BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO _ _ _ In the Matter of the : Application of Aqua Ohio, : Inc. to Increase its : Case No. 21-595-WW-AIR Rates and Charges for its : Waterworks Service. In the Matter of the Increase its Rates and : Charges for its Application of Aqua Ohio : Charges for its Wastewater Service. : _ _ _ PROCEEDINGS before Michael Williams and David M. Hicks, Attorney Examiners, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:05 a.m. on Thursday, June 23, 2022. _ _ _ ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201 (614) 224-9481

- - -

\sim
` /
۷.

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Ice Miller, LLP
            By Christopher L. Miller, Esq.
 3
            and Nicole R. Woods, Esq.
            250 West Street, Suite 700
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 4
 5
                 On behalf of Aqua Ohio, Inc. and Aqua
                 Ohio Wastewater, Inc.
 6
            Bruce J. Weston, Consumers' Counsel
 7
            By Amy Botschner O'Brien, Esq.
            Assistant Consumers' Counsel
            64 East State Street, Suite 700
 8
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 9
                 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of
10
                 Aqua Ohio, Inc. and Aqua Ohio Wastewater,
                 Inc.
11
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
12
            John Jones, Section Chief
            By Kyle Kern, Esq.,
13
            and Sarah Feldkamp, Esq.,
            Assistant Attorneys General
14
            Public Utilities Section
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
15
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
                 On behalf of the Staff of the Public
16
                 Utilities Commission of Ohio.
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

	3
1	CASE NO. 21-595-WW-AIR AND CASE NO. 21-596-ST-AIR
2	INDEX TO WITNESSES
3	
4	WITNESS PAGE
5	Robert L. Davis
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Miller 16 Examination by Examiner Williams 18
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

г				
				4
1		CASE NO. 21-595-W	W-AIR	
2		INDEX TO EXHIBI	TS	
3	тотыш	EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	
4		EXUIDITS	IDENIICIED	ADMIIIED
5	1.0	Stipulation and Recommendation	10	27
6	2.0	Stipulation Schedules	10	27
7	3.0	Revised Tariff	10	27
8				
9	AQUA E	XHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
10	1.0	Direct Testimony of Robert L. Davis	11	27
11	2.0	Direct Testimony of	11	27
12		Paul J. Hanley		
13	3.0	Direct Testimony of Peter Kusky, PE	11	27
14 15	4.0	Direct Testimony of William C. Packer	11	27
16	5.0	Direct Testimony of Dylan D'Ascendis	11	27
17	6.0	Direct Testimony of	11	27
18		Constance E. Heppenstall		
19	7.0	Direct Testimony of Daniel T. Franceski	11	27
20	8.0		11	07
21	0.0	Application filed on June 28, 2021, and amended on July 17, 2021,	ΤT	27
22		and August 24, 2021		
23	9.0	Supplemental Direct Testimony of	12	27
24		Robert L. Davis		
25				

r	[
				5
1		CASE NO. 21-	595-WW-AIR	
2		INDEX TO EXHIBIT	S (Continued)	
3			_	
4	STAFF	EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
5	1.0	Staff Report filed on February 11, 2022	11	27
6 7	2.0	Audit Report filed on February 11, 2022	11	27
8			_	
9	OCC EX	HIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
10	1.0	Direct Testimony of William Ross Willis	11	27
11				. –
12	2.0	Direct Testimony of James D. Williams	11	27
13	3.0	Direct Testimony of Daniel J. Duann, Ph.D	12	27
14			_	
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1				
				6
1		CASE NO. 21-596-5	T-AIR	
2		INDEX TO EXHIBI	TS	
3		EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	᠕᠋᠕᠋ᠴᡎᠬᢑ᠋᠋
4				
5	1.0	Stipulation and Recommendation	12	27
6	2.0	Stipulation Schedules	12	27
7	3.0	Revised Tariffs	12	27
8				
9	AQUA E	XHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
10	1.0	Direct Testimony of Robert L. Davis	12	27
11	2.0	Direct Testimony of	13	27
12		Paul J. Hanley		
13 14	3.0	Direct Testimony of Peter Kusky, PE	13	27
14	4.0	Direct Testimony of William C. Packer	13	27
16	5.0	Direct Testimony of Dylan D'Ascendis	13	27
17	6.0	Direct Testimony of	13	27
18		Constance E. Heppenstall		
19	7.0	Direct Testimony of Daniel T. Franceski	13	27
20	8.0	Direct Testimony of	13	27
21	0.0	John J. Spanos	τJ	27
22	9.0	Application filed on June 28, 2021, and	13	27
23	100	amended on August 24, 2021	7 4	0 7
24	10.0	Supplemental Direct Testimony of	14	27
25		Robert L. Davis		

