BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

In the Matter of the Application of Birch Solar 1, LLC for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for a Solar Facility Located in Allen County and Auglaize County, Ohio.

Case No. 20-1605-EL-BGN

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF

Mark Bellamy

ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO, POWER SITING DEPARTMENT

STAFF EX. ____

- 1 1. Q. Please state your name and your business address.
- A. My name is Mark C. Bellamy. My business address is 180 East Broad

 Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

4

- 5 2. Q. By whom are you employed and what is your position?
- A. I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) as a
- 7 Utility Specialist in the Facility Review and Compliance Division of the
- 8 Power Siting Department.

9

- 10 3. Q. Please summarize your educational background.
- 11 A. My education includes earning a Bachelor of Science in Education degree
- in Chemistry from Arkansas State University.

13

- 14 4. Q. Please summarize your work experience.
- 15 A. Prior to my employment with the PUCO, I served six years in the U.S.
- Navy as a Machinist's Mate on a submarine. I operated and maintained
- atmosphere control equipment, as well as performed duties as a quality
- assurance inspector. After the Navy, I was employed as a high school
- science teacher. I joined the staff of the PUCO in 2009. My duties include
- review and analysis of power siting cases.

Have you testified in prior proceedings before the Ohio Power Siting Board Q. 2 (Board)? 3 A. Yes. I have testified in numerous proceedings before the Board. 4 6. 5 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding? 6 A. I am sponsoring a portion of the Staff Report of Investigation (Staff 7 Report). I prepared the noise, cultural resources, and Air, Water and Solid 8 Waste sections of the Staff Report, which was filed October 20, 2021. 9 10 7. Q. Are you sponsoring for any conditions in the Staff Report? If so, which 11 ones? 12 A. Yes, I am sponsoring conditions nos.15, 28, 29, 30,31. 13 In the event the Board grants a certificate for the project, why is Staff 14 8. Q. 15 recommending condition 15? 16 A. In response to community concerns about the aesthetics of the project, Staff is requiring a fence that fits in better in a rural environment. Staff also 17 18 requires the fence allow the passage of small wildlife. 19 In the event the Board grants a certificate for the project, why is Staff 20 9. Q. 21 recommending condition 28?

5.

1

A. While Staff acknowledges that some construction noise impacts are inevitable, Staff recommends that most construction activities that can result in noise impacts to the public be limited to hours when the majority of people in the vicinity of the project are awake. Impact pile driving, and hoe ram operations, which are very loud construction activities, would be limited to shorter windows of daytime hours than that allowed for general construction activities.

9 10. Q. In the event the Board grants a certificate for the project, why is Staff recommending condition 29?

8

19

11 A. The sound report in the application modeled operational noise impacts using sound data from representative inverters and substation transformers. 12 13 Condition 29 requires the applicant to operate the facility so that no nonparticipating receptor shall receive operational sound impacts greater than 14 ambient sound levels plus 5 dBA, further condition 29 requires the 15 Applicant show that the chosen inverters and substation transformers for the 16 project will not exceed the daytime ambient level plus five dBA at any non-17 18 participating sensitive receptor.

20 11. Q. Do you recommend any changes to condition 29?

A. Yes. Although an operational noise limit is implied by the Applicant's statements in the Sound Report, staff recommends making the operational

l	noise limit explicit by adding the following sentence to the beginning of
2	condition 29, "Operational sound levels shall not exceed 51.6 dBA at non-
3	participating receptors."

- 5 12. Q. In the event the Board grants a certificate for the project, why is Staff recommending condition 30 and 31?
- A. Drain tiles damaged by the construction or operation of the project may

 cause drainage issues for neighboring parcels. Conditions 30 and 31 require

 the Applicant to repair damaged drain tiles and to protect neighboring

 parcels from adverse drainage issues due to the project.

- 12 13. Q. Do you recommend any changes to condition 30 and 31?
- 13 A. Staff recommends replacing conditions 30 and 31 with one condition which is as follows:

The Applicant shall avoid, where possible, or minimize to the extent practicable, any damage to functioning field tile drainage systems and soils resulting from the construction, operation, and/or maintenance of the facility in agricultural areas. Damaged field tile systems shall be promptly repaired or rerouted to at least original conditions or modern equivalent at the Applicant's expense to ensure proper drainage. However, if the affected landowner(s) agrees to not having the damaged field tile system repaired, they may do so only if: i) the field tile systems of adjacent landowners

remain unaffected by the non-repair of the landowner's field tile system; and ii) the damaged field tile does not route directly onto or into an adjacent parcel. The Applicant shall design the project to ensure that nearby parcels are protected from unwanted drainage problems due to construction and operation of the project. The Applicant shall document benchmark conditions of surface and subsurface drainage systems prior to construction, including the location of laterals, mains, grassed waterways, and county maintenance/repair ditches. The Applicant shall consult with owners of all parcels adjacent to the property, the county soil and water conservation district, and the county to request drainage system information over those parcels. The Applicant shall consult with the county engineer for tile located in a county maintenance/repair ditch. A map of discovered and repaired drain tile systems shall be filed in the case docket once construction is complete.

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 14. Q. Why is Staff recommending the change in conditions 30 and 31?
- A. The recommended condition above requires the applicant to design the

 project to prevent unwanted drainage on neighboring properties, to

 document drainage patterns before and after construction, and to consult

 with all parties with possible knowledge of existing drain tiles in and around

 the project area.

22

- 1 15. Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes, it does. However, I reserve the right to submit supplemental testimony
- 3 as new information subsequently becomes available or in response to
- 4 positions taken by other parties.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Prefiled Testimony of Mark Bellamy, submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Power Siting Department, was served via electronic mail, upon the following parties of record, this 11th day of May 2022.

/s/Jodi J. Bair Jodi J. Bair Assistant Attorney General

Parties of Record:

epierce@auglaizecounty.org
RDove@keglerbrown.com
EChristensen@bdlaw.com
jlandfried@bdlaw.com
HJacobs@bdlaw.com
JReagan@bdlaw.com
amilam@ofbf.org
cendsley@ofbf.org
clay@cbalyeat.com
lcurtis@ofbf.org
jvankley@vankleywalker.com
cpirik@dickinsonwright.com
todonnell@dickinsonwright.com

Administrative Law Judges:

Michael.Williams@puco.ohio.gov Jesse.Davis@puco.ohio.gov

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/11/2022 2:38:29 PM

in

Case No(s). 20-1605-EL-BGN

Summary: Testimony of Mark Bellamy electronically filed by Mrs. Tonnetta Y. Scott on behalf of OPSB