BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the
 Joint Application of
Utility Pipeline, Ltd.,
Cobra Pipeline Company,
:

Ltd., and Knox Energy : Case No. 21-803-GA-ATR

Cooperative Association, :
Inc. to Substitute :
Natural Gas Service and :
Transfer Assets and :
Customers. :

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Matthew Sandor, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, conducted via Webex video conference, called at 10:04 a.m. on Wednesday, March 9, 2022.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481

- - -

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Baker & Hostetler LLP By Mr. David F. Proaño	
3	And Mr. Taylor M. Thompson Key Tower	
4	127 Public Square, Suite 2000 Cleveland, Ohio 44114	
5	On behalf of Utility Pipeline, Ltd.,	
6 7	Knox Energy Cooperative Association, Inc., and Northern Industrial Energy Development, Inc.	
8	Benesch, Friedlander, Coplan & Aronoff, LLP	
9	By Mr. N. Trevor Alexander 41 South High Street, Suite 2600 Columbus, Ohio 43215	
10		
11	On behalf of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corp.	
12 13	Kravitz, Brown & Dortch, LLC By Mr. Michael D. Dortch and Mr. Justin M. Dortch	
14	65 East State Street, Suite 200 Columbus, Ohio 43215	
15	On behalf of Cobra Pipeline Company, Ltd.	
16	Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General Mr. John Jones, Section Chief	
17	By Mr. Werner L. Margard, III, Assistant Attorney General	
18	Public Utilities Section 30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor	
19	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
20	On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.	
21	Coffey Law LLC By Mr. Thomas W. Coffey	
22	2430 Tremont Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113	
23		
24	On behalf of Cobra Pipeline Company, Ltd.	
25		

Wednesday Morning Session,
March 9, 2022.

2.1

- - -

EXAMINER SANDOR: Let's go on the record.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

calls for a status conference at this time and place
in Case No. 21-803-GA-ATR which is captioned In the

Matter of the Joint Application of Utility Pipeline,
Ltd, Cobra Pipeline Company, Ltd, and Knox Energy

Cooperative Association, Inc. to Substitute Natural

Gas Service and Transfer Assets and Customers.

Good morning, everyone. My name is Matt Sandor. I'm the Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to this case.

At this time we'll take appearances of the parties. First, on behalf of Utility Pipeline Limited, Knox Energy Cooperative Association, Inc., and Northern Industrial Energy Development, Inc.

MR. PROAÑO: Good morning, Your Honor.

This is David Proaño from Baker & Hostetler,

Cleveland, Ohio. I have with me my associate, Taylor

Thompson, who is on the line as well. We represent

those three entities. Thank you.

EXAMINER SANDOR: Thank you.

Next, counsel on behalf of Cobra Pipeline

4

```
1 Company.
```

- 2 MR. DORTCH: Good morning, Your Honor.
- 3 | Michael Dortch, Kravitz, Brown & Dortch, 65 East
- 4 | State Street, Suite 200, Columbus, Ohio. Also with
- 5 me is Justin Dortch.
- 6 EXAMINER SANDOR: Thank you.
- And I believe we have Mr. Coffey on the
- 8 | line as bankruptcy counsel for Cobra.
- 9 MR. COFFEY: May it please the court.
- 10 | Thomas W. Coffey, Coffey Law, LLC, Cleveland, Ohio,
- 11 bankruptcy counsel for Cobra Pipeline Company
- 12 Limited.
- 13 EXAMINER SANDOR: Thank you for joining
- 14 us this morning.
- 15 And then counsel on behalf of Intervenor
- 16 | Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corp.
- MR. ALEXANDER: Good morning. Trevor
- 18 | Alexander from the Benesch firm, representing
- 19 | Northeast Ohio Natural Gas.
- 20 EXAMINER SANDOR: Counsel for Stand
- 21 Energy Corporation. I don't believe she's joining us
- 22 this morning.
- 23 And then counsel on behalf of Board
- 24 Staff.
- MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor.

Werner Margard, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of the Commission Staff.

2.1

EXAMINER SANDOR: Thank you.

So we've had the initial application filed so far in this matter, a few rounds of procedural filings, and then also a supplement and an amendment to the initial application. So at this point I would just like to know from the parties and -- or like to get from the parties an update on the case. So at this time I would just like to kick it back to the parties, to whomever may want to offer the update, but perhaps Mr. Proaño might be the appropriate person here.

MR. PROAÑO: Vern, do you want to provide the update or do you want me to?

MR. MARGARD: It matters not. I will tell you, Your Honor, that the parties have been engaging in discussions to try to resolve issues between them with respect to terms and conditions of service, including rates to be charged for those services. We have had difficulty reaching that divide. We have ongoing discussions. I do not know that we are necessarily at an impasse but we have had great difficulty reaching an agreement among the parties.

We have recently involved the Commission Rates Analysis Staff which has submitted data requests to the principal parties here who have responded. We have had conversations with each of them this week and anticipate discussions following this status conference to continue exploring whether a resolution of the case by agreement remains possible. And I'll go that far and toss it back to the parties to amplify.