i				
				7
1		CASE NO. 21-5	96-ST-AIR	
2		INDEX TO EXHIBITS	(Continued)	
3				
4	STAFF	EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
5	1.0	Staff Report filed on February 11, 2022	13	27
6 7	2.0	Audit Report filed on February 11, 2022	14	27
8				
9	OCC EX	KHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
10	1.0	Direct Testimony of William Ross Willis	14	27
11	2 0	Direct Testimony of	14	27
12	2.0	Daniel J. Duann, Ph.D	T 4	21
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

8 1 Thursday Morning Session, 2 June 23, 2022. 3 EXAMINER HICKS: Let's go on the record. 4 5 The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 6 has called for a hearing at this time and place, 7 Case No. 21-595-WW-AIR, which is In the Matter of the Application of Aqua Ohio, Inc. to Increase its Rates 8 9 and Charges for its Waterworks Service; and also 10 Case No. 21-596-ST-AIR, captioned In the Matter of 11 the Application of Aqua Ohio Wastewater, Inc. to 12 Increase its Rates and Charges for its Wastewater 13 Service. 14 My name is David Hicks, with me is Mike 15 Williams, and we are the Attorney Examiners assigned 16 by the Commission to hear these cases. 17 We'll go ahead and get started now by 18 taking appearances on behalf of the parties, and we 19 will start with the Applicant. 20 MR. MILLER: Your Honors, good morning. 21 For the Applicant, Christopher L. Miller and Nicole 22 R. Woods of the law firm of Ice Miller, 250 West 23 Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. 24 EXAMINER HICKS: Thank you. 25 And on behalf of Commission Staff.

	9
1	MS. KERN: Thank you, Your Honor. On
2	behalf of the Office of the Ohio Attorney General,
3	Kyle Kern and Sarah Feldkamp, representing the Public
4	Utilities Commission Staff, 30 East Broad Street,
5	26th floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
6	EXAMINER HICKS: And on behalf of Ohio
7	Consumers' Counsel.
8	MS. BOTSCHNER O'BRIEN: Thank you, Your
9	Honor. Appearing on behalf of the Office of Ohio
10	Consumers' Counsel, Amy Botschner O'Brien, 65 East
11	State Street, Suite 700, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
12	EXAMINER HICKS: Thank you.
13	I will just also note for the record that
14	the City of Marion has intervened in Case No. 21-595.
15	Their counsel is not present today, but he did,
16	yesterday, file on the record a Notice of Waiver of
17	Appearance indicating that he waived the right to be
18	here and would obviously not be in attendance. The
19	City is a party to the Stipulation in Case
20	No. 21-595, so the Bench will obviously accept that
21	Notice of Waiver, and just put on record that he did
22	file it and is not in attendance today.
23	With that, I will turn it over I
24	think, based on our preliminary discussions, I will
25	be turning it over to Ms. Kern initially.

10 1 MS. KERN: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. 2 I will start with marking the Stipulation 3 and Recommendation and exhibits referenced therein in Docket 21-595-WW-AIR, and I would like to start with 4 5 marking the Stipulation that was filed in this docket 6 on June 16, 2022, as Joint Exhibit 1. 7 Your Honors, do you need a copy? I did 8 bring copies. 9 EXAMINER HICKS: I think we have --10 MS. KERN: Okay. 11 EXAMINER HICKS: -- copies of everything. 12 And then, just for ease, I'll just go ahead and mark 13 them all, and at the end I'm going to say they're all 14 so marked --15 MS. KERN: Okay. 16 EXAMINER HICKS: -- rather than 17 interrupting you --18 MS. KERN: Okay. Thank you. 19 EXAMINER HICKS: -- with each one. 20 MS. KERN: Then, for reference, I'm 21 looking at page 5 of the Stipulation that lists the 22 joint -- the exhibits from the Stipulation. The second exhibit is Joint Exhibit 2 and 23 24 that is the Stipulation Schedules. 25 Joint Exhibit 3 will be marked as Revised

```
11
     Tariffs.
 1
 2
                 Aqua Exhibit 1 will be the Direct
 3
     Testimony of Robert L. Davis.
                 Aqua Exhibit 2, the Direct Testimony of
 4
 5
     Paul J. Hanley.
                 Aqua Exhibit 3, Direct Testimony of Peter
 6
 7
     Kusky.
                 Aqua Exhibit 4, Direct Testimony of
 8
     William C. Packer.
 9
10
                 Aqua Exhibit 5, Direct Testimony of Dylan
11
     D'Ascendis.
12
                 Aqua Exhibit 6, Direct Testimony of
13
     Constance E. Heppenstall.
14
                 Aqua Exhibit 7, Direct Testimony of
15
     Daniel T. Franceski.
16
                 Aqua Exhibit 8, the Application filed on
17
     June 28, 2021, and amended on July 17, 2021, and
18
     August 24, 2021.
19
                 Staff Exhibit 1 is the Staff Report filed
20
     in this docket on February 11, 2022.
21
                 Staff Exhibit 2, the Audit Report filed
22
     on February 11, 2022.
23
                 OCC Exhibit 1, Direct Testimony of
24
     William Ross Willis.
25
                 OCC Exhibit 2, Direct Testimony of James
```

