BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

Before James Lynn, Attorney Examiner, held for the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, via WebEx, on Monday, April 18, 2022, at 10:00 A.M.

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4620
(614)224-9481

	2
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	Mr. Thomas Shepherd
4	Assistant Attorney General 30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
5	Columbus, Ohio 43215 Thomas.Shepherd@ohioattorneygeneral.Gov
6	On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
7	OCTITCIES COMMITSSION OF ONE
8	Mr. Colin Maher
9	Maher Law Firm 1335 Dublin Road
10	Suite 214A Columbus, Ohio 43215
11	help@columbusdefensefirm.com
12	On behalf of SEI Logistics, LLC and Cary Stultz
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

						3
1		INDEX TO WITNESSES				
2						
3			DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
4	STAFF'S WITNESSES					
5	Andrew Powley		06-16	15-24	23-06	
6	Rod Moser		25-17			
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

		4				
1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS					
2						
3	STAFF EXHIBITS ID ADM					
4	1 - Driver Examination Report 08-12 25-07					
5	2 - Photographs 10-04 25-07					
6	3 - Notice of Preliminary					
7	Determination 26-17 28-13					
8	4 - Notice of Preliminary					
9	Determination 27-03 28-13					
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

5 Monday, April 18, 2022 1 2 10:00 a.m. 3 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Public Utilities 5 Commission of Ohio has assigned for hearing at this time two cases. They are 21-922-TR-CVF, in the matter of SEI 6 7 Logistics, apparent violation and intent to assess 8 forfeiture and 21-923, in the matter of Cary Stultz, 9 C-a-r-y, Stultz, notice of apparent violation, intent to 10 assess forfeiture. 11 I'm Jim Lynn. I'm the Attorney Examiner 12 assigned to hear this case and at this time we'll have the 1.3 appearances of the parties. We will begin with the Ohio 14 Attorney General's Office. 15 MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities Commission of 17 Ohio, Dave Yost, Attorney General of Ohio, John Jones 18 Section Chief, Public Utilities Section, by Assistant 19 Attorney General Thomas Shepherd, 30 East Broad, 26th 20 floor, Columbus, Ohio. 21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Shepherd. 22 And for the responding parties, SEI Logistics 23 and Cary Stultz, Mr. Maher? 24 MR. MAHER: Thank you, Your Honor. Colin 25 Maher, Supreme Court number 0084567 on behalf of both.

6 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. All right. 1 2 Mr. Shepherd, we can start with you. If you would like to 3 go ahead with your first witness, please and who will that 4 be? 5 MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you. Staff at this time 6 calls Andrew Powley to the stand. 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Powley, hopefully if 8 you would unmute your microphone and if you would raise 9 your right hand, please. 10 11 ANDREW POWLEY, 12 being first duly sworn, as provided by law, was examined and testified as follows: 13 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Go ahead. 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. SHEPHERD: 17 Good morning, Mr. Powley. How are you? Q. 18 Α. Good. 19 Would you please state your name for the record? 20 21 Α. Andrew William Powley. 22 And how do you spell your last name? Q. 23 P as in Paul O-w-l-e-y. Α.

24

25

Ο.

Okay. And where are you employed?

Q. And what is your position with the Highway Patrol?

1.3

2.2

- A. I'm a motor carrier enforcement investigator.
- Q. And how long have you been in that role?
- A. Right around two-and-a-half years.
- Q. Right. What are your duties in your capacity in that job?
- A. I inspect commercial motor vehicles traveling either interstate or intrastate, for hire private carriers and do inspections.
- Q. And what specific training do you have in this area?
- A. I have part A, part B, as far as level ones where I can do brakes. We can check the brakes, the drives, steers, the trailer lights, markings, electronic log book devices, basically anything that has to do with that truck I'm certified to inspect.
- Q. Do you have any sort of continuing education that you refresh every once in awhile?
- A. Yeah, we are constantly -- I'm not exactly sure how many times I have been to Columbus this year, but it is multiple times a year for different trainings.
- Q. Thank you. Are motor carrier inspections conducted to protect the safety of the Ohio traveling public?

A. Absolutely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. How many inspections on average would you say you perform in a year and you can estimate?
 - A. A thousand.
 - Q. A thousand. Thank you.

MR. SHEPHERD: Your Honor, I would have like to have marked as Staff Exhibit 1 the driver/vehicle examination report that I circulated on Friday.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. We'll mark that as Staff Exhibit 1. Please continue.

