BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter of the :
Application of Harvey :
Solar I, LLC, for a :

Certificate of

Environmental : Case No. 21-0164-EL-BGN

Compatibility and Public:
Need to Construct a:
Solar-Powered Electric:
Generation Facility in:
Licking County, Ohio.:

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Jay S. Agranoff and Mr. David Hicks,
Administrative Law Judges, at the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio, via Webex, called at 9:00 a.m. on
Thursday, April 7, 2022.

VOLUME II

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481

- - -

183 1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 Dickinson Wright, PLLC By Mr. Jonathan Secrest, 3 Ms. Christine M.T. Pirik, Mr. Matthew C. McDonnell, and Mr. David A. Lockshaw, Jr. 4 180 East Broad Street, Suite 3400 5 Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Applicant. 6 7 Van Kley & Walker, LLC By Mr. Jack A. Van Kley 132 Northwoods Boulevard, Suite C-1 8 Columbus, Ohio 43235 9 On behalf of the Save Hartford Township and Individual Intervenors. 10 Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter 11 By Mr. Robert Dove 12 65 East State Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215 13 On behalf of Carol and James Clever. 14 Ohio Farm Bureau Federation By Ms. Amy M. Milam 15 280 North High Street, 6th Floor 16 Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau 17 Federation. 18 Licking County Prosecutor's Office 19 By Ms. Carolyn J. Carnes Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 20 20 South Second Street, Fourth Floor Newark, Ohio 43055 21 On behalf of the Intervenors Licking 22 County Engineer; Licking County Soil & Water Conservation District; Board of 2.3 Trustees of Hartford Township, Licking County, Ohio; and Board of Trustees of 24 Bennington Township, Licking County, Ohio. 25

```
184
 1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
 2
            Village of Hartford Solicitor's Office
            By Mr. Michael R. Moran
 3
            P.O. Box 307437
            Gahanna, Ohio 43230
 4
                 On behalf of the Intervenor Village of
 5
                 Hartford.
 6
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
            By Mr. Thomas Lindgren
 7
            and Mr. Thomas Shepherd,
            Assistant Attorneys General
            Public Utilities Section
 8
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
 9
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
10
                 On behalf of the Staff of the OPSB.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

		185
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4	Jordan R. Rofkar, PhD	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Secrest Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley Examination by ALJ Agranoff	190 192 195
6		
7	Amanda Spencer Direct Examination by Mr. Secrest Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	200 201
8	Redirect Examination by Mr. Secrest Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	232 233
9	Examination by ALJ Agranoff	234
10	Ryan Rupprecht Direct Examination by Mr. Secrest	237
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley Examination by ALJ Agranoff	239 291
12		
13	Robert O'Neal Direct Examination by Mr. Secrest Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	296 298
14	Examination by ALJ Agranoff	325
15	John Woods Direct Examination by Mr. Lockshaw	328
16	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	330
17	Examination by ALJ Agranoff	349
1.0	Thomas Braman	2.5.2
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Lockshaw Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	353 354
19	Examination by ALJ Agranoff Redirect Examination by Mr. Lockshaw	368 369
20		303
21	Ryan Peterson Direct Examination by Mr. Lockshaw Cross Examination by Mr. Wan Klay	372 373
22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley Examination by ALJ Agranoff	383
23		
24		
25		

				186
1		INDEX (Co	ontinued)	
2				
3	APPI	LICANT EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4	22	Direct Testimony of Jordan R. Rofkar, PhD	190	198
5	0.07		. 101	1.00
6	22A	Supplemental Testimony Jordan R. Rofkar, PhD	of 191	198
7	23	Direct Testimony of Amanda Spencer	200	236
8	0.07	-	5 000	0.2.6
9	23A	Supplemental Testimony Amanda Spencer	of 200	236
10	25	Direct Testimony of Robert O'Neal	296	327
11	0 5 7		206	207
12	25A	Supplemental Testimony Robert O'Neal	of 296	327
13	26	Direct Testimony of Ryan Rupprecht	238	293
1415	26A	Supplemental Testimony Ryan Rupprecht	of 238	293
16	27	Direct Testimony of Ryan Peterson	372	384
17		Supplemental Testimony	of 372	384
18	2711	Ryan Peterson	372	501
19	28	Direct Testimony of John Woods	329	350
20	007		200	0.5.0
21	∠8A	Supplemental Testimony John Woods	of 329	350
22				
23				
24				
25				

187 1 Thursday Morning Session, 2 April 7, 2022. 3 4 ALJ HICKS: We can go ahead and go back 5 on the record. We are reconvening this morning in Case 6 7 No. 21-0164-EL-BGN, Harvey Solar I, LLC. I am still David Hicks and with me is still Jay Agranoff, the 8 9 Administrative Law Judges assigned to this case. 10 Before we get started, I just wanted --11 let's run down -- we don't need the full appearance. 12 Just give us name, who is on for the client so we 13 know who is on, and then we will start with the next 14 witness. 15 So on behalf of the Applicant. 16 MR. SECREST: Good morning, your Honor. 17 Jon Secrest, David Lockshaw, and Chris Pirik from the 18 law firm Dickinson Wright on behalf of the Applicant. 19 ALJ HICKS: Okav. On behalf of Save --20 Save Hartford and the associated Intervenors. 2.1 MR. VAN KLEY: This is Jack Van Kley of 22 Van Kley & Walker. 23 ALJ HICKS: On behalf of Hartford 24 Township. 25 MS. CARNES: Carolyn Carnes on behalf of

Hartford Township, Bennington Township, the Licking County Engineer, and Licking County Soil & Water Conservation District.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you.

On behalf of the Village of Hartford.

I'm not seeing Mr. Moran on. I will just note for the record he had previously indicated he may be in and out of the hearing as we move through it.

On behalf of the Clevers.

MR. DOVE: Good morning, your Honor.

Robert Dove, law firm Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter, on behalf of James and Carol Clever.

ALJ HICKS: On behalf of Ohio Farm Bureau Federation.

MS. MILAM: Good morning, your Honors.

Amy Milam on behalf of Ohio Farm Bureau Federation.

ALJ HICKS: And on behalf of Board Staff.

MR. LINDGREN: Good morning, your Honor.

19 On behalf of the Board Staff, the Ohio Attorney

20 General's Office by Thomas Lindgren and Thomas

21 Shepherd.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

16

17

2.2

23

24

25

ALJ HICKS: Thank you.

I believe that is everyone, so I'm going to hand things off to Judge Agranoff, and he will take -- take it from here.

1 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. Good morning, 2 everybody. Based on the schedule that we had previously discussed, I believe that our first 3 witness this morning is Mr. Rofkar. Is Mr. Rofkar 4 5 currently promoted? 6 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes. Mr. Rofkar, you are 7 promoted. If you can enable your audio and video. MR. ROFKAR: Good morning. 8 9 ALJ AGRANOFF: I can -- there you are. 10 Now I can see you and hear you. 11 MR. ROFKAR: Got me? Okay. 12 ALJ AGRANOFF: Very good. So at this 13 point in time, Mr. Secrest or Mr. Lockshaw, I don't 14 know who is going to be counsel for the Applicant 15 with respect to Mr. Rofkar. 16 MR. SECREST: It will be me, your Honor, 17 Jon Secrest. 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: If you could please call 19 Mr. Rofkar. 20 MR. SECREST: Thank you. Your Honor, may 2.1 the Applicant call Jordan Rofkar. 22 ALJ AGRANOFF: Good morning, sir. If you 23 could please raise your right hand. 24 (Witness sworn.) 25 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

1 Please proceed, Mr. Secrest.

2 MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honor.

3

JORDAN R. ROFKAR, PhD

5 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
6 examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

8 By Mr. Secrest:

7

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Rofkar. How are you?
- 10 A. I'm great. How are you?
- Q. Good, thank you. Would you please state your full name and by whom you are employed.
- A. Jordan Rofkar. I'm employed by Verdantas
 formerly Hull & Associates.
- Q. Thank you. Do you have copies of your direct testimony and your supplemental direct testimony in front of you?
- 18 A. I do, yeah.
- MR. SECREST: Your Honor, may we mark
- 20 the -- Mr. Rofkar's direct testimony as Applicant
- 21 | Exhibit 22?
- 22 ALJ AGRANOFF: It shall be so marked.
- 23 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- MR. SECREST: Thank you. And may we mark
- 25 Mr. Rofkar's supplemental testimony as Applicant

Proceedings 191 Exhibit 22A? 1 2 ALJ AGRANOFF: It will be so marked as 3 well. (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 4 5 MR. SECREST: Thank you. 6 (By Mr. Secrest) Mr. Rofkar, are Q. Applicant Exhibit 22 and Applicant Exhibit 22A true 7 and accurate copies of your direct testimony and 8 9 supplemental direct testimony filed in these 10 proceedings? 11 Yes, they are. Α. 12 Do you have any changes or revisions to Q. 13 your testimony? 14 Α. No. 15 Ο. If asked the same questions today that's 16 contained within your direct testimony and supplemental direct testimony, would your responses 17 be the same? 18 19 Yes, they would. Α. 20

MR. SECREST: Thank you. Your Honor,

2.1 Mr. Rofkar is available for cross-examination.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

Mr. Van Kley.

MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor.

25

2.2

23

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Mr. Van Kley:

1

3

- Q. And good morning, Mr. Rofkar.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- Q. Would you go to Exhibit C of the application, please.
- A. Yes. I have a digital copy of that in
 front of me, so I will scroll to wherever you need me
 to get to.
- Q. Okay. Appreciate that. This is the preliminary vegetation plan, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. Let's go to page 8 of that plan.
- 14 A. Okay.
- Q. And I would like to direct your attention to the section labeled "4.2 Invasive Weed Control, Monitoring, and Management."
- 18 A. Okay.

19

20

2.1

- Q. I have some questions for you about weed control. First of all, can you tell me what role, if any, is desirable for mowing as a means of weed control in a project of this nature?
- A. We -- mowing, I'm sorry, would typically
 be used to keep weeds low, also to -- in an attempt
 to keep them from going to seed so cutting them down

before they can drop seeds to propagate the next year.

2.1

Q. In a facility such as the Harvey Solar facility where the project plans to plant pollinator plants and native grasses in the arrays, native grasses -- I am going to start that over.

So in a project like this where Harvey
Solar is planning to plant native grasses in the
arrays of solar panels and pollinators around the
outside, how do you use mowing as a control for weeds
without destroying the other benefits of the plants
that are purposely planted there?

- A. So that would need to be addressed in the final vegetation management plan when the plants are chosen, the specific species of plants and grasses are chosen. Typically one of the reasons that we like to use native plants for this type of thing is that they develop a robust root system, and they're adapted to whatever climate they are chosen to grow in. And so the idea is to mow the annual or weedy species, and the more robust native plants over time tend to take over and can thrive and propagate under mowing conditions if it's timed properly.
- Q. So after the native plants are established, when, if ever, would mowing be employed

in a project of this nature?

2.1

2.2

- A. That would, I think, partly be a maintenance issue for the -- the facility but the plants can tolerate that. And again, if down the line weedy species do become established, then mowing could be reemployed at that time to -- as one control measure.
- Q. And is there a particular time or season where mowing can be employed for that purpose without destroying the benefits of the native vegetation?
- A. That again would depend on the species that are present. We typically see that done early in the spring or in the fall. Part of that, again, would be in coordination with ODNR or others to work out the timing of that but also to work around any other constraints for the project wildlife, things like that.
- Q. So I take it from your comments that the use of mowing as a tool for invasive or noxious weed control has not yet been provided in the application; is that correct?
 - A. That is my understanding.
- MR. VAN KLEY: All right. I have no further questions, your Honor.
- 25 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you.

195 Any questions from Hartford Township? 1 2 MS. CARNES: I have no questions, your 3 Honor. Thank you. ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. Any clarifying 4 5 questions from any of the signatory parties to the 6 stip? MR. DOVE: No, your Honor. 7 8 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. 9 Any redirect? 10 MR. SECREST: No, thank you, your Honor. 11 ALJ AGRANOFF: Judge Hicks, do you have 12 any questions? 13 ALJ HICKS: I do not. Thanks. 14 15 EXAMINATION 16 By ALJ Agranoff: 17 Q. I do have just a couple of questions, Mr. Rofkar. If you could please take a look at page 18 3 of your testimony. Let me know when you are there. 19 20 Α. I'm there. 2.1 Q. And specifically the question and answer 22 No. 8.

reference to "temporary vegetation" and "long-term

And in line 23 and in line 26 there is

Okay.

Α.

Ο.

23

24

vegetation." Do you see those?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. What's the distinction or how does one differentiate between "temporary vegetation" and "long-term vegetation"?
- A. Temporary vegetation in that case we typically refer to when we install seed mixes for something like this, an annual crop-type seed will be added that will grow for one year, maybe two years, and then the native plants that are desired for a more long-term establishment will be mixed in with that.

The temporary vegetation is there to sort of stabilize the soil in that first growing season before the native plants have their root system sort of established, so the temporary vegetation, the plan is for that to establish quickly and then be sort of overtaken by the native vegetation long term.

- Q. Okay. So based on what I heard you indicate long term would be vegetation that you would desire to continue to be on the two-year time frame?
 - A. Right, correct.
- Q. And then if you could take a look at your response to question 8, please, on page 4.
 - A. Okay. Uh-huh.

Q. Is there a way to determine that the minimum -- I know that you've indicated in response to question 8 that it's possible to determine the nature of the probable environmental impact of the facility related to vegetation management. But is -- are you actually making a determination that the project represents the minimum adverse impact?

2.1

- A. I think that we would make that determination when we have the final vegetation management plan. At this point I believe installing native plants and pollinators represents a benefit to the facility and to the site. And so the probable environmental impact would be minimal but that would be determined based on the species that are established.
- Q. And with respect to the planting of the vegetation, is there an expectation or a commitment to continue to maintain a certain level of survival of that vegetation?
- A. My understanding is that the Stipulations indicate that 70 percent of the site will be covered with beneficial vegetation, and in the preliminary plan we outlined annual surveys of the vegetation that's there to help maintain that level.
 - Q. But to the extent that you are going to

be adding vegetation, is there a commitment as to a particular -- particular level of survival of that vegetation and replacement of the vegetation to the extent it does not continue to survive beyond a certain time frame?

A. I am not aware of that commitment.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Based on my limited questions, does counsel have any follow-up?

MR. VAN KLEY: None from me, your Honor.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Anything from Mr. Secrest?

MR. SECREST: No, thank you, your Honor.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. If not, then,

Mr. Rofkar, you are free to go.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

15 ALJ AGRANOFF: And at this point in time,

16 Mr. Secrest, would you care to deal with the

17 | exhibits?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MR. SECREST: Yes, please. May the

Applicant move for admission of Applicant Exhibit 22

20 and 22A?

21 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any objections?

There being none, Applicant Exhibits 22

23 and 22A shall be admitted as part of the record at

24 this time.

25 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

199 ALJ AGRANOFF: And at this point in time 1 2 I believe we have Witness Spencer next? 3 MR. SECREST: That's correct, your Honor. May the Applicant call Amanda Spencer? 4 5 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. If you could just 6 give me a moment so that I can get situated. MR. SECREST: Certainly. 7 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. 8 9 MR. SCHMIDT: Ms. Spencer, you've been 10 promoted. If you can enable your audio and video. 11 ALJ AGRANOFF: Good morning, Ms. Spencer. 12 How are you? 13 MS. SPENCER: Good morning. I'm good, 14 thank you. 15 ALJ AGRANOFF: Good. If you could please 16 raise your right hand. 17 (Witness sworn.) 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. Please proceed, Mr. Secrest. 19 20 MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honor. 2.1 22 23 24 25

	20		
1	AMANDA SPENCER		
2	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was		
3	examined and testified as follows:		
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
5	By Mr. Secrest:		
6	Q. Good morning, Ms. Spencer. Would you		
7	please state your full name and by whom you are		
8	employed.		
9	A. My name is Amanda Spencer, and I am		
10	employed by Verdantas formerly Hull & Associates.		
11	Q. Thank you. Do you have in front of you		
12	your direct testimony and your supplemental direct		
13	testimony?		
14	A. Yes, I do.		
15	Q. Thank you.		
16	MR. SECREST: Your Honor, may we move to		
17	mark Ms. Spencer's direct testimony as Applicant		
18	Exhibit 23?		
19	ALJ AGRANOFF: It shall be so marked.		
20	(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)		
21	MR. SECREST: And may we move to mark		
22	Ms. Spencer's supplemental testimony as Applicant		
23	Exhibit 23A?		
24	ALJ AGRANOFF: It shall also be so		
25	marked.		

201 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 1 2 MR. SECREST: Thank you. 3 (By Mr. Secrest) Ms. Spencer, Applicant Q. Exhibit 23 and 23A, are those true and accurate 4 5 copies of your direct testimony and supplemental direct testimony? 6 7 Α. Yes, they are. 8 Q. Do you have anything -- any revisions to 9 that testimony? 10 Α. I do not. 11 If asked the same questions contained Ο. 12 within both the direct testimony and supplemental 13 direct testimony today, would your answers be the 14 same? 15 Α. Yes, they would. 16 MR. SECREST: Okay. Thank you. 17 Your Honor, I tender Ms. Spencer for 18 cross-examination. 19 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. 20 Mr. Van Kley. 2.1 MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor. 22 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION

And good morning, Ms. Spencer.

24

25

By Mr. Van Kley:

Q.

- A. Good morning.
- Q. Let's go to your written direct testimony marked as Applicant Exhibit 23.
 - A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. And go to page 2 of that testimony. And I would like to direct your attention to question and answer 2 where you discuss your experience and ask you a few additional questions about your experience. How many solar projects other than the Harvey Solar project have you worked on?
- 11 A. I have not been involved in the solar projects.
 - Q. Let's go to page 3 of your testimony.

 And I would like to direct you to the last -- last paragraph on page 3 of your testimony.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. And if you would go to line 28 and look at the sentence starting there where it states that "Only limited earthwork and grading will be necessary, primarily for the access roads and electric substation." Do you see that sentence?
 - A. I do, sir.
 - Q. Do you know how many miles of access roads are planned for the solar facility here?
- 25 A. I do not. I believe that will be

determined with the final design.

2.1

Q. Let's go to page 5 of your written direct testimony as Applicant Exhibit 23. And directing your attention to that large paragraph at the bottom of that page, I have some follow-up questions concerning the topic of that discussion there.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Van Kley, what page are you on?

MR. VAN KLEY: Page 5.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Have you studied any completed solar projects to determine whether post-construction runoff exceeded the pre-construction runoff from any of those facilities?
- A. I have not studied any post-constructed solar projects.
- Q. Let's go to page 6 of your testimony.

 Directing your attention to line 7. You mentioned there minimal grading and decompaction. Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Do you know what equipment will be used to construct any earthmoving on this project?
- A. My understanding is small equipment such as Bobcats will be used, something relatively similar

to -- in size to tractors that are used on the field right now for agricultural purposes.

2.1

- Q. You are not aware of anything in the application that states that bulldozers will be used?
 - A. Not off the top of my head, no, sir.
- Q. Are you aware of anything in the application stating that dump trucks will be used during construction?
 - A. Not specifically, no, sir.
- Q. On line 7 on page 6 of your written testimony, you've used the term or terms "minimal grading and decompaction." Is minimal intended to apply to the -- to the word decompaction in that line as well?
- A. No. I don't believe so. The decompaction will occur as necessary. The minimal grading will be an effort to not change the existing topography of the site as much as possible.
- Q. Do you know what percentage of the area within the solar arrays will require earthmoving for construction?
- A. At this moment I cannot tell you exactly how much area would be required because there is not a final design completed.
 - Q. Directing your attention back to line 7

and going to line 8 on page 6 of your testimony.

A. Uh-huh.

2.1

2.2

- Q. There is some language there stating as follows: "Establishing non-erosive flow over the disconnected length." Do you see that language?
 - A. I do, sir.
- Q. What is the disconnected length as used in this sentence?
- A. The disconnected length ties back to the EPA solar array guidelines, and it talks about the separation between the solar panels.
- Q. Okay. So what's the meaning then of the language "establishing non-evasive flow over the disconnected length"?
- A. So erosive flow would be something that's concentrated to a small area which would create what a lot of people call ditches or swales across sites. So the intent of that sentence is to explain that the site will be developed so that swales, ditches that can -- where concentrated runoff can occur will not be -- will not be present on the site in between the solar arrays -- or the solar panels.
- Q. Does that mean that the swales that exist now will be altered after construction of the project?

- A. No, no. My understanding from looking at the site swales, ditches, streams that are on the site will remain. Generally speaking what we are talking about there is that we are not going to add to and create new.
- Q. Would you go to Exhibit K of the application that is titled "Stormwater Assessment."
 - A. Yes. Just one moment, please.
- Q. All right. Do you have that in front of you?
- 11 A. I do, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

- Q. Have you seen this document before today?
- 13 A. I have, sir.
- Q. Did you have any role in its preparation?
- A. I did not prepare the report, but I did review it.
- Q. Do you know who prepared this report?
- A. Yes. A former employee of Hull & Associates prepared this.
- Q. Did you supervise that employee's work on this document at the time it was being prepared?
 - A. I did not supervise him, no.
- Q. And you were not involved in any way in the preparation of this document?
- 25 A. Not directly, no.

- Q. Let's go to page 2 of Exhibit K to the application.
 - A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And we will start with the section that is labeled "2.1 Project Area Description." And I would like to direct your attention to the sentence that starts at the end of the third line of that paragraph which says "The site is relatively flat with small streams and ditches." Do you see that?
 - A. I do, sir.
- Q. And then there's some information in the last paragraph on that page about some elevation slopes, right?
- A. Are you referring to under Section 2.2

 Soils?
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. Yes, I do see that, uh-huh.
 - Q. All right. And the -- there is some sloped percentages there for three difference kinds of soils found in the project area, right?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And if you look at the paragraph above this one which would be the first paragraph under the heading "2.2 Soils," you will see that those three types of soils are also described in that paragraph,

correct?

2.1

- A. I do see that.
- Q. And according to that paragraph, those three types of soils are present on about 75 percent of the project area, right?
 - A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. Okay. Do you know what the slope is for the other 25 percent of the project area?
- A. No, not off the top of my head. I do not know what that is.
- Q. Are you aware of any information in the application that reveals the percentage of slope for that 25 percent of the project area?
- A. I would have to look at the figures to see if there was any additional information about that, but I am not aware off the top of my head.
- Q. Is there any percentage of slope that would give you any cause for concern for building a solar facility?
- A. I mean, I think excessive slopes in the neighborhood of 10 percent or more are ones that we would focus on for potential erosion issues or issues when we were grading in access roads just for accessibility, ease of accessibility.
 - Q. Is it your understanding that if the

slope is 10 percent or more, that -- that grading would be required to install solar equipment?

- A. Possibly in order for the roads to be constructed, yes, we would look at that. We would also take into consideration the types of vehicles that would be used to access the site to determine whether that was a necessity.
- Q. Would it also be necessary to do grading on slopes of 10 percent or more to install solar panel equipment?
- A. I don't believe that it would be necessary to do that. I believe that that can be installed on those slopes but that is not my area of expertise.
- Q. Let's go to page 8 of Exhibit K of the application.
 - A. Okay.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And I would like to direct your attention to Section 5.2.5 Pre vs Post-Runoff Rate Reduction.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Would you explain what information is contained in this section.
- A. Yes. So what was done here is the area was broken down into the individual watersheds that are found within the project limits. With a project

of this size, it's typical to find multiple watersheds. And if the areas were ran in our stormwater program to determine what the rate of runoff is in the existing and what the rate of runoff would be in the post-conditions to show what that reduction would be.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Now, how did you go about calculating the amount of the runoff?
- A. For stormwater design, there are national design manuals that are used to determine if anticipated runoff or anticipated rainfall even for different storm event years, we typically analyze I believe it's seven different storm event years. It's the 1-, 2-, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year storm events. And what's included in this is the 1 year, the 25, and the 100 year. That information is gathered from those national manuals and is used to create a model of the existing site which takes into account the existing site conditions, topography, the soil type and then also we analyze -- we analyze that with each storm event year to determine what that runoff rate is.
- Q. And what are the site conditions that are incorporated into that model?
 - A. So we would look at the existing site

area, for example, Watershed W1 the acreage is 684 acres. So what we would do is we would break that down based on what the land use is essentially. We would find the acreage of agricultural field. We would find the acreage of wooded areas. We would find the acreage of residential development. Then we would further break that down based on the soil types. There are four different soil types. They are A, B, C, and D. A and B are typically not found in this region. They tend to be very sandy, very permeable soil. And then C is the type of soil that you would typically find in this area, more clay. And D is also a fatty clay soil that you can find in this area. We would assign those, the soil type, the acreage, the coverage type, and from that we have a chart where we would determine what the current runoff number is. That number factors in with the time and concentration to determine what the runoff rate is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Are elevations for the area considered in that model?
- A. The elevation or the change in topography comes into play when you determine what the time and concentration is for the site and area.
 - Q. And was that done in this case to produce

the table in Section 5.2.5?

2.1

- A. Yes, it has to be. Within the software modeling systems that we use, you have to determine a time of concentration as one of the key components to determine what the runoff rate is.
- Q. And where was the -- what was the source of the elevation data used for the model?
- A. I believe the information would have been based off of LiDAR information we could have downloaded from the website.

ALJ AGRANOFF: And just so the record is clear, you mentioned LiDAR. Is that an acronym?

off the top of my head remember exactly what it stands for, but it is -- it is a drone-type topography of the site area. It's available from multiple different sources, and we can download that information and pull that into AutoCAD in order to generate contours to determine what the change of elevation is across the site.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) And what elevations were used in performing this model for the project area?
 - A. Elevation I don't --
 - Q. I'm sorry, I misspoke there. I have to

reask that question.

2.1

- A. That's okay.
- Q. No wonder you are confused. What slope percentages were used for this model?
- A. So the way the weight concentration is determined it's made up of multiple components. You find the approximate longest path of a drop of water to leave your site. And then it's comprised of sheet flow, concentrated flow, and channelized flow if they all apply. What we would do is -- the standard engineering practice is to use somewhere between 100 to 200 feet for sheet flow. Typically sheet flow can occur a lot longer than that. That's a conservative means and method of determining what time of concentration is. And we would along the path that we have determined to be the approximate longest path would look and check the topography to see what that existing slope is and that plays into that calculation.

We would then do the same thing for shallow -- shallow concentrated and then any channelized flow we would find along that path. And it can be made up of as many changes as it needs to be made up of. So if you find that your topography slope changes five times, then you put that in five

different times with the different lengths to make up what the exact path is.

- Q. So if I am understanding your answer correctly, this model does utilize the percentage of slope for the project area?
 - A. Absolutely, it does, sir.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And do you know what percentage of -- percentages of slope were used in the model for this project?
- A. I do not off the top of my head. It would -- it would be based on what was determined along the longest path. Typically your flatter slopes are going to be your longer time of concentrations which are going to give you a slower runoff rate. So it's -- it's a conservative method determining the time of concentration, so likely it would be flatter slopes would be used.
- Q. Do you know whether any slopes of 10 percent or higher were utilized in this model?
- A. Off the top of my head, I do not. They could be, but I do not know.
- Q. Is there anything in Exhibit K of the application or anywhere else in the application to your knowledge that provides an estimate of the quantity of the stormwater discharges that will occur

from site clearing and construction activities?

- A. The quantity or the rate?
- Q. The quantity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. There should be information within the appendix that contains the HydroCAD output that provides some information about the quantity of stormwater along with the rate.
 - Q. And which appendix are you referring to?
 - A. I believe it's Appendix D.
- Q. Is that an appendix to Exhibit K of the application?
 - A. It is, sir.
- Q. All right. Why don't you go to that appendix and point me to the location where I can find that data. Just give us a moment so we can all find it while you are looking for it. So it looks like it's all the way at the end of Exhibit K; is that correct?
- A. It is. So what I am looking at are the graphs that are within Exhibit K. And if you look at the graph at top -- at the top that says
 "Subcatchment E1: DA E1."
- Q. Okay. And I think I have that. It looks
 like it's on the PDF page 136 online. Let's see if
 we can identify it by using the paper copy as well so

it's clear where we are at. Does this have the page 11 in the upper right-hand corner of the page?

- A. No. It says page 6.
- Q. Okay. Then I am in the wrong place.
- A. Just a couple too far.
- Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

ALJ AGRANOFF: Does it say on the type

8 | "Type II 24-hour 1-year rainfall" --

THE WITNESS: It does, sir.

ALJ AGRANOFF: -- "2.19"?

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. So that would be PDF page 131, I believe, of the online version. And the preceding page has ORR027 Post in the upper left-hand corner; is that correct?
- A. No. My -- I think the paper copy might be in a different order.
- Q. Oh, okay. All right. Well, I think we have the correct page despite describing it, and I believe you said that this page is for subcatchment E1: DA E1; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So can you explain to me whether this
 page contains any information about the quantity of

runoff for the project area?

2.1

A. Yes. So this is a hydrograph that's an output from the model that we create. This is modeling this subcatchment area which is just a site area that's been defined. And it's modeling the one-year rainfall based on anticipated rain event of 2.19 inches. And so if you look over on the right-hand side of the hydrograph, there is information listed and that provides you with what the runoff area is in acres, the runoff volume in acre feet, the runoff depth, the flow length, and the time of concentration, and the composite curve number that was used when determining that runoff rate.

So you will see that the time and concentration is 142.1 minutes, and the curve number is an 84. Then I don't know how many pages back but there is a post-condition of that same catchment area that is modeled with the post-construction site conditions.

And at the top of that one, it says -- it also says subcatchment E1: DA E1, but in the upper left corner where it says ORR027_Pre, this other one says _post so that's the post condition. And that shows that the runoff volume is 206-acre feet compared to the 234-acre feet that's in the

preexisting model.

2.1

- Q. All right. And just for the record which page were you looking at for the post-construction data?
 - A. Let me --
- Q. Page -- there is a page number in the upper right-hand corner for what you are looking at?
- A. It's about 20 pages back. It's also listed as page 6, and it is located after the routing diagram for ORR027_Post. So if you scroll through, you'll find a diagram that has some, I believe, hexagons for the subcategories. And then it's -- it's the first graph after that page.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Which appendix is this in?

THE WITNESS: It's still within the same appendix, sir.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, I'm not finding it on the online version.

MR. SECREST: Sorry, your Honor. I am trying to sort something out to make sure. The paper copy that Ms. Spencer was referring to differs from the online copy, so I will let her confirm, but I believe what she was originally discussing was the preconstruction for E1: DA E1. And if we are on PDF