12 D. Williams. 1 2 OCC Exhibit 3, Direct Testimony of Daniel 3 J. Duann. And I would like to note that Robert 4 5 Davis filed Supplemental Testimony in this docket on June 21, 2022, and that will be marked as Aqua 6 7 Exhibit 9. And it is not referenced in the Stipulation, which is why I did it out of order. 8 9 EXAMINER HICKS: Those will all be so 10 marked. 11 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 12 MS. KERN: Your Honors, would you like me 13 to go to the 596 proceeding? 14 EXAMINER HICKS: Sure. Let's go. 15 MS. KERN: Okay. Thank you. 16 So, similarly, I will start with the 17 Stipulation that was filed on June 16, 2022, in Docket 21-596-ST-AIR. Joint Exhibit 1 will be the 18 19 Stipulation and Recommendation. 20 Joint Exhibit 2 will be the Stipulation 21 Schedules. 2.2 Joint Exhibit 3, the Revised Tariffs. 23 Aqua Exhibit 1 will be the Direct 24 Testimony of Robert L. Davis. 25 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: I'm sorry to

```
13
     interrupt. I think we're marking those distinct from
 1
 2
     Aqua.
 3
                 MS. KERN: Oh. AWI. Excuse me. Is
     that -- is that acceptable?
 4
 5
                 EXAMINER HICKS: Yeah, we'll do AWI for
     those exhibits.
 6
 7
                 MS. KERN: So that will be AWI Exhibit 1.
                 AWI Exhibit 2, Direct Testimony of Paul
 8
9
     J. Hanley.
10
                 AWI Exhibit 3, Direct Testimony of Peter
11
     Kusky.
12
                 AWI Exhibit 4, Direct Testimony of
13
    William C. Packer.
14
                 AWI Exhibit 5, Direct Testimony of Dylan
     D'Ascendis.
15
                 AWI Exhibit 6, Direct Testimony of
16
17
     Constance E. Heppenstall.
18
                 AWI Exhibit 7, Direct Testimony of Daniel
19
     T. Franceski.
20
                 AWI Exhibit 8, Direct Testimony of John
21
     J. Spanos.
22
                 AWI Exhibit 9, the Application filed on
23
     June 28, '21, and amended on August 24, '21.
24
                 Staff Exhibit 1, the Staff Report filed
25
     on February 11, '22.
```

14 Staff Exhibit 2, Audit Report filed on 1 2 February 11, 2022. OCC Exhibit 1, Direct Testimony of 3 William Ross Willis. 4 5 OCC Exhibit 2, Direct Testimony of Daniel 6 J. Duann. 7 I just wanted to note that this list is 8 on page 4 of Joint Exhibit 1, the Stipulation and Recommendation. 9 10 And also, Robert Davis' Supplemental 11 Testimony should be marked as AWI Exhibit 10. That 12 is not listed in the Stipulation and was filed 13 separately. 14 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Let's go off the 15 record for just a second. 16 (Discussion off the record.) 17 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Back on. 18 So two points of clarification regarding 19 the exhibits that we are marking. 20 Relative to the 596 case, we're going to 21 ask that we lead into each exhibit with "AWI," to 22 distinguish it from the 595 case. So, for example, 23 AWI Joint Exhibit 1.0, AWI Staff Exhibit 1.0, and AWI 24 OCC Exhibit 1.0, will carry across everything that's 25 not already premarked as AWI.

