82:20 180:14,16 182:5 195:22 183:13,15 120:4 85:9,11 86:6,11 185:23 186:2,7 185:24 128:10 132:20 90:20 91:22 188:19 189:14 87:13 96:7 132:23 133:16 94:12 119:16 190:4,5,11,12,13 90:15 191:2,23 15:2 190:15 191:2,23 190:15 191:2,23 190:15 191:2,23 16:10 190:15 191:2,23 190:15 191:2,23 190:15 191:2,23	145:16 149:14	173:4,20 183:13	68:11 69:18,20	
159:8 162:24 192:6 195:22 71:19 72:11 sauer 2:17 9:16 revealed 95:20 risk 14:15 114:25 115:6,7 rodman 23:22 role 19:13 27:24 168:22 169:2 171:25 172:18 revelation 96:20 42:11,15,19 43:9 173:10 176:17 reverse 168:22 44:7 48:7,22 182:4 62:10,17 82:20 180:14,16 182:5 review 34:6 51:6 83:23 84:7,11 85:9,11 86:6,11 128:10 132:20 132:23 133:16 150:3 201:2,6 204:13 205:1 reviewed 101:14 170:18 170:18 193:22 194:12 reviewed 101:14 170:18 193:22 194:12 reviewed 237:23 41:22 24 170:18 170:18 170:18 170:19 72:11 sauer 2:17 9:16 9:16 save 116:3 saved 129:1 saying 46:9 47:3 52:24 72:24 73:2 194:15 195:22 says 40:11 73:4 87:13 96:7 185:24 scenario 135:9 schedule 197:4 school 13:2,4 15:2 scope 28:8 35:20 37:23 41:22 24 170:18 170:1	156:5 157:21	186:16 189:14	69:24 70:14	
163:19 165:14 rights 184:2 81:1 89:2 9:16 revealed 95:20 risk 14:15 14:25 115:6,7 save 116:3 reveals 98:9 role 19:13 27:24 168:22 169:2 saved 129:1 13:7 142:21 role 19:13 27:24 168:22 169:2 saved 129:1 143:4 31:22 32:8 42:6 171:25 172:18 saved 129:1 revelation 96:20 42:11,15,19 43:9 173:10 176:17 52:24 72:24 reverse 168:22 44:7 48:7,22 180:14,16 182:5 195:22 182:4 83:23 84:7,11 182:15,20 87:13 96:7 83:13,15 120:4 85:9,11 86:6,11 185:23 186:2,7 185:24 132:20 90:20 91:22 188:19 189:14 87:13 96:7 132:24 94:12 119:16 190:4,5,11,12,13 190:4,5,11,12,13 190:4,5,11,12,13 190:4,5,11,12,13 190:4,5,11,12,13 191:23 192:7,16 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 15:2 1	159:8 162:24	192:6 195:22	71:19 72:11	
revealed 95:20 risk 14:15 114:25 115:6,7 save 116:3 reveals 98:9 role 19:13 27:24 168:22 169:2 saved 129:1 143:4 31:22 32:8 42:6 171:25 172:18 saved 129:1 revelation 96:20 42:11,15,19 43:9 173:10 176:17 saying 46:9 47:3 reverse 168:22 44:7 48:7,22 176:19 177:21 73:2 194:15 195:22 182:4 62:10,17 82:20 180:14,16 182:5 195:22 says 40:11 73:4 review 34:6 51:6 83:23 84:7,11 185:23 186:2,7 185:23 186:2,7 185:24 132:23 133:16 94:12 119:16 190:4,5,11,12,13 190:4,5,11,12,13 87:13 96:7 150:3 201:2,6 178:17 190:15 191:2,23 schedule 197:4 206:1 52:15 158:23 192:20 193:19 15:2 reviewed 101:14 170:18 193:22 194:12 37:23 41:22 24	163:19 165:14	rights 184:2	81:1 89:2	
reveals 98:9 rodman 23:22 162:12 168:10 saved 129:1 113:7 142:21 31:22 32:8 42:6 168:22 169:2 saying 46:9 47:3 143:4 31:22 32:8 42:6 171:25 172:18 52:24 72:24 revelation 96:20 42:11,15,19 43:9 173:10 176:17 73:2 194:15 reverse 168:22 44:7 48:7,22 176:19 177:21 195:22 182:4 62:10,17 82:20 180:14,16 182:5 195:22 83:13,15 120:4 85:9,11 86:6,11 185:23 186:2,7 185:24 128:10 132:20 90:20 91:22 188:19 189:14 190:4,5,11,12,13 150:3 201:2,6 178:17 190:15 191:2,23 191:23 192:7,16 204:13 205:1 52:15 158:23 191:23 192:7,16 15:2 206:1 52:15 158:23 193:22 194:12 37:23 41:22 24	revealed 95:20	risk 14:15	114:25 115:6,7	
113:7 142:21 role 19:13 27:24 168:22 169:2 143:4 31:22 32:8 42:6 171:25 172:18 revelation 96:20 42:11,15,19 43:9 173:10 176:17 reverse 168:22 44:7 48:7,22 176:19 177:21 182:4 62:10,17 82:20 180:14,16 182:5 review 34:6 51:6 83:23 84:7,11 182:15,20 83:13,15 120:4 85:9,11 86:6,11 185:23 186:2,7 128:10 132:20 90:20 91:22 188:19 189:14 132:23 133:16 94:12 119:16 190:4,5,11,12,13 150:3 201:2,6 178:17 190:15 191:2,23 204:13 205:1 room 8:14 9:8 191:23 192:7,16 206:1 52:15 158:23 192:20 193:19 reviewed 101:14 170:18 193:22 194:12	reveals 98:9	rodman 23:22	162:12 168:10	