```
219
     page 31, that's the post for the same subcatchment.
 1
 2
     I think, Mr. Van Kley, that's why you are not finding
     it. You are looking at post, she was looking at pre.
 3
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Secrest, we would like
 4
 5
     to point out you are getting feedback. There is an
 6
     echo.
 7
                 MR. SECREST: Thank you. That was the
 8
    witness's mic.
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. So I think we were
9
10
     on the same page for the preconstruction data.
11
    was the 132, I think, of the PDF pages. No, that's
12
     not right.
13
                 MR. SECREST: Those are post.
14
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Yeah, I see the
15
    word post.
16
                 MR. SECREST: It's okay. I will turn my
17
     screen so Ms. Spencer can look at the PDF version.
18
     That might make it easier.
19
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay.
20
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: But is this still in
2.1
    Appendix D?
22
                 MR. SECREST: Yes, that's correct, your
23
    Honor.
24
                THE WITNESS: Yes. So this one -- go up
25
     one page. So page 131 of 166, this is the
```

post-condition that -- of the same catchment area that we already looked at the precondition.

Q. Okay.

2.1

A. So you'll see the runoff volume is 206.325 AF which is acre feet. You'll notice the flow length which is 9,320 feet. If you reference back to the pre-hydrograph, the flow length is the same which indicates that the path that was determined was the same -- or the path that was used was the same but because in the precondition versus the post-condition, you are changing the makeup of the ground. You are going from an agricultural field which has a higher runoff rate versus a grass field which has a lower runoff rate. That's why your time of concentration now on this graph is 202.7 minutes versus the 142.1 minutes.

So by changing the makeup of the ground that the water is falling on, you're changing the time of concentration, and you're changing the curve numbers slightly which helps to pick up and slow down and reduce the amount of runoff.

- Q. Is that the only different factor between the pre-construction model and the post-construction model?
 - A. Yes. The only difference that was

implemented into the post-model was the makeup of the ground which changes the curve number. You will notice that the CN value at the very bottom is an 82 versus an 84.

2.1

The higher the CN number, the more water runoff there occurs and the faster the runoff occurs. For example, if you were modeling a parking lot, you would use a 98. And so the lower the curve number, the slower and less water will leave. So the only factors that were changed on this was -- were the ones that were directly related to the makeup of the ground coverage.

- Q. Okay. And what was the origin of the information put in the model concerning the ground coverage?
- A. For the precondition or the post-condition?
 - Q. For the post-condition.
- A. Still the origin would have been -actually the origin would have been the same. There
 are predetermined curve rate numbers for all
 different scenarios of ground coverage. And so it
 would have been pulled directly from the tables that
 we have access to to -- for grass coverage for the
 area.

- Q. So are the -- is the information concerning the ground coverage taken from runoff rates for vegetative areas that have solar panels installed on them or that does not have solar panels installed on them?
- A. Are you asking me where the default curve numbers come from?
- Q. I'm not sure because I don't know what a default curve number is.
 - A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. Let me see if I can maybe dumb it down for my own sake. I assume, and you can tell me if I am correct, that the numbers used for determining runoff from a vegetative terrain come from a database somewhere, right?
 - A. Uh-huh, correct.
- Q. Okay. And my question is whether the information in that database provides runoff rates for vegetated areas of panels or vegetated areas without panels.
- A. I could not tell you where those numbers are derived from specifically. They are national curve numbers that are used in all engineering practices. They are not exclusive to solar panel projects, and I would not be able to tell you whether

solar panel projects were considered when developing those.

2.

2.1

- Q. All right. So you are not aware of any information that would indicate that the figures used for the runoff on vegetated soil take into account the presence of solar panels in those areas.
- A. No, because those aren't on the ground. So once the water hits the ground it considers the ground coverage. Since those are suspended above, there would not be.
- Q. Yeah. Well, if rainfall falls on a panel, the panel is going to redirect that flow at least somewhat to a more concentrated area of the ground than it would if the panel were not present, correct?
- A. It's not my understanding that these are narrow or triangulated, so I would not anticipate it would concentrate the flow. My understanding of the way that the solar panels are is that it would act as a sheet flow which is -- is more kind of like your roof without the downspout.
- Q. So just using for sake of illustration the roof scenario you just mentioned, if rainfall falls on a roof, then the rainfall runs to the side of the roof and off the roof on the ground, right?

- A. If it's collected in a gutter, uh-huh.
- Q. Okay. And even if it's not collected in the gutter, it's going to run off the sides of the roof, right?

2.1

- A. Yes, it will at a much higher elevation.
- Q. Yeah. And the -- the water that runs off the roof onto the ground around the structure that it's on is going to be more concentrated in that spot than it would be if it were falling directly to the ground without hitting the roof first, correct?
 - A. Yes. In heavier rainfalls it could, yes.
- Q. And so there are going to be -- so with regard to panels, there will be higher concentrations of precipitation that would fall around the outside of the panel than it would be if there were no panel present, correct?
 - A. Yes, but it would not channel as.
- Q. There would be more -- there would be a greater concentration of water that would be hitting parts of the ground if the panels were present than there would be if there were no panels present to redirect some of the flow, right?
- A. Yes. At that exact location, there would.
 - Q. If the rainfall hitting the panel is more

concentrated in an area and the soil in that spot where the concentrated rainfall is falling is already saturated, then there's going to be more runoff from that location, correct?

2.1

MR. SECREST: Objection, speculation.

MR. VAN KLEY: I think it's a scientific principle. I think she can answer that.

ALJ AGRANOFF: I will allow the question.

A. So, yes, if the rain -- if the ground was saturated from rain and then it rained again, there would be more runoff. That is not -- we don't typically model rain events multiple days in a row in the stormwater, but we do model larger rain events which account for the ground being overly saturated.

So the hydrographs that you looked at were for a one-year rain event, but as I mentioned, Mr. Marquis, when he pulled this together, also modeled all the way up to the hundred year which is the largest rain event with a much higher rainfall intensity of over 5-1/2 inches so that would model the volume of water that would run off the site in the pre and the post-condition and that still shows a reduction in runoff.

Q. Is there anything in Exhibit K of the application or any other place in the application

that provides data on the water quality currently existing in the streams receiving flow from the project area?

- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. You are not aware of anything in the application that provides any sampling data for those streams?
 - A. Not off the top of my head.
- Q. You are aware that this project will require coverage under the Ohio EPA general permit for stormwater discharges?
 - A. I am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Do you know whether data is necessary to obtain coverage under that general permit?
 - MR. SECREST: Objection, vague, data.
- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Well, let's -- let's break it down a little bit. Are you aware if any water quality data is necessary in order to obtain coverage under that permit?
- A. Are you asking if there is any testing for water quality pre and post that's required?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. No, that's -- in a -- in a site like
 this, that would not be something that I had ever
 seen.

- Q. Have you ever filled out an application for coverage under a general stormwater permit?

 ALJ AGRANOFF: In Ohio or anywhere?

 MR. VAN KLEY: Anywhere. It's pretty
- A. Yes, I have filled out multiple notice of intent applications. Actually did one yesterday.
- Q. Okay. And in what state was that a permit for?
 - A. Ohio.

much all the same nationwide.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And did you also prepare the stormwater pollution prevention plan for that application?
- A. For the one I did yesterday, I did not because the construction has not begun, but I have prepared multiple SWPPP plans for sitings that I have done design on.
- Q. Okay. And what kind of information is required in order to prepare such a plan?
- A. The SWPPP plan is made up of a lot of information. Generally speaking most of that is pretty standard from project to project and does not get changed. For the individual projects you are required to put in information with a project description and all of the stormwater design

information as well as your BMPs that are being incorporated into the site. You are also required to pull together an erosion and sediment control plan with details and notes as applicable to the site.

2.1

2.2

ALJ AGRANOFF: You used an acronym DMP?

THE WITNESS: BMP, best management

practice. Those are items that are implemented on
the site to control and contain erosion and
sedimentation during construction.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Is any water quality data used in order to prepare such a plan?
- A. You are required to put in the information for the calculations for water quality for the site into the SWPPP, or stormwater pollution production plan, that you prepare. So that information would already be completed with the design and approved by the governing authority and then that information would go into the plan.
- Q. And what kind of water quality data is incorporated into the operations of that plan that you just mentioned?
- A. You would put in the calculations that you used to determine what the water quality and volume for the site was based on the post-construction versus the pre-construction

conditions, and then you would also include information on whatever design specifications to control the water and maintain the water quality that you incorporated for that site.

- Q. You -- I think you said that you would use water quality volume; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- O. What is that?
- A. Water quality volume is something that you have to determine for a site when you do a design. The calculations are found within the state general construction permit. It is essentially holding back a specific volume of water to allow particulates and pollution within that water to dissipate within your stormwater system before you release it into a stream.
- Q. And so does that include water quality data that consists of numeric estimates of particulates or other pollutants that would be in the water?
- A. No, not for this site. I believe that there are requirements on heavy industrial sites but those then get changed over to a different construction permit for the State of Ohio.
 - Q. Okay. So if that's the case then, what's

the nature of the water quality volume information that would be included in a SWPPP for a project of the nature of a solar project?

2.1

- A. So the site would be evaluated, and the individual site conditions would be put into the calculation. It takes -- it uses the acreage and a few other pieces -- I'm sorry. I don't have the calculation in front of me. I can't remember all the different pieces, but it's essentially the first 9/10 of an inch of rain on a site that is to be released at a slower rate.
- Q. And is that information, that is, the water quality volume information, that you've been describing included in Harvey Solar's application in this case?
- A. I'm not aware of that being included because that's part of a final designing, not part of a preliminary assessment typically.
- Q. Does Harvey Solar's application in this case include an estimate of the quality of the stormwater discharges from construction?
 - A. Not that I am aware of.
- Q. Does Harvey Solar's application in this case describe any changes in flow patterns or erosion due to site clearing or grading operations?

231 Not that I am aware of off the top of my 1 Α. 2 head. Does Harvey Solar's application in this 3 Q. case describe the equipment proposed for controlling 4 5 the stormwater discharges? No. That would be something that we 6 Α. 7 would do in final design. 8 MR. VAN KLEY: All right. Your Honor, I 9 have no more questions at this time. 10 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. 11 Any cross from Hartford Township? 12 MS. CARNES: No questions, your Honor. 13 Thank you. 14 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any clarifying questions 15 from any of the signatory intervening parties? 16 MR. DOVE: No, thank you, your Honor. 17 ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Secrest, any redirect? 18 MR. SECREST: May we have a few moments, 19 your Honor? 20 ALJ AGRANOFF: Sure. About how many 2.1 minutes do you think you need? 2.2 MR. SECREST: Maybe 5, if we may. 23 ALJ AGRANOFF: Sure. 24 MR. SECREST: Thank you. 25 ALJ AGRANOFF: Why don't we reconvene at

232 10:20. 1 2 (Recess taken.) 3 ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's go back on the record. 4 5 Just waiting for Mr. Van Kley to return. MR. VAN KLEY: I have returned. 6 7 ALJ AGRANOFF: So you have. 8 Mr. Secrest, have you determined if there 9 will be any need for redirect? 10 MR. SECREST: Very brief, your Honor. 11 Thank you. 12 ALJ AGRANOFF: Please proceed. 13 MR. SECREST: Thank you. 14 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 16 By Mr. Secrest: Q. Ms. Spencer, are you aware -- strike 17 18 that. There was extensive discussion on 19 20 cross-examination related to curve numbers. Do you 2.1 know what the curve number is for grass versus 22 pollinators? 23 Yes. So curve numbers vary slightly 24 based on the soil condition below. But the grass, 25 just standard grass that you would find in your yard,

is going to be somewhere between a 78 to an 80, and for the pollinator with a deeper root system is going to be lower, around 74 maybe to 76.

- Q. And does that mean pollinators absorb more water?
- A. Yes, it does. So the slower the runoff number that you use in the calculation, the more water stays on-site.

MR. SECREST: Thank you.

Your Honor, I have nothing further other than to answer your question before, if I may, LiDAR is laser imaging direction and ranging.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

MR. SECREST: Thank you.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Recross.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor.

_ _ -

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

19 By Mr. Van Kley:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.1

- Q. Ms. Spencer, the pollinators for this project are proposed just around the fences surrounding the solar arrays, correct?
- A. I believe in the conditions that it's now being used within the solar array panels as well, not just around the perimeter.

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: You are welcome.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Judge Hicks, do you have

4 any questions?

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

5 ALJ HICKS: I do not. Thanks.

6

EXAMINATION

By ALJ Agranoff:

- Q. Okay. I have just one question for you, Ms. Spencer. Specifically when you were doing your cross-examination from Mr. Van Kley, he had you looking at Appendix D of Attachment K to the application.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And there was conversation about a document that had subcatchment E1: DA E1 and there was numbers there for one-year rainfall of
- 18 | 2.19 inches?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What's the significance of the
- 21 | 2.19 inches?
- A. The 2.19 inches is an estimation of a rainfall event that will occur at minimum every year in an area. There have been studies done and those rainfall intensities have been developed for

different regions based on their historical rainfall data.

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. Is the 2.19 inches then historical for the -- for the project area proposed in this case, or is that a national number?
- A. So the -- the study was done more at a national level but this is for this specific area.
- Q. Okay. And that was over what time frame that that --
- 10 A. If the -- it's analyzed as a 24-hour storm.
 - Q. Okay. But that's the average number for some period of time or that's the minimum number for some period of time?
 - A. That's an average rainfall that you can expect to see in that area at least once a year.

17 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Based on my one 18 question, does any counsel have any follow-up?

MR. VAN KLEY: No, your Honor.

MR. SECREST: No, thank you, your Honor.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

If not, Ms. Spencer, you are excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

ALJ AGRANOFF: And at this point in time,

Mr. Secrest, do you seek admission of two exhibits?

236 1 MR. SECREST: I do. May the Applicant 2 move for the admission of Applicant's Exhibit 23 and 23A? 3 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any objections? 4 5 There being none, the aforementioned 6 exhibits shall be admitted as part of the record at 7 this time. (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 8 9 ALJ AGRANOFF: And let me just move some binders around before we call the next witness. 10 11 MR. SECREST: Your Honor, if we may, we 12 are going to require some shuffling here, probably 13 not surprising to you, so if we may take a little break in order to do so. 14 15 ALJ AGRANOFF: Sure. Absolutely. Why don't we take, if everybody is okay, a 10-minute 16 17 recess and reconvene at 10:40. 18 MR. SECREST: Sounds great. 19 (Recess taken.) 20 ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's go back on the 2.1 record. 22 Mr. Secrest, if you could please call 23 your next witness. 24 MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honor. May 25 the Applicant call Ryan Rupprecht?

237 ALJ AGRANOFF: If you could please 1 2 promote Mr. Rupprecht. I don't see him. There he 3 is. 4 MR. SECREST: He is on my screen, your 5 Honor. ALJ AGRANOFF: He is on mine now as well. 6 7 Good morning, Mr. Rupprecht. 8 MR. RUPPRECHT: Good morning. 9 ALJ AGRANOFF: If you could please raise 10 your right hand. 11 (Witness sworn.) 12 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. 13 Please proceed, Mr. Secrest. 14 MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honor. 15 16 RYAN RUPPRECHT 17 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 By Mr. Secrest: 2.1 Ο. And, Mr. Rupprecht, would you please mute 22 when I am talking so we don't get feedback. Thank 23 you. 24 Will you please state your full name for 25 the record and let us know by whom you are employed.

- Yep. Ryan Rupprecht, SWCA Environmental 1 Α. 2 Consultants. Thank you. And do you have a copy of 3 Ο. your direct testimony and supplemental direct 4 5 testimony in front of you? 6 Α. T do. 7 MR. SECREST: Your Honor, may I have 8 Mr. Rupprecht's direct testimony marked as Applicant Exhibit 26? 9 10 ALJ AGRANOFF: It shall be so marked. 11 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 12 MR. SECREST: And thank you. And may I 13 have Mr. Rupprecht's supplemental direct testimony 14 marked as Applicant Exhibit 26A? 15 ALJ AGRANOFF: It shall be so marked as 16 well. 17 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 18 MR. SECREST: Thank you. 19 (By Mr. Secrest) Are Applicant Exhibits Ο. 20 26 and 26A true and accurate copies of your direct 2.1 testimony and supplemental direct testimony filed in
 - Α. They are.

these proceedings?

2.2

23

24 Do you have any changes or revisions to Ο. 25 your testimony? If the same questions contained

239 within your direct and supplemental testimony were 1 2 asked to you today, would your answers be the same? 3 They would. Α. MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honor. 4 5 Mr. Rupprecht is available for cross-examination. 6 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. 7 ALJ HICKS: Let me hop in. I don't know 8 if his answer to if he had any changes... I think he 9 was muted so maybe just ask that again for the 10 record. 11 MR. SECREST: Certainly. 12 (By Mr. Secrest) Mr. Rupprecht, do you Ο. 13 have any changes or revisions to your direct 14 testimony or supplemental direct testimony? 15 Α. I have no changes. 16 MR. SECREST: Thank you. 17 ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Van Kley. 18 MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor. 19 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2.1 By Mr. Van Kley: Mr. Rupprecht, would you turn to your 22 Q. 23 written direct testimony identified as Applicant 24 Exhibit 26 and go to page 5. Let me know when you

25

are there.

A. I'm there.

2.1

- Q. All right. Let's go to answer 10 on page 5 of your testimony. And I would like to direct your attention to the sentence starting at line 22 on that page where it says "The majority of impacts within the approximately 2,360 acres of fenced area will occur as a result of upland soil disturbance for construction of supporting infrastructure; and total approximate 229.3 acres of temporary impacts and approximate 40.2 acres of permanent impacts." Do you see that sentence?
 - A. I do.
- Q. All right. So let me break the sentence down a little bit. I have a few questions about it. In line 23, what is your reference to the upland soil disturbance mean?
- A. If the projector is not proposed to impact wetlands and/or streams -- actually sorry. Let me restate that.

There is very minimal impacts to wetland and stream to this project. All the impacts are primarily upland soils.

- Q. Okay. And what are those impacts to upland soils?
- A. So in this particular case, there will be

- a small acreage of area taken up by the piles that will support the infrastructure for the solar arrays. There will be access roads and collection lines as well as a permit for the substation area.
- Q. Will there also be impacts for upland soil disturbance for installing the inverters?
 - A. There will be.

2.1

- Q. Those inverters will be put on a foundation, correct?
 - A. I believe they will be on gravel pads.
- Q. And then in line 24 on page 5 of Exhibit 26, there's a reference to temporary impacts on about 229.3 acres. What is that a reference to?
- A. Yes. There will be more impacts temporarily during construction than there will be permanently. So the temporary impacts are for wider access roads during construction so that vehicles may utilize the site for construction as well as some other temporary laydown areas that will be used for equipment staging and storage of equipment as it arrives on-site. So there is a greater, you know, footprint during construction than there is at the end of the project once construction is completed.
- Q. Do you know what the impacts are for the lay down areas to the soil?

- A. I could tell you. I would have to access one of the exhibits.
- Q. Okay. Sure. Just let us know what exhibit you are looking at.
- 5 A. Yeah. It will be the EPA ecological assessment.
- 7 ALJ AGRANOFF: And that is located where 8 within the application?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Is it appendix -- Exhibit
 10 Q? Exhibit Q, your Honor. If you go to Table 6-2 of
 11 Exhibit Q, there's a table there labeled "Summary of
 12 Proposed Harvey Solar Project Temporary Impacts," and
 13 laydown yards is labeled as 29.2 acres.
- Q. Okay. Give us all just a moment to find that page.
- 16 A. Sure.

1

2

3

- Q. I believe online that's PDF page 31.
- 18 Table 6.1 or 6.2?
- 19 A. 6.2.
- Q. Oh, okay. Then it should be on PDF page
 32. All right. So we are -- we are at Table 6.2 on
 page 6-3 in Exhibit Q of the application, correct?
- A. I believe that's correct. I am looking
- 24 at a hard copy.
- Q. Okay. On your hard copy does it say

- "page 6-2" in the lower right-hand corner?
- A. That is correct.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. All right. So looking at Table 6.2, we see a line for laydown areas, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. There are 29.20 acres of laydown areas, right?
 - A. Yep, correct, 29.2 acres.
- Q. Okay. And what is the nature of the soil disturbance, if any, that's anticipated for the laydown areas?
- A. There would be some compaction work so a what's called synthetic barrier would be laid down and that's basically to separate the gravel and material from the native soil and, therefore, then gravel and other materials would be laid on top of that barrier and then, therefore, that gravel pad would then be a secure area for them to be able to lay down equipment as it arrives on-site.

And then post-construction any of those areas would then be able to be removed where that material would be removed above the synthetic layer and then that synthetic layer be removed returning it back to its native soil, but you can expect there will be compaction from the weight that was