	15
1	Also, we want to clarify that the
2	exhibits were brought in as 1, 2, 3, and so on.
3	They're, in fact, in the Stipulation marked as 1.0,
4	2.0. So we'll mark them as the 1.0, 2.0, as they're
5	proposed in the Stipulation.
6	If I need to clarify that further, please
7	let me know. Otherwise, subject to that
8	modification, those exhibits are also so marked.
9	(EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
10	MS. KERN: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Thank you.
12	EXAMINER HICKS: Anything further,
13	Ms. Kern?
14	MS. KERN: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
15	EXAMINER HICKS: I think, based on our
16	preliminary instructions, we will now turn it over to
17	you, Mr. Miller.
18	MR. MILLER: That would be fine. So I
19	would like to call as the first and only witness for
20	the party for the Applicant, Robert L. Davis.
21	Bob, if you'll
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
23	EXAMINER HICKS: If you will raise your
24	right hand, I'll swear you in.
25	(Witness sworn.)

	16
1	EXAMINER HICKS: Thank you.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3	EXAMINER HICKS: I'll hand it over to
4	Judge Williams.
5	EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Did you want to ask
6	any questions?
7	MR. MILLER: Sure. I can set it up.
8	
9	ROBERT L. DAVIS
10	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
11	examined and testified as follows:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	By Mr. Miller:
14	Q. Good morning, Mr. Davis. How are you?
15	A. Good. Yourself?
16	Q. Good. Thank you.
17	First of all, for the record, what is
18	your name and what is your business address?
19	A. My name is Robert L. Davis. I'm the
20	President and COO of Aqua Ohio, Inc. and Aqua
21	Wastewater, Inc. My business address is 6650 South
22	Avenue, Boardman, Ohio 44512.
23	Q. And you answered my second question, so
24	you're ahead of me. Thank you. So
25	A. We only have 15 minutes.

Γ

	17
1	Q. I know.
2	So, Mr. Davis, you heard reference to a
3	number of pieces of testimony that you have prepared
4	prefiled testimony in this case, and I believe there
5	are four. There is a Direct Testimony, prefiled, for
6	both what we know as the 595 water case and the 596
7	sewer case, and then you filed Supplemental Testimony
8	in the 595 water case and the 596 sewer case. Those
9	direct testimonies were filed on July 12, 2021, and
10	the supplementals were filed on June 21, 2022. Are
11	you familiar with all those?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Do you have copies of those in front of
14	you if you need them?
15	A. I do.
16	Q. We've already marked those exhibits into
17	the record, so I would ask you several questions.
18	Did you prepare or cause to be prepared those
19	pieces four pieces of testimony?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Do you have any corrections, changes,
22	modifications, or updates to any of those pieces of
23	testimony at this time?
24	A. I do not.
25	MR. MILLER: Your Honors, I would provide

	18
1	him and proffer him for cross or questions from the
2	Bench.
3	EXAMINER HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Miller.
4	
5	EXAMINATION
6	By Examiner Williams:
7	Q. Good morning, Mr. Davis.
8	A. Good morning.
9	Q. Just a couple questions relative to your
10	Supplemental Testimony. I guess, at the outset, I
11	want to clarify. I know we have these two parallel
12	cases and we were brought Stipulations in each case.
13	Are there material distinctions made as to the
14	Stipulations between the two cases? In other words,
15	the settlement negotiations that ensued between
16	Application, Staff Report, and us sitting here today,
17	have they caused any material distinctions between
18	how those two cases are being treated from a
19	Stipulation standpoint?
20	A. No, they have not.
21	Q. Okay. So, essentially, if we're talking
22	about the financial aspects of the larger case, the
23	595 case, that will draw forth the financial aspects
24	on a parallel track to the
25	A. Yeah, the only difference, Your Honor,

19

would be the revenue requirement in the water case 1 2 versus the sewer case. 3 Q. Okay. Thank you. So I note in the Stipulation -- again, 4 5 I'm just going to reference 595 for ease of our own convenience -- at page 4, you reference the benefit 6 7 to ratepayers, and you reference recognizing objections to the Staff Report, rejecting some of 8 those objections, and considering alternative 9 10 approaches. Are you able to highlight any of the alternative approaches that were considered, for the 11 12 record? Α. "Alternative approaches," can you 13 14 elaborate? 15 Ο. Yeah. Maybe it's not the most fair 16 question. Do you have your Supplemental Testimony in 17 front of you? 18 T do. Α. 19 It's on page 4 of that testimony, Ο. 20 line 12. Are you there? 21 Α. Yes. Supplemental Testimony on page 4? 22 Correct. The answer actually begins at Ο. 23 line 10. You're talking about the benefit to 24 ratepayers and the public interest; and then, at 25 line 12, you reference a response to objections to

