

**From:** [Puco ContactOPSB](#)  
**To:** [Puco Docketing](#)  
**Subject:** public comment 20-1605  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 12, 2022 11:41:54 AM

---

Dear OPSB,

Please deny the approval of this project. Just because the opposition that filed lawsuits against this project have settled, it is pretty far fetched to believe that they are no longer against. More than likely they are no longer allowed to speak up at all based upon their settlements with Light Source BP. At some point people have to make up their minds as to how much they can invest in continuing to fight a corporate giant with unlimited funds for litigation. As far as those against who did reach agreements, I am happy for them. I hope they were able to be properly compensated. There is still a very large portion of the "LOCAL RESIDENTS" who still Oppose this project. I hope that the board will deny this simply based upon the lack of justification of need as well as the overwhelming opposition to the project. This company has already been making cash donations to the Shawnee Parents Association to pay for a Very large portion of the funds needed for a new Field and score board. This is plain and simple bribery to gain support, this school district already gets a large amount of funds from its tax paying citizens. If they need more funds they need to ask them for it. If they cannot get those funds than they need to find out why people feel that way. This company has been doing everything they can to win over people. They cannot provide the data to prove any of their statements as to the future of these non-ag producing, Chinese made panels. The residents do not want these, the local Elected Officials do not want these, State Officials do not want these. Who are the people who really want these? Who stands to benefit from these? Who will be left with the long term consequences of this projects approval? I will be surrounded on 3 sides by this project and have been offered compensation, I still do not think that this is anything that this community needs or wants, and there is not some large scale justification for this.

Scott Stahler

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities  
Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**7/12/2022 12:37:00 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 20-1605-EL-BGN**

Summary: Public Comment Public comment of Scott Stahler, via website,  
electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing