## BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

In the Matter of the : Application of Kingwood:

Solar I LLC for a :
Certificate of : Case No. 21-117-EL-BGN Certificate of Environmental

Compatibility and Public : Need.

## PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Michael Williams and Mr. David Hicks, Administrative Law Judges, at the Ohio Power Siting Board, via Webex, called at 9:03 a.m. on Friday, March 11, 2022.

VOLUME V

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 222 East Town Street, Second Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201 (614) 224-9481

		935		
1	APPEARANCES:			
2	Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP By Mr. Michael J. Settineri, Ms. Anna Sanyal,			
4	Mr. Jonathan K. Stock, and Mr. Nathaniel B. Morse			
5	52 East Gay Street Columbus, Ohio 43215			
6	On behalf of the Applicant.			
7	Van Kley & Walker, LLC By Mr. Jack A. Van Kley			
8	132 Northwoods Boulevard, Suite C-1 Columbus, Ohio 43215			
9	On behalf of the Citizens for Greene			
10	Acres and Individual Intervenors.			
11	Cedarville University By Mr. John E. Hart			
12	251 North Main Street Cedarville, Ohio 45314			
13	On behalf of In Progress LLC.			
14	On Denair Of in frogress and.			
15	Ohio Farm Bureau Federation By Ms. Amy Milam 280 North High Street, 6th Floor			
16	Columbus, Ohio 43215			
17	On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau Federation.			
18				
19	Dinsmore & Shohl LLP By Mr. Lee A. Slone One South Main Street, Suite 1300			
20	Dayton, Ohio 45402			
21	On behalf of the Miami Township Board of Trustees, Greene County, Ohio.	of		
22				
23				
24				
25				

```
936
 1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
 2.
            Brown Law Office LLC
            By Mr. Daniel A. Brown
 3
            204 South Ludlow Street, Suite 300
            Dayton, Ohio 45402
 4
                 On behalf of the Cedarville Township
 5
                 Trustees.
            Plank Law Firm, LPA
 6
            By Mr. David Watkins
 7
            and Mr. Kevin Dunn
            411 East Town Street, Floor 2
 8
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 9
                 On behalf of the Xenia Township Board of
                 Trustees.
10
            Frost Brown Todd LLC
11
            By Mr. Thaddeus M. Boggs
            and Mr. Jesse J. Shamp
12
            10 West Broad Street, Suite 2300
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
13
                 On behalf of the Greene County Board of
14
                 Commissioners.
15
            Mr. Charles D. Swaney
            515 North Fountain Avenue
            Springfield, Ohio 45504
16
17
                 On behalf of the Tecumseh Land
                 Preservation Association.
18
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
19
            Mr. John Jones, Section Chief
            By Mr. Werner L. Margard, III,
20
            Ms. Jodi Bair,
            and Mr. Shaun Lyons
21
            Assistant Attorneys General
            Public Utilities Section
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
22
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
23
                 On behalf of the Staff of the OPSB.
24
25
```

		937
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4	Robert Rand	
5	Direct Examination (Cont.) by Mr. Van Kley Cross-Examination by Mr. Settineri Redirect Examination by Mr. Van Kley	942 947 996
6	Recross-Examination by Mr. Settineri	1045
7	Vicki Abel Cross-Examination by Mr. Settineri	1083
8		
9	Mary McClinton Clay Direct Examination by Mr. Van Kley	1102
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Settineri	1105
10	Redirect Examination by Mr. Van Kley Recross-Examination by Mr. Settineri	1144 1155
11	Recross Examination by III. Section	1100
12	Angie Hanna Direct Examination by Mr. Van Kley	1161
12	Cross-Examination by Ms. Sanyal	1163
13	Redirect Examination by Mr. Van Kley	1168
14	Nicholas Pitstick	1150
15	Direct Examination by Mr. Van Kley Cross-Examination by Mr. Morse	1170 1172
_ 0	Redirect Examination by Mr. Van Kley	1175
16	James Jaseph Knaji selt	
17	James Joseph Krajicek Direct Examination by Mr. Van Kley	1179
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Stock	1181
18	Redirect Examination by Mr. Van Kley	1192
19	Recross-Examination by Mr. Stock 	1196
20	APPLICANT EXHIBIT IDENTIFIED AD	MITTED
21	29 Filing in the Hardin Solar I 1072	
22	and Hardin Solar II Docket	
<b>८</b> ८	47 Property Value Impacts of 1129	
23	Commercial-Scale Solar Energy in Massachusetts	
24	and Rhode Island	
25		

				938
1		INDEX (Continue	d)	
2				
3	APP]	LICANT EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4	48	Zoning Chart for Lapeer, Michigan	1133	
5	F.0	-	1120	1160
6	50	Correspondence from Mary McClinton Clay dated September 3, 2021, to	1139	1160
7		Senator Paul Hornback		
8	55	Spec Sheet for the Solar Ware Ninja	972	1080
9				
10	CIT	IZENS EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
11	3	Direct Testimony by	1102	1159
12		Mary McClinton Clay		
13	6	Direct Testimony of Angie Hanna	1161	1169
14	8	Direct Testimony of James Joseph Krajicek	1179	1199
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	11	Direct Testimony of Nicholas Pitstick	1170	1178
17	12	Direct Testimony of	IV-856	1080
		Robert Rand	1, 000	1000
18	18	WHO "Night Noise Guidelines	1023	1025
19		for Europe" Rand's exhibits		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	l			

939 1 Friday Morning Session, March 11, 2022. 2 3 4 ALJ HICKS: Let's go ahead and go back on 5 the record. Starting again this morning in Case No. 6 7 12-117-EL-BGN, Kingwood Solar I LLC, I am going to just run down the list here so we can get -- know who 8 9 is on for each party to the case. Just, again, name, 10 quick appearance, starting with the Applicant. 11 MR. SETTINERI: Yes, good morning, your 12 Honors. For Kingwood Solar I LLC, Michael Settineri, 13 Jonathan Stock, Anna Sanyal, and the Nathaniel Morse 14 appearing today. Thank you. 15 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. 16 On behalf of Board Staff. 17 MS. BAIR: Thank you, your Honor. 18 behalf of Board Staff, Jodi Bair, Vern Margard, AND 19 Shaun Lyons, Assistant Attorneys General. 20 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. 2.1 Ohio Farm Bureau Federation. 22 MS. MILAM: Good morning, your Honors. 23 Amy Milam on behalf of Ohio Farm Bureau Federation. 24 Thank you. ALJ HICKS: 25 Board of Trustees of Cedarville Township.

940 1 MR. BROWN: Good morning, your Honor. 2 Daniel Brown for Cedarville Township. 3 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. Board of Trustees of Xenia Township. 4 5 MR. DUNN: Good morning. Kevin Dunn and 6 David Watkins for Xenia Township. 7 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. Board of Trustees 8 of Miami Township. 9 MR. SLONE: Yes. Lee Slone, Dinsmore & 10 Shohl, for Miami Township Board of Trustees. 11 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. 12 In Progress. 13 MR. HART: Good morning, your Honors. 14 John Hart for In Progress LLC. 15 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. 16 Tecumseh Land Preservation Association. 17 MR. SWANEY: Thank you, your Honor. 18 Charles Swaney on behalf of Tecumseh Land Preservation Association. 19 20 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. 2.1 And Citizens for Greene Acres and the 2.2 associated Intervenors. 23 MR. VAN KLEY: Good morning. This is

ALJ HICKS: And on behalf of the Greene

Jack Van Kley of Van Kley & Walker.

24

County Board of Commissioners.

MR. SHAMP: This is Jesse Shamp on behalf of the Board Commissioners.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you. It looks like we have a full house ready to go, and I will turn it over to Judge Williams.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you, Judge Hicks.

Welcome back, Mr. Rand.

THE WITNESS: Good morning.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you for rejoining us today. You presented yesterday. There were substantial revisions to your testimony and, as a result, we decided to bring you back today. That way all the parties would have an opportunity to review the revisions and make effective use of your time relative to cross-examination.