11 | - - -

12 (Staff Exhibit 1, was marked for purposes of identification.)

14

15 BY MR. SHEPHERD:

- 16 Q. Mr. Powley, do have the driver examination 17 report in front of you?
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. And you recognize this document?
- 20 A. Absolutely.
- 21 Q. Is this a document -- is this document a 22 record of the patrol?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And it is kept in the ordinary course of
- 25 business?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Is the information contained in this report the type that you are required by law to report?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And is the information in this document an accurate reflection of the report you filled out on June 3rd, 2021 following the inspection of the vehicle driven by Mr. Cary Stultz and operated by SEI Logistics?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you prepare this report because of the inspection of the vehicle driven by Mr. Stultz?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Does the driver get a copy of the report when you inspect the vehicle?
 - A. Yes, as long as they are there but, yes.
- Q. Do you remember conducting this particular inspection?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you remember why you initiated the 20 inspection?
- A. No company name or DOT numbers on the driver's side of the vehicle in passing.
- MR. SHEPHERD: Your Honor, I would like to have marked as Staff Exhibit 2 the document containing three photos that I circulated on Friday.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. We'll mark
that as Staff Exhibit 2.

(Staff Exhibit 2, was marked for purposes of identification.)

- -

BY MR. SHEPHERD:

6

7

8

9

24

- Q. Mr. Powley, do you have Staff Exhibit 2 in front of you?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Is this how you determined -- can you briefly explain using the photos what you were saying about no DOT numbers?
- A. Right, so obviously when he was heading -- he was heading west, so I could see the driver's side. You could look at the picture of the driver's side of the truck, trailer, windows. There is no company name or DOT number on the F350.
- 19 Q. Okay. And what was Mr. Stultz transporting 20 that day?
- A. I believe it was a generator. I don't know if
 there is anything else, but I believe it was just a
 generator.
 - Q. We are going to turn back to Staff Exhibit 1, which is the examination report and what I'm going to have

you do, kind of go one by one with the violation.

Can you briefly describe what the violation is and how you came to the conclusion that the violation occurred. So we will start with the one that says 391.41

(A) through (F) and if you can just kind of describe what that violation is?

A. This is the medical card violation. For anybody crossing interstate lines, they have to have a DOT physical card that they keep with them that is good for two years depending on if they have a medical issue or not. I just lost my -- hold on a second. I just lot my report here. Hello.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are still on.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what happened here.

15 | I just lost everything.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We can still hear you.

THE WITNESS: The report went down.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

19 BY MR. SHEPHERD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

- Q. Take your time.
- A. Yeah. I got it now. Yeah, so the medical card they are good for two years for a normal driver. I don't remember him having anything, but that's what this would be for.
- 25 Q. And when you did the inspection, there was no

medical card provided?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. Correct, correct.
- Q. Moving to the second one that says 395.8 (A), if you could describe that violation?
- A. This is operating a commercial motor vehicle with no ELD and/or log books. In this instance, I determined it was not required to have the ELD because he was less than eight days in a rolling 30-day period. So just no log books were on the driver at the time of the inspection.
- 11 Q. Okay. Thank you. Moving to the next one 12 383.23 (A)(2)?
 - A. This is the CDL. Driver needed a CDL with the tow vehicle weight rating over 26,001 for a class A needed, which the driver did not have.
 - MR. SHEPHERD: Okay. Moving -- and I will just note for the record that those first three violations are ones contained in case number -- I'm sorry. It's the driver violation. So those three are with the driver violation and not the carrier violation.
- 21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Thank you.
 22 BY MR. SHEPHERD:
- Q. Moving forward, so this will be -- these are the carrier violations starting now. If we can move to 396.17 (C)?

- A. Not having an annual vehicle inspection report in the CME, which in this case was the truck.
 - Q. How did you determine that?
- A. I asked the driver. I asked him upon inspection for those or look for the stickers. He did not have those.
 - Q. That's a standard thing you do?
 - A. Absolutely. It is part of the inspections.
 - Q. Thank you. 393.95 (A)?
- 10 A. Ask for the fire extinguisher. It's, again,
 11 something we do on every inspection. Didn't have a fire
 12 extinguisher on in the truck or trailer.
 - Q. So you asked and he wasn't able to --
- 14 A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.3

- 15 Q. Thank you. 393.95 (F)?
- A. No safety triangles. Each driver has to have the three on them when they are operating. He did not have them as well. That is part of our practice as well.
- 20 Sorry to repeat myself, but you did ask and
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. -- unable to provide?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. 390.21 (B)?
- 25 A. That's the reason for the stop, no company

name or DOT number on either side of the commercial motor vehicle.