attributed.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Let's go back to your written direct testimony marked as Applicant Exhibit 26. And now go to page 7 of that testimony. Let's go to the first full paragraph on that page which starts at line 6. And you state here that "A total of 27 streams were delineated in the Study Area," correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Is the study area, as that term is used in your testimony, the same thing as the project area?
 - A. No. It may actually be larger.
- Q. Okay. What did you include in the study area that was not in the project area?
- A. So the study area is defined also in the ecological assessment which was Appendix Q. And the reason that that's a larger area is the project area at the time of these surveys is not yet determined; and, therefore, we look at sometimes a larger area so may include a parcel that was being considered at the time. It may not have been included in the overall project area as the project matured.
- Q. Can you tell me how many streams are located within the project area?
 - A. I can. Again, in Appendix Q. So in this

particular case all 27 streams that were delineated in the study area are also -- some portion of them are also in the project area.

2.1

- Q. All right. And where in Exhibit Q do you obtain that information?
- A. Absolutely. Sorry. I just lost my spot there. So this is in Section 6.3.2 of Appendix Exhibit Q which is page 6-5 of that exhibit. And under that heading it is the second paragraph.
- Q. Can you tell me how many stream crossings are planned for installing collection lines in the project?
- A. I can. Give me a moment to reference the exhibit.
- Q. Yeah. Just go to the next paragraph from where you were.
 - A. Yes. There will be 35 crossings.
- Q. Will all of those crossings according to the application be made using horizontal directional drilling?
- A. They would be if they were not collocated with an access road across it.
- Q. And how many are anticipated to be so collocated?
- A. So if you go to Appendix B of Exhibit

Q -- I'm sorry. So in this particular case all of the crossings are proposed as open cut crossings.

- O. All 35 of them?
- A. All 35 are collocated, and also the number of 35 is considered high. Each cable itself is considered a crossing, although many of the cables would be collocated. So in their case, if you look at stream 7, there will be a total of 12 crossings but they will all be collocated in the same location, in other words, 12 collection lines to go through the same area and the same footprint.
- Q. All right. Where are you looking in Appendix B to --
 - A. Yeah, Table B-4 of Appendix B.
 - Q. Just give us a moment.
- 16 A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

- Q. Give us a moment to find that. Is there a page number on that?
- A. There is not.
- MR. SECREST: PDF page 69.
- Q. All right. So you are looking at Table
 B-4 Proposed Waterbody (Stream) Crossing Methods and
 Impacts for the Harvey Solar Project, Licking County,
 Ohio?
- 25 A. That is correct.

Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. If you look at stream 7 as an example that there will be an access road crossing there and, therefore, a culvert will be installed and at that same crossing collocated in that same location there will be 12 collection lines that pass through that same area.
- Q. Okay. Does that mean there are going to be 12 open cuts, or they are all going to be in the same open cut?
 - A. They will all be in the same open cut.
- Q. So based on the information in the application, how many open cuts will there be through streams in the project area?
 - A. 10.
- Q. Are you familiar with how an open cut for this purpose gets made?
 - A. I have a general concept, yes.
- Q. All right. And would you explain what that general concept is.
- A. That is correct. It depends on the flow regime of the stream. If it is an ephemeral stream, the open cut is simply made and closed within a 48-hour period, and all materials are put in there as well as the culvert is installed and backfilled as

designed. Let's say that it's a perennial stream, which means that it is flowing at the time, there would be a small temporary dam that is placed above and below the stream and a pump would be used to wrap the water around the two dams to have the project area dry during that construction period and allow water to continue to flow from one end to the other of the stream without interruption during that construction, and again, construction is proposed for a maximum of 48 hours. Usually though they are completed within 24 hours.

- Q. And for the record what's an ephemeral stream?
- A. An ephemeral stream is a stream that only flows seasonally and/or occasionally due to higher rain flow or precipitation.
- Q. What kind of equipment is used to make an open cut through the stream?
 - A. General construction equipment, things like a backhoe.
- Q. I'm sorry. You cut out there. Did you say a backhoe?
- 23 A. I did.

Q. Okay. And what happens generally when an open cut is made while the trench is still open?

- A. Yeah. It is placed on -- again, a synthetic barrier is put down and that material is piled and stacked on that material to again separate from the native soil and then that soil would then be used to refill that area during the restoration and the repair of that open cut.
- Q. Let's go back to your direct testimony as Applicant's Exhibit 26, page 7. And directing your attention to line 17, there is a reference there to observations made during on-site surveys. Do you see that?
 - A. I do.

2.1

- Q. What on-site surveys were conducted for this project for purposes of the work that was performed to assess ecological issues?
- A. Yes. So there was wetland surface delineations which is wetland and streams as well as habitat assessment work was also completed for this project.
- Q. All right. How many on-site surveys were done for wetland and streams?
- A. I believe there was three different
 mobilizations for the project, November of 2019;
 November of 2020; and spring of 2021, I believe it
 was April.

Q. How many days of on-site activities were conducted for each of those three mobilizations?

2.1

2.2

- A. So the first mobilization would have been the longest and that would have been four days. I don't have the exact number of days in front of me. The second one would have been probably two days, and the last one was only a day.
- Q. Okay. You cut out there on the first mobilization. Can you provide me with the number of days again?
 - A. Typically three to four days.
- Q. All right. And, Mr. Rupprecht, how many mobilizations were there for habitat assessments?
- A. At least the two November ones were -- also included habitat assessments. I would need to check to see if the March one included that or not.
 - Q. Okay. Go ahead and do that.
- MR. SECREST: Sorry, Mr. Rupprecht. Do you need an exhibit identified? Just one moment.

 Please let us know what exhibit you are referring to,
 Mr. Rupprecht.
- THE WITNESS: Yes. So I am looking at Exhibit O of the application which is the wetland delineation report.
- A. So the April 2021 work would have

included some level of habitat assessment work.

2.1

- Q. And what activities were conducted during the habitat assessment?
- A. The habitat assessment in general terms review of the present habitat. That would be agricultural fields, open areas, wooded areas, things along those lines, and GPS locations, and referenced maps were marked up as part of that with information collected that would then be later used for mapping and information to be provided in these reports to determine the type of habitat that is present and also to confirm the results of the desktop assessment.
- Q. Referring you back to page 7 of your testimony marked as Applicant Exhibit 26, let's go to line 22 on that testimony where there is a reference to "Typical evidence of wildlife species observed during the field delineations." Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. And what is meant by the reference to field delineations?
- A. That would have been the wetland delineation work as well as the habitat assessment as they were done concurrently.
 - Q. Was a literature search for plants

conducted as part of the ecological assessment?

- A. What do you mean by literature search?
- Q. Did -- was anybody -- was there any search on the internet or from any other sources for literature that identified the species of plants that are potentially present in the project area and within one quarter of a mile of the project area?
- A. No, there was no literature search conducted and not necessary as our biologists actually recorded the species of plants and material that they observed during the wetland delineation and habitat assessment.
- Q. Is there a checklist of the plants that were found in the project area in the application?
- A. I do not know if there is a particular checklist and stuff but there are references to the types of plants that were observed in Appendix Q, I believe.
 - Q. All right.
 - A. O.

2.1

- Q. Let's go to Appendix Q and please point out to me where there is a list of any of the plants found in the project area.
- 24 ALJ AGRANOFF: This is Appendix Q of -25 which exhibit?

MR. VAN KLEY: Of the application, your Honor.

2.1

THE WITNESS: This is Exhibit Q of the application, your Honor.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you.

- A. And then as an example, Section 5.1.1.2, Forests and Woodland, which is on page 5-1 of that -- of that exhibit. And second paragraph of that section "Field surveys indicate the plant communities and woodlots are dominated by northern red oak, pine oak, black cherry, sugar maple," and so forth and so on.
- Q. All right. Is there any other information in the application that identifies the plant species found in the project area?
- A. There are more detailed information on the actual forms as part of the wetland delineations that are in attachment to that report.
- Q. All right. Why don't we go to those forms then. Tell me where to find those.
- A. So again, we are going to want to go back to Exhibit O. And we are going to want to go to Appendix C.
- 24 ALJ AGRANOFF: Do you know what page that 25 is on the PDF?

THE WITNESS: Once I get there, your Honor, I will be able to tell you. I am getting there myself.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: So Appendix C does not have a number, sir, PDF page number.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. I would think it starts at PDF page 108.
- A. Yeah. If it's easier, there is a summary in Appendix -- I'm sorry, Exhibit O under 5-1 which is page 5-1 of that exhibit and under there it says general habitat within the study area and it does provide a number of species that were observed.
 - Q. All right. Give us a moment to find that. You say that's on page 5-1 of Exhibit O?
- A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And this is in Section 5.1 entitled -- or titled "General Habitat within the Study Area"?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Does that section contain a complete list of all plant species found in the project area?
 - A. I doubt that it's a complete list.
- Q. Does the application contain a complete
 list of all of the plant species found in the project
 area?

A. It likely does not. A lot of times it's the dominant species of that particular area, so when you are identifying a wetland, you would identify the dominant species that you are using as an indicator within that, and it is usually the top two or three that you use for that. There may be some additional plants that were observed. Again, any RTE plants that would have been observed would have been noted, but smaller stands of vegetation may not have been noted.

2.1

- Q. And for the record what does RTE stand for?
 - A. Yeah, rare, threatened, and endangered.
 - Q. Was a literature search conducted to find out what species of wildlife may be present in the project area?
- A. No, I don't believe there was any formal literature search, no.
 - Q. Were any field surveys conducted to find out what species of wildlife were in the project area or are in the project area?
 - A. There were no species-specific surveys conducted. There was presence/absence surveys conducted for RTE species but no formal studies were completed for any species.

- So the application does not contain a Ο. list of all of the wildlife species found in the project area?
- No. There's not a total list of species observed. Let me rephrase that just slightly. 6 is no list within the reports that my team prepared. I did not prepare the application, so I'm not familiar if there is a listing within the application or not.
 - Okay. And which reports did your team Q. perform or prepare?
 - Α. Exhibits O which is the water delineation report, Q which is the ecological assessment, and then there should have been a wildlife report as well.
- 16 Q. E?

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 17 Α. Mr. Secrest switched the exhibits for the 18 wildlife report. It's Exhibit P.
 - Ο. Okay.
- 20 MR. SECREST: I just referred 2.1 Mr. Rupprecht to page 3 of his direct testimony.
- 22 Yeah. Yep. So in my testimony O, P, Q, Α. 23 and W. And again, related to the environmental work, 24 O, P, and O.
- Q. Are you aware of any other reports on 25

wildlife contained in the application?

- A. I am not aware of any others.
- Q. Let's go back to your written direct testimony marked as Applicant Exhibit 26, page 8. And let's go to question and answer 14. And this question and answer discusses the visual resource assessment, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And what is the visual resource assessment?
- A. This is a look at the project and an assessment of its potential viewability surrounding resources within a defined distance of the project.
- Q. Did that assessment include residences of non-participating landowners around the project?
 - A. It would.
- Q. Did you conduct the visual resource assessment?
- A. I was part of the team that did but, no,
 I did not -- there is several components to it and so
 we have folks that are experts in those different
 sections that worked on that report but I handled the
 overall development of that, yes.
 - Q. So what was your specific role in the visual resource assessment?

- A. Yeah, senior level review and strategy.
- Q. And what does that mean?
- A. That means that I met with the project team and went over the course of action, the requirements of the Siting Board to -- that was within the report as well as overall review of the report prior to it being submitted.
- Q. What, if any, expertise do you have with respect to the preparation of visual resource assessments?
- A. I am not sure I understand what you mean by expertise.
 - Q. Well, do you have any college level training that is used in visual resource assessments?
 - A. I do not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.1

- Q. Prior to the Harvey Solar project, had you been involved in any visual resource assessments?
- A. Yes, several.
- 19 Q. How many specifically?
 - A. I don't have a specific number but greater than 10.
- Q. And was your level involve -- of involvement the same for those projects as it is for the Harvey Solar project?
- 25 A. It is. I was the project manager.

- Q. Go to page 9 of your written direct testimony. Directing your attention to answer 17, lines 27 and 28 state that "since the panels rotate throughout the day and for the vast majority of the day will be significantly lower than 15 feet." Do you see that?
 - A. I do.

2.1

- Q. And that language refers to the height of the solar panels; is that correct?
 - A. It does. It does.
- Q. During how much of the day are the panels at full height?
 - A. There may be days that it never gets to that height.
 - Q. What kind of days are those?
 - A. So the panels rotate to follow the sun throughout a designated model pattern on, you know, sun's location in the sky. And the panels are then designed to track that. And as it tracks it, the 15 feet is kind of at its most extreme, and so it actually very rarely gets into that position. So for its normal model, it may not even actually get to 15 feet. 15 feet may be only used for maintenance to create its maximum angle for maintenance work and everything else so it can achieve that height

certainly. And, therefore, that's why we model it at that height because that would be the most conservative way to do it, to basically say that it would be at that height a 100 percent of the time but that's not the case and there's certainly a considerable amount of time in which it does not set at that height.

2.1

- Q. How long of a period of time are the panels typically at 14 feet in height?
- A. So that would be kind of at the extreme ends of the day, morning or evening, as the last bit of sunlight, so I would imagine it's probably less than an hour on each end of the day, maybe less than an hour total.
- Q. Mr. Rupprecht, could you repeat that answer, please?
- A. Sure. So the panel height is strictly related to, you know, the angle of the panels as it tracks the sky, so it's at its maximum height essentially in the morning and in the evening and so that maximum height for the day was going to be 14 feet, it could be 13 feet for that particular day, may only be for an hour or so each day.
- Q. An hour at the end of the day and an hour at the beginning of the day?

A. Maybe, maybe less.

2.1

- Q. Then how much of the day or what -- during what time period of the day would the panels be as high as 10 feet?
- A. So the final design or the final panels have not been selected yet, so I wouldn't be able to answer that question. I don't know what the actual resting height, in other words, would it be 90 degrees, what that height would be. As that would be part of the final selection of the panels and such, we just know that the panels will not exceed 15 feet at their maximum height. So that's what was used for modeling. We know the location of the panels to be able to model but the model -- the panels haven't been analyzed yet, so I couldn't answer that.
- Q. If the height of the panels is 14 feet, then during what time period of the day would the panels be at a height of 10 feet or higher?
 - A. Could you repeat that?
- Q. Yeah. If the size of the panel is

 14 feet in height, then during what percentage of the
 day or how many hours per day would the panels be at
 a height of 10 feet or higher?
- MR. SECREST: Objection, asked and answered.

MR. VAN KLEY: No. He couldn't answer the question because he didn't understand it. I had to reask it.

MR. SECREST: You're on mute, Judge Agranoff.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: I will allow the question to be asked.

- A. Mr. Van Kley, I'm struggling to kind of understand the question and stuff. If -- if you are saying the maximum height would be 14 feet, how often would they be at a height of greater than 10 feet? Is that the question?
- Q. Yeah. Let me just break it down for you. Let's assume that Harvey Solar selects and installs a panel that is at its greatest height 14 feet tall. Would that panel -- what period of the day would the panel be at a height of 10 feet or higher?
- A. So I would still struggle to be able to answer that question without a little bit more engineering information. So -- and I can explain why I would struggle with that. The pivot point to where that location is is most critical. What is the height of the pivot? So, in other words, the length of the area that's overhang from that pivot point will create its maximum height. So depending on the

overall design of the array as far as its width would be a factor in that that I don't know.

2.1

So essentially the panels are generally moving in a 30-degree angle throughout the day.

During extreme cases like during the winter or things like that where it is trying to chase that sun a little bit more, it may increase that angle up to 60 percent or solar -- that's when you would start to get the higher heights but not necessarily during summer or during daytime conditions when the panels are most flat when the sun sets at its highest arc, the pivot point, and the height of that pivot point would be the key information to answer your question.

So without knowing that, I would be -- I wouldn't be able to tell you percent time that it spends at 10 feet or less at this time.

Q. Okay. Well, assume the worst case scenario and answer the question.

MR. SECREST: Objection. One, speculation. Two, he has already testified that the modeling was based on 15 feet and is conservative so that's essentially the worst case.

MR. VAN KLEY: No, it's not. I am asking in the scenario -- I am asking him to provide me with the number of hours per day that the panels would be

at a height of 10 feet or higher if the total height of the panels are 14 feet high. He previously answered the question as to how many hours of the day the panels would be at a height of 15 feet. So now I am asking him to give me the time of the day where the panels would be at a height of 10 feet or higher.

2.1

MR. SECREST: And I believe he has answered twice that with the information available to him, he's not able to answer that question.

MR. VAN KLEY: And that's why I asked him to give me an answer to that question based on the worst case scenario concerning the tilting scenarios that he just outlined.

ALJ AGRANOFF: If the witness understands the question as it is currently framed, you can certainly provide an answer.

A. Yes. So I will refrain from being able to answer. Again, it's the height of the pivot point, so if the panels are -- so I will use an example and maybe this example will answer

Mr. Van Kley's question. So let's say that the panels as far as are set at a height of 6 feet so, in other words, the post out of the ground comes out of the ground 6 feet, and the racking is set on top of that. The racking itself creates about another

6 inches in height, so the panels are now rotating at that pivot point.

In that particular case the panels would be below 10 feet for the majority of the day because that pivot point is at 6 feet. So again, a 30-degree rise or fall within that would not raise the panels, you know, that greatly to put them over 10 feet.

But if that pivot point was at, let's say, 8 feet or higher, then the panels would have a longer period of time which they would be at -- potentially at 10 feet or greater. But without knowing the height of that pivot point, I wouldn't be able to give you any type of numbers as to the duration of time that the panels would be at a particular height.

Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. But again, our work and our model was basically assuming that the panels are 15 feet all day every day. And that's how the model was conducted which is the most conservative estimate to be able to use.
- Q. Yeah. And I get that. So then the question would be at a pivot of 8 feet or higher, during what period of the day would you have panels at a height of 10 feet or higher?

A. It would also change seasonally. Again, during the summer the panels will be flatter for longer periods of time, and a pivot point of 8 feet, they would be for the majority of the day below 10 feet. During the winter when they are potentially at a steeper angle, they would have more time at potentially 10 feet or higher. But again, I couldn't give you exact numbers. You know, those numbers would be able to be provided once that model is set up, but I don't have that model in front of me nor do I run that model.

Q. Can you give me an approximate number of hours per day?

MR. SECREST: Objection, speculation.

A. I cannot.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: If the witness is capable of making such a determination, he can answer. If you don't believe that you have enough sufficient information, you can state as such.

THE WITNESS: I don't have enough sufficient information, your Honor.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Let's go to page 12 of your testimony. And I would like you to take a look at lines 21 and 22 on that page. The first sentence on those lines states as follows: "The viewshed

analysis incorporated the screening effects of existing topography, structures, and vegetation within the VSA." Do you see that?

A. I do.

2.1

- Q. And the VSA for the record stands for what?
 - A. Viewshed analysis.
- Q. Was there any viewshed analysis provided in the application that did not incorporate screening effects of existing topography, structures, or vegetation?
- A. So there isn't any that doesn't include existing topography but there is which does not include structures or vegetation. It's called bare earth model.

ALJ AGRANOFF: I think you may need to repeat that answer. It was, at least on my end, got cut off in the beginning.

THE WITNESS: No problem. So the modeling, there is another model, it's called bare earth model that does not take into account structures or vegetation into account. It does take topography into account.

Q. And that type of analysis was included in the application?

A. It was.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Let's go to page 13 of your testimony. And I would like to direct your attention to the last paragraph on that page which discusses simulations. And those simulations are provided in Exhibit W of the application; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Going to Exhibit W and also referring you to line -- lines 28 and 29 where it's stated that you wanted a representative view of an adjacent landowner, can you show me where in the application is provided a simulation of the representative view of an adjacent landowner?
- A. So all the photos are taken from public access points, essentially roads. But that's -- the distances that they were modeled would be equivalent to that of adjacent landowners.
- Q. So every simulation that's in the application was taken from the perspective of somebody that was on a public road?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So when your testimony refers to a representative view of an adjacent landowner, you were referring to the distance between the viewer and the solar arrays?

