Columbia Exhibit No.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILTIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Co- lumbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. for Authority to Amend its Filed Tariffs to Increase the Rates and Charges for Gas Services and Related Matters.		Case No. 21-637-GA-AIR
In the Matter of the Application of Co- lumbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. for Approval of an Alternative Form of Regulation.		Case No. 21-638-GA-ALT
In the Matter of the Application of Co- lumbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. for Approval of a Demand Side Management Program for its Residential and Commercial Cus- tomers.	•	Case No. 21-639-GA-UNC
In the Matter of the Application of Co- lumbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. for Approval to Change Accounting Methods.	•	Case No. 21-640-GA-AAM

PREPARED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF SCOTT TUSTIN ON BEHALF OF COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.

- □ Management policies, practices, and organization
- \boxtimes Operating income
- \Box Rate base
- \Box Allocations
- \Box Rate of return
- $\hfill\square$ Rates and tariffs
- \Box Other

Joseph M. Clark, Asst. Gen. Counsel (0080711) John R. Ryan, Sr. Counsel (0090607) P.O. Box 117 290 W. Nationwide Blvd. Columbus, Ohio 43216-0117 Telephone: (614) 813-8685 (614) 285-2220 E-mail: josephclark@nisource.com johnryan@nisource.com

Eric B. Gallon (0071465)Mark S. Stemm (0023146)L. Bradfield Hughes (0070997)Devan K. Flahive (0097457)Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP 41 South High Street Columbus, OH 43215 Telephone: (614) 227-2000 Email: egallon@porterwright.com mstemm@porterwright.com bhughes@porterwright.com dflahive@porterwright.com

(Willing to accept service by e-mail)

Attorney for **COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.**

May 13, 2022

PREPARED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF SCOTT TUSTIN

1 2	I.	INTRODUCTION
3	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
4	A.	My name is Scott Tustin and my business address is 290 W Nationwide
5		Blvd. Columbus, OH 43214.
6		
7	Q.	By whom are you employed?
8	A.	I am employed by Columbia Gas of Ohio.
9		
10	Q.	Are you the same Scott Tustin who submitted Prepared Direct Testimony
11		in this proceeding?
12	А.	Yes, I am.
13		
14	Q.	On whose behalf are you appearing in this proceeding?
15	А.	I am appearing on behalf of Columbia Gas of Ohio in this rate proceeding.
16		
17	II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
18		
19	Q.	What is the purpose of your Supplemental Direct Testimony in this
20		proceeding?
21	А.	The purpose of my Supplemental Direct Testimony is to support the fol-
22		lowing issues raised by Columbia in its Objections to the Staff Report that
23		was filed in this case on April 6, 2022:
24		
25		Objection 3.1.10. Safety Operations O&M Expense Adjustment
26		
27	III.	Cross Bore Program Expansion
28	0	Did the Staff Depart eddress the supervise of the Grass Deve Dresser
29 20	Q.	Did the Staff Report address the expansion of the Cross Bore Program
30 31	٨	(CBP) discussed in your Direct Testimony?
32	А.	Yes. The Staff Report mentioned Cross Bores in one sentence: "Staff removed this proposed adjustment because it does not recommend
33		expansion of the cross bores program" Staff provided no evidentiary
34		support, nor other justification, for its recommendation to remove
35		expanded CBP expense.
36		capatitien edit capetile.
37		

1 Q. Why is the expansion of the CBP important?

2 The CBP is an integral component of accelerated actions for DIMP A. 3 requirements. Thus, expanding the CBP confers a direct safety benefit to Columbia's customers. As I mentioned in my Direct Testimony, a Cross 4 5 Bore is the intersection of one underground utility or structure by a second 6 underground utility or structure that compromises the structural integrity 7 of the underground utility and or the underground structure. Cross Bores, 8 once discovered, necessitate remediation because, when they occur, there is 9 a material risk of natural gas migrating through a second utility pipeline 10 (for example, a storm or a anitary line) into buildings or homes, even those 11 that do not have natural gas service. Non-gas customers, and even gas 12 customers, may not have natural gas detection equipment and/or may not 13 be prepared to properly respond to the smell of natural gas should such 14 migration occur.

For these reasons, aggressively diminishing risks presented by Cross Bores
should be a priority for the Commission. As stated in my Direct Testimony,
the current plan for addressing Cross Bores on Columbia's system will take
more than 100 years. Columbia's proposal in this case would shorten this
outlay by more than half.