143:4 31:22 32:8 42:6 171:25 172:18 revelation 96:20 42:11,15,19 43:9 173:10 176:17 reverse 168:22 44:7 48:7,22 176:19 177:21 182:4 62:10,17 82:20 180:14,16 182:5 review 34:6 51:6 83:23 84:7,11 182:15,20 83:13,15 120:4 85:9,11 86:6,11 185:23 186:2,7 128:10 132:20 90:20 91:22 188:19 189:14 132:23 133:16 94:12 119:16 190:4,5,11,12,13 150:3 201:2,6 178:17 190:15 191:2,23 204:13 205:1 room 8:14 9:8 191:23 192:7,16 206:1 52:15 158:23 192:20 193:19 reviewed 101:14 170:18 193:22 194:12	113:7 142:21	role 19:13 27:24	168:22 169:2	
revelation 96:20 42:11,15,19 43:9 173:10 176:17 73:2 194:15 reverse 168:22 44:7 48:7,22 176:19 177:21 73:2 194:15 182:4 62:10,17 82:20 180:14,16 182:5 185:22 says 40:11 73:4 review 34:6 51:6 83:23 84:7,11 182:15,20 87:13 96:7 128:10 132:20 90:20 91:22 188:19 189:14 85:24 scenario 135:24 132:23 133:16 94:12 119:16 190:4,5,11,12,13 190:15 191:2,23 schedule 197:4 150:3 201:2,6 700m 8:14 9:8 191:23 192:7,16 15:2 206:1 52:15 158:23 192:20 193:19 15:2 reviewed 101:14 170:18 193:22 194:12 37:23 41:22 24	143:4	31:22 32:8 42:6	171:25 172:18	
reverse 168:22 44:7 48:7,22 176:19 177:21 195:22 182:4 62:10,17 82:20 180:14,16 182:5 says 40:11 73:4 review 34:6 51:6 83:23 84:7,11 182:15,20 87:13 96:7 183:13,15 120:4 85:9,11 86:6,11 185:23 186:2,7 185:24 scenario 135:24 132:23 133:16 94:12 119:16 190:4,5,11,12,13 190:15 191:2,23 schedule 197:4 150:3 201:2,6 178:17 190:15 191:2,23 191:23 192:7,16 15:2 206:1 52:15 158:23 192:20 193:19 193:22 194:12 scope 28:8 35:20 37:23 41:22 24	revelation 96:20	42:11,15,19 43:9	173:10 176:17	
182:4 62:10,17 82:20 180:14,16 182:5 review 34:6 51:6 83:23 84:7,11 182:15,20 83:13,15 120:4 85:9,11 86:6,11 185:23 186:2,7 128:10 132:20 90:20 91:22 188:19 189:14 132:23 133:16 94:12 119:16 190:4,5,11,12,13 150:3 201:2,6 178:17 190:15 191:2,23 204:13 205:1 room 8:14 9:8 191:23 192:7,16 206:1 52:15 158:23 192:20 193:19 reviewed 101:14 170:18 193:22 194:12	reverse 168:22	,	176:19 177:21	
review 34:6 51:6 83:23 84:7,11 182:15,20 87:13 96:7 83:13,15 120:4 85:9,11 86:6,11 185:23 186:2,7 185:24 128:10 132:20 90:20 91:22 188:19 189:14 scenario 135:9 132:23 133:16 94:12 119:16 190:4,5,11,12,13 schedule 197:4 150:3 201:2,6 178:17 190:15 191:2,23 schedule 197:4 204:13 205:1 52:15 158:23 191:23 192:7,16 15:2 15:2 193:22 194:12 scope 28:8 35:20 37:23 41:22 24		62:10,17 82:20	·	
83:13,15 120:4 128:10 132:20 132:23 133:16 150:3 201:2,6 204:13 205:1 206:1 reviewed 101:14 85:9,11 86:6,11 90:20 91:22 188:19 189:14 190:4,5,11,12,13 190:15 191:2,23 191:23 192:7,16 192:20 193:19 193:22 194:12 185:24 scenario 135:9 schedule 197:4 15:2 scope 28:8 35:20 37:23 41:22 24	review 34:6 51:6	83:23 84:7,11	182:15,20	
128:10 132:20 90:20 91:22 188:19 189:14 132:23 133:16 94:12 119:16 190:4,5,11,12,13 150:3 201:2,6 178:17 190:15 191:2,23 204:13 205:1 room 8:14 9:8 191:23 192:7,16 206:1 52:15 158:23 192:20 193:19 reviewed 101:14 170:18 193:22 194:12	83:13,15 120:4	85:9,11 86:6,11	185:23 186:2,7	
132:23 133:16 94:12 119:16 190:4,5,11,12,13 schedule 197:4 150:3 201:2,6 178:17 190:15 191:2,23 school 13:2,4 204:13 205:1 52:15 158:23 192:20 193:19 15:2 reviewed 101:14 170:18 193:22 194:12 37:23 41:22 24	128:10 132:20	90:20 91:22	188:19 189:14	
150:3 201:2,6 204:13 205:1 206:1 reviewed 101:14 170:18 190:15 191:2,23 191:23 192:7,16 192:20 193:19 193:22 194:12 scope 28:8 35:20 37:23 41:22 24	132:23 133:16	94:12 119:16	190:4,5,11,12,13	
204:13 205:1	150:3 201:2,6	178:17	190:15 191:2,23	
206:1 52:15 158:23 192:20 193:19 reviewed 101:14 170:18 193:22 194:12 scope 28:8 35:20 37:23 41:22 24	204:13 205:1	room 8:14 9:8	191:23 192:7,16	
reviewed 101:14 170:18 193:22 194:12 37:23 41:22 24	206:1	52:15 158:23	192:20 193:19	
106:21 149:9 195:11,13 197:9 37.23 41.22,24	reviewed 101:14	170:18		_
	106:21 149:9		195:11,13 197:9	31.23 71.22,27