269 1 Α. That is correct. And actually there is a relatively good example if you give me just a moment. 2 So if you were to look at -- if you were to look at 3 viewpoint No. 3 --4 5 ALJ AGRANOFF: Which exhibit are you 6 looking at? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm sorry. I am in Exhibit W. 8 9 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. 10 And that's in Figure 3-7; is that Q. 11 correct? 12 No. I am actually going to refer you 13 back to the appendix of the viewshed which has 14 digital simulations, so they are larger pictures. 15 it's Appendix B of Appendix -- of Exhibit W. And I'm on Sheet 9 of 19. 16 17 Q. All right. Give us a moment to find 18 that. You said Exhibit -- Appendix B? 19 B of Exhibit W, correct. Α. 20 ALJ AGRANOFF: Do you know the PDF page? 2.1 ALJ HICKS: I think it's 53. ALJ AGRANOFF: Thanks. 22 23 And it starts on Sheet 9, but I would Α.

that the photo was taken from a public road but there

actually refer to Sheet 10. And again, you can see

24

- is an adjacent landowner home immediately in the foreground of that picture.
- Q. Is that the simulation or the photograph that you view as being the most representative of a view by a neighboring landowner?
- A. That depends on how far the neighboring landowner may be to the project. There may be other simulations that better represents the distance from their view to the project.
- Q. All right. So let's go to the simulation for the scene that is depicted as existing conditions for Sheet 10 of 19. That's the one we are looking at, right?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So the simulation for that scene would be on Sheet 11 of 19; is that right?
 - A. That is -- that is correct.
- Q. Okay. And what's the distance between the viewer and the solar panels in this simulation?
 - A. Just one moment. Approximately 130 feet.
- Q. And did you obtain that distance from page 3-13 of Exhibit W?
- A. I did.
- 24 ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Rupprecht, can I just 25 ask a quick question? With respect to the notation

271 on the photos that we were looking at on Sheet 11 of 1 2 19 where it indicates the Delaware Township in Defiance County, is that -- is that accurate? 3 THE WITNESS: No. That seems to be in 4 5 error in the report, your Honor. That should read 6 Licking -- actually I am not sure of the township but 7 that should be Licking County, Ohio. These are all 8 photos from the project area. 9 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Delaware County is probably not correct either? 10 11 THE WITNESS: That's probably not 12 correct, your Honor. 13 ALJ AGRANOFF: Would it be possible for 14 you to correct the record so that we know 15 specifically what this photo is supposed to 16 represent? 17 THE WITNESS: Absolutely, your Honor. 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: When do you think you 19 might be able to have that correction? 20 THE WITNESS: Within the next -- you 2.1 know, before the week's out, sir. 22 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. So before we close 23 the record in this case, I would like --24 THE WITNESS: Absolutely.

ALJ AGRANOFF: -- for our witness to be

able to modify what this photo or photos are supposed to be representative of.

THE WITNESS: Absolutely. And just for reference, your Honor, the map that is on Sheet 9 of 19 there where it depicts the project area and the point in the view location of the yellow triangle, that information is accurate so you can see that these photos are taken within the project area.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay.

THE WITNESS: We will correct those notations.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) What is the height of the solar panels that's depicted in Sheet 11 of 19 in Exhibit W?
- 16 A. 15 feet.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Let's go to page 3-9 of Exhibit W. And this is a description of viewpoint 1 for the simulations; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And the distance between the viewer and the solar arrays is 425 feet in that simulation; is that correct?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Then if we go to page 3-11, the distance

between the viewer and the solar arrays, that simulation No. 2 is 400 feet, correct?

A. That is correct.

2.1

- Q. Then we go to 3-13 and that's information from viewpoint 3 in the simulations, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And that's the simulation that we discussed that is on Sheet 11 of 19 in Exhibit W, right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Then let's go to page 3-15. And that's information about -- on that page about viewpoint 6 of the simulations, right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. Why did you jump from viewpoint 3 to viewpoint 6?
 - A. So we selected representative locations throughout the project for our simulations. It just so happened that 1, 2, 3, went in order, and then we moved to 6. Again, we wanted to show viewpoints that were close as well as far away as well as we wanted to represent each of the different modules that were proposed in the vegetation plan so that there would be representation of each of those in the simulation.
 - Q. Were there any simulations prepared that

were not included in the application?

- A. There were not.
- Q. So for viewpoint 6, the distance between the viewer and the solar arrays is 560 feet, correct?
- A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

- Q. Then we go to viewpoint 10 on page 3-1.

 It looks like pagination may be a little off here

 because we went from 3-15 to 3-1.
- 9 A. We will correct that when we update the other notations as well.
- Q. So just for clarification in the record,
 we are looking at Section 3.2.1.13 on that page,
 correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. And also we are looking at Section
- 16 | 3.2.1.14 on that page, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. And this is information in viewpoint 10 in the simulations, right?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- Q. And the distance between the viewer and the solar array in that simulation is .61 miles, right?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, before we leave Exhibit W, the

- application, let me refer you to page 1-12 of that document. Tell me when you're there.
- I'm sorry. Please reference where you Α. want me to be again.
 - Q. 1-12.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Is that page 1-12 or section? Α.
- It's page 1-12, Section 1.2.3 titled Ο. "Distance Zones."
 - Α. I'm there.
- Do you see that the second paragraph that Q. is designated as near-foreground 0 to 0.5 miles -- or mile? Do you see that?
 - Α. I am, yep.
- And the sentence is -- in that paragraph Ο. states as follows: "At this distance, a viewer is 16 able to perceive details of an object with clarity. Surface textures, small features, and the full intensity and value of color can be seen on foreground objects." Did I read that correctly?
 - Α. You did.
 - Ο. So the two sentences that I just read describe the views from 0 to 0.5 miles from the solar arrays, correct?
 - Α. In general, yes.
- 25 Q. What do you mean when you qualify your

answer by stating that in general?

2.1

- A. Well, I would assume that level of detail and amount that you are able to view of a small feature diminishes with distance. So what you see at 0 feet may not be exactly the same at half -- at a half a mile but that the general concept that things are much more viewable in that distance in comparison to other distances is true. But that's -- what you see at 0 is probably not equivalent to what you see at a half a mile.
- Q. Okay. So if we go to page 14 of your written direct testimony marked as Applicant
 Exhibit 26, let's go to the answer to question 23. I have some questions about that.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. All right. So on line 6 there is a sentence that starts with the word "Results." Do you see that sentence?
 - A. I do.
- Q. And that entire sentence reads as follows: "Results of this analysis indicate that the proposed solar arrays associated with the Project will be screened from view in approximately 89.7 percent of the 5-mile radius VSA." Did I read that correctly?

You did. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

22

23

24

Okay. So my question is what percentage Q. of the view area in the near-foreground of 0 to 0.5-mile will be visible -- I better start that over.

All right. So my question is in the near-foreground area of 0 to 0.5 mile, in what percentage of that area will a person be able to view the solar panels?

- I do not have an exact percentage. I can give you visual representation of that in the form of a map.
- 12 All right. Go ahead and tell us where Q. 13 that's at.
- 14 Yep. So that's going to be Figure 3-1 Α. 15 which is on page 3-2 of Exhibit W.

ALJ AGRANOFF: If you could please repeat 16 17 that citation.

18 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. So it's 3-1 19 on --

20 ALJ AGRANOFF: You were cutting out.

2.1 THE WITNESS: -- of that exhibit.

ALJ AGRANOFF: On my end that was garbled, and I am not sure that -- I couldn't understand it, and I am not sure the court reporter 25 could either.

THE WITNESS: Yep. No problem. I was just waiting for you. I didn't want to cut you off.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay.

THE WITNESS: So it's on -- so I am looking at Figure 3-1 on page 3-2 of Exhibit W in the application.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Do you have a PDF page number by any chance?

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Is that --
- A. 19.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you.

A. And so, here again, as you asked me before, it shows the bare earth which basically takes in no buildings and/or vegetation or anything into account and then the blue, the level of blue, as you can see, darker versus lighter is the viewability with taking into account the existing buildings and/or vegetation. Again, this is modeled at the most extreme of 15 feet. As you lower in height, obviously the viewability will go down and this also takes into account that the entire project area is filled with panels which is not the case. Again, the most conservative methods were used for the modeling so this is — the entire project area is filled with 15-foot panels all of the time. But again, it shows

the 0 to 5 miles and the viewability of the project within that area.

- Q. Given the conservative assumptions you've used to put this map together, have you provided any other maps that use assumptions that aren't so conservative?
 - A. We have not at this time.
 - Q. Okay. Why not?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- A. Because they weren't asked for.
- Q. Did you include information in Exhibit W only where it was asked for?
- A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that? You broke up a little bit. I'm sorry.
 - Q. Yeah, sure. When you say that the information was not requested, who are you referring to that may have requested that information?
 - A. So when I say that, that kind of comes from two different prongs. It's not a requirement on the Siting Board as part of the application or part of the rule in which they require that information, and the developer Harvey Solar did not request that information from us.
 - Q. Do you have an understanding as to why the information was not requested?
- MR. SECREST: Objection, speculation.

A. I do not.

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: Just asking if he had an understanding.

MR. SECREST: He answered so withdrawn.

ALJ AGRANOFF: If the witness knows the basis for his prior statement that it wasn't requested, he can certainly answer that.

THE WITNESS: I do not know why it was not requested.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Going back to your testimony on page 14, line 6 through 8, we are again looking at the sentence I read to you from those lines, there you provided the approximate percentage of the 5-mile radius VSA that would be screened from view, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Does the application provide the approximate percentage of the near-foreground area that will be screened from view?
- A. So what this does not take into account is the long-term vegetation that would be planted outside the fence line. And if that vegetation were to be taken into account, then I would imagine a significant amount of the foreground area would be screened, but this analysis does not take that into

account.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. All right. So let's just take -- just -- let's just use the same assumptions that were used to provide the information in that sentence on page -- on page 14, lines 6 through 8. And using those assumptions, can you tell me whether that same percentage information was provided for the near foreground area?
 - A. It was not.
 - Q. Why wasn't it?
- A. Again, this was information that was not required as part of the application and/or requested by the developer.
- Q. Do you -- do you think that it would be useful information to know how visible the project is to the people who are located within a half mile of the project area?
 - MR. SECREST: Objection.
- 19 ALJ AGRANOFF: Basis?
- 20 MR. SECREST: Asking him to speculate to the opinions of residents.
- MR. VAN KLEY: No. I thought I asked him what his opinion was.
- MR. SECREST: You asked him his opinion if it would be important to others.

MR. VAN KLEY: I don't understand what you are saying. Let me rephrase the question though.

2.1

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Based on your experience with visual impact analyses, do you have an opinion on whether it is important to know how visible a project is to people that might be viewing the project within one-half mile?

MR. SECREST: Same objection. Asking him to opine as to the impact of the VRA on others residing in or near the project area.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ VAN KLEY: I don't think that was what I was asking, but I will try again.

MR. SECREST: It is what you are asking.

I am saying he can't opine on it. You are asking him to opine on that.

MR. VAN KLEY: I will reask the question.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) For purposes --
- A. Mr. Van Kley, I think I understand what you are getting at, and I think I can answer your question. But not necessarily maybe the -- maybe the way you want. I think the simulations are exactly that, to be able to show what the project will look like and the viewability to people within that foreground which is why those simulations are conducted.

- Q. Yeah. Okay. And given what you just said, why wouldn't you provide an estimate of the percentage of the near-foreground area that -- in which you would expect the project to be viewable?
- A. Again, I was not part of the request of the analysis, but I believe the simulations give a depiction of what that viewability would be.
- Q. But the simulations don't provide any information about the percentage of the near-foreground area that would have the views shown in the simulations, correct?
 - A. It does not.

2.1

- Q. Do you know how many residences owned by non-participating landowners are located within a half mile of the project area?
- A. I do not have that information off the top of my head. Give me a moment and I will see if that's included. The number of residences within the foreground is not provided in the viewshed analysis which is Appendix W, Exhibit W.
- Q. Let's go to the application narrative.

 Tell me when you are there, when you have that.
- A. Is there a particular section you want me to go to?
- 25 Q. Yeah. Page 9, please.

- A. I'm on page 9.
- Q. All right. Do you see towards the bottom of the page that there is a list of setbacks for the project?
 - A. I do.

2.1

- Q. And you know what a setback is as used here, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Are there any simulations provided in the application that are representative of the view from the setback for 25 feet from the property line of any parcel whose owner is not a participating landowner?
- A. So I believe simulation 6 would be the most representative of that, although it does take into account also the crossing of the road, but the school across the street is a non-participating landowner and that simulation is taking it across the -- directly across the street from it.
- Q. All right. Give us a moment to find simulation 6 which --
- A. If you go to Exhibit W, Appendix B, and it is sheet -- starts on Sheet 13 of 19.
 - Q. Is there a page number?
- 25 A. It does appear to have a greater setback

than just the 25 feet, so it may not be as representative as you would like, but it is representative of a photo taken directly across from a non-participating landowner, the 300 feet setback.

Q. Okay. Let's find the simulation first that you are looking at. I still haven't found it. Is there any other identifying information on that page that would help us to find it?

ALJ AGRANOFF: Or a PDF page number, please.

MR. SECREST: 59.

2.1

- A. I believe it's PDF page 59.
- Q. All right. Is this sheet 15 of 19?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And what's the distance between the viewer and the solar arrays in the simulation?
 - A. Just a moment. Approximately 560 feet.
- Q. So not 25 feet.
 - A. Nope.
 - Q. Let's go to page 15 of your testimony. I would like to direct your attention to lines 10 through 12. Now, let's just start at the beginning of that entire sentence at line 8. The sentence starting with the word "Within" on line 8 which states "Within the near-foreground (0 to 0.5 miles)

distance zone, field re -- review revealed that
although portions of the Project are technically
visible as indicated in the viewshed analysis, there
is a low likelihood of discerning the proposed
Project due to the level of visual blending into the
background at the outer edges of this distance zone."
Did I read that correctly?

A. You did.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Can you provide me with a quantification for what you regard as the outer edges of this distance zone as that language is used in this sentence?
- A. Yep. That is defined as a half a mile.

 Again, it's the near-foreground which is 0 to half a mile, 0.5 miles.
 - Q. That's the distance zone, right?
- A. That is correct and that's what is referred to as the outer edges of this distance zone.
- Q. Yeah. And my question is where are the outer edges of the distance zone?
- A. I'm not sure I understand if it would be roughly a half a mile.
- Q. Well, the entire distance zone is a half mile, right?
- A. Correct.

Q. Okay. And when you refer to the outer edges of that half mile, how far from the solar project does the outer edges start as used in your sentence here?

2.1

- A. I'm not sure I understand your question, sir.
- Q. Well, let me just give you an illustration. Do the outer edges of the near-foreground edge -- or edges -- I'm sorry. Do the outer edges as you referred to it in this sentence in the near-foreground area start four-tenths of a mile from the project area or three-tenths of a mile away from the project area or what?
 - A. Oh, I understand. So this is a generalization. You look at the landscape. A lot of the agricultural areas are surrounded by wooded or other vegetated areas, and so what this statement is basically saying is you get outside of the project area within the foreground of the outer edges that you are -- and the vegetation that will be around the project will blend in the sense of we are creating woody vegetation around the project whether it's natural and/or planted as part of the design of the project.

Q. Okay. So --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. As far as the exact distance in which that occurs, I would say that's blended from a quarter to a half a mile.
- Q. And is that the distance at which there is a low likelihood of discerning the proposed project as --
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell me the number of non-participating landowners' residences that are within one quarter of a mile from the project area?
 - A. I cannot.
- Q. Let's go to page 15 of your testimony, answer 25. Referring you to the sentence that starts at line 22 you refer to vegetation plantings that are five to seven years after completion of construction, right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. I'm sorry. You broke out there. Did you say yes?
- A. I said that's correct. Yep, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And where did you get the information concerning what the plantings would look like five to seven years after completion of

construction?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A. So that information is provided by one of our landscaping engineers who determined the type of plants that were to be provided at, you know, basically times of year and what would be their growth or their development over the next five to seven years.
- Q. Is that -- is that information that's in the preliminary landscape plan in the application?
- A. It might be. My team did not prepare that material.
- MR. VAN KLEY: All right. Your Honor, I have no more questions at this time.
- ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you. Any cross from Hartford Township?
- MS. CARNES: No questions, your Honor.
- 17 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any clarifying questions
- 18 from any of the intervening signatory parties?
- 19 I'll take silence as a no.
- 20 With respect to redirect, should we
 21 commence that assuming that there is going to be
 22 redirect after a lunch break; or, Mr. Secrest, what's
 23 your anticipated amount of redirect?
- MR. SECREST: Anticipating redirect but not a whole lot. Of course, there is potential

recross so it's up to the Bench, the preference, if
you want to do lunch right now.

ALJ AGRANOFF: I'm open to thoughts from counsel if there is a preference.

MR. VAN KLEY: I would have a preference finishing it right now so we can move on to a new witness after the break.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay.

MR. SECREST: Can we take a short break

10 | then?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

11 ALJ AGRANOFF: Sure. Is 5 minutes

12 | sufficient for you to?

MR. SECREST: Yes, your Honor.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Why don't we -- we will reconvene let's just say 12:30.

16 (Recess taken.)

17 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Let's go back on the record.

Mr. Secrest, have you done an assessment as to the extent of redirect?

MR. SECREST: I have, your Honor. And I have assessed and considered the wisdom of not being the only thing standing between everyone and lunch so we have no redirect.

25 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you.

Judge Hicks, do you have any questions of the witness?

ALJ HICKS: I do not.

ALJ AGRANOFF: I think I have just a few questions of Mr. Rupprecht.

6

EXAMINATION

By ALJ Agranoff:

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. If you could please turn to page 9 of your testimony. Let me know when you are there.
 - A. I'm there, your Honor.
- Q. Okay. Page -- question 17, line 24. Is there an estimated height for the substation and the operations and maintenance facility?
- A. So the substation would be smaller than the existing transmission lines that it's tying into and there is a simulation that shows. And the O&M building I would estimate at the height of a standard single story building.
- Q. And is there a particular height that that pertains to?
- A. I would say less than 20 feet, your
 Honor.
- Q. Okay. And then my last question is with respect to if you go to page 17 of your testimony,

question 30. Are you there?

2.1

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And -- thank you. And with respect to that particular question, I believe you answered, yes, it is possible to determine that the facility represents the minimum adverse environmental impact. That could be read two ways. One could mean that you are saying that indeed it does represent the minimum adverse environmental impact. The other could be that it's possible to do that determination, but you haven't done so as of yet. So I'm just asking for clarification as to whether or not you were stating in the affirmative that indeed the project represents the minimum adverse environmental impact?
 - A. It does, your Honor. We reviewed it and made suggestions on the minimizations, and the developer Harvey has taken those into consideration, so we considered, yes, the lowest impact.
 - Q. That the project represents the minimum --
- A. Represents at this time, yep.

 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Based on my two questions, is there any follow-up from counsel?

 MR. VAN KLEY: No, your Honor.

1 MR. SECREST: No, thank you.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Mr. Rupprecht, we appreciate your testimony.

And at this time, Mr. Secrest, do you care to move into evidence the two exhibits?

MR. SECREST: Please. May the Applicant move for the Applicant Exhibits 26 and 26A?

ALJ AGRANOFF: Any objections?

There being none, the aforementioned exhibits shall be admitted as part of the record at this time.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

ALJ AGRANOFF: And consistent with our conversation just before we finished Mr. Rupprecht's, we will take a lunch break at this juncture, and it will be for an hour. And when we return, looking at my scorecard here, I believe we have Witness Woods and then Witness Braman.

MR. SECREST: Your Honor, consistent with the conversation earlier this morning related to Mr. O'Neal, if the Bench is amenable, as well as all other counsel, we would like to call Mr. O'Neal immediately after lunch.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Everybody acceptable with that proposal?