I will remind you you remain under oath from your appearance yesterday. I am going to invite your attorney to ask the credentialing questions relative to the fact that your testimony would remain true and accurate and the like, and then Attorney Settineri will clarify at least one set of revisions from yesterday before we commence his cross.

Mr. Van Kley.

MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor.

2.1

ROBERT RAND

being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified further as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

By Mr. Van Kley:

2.1

2.2

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Rand.
- A. Good morning, Mr. Van Kley.
- Q. Before I ask you about your testimony and whether it's accurate or not, can you just briefly in a sentence or two explain why you made the corrections yesterday.
- A. The corrections had to be made because of revisions to the site layout which I was working with when I submitted my direct testimony. After I submitted my direct testimony, I received a document called -- which I am calling the Odom supplemental which had substantial changes in layout to the proposed facility. And so I had to review those and make some minor changes to numbers and wording related to my conclusions about what I now presume to be the layout going forward.
- Q. Okay. Now, if I asked you the questions in your testimony today and with the corrections you've made, would your answers be the same as they are written in your testimony?

1 Α. Yes. 2 MR. VAN KLEY: All right. At this time, 3 your Honor, Mr. Rand is ready for cross-examination. ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley. 4 5 Mr. Settineri, I understand you want to 6 clarify at least one of the revisions from yesterday 7 as part of your cross, sir? 8 MR. SETTINERI: Yes, your Honor. Just 9 to -- since we are -- we and the Farm Bureau have 10 signed the stip, should the other parties confirm 11 they have no cross before I go? 12 ALJ WILLIAMS: That's a fair question. 13 That's how we've been doing things. That would be 14 fantastic. 15 So we will begin with Miami Township. 16 MR. SLONE: No cross. Thank you, your 17 Honor. 18 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you. 19 Next we have Greene County. 20 MR. SHAMP: No cross-examination. 21 ALJ WILLIAMS: Xenia Township. 2.2 MR. DUNN: No cross-examination. 23 ALJ WILLIAMS: Cedarville Township. 24 MR. BROWN: No cross, your Honor. 25 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you. In Progress.

MR. HART: Your Honor, we have no cross.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Tecumseh.

MR. SWANEY: No cross, your Honor.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Farm Bureau.

MS. MILAM: None, your Honor. Thank you.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. Mr. Settineri,

Staff will go after you.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor.

Good morning, Mr. Rand.

THE WITNESS: Good morning.

11 MR. SETTINERI: Just one thing I wanted

12 to clarify from yesterday were your revisions on page

13 | 11 of your testimony.

THE WITNESS: All right.

MR. SETTINERI: If you could walk through

those revisions again, I would appreciate that,

17 please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

16

24

18 THE WITNESS: Okay. I had a revision on

19 page 11, line 17. I needed to replace the sentence

20 | "Predicted noise levels and comparisons to the site

21 L90 sound levels are provided in EXHIBIT K Table 1

22 and Figures 1 and 2" with the following sentence,

23 Predicted noise levels for the 50 homes listed in

the Odom supplemental showed 44 homes with predicted

25 | noise levels 34 dBA and up, that is 50 dBA and higher

```
over the average background L90 of 29 dBA."
```

2.1

MR. SETTINERI: Can I interrupt? I'm sorry to interrupt you there.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. SETTINERI: So I followed you to that point, "and higher over the," and then I lost after "the."

THE WITNESS: "Average background L90."

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Continue, please.

THE WITNESS: "Of 29 dBA, and 14 homes with predicted noise levels 39 dBA and up, that is 10 dBA and higher over the average background L90 of 29 dBA, consistent with widespread complaints."

MR. SETTINERI: And going back, you said that last one, "higher over the average L90," was it "background of 29 dBA"? Yeah.

THE WITNESS: It should read "that is 10 dBA and higher over the average background L90 of 29 dBA."

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. And if I may, I am going to just read that entire sentence just to do a double double-check. And so line 17, page 11, of your direct testimony, the sentence that starts with "Predicted noise levels" should know read "Predicted noise levels for the 50 homes listed in the Odom

supplemental showed 44 homes with predicted noise levels 34 dBA and up, that is 5 dBA and higher over the average background L90 of 29 dBA, and 14 homes with predicted noise levels of 39 dBA and up comma" --

2.1

THE WITNESS: There's no "of" there.

It -- there could be an "of" there. That doesn't change the meaning.

MR. SETTINERI: All right. "Predicted noise levels 39 dBA and up, that is 10 dBA and higher over the average background LE -- L90 of 29 dBA, consistent with widespread complaints."

THE WITNESS: I think you have it.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. And then everything else would be stricken in your original sentence. All right. Thank you.

I think that was the only revision you had on that page; is that right?

THE WITNESS: No, that's not correct. I had a revision on page 11, line 19. Please correct the phrase "some 39 to 42 dBA," please replace that with "approximately 40 dBA."

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. And so that sentence at 19 would read "This review found that predicted noise levels would be approximately 40 dBA

```
947
     at 500 feet depending on the number of inverters
 1
 2
     nearby the home."
 3
                 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
 4
                 MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Any other
 5
     revisions on that page?
                 THE WITNESS: No other revisions on that
 6
 7
     page.
 8
                 MR. SETTINERI: All right. Thank you for
 9
     clarifying.
10
                 All right. Your Honor, may I proceed?
11
                 ALJ WILLIAMS: Please.
12
                 MR. SETTINERI: Okay.
13
14
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
15
     By Mr. Settineri:
16
                 And again, good morning, Mr. Rand. I
            Ο.
17
     know we met before. My name is Mike Settineri and
18
     representing Kingwood Solar I LLC. Your only
19
     experience, sir, analyzing noise from a utility-scale
20
     solar facility is from your visit to the Hardin Solar
2.1
     project in 2021, correct?
2.2
            Α.
                That's correct.
23
            Q.
                And you've not taken noise measurements
24
     at smaller solar arrays, correct?
25
            Α.
                That's correct.
```

- Q. You've never used software to conduct noise modeling for a utility-scale solar facility, correct?
- A. That's -- that's correct. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. I'm hesitating because I've used software to evaluate the noise levels versus distance for the Kingwood project, so I've reviewed those using software to look at levels versus distance, and it is a form of modeling.
- MR. SETTINERI: Okay. And, your Honor, I would just move to strike everything after the word "correct."
- ALJ WILLIAMS: I will let him explain this answer.

Mr. Rand, if you could be succinct in your response to counsel's -- the questions being proposed by counsel on cross, that will avoid you providing longer answers that are met with motions to strike and then striking the testimony and then having to go over that again on redirect. So try to respond to the questions succinctly. Thank you.

Please proceed, Mr. Settineri.

Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Mr. Rand, you've not

been retained to work on any other solar project than the Kingwood Solar project, correct?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. And you've not conducted any research on the sound power output for inverters for utility-scale facilities, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And turning to page 3 of your direct testimony, I just want to look at your answer there, page 3, answer 12, and tell me when you're there.
  - A. I'm there.
- Q. All right. The question says "What studies have you conducted in order to prepare your testimony?" Do you see that?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. And the studies that you've conducted to prepare your testimony would be the two measurements of operational sound from the Hardin I Solar project and one measurement of pile driving for Hardin II Solar project, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And that's summarized in Exhibit L to your testimony, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Do you consider your measurements

at Hardin Solar I and Hardin Solar II to be a study?

A. Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. There are different manufacturers of inverters, correct?
  - A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. Okay. But specific -- and specific to the Acentech report attached to the application as Appendix K, you have no reason to challenge the Acentech sound power data used in that report, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, other than the information that is in Section 5.1 and 5.2 of your report attached as Exhibit L to your direct testimony, you've not done any investigation into the sound power output of tracker motors, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And the information in Section 5 point -- strike that question, please.
- The information in Section 5.2 of your report at Exhibit L references two technical reports, correct?
- A. If you would be so kind, I would like to open my report so I can follow along with you.
- Q. You are more than welcome to, sir. If

you can turn to Exhibit L of your direct testimony.