[scope - session] Page 37

51:23,24 54:13	section 124:5	44:6,9,12,17,21	191:7 192:1,3
55:1,11,15 57:5	144:16,17	45:5,10,20 46:3	series 54:15
61:11 75:3	147:20	46:4,8,16,18	88:10 172:2
		47:4 48:14,18	
79:21 82:3,18	securities 33:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	serious 62:24
85:14,24 86:8	185:4,10	49:20 50:25	serve 83:17
87:11 92:1	security 22:16	51:9 53:17,19	147:10
93:12 95:16,17	23:1,5 33:21	54:22 55:8 58:9	served 14:6 23:9
96:3,18 97:11,22	see 24:2 27:21	59:15,23 71:13	32:8 83:2 122:3
97:24 98:6,7,20	45:4 53:23 54:1	76:2 78:14 79:1	147:8 152:16
98:21 99:11	100:15,18	79:6,13,20 82:4	service 102:13
108:11 140:23	106:10 121:11	82:21,23 83:7,12	102:24,25
142:13 144:11	154:8 177:17	83:19,24 84:6,10	104:20,23,24
144:13,19 145:6	178:5 182:2	86:14,19,21	105:7 108:1
145:8 157:23	197:9	87:15,18 88:1	109:21 110:4,6
159:10 160:21	seek 167:14	90:22 93:25	118:21 119:6,8
160:23 172:1	seeking 192:19	94:17 97:17,19	119:11,15
173:1 182:21,22	send 118:7	101:9,19,24	services 81:21
186:23 197:24	127:10 131:5	105:5,22 106:4	82:13 102:4,6,18
scout's 143:20	sense 46:5 66:5	107:16,19	102:23,25 103:1
scratch 101:22	114:2 116:5	109:23 110:9	103:9 104:4
screen 106:10	194:17	123:11,19 124:1	105:18 107:20
scroll 106:17,18	sensitive 113:24	124:15 125:2	108:6,10,17,22
seal 203:6	sent 32:4 125:14	126:7 129:10,14	109:3 110:14
205:15 206:21	127:3,8 131:9	131:4,24 132:1,3	155:16,22
search 63:3	separate 47:4,5	132:21 133:1,3	178:21
102:10	60:19,21 124:5	134:11,15	session 65:18
sec 86:23 89:3	separated 85:6	135:12 136:20	66:1,3,25 80:19
second 61:5 78:2	95:12,14 96:1,8	136:22,24 137:5	81:4 99:21,23
78:10 106:8	96:25	137:23 138:3	100:1,5,8 112:3
162:5 164:25	separately 26:22	139:4,15,19,24	112:12 113:10
175:21	separation 20:22	142:1 146:18,25	113:15 114:6,16
secret 175:23	20:25 21:6	149:12,20 150:1	115:5,10 116:3,5
180:18,20 182:3	23:24 24:1,11	150:8,11,21	116:7,22 118:7,8
183:1	29:18,22 30:13	151:8,25 156:3	121:8,10,14
secretary 13:15	30:19,24 31:10	160:21,23 161:3	153:22,25 154:2
secretive 166:13	36:18,22 41:7	172:7 174:18,21	157:14 158:1
secrets 166:17	42:2,10,20,24	174:23 175:3	159:13 161:14
	43:1,3,11,19	186:24 187:3	161:17,18,24,25