```
294
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Yep. Okay with us.
 1
 2
                 MR. SECREST: Thank you.
 3
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. We'll reconvene at
     1:35. Thank you.
 4
 5
                 (Thereupon, at 12:36 p.m., a lunch recess
 6
     was taken.)
7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

295 1 Thursday Afternoon Session, 2 April 7, 2022. 3 4 ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's go back on the 5 record at this time. 6 And, Mr. Secrest, are you ready to call 7 Mr. O'Neal? 8 MR. SECREST: Yes, your Honor. May the 9 Applicant call Robert O'Neal? ALJ AGRANOFF: I believe Mr. O'Neal has 10 been promoted already. I just need to see him on the 11 12 screen. Micah, is Mr. O'Neal --13 MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah. Mr. O'Neal, if you 14 could say something so your picture pops up on 15 everybody's screen. MR. O'NEAL: Yes, good afternoon, 16 17 everybody. This is Robert O'Neal. 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: There you are. Good 19 afternoon. 20 MR. O'NEAL: Good afternoon. ALJ AGRANOFF: If you could please raise 2.1 22 your right hand, sir. 23 (Witness sworn.) 24 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. Please 25 proceed, Mr. Secrest.

296 1 MR. SECREST: Thank you, your Honor. 2 3 ROBERT O'NEAL being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 4 5 examined and testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 By Mr. Secrest: Good afternoon, Mr. O'Neal. 8 Q. Good afternoon. 9 Α. 10 Will you please state your full name for Q. the record and let us know by whom you are employed. 11 12 Α. My name is Robert O'Neal, O-'N-E-A-L, and 13 I am employed by Epsilon Associates, Incorporated. 14 Thank you. Do you have copies of your Ο. 15 direct testimony and supplemental direct testimony in 16 front of you? 17 Yes, I do. Α. 18 MR. SECREST: All right. Your Honor, may 19 I move to have Mr. O'Neal's direct testimony marked 20 as Applicant Exhibit 25? 2.1 ALJ AGRANOFF: It shall be so marked. 22 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 23 MR. SECREST: Thank you. And may I mark 24 as Applicant Exhibit 25A Mr. O'Neal's supplemental 25 direct testimony?

297 ALJ AGRANOFF: That will be marked as 1 2 well. 3 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 4 MR. SECREST: Thank you. 5 Q. (By Mr. Secrest) Mr. O'Neal, Applicant Exhibit 25 and 25A, are those true and accurate 6 7 copies of your direct testimony and supplemental 8 direct testimony? 9 Yes, they are. 10 Thank you. Do you have any revisions to Q. 11 either one of -- either your direct testimony or 12 supplemental direct testimony? 13 Α. I do not have any revisions to either 14 one. 15 Q. Thank you. If the same questions that are set forth in Applicant Exhibit 25 and 25A were 16 17 asked to you today, would your answers be the same? 18 Yes, they would. Α. 19 MR. SECREST: Great. Thank you. 20 Mr. O'Neal is available for 2.1 cross-examination, your Honor. 22 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. 23 Mr. Van Kley. 24 MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor. 25

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Mr. Van Kley:

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- 3 Q. And good afternoon, Mr. O'Neal.
 - A. Good afternoon.
 - Q. What have you done to prepare for your testimony today?
 - A. I have reviewed Exhibit L, the sound report, as well as my testimony and responses to Data Requests from OPSB Staff.
 - Q. Have you ever visited the project area for this case?
 - A. I have not.
 - Q. So you were not the person then who set up the sound measuring equipment to measure the background sound in the project area for this case?
 - A. I was not the one who did the actual set up, no. I directed them, but I didn't do it.
 - Q. How did you direct them to set up that equipment?
 - A. Mr. Chris Hoyt was the one who actually set it up, and he and I consulted and worked together, looked at a map of the area, had a conversation about the places to set up sound millimeters.
- Q. How did you determine where to set up the

sound measuring equipment to measure the ambient sound of the project area?

2.1

- A. Generally speaking we use the ANSI standard that recommends a deterministic spacial sampling of the sound millimeters which, in other words, we try to put them at various locations within the project site both north, south, east, west of the project site, and then specifically we try to put them at locations which are representative of homes in the area.
- Q. Did you take into account the sources of sound in the various portions of the project area to determine whether to set up your sound measurement equipment in those locations?
- A. Perhaps you could clarify what you mean by the various sources of sound. You mean from the proposed Harvey Solar project?
- Q. No. I am talking about the existing sound sources in the area such as traffic on roads and farm equipment and other sources that are already there.
- A. We don't necessarily try to set them up to take that into account. I mean, they are part of the environment today. We take -- the equipment is set up more to represent what people in the community

are currently experiencing so how far back they are from the roads, things like that. And as -- in the course of doing that, of course, there's going to be some contributions from some traffic noise and some farming equipment noise and, you know, natural phenomena.

- Q. How many sound measurement locations did you use for the ambient sound measurements?
 - A. Six.

2.1

- Q. Did you have any other sound stations in the project area other than those that you reported in the application?
 - A. No, just those six.
- Q. At any other time besides the time where these six were being monitored, have you done any monitoring of sound in the project area?
- A. No, just the time that's reported in Exhibit I.
- Q. Were all six of the monitoring locations located along public roads?
- A. I mean, we can go to the report and look at the figure that shows the locations. To some degree, yes, they are located adjacent to public roads, but they are certainly not right next to the public roads. We need to have some kind of access to

walk into the fields or yards where we are going to place the equipment, so we need the roads to get there, but they are not located immediately adjacent to the roads.

- Q. All right. Why don't you direct me to whatever information you believe is best to identify the locations of the monitoring stations in the application and we will take a look together at that.
- A. Certainly. I guess I would direct you to Figure 5-1 and it is a map of the project area and shows the six measurement locations.
 - Q. Is there a page number for that figure?
 - A. It follows page 5-1.
- Q. And we are in Exhibit L to the application, correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: All right. That is PDF page 14 for those who are looking at these documents online.

- Q. All right. And looking at Figure 5-1, the locations of the sound monitoring stations are designated by ML numbers; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Can you tell me the longest distance for any of these monitoring stations between the station

and the nearest road?

2.1

- A. The longest distance? I can't tell you that exactly. If you look at some of the photographs that are in the report and I believe were provided in discovery, you can see that they are -- they are set back quite some distance, in some cases, you know, hundreds of feet.
- Q. Which of the locations do you believe was hundreds of feet away from the nearest road?
 - A. ML2 was.
 - Q. Any others?
- A. So the ML6 was at least 100 feet back from the road. ML4 similarly, at least 100 feet back. Location 1, 75 to 100 feet back from the road. Again, these are in compliance with the ANSI standard.

17 ALJ AGRANOFF: And the acronym ANSI?

18 THE WITNESS: Sorry. The American

19 National Standard Institute.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. What about the other two stations? How far are they from the nearest road?
- A. They are more than 50 feet back from the nearest road. I can't give the precise exact feet.

- Q. So for ML2 you stated that it's hundreds of feet from the road. Can you tell me how many hundreds of feet approximately from the road it was located?
 - A. I cannot, no.
- Q. For any of these monitoring stations, was there a building located in direct line of sight between the nearest road and the monitoring station?
 - A. No, there wasn't.
- Q. Were any of these monitoring stations set up in a residential backyard?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Do you remember which one or ones?
- A. Well, if you go to page 5-3, which is page 15 of the PDF, it does give a description for each of the six locations, for example, location 1 is at the residence of 15218 Downing Road, for example.

 Location 3 is also part of a residential yard. And again, I can go through all six if you would like but there is a description of each of the six there in the report.
- Q. Well, I guess my question was whether any of these monitoring stations were set up in the backyard of a residence. And I don't see that information on page 5-3 of Exhibit L to the

application.

2.1

2.2

- A. It's -- sorry. So I would -- I see what you are saying, I think. So, no, they were not in the backyard; in other words, they were not hidden behind the house. They were generally in the side yard.
- Q. It's common for people to relax or have recreational activities in their backyards, right?
- A. Probably not going to disagree with you on that, sure.
- Q. And so isn't it true that in somebody's backyard where the house is in the direct line of sight between the backyard and the road, that the presence of the house will reduce the amount of noise heard from the road while somebody is in the backyard?
- A. The house will tend to shield any sound that comes from traffic driving by on the road, yes. However, there are certainly other sources of sound in the area which are not necessarily shielded by the house. That's really only going to apply to traffic sound.
- Q. I would like to direct you to another exhibit that's been marked in this case, and I believe that the exhibit number is Applicant

Exhibit 7 which would be the second supplemental response to second data request from Staff of the Ohio Power Siting Board.

- A. Yes, I have that in my hands.
- Q. And you recognize this document, don't you?
- 7 A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. This is an update to Exhibit L that you prepared?
- 10 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

8

9

- Q. All right. And if you look at page 1 of the memorandum in that exhibit.
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. I would like to refer you to the sentence that starts on the sixth line on that page. And there you state that -- or this report states that "All six of the measurement locations for the -- for Harvey Solar meet the definition of a quiet residential area," correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the definition of a quiet residential area was taken from the ANSI standard that is identified in that sentence?
- A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And what is a quiet residential area as

defined in that standard?

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- I believe we can get the standard out to confirm this; I believe it is that you must have two or more hours per day of sound levels 30 decibels or less. But that's subject to check with the standard.
- 6 Let's go to page 3 of Applicant's Exhibit Q. 7 7.
 - Okay. Α.
 - Ο. I would like to direct your attention to the third paragraph on that page under "Executive Summary."
- ALJ AGRANOFF: And just so that we are clear, Mr. Van Kley, this is page 3 of the 14 memorandum, not page 3 of the exhibit itself.
- 15 MR. VAN KLEY: That's correct, your 16 Honor.
- 17 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay.
 - (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. And Q. directing your attention to the sentence starting on the sixth line of that paragraph, do you see where it states that the "Average daytime ambient ANS-weighted sound levels (Leg) in the Project Area range from 38 to 49 dba"?
 - Α. Yes.
- 25 Q. And then the last part of that sentence

states that as a result of daytime benchmarks of 43 to 54 dBA?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And those figures were based on the addition of 5 dBA to the ambient ANS-weighted sound levels of 38 to 49 dBA?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, when you applied the day -- the daytime benchmarks of 5 dBA above the ambient sound levels, did you utilize the average of those ambient sound levels for the entire project area, or did you break it down to the six locations of the monitoring stations?
- A. So it was location by location, so it was the average just for location 1 and then just for location 2. It was not average sitewide over all six locations.
- Q. And how did you divide the project area up into the six regions that would be governed by each of the six monitoring station levels?
- A. As I mentioned earlier, it was based somewhat on being in different parts of the project area, some to the north, some to the south, some to the east, and some to the west, so it is a spacial distribution to the project area.

- Q. So if you were looking at which monitoring station would set the standard for a specific receptor, did you use the monitoring station that was the closest to that receptor?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Directing your attention to the last paragraph on page 3 of the memorandum, Applicant's Exhibit 7, there is a reference to a receptor with the ID No. 246, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that receptor was a residence; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And since the time of the report that is included in Applicant's Exhibit 7, the substation location has been moved further away from receptor No. 246; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Do you know what the distance between the current location for the substation and that receptor is?
- A. I do, and I will direct you to
 Applicant's Exhibit 10 -- excuse me, Applicant's
 Exhibit 10.
- 25 Q. January 24, 2022 --

A. Yes. It's the Fourth Supplemental
Response to Second Data Request from the Staff. That
has that information in there so we can take a minute
and try to find that.