2.1

EXAMINER SANDOR: Feel free, anyone else, who would like to provide any further update.

MR. ALEXANDER: Yeah, I guess I will,
Your Honor. I think Mr. Margard outlined where we
are correctly. The only thing I would add is that
Northeast Ohio Gas' hope is that we're able to
resolve this case without having to go forward
through additional discovery and a hearing, that
would be the goal. But to remind the Bench an entry
was issued last year, stating that, if needed, there
would be an additional procedural schedule issued
with time for discovery, the ability for Intervenors
to respond, you know, things have changed, there's
been a supplemental application since this happened,
but the ability to respond to the supplemental
application, Intervenor testimony regarding that

supplemental application, if needed, and a hearing.

Those issues were all put off and I think

appropriately so, but if we are unable to reach an

4 agreement, we would need to have those scheduled.

2.1

EXAMINER SANDOR: Right. And of course

I'll take that under advisement if we do indeed reach
an impasse. Obviously some type of procedural
schedule, including a hearing, would need to be
scheduled so everyone would be given the appropriate
due process at that point.

And then to step back just a second. When we were speaking about a resolution, I want to confirm, are we talking about a stipulation in front of the Board? Is that what you --

MR. ALEXANDER: That's correct.

MR. MARGARD: Yes, yes.

EXAMINER SANDOR: Okay. Is there any other updates beyond that from the parties?

MR. ALEXANDER: We do have a pending motion for protective order. I don't know that needs to be resolved as part of the settlement discussions in light of the change in the application, but that is also out there.

EXAMINER SANDOR: Okay. And that's needed as well. So obviously, too, if we reach a

full resolution in this, we would expect -- or would hope or ask the parties to address that as well in some type of separate filing. I would appreciate it.

Okay. So --

2.1

MR. MARGARD: The only thing I would note, Your Honor, is that obviously there remains a bankruptcy proceeding pending with respect to Cobra Pipeline. Mr. Coffey is present. He is counsel for the debtor in that proceeding. I don't know if there's anything that you need to or wish to know about the status of that particular proceeding but I'm certain he can fill you in.

We have had an auction process and bids, and we have tentative purchase agreements which have resulted in the filings before the Commission and, otherwise, I think the bankruptcy proceeding is kind of waiting on us for the time being, but I'll let Mr. Coffey address anything in that proceeding that you may wish to know.

MR. COFFEY: I would confirm what's just been summarized very aptly by Mr. Margard. The bankruptcy process has gone through very smoothly and has approved a proposed sale to UPL or its designee. We have been waiting for the PUCO process to work its way through. We've been waiting I would say fairly

patiently because we're patient people by nature, but in terms of how bankruptcy cases proceed, particularly those under Chapter 11, this delay has seemed like a long time. There are certain financial exigencies here, there are certain pressure points coming at the debtors from other parties, right now we've banked those to the extent that we can and held them off, but from the bankruptcy point of view there's a certain degree of urgency now toward getting this resolved one way or the other.

2.1

EXAMINER SANDOR: So two things on that point is that we intend to also continue pressing to kind of get to the point of whether -- in this case whether we can reach a full resolution or, you know, if need be, take this beyond that.

On top of that, I do remember in previous filings a reference to Huntington Bank and a collateral cash order and that having some, as you aptly put, pressure points on this case. Is there any update on that in terms of timing?

MR. COFFEY: We have had a couple of extended telephone conferences with Tim Palmer. Tim Palmer is the attorney at Buchanan Ingersoll in Pittsburgh who represents Huntington Bank.

Mr. Palmer and the bank understand what's -- what's

being processed here at the PUCO level. They have been suitably eager to have this thing resolved from a commercial banking perspective. From a commercial banking and bankruptcy perspective, they've been what I might describe as incredibly patient with the process. I think it might be fair to say that their patience is wearing thin, but because they've gotten a lot of information, they've had calls where Mr. Proaño and his team, and Mr. Dortch and his team have been on there together with me and at times other parties too, and understanding what the process is has helped a lot to keep their confidence in place for now, but it's something, operating a large commercial bankruptcy case with this particular environment and the bank with its position is a little bit like operating with assorted panoplies hanging over our head. EXAMINER SANDOR: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Coffey.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. PROAÑO: Your Honor, David Proaño, counsel for the Joint Applicants. I want to echo what everyone said. I think that's an accurate recitation.

We filed this case back in, you know, the summer of 2021. And in July, on July 30th, 2021, we

sought an expedited schedule. That wasn't granted at the time. But I do think there is a sense of urgency to this proceeding that we've been trying to push from the beginning of the case. Those issues are that much more urgent now because of the delay. And we've been trying to find a resolution to this case for months, and we sent, you know, a stipulated settlement months ago to the parties and we have been negotiating that.