162:6,10,21	shaffer 4:6 11:1	simply 57:12	146:20 159:4
164:21 165:6,9	share 183:10	62:16 111:23	165:2 191:9
165:12 168:2,5	shared 163:19	143:11 192:8	193:8 195:19
168:24 169:5,9	shareholder	sincerely 204:22	sort 77:12
169:12,13,25	168:16	single 61:6	175:13 190:15
170:12,14 171:4	sharing 107:14	sir 26:19 193:2	sought 174:24
171:5,8,10,13	sheet 204:14	204:11	sounds 16:4
173:5,13,15	206:7,10,18	sitting 31:11	25:17 26:17
175:23 176:5,8	207:1	109:24 120:21	100:9 115:12
177:13 180:20	shepherd 4:8	122:23 129:20	source 140:10,16
183:20 185:2	11:2	140:3 161:7	south 5:6
186:20 188:5	short 54:7	situation 134:3	southern 188:15
189:1,2,5,13	showed 128:2	197:21	speak 10:7,12,13
190:25 191:1,15	shown 204:17	skadden 2:4	41:11 45:4
191:17,17 196:1	side 38:2 69:19	8:18,21,23	55:22 58:17
196:11 198:13	69:22 70:6,18,18	skadden.com	59:5 100:10
199:6,16,19,21	71:11,15,15,18	2:12	172:23 173:23
set 27:8 65:6	72:3,4,8,21,24	slate 2:4	174:1 177:8
179:14,15	73:10,14,17 81:6	slight 161:20	183:15 186:13
185:22 203:6	175:15	small 189:22	speaking 163:18
setting 24:19	sign 97:19 120:6	smart 104:8	speaks 96:11
191:16	120:8,11	software 148:15	165:3
settlement 23:18	signature 35:6	solutions 60:10	special 96:13
24:6,14 27:6,9	201:5 203:13	204:1 207:1	specific 24:24
27:16,24 28:15	204:15	somebody 49:19	66:24 67:7,25
28:22 29:2 56:1	signed 56:12	150:7 151:18	69:25 73:16
59:3,6,19 61:15	173:7,17 178:22	somewhat 76:5	78:1 79:5 85:16
67:12	183:21 184:11	soon 189:9,12	90:10 101:24
seventh 2:20	205:13 206:18	sorry 16:3 21:16	107:16 112:5
6:14	signet 14:12	23:22 32:10	134:6 139:17
sfa 26:20,25	17:13	39:2 46:11	157:3 188:17
61:16,17 67:12	significant	47:12 68:13	specifically
73:3 74:17	182:21	70:11 79:8	64:17 75:7
76:15 111:22	signing 204:20	114:12 122:11	78:14 83:9
112:3,11 113:4	similar 117:1	123:8 127:24	120:10 133:6
116:19 117:3	191:21	134:21 136:6,18	134:4 144:6
shadows 166:18	simple 116:11	137:1 138:8	186:18 187:3
		142:23 143:7	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1