- A. Okay. I have got that open.
- Q. If you could turn to Section 5.2, page 8 of 9 of the paper copy.
  - A. Okay.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. In Section 5.2 you reference two technical reports, a South Branch Solar Project Noise Evaluation and Gravel Pit Solar and there is no -- a VHB report. Do you see that?
- 10 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. And those consist of noise studies for two solar projects, correct?
  - A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. And those were available on the internet, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. For this year, 2022, how many projects approximately for clients have you worked on?
- 20 A. Two.
- Q. Okay. And for 2021, how many projects
  for clients have you worked on, or did you work on?
- A. About a dozen.
- Q. Okay. If you could turn to page 12 of your direct testimony, so we are going to flip back,

lines 4 to 11, actually honing in on line 10, there
is a sentence there that states "These capital E

Engineering methods support post-operational
evaluations of tonal audibility, but can be difficult
to factor into pre-construction planning." Do you

A. I do.

see that?

2.1

Q. Now, the word Engineering is capitalized. Why is that capitalized?

- A. It's capitalized in the standards.
- Q. Okay. All right. And so "the Engineering methods" there refer back to the standards that you've highlighted in -- between lines 4 and 10; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And those are -- are those measurement standards, Mr. Rand?
  - A. Those are tonal evaluation standards.
- Q. All right. And so going back to line 10 to 11, you note that those -- I will paraphrase, the tonal evaluation standards, those support post-operational evaluations of tonal availability, but you would agree it's difficult to factor a pre -- those accurate -- pre -- those tonal evaluation -- evaluations into pre-construction planning; is that

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. To achieve tonal audibility for the Kingwood Solar project, you recommend a 1-mile setback; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And that would be at lines 20 to 21 of your testimony at page 12, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And is that setback from a property line?
- 12 A. That's correct.
  - Q. And then what -- from the property line, where would that next -- what would that go back to specifically?
    - A. I don't understand your question.
- Q. Okay. It's a setback from -- for
  example, is it a setback from a project fence line to
  a non-participating residence property line, or is it
  from an inverter to a non-participating property
  line? So when you say a 1-mile setback, where is the
  starting point and the ending point for that setback?
- A. It would be from the nearest inverter to the property line.
- Q. Thank you. So as an alternative to a

setback, you believe operational -- strike that.

Rather than using a setback, you believe tonal audibility can be mitigated through the use of noise barriers or noise enclosures, correct?

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And as a more general statement, operational noise from the Kingwood Solar project, you believe, can be mitigated through the use of noise barriers or noise enclosures, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. If you could turn to page 13 of your direct testimony, lines 11 to 12, please. Tell me when you're there.
  - A. I'm there.
- Q. Page 13, lines 11 to 12, you say "To be consistent with zoning and for respect of rural residential property use for outdoor amenity, noise criteria should be at the property line, not at the house"; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And what zoning are you referencing in that sentence?
  - A. It would be general principles of zoning.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware that Xenia Township has a noise standard for residential land of 55 dBA

at night?

2.1

2.2

- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Let me ask you this, do you believe that if someone using their yard for outdoor amenity purposes -- strike that.

If someone is using their yard for outdoor amenity purposes and a farmer is plowing a nearby field, do you believe that the farmer should stop plowing that field while the resident is using the yard for outdoor amenities?

- A. No, I don't.
- Q. All right. And if a neighbor is having a cookout in their backyard in late afternoon and the neighbor next door's property line is 50 yards away, should that neighbor who's 50 yards away not mow their lawn during that cookout?
  - A. Can you rephrase the question, please?
- Q. Sure. Do you believe that if someone is using their yard for an outdoor amenity that a neighbor should stop mowing their lawn if they were mowing at that time?
  - A. No, I do not.
- Q. Okay. So more specifically, for example, if I was having a cookout at my house in my backyard and my neighbor is less than 50 yards from my house,

that neighbor should be allowed to mow their lawn during my cookout, correct?