20 the Staff Report, rejecting some of the objections --1 2 Α. Yes. 3 -- and then you reference considering Ο. alternative approaches. I just wanted to know if you 4 5 could provide some context to what alternative 6 approaches were considered, if you're able to. 7 Α. Yeah. I think some of the alternative 8 approaches that we looked at, we looked at, 9 obviously, reducing the revenue requirement for the 10 water case in this particular case from, I believe, 8.4 million down to, I believe, 5.1 million, would be 11 12 that alternative approach there. 13 We talked about numerous information 14 regarding the benefit to the ratepayers and the 15 public interest. 16 We also looked at the rate of return, 17 that was looked at, an alternative of 6.78, less than 18 the 7.42, the return that we had filed in the 19 previous application back in June of -- June 28 of 20 2022 (sic). 21 Ο. Thank you, sir. 22 And you also referenced one of the prongs 23 of the Commission's approach to considering 24 settlement which is the negotiations among capable 25 and knowledgeable parties. Your answer there is a

21

bit conclusory. I just want to expand on that, if I 1 2 could. Can you give us some idea of how many 3 negotiation sessions have occurred in the case overall? 4 5 Α. Yeah. The -- first of all, it was an 6 open and -- open process. Many, many Webexes that we 7 had that was facilitated by our legal counsel. We 8 believe that it was negotiated in good faith with 9 diverse perspectives that went into it. We met on a 10 weekly, biweekly basis, as much as was needed to, I 11 think, to move this case along. 12 I believe that compromise was met. Ι 13 think middle ground was met. I think all parties 14 were experienced, very knowledgeable in what we did. 15 And I think, at the end of the day, the Stipulation 16 agreement outlines the hard work that we put into 17 settling this case. 18 And all the parties participated in all Ο. 19 the settlement negotiation sessions? 20 Α. That is correct. OCC Staff, PUCO Staff. 21 Of course, Ice Miller, our legal consultant. 2.2 The City of Marion? Ο. 23 Α. And I believe Marion as well. 24 And Larkin may have been there once or twice. I'm not sure. 25

	22
1	Q. And just for context I do apologize
2	for pressing you on this "many, many," and
3	"weekly" and "biweekly," can you just give me an
4	approximate number? Are we talking about 5, 15, 50?
5	A. I would probably say at least at least
6	5 to 10, in that in that ballpark. It could have
7	been more.
8	Q. Thank you.
9	And then I just have a couple questions,
10	and if these are in more detail than your knowledge
11	here today, we'll certainly work through that, but if
12	you could draw your attention to, and I'm working
13	through the Stipulation in 595, and I'm at Joint
14	Exhibit 2.0, there's a Schedule B-12. We can go off
15	the record for a minute while you find that.
16	(Off the record.)
17	EXAMINER WILLIAMS: We're back on the
18	record.
19	Q. (By Examiner Williams) So we took just a
20	few seconds off the record while we all found Joint
21	Exhibit 2, Schedule B-12. There's some adjustments
22	there to plant in service and depreciation reserve
23	that collectively seem to amount to \$9.4 million,
24	plus or minus. Can you highlight what those
25	adjustments relate to?

	23
1	A. The yes. The adjustments relate to
2	the removing of tank painting expense from plant in
3	service; as well as adjustments to reflect the
4	capitalized tank painting and distribution reservoirs
5	and standpipes; in addition to the actual
6	depreciation reserve and the total depreciation
7	reserve as of 12/21 12/31/21. Adjustments, again,
8	for misclassified capital tank painting costs and
9	depreciation reserve.
10	Q. Okay. So that entire \$9.4 million then
11	results from reclassifying as a capital cost the
12	painting aspects of the company?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. Okay. Thank you.
15	The next page there is B-12, page 2 of 2.
16	And I think you just answered this question.
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. At line 4 then, "Adjustment to Remove
19	Tank Painting Expense from Plant in Service" is
20	\$1.2 million.
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. Nonetheless, you're indicating that on
23	the prior page, all those adjustments do relate to
24	the painting reclassification?
25	A. Yes.

