

DELIVERED VIA EMAIL

May 11, 2022

Ms. Sharon Schroder Director Regulatory Operations AES Ohio 1065 Woodman Drive Dayton, OH 45432

Re: Notification of CBP Auction Results

Dear Ms. Schroder:

Pursuant to the requirements of paragraph 19 of the April 20, 2022 Finding and Order of the Public Utility Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "Commission"), please find attached a redacted version of the postauction letter addressed to you (and cc'd to others) that the Auction Manager, CRA International, submitted on April 18, 2022 following the conclusion of AES Ohio's Competitive Bidding Process Auction to procure supply for Standard Service Offer customers of AES Ohio.

Redactions have been updated pursuant to paragraph 19 of the Finding and Order cited above. Other than these changes, the attached redacted letter is no different than the letter sent to you on April 18, 2022.

Sincerely yours,

CRA INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Shuta

Robert Lee Vice President

CC:

Jenifer French, Chairperson, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Tammy Turkenton, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Tim Benedict, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Attachment



DELIVERED BY EMAIL

CRA No. D21573

April 18, 2022

Ms. Sharon Schroder Director Regulatory Operations AES Ohio 1065 Woodman Drive Dayton, OH 45432

Re: Notification of CBP Auction Results

Dear Ms. Schroder:

This is to inform you that we have confirmed the results of the Competitive Bidding Process Auction ("Auction") to procure supply for Standard Service Offer (SSO) customers of AES Ohio (the "Company"). The Auction began on Monday, April 18, 2022 and concluded the same day.

There are three tables attached to this letter.

- Table 1 summarizes the results of the Auction.
- Table 2 shows the number of tranches won for each winning bidder.
- Table 3 provides the Auction Manager's assessment of the conduct of the Auction.

In accordance with the Bidding Rules, winning bidders will be contacted directly by the Company to execute the Master SSO Supply Agreement no later than three (3) business days following the close of the Auction.

REDACTED VERSION - May 11, 2022 Ms. Sharon Schroder April 18, 2022 Page 2



Sincerely yours,

CRA INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Shot ~

Robert J. Lee Vice President

CC:

Jenifer French, Chairperson, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio M. Beth Trombold, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Lawrence Friedeman, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Dennis Deters, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Daniel Conway, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Timothy Benedict, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Tamara Turkenton, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Matthew Snider, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Hani Jaber, AES Ohio Tyler Teuscher, AES Ohio Jessica Kellie, AES Ohio Nathan Parke, AES Ohio Frank Mossburg, Bates White, LLC



Table 1. Summary of SSO Auction Results

Period of Delivery	June 1, 2022 - May 31, 2023
Number of Registered Bidders	9
Total initial eligibility of Registered Bidders	
Total initial eligibility divided by tranche target	
Number of bidders that submitted bids in round 1	
Number of tranches bid in round 1	120
Number of tranches bid in round 1 divided by tranche target	2.40
Number of tranches to procure in auction (tranche target)	50 tranches
Number of tranches procured in auction	50 tranches
Number of rounds in clock phase	9
Was there a sealed-bid round?	Yes
Number of winning bidders	7
Starting price range	\$85.00 to \$105.00 per MWh
Starting price	
Tranche-weighted average price of winning bids	\$122.50 per MWh



Table 2. Winning Bidders and Tranches Won

Winning Bidder	Number of Winning Tranches	Tranche-Weighted Average Price to be Paid (\$/MWh)
AEP Energy Partners, Inc.	4	\$122.50
ConocoPhillips Company	5	\$122.50
Constellation Energy Generation, LLC	5	\$122.50
DTE Energy Trading, Inc.	3	\$122.50
Hartree Partners, LP	4	\$122.50
NextEra Energy Marketing, LLC	24	\$122.51
Vitol Inc.	5	\$122.50
TOTAL	50	\$122.50



Table 3. Auction Manager's Assessment of the Conduct of the Auction

	Question	
1	Were the competitive bidding rules violated?	No
2	Does the Auction Manager believe the auction was open, fair, transparent, and competitive?	Yes
3	Did bidders have sufficient information to prepare for the auction?	Yes. Bidders received information from the competitive bidding process documents, the Information Website, questions-and-answers posted to the Information Website, and bidder information sessions.
4	Was the information generally provided to bidders in accordance with the published timetable? Was the timetable updated appropriately as needed?	Yes
5	Were there any issues and questions left unresolved prior to the auction that created material uncertainty for bidders?	We do not believe that there were any unresolved issues or questions that created material uncertainty for bidders.
6	Were there any procedural problems or errors with the auction, including the electronic bidding process, the back-up bidding process, and communications between bidders and the Auction Manager?	No
7	Were protocols for communication between bidders and the Auction Manager adhered to?	Yes
8	Were there any hardware or software problems or errors, either with the auction system or with its associated communications systems?	No
9	Were there any unanticipated delays during the auction?	No
10	Did unanticipated delays appear to adversely affect bidding in the auction?	No
11	Were appropriate data back-up procedures planned and carried out?	Yes
12	Were any security breaches observed with the auction process?	No



	Question	
13	Were protocols followed for communications among AES Ohio, the Auction Manager, the PUCO, and the PUCO's consultant during the auction?	Yes
14	Were the protocols followed for decisions regarding changes in auction parameters (e.g., volume adjustments and price decrements)?	Yes
15	Were the calculations (e.g., for price decrements or bidder eligibility) produced by the auction software double-checked or reproduced off-line by the Auction Manager?	Yes
16	Was there evidence of confusion or misunderstanding on the part of bidders that delayed or impaired the auction?	No
17	Were the communications between the Auction Manager and bidders timely and effective?	Yes
18	Was there evidence that bidders felt unduly rushed during the process?	No
19	Was there any evidence of collusion or improper coordination among bidders?	No
20	Was there any evidence of anti-competitive behavior in the auction?	No
21	Was information made public appropriately? Was confidential and sensitive information treated appropriately?	Yes
22	Were there factors exogenous to the auction (e.g., changes in market environment) that materially affected the auction in unanticipated ways?	Due to restrictions related to COVID-19, the auction was conducted remotely by the Auction Manager team. There were no issues in conducting the auction remotely. Due to futures price volatility, the starting price was increased to a price above the announced range. The change was communicated to bidders in advance of the start of round 1.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/11/2022 8:34:12 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-0957-EL-UNC

Summary: Notification of CBP Auction Results - Updated Redacted Version electronically filed by Mr. Timothy W. Benedict on behalf of PUCO Staff