2.1

But I do think that we should operate under a fairly tight timeline here. You know, we have to also keep in mind that these are operating pipeline systems that have received a minimal amount of attention during the bankruptcy process and the years preceding it, so there are very necessary maintenance and upgrade issues that have to be addressed by the new owner and they have to be addressed in the near term. The construction season is coming up this year. If you want to get the upgrades this year, this transaction needs to close fairly soon.

So I think, Your Honor, as you consider what further deadlines to put on the parties, we are anxious and eager to get this matter resolved either through a stipulation or through a hearing process.

We just need, I think, kind of the pressure of some tight deadlines to make this happen. I'm hopeful that we will be able to resolve the outstanding issues with all the parties. I think the outstanding issues are very focused and very narrow. It's just a matter of getting to that point.

2.1

So, with that, Your Honor, I hope you understand that perspective of the pipeline system, and I thought it would be helpful to provide you that information.

EXAMINER SANDOR: Okay. Thank you. Anything else?

MR. DORTCH: Your Honor, this is Michael Dortch on behalf of Cobra Pipeline. I would echo Mr. Proaño in his request for a sense of urgency at this point in time. This matter has been pending for a while. I'm less familiar with the bankruptcy process, of course, than Mr. Coffey is, but I have certainly sat through meetings where I sense that the patience of the lender and its bankruptcy counsel is now being tested. I think that getting a procedural schedule and to a hearing date, particularly one closer rather than far away, may do a good deal to assuage some of the concerns that those folks have expressed.

EXAMINER SANDOR: Okay. Thank you,

Mr. Dortch.

Anything else?

2.1

MR. ALEXANDER: Yeah. I guess I'll put a bow on this. No objection to a schedule sooner rather than later. We have been holding off on additional discovery, waiting on the pleasure of the Bench to set that new deadline. If you'd like to pursue expedited discovery to move this forward, we're certainly willing to do that. And earlier I think Mr. Dortch's words were sooner rather than later for a hearing, certainly no objection there, again so long as there's some period of time for discovery and then testimony from NEO if we are unable to reach agreement.

EXAMINER SANDOR: Okay. Thanks.

So -- and it's also my understanding from discussions off the record that there is a scheduled settlement negotiation talk today, is that correct, after this?

MR. ALEXANDER: Yes.

EXAMINER SANDOR: Okay. In terms of the urgency that everyone is, you know, mentioning about the case and agree to want to keep shepherding this along, would -- I think the way I would prefer to go

forward with this is perhaps by the end of this week or early next week if the parties or Mr. Proaño could file correspondence in the docket, noting whether, you know, a resolution has been reached or not, and then at that point I can rather quickly try to issue a procedural schedule for the case if there is an impasse especially. Does that -- is that fair for you all? Is that enough time? Would you like a week for that correspondence to come in, or preferences there?

2.1

MR. PROAÑO: Vern, what's your thinking on that?

MR. MARGARD: I have ducked out of one hearing in order to join this one. I anticipate being in that hearing through Tuesday and we're expected to go quite late at least a couple of evenings, so I am really quite tied up. I do have some other activities involving this case that we're trying to squeeze in during that time, but I probably can't participate much this week yet. I think next week is certainly doable from Staff's perspective, but this week my hands are pretty tied.

EXAMINER SANDOR: Okay. Would it be possible then for an update, you know, at the end of next Thursday? Is that pushing it for you?

MR. MARGARD: I think an update is certainly possible. Certainly we can do that, yes. I don't know how much progress we will make but we will make every effort to make progress. I think today will be fairly telling in terms of our likelihood of being very successful. I think certainly we can update you next Thursday; no difficulty doing that.

2.1

sense.

the plan then if -- please file correspondence in the docket as an update on how negotiations are going by next Thursday. If you do intend or would like more time at that point because you really think you need a few more days, just mention so, please, in the correspondence and I'll hold off on issuing any type of entry or further procedural schedule for that moment but, otherwise, if you have reached an impasse, then we'll take it from there.

MR. MARGARD: Okay.

EXAMINER SANDOR: Everyone understand?

MR. PROAÑO: Yes, Your Honor, it makes

EXAMINER SANDOR: All right. Thank you very much. And next Thursday, just to have -- to tie a bow on it, I believe is March -- March 17th,

```
16
     St. Patrick's Day.
 1
                 Okay. All right. Well, that's all I
 2
 3
     have. Is there anything else from the parties?
                 MR. PROAÑO: Not from our clients, Your
 4
     Honor. Thank you.
 5
                 EXAMINER SANDOR: Okay. Hearing none, we
 6
 7
     are adjourned for today.
                 (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at
 8
 9
     10:22 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Wednesday, March 9, 2022, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Carolyn M. Burke, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires July 17, 2023.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/29/2022 12:14:42 PM

in

Case No(s). 21-0803-GA-ATR

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of the Joint Application of Utility Pipeline, Ltd., Cobra Pipeline Company, Ltd., and Knox Energy Cooperative Association, Inc. to Substitute Natural Gas Service and Transfer Assets and Customers. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Burke, Carolyn