- A. No, that's not correct.
- Q. Okay. Well, let me try it again. Just strike that. We'll just move on.

Now, you're aware that the World Health
Organization "2009 Night Noise Guidelines for Europe"
utilize a L night, outside for their -- for its
"Night Noise Guidelines for Europe"?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

- Q. And that is an A-weighted long-term sound level determined over all of the night periods of a year in which the night is eight hours; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So that would be a form of an Leq, correct?
  - A. That -- that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And the L night, outside as used in the WHO "2009 Night Noise Guidelines for Europe," that would be measured at the exterior of the house; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And the W -- the World Health
  Organization's "2009 Night Noise Guidelines for

Europe" do not utilize an L90 for the WHO's recommended night noise guidelines, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Turn to page 13, answer 16, line 17. So page 13 of your direct testimony, answer 16, line 17.
  - A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Thank you. You state there "I conducted trained listening and acquired noise measurements for inverters, tracking motors, and construction noise from pile driving." What is "trained listening"?
- A. It's the ability to detect and discern different types of sound acquired over many years of experience.
- Q. And when you are engaged in trained listening, how does that differ from the experience of someone who's not trained, is not a trained listener and is simply engaged in normal daily activities?
  - A. There could be many differences.
- Q. What are -- please name -- what are those differences?
- A. Someone who is not listening purposely as part of their job might not detect certain sounds.

They might not even notice they're there.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. They might not be able to tell what direction they are coming from or what equipment is producing them.
- Q. Page 14, line 14, of your direct testimony, if you could turn to that, please, page 14, line 14.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. You -- so you took measurements at the Hardin Solar facility; is that correct? Right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And you took measurements really at two locations; is that correct?
- A. I took locations at three, ML1, ML2, and ML3.
  - Q. Were ML1 and ML3 at the same location?
  - A. No. They were across the street from each other.
    - Q. And -- all right. And when you took -- referring to your ML1 and back to your testimony at line 14, you note that -- you state there "The ML1 location was chosen to observe and measure inverter noise at the western edge of the Hardin I footprint and with a clear view to an inverter to the south."

Did I read that correctly?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you used range binoculars to determine the distance of that inverter; is that right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, you weren't able to determine how many inverters were contributing to the sound pressure you recorded at that location; is that correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you don't know the exact -you know, the exact location of the one inverter you
  used your binoculars on but, you don't know the
  location of any other inverters.
- 16 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. And now in your -- if you could turn back to Exhibit L, Mr. Rand, in your direct testimony.
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. In your report. Yeah, let's look at Figure 1 while we're here.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. So Figure 1 shows a pin ML2 at the bottom of the green box, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. If I go to the north, I see two pins close to each other, ML1 and ML3, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So now, your drawing shows arrays to the north, to the west of the green box, to the east, south, but let me ask you this, where did you get the background drawing for this figure?
- A. The -- there are two -- two -- two sources, one for the Hardin I and one for the Hardin II.
- Q. Let me ask you this, did you create this entire figure yourself?
  - A. I overlaid those sources scaling them into Google Earth.
  - Q. And so just because I don't understand how to do that, so you took a Google Earth picture, we'll say, right? Let me back up.
    - How did you go about creating this document so we just understand?
  - A. There are figures in the Hardin I and
    Hardin II documents which I made copies of and then
    scaled them into Google Earth Pro.
- Q. How do you scale something into Google
  Earth Pro?

- A. By setting transparency to roughly halfway and aligning roads, fields, and other landmarks.
- Q. Okay. All right. Do you know the date of the documents that you used to scale this in?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. And those were preliminary layouts that you used though, correct?
  - A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. And those would have been from the applications for those projects; is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Did you review the final engineering drawings for either project?
- 15 A. I did not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

16

17

- Q. Now, when you were at the facility, specifically let's focus on ML1, did you confirm where all of the arrays were installed?
- 19 A. I did not.
- Q. Okay. Were arrays in the field to the north of ML3?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Was that area under construction then as well?
- 25 A. No. That area was built.