24 1 Ο. Okay. And then a few pages deeper, I'm 2 now at --3 MS. KERN: Your Honor, may I interject? Can we go off the record for a moment? 4 5 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Let's go off the 6 record. 7 (Discussion off the record.) 8 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Let's go back on. 9 We were off the record for maybe 10 5 minutes or so. There was a collective discussion by all the stipulating parties relative to some of 11 12 the contents of the schedules again in 595. 13 Ο. (By Examiner Williams) And I'll turn back 14 to you. In terms of what's presented here today, and 15 I know there were -- the Application contained information as to date-certain numbers, correct? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 And then there were some recommended Ο. 19 modifications pursuant to the Staff reviewing the --20 Α. Yes. 21 Ο. -- audit report, correct? 22 Α. Yes. And then there were further modifications 23 Q. 24 that ensued pursuant to negotiations even after that, 25 correct?

	25
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And so is it your position and testimony
3	that what's before us today is a combination of the
4	entire process, including adjustments that resulted
5	directly from the negotiations that occurred over the
6	course of the last six months or more?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And you're here to indicate that you
9	believe this is fair and reasonable to all the
10	parties involved?
11	A. Yes.
12	EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. That's all the
13	questions I have.
14	Judge?
15	EXAMINER HICKS: I have no further
16	questions.
17	EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Let's go back off the
18	record.
19	(Discussion off the record.)
20	EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Let's go back on the
21	record.
22	I want to thank you for your testimony.
23	I do appreciate your patience. I know digging deep
24	into the financials can be a little cumbersome to us
25	non-accountants. Thank you so much for your

26 testimony. We don't have any further questions. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3 EXAMINER HICKS: Anything further, Mr. Miller? 4 5 MR. MILLER: Can we go off the record for 6 a minute? 7 EXAMINER HICKS: Sure. 8 Off the record. (Discussion off the record.) 9 10 EXAMINER HICKS: We're back on the 11 record. 12 Someone, I assume, would like to take up 13 the exhibits, to move them in? 14 MS. KERN: Thank you, Your Honor. Staff would move to admit all of the exhibits previously 15 16 listed for both dockets. I won't relist them now --17 EXAMINER HICKS: Sure. 18 MS. KERN: -- for efficiency. 19 EXAMINER HICKS: I will not read through 20 them again. 21 MS. KERN: Okay. 22 EXAMINER HICKS: I will assume there are 23 no objections from either parties, so I will globally 24 say that all those that have been marked on the 25 record are hereby admitted.

27 1 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 2 EXAMINER HICKS: Mr. Miller, I believe 3 you said you did want to note one thing for the record. 4 5 MR. MILLER: Yes. One additional note 6 for the record. Within, approximately, the next 7 24 hours, we will be filing a 4.0, which would be the customer notices. 8 9 EXAMINER HICKS: That would be Joint 10 Exhibit 4.0? 11 MR. MILLER: Correct. 12 EXAMINER HICKS: And is that -- will 13 there be one for each case? 14 MS. WOODS: There will be one for each 15 docket. 16 EXAMINER HICKS: Okay. So I believe we 17 had said our idea would be to leave the record 18 officially open for a little bit of time to allow you to file those. 19 20 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: So we'll look for 21 those to be filed by the end of business tomorrow --2.2 MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: -- Friday. 24 EXAMINER HICKS: Anything further? 25 MR. MILLER: Nothing from me.

MS. KERN: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank you. MS. BOTSCHNER O'BRIEN: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank you. EXAMINER HICKS: Hearing none, we will go ahead and adjourn. (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at 10:44 a.m.) - - -

	29
1	CERTIFICATE
2	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a
3	true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken
4	by me in this matter on Thursday, June 23, 2022, and
5	carefully compared with my original stenographic
6	notes.
7	
8	
9	Carolyn M. Burke, Registered Professional Reporter, and
10	Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.
11	
12	My commission expires July 17, 2023.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

7/11/2022 1:21:48 PM

in

Case No(s). 21-0595-WW-AIR, 21-0596-ST-AIR

Summary: Transcript June 23rd 2022 In the Matter of the Application of Aqua Ohio, Inc. to Increase its Rates and Charges for its Waterworks Service. In the Matter of the Application of Aqua Ohio Wastewater, Inc. to Increase its Rates and Charges for its Wastewater Service. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Burke, Carolyn