- Q. We went over that with the photos, correct?
- A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. 393.75 (A)?
- A. This would be the flat tire. Be the 4, number 4 axle on the right side. No measurable air pressure, which means when I check it it didn't move at all.
 - Q. Thank you. And 393.43?
- A. The break away, which is not the brake system, it is just the break away to where if the trailer were to come off in a crash, it would lock up the brakes on the trailer. In this case the battery was not allowing the break away to work.
- Q. Is it your professional opinion that the seven, I'm sorry, the violations we just went over are accurate and did occur on June 3rd, 2021?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. I'm going to switch gears and ask you a few different questions and then I will be done with you.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Can you tell me briefly how you came to the conclusion that there was compensation provided for this move?

- A. Within the -- within our interview I had with the driver, how we got to it, I asked what their duties are usually just to get the story. In this case, the gentleman told me he was receiving money to his church from the gentleman and the company and this is what he would do for him.
- Q. Okay. So it was your understanding at the time of the stop that the property he was moving was not his?
- A. Correct, yeah. Neither the truck, trailer, or the property were any of his.
- Q. Okay. And so just to confirm, there was compensation provided for him transporting the equipment?
 - A. As far as he told me, yes.
- 15 Q. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

- MR. SHEPHERD: Your Honor, I have no further questions. I reserve the witness for possible rebuttal, but subject to cross-examination I would move for Staff Exhibits 1 and 2 to be admitted into the record.
- 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We'll move to then,
- 21 Mr. Maher. Mr. Maher, any questions for the witness?
- MR. MAHER: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. MAHER:
- 25 Q. All right. Do you prefer Trooper Powley,

Mr. Powley?

1.3

- A. Mr. Powley. I'm not a trooper. I'm a motor carrier.
 - Q. All right. What makes vehicles subject to your examination?
 - A. Depending on if it is an interstate versus intrastate move. In this case I would say this is an interstate move. Any vehicle rated over 10,001 GVWR is subject to inspection if they are for hire or private carriers.
 - Q. Okay. And how did you determine whether or not this was for hire or a private carrier?
 - A. Are you talking about as far as it going past me or once I got up to the truck?
 - Q. Once you were there and on the scene and you did your investigation?
 - A. It is whenever we do our interview and talk, whose vehicle, whose trailer, what items are being hauled, where from and where to. In this case, nothing belonged to the driver. Everything was the company and that's where I came up with the -- he was rated -- I can't remember the rating on here, but it was over 10,001 GVWR.
 - Q. You indicated the driver was Mr. Stultz, correct?

- A. Yes, the gentleman that was driving, yes.
- Q. Okay. And you indicated that he had said there was a donation going to his church in exchange for --
 - A. Yes, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

18

19

20

- Q. Do you know if the company also attends that same church?
 - A. From what he told me it was the company owner and him. That's all I knew.
 - Q. That they both attended the same church?
- A. Yes. Mr. Stultz told me he was the preacher,
 priest, whichever capacity he was at and it was his church
 or he was in charge of it.
 - Q. Okay. But through your investigation, you were able to determine that money was going to the church, not to Mr. Stultz himself; is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes, his employer.
 - Q. Okay. As far as the weight rating, you indicated that the vehicle had to be over 10,000 pounds?
 - A. 10,001 pounds interstate commerce to be inspected, yes, sir.
- Q. And how did you determine that this was interstate or intrastate?
- A. The move was from Ohio to Texas. That would make it the interstate move.

- Q. Subject to that 10,000 pounds?
- 2 A. 10,001, yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. Now, if it were just the truck itself, would that be subject to inspection?
- A. Yes, sir. It is 12,400. I'm looking on the report, if you look next to the truck, 12,400. So the truck alone would have been inspectable.
- Q. Okay. Now, without the trailer, would you have found any violations on that truck?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what violations would those be?
- A. Everything would have been a violation other than where you see unit 2, those would not be on there.

 The driver would not have needed a CDL and the other ones would have been -- they would have all been accurate.
 - Q. Even if he was just driving the power unit itself, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Would you agree that you aren't to use a weight rating of a power unit if it is not towing another vehicle?
- 22 A. I didn't hear you.
- Q. Would you agree that if the truck wasn't towing a trailer, then it would not be subject to inspection?