100.12	24242 2.10 (.5	7(.14.10.170.1((2.1.5.(4.22
specifics 108:13	state 2:19 6:5	76:14,19 172:16	63:1,5 64:23
120:22	13:8,11,14 15:25	stenotypy	75:5 174:11
specified 202:21	16:14,16,19	202:14	180:7 183:5
speculate 146:7	17:10,10 18:12	step 34:4 191:11	192:23 193:13
speculation	19:5,7,17,22	192:15	submit 113:18
150:24	23:11 89:18	stepped 164:3,5	126:25
spell 17:14	147:6 191:20	steven 155:10	subpoena 12:18
164:10	202:2,7 203:15	stinson 5:5 7:10	72:15
spelled 164:12	205:10 206:15	11:11,12 154:1,6	
spencer 1:24	stated 59:18	154:7 157:15	205:10 206:14
202:6 203:14	89:7 125:9	158:21 159:3,19	207:21
spending 142:17	177:1 190:16	160:2,22 161:9	subsidiaries
143:14	statement 75:7	176:14,15	141:6,9
spoken 77:6	163:16 164:24	stop 25:8 107:14	subsidiary
spring 13:21	165:1,11 170:4	179:1	141:10 144:4
ss 202:3	170:20 175:18	story 175:15	subsidies 50:8
st 78:9	178:22 191:13	straub 155:10	50:12
staff 11:1,2	205:13,14	156:11,21	substance 58:6
stage 27:9	206:19,19	streamline	61:7,10,16 63:19
stakeholder	statements 58:16	111:21	70:17 138:23
131:12	states 16:21,22	street 2:19 4:9	139:10 142:8
stakeholders	39:6,9 40:15,16	4:20 5:6 6:5,14	174:14
131:2	74:9 182:11	strike 29:6 33:12	substantive
stand 195:14	188:14	44:25 108:14	198:10 199:22
standards 45:2,7	stating 25:20	194:12	succeeded 18:5
45:8,22 47:6	168:11	strongly 146:8	suggest 58:18
standing 68:21	statute 37:25	158:10	115:22 118:2,7
standpoint 199:4	73:8,9 75:1,4	struck 188:3	suggested 139:3
stands 190:13	188:17	structured	182:9
192:16 197:10	stay 15:21 62:25	148:16	suggesting
start 170:15	69:24 70:15	struggle 143:18	139:14
171:5	71:6,14 73:4	study 30:23	suggestion 169:8
started 12:12	74:10,18 75:2,5	stuff 26:25	suite 2:9 4:21
13:3 17:25	75:17 77:5,15	subject 25:21,25	5:23 6:6,15
54:15 121:14	169:5 182:18	28:8 35:20	204:2
154:3 168:3	stayed 25:2	52:18 55:25	suites 1:20
starting 168:1	61:13 70:21	56:4 57:4 61:17	summit 123:4
	71:5 72:10	61:24 62:6,20	

[superior - thank] Page 40

	1601016010		
superior 204:1	162:19 168:13	78:7 99:18	terminate 136:4
supervise 19:12	168:16 172:9,22	106:9 121:12	165:7
20:2	178:10 187:1,11	186:15 202:20	terminated
supervised 23:14	193:1,15	talk 59:4 115:25	136:25 137:4
49:20	suvon 155:8	116:9,10	termination
supervisor 15:9	switched 13:8	talked 48:7	136:3,12 137:7
20:6	sworn 8:4	115:3 130:25	172:6
supervisory 23:3	202:10 205:10	talking 27:6	terms 173:1
supply 5:11	205:13 206:14	53:14,16 69:7	183:25 184:12
105:23 106:2	206:18 207:21	75:22 76:1	188:3
support 59:25	system 47:10,13	109:12,13	terrible 16:4
144:10,23 145:4	47:14,15 123:17	136:21 140:9	testify 90:10
supported	124:8 125:16	148:11 151:18	153:12,15
132:14	127:21 129:2,16	160:3 180:19	202:11
supposed 28:9	130:10 148:9	tangentially	testimony 181:8
sure 8:17 13:9	t	75:24	197:5 202:13,17
13:12 14:25	t 17:15	target 52:18	205:6,7 206:6,9
18:20 22:12	table 8:13 79:7	177:25	206:12
23:10 27:2	143:20 194:17	targeted 78:23	text 56:18 57:10
37:17 44:8	tables 143:23	team 46:15,20	62:5 158:7,14
48:24 51:3,7	tail 79:9	46:21 48:4	159:20
52:15 65:3	tailored 79:5,13	teed 198:2	thank 10:6,14
77:12 87:3 91:2	94:17 187:2,8	tell 10:3 12:24	11:4,25 12:15
103:6 112:4	take 16:13 17:7	23:23 31:12	27:4 30:7 54:9
127:17 143:11	34:10 53:2 54:6	52:4 122:16	55:13 57:20
145:10 146:17	63:10 67:1 78:2	133:22 152:10	60:4 61:13 63:9
149:5,11 156:16	78:4 94:11	163:22,25	63:12,18 68:22
157:18 163:14	99:16 100:6	165:17,24	74:1,2 77:24
175:16 191:12	106:12 115:16	telling 14:2	78:6 80:2,4,6,7
194:19	117:20 118:10	tend 78:11	80:11 100:14
sustainability	132:12 165:25	tenure 35:4	121:4,23 126:4
24:7 25:15 26:4	179:11 180:12	41:25 44:3	143:6 145:2
28:15 36:10	183:8 185:4,6	90:13 94:22	153:8,17,19
38:4 51:12 52:8	186:5	95:4	161:11 171:18
54:17 55:3,24	taken 1:20 12:18	term 89:22	171:19 173:22
56:16 57:9 60:9	14:1 16:5 35:1	102:12,15	176:13,22
71:24 72:5,19	48:5 54:8 63:14	155:24	185:12 186:4,14
80:14 111:14,22	70.3 37.0 03.14		188:2 190:9,22
			1