21

15

Q. Would Commission authority for the expansion of the CBP makeColumbia's system safer?

- A. Yes. There is a direct correlation between implantation of CBP initiatives
 (i.e., inspection, remediation and education resources) and the number of
 Cross Bores uncovered or discovered and remediated.
- 26 27

	Number of	
Year	Cross Bores	Spend
	Identified	
2015-Actual	101	\$ 978,703
2016-Actual	150	\$ 2,123,511
2017-Actual	152	\$ 2,048,728
2018-Actual	127	\$ 1,938,629
2019-Actual	124	\$ 1,516,473
2020-Actual	102	\$ 1,027,209
2021-Actual	97	\$ 946,724

Further, if the Commission approves cost recovery to fund expansion of the CBP, Columbia will prioritize targeting the urban areas of its service area as the risk model indicates, thereby greatly reducing the risk to a majority of its customer base in the first 20 years.

6 Q. Is the expense of expanding the CBP justified?

Yes, considering the return on investment from a safety standpoint. The
question presented to the Commission in Columbia's Application is: what
time period represents an appropriate level of risk relative to gas migration
from Cross Bores? Columbia's proposal would accelerate completion of the
current CBP from 100+ years to 40 years. Staff's recommendation implies
that there is no reason to compress the time period. Columbia disagrees.
This safety program is important enough to accelerate.

- 15 III. CONCLUSION
- 16

14

5

17 Q. Does this complete your Prepared Supplemental Direct Testimony?

18 A. Yes, it does.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the parties referenced on the service list of the docket card who have electronically subscribed to the case. In addition, the undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing document is also being served via electronic mail on the 13th day of May, 2022, upon the persons listed below.

/s/ Joseph M. Clark

Joseph M. Clark

Attorney for **COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.**

SERVICE LIST

Citizens' Utility Board of Ohio	Trent Dougherty <u>trent@hubaydougherty.com</u>
Environmental Law & Policy Center	Janean R. Weber jweber@elpc.org
Industrial Energy Users-Ohio	Matthew R. Pritchard Bryce A. McKenney McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC <u>mpritchard@mcneeslaw.com</u> <u>bmckenney@mcneeslaw.com</u>
Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.	Michael Nugent Evan Betterton Joseph Oliker Stacie Cathcart <u>michael.nugent@igs.com</u> <u>evan.betterton@igs.com</u> <u>joe.oliker@igs.com</u> <u>stacie.cathcart@igs.com</u>

The Kroger Company	Angela Paul Whitfield
	Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP
	paul@carpenterlipps.com
Northeast Ohio Public Energy	Devin D. Parram
Council	BRICKER & ECKLER LLP
	dparram@bricker.com
	<u>~</u>
	Glenn S. Krassen
	gkrassen@nopec.org
Office of the Ohio Consumers'	Angela D. O'Brien
Counsel	William J. Michael
	Assistant Consumers' Counsel
	angela.obrien@occ.ohio.gov
	william.michael@occ.ohio.gov
Ohio Energy Group (OEG)	Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.
	Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.
	Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.
	BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY
	mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com
	kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
	jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com
Ohio Manufacturers' Associa-	Kimberly W. Bojko
tion Energy Group	Jonathan Wygonski
r	Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP
	Bojko@carpenterlipps.com
	Wygonski@carpenterlipps.com
Ohio Partners for Affordable	Robert Dove
Energy	Kegler Brown Hill + Ritter Co., L.P.A.
	rdove@keglerbrown.com

Ohio School Council	Glenn S. Krassen BRICKER & ECKLER LLP <u>gkrassen@bricker.com</u> Dane Stinson BRICKER & ECKLER LLP <u>dstinson@bricker.com</u>
Retail Energy Supply Associa- tion	Michael J. Settineri Gretchen L. Petrucci Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP <u>mjsettineri@vorys.com</u> <u>glpetrucci@vorys.com</u>

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/13/2022 3:44:14 PM

in

Case No(s). 21-0637-GA-AIR, 21-0638-GA-ALT, 21-0639-GA-UNC, 21-0640-GA-AAM

Summary: Testimony Prepared Supplemental Direct Testimony of Scott Tustin on behalf of Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. electronically filed by Ms. Melissa L. Thompson on behalf of Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.