A. No, sir.

1

3

4

5

7

- Q. You would say that it is?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. How did you determine the weight of the truck?
- 6 A. Looked on the door.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have any verification, any photo, anything showing what that weight rating is?
- 9 A. No, sir. I can get -- we can run the VIN
 10 through our salvage department if you need verification.
- 11 It will give us that off of the manufacturer's line there.
- 12 They will have everything documented, but I did not take
- 13 pictures of it, no, sir.
- Q. Okay. How long have you known about this hearing?
- A. Maybe a month.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. I mean, it has not been a terribly long time.
- 19 I would say a month.
- Q. Okay. And you would agree the burden is on you to prove that there is a violation?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you think it would be prudent to have the manufacturer's weight rating and proof of that?
- 25 A. To have --

MR. SHEPHERD: That calls for a legal 1

2 conclusion.

3 MR. MAHER: I'll move on.

4 BY MR. MAHER:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Do you have any confirmation of a weight Q. rating of the trailer itself?
 - Other than the report I have here, no, sir. Α.
- Okay. Was there any sort of a manufacturer's Ο. certificate or anything that was marked on the trailer to show what the weight rating was?
- Yes, sir. There is a VIN tag, would have been on the driver's side up by the goose neck. It is either inside or on the outside of the goose neck is where they are and that's where we get the VIN and the gross vehicle weight rating.
- Okay. It would have had the vehicle weight rating printed on that?
 - Α. Yes, sir.
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And Mr. Maher, I'll just step in for a moment for our court reporter. VIN is V-I-N. It is vehicle identification number.
- Before we go further too, I wanted to know, 23 Trooper Powley, could you again, explain the payment 24 arrangement? Who is paying who and who owns the vehicle 25 and so forth?

21 THE WITNESS: So what is my driver's name? 1 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The driver is Mr. Stultz, 3 yes. 4 THE WITNESS: Mr. Stultz told me he was the 5 preacher or whatever capacity would be at the church. 6 gentleman owned SEI, which I don't know his name, donated 7 money to his church through the church and that was 8 Mr. Stultz's employer, the church at that time. And 9 that's when I called the company to see and verify that 10 and talked to a lady. 11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So Mr. Stultz then did not 12 own the vehicle? Did not own -- looks like a Ford pickup 1.3 truck or the trailer. He did not own the -- it was not 14 his possession this generator that he was towing? 15 THE WITNESS: Correct. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: He was doing this because 16 17 whoever owns SEI Logistics apparently had this generator 18 that they wanted transported from Ohio to Texas and the 19 payment was made to Mr. Stultz's church for that 20 transportation? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Just wanted to make 23 clear what was happening. 24 Back to you, Mr. Maher.

MR. MAHER: Thank you.

```
BY MR. MAHER:
```

7

8

- Q. Back to the certificate on the trailer or the -- is it usually a plate or a sticker?
- A. It is a sticker, yes, sir. And depending on the model they could be a plate, but nine times out of ten it is a sticker.
 - Q. Did you take a photo of that sticker?
 - A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. Now, in regards to the trailer itself, you 10 indicated there was a generator on there?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And how was that generator attached?
- 13 A. There was a -- I'm trying to pull up a 14 picture. It had a tie-down strap on there.
- Q. Okay. Was it bolted to the trailer?
- A. No, sir, it was strapped onto it.
- 17 Q. There was no type of permanent fixture?
- 18 A. No, sir, it was strapped on there.
- 19 Q. Okay. Any way to tell how long those straps 20 had been attached?
- A. No, I don't think anybody could tell you that.
- MR. MAHER: Nothing further.
- 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Mr. Shepherd,
- 25 do you have any questions given that the cross-examination

has already taken place?

2 MR. SHEPHERD: Just a couple, Your Honor, if I

3 may.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SHEPHERD:

- Q. Mr. Powley, can you describe what you do in a typical situation when you do go check the weight of a vehicle, just kind of the process of what you do?
- A. Yes, since this one was roadside, I opened the driver's door. And usually on the B pillar, which is the one between the driver and the rear, normally there on the side there will be the VIN sticker, vehicle identification number there with the GVW rating there or it would be on the driver's side door.

I take that number, write it down and then I go to the trailer, find it, which I said would be up near the goose neck and then take the GVWR that the manufacturer gives and write that down as well with the VIN. And that's how we get the number.