[thank - topics] Page 41

192:21 196:18	146:9 147:3	ties 82:3	83:17 147:8,10
196:23 197:15	151:13,14	time 14:8,11	155:18,23
198:24 199:12	153:20 154:3	17:23,24 22:11	titled 106:14
199:24 200:6,7,9	157:2 158:24	23:9,14,17 25:2	today 8:10 9:24
thanks 63:13	159:20,23,25	27:15 30:4 32:8	12:16 31:11
161:12 197:2	161:4,5,12	35:10 42:4,25	64:13 69:13
theory 54:20	164:10 170:24	44:22 48:11,20	109:25 111:17
thick 194:22	175:4,10,14,18	49:9 56:7 59:17	118:5 120:21
195:21	175:20,21 176:5	65:14 68:14	122:23 129:5,20
thing 8:9 67:9	176:9 187:14	81:15 83:1,2,4	130:7 140:4
184:16 194:3	192:12,22	84:7,11,23,23	153:11,15 161:8
things 60:18	193:21	85:1,4 92:12	171:25 173:10
79:14 111:21	third 5:6 32:4	93:2,15 98:11,12	182:5 188:18,20
112:6 115:3,20	125:5,9,22,24	100:16 108:4	198:9
158:19 182:8	126:3 127:25	115:24,24 116:3	today's 161:22
191:5	129:16 130:6,10	117:21 118:14	told 52:12
think 19:11 20:1	130:14 131:3,8	118:15 120:18	111:13 165:15
22:5 25:17 26:9	131:22 132:22	122:3 123:16	168:9 181:25
27:3 30:3 40:8	133:5,15 134:19	127:6,14 129:6	toledo 1:8 9:6
40:15 41:8	134:24 135:11	131:7,20 132:13	204:7 205:3
46:25 50:5 53:3	144:23 145:4	134:9,11 137:4	206:3
53:4 59:13 60:1	195:8	137:13,21	tom 4:8 10:24
61:8,24 65:15	thirty 134:13	138:15 139:25	11:2 53:24
66:5,18 69:11	204:19	147:25 151:4,5,6	tone 40:12 41:1
70:22 71:4,6,10	thomas 4:3	152:10,12	88:8 89:8,22,25
71:13 73:5 75:5	thomas.lindgren	153:12,15,17	90:5 96:9
75:13,25 76:18	4:13	154:15,18,23	tony 82:8
77:11 80:23	thorough 34:7	161:8 165:24	top 17:18 31:19
96:15 98:24	thought 77:14	169:24 170:14	40:13 41:1
99:5 101:13	80:17 136:7	173:9 182:25	83:20 88:8 89:8
103:5 111:25	thoughts 117:1	187:16 202:20	89:23 96:9
112:5,25 113:14	thousands 62:3	timeframe	topic 20:24
114:1,14,23	62:4	136:15 157:7	42:17 58:19
115:2,11,19	three 103:15,23	160:6	62:14 72:10
117:22 118:3	140:2 154:24	times 107:15	180:23 189:20
119:22 121:5,15	155:12 156:1	timing 185:18,19	189:23,25 194:4
140:1 143:9	threshold 64:7	title 16:18 18:20	topics 52:9,25
144:2,21 145:18		23:10 48:9 83:8	77:4 172:6,16