Q. Why not?

2.1

2.2

- A. So, yes, if you go to page 1 of the memo, second paragraph, there is a sentence that talks about receptor ID number 246 now being approximately 1,020 feet away from the substation.
- Q. Is receptor 246 the closest house to the area that is currently planned for the location of the substation?
- A. So with the -- with the new substation location, there is a second receptor, receptor No. 245, which you can see here in the same memo which will be slightly closer. It will be 1,013 feet away from the substation.
- Q. Is it your understanding that with these supplements that we've been discussing here that the application now promises to put the substation in the location that is identified in Applicant's Exhibit 10?
- A. That is my -- excuse me. That is my understanding, yes.
 - Q. Let's go back to Applicant's Exhibit 7.

Let's go to page 5 of the memorandum in that exhibit.

A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. And I would like to direct your attention to Table 5-2 on that page. And this is a table of the "Averaged Ambient Sound Levels" for the six monitored stations, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And this is -- is this the current information for those monitoring stations?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And Table 5-2 provides the averaged ambient sound levels based on an ANSI method, right?
- A. Yes. That -- that ANSI method that was cited earlier.
 - Q. And that was done at the request of the Staff, right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. What's the difference or differences, if any, between the methodology you used to produce the data in Table 5-2 of this exhibit as contrasted to the data that was provided in Exhibit L to the application?
 - A. Sure. Probably the best way to answer that question is to look at Table 5-2 in Exhibit L which is page 20 of the PDF. And then from there you

can -- you can do a quick comparison between that table and then the table here in Exhibit 7 that has the ANS weighting in it.

- Q. Yeah. So my question is what was the difference in the methodology between the two analyses that produced the differences in the data?
- A. Sorry. I didn't understand the question. Okay.
 - Q. No problem.

2.1

- A. So with this -- this ANS weighting does -- is it -- it mathematically removes all sound above a thousand hertz so anything in the high frequencies is removed and then the sound level is recalculated with just the remaining 1,000 hertz and below frequencies. So that traditionally has filtered out insect noise. That's one of the biggest reasons you might apply this ANS weighting. It filters out insects and, you know, crickets, things like that.
- Q. And the reason for -- for filtering out the insect noise is because insect noise is not present at all times of the year, correct?
- A. That -- that is fair. That is one of the reasons that you would -- the reason you would do it, right, because, I mean, those sound levels are the

- real sound levels in October when we were there and some other months of the year. But in different times of the year when the insects are not there, then the sound levels will be different and that's the purpose of doing that ANS weighting.
- Q. All right. And going back to Table 5-2 on page 5 of the memorandum attached to Applicant's Exhibit 7, I see that the Leq for daytime only for Station ML3 is 49 dBA, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And the Leq for daytime only for station ML5 is 38, right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. What was the -- the reason for the difference between the 38 found at one station and the 49 dBA found at another station?
 - A. To be definitive we need to go look at the field notes taken for each of those locations. I just don't remember each of them specifically offhand. But it's not unusual in a project area like this you are going to have some variation in sound levels. You have different -- different agricultural activity, different vehicular noise perhaps. So three -- it is not surprising it would have some difference. There was also fan noise from -- from

- silos and grain drying going on. So there's a variety of different sources.
 - Q. Is there more road noise at ML3 than there was at ML5?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. And we see the same thing for the day -the nighttime Leq only data where we have 29 dBA at
 ML5 and 38 dBA at ML3, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. You can set aside Applicant's Exhibit 7.

 Are there any sources of sound that will be produced by the Harvey Solar project that will be occurring at night?
- A. The transformer at the collector substation will run at night.
- Q. Is it your understanding that there may be any operation of the inverters at night?
- A. My understanding is that does -- they are not going to run at night if there's no sol -- if the sun isn't shining, the inverters are not running at any appreciable level.
- Q. Do you know whether it is anticipated that there will be any sound produced by the inverters at night?
- 25 A. There may be some -- well, there may be

some slight sound from having them energized but my understanding on how this equipment works is that it will be nothing compared to -- you know, relatively speaking, nothing compared to daytime sound levels which is what we looked at.

2.1

- Q. What's the basis for the statement you just made?
 - A. Conversations with the Harvey Solar team.
- Q. So did you take a look at any sound power data or any other technical data that confirmed what the solar team was telling you?
- A. There was -- there was no sound power data for anything that would go on at night in my expectations because that's not significant but I don't have sound power data, no.
- Q. Did you do any modeling to figure out the amount of noise that would come from the inverters at night if they were energized?
 - A. No such data exists that we are aware of.
- Q. Did you do any analyses to show -- okay. Let me ask a different question.

If the inverters were producing the same amount of sound at night as they produce during the day, would that result in sound levels above -- that are higher than 5 dBA above the ambient sound levels

```
at night for the project area?
```

2.1

- A. Well, I guess I don't -- I guess the question doesn't make sense to me but that's the way they work. They don't run the same at night as during the day.
- Q. Yeah. Well, let's assume that they do.

 Let's assume that they did produce the same amount of noise at night as they do during the daytime. Would that result in any sound levels higher than 5 dBA above the ambient background level for the project area?
- MR. SECREST: Object to relevance. He already testified they don't.
 - MR. VAN KLEY: Well, I don't think he really knows. All he has is the word of the solar team.
 - MR. SECREST: Well, you can explore that, but I don't think your question is proper when he responded it's not expected to run the same at night.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I gave him a hypothetical.
 - ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Van Kley, why don't you see whether or not you can lay the foundation as to what his knowledge of the nighttime operation is or is not.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. I think I have

already done that, your Honor, but I can explore that a little bit more.

2.1

2.2

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. O'Neal, is the only information you have about the amount of noise that may be produced by the inverters at night -- is the full extent of your information about that what the Harvey Solar team told you about the operation at night?
- A. So as part of doing these sound studies, we get technical data from the manufacturer of the inverters and what they provide, they provide for the full operation of the inverter which is what we put into the sound model. They don't provide anything that -- that's insignificant or is not a -- not contributed to the overall sound levels. They gave us one lump sum, if you will, of the total sound. So based on the technical sheets we have from the manufacturer and conversations with Harvey Solar is how I came to my opinion.
- Q. So just to break that down a bit, you don't have any technical data that you could use to determine the sound level from the inverters at night?
- A. If they were significant at all, the manufacturer would -- would supply that information.

I take the fact they don't supply that information to mean that they are either zero or insignificant.

2.1

- Q. So that's an assumption on your part.

 There haven't been any statements that you have seen from any of the manufacturers that have informed you specifically that the noise at night is nonexistent or insignificant; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct. That's correct.
- Q. Are you aware of whether there are any other applications filed for solar facilities before the Ohio Power Siting Board that have assumed for modeling purposes that the sound from the inverters that are energized at night is the same as the volume of sound coming from the inverters during the daytime?

MR. SECREST: Objection, relevance.

MR. VAN KLEY: It's relevant. If it's being modeled that way in other applications, then there must be -- at least some of the other solar companies must believe that the sound coming from the inverters at night is significant, or they wouldn't be modeling that way.

MR. SECREST: You are asking about other projects developed by other Applicants with potential different models of inverters.

- 1 MR. VAN KLEY: I don't think that makes 2 any difference.
- MR. SECREST: That's three big differences right there.
- 5 MR. VAN KLEY: There is three non -6 non-differences right there.
 - ALJ AGRANOFF: I will allow the fundamental question to be answered.
- 9 A. I am not aware of that in other 10 applications.
- 11 Q. Now, you modeled the noise coming from
 12 the project as expected to occur during daytime
 13 hours, correct?
 - A. Correct.

7

8

- Q. Can you give me a general overview of how you conducted that modeling?
- A. Yes. Again, I direct you to Exhibit L.

 Page 6-2, which is page 23 of the PDF, has a

 discussion of the modeling methodology that was used

 for the project.
- Q. All right. Directing your attention to
 that page then, let's take a look at the first bullet
 point under Section 6.2 titled "Modeling
 Methodology." And that bullet point is titled
- 25 "Project Layout." Do you see that?

A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. And it says there "A Project layout was provided by the Project Company on July 2, 2021," correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you direct me to a copy of that layout either in Exhibit L or some other part of the application?
- A. So that layout is shown on the next page in Figure -- Figure 6-1. And Figure 6-1 is a series of inset maps that go with it.
- Q. And do you know whether the map in

 Figure 6-1 was taken from the preliminary site design
 in the application?
- A. I can't know that, no, as provided by Harvey Solar.
- Q. So in your model you used the locations
 of the inverters provided to you by the Company on
 Figure 6-1; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Did you model the amount of noise that would be predicted from an inverter that is 500 feet away?
- A. I'm not sure I understand the question.

 In other words, wherever the inverters are located as

shown here in Figure 6-1, we modeled every one of those, all 103, as if they are running simultaneously at full power to whatever distance every single one of those homes is as shown there so whatever that distance is they were modeled at.

- Q. So am I understanding that correctly that you did not model the distance from -- did you not model the inverter noise from a distance of 500 feet?
- A. Not explicitly, no. If there was a home 500 feet from an inverter, then it got modeled that way. Otherwise it got modeled the exact distance every home was from every inverter.
- Q. Are you familiar with what a tracker motor is relative to a solar project?
 - A. Yes, I am.

2.1

- Q. Tracker motors make sounds, right?
- A. They make some sound, yes.
- Q. Was tracker motor sound incorporated into the model that you ran for this project?
- A. The tracker motor sound data, the limited sound data that's out there shows those sounds to be so much lower than the inverter sound that they could be ignored for purposes of the sound model.
- Q. How low does the sound have to be below the inverter sound in order to be disregarded in that

fashion?

2.1

- A. Again, these -- these are generally so quiet that -- that manufacturers don't provide a lot of data. The few pieces of data I have seen in the industry have shown them to be about 20 decibels quieter than the inverter sound or lower.
- Q. Do you have an understanding as to whether or not the application requires the sound model for the project to be conducted again if any of the inverter locations used in the model provided in Exhibit L are changed?
- A. I'm just pulling up the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation to refresh my memory on that -- on that question before I answer it.

MR. SECREST: Just to be clear for the record though the question was whether the application contains any requirement.

- Q. That's correct. Mr. Secrest is correct.
- A. No, it doesn't.
- Q. All right. I have no more questions.

Oh, wait a minute. Just a second. I might have missed a sheet of questions. You had some discussion about mitigation for inverters in Exhibit L, correct?

A. Technically, no. There was a discussion

about -- well, let me back up on that. Maybe you could show me where in Exhibit L that comes up.

- Q. Well, I don't think that will be necessary. Let me just rephrase the question to make it go faster. Can you -- there are ways to mitigate noise from an inverter if the noise is found to be bothersome to the neighbors, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And what types of mitigation are available for that purpose?
- A. Generally it would be putting up a small barrier around the inverter if it was necessary.
- Q. Is that a barrier that needs to go all the way around the inverter, or could it just be one wall located between the inverter and the receptor?
- A. I've seen it doesn't -- I've seen just a single wall. You can also do a three-sided wall. It really depends on what direction or directions you are trying to mitigate in.
- Q. So as long as you have a barrier wall between the receptor and the inverter, that would accomplish the purpose of reducing a noise?
- A. Well, with the usual caveats that any engineer will give you, if it's properly designed and so forth, yes.

Q. Okay. Are there other means of mitigating sound from inverters that are just as effective as a barrier wall?

2.1

- A. Well, if you are asking about once a project is constructed, then, no, that barrier would be -- would be the really one option that you have.
- Q. So you can't put a device on the -- on an outlet for the noise coming out of an inverter, for example, after it's been constructed to reduce the amount of noise coming out of it?
- A. There -- there could be if the cooling -- if the cooling fan noise is a significant part of it, some manufacturers do offer baffles or hoods which can also reduce sound from that piece of the equipment.
- Q. Those types of mitigation devices are only available for certain models of inverters?
 - A. That -- that is what I have seen, yes.
- Q. And if you put up a barrier wall between the inverter and the receptor, how much of a reduction in the decibel level can be accomplished by using that type of mitigation?
- A. I guess before I answer that question, I would just like to put this into context. The sound from inverters at any of the homes in this project

```
area are 35 decibels or less, so I don't -- I don't

know that -- I don't know why you would even

entertain putting up -- needing a barrier for

something like this.

That being said, if you -- if you install

the barrier around an inverter, you could expect to
```

get 5 to 10 decibels of reduction pretty easily.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ VAN KLEY: All right. I have no more questions at this time.

10 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any cross from Hartford
11 Township?

MS. CARNES: No, your Honor.

13 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any clarifying questions 14 from the signatory parties to the Stipulation?

Mr. Secrest, redirect?

MR. SECREST: May I have 3 minutes, your

17 Honor?

18 ALJ AGRANOFF: Sure. Why don't you take

19 5.

7

8

9

MR. SECREST: Perfect. Thank you.

21 ALJ AGRANOFF: We will come back at 2:40.

22 Thanks.

23 (Recess taken.)

24 ALJ AGRANOFF: So back on the record at

25 this time.

1 Mr. Secrest, the status of your redirect?
2 MR. SECREST: There is none, your Honor.

3 Thank you.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Judge Hicks, do you have any questions?

ALJ HICKS: No questions.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

6

4

5

EXAMINATION

By ALJ Agranoff:

- Q. I have just one question where I am trying to understand. If you could take a look,
 Mr. O'Neal, at page 4 of your direct testimony. Let me know when you are there.
 - A. Yes, I'm there.
 - Q. Okay. And specifically line 23 where there is a discussion about sound measurements being taken at a total of six locations?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then I am trying to understand the correlation between the six locations referenced in your direct testimony with the reference to it's in Appendix L of the application 6-2.
 - A. Did you say Figure 6-2 or page?
- Q. Page, page.
- 25 A. Okay. Okay. I'm there.

- Q. And under the modeling receptor locations.
 - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. The discussion of the 1,191.
- A. Correct. Yeah, I see that.
- Q. So what is the connection between the six locations and the 1,191 receptors?
- A. So the six locations where we -- those are the locations where we actually sent out the sound level meters and took existing condition sound level measurements for a week, basically pre-construction existing sound level measurements.

 Whereas, the 1,191 receptors, those are -- as you go to the next page in Figure 6-1, those are all the yellow dots that you see there and those were all the homes and sensitive receptors that we modeled the project's impacts at.

So if you look at that Figure 6-1, those yellow dots represent every one of the homes in the area around those -- there are 1,191 of those. So those are the future predicted sound levels at those locations where we measured sound levels pre-construction today at six locations in the area.

- Q. Okay. Thank you for that clarification.
- A. Absolutely.

```
327
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: With my limited question
 1
 2
     is there any follow-up from counsel?
 3
                 MR. VAN KLEY: No, your Honor.
                 MR. SECREST: No, thank you, your Honor.
 4
 5
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you very
 6
    much.
 7
                 Mr. Secrest, care to move the admission
     of the two exhibits?
 8
9
                 MR. SECREST: Please. May the Applicant
10
    move for admission of Applicant Exhibit 25 and 25A?
11
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any objections?
12
                 There being none, the aforementioned
13
     exhibits shall be admitted as part of the record.
14
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
15
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: And at this point in time
16
     is the Applicant ready to call its next witness?
17
                 MR. SECREST: We are, your Honor. We
18
    will be calling Mr. Woods. However, Mr. Lockshaw
19
    will be handling that witness, so may we just have a
20
     few moments to switch up seats?
2.1
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Sure.
22
                 MR. SECREST: Thank you.
23
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, I believe
24
     we're ready.
25
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. At this point in
```

```
328
     time if the Applicant could please call their next
 1
 2
     witness.
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, your Honor.
 3
     The Applicant calls John Woods to the stand.
 4
 5
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: If we could please promote
 6
    Mr. Woods. I do see you. Good afternoon, Mr. Woods.
 7
                 MR. WOODS: Good afternoon.
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: If you could please raise
 8
9
     your right hand.
10
                 MR. WOODS: It is.
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Now I see it.
11
12
                 (Witness sworn.)
13
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.
14
                 Please proceed, Mr. Lockshaw.
15
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, your Honor.
16
17
                           JOHN WOODS
18
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
19
     examined and testified as follows:
20
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
2.1
     By Mr. Lockshaw:
22
                 Mr. Woods, could you please state your
            Q.
     full name for the record.
23
24
            A. John Woods.
25
            Q. Mr. Woods, I am going to pass you your
```

direct testimony and supplemental testimony. Can you please look those over.

A. They appear to be accurate.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, I would like to mark Mr. Woods' direct testimony as Applicant's Exhibit 28 and his supplemental testimony Applicant's Exhibit 28A.

ALJ AGRANOFF: They shall be so marked.

(EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Lockshaw) Mr. Woods, are those true and accurate copies of your direct testimony and your supplemental direct testimony?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Thank you. Do you have any revisions to make to your testimony, the written testimony?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. If the same questions were asked of you today that are in the written testimony, would your answers be the same?
 - A. Yes, they would.
- MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you.
- Your Honors, with that Mr. Woods is now available for cross-examination.
- 25 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

330 1 Mr. Van Kley. 2 MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor. 3 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION 5 By Mr. Van Kley: And good afternoon, Mr. Woods. 6 Q. 7 Α. Good afternoon. You have discussed what you've referred 8 Q. 9 to as the preliminary landscape plan which is 10 contained in Exhibit 10 -- yeah, 10 -- or X, I guess. I was reading it as Roman numeral X so let me start 11 12 over again. 13 You're familiar with Exhibit X of the application, correct? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Did you prepare that document? Q. 17 Yes. My -- me and my colleagues, yes. Α. 18 What was your personal role in preparing Q. it? 19 20 Α. I'm the project manager. 2.1 Did you actually write any of it Q. 22 yourself? 23 Α. I was responsible for writing the 24 narrative that went along with it in addition to 25 overseeing the development of the plans.

- Q. This plan is not final yet, correct?
- A. That's correct, preliminary.
- Q. Yeah. So it's subject to change after the certificate has been issued?
 - A. Indeed.
 - Q. Let's go to page 4 of your testimony.
 - A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. Does the preliminary landscaping plan identify the levels of planting modules that will be placed in each location near the project area?
 - A. Does it identify the level?
- Q. Yeah. Does it identify -- does it identify the level of module that will be placed in the locations, that is, if you look at -- if you look at your landscaping plan and your homeowner who is living near the project area, would you be able to tell from your landscaping plan what, if any, planting module is planned for near your residence?
 - A. You should be able to do that, yes.
 - Q. And how would you do that?
- A. You would use the color-coded system which is a series of graphic bars that are running in -- on the plan, they are located on the plan which are then tied to the legend which is on each plan which indicates -- indicates the mix or the level of

density for each area or each module. You would then go further into the document to identify what is in each module.

2.1

- Q. And that information, like the rest of the plan, is subject to change after the certificate is issued; is that right?
 - A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. So how did you decide which areas to install low density planting when you put together the preliminary landscaping plan?
- A. Low density planting would primarily be in areas furthest away from direct view from residential properties.
- Q. And did you have a specific distance in mind when you decided where low density planting would occur?
- A. Not a specific distance but generally when the arrays were provided to us, we used our best judgment to determine, you know, that distance, or it was in conversations with the Applicant to work with them back and forth in an iterative process to determine where we needed to put low density.
- Q. Uh-huh. So if a house of a non-participating landowner is located 150 feet away from a solar array, which planting module would be

used in that situation?

2.1

- A. I don't have a great sense of exactly what we would have used at 150 feet because it wasn't really measured that way, I guess. But 150 feet seems like it would be in the ballpark of a medium to medium high density.
- Q. So directing your attention back to page 4 of your testimony marked as Applicant Exhibit 28, let's go to the paragraph starting at line 20.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Where it states that "Medium-High Density planting module provides everything in the Medium-Low module, with the addition of shade trees for additional screening capac -- capability in areas that call for more screening." Do you see that?
 - A. I see it.
- Q. So how do you determine whether the area needs more screening in order to justify a medium-high density module instead of a medium-low density module?
- A. That would correlate to the proximity of the -- of the array to the -- or the fence line, in our case we are pretty much just working off the fence line in our work and the proximity of that to a house or a well-trafficked street. We would add

additional density. In this case it's in the form of shade trees, and shade trees are going to provide more diffusion of views of the arrays or homes that may have a second level to them.

2.1

- Q. So where is the breaking point in distance between the residence and the solar arrays at which you would start employing medium-high density planting modules instead of using low density planting modules?
- A. I don't really have a breaking point, you know. Like I said, we didn't really say, okay, the threshold is a certain number of feet. It was done in an iterative process with the Applicant based on -- and I don't know who was a -- I don't know who is a participating resident or non-participating resident while we are going through the exercise. So it's an iterative process with the Applicant and our -- we take, you know, our best judgment at it when we are looking at the plan and proximity.
- Q. Can you tell me the approximate number of feet or miles or whatever metric of distance you want to use of the total linear footage of the planting modules that are being proposed?
- A. I do not have a linear feet, I think, in my -- in our narrative, and I think it's in my

testimony we talked about approximately 55 acres. I don't know what that is in linear feet.