- Q. So when you do this, do you have a clipboard or any kind of --
- A. Just a note pad, just a little note pad we use.
- Q. So you are looking at the sticker or plate and

then you write it down immediately?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- A. Yeah, write it down to verify what it is.
- Q. That's what we would be looking at on Staff Exhibit 1 where it says 12,400 and 18,300?
- A. Right. And then specifically for this one, I wouldn't have had to weigh him because it was obviously it obviously wouldn't weigh 26,001 because he has only obviously got a very small load.
- 9 MR. SHEPHERD: That's all I have got. Thank 10 you.
- 11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And I believe you
 12 had made a motion we admit Staff's Exhibits 1 and 2 into
 13 evidence?
- MR. SHEPHERD: Yes.
- 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Which we will.
- 16 Mr. Shepherd, you had discussed on this inspection report
- 17 | not only driver's violation, but -- well, carrier
- 18 | violations as well.
- 19 Did you have any other additional exhibits,
- 20 like perhaps one for the carrier?
- MR. SHEPHERD: Well, all the -- my
- 22 understanding is all the violations from both the carrier
- 23 and the driver are on this.
- 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: They are on the same one?
- 25 | Let me check. I might be mistaken. You know, we'll go

25 off the record for a moment. 1 2 3 (Discussion was held off record.) 4 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Back on the record, 6 Donna. 7 Yes, we'll admit Staff's Exhibits 1 and 2 into 8 evidence and we'll go on to your next witness then. 9 MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you. Staff at this time 10 calls Mr. Moser to the stand. 11 12 ROD MOSER, being first duly sworn, as provided by law, was examined 1.3 and testified as follows: 14 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go ahead, please. DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 17 BY MR. SHEPHERD: 18 Q. Good morning, Mr. Moser. Could you please 19 state your full name and who you are employed by for the 20 record? Sure. My name is Rod Allen Moser. Last name 21 22 is M-o-s-e-r. I'm the chief of the compliance and 23 transportation sections for the -- I'm sorry, compliance 24 and registration sections for the Transportation 25 Department of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

- Q. And how long have you been in that position?

 A. A little over five years.

 Q. What are your duties in that capacity?
- A. Related to this instance or this case here, it is the -- basically the administration of the civil forfeiture process for the Transportation Department.
- Q. Thank you. And did you have an opportunity to review the Commission's file in this case prior to today's hearing?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.3

14

15

17

18

21

22

23

MR. SHEPHERD: Your Honor, I would like to mark a couple of exhibits.

First, it is the notice of preliminary determination, that has Case Number 0H3239002062D. I would like to have that marked as Staff Exhibit 3.

16

(Staff Exhibit 3, was marked for purposes of identification.)

19

20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, we'll do that.

MR. SHEPHERD: And marked as Staff Exhibit 4, document that says notice of preliminary determination case number 0H3239002062C.

24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. That will be Staff
25 Exhibit 4.

27 Thank you. Go ahead. 1 2 3 (Staff Exhibit 4, was marked for 4 purposes of identification.) 5 BY MR. SHEPHERD: 6 7 Q. Mr. Moser, do you recognize the documents that 8 I have marked as Staff Exhibits 3 and 4? 9 A. Yes, I do. 10 Q. Can you briefly explain what each of these 11 documents is? 12 Α. Sure. The nature of the documents is the 13 same. They are sent to Respondents following an 14 unsuccessful attempt to resolve issues or discrepancies related to a roadside inspection with a telephone 15 16 conference with the assigned case officer. 17 And notices of this type are required to be Q. 18 sent by Commission rules? 19 A. Yes, sir. 20 And based on your review of the Commission's Q. file, which contains these two documents, both the driver 21 22 respondent and the carrier respondent received all notice 23 required by Commission rules? 24 Yes, sir, they did. Α.

MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you. And I'll note for

the record that counsel for the parties have conferred prior to today's hearing and agreed to stipulate into -- stipulate to the amount of the forfeiture listed on these two documents. So I won't be questioning the witness as to how they were calculated.

1.3

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. That's fine. Given that statement, I guess, Mr. Maher, you have no questions for the witness?

MR. MAHER: That is correct.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Certainly speeds things up. I don't believe I have any other questions at this time.

So we'll admit Staff Exhibits 3 and 4 in evidence as well.

Mr. Maher, I don't believe you have a witness, but you did submit an exhibit prior to the hearing. You submitted that late last week. With that being said, we'll have Mr. Maher step in and apparently you had some comments to make on behalf of your witness.