- Q. What about then to the south of ML3?
- A. Could you rephrase your question?
- Q. Sure. Let me help you. So what does the green box represent on your drawing here?
- 5 A. That represents the footprint of Hardin 6 I.
- 7 Q. Okay. Now, has Hardin I been constructed 8 yet?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

- Q. Okay. So when you went to ML1 -- and that would be looking south from ML1, correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. So you're saying the green area was fully constructed and had arrays in it; is that right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you go around the project area to ascertain that that was correct?
- 19 A. I did.
- Q. Okay. Now, looking to the north from
  ML3, okay, I see a field with orange and yellow lines
  throughout and gray, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Was that area constructed as well when you visited the site?

A. Yes. However, the shape that I saw was not exactly this shape that I see here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. Now, in your Figure 1 description below the picture it says "Orange and red marks for Hardin II facility in construction." What does that mean?
- A. Those referred to those horizontal lines
  that are going across in the sections above and below
  Hardin I.
  - Q. Now, would those be -- would that be a layout of panels and inverters?
    - A. That's my understanding, yes.
  - Q. Okay. So anywhere on Figure 1 where I have yellow or call it orange lines, I guess, with red dots in them, those would all be areas that would be under construction, correct?
    - A. That -- that's what I observed. If you look at that letter A, that was an example of an area under construction.
  - Q. And where is the letter A? Oh, there it is. By ML2, correct?
    - A. Correct.
- Q. Did you -- going over to the yellow pin by LOC4, did you check to see if it was under construction?

A. I did not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Okay. And then going back to north of ML3, then everything in that area would have been under construction, correct?
  - A. That's not correct.
- Q. All right. What part of that area was -let me ask you this, was there any part of the area
  north of ML3 that was operational when you visited
  that site?
- 10 A. Yes. The area directly to the north of 11 ML3 was fully constructed.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- A. As to its operational status during the survey, I couldn't say. However, the panels did tilt when I took the measurement at ML3.
- 16 Q. And what do you mean by tilt?
  - A. In other words, they were tilting from east to west.
  - Q. Okay. Now, continuing on from that drawing, please, on page 3 of 9, you note that the inverters appear to be TMEIC Solar Ware Ninja (skid-mounted) and the panel racking appears to be Nextracker Horizon Single Axis Tracker (slew-geared).
- 24 Do you see that?
- 25 A. I do.

- Q. How did you determine that the inverters appear to be TMEIC Solar Ware Ninja inverters?
  - A. By visual inspection.

2.1

- Q. And what -- were you -- how did you -- what was your visual inspection that you did?
- A. I blew up my photograph and looked at the outline of the inverters and then compared it to other inverters on the market.
- Q. Okay. Did you look at all inverters on the market?
  - A. I couldn't say.
- Q. Okay. So -- and then turning to the tracking -- racking, how did you determine that appears to be a Nextracker Horizon Single Axis Tracker?
- 16 A. I reviewed the project documents.
  - Q. And what project document did you review?
    - A. I have a list of them in my testimony.
  - Q. Okay. Let's -- and could you show me where that is just to help me, please?
  - A. Let's see, on page 5, line 13, OPSB project documents for the Hardin Solar Energy, LLC.

    And as I'm thinking about this question, I'm not sure I know exactly where it was that I found that determination, but it was during the process of

reviewing documents for these projects.