2.1

- Q. Approximately how much of that 55 acres currently is designated to receive high density planting?
- A. I don't know, but I believe I -- I believe that we thought that it was the majority fell into the medium -- high density and medium-high density, but I don't have a specific number.
- Q. Was that medium-high density and medium-low density that you just mentioned where the majority of the acreage falls into?
- A. No. I said medium-high density and high density.
- Q. Oh, okay. Given the size of the trees that are proposed in the preliminary landscaping plan, at the time of planting, how long will it take for those trees to reach 15 feet in height?
- A. It depends on which tree you are talking about.
- Q. All right. Why don't you try to break it down for me. You are free to look back at your preliminary landscaping plan, if you wish.
 - A. I don't have that in front of me.

 MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, I am going to

object. I think it's too vague for him to answer the way it is asked. I think that counsel has to narrow it down to individual trees or the number of trees.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

ALJ AGRANOFF: There's feedback coming off of your...

MR. VAN KLEY: All right. Yeah. I was hoping to do it a little quicker than that, but we can break it down and draw it out a little bit, if that's what counsel prefers.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) So why don't we go to your preliminary landscaping plan.

ALJ AGRANOFF: And just so that everybody is clear, what document are you referencing, either PDF or from?

MR. VAN KLEY: Exhibit X, the preliminary landscaping plan in the application.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, if I could just have one moment to hand that to him.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Lockshaw, we are still getting feedback from your system.

- A. Okay. I have the document in front of me now.
- Q. All right. Good. Let's go to page L-20.

 I appreciate the fact that all of these pages are

 numbered sequentially. That will really help us

speed through the document. And there you will find the low density planting pollinator mix, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

18

- Q. And for this mix we have proposed some shrubs, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the size at planting identified for those shrubs is 18 inches to 24 inches in height, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And those would be contained in a No. 3 container; is that right?
 - A. Yes, approximately.
 - Q. Okay. What's the maximum height for the shrubs that are identified in this mix of plantings?
- A. The maximum, it's going to vary. You can be looking at some of these getting up to 20 feet.
 - Q. Which ones -- which ones would reach 15 feet or higher?
- A. I don't have -- honestly I don't have all these materials memorized as far as their mature height.
- Q. Well, can you tell me which, if any, of those shrubs listed here will reach 15 feet in height?

A. I believe viburnum lentago, nannyberry,
15 feet.

2.1

- Q. And how many years would it take that species of shrub if planted at a size of 18 to 24 inches to reach 15 feet in height?
- A. It's really subject to conditions of the site year to year, how much watering is gets, how much rainfall it gets. It's really -- there's no real data out there that says this plant grows at this rate on this site. This site has -- if there was data out there, said a particular growth rate, how would we apply that to this particular site and these growing conditions?
- Q. Can you give me a range of the number of years that it would take to reach 15 feet in height?
 - A. It would be a guess.
- Q. So you're not aware of any rules of thumb as to how many inches of height a nannyberry can grow in a year?
- A. I don't have a rule of thumb on that committed to memory, that's for sure.
- Q. In the low density planting pollinator mix, what is the spacing that will be used to separate the shrubs at the time of planting?
 - A. For all the shrubs and small trees, we

space those basically at random to -- in the simulations really. They weren't spaced out on a regimented spacing at this point in the process for preliminary planting design. So they were spaced such that staff with a conservative effort to say here is about how big that is in the simulations at five years and then at eight years and spaced enough of them in there to help diffuse the views of the solar arrays. That's how that was done. I do know that the trees, the shade trees, the larger material were spaced at 40 feet apart, approximately.

2.1

- Q. So the answer that you just gave me about there being random spacing between the small trees and the shrubs applies to all of the planting modules in this plan?
- A. Let's think. Yes. With respect to anything that's not a shade tree, that would apply.
- Q. Are any of the planting modules designed to have a complete screen from view of the solar project?
- A. No. None of the modules have the type of plant material and density to provide a complete screen. The intent, as outlined in the testimony, is to diffuse the views of the arrays.
 - Q. Let's go to page L-21 of Exhibit X.

A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. And here we have lists of plant species for the other three modules of planting in the plan, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me the estimated growth rate for any of those species?
- A. I don't have an estimated growth rate for these offhand.
- Q. For any of these species, can you tell me how long it would take after planting for them to reach 15 feet in height?
 - A. I can't say that for certain.
- Q. Let's go to page L-24. And here we have some simulations that are designed to depict the appearance of the planting modules in front of solar panels, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And on page L-24, we have simulations for low density planting at periods of five years and eight years after planting the plants in that planting module, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And the same is done for all of the other modules as well on that page and on page L-25,

correct?

2.1

- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, if you can't tell me how fast the plants will grow, then how did you come up with the heights of the plants you put in these simulations at the intervals of five years after planting and eight years after planting?
- A. We used the conservative estimate of about a foot of growth per year.
 - Q. And is that --
- A. It could be -- it could be greater than that, but we didn't want to show something that we didn't feel was achievable. We didn't want to overstep, you know, show, oh, this is going to completely, you know, block the views of the panels because it's really not something we can predict. We are showing something that we feel is a conservative representation.
- Q. And was that figure of one year growth -- or one foot growth per year used for all of the trees and shrubs in your modules?
- A. I believe so. The other limitation I do want to point out is the trees and shrub material that we are working with from a digital standpoint are -- we have this palate of images and materials

- that we use. So that's kind of the constraint with these simulations as well but to the best of my knowledge, it's -- the growth rate that we use for these was about a foot per year.
- Q. And that was the growth rate you used for both the shrubs and the trees?
 - A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
- Q. So looking at page L-25 and the simulation on that page for eight-year high density planting, what -- what are the approximate sizes of the gaps between the trees shown in that simulation where you can see panels behind the trees?
 - A. You said the eight-year high density?
 - O. Yes, sir.

2.1

- A. And are you talking about the larger trees that are there looking up above the panels?
 - Q. Yes, sir.
- A. Those would be 20 feet on center. Those are the one plant that I do know there is an approximate.
- Q. Okay. So there is 20 feet between the trunks of those trees or 20 feet between the outer branches of those trees?
- A. Typically it would go by the trunk. I will say the two in the center do look like they

might be a slightly different dimension by eyeballing it than the two on either side, so I said it's approximate.

2.1

- Q. Yeah. All right. So my question concerns the gaps between the trees through which you can see the panels. So let's take, for example, in that eight-year high density planting simulation on page L-25, the distance between the outer branches of the tree that's furthest to the right and the tree to the left of it, what would the distance between the outer branches of those trees be?
- A. Oh, wait a minute. I need to step back a moment. Did I say 20 feet between each tree?
- Q. You did. I bet you are going to change that to 40, aren't you?
- A. I am, yes, because the -- because the -- wait a minute. Forgetting something. High density. I need to refer back to the legend here. Yeah, high density it should be 20 feet because we increased the number of trees by a multiple of -- factor of 2, so it should be 20 feet.
- Q. So that would be a distance of 20 feet from trunk to trunk for the large trees in the high density planting module?
 - A. It should be.

- Q. All right. So with that fact then, what's the approximate distance between the tree on the right and the tree to the left of it in the simulation for the eight-year high density planting on page L-25 of the plan?
- A. I don't know. It's really hard to say but maybe 15 feet. No, 10 to 12 feet.
- Q. All right. And so a person looking at this compilation of plants would be able to see the panels between those two trees through the 12- to 15-foot wide space, right?
 - A. Well, you can see them in the simulation.
- Q. Yeah. The answer to my question is yes though, right?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. All right. When you decided what planting module to use for the various locations around the project area, did you take into account any differences in the elevations for the nearby homes and the panel locations?
- A. When you -- can you clarify what you mean by the elevations of the home?
- Q. Yeah. I am talking about the elevation of the ground, the ground surface. So, for example, if -- if the neighboring home is located on ground

that's higher in elevation than the solar panels next to the home, is that information that you have taken into account in deciding what module of planting to use at that location?

- A. It is mostly really proximity, not so much elevation, ground elevation. But if the proximity of the fence was close to the home, it got additional density.
- Q. Let's go back to your written direct testimony marked as Applicant Exhibit 28. And I would like to start with the sentence that begins at the bottom of page 3 and goes onto the top of page 4. So on page 3, let's take a look at the sentence that begins on line 31 which reads as follows: "As committed to by the Applicant, the fencing around the perimeter of the buildable area will be of a type to allow small wildlife to move freely through the planting modules and into the secured array areas to maximize their ability to secure food and cover." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. As a person who is involved in landscape design, is the information in this sentence something that you are qualified to opine about?
 - A. No. I am not a wildlife expert. We do

- install fencing in lots of applications of our work.

 This is -- this is a statement that's reinforcing the commitment by the Applicant to provide that fencing.
- Q. As a landscape designer, do you know whether trees -- or whether deer eat trees?
- A. I -- I am not a wildlife expert, but I know that deer eat plant material.
- Q. Plant material is another word for plants, right?
- A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. And you know that rabbits will eat branches of shrubs that are close enough to the ground for them to reach?
- A. I don't know. You would have to talk to the wildlife folks about that.
 - Q. Have you ever constructed a landscape yourself?
 - A. Sorry. I don't understand the question.

 Constructed?
 - Q. Yeah. Have you ever -- have you ever gone out in the field and either yourself built the landscape or supervised somebody who is building the landscape?
- A. I have installed some plant material at my -- my residence and I've installed it in my --

yeah, my residence.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 2 Q. Just your residence?
 - A. Yes, nothing commercial. I am -- we do design work. We don't supervise construction.
 - Q. I see. Okay.
 - A. We observe construction.
 - Q. Have you personally done any maintenance for landscaping plants in projects that you've designed other than plants at your own house?
 - A. No.
 - Q. What's the habitat setting like at your house? You have trees in the area? Forest in the area? What kind of -- what kind of habitat do you have there?
 - MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, I am going to object to the lack of relevance.
 - MR. VAN KLEY: It's relevant because it has to do with his own personal experience with the critters that like to eat plants which vary depending upon the habitat you're in.
- 21 ALJ AGRANOFF: I'll allow a limited line 22 of questioning with respect to his familiarity with, 23 as you say, critters.
- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. Mr. Woods, do you remember the question?

- A. Would you mind repeating it?
- Q. I wouldn't mind at all. Describe the -the habitat around your house insofar as it being
 located next to trees and shrubs.
 - A. It's located next to trees and shrubs.
- Q. Okay. Is it located next to a wooded area?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

- Q. Do you have any rabbits in your yard?
- A. I haven't seen any for quite some time.
 - Q. Do you have any deer in your yard?
- 12 A. Occasionally.
- Q. Does your yard contain any species of plants that the deer enjoy?
- 15 A. They have eaten some of our plants.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. All right. I have no more questions, your Honor.
- 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: Hartford Township?
- MS. CARNES: No questions, your Honor.
- 20 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any limited clarifying
- 21 questions from the signatories to the Stipulation?
- MR. DOVE: No, your Honor.
- MR. LINDGREN: No, your Honor.
- 24 ALJ AGRANOFF: Redirect?
- MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, if we could

just have 5 minutes to consider that.

2 ALJ AGRANOFF: Certainly. We can possibly come back at 3:40.

(Recess taken.)

5 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Let's go back on 6 the record at this time.

7 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, your Honor. We 8 have no further questions.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Judge Hicks, do you have any questions?

11 ALJ HICKS: No questions.

12

13 EXAMINATION

By ALJ Agranoff:

1

4

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. I could have just one question with respect to the proposed landscape plan. Are you aware of any commitment to the replacement of planted vegetation over a certain period of time if the plantings did not survive a minimum lifespan?
- A. Yes, I'm aware of the Stipulation. I believe it's Condition 18 where the Applicant has committed to substituting or replacing plants over a five-year period with the goal of minimum of 90 percent survival from my understanding.
- 25 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Based on my one

```
350
     question, does counsel have any questions?
 1
 2
                 MR. VAN KLEY: No.
 3
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: No, your Honor.
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you, Mr. Woods.
 4
 5
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
 6
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: At this point,
 7
    Mr. Lockshaw, care to move relative to the exhibits?
 8
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Yes, your Honor. We would
    move to admit Exhibits 28 and 28A.
9
10
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any objections?
11
                 There being none, the aforementioned
12
     exhibits shall be admitted as part of the record at
13
     this time.
14
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: And is the Applicant
15
16
    prepared to call its next witness?
17
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Yes, your Honor. The
18
    Applicant calls Thomas Braman.
19
                 MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Braman, you have been
20
    promoted. If you can enable your audio and video.
2.1
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, if I -- if I
22
    may, he's in a separate room. If we could just have
23
     2 minutes to switch the witnesses. The lawyers are
24
     staying the same.
25
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: That's fine. We'll take
```

```
a -- we can take a 5-minute recess.
```

2.1

MR. LINDGREN: Judge Agranoff, may I interject with a question at this point?

ALJ AGRANOFF: Yes.

MR. LINDGREN: Actually two questions. Would your intent still be to have some Staff witnesses testify? If you do, I just wanted to let you know one of them has a hard stop at 5:00 and another has a hard stop at 5:00, so I didn't know what your plans for the rest of the day were.

ALJ AGRANOFF: I believe that Judge Hicks and I have decided that we do not have any questions for the Staff witnesses so.

MR. LINDGREN: Thank you. That answers that.

My other question, but I was also asking whether you wanted any of the four Staff witnesses that are going to testify take the stand yet today.

ALJ HICKS: I would think based on the cross estimates, unless Mr. Van Kley corrects me, I would imagine that the remaining Staff witnesses will probably take us through the rest of the day.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, I think that's a pretty fair statement. I think Mr. Braman will take maybe 15 minutes and then Mr. Peterson about a half

1 hour.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

2.1

2.2

ALJ HICKS: Unless anyone wants to object to this, I would throw out we finish with the Applicant, unless I am reading it wrong, I think we have plenty of time to do the Save Hartford witnesses and my understanding would only be four of Staff witnesses actually testifying?

MR. LINDGREN: That's right. So you would have the Staff testify tomorrow then?

10 ALJ HICKS: Yes. Does that work for everyone?

MR. LINDGREN: Yes. That will be fine.

13 ALJ HICKS: Since no one is arguing with
14 me, that's what we will go with.

15 (Discussion off the record.)

16 ALJ AGRANOFF: If you could please call
17 your next witness.

MR. LOCKSHAW: The Applicant calls Thomas

Braman.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Need to locate Mr. Braman on my screen. There he is. Good afternoon,

Mr. Braman.

MR. BRAMAN: It's Braman.

24 ALJ AGRANOFF: Sorry.

MR. BRAMAN: That's okay.

353 ALJ AGRANOFF: If you could please raise 1 2 your right hand. 3 (Witness sworn.) 4 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. Please 5 proceed, Mr. Lockshaw. 6 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, your Honor. 7 THOMAS BRAMAN 8 9 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 10 examined and testified as follows: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 By Mr. Lockshaw: 13 Q. Mr. Braman, do you have your direct 14 testimony and supplemental direct testimony in front 15 of you? 16 Α. Yes, I do. 17 MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, may we mark 18 the direct testimony and supplemental direct 19 testimony Exhibits 29 and 29A respectively? 20 ALJ AGRANOFF: They shall be so marked. 2.1 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 22 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you. 23 (By Mr. Lockshaw) Mr. Braman, are those Q. 24 true and accurate copies of your direct testimony and 25 supplemental direct testimony that we just marked

that appear in your direct testimony and your supplemental direct testimony were asked of you today, would your answers be the same?

> Α. Yes, they would.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honors, with that Mr. Braman is available for cross-examination.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

17 Mr. Van Kley.

MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor.

19

20 CROSS-EXAMINATION

2.1 By Mr. Van Kley:

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

2.2

- Q. And good afternoon, Mr. Braman.
- 23 A. Good afternoon.
- 24 Let's go to your written direct testimony Ο. marked as Applicant Exhibit 29. And please go to 25

page 3 of that testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

- A. I have it.
- Q. Let's go down to line 21 where we have a statement that "Westwood assessed potential impacts on 30 residential receptors (discrete observation receptors) and drivers along modeled road segments."

 Do you see that language?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What's your definition of the term "residential receptors" as used in this sentence?
- A. It would be a residential unit, a house, an occupied house.
- Q. Okay. And how were the 30 residential receptors selected, that is, by what cri -- what criteria were used to select the 30 residential receptors who would be included in the assessment for glare?
 - A. We oftentimes will use air photography or county parcel information.
 - Q. Is that what you did here?
- 21 A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. Did you do the glare analysis yourself?
- 24 A. I assisted with it.
- 25 Q. Were there any particular criteria that

were used in the glare analysis to decide which homes would be assessed and which homes would not be assessed in the area?

2.1

- A. We assessed homes within 500 feet of the arrays.
- Q. Do you know how many homes are located within 500 feet of the proposed locations for the solar arrays?
 - A. I believe it was the ones we assessed.
- Q. So you believe you assessed all of the homes within 500 feet -- it was 500 feet of the project panels, the panel areas?
 - A. I believe that's correct.
- Q. Does glare from solar panels go in all four directions or go all -- can you see glare from solar panels everywhere around the solar panel or just in particular directions from the solar panels?
- A. It's gen -- it follows the sun, so it's the -- it's the angle of reflection from the sun. So depending on where the tracker is or where the angle of the sun is, then the glare will correspondingly reflect. It's a reflection from the sun depending on how the sun is shining and also how the tracker or the module is positioned.
 - Q. The solar panel is angled toward the sun,

right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. Most of the time.
- Q. Okay. And the time that it's not angled from the sun, is it flatted or angled in a different direction?
- A. Oftentimes flat, it could be angled at a different direction. In this case we modeled it with a 5-degree rest angle, so it is not flat during the sunrise and sunset periods in order to minimize glare.
- Q. So you said that you modeled the glare at a 5 percent angle of the solar panels?
 - A. 5-degree rest angle.
- Q. Okay. Is that the condition in which the maximum amount of glare is produced?
- A. We frequently would see most glare produced with a 0-degree rest angle and that occurring near sunrise, just after sunrise, and then near sunset, just before sunset.
- Q. So why didn't you do the modeling based on a 0-degree resting angle?
 - A. To minimize glare.
- Q. I guess I didn't understand your -- your answer. Am I correctly understanding that a panel produces the most amount of glare at a 0-degree

resting angle?

2.1

- A. It produces the same amount of glare. It would it be intercepted -- better receptor is the question.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And then so, I mean, in most cases the glare is going to be reflected high in the sky, so when we see a glare angle that's towards zero, then that oftentimes will be nearer the receptors.
- Q. Okay. So if that's the case, then why did you model the glare in a 5-degree angle instead of a 0-degree angle?
- A. We would likely have seen more glare if we would have had a 0-degree rest angle at the receptors. That's been my experience in doing glare studies.
- Q. Okay. So if that's the case, why not model it at 0 degrees?
- A. We didn't want the glare -- it was a mitigation alternative we designed in the project.
 - Q. I guess I didn't understand that answer.
- A. Well, if the objective would be to reduce glare to receptors and using a 5-degree rest angle reduces the glare to receptors in most cases. So rather than having a 0-degree rest angle and more

- glare, the developer chose to have a 5-degree rest angle with less glare at the receptors.
- Ο. Okay. Now I am getting it. Okay. So is there anything in the application that promises to use a 5-degree resting angle?
- I'm not aware of that but that's what we modeled for this particular project.
- Is the amount of glare ordinarily higher Ο. at -- to the south of the solar panels than to other directions?
- Α. Not necessarily.
 - Q. There wouldn't be much glare to the north of a solar facility, would there, if the --
 - Α. There --
 - Q. -- panels are pointed towards the sun?
 - Α. Sure, there could be.
- 17 Q. There could be? Okay.
- 18 Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

2.1

22

23

- Is that because sometimes the panels are Ο. 20 pointed towards the north?
 - The panels could be pointed towards the north. The sun could be in the southerly direction. The panels could be flat so there's several different combinations that could cause glare to be there.
- 25 Q. In the 30 houses that were included in

the glare analysis, were there some houses on all directions from the solar panel areas?

- A. I would have to refer to Exhibit 2.
- Q. Okay. Feel free to do that. Go ahead.
- A. I don't see --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. You are on -- and tell us what exhibit number in the application you are referring to, please.
 - A. Stand by here.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, Mr. Van Kley, we will hand him the application binder in just a second, please.

ALJ AGRANOFF: No problem.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, Mr. Van Kley, the witness has been handed Applicant's Exhibit 6 which is actually a Data Response.

MR. VAN KLEY: Oh, okay. What's the date of that document?