[topics - utilities] Page 42

177:25 182:19	206:11,17	type 105:12	understood
183:4 184:20,22	transcription	117:23 132:5	115:4
185:6,7 187:3,14	202:17	174:23 185:9	undertake
193:18 194:15	transcripts	types 189:6	120:14
touched 111:4	198:20	typically 103:1	undue 60:14
track 140:10,16	transferred	134:6 135:2	unethical 90:13
tracking 122:9	199:9	u	unfair 165:3
123:15 124:8	transparency	ultimately 120:5	185:8
125:15 133:2	176:20 189:3	120:8 122:8	unfairly 173:19
134:23	transparent	umbrella 104:5	unit 147:4,5
trading 31:17	176:19 179:13	unaware 144:4	united 39:9
trained 147:4	tread 64:25 76:6	unc 1:13 12:20	182:11 188:14
training 20:18	tree 104:15	underlie 26:3	university 13:3
31:17 44:5,8,10	trent 6:21,24	underlying 63:7	unregulated
44:11,12,16 46:1	12:9	73:22 75:5	141:12
46:9,10,12,25	tried 168:20	187:4,10	unrelated 172:7
47:2,4,6,9,11	trouble 100:11	understand 15:1	174:17
48:1,5,6 51:5	158:22	40:5,17,20,24	unstaffed 46:23
84:2,19,21,22	true 162:1	61:21 66:14	updated 75:2
124:20	202:16	67:6 89:10	uphold 85:22
trainings 84:23	truth 202:11,11	96:10 126:23	upper 90:5
transaction	202:12	136:10 143:16	use 78:12 109:10
24:24	try 10:13 75:14	144:15 179:2	109:18 117:24
transactions	76:6 90:1	199:7	130:13,19 166:4
21:3,13 26:2,4	100:18,20,22	understanding	196:16
26:24	112:24 182:4	20:13 40:19	users 6:2
transcribed	trying 24:13	41:18 45:13	utilities 1:1 9:22
167:17 202:16	25:1 63:2,6 67:2	66:10 90:9	19:23 22:7,15,25
205:7	67:5 68:6 69:9	95:13,25 96:14	24:9 29:16,16,17
transcript 7:1	72:1,7 180:17	104:22 120:17	42:3 43:4,18
81:8 113:19	185:15 194:2,11	120:20,21	46:2 55:4 59:22
118:6 171:12	194:19 197:6	135:14 141:11	60:12 70:8
176:13 177:16	turn 49:23 70:9	141:14 152:24	71:25 72:5
188:21 189:15	99:22 121:17	157:24 159:11	78:18 82:24
195:13,24	twenty 4:10	172:15 190:24	84:15 98:4
199:10,23 201:3	99:25	191:2,15 193:19	101:10,15
201:6 204:12,13	two 173:23		103:15 106:5
205:5,12 206:5	185:5 198:16		107:25 108:9

[utilities - willis] Page 43

109:13 155:4,12	125:24 129:16	W	190:3 193:11
156:2,8 160:24	130:14 131:23	w 4:18	198:11
178:18	132:22 133:5	wacker 2:8	wants 109:14
utility 6:19	135:11	wait 58:17	warrant 63:4
12:10 102:19	vendor's 126:3	164:25	warranty 102:5
103:23,25	134:14	waited 180:13	washington 13:3
104:20,21 105:4	veritext 204:1,8	182:10	way 26:22 37:5
105:16,19 108:6	207:1	waive 85:18	52:12 57:4
108:12,17	veritext.com.	113:12	65:23 71:18
109:19 110:3,15	204:18	waived 158:9,11	102:21 118:11
117:2 118:21	vern 53:25	158:20 159:18	131:12 150:15
119:5,12 137:22	versa 50:12	204:20	153:15 166:18
154:25 156:13	version 130:16	waiver 158:15	175:16 184:15
156:19 160:25	130:18,22	wallace 6:3	we've 57:11 62:3
179:9,10	vespoli 18:1	11:21	62:8 79:22 90:9
utility's 110:13	vice 14:14 50:12	want 16:8 29:4	100:16 179:17
111:6	155:20	37:6,16 39:17	192:13
utilizes 130:22	view 57:3 113:24	42:16,17,22	website 131:18
utmost 39:7	189:6	58:18,20 60:17	week 137:14
55:18 75:9	violate 145:11	61:1,3 67:5	weeks 137:18
185:24	violated 70:5	82:20 84:25	weigh 58:22 74:2
v	73:9,15,20 156:2	90:21 91:3	198:19
v 204:7 205:3	violation 24:11	99:22 100:1	weight 194:25
206:3	37:25 55:7	103:6 104:1	went 13:13
vague 19:25 23:6	59:15,23 69:18	111:14 112:21	15:24 73:8
109:11	70:2,4 74:14,22	114:24 115:17	79:22 127:18
variety 46:6	75:4 78:23 94:8	116:9,10 117:12	170:25,25
48:23 122:20	106:4 107:16	145:2 153:24	werner 4:4
various 119:11	151:9 152:1	154:11 161:16	10:24
120:15 123:6	174:21	165:10 166:15	whereof 203:5
124:20,22	violations 36:22	167:9,22 169:20	whitt 106:2
132:14,15	54:23 78:13	171:22 173:23	wholly 174:16
188:22	91:24 123:18	177:10,11	wide 77:7
vending 146:16	142:2	178:25 179:24	willis 2:16 8:9
146:17,23	voluntarily	185:13 189:22	37:15 38:8,12,18
vendor 32:4	184:8	wanted 66:14	38:21,25 53:1,10
35:14 125:5,10	voted 117:2	79:12 164:12	67:19,22 69:15
		171:19 179:13	69:25 70:1 73:7