- Q. Okay. Would -- would you have maybe discerned that information from the Hardin Solar II docket?
  - A. That's possible.
  - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- A. I can't be sure.
- Q. All right. Okay. Could you -- what is Exhibit J to your testimony, please? Let's turn to Exhibit J. Let me know when you're there. Are you there, sir?
  - A. I am getting there, thank you.
  - Q. Okay. Take your time.
- A. There we go. This is a document produced by Invenergy for Hardin Solar III.
  - Q. Okay. What was this document from?
- 17 A. I found it on the internet.
- Q. Okay. Let's -- while we are at it, let's
  just go to the very first page. Do you see the
  heading "Hardin Solar III Energy Center Virtual
  Public Information Meeting, January 5, 2021"?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so would you understand this
  was from the Hardin III public information meeting
  for the project?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Are you aware that a public information meeting is held prior to the filing of an application for a project?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And it's possible that any kind of layout or project specifics could change between the public information meeting and the application being filed, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, in your testimony at line 14 -- sorry, page 14, line 11, there's a sentence "I referred also to EXHIBIT J for an understanding of the Hardin Solar build-out." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. So what part of this document did you use to inform your understanding of the Hardin Solar build-out?
- A. If you look at page -- let's see. The pages aren't numbered. There is a map, project map. If you go down through the document, there's a page that says "project map" in the -- and then there is a page after that that shows the map of the Solar III build-out.
- Q. Okay. Now, Hardin Solar III is a

different project than Hardin Solar I, correct?

- A. That's my understanding.
- Q. And Hardin Solar III is a different project from Hardin Solar II, correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So this map would have nothing to do with Hardin Solar I or Hardin Solar II, correct?
  - A. No, that's not correct.
  - Q. And why isn't that correct?
- A. In my review of the Hardin facility, I wanted to understand how the solar build-out was being conducted, so I referred to -- found this to get a better understanding of it.
- Q. But let me ask you what does this drawing have to do with the Hardin Solar I project and Hardin Solar II project?
- A. For me it -- I looked at Hardin Solar as a -- as a progression of solar build-outs.
- Q. Did you utilize the layout shown in the preliminary information -- I should say the project map for the public information meeting for Hardin Solar II? Did you use that in preparing Exhibit L of your report attached to your direct testimony?
  - A. We -- looking at Hardin Solar III?
- Q. Correct.

- A. And you asked about Hardin Solar II?
- Q. That's correct.

2.1

- A. I don't understand your question. Could you repeat that?
- Q. Sure. Did you use the information on this map to prepare Exhibit L that's attached to your direct testimony?
- A. I used it as visual advisement when I was preparing the figure.
- Q. And what would -- what part of that visual of this figure would inform your visual advisement?
- A. Just the general location of fields, roads, and where the III build-out was.
  - Q. And why would the Hardin Solar III build-out, why would that have anything to do with your measurements at Hardin Solar I and II?
- A. Well, I was looking at the Hardin Solar

  I. I was confused because -- initially because
  the -- the layout of Hardin Solar I did not include
  panels north of ML1 and ML3. In investigating where
  panels arrived from, I found a document discussing a
  transfer of either area, assets, land, however you
  might put it, from Solar II to Solar I which, as best
  I could tell, involved that area north of ML1. That

question prompted me to look at ML3 to see if ML3 was also involved in any transfer or visual transfer or any other types of relationship between the projects.

- Q. And the answer to your -- I guess I say your question though is isn't it true that there has been no transfer related to Hardin Solar III, correct?
  - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And -- okay. All right. Okay. If you turn to page 18 of your testimony, please. Let's go back there.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. All right. Line 7 there is a sentence that states "I understand the Hardin Solar inverter units are rated at 4 MVA which are similar in rating and likely sound output to the proposed 3.6 megawatt Sungrow," and I will skip the model name, "inverters for the Kingwood Solar facility," correct? And I didn't read that perfectly but that's the gist of it.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So how did you determine the Hardin Solar inverter units are rated at 4 MVA?
- A. My review of the -- let's see, if we go
  to my Exhibit L. The TMEIC Solar Ware Ninja,
  assuming that they are that model, are rated at 4

1 MVA.

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- 2 And that's in Exhibit L, I believe you Q. 3 said?
- Α. 4 Yes.
- And where is -- Exhibit L, what are you 5 Ο. 6 referring to there? Are you referring to your bullet 7 points?
  - That's correct. Α.
- 9 Ο. All right. Now, the bullet point I am 10 looking at, just to be clear, is page 3 