Oh, I guess it's September 24, 2021.

- A. Oh, excuse me.
- Q. Mr. Braman, would you read the title to the document that you are looking at so we can all find it?
- A. Sure. It's -- it's Attachment 1, Glare
 Analysis, Westwood, September 17, 2021.

361 1 MR. LOCKSHAW: Mr. Van Kley, your Honor, 2 if I may, it was filed on September 24, 2021. 3 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. ALJ HICKS: The Supplemental Response to 4 5 the Second Data Request, I believe, because I think 6 there were two filed on September 24. 7 MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. It looks like there 8 were actually four maybe. Or maybe I just have 9 duplicates in here but. 10 MR. LOCKSHAW: That's correct, your 11 Honor, the Supplemental Response to the Second Data 12 Request. 13 ALJ AGRANOFF: 288 pages? 14 ALJ HICKS: Yes. And then the page that 15 Mr. Braman just referenced begins on page 7 of the 16 PDF, if that helps you, Mr. Van Kley. 17 MR. VAN KLEY: Sure does. 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: That's captioned 19 "Attachment 1, Glare Analysis, Westwood"? 20 ALJ HICKS: I believe that's what he 2.1 referenced, but I will let the witness or 2.2 Mr. Lockshaw correct it. 23 (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. Mr. Braman, Q.

24

you are looking?

2.1

A. So I am looking at Figure 2. It says "Glare Study Overview Map."

ALJ HICKS: PDF page 14, I think.

- Q. Did you say Figure 1, Mr. Braman, or Figure 2?
 - A. Figure 2.
- Q. Okay. Great. Okay. I think we're there. So the question on the table was whether you included residences in your assessment that are located in all directions from solar panels. Based on the information you have now found, can you answer that question?
- A. Sure. I don't know if I could say all directions, but I would say nearly all directions. If you want to start on -- in the northwest corner of array 01, there are receptors to the south of 1 and to the west of 2. There are -- if you look at 03, array 03, there are receptors to the northeast of that array. If you look at 04, there are receptors to the southwest. If you look at 05, there are receptors to the east. If you look at array 08, there are receptors to the east and southeast and west. If you look at 09, there are receptors to the east. 10, receptors to the east. There are

receptors between 16 and 17 so it would be to the east, the east of 16, the west of 17, the south of 17.

If you look at 15, there are receptors to the north, to the east, to the southeast, to the south, and to the west. There are receptors to the north of 19, to the east of 19, to the west of 18, to the west of 19, to the east and north and west of 20.

I think that covers all of them. I think that's most directions.

- Q. Okay. Yeah, it sounds like it. Can you tell me how far glare from solar panels can be detected?
- A. No. It's reflected -- reflected sunlight, so I don't know the distance.
- Q. Can you tell me the distance from the solar panel that glare can be an annoyance to people?

MR. LOCKSHAW: I object, your Honor.

Annoyance is a term that doesn't have standard
definition. I don't think he can answer the question
as asked.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Van Kley, could you maybe provide a little more context to --

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah.

ALJ AGRANOFF: -- what you are attempting to accomplish?

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Yeah. Let's provide a little bit of background here. Mr. Braman, does -- does glare cause any problems for motorists who may be in the area?
 - A. It could.

2.1

- Q. What kind of problems are those?
- A. It could be a distraction. It could be direct light in your eyes.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell me whether there's a specific distance from the source of the glare where those potential problems are no longer existent?
 - A. I couldn't tell.
- Q. And with respect to residences in the area, does glare cause the same kind of problems as it does for motorists?
- A. I would think not because a person that's driving a vehicle is responsible for moving a piece of equipment where someone in their home doesn't necessarily have that same level of commitment or responsibility.
- Q. A person who is stationary can still be exposed to glare that shines in their eyes, right?

- A. Could you repeat that, please? I didn't hear you.
- Q. Yeah, sure. A person who is standing stationary can still be exposed to glare that shines into their eyes, right?
 - A. Yes, yes.

2.1

- Q. Can you tell me how far from the source of the glare that can occur?
- A. No. Again, I don't know. That could be a long distance.
- Q. Redirecting your attention to your testimony marked as Applicant Exhibit 29 on page 4, let's take a look at the sentence starting on line 15 which starts that "A driver traveling 30 miles per hour would see the glare in the southwest for a few seconds as the driver travels along this portion of Tagg Road." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know how many seconds that can occur?
- A. Not exactly how many seconds but it's only a few because the glare report shows only a small segment of the entire segment where the glare would impact that driver.
- Q. Is the speed limit on that portion of

Tagg Road 30 miles an hour?

2.1

- A. I don't know that. It's a gravel road as I know.
 - Q. So why is the travel rate of 30 miles used in that sentence?
 - A. As a point of reference.
 - Q. Is there anything in the application that has been provided in order to prevent the glare on this portion of Tagg Road?
 - A. Can you rephrase that or restate it?
 - Q. Yeah. Has anything been placed into the application in this case to prevent glare from affecting this portion of the Tagg Road?
 - A. I'm not -- I'm not clear whether or not this specific segment has mitigation in the document.
 - Q. Is there anything that can be done to minimize glare from solar panels?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. What can be done?
 - A. Well, one of the things we've done already is to change the rest angle. That redirects the glare higher away from the receptors. Fences can be used. Vegetative screening can be used. There are occasions when moving an array can mitigate, eliminate glare. So there are multiple different

things that could be done. You could change your tracker timing if it -- if it occurred during the tracking episode so there are several different alternatives.

- Q. Are there antiglare coatings that can be used to reduce glare from the solar panels?
- A. Yes, there are. I've seen that happen in some cases, and I believe that we modeled antireflective coating on this set of arrays.
- Q. Did you also model glare from solar panels that do not have antireflective coating?
 - A. Not in this case.
- Q. Is there anything to your knowledge in the application that requires antireflective coating to be used on the solar panels for this project?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Thank you.

I have no further questions.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Anything from Hartford

21 Township?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

24

MS. CARNES: No questions, your Honor.

23 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any clarifying questions

from the signatory parties?

MR. DOVE: No questions, your Honor.

368 ALJ AGRANOFF: Redirect? 1 MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, if we may just 2 3 have 5 minutes to consider? ALJ AGRANOFF: Certainly. 4 5 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you. ALJ AGRANOFF: We'll reconvene at 4:25. 6 7 (Recess taken.) ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Let's go back on 8 the record and ask the Applicant what they decided 9 10 regarding redirect. 11 MR. LOCKSHAW: Yes, your Honor. We have 12 no redirect. 13 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Judge Hicks, do you 14 have any questions? 15 ALJ HICKS: No questions. 16 17 EXAMINATION 18 By ALJ Agranoff: 19 I have just one question, Mr. Braman. 0. 20 Α. Okay. 2.1 Would you please turn to page 5 of your Q. 22 testimony. I'm there. 23 Α. 24 O. Ouestion 12. 25 Α. Yes.

Q. And you're responding as to whether or not it's possible to determine that the facility represents the minimum adverse environmental impact specifically to glint and glare. Are you saying that — are you testifying that indeed the project does represent the minimum adverse environmental impact?

A. No. I would say that it could.

Obviously the minimum would be zero, but I would also suggest that this is negligible.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. With respect to my one clarifying question, is there any follow-up from counsel?

MR. VAN KLEY: None from me.

MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, just one

question, if I may.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: Certainly.

19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Lockshaw:

Q. Mr. Braman, could you give the judge a little bit more context based on how many solar projects you've modeled, the amount of glare that is shown from this project, how that fits into context with what you've seen across the work you've done.

MR. VAN KLEY: Objection, your Honor. 1 2 Falls outside the context of your Honor's questions. ALJ AGRANOFF: I would prefer that the 3 witness give me the context of his testimony taking 4 5 into account the criteria that the Board looks at for 6 the purposes of the eight components of 4906.10. 7 if you can ask a question, Mr. Lockshaw, in that parameter, I would prefer that you do it that way. 8 9 MR. LOCKSHAW: Yes, your Honor. 10 (By Mr. Lockshaw) With respect to Q. considering the minimum environmental impact of this 11 12 project, how would you describe the amount of glare 13 that your modeling produced? 14 Very little, very little glare. I see a 15 lot more glare on many projects than this particular one. And I think that's -- OPSB Staff that reviews 16 17 glare projects would also agree with that. 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: Anything further from 19 counsel? 20 MR. LOCKSHAW: No further questions, your 2.1 Thank you. Honor. 22 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any recross based on that 23 one question Mr. Lockshaw just asked? 24 MR. VAN KLEY: No, your Honor. 25 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you very

```
371
 1
     much, Mr. Braman.
                 And at this point in time, Mr. Lockshaw,
 2
     are you, I assume, seeking the admission of certain
 3
     exhibits?
 4
 5
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Yes, your Honor. The
     Applicant moves to admit Exhibits 29 and 29A.
 6
 7
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any objections?
                 There being none, the aforementioned
 8
9
     exhibits shall be admitted as part of the record at
10
     this time.
11
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
12
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, your Honor.
13
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: You're welcome.
14
                 Let's go off the record.
                 (Discussion off the record.)
15
16
                 ALJ HICKS: Go ahead and go back on the
17
     record.
18
                 I will turn it over to the Applicant to
19
     call their next witness.
20
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, we would like
2.1
     to call Ryan Peterson.
22
                 ALJ HICKS: Okay. I can see him.
23
    Mr. Peterson, if you could raise your right hand.
24
                 (Witness sworn.)
```

ALJ HICKS: Please go ahead,

372 Mr. Lockshaw. 1 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, your Honor. 2 3 4 RYAN PETERSON 5 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 6 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 By Mr. Lockshaw: 9 Ο. Mr. Peterson, can you please state your 10 name for the record. 11 Α. Ryan John Peterson. 12 Mr. Peterson, do you have your direct Q. 13 testimony and your supplemental direct testimony in front of you? 14 15 Α. Yes, I do. MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, may we mark 16 17 the direct testimony Exhibit 27 and the supplemental 18 direct testimony Exhibit 27A? ALJ HICKS: They will both be so marked. 19 20 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 2.1 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you. 22 (By Mr. Lockshaw) Mr. Peterson, are those Q. 23 true and accurate copies of your direct testimony and

supplemental direct we just marked Exhibits 27 and

24

25

27A?

373

- A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Do you have any revisions to make to your direct testimony or supplemental direct testimony today?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. If the same questions that appear in your direct testimony and supplement direct testimony were asked to you today, would your answers be the same?
 - A. Yes, they would.
- MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, with that

 Mr. Peterson is available for cross-examination.
- 12 Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 13 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. We will start with Save Hartford.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Yep.
- 16
- 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 18 | By Mr. Van Kley:
- Q. All right. Mr. Peterson, let's go to your written direct testimony marked as Applicant Exhibit 27.
- 22 A. Yes, I have it.
- Q. Please go to page 4, please.
- A. Yes. Got it.
- 25 Q. All right. And I would like to direct

your attention to question and answer 10 on that page.

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. Am I interpreting your answer to question 10 to say that -- or am I accurately interpreting your answer to say that there are 10 archeological sites known within the project area that --
 - A. Oh, sorry. Excuse me.
- Q. All right. Am I accurately interpreting this answer to say that there are 10 archeological sites within the project area?
- A. Correct. There were 10 archeological sites that were documented prior to our current investigation. So when we did the research prior to starting, that's how many were documented within the project area.
- Q. Okay. And after you did your investigation, how many additional archeological sites, if any, did you find within the project area?
- A. I don't have the specific number in front of me, but it was in excess of 300 additional archeological sites. Could I qualify that, sir?
 - Q. Sure.
- A. In many instances in rural Ohio there hasn't been formal investigation of this broad of

acreage. It's been farmed and not developed in the past, so it's not uncommon to find a larger number of archeological sites when you conduct a systematic survey.

Q. Are any of those archeological sites within the project area above the surface of the ground?

2.1

- A. No. Inherently archeological sites are below the ground with the exception of occasional foundational remnants from a historical site or perhaps a mound site, but we did not encounter mound sites on this project, maybe a couple remnant foundations if I went back through the documents. But inherently archeological is below the ground, and historic architecture would be the above-ground resources we looked at primarily.
- Q. Are there any known archeological sites within the project area that consists of building foundations or portions of buildings?
- A. There were historic archeological sites documented. I would have to go back through side by side to tell you how much of that foundation was actually left. Sometimes they are buried at the site. You have to see them. You have to find them by digging. I don't have that information right off

the top of my head what the exact breakdown was of the number of sites we found, but it is typical for us to find historical farmsteads from the 19th and 20th Century when we do conduct these surveys in rural landscapes like this.

- Q. Did you find any burial ground within the project area?
 - A. No, sir, we did not.
- Q. On page 6 of your testimony on line 6, there is a reference to a potential cemetery referred to locally as Potter Cemetery. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. And what is the information that you received concerning Potter Cemetery?
- A. We received -- we received that information directly from a local resident,

 Mr. Potter. I think you are familiar with him. He indicated that their family history, their oral history indicated that there were potentially a couple of burials of his ancestors and supplied a general area when we met with him out on the site.

Unfortunately we cannot verify that through any of the written records that we have or documentation that we've discussed with the SHPO's office, but out of an abundance of caution, the

Applicant has agreed to go above and beyond and avoid any impacts of this potential cemetery by taking additional caution that would normally not be done for a project like this.

- Q. And what -- what is the nature of those precautions?
- A. To remove the plow zone deposits, scrape the upper soil to look to see in areas of construction within a 200-foot wide buffer from a property boundary if there are any indications of a grave shaft there. Then precautions would be taken and consultation would occur.
 - Q. Mr. --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. So to --
- Q. Go ahead.
- A. So to clarify, I want to make sure that my answer was clear. We did not find a cemetery or burial ground. This is an oral reporting of it from a local informant so that's why I answered in the way that I did, that we did not actually find one that I could verify.
 - O. Mr. Potter is Native American?
- A. That is what he has told me, yes.
- Q. And can you tell me the approximate area where the Potter Cemetery is alleged to have been

located?

2.1

A. It is -- I believe it's in the MOU that's part of the 27A in the application. Let's figure out where it's at in here. A lot of documents. Let's see, it is Appendix C, the first page of Appendix C and the MOU which is part of 27A. I do not have a page number on that because it was an appendix on this. Anyone else have that handy?

ALJ HICKS: I think, if it helps, I don't know if you are on it, Mr. Van Kley, but I do have a PDF number. Page 27 of this PDF, page 27 of the supplemental testimony.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Okay. Let's go to page 5 of your testimony marked as Applicant's Exhibit 27. And I am interested in some of the information you put in answer 12 on that page. Specifically can you tell me how many sites inside the project area have been found to be potentially eligible from listing on the National Register of Historic Places?
- A. Yes. Pretty much my response to question 13, it is 15 sites have been determined eligible.
- Q. And could you describe the nature of those sites.
 - A. The majority of these are prehistoric or

precontact sites, Native American sites that consist of a scatter of artifacts that were identified in agricultural fields. Limited excavation was done just to look at the depth of the soils on those sites but that's been the extent of the investigation to create a boundary of where that site was at to then assess whether or not it could be avoided or if further measures would need to be taken.

- Q. Other than the type of site that you just mentioned, are there any other types of sites within the project area that are potentially eligible for listing on -- in an NRHP?
- A. I did not itemize them out in this response and certainly is in the exhibit of the full report that's on the docket. There are multi-component sites which both include historic and prehistoric sites. I believe one or two of those sites might include a historic component that was not considered eligible for the National Register. Most on this specific project were prehistoric sites or precontact sites. I can get specifics for you from the document, if you would like me to.
 - Q. Yeah.

2.1

- A. Just take some digging.
- Q. Yeah. I guess my question is a little

more general in nature which is if you can tell me whether any of the 15 sites involve structures or other things besides scattered arrowheads and that sort of thing.

- A. Not to my recollection. None of them were associated with clear structures. There may be some remnants near a road but I can't confirm that without going back through the report to give you the specifics on that.
- Q. And these 15 sites were inside the project area, right?
 - A. That is correct.

2.1

- Q. And continuing on with our discussion about page 5 of your written testimony, it states on lines 26 and 27 that 4 of the 15 potentially eligible sites will be avoided by all project activities. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. And is that stated in the application as well or any of the data --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. It's stated in the MOU and the maps

 characterizing those sites with the 50-foot boundary

 have been included in that document which is part of

the 27A.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And how will the project activities be avoided in those areas?
- A. Typically the agreed upon language that is in the MOU is that at minimum temporary construction fencing will be put around that buffer, and it will be on the radar of the environmental monitor also so there will be a physical boundary around those sites as well as the property being checked on by the monitor that's on-site during construction.
- Q. Does the application also say that no solar equipment will be installed on those sites?
 - A. Yeah. That's a total exclusion zone.
- Q. Go to page 7 of your testimony. And let's take a look at line 16 and 17 where it states that "the Curry Farm Historic District has been provided substantial setbacks and robust screening." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you tell me what the substantial setbacks are that are referenced here?
- A. This is based on my personal experience with solar projects in Ohio. I believe the minimum setback is approximately 400 feet which is multiple

```
times more than I have seen on other projects for
 1
 2
     historic properties and the screening is -- was
     offered was an added -- an evergreen component to
 3
     already the highest level screenings. So in my
 4
 5
     professional opinion, it was the most robust
 6
     screening and setbacks that I had seen for historic
 7
    boundary.
 8
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Very good. I have
9
     no more questions, your Honor.
10
                 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.
                 Any questions from Hartford Township?
11
12
                 MS. CARNES: No questions, your Honor.
13
                 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Any clarifying
14
     questions from stipulating parties or Board Staff?
15
                 MR. LINDGREN: No, thank you, your Honor.
16
                 MR. DOVE: No, thank you, your Honor.
17
                 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Mr. Lockshaw, any --
18
     do you need any time for redirect discussions?
19
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Your Honor, we would
20
     appreciate just a little bit of time.
2.1
                 ALJ HICKS: I have a -- let's go off the
22
     record. We will come back at 5 o'clock.
23
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you.
24
                 (Recess taken.)
25
                 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Let's go back on the
```

383 1 record. Mr. Lockshaw, any redirect for this 2 3 witness? MR. LOCKSHAW: Thank you, your Honor. 4 No 5 redirect. 6 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. 7 Judge Agranoff, any questions? You're 8 muted. 9 10 EXAMINATION 11 By ALJ Agranoff: 12 I have one question. If you could please Q. 13 take a look at page 7, question 16. 14 Α. I have it. 15 Ο. There's a discussion where the Applicant commits to continue to consult with the State 16 17 Historical Preservation Office to mitigate or avoid 18 potential adverse effects upon the cultural 19 resources. Do you see that statement? 20 Α. Yes, sir. 2.1 Q. Is there -- is there any process 22 contemplated to the extent that there are disputes that cannot be amicably resolved? 23 24 The commitments to consult with the SHPO

are clarified in the MOU in Exhibit 27A. That

```
384
     consultation will occur based on the results of
 1
 2
     additional investigation. I am not quite sure to
     answer it beyond that other than I haven't had
 3
     professional experience that hasn't resulted in --
 4
 5
     ultimately an amicable agreement with the Agency with
 6
     an Applicant.
 7
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you.
                 ALJ HICKS: Any -- that was your only
 8
9
     question?
10
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: That was it.
11
                 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Any from counsel? Any
12
     questions triggered by Judge Agranoff's single
13
     question?
14
                 MR. VAN KLEY: No.
15
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: No, your Honor.
16
                 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. Mr. Peterson,
17
     thank you for your time and testimony today.
18
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19
                 ALJ HICKS: Mr. Lockshaw, we can take up
20
     the exhibits.
2.1
                 MR. LOCKSHAW: Yes, your Honor.
     Applicant moves to have admitted Exhibits 27 and 27A.
22
23
                 ALJ HICKS: Any objection to the
24
     admission of either of those exhibits?
```

Hearing none, 27 and 27A are admitted.

```
385
 1
                  (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
 2
                 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Let's go off the
 3
     record.
                  (Discussion off the record.)
 4
 5
                  (Thereupon, at 5:05 p.m., the hearing was
 6
     adjourned.)
 7
 8
                           CERTIFICATE
 9
                 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
10
     a true and correct transcript of the proceedings
     taken by me in this matter on Thursday, April 7,
11
12
     2022, and carefully compared with my original
13
     stenographic notes.
14
15
16
                           Karen Sue Gibson, Registered
                           Merit Reporter.
17
18
     (KSG-7263)
19
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/21/2022 12:59:37 PM

in

Case No(s). 21-0164-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript in the matter of the Harvey Solar I, LLC hearing held on 04/07/22 - Volume II electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.