MR. MAHER: Your Honor, at this time I don't know that I really need to call a witness. This is a great time for argument. I don't even know that we need the exhibit at this point.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: In other words, you just wanted to make some oral comments on behalf of your

client?

1.3

2.2

MR. MAHER: Correct, Your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, go ahead then.

MR. MAHER: Your Honor, we would just indicate for the Court that there has been no showing of any compensation. Certainly there was an indication that there would be a donation to a church. My understanding is the church is one that they both were attendees, one apparently pastor or minister.

Additionally, there has been no evidence submitted of the actual weight of the vehicle and the trailer. There has also been no evidence admitted of the manufacturer's weight rating.

We have the officer who generated this report put the weights in the report, but there is no -- it would have been very easy just to take a photo of those manufacturer's plates or stickers, whatever they are, to show that it would be actually classified as a commercial motor vehicle. Per the definition, it would have to be over 26,000 pounds, which the officer indicated that, you know, that it was, in fact, there was no reason to weigh it because it wouldn't have been 26,000 pounds.

Essentially that's the crux of our argument.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Okay. So you are indicating from your client's perspective that any

```
compensation actually went to the church that
1
2
    Mr. Stultz worked for, not to Mr. Stultz himself.
                 And you are also indicating that as far as the
 3
    applicability of the Motor Carrier Safety Rules to --
 4
 5
    excuse me, the pickup truck and trailer, you are saying
 6
    well, there is no photographic evidence of the plates that
    contained the gross weight, that indicated gross weight
7
8
     for either vehicle?
 9
                 MR. MAHER: That is correct.
10
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Thank you,
    Mr. Maher.
11
12
                 Mr. Shepherd, do you have any additional
13
    comments?
14
                 MR. SHEPHERD: I would just respond to the
    assertion that there is no evidence. There is not a photo
15
    of the sticker, but the information contained in the
16
    report is evidence itself. So I would just rebut that.
17
18
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Thank you. I
19
    always at this point give the parties a chance to submit a
    brief, which is not absolutely essential or required for
20
21
    that matter, but I'll give that opportunity to you.
22
                 And with that in line, I'll be picking a
23
    calendar date too.
```

Mr. Shepherd, is your client interested in

24

25

having a brief submitted?

MR. SHEPHERD: Could I take just one second 1 2 and confer with my client? 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure. Thank you. No problem. 4 5 MR. SHEPHERD: Your Honor, we would like to 6 file a post hearing brief. 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Well, with that in 8 mind, I will take another look at my calendar. I believe that Donna, our court reporter, I believe that the brief 9 10 will be filed by say the first week in May, am I right about that, roughly two weeks? 11 12 REPORTER: Yes. 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, with that in mind, 14 Mr. Shepherd, what would you say to having the brief 15 finished by let's say -- the brief or, excuse me, the 16 transcript is submitted into the docket by May 6th. 17 Do you want to have May 20th, for example, as 18 your deadline for the brief? Would that be appropriate? 19 MR. SHEPHERD: Yep. 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And then 21 Mr. Maher, you could do the same, if you wish, by May 2.2 20th. 2.3 And then would it be suitable for you for a 24 reply brief, if one is to be filed, by June the 3rd? 25 Mr. Maher, would that work for you?

MR. MAHER: Certainly.

1.3

Okay. And Mr. Maher, just to clarify this too. So you are indicating that the document that you provided late last week, you are actually -- you are not actually -- you are not actually asking that that be admitted into evidence; am I right? Doesn't have to be. I just wanted to be sure for the record.

MR. MAHER: No, I don't think it is needed at this point, Your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Then I'll note for our court reporter that the only documents that will be part of the evidence for this case are Staff Exhibits 1 through 4 and there will not be any exhibits on behalf of the two Respondents, SEI Logistics and Cary Stultz.

I want to thank everyone for participating this morning. For Trooper Powley for working through the technical difficulties and Micah Smith, our innovation technology expert getting things up and running as usual. Also to Donna Chafins, our court reporter.

Please everyone stay safe and stay well. Have a good week and have a good rest of the day. Take care everybody. Bye-bye.

MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you, Your honor.

```
33
                  (Hearing concluded at 10:38 a.m.)
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, April 18, 2022, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Donna D. Chafins, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires November 8, 2026.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/2/2022 10:36:07 AM

in

Case No(s). 21-0922-TR-CVF, 21-0923-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript April 18th 2022 In the Matter of: SEI Logistics, LLC and Cary Stultz Intent to Assess Forfeiture. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Chafins, Donna