[willis - zoom] Page 44

73:24 77:17	205:1,4,11 206:1	wygonski 4:19
78:15 80:2 81:9	206:4,15	11:7
112:14,20	witnesses 179:16	y
114:11,13,19	181:3,9 185:17	-
115:6,11,17	197:7	year 13:5 14:4 16:1 22:20
158:5,13 163:4	witness' 204:15	years 13:9 24:4
163:14 164:14	wondering 55:9	75:23 140:2,2
166:9 167:3,13	197:8	yeboah 1:17 7:6
177:7,18 190:10	word 90:2	8:1,19 10:10
194:7,24 195:10	167:11 185:13	12:13,15 29:7
wills 177:17	worded 131:11	54:12 56:19
winston 33:7	words 45:7	100:12 121:19
wire 178:21	166:4	154:5 180:6
wish 200:2	work 13:8,10,12	202:10 204:9
withdraw 161:6	13:14 14:12	205:4,9 206:4,13
withdrew 161:9	15:20,25,25	207:20
witness 26:13	16:17 41:2	yost 188:16
28:18 30:7	46:23 114:23	
39:22 52:3,7,17	115:3	Z
52:19 55:12	worked 15:2	zoom 165:7
57:13 59:11,16	17:22 19:13	
60:2 61:2 87:21	29:9 44:22	
88:20 89:17	46:25 48:11	
90:17,19 91:19	86:15,17 93:2	
93:5 94:3,19	working 49:9	
95:22 96:22	109:24 120:18	
104:3 109:14	135:15	
113:6 116:15,16	worried 183:6	
116:21 117:15	worst 38:10	
142:20 143:3	writing 34:20	
145:14 146:6,9	107:2 125:1	
149:14 157:19	written 32:23	
159:7 163:11,13	73:12 126:18,21	
168:12 171:7	126:24 127:1,3,6	
174:10,25	127:10 190:5	
197:25 201:2	wrong 41:20	
202:9,14,15,18	96:16	
203:5 204:9,12		

Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure Title V. Discovery

Rule 30

(e) Submission to Witness; Changes; Signing. When the testimony is fully transcribed, the deposition shall be submitted to the witness for examination and shall be read to or by the witness, unless examination and reading are waived by the witness and by the parties. Any changes in form or substance that the witness desires to make shall be entered upon the deposition by the officer with a statement of the reasons given by the witness for making them. The deposition shall then be signed by the witness, unless the parties by stipulation waive the signing or the witness is ill, cannot be found, or refuses to sign. The witness shall have thirty days from submission of the deposition to the witness to review and sign the deposition. If the deposition is taken within thirty days of a trial or hearing, the witness shall have seven days from submission of the deposition to the witness to review and sign the deposition. If the trial or hearing is scheduled to commence less than seven days before the deposition is submitted to the witness, the court may establish a deadline for the

witness to review and sign the deposition. If the deposition is not signed by the witness during the period prescribed in this division, the officer shall sign it and state on the record the fact of the waiver or of the illness or absence of the witness or the fact of the refusal to sign together with the reason, if any, given therefor; and the deposition may then be used as fully as though signed, unless on a motion to suppress the court holds that the reasons given for the refusal to sign require rejection of the deposition in whole or in part.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/10/2022 4:55:50 PM

in

Case No(s). 17-0974-EL-UNC

Summary: Transcript Notice of Filing of Transcript for Deposition of Ebony Yeboah-Amankwah on July 21, 2022 by Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Ms. Alana M. Noward on behalf of Willis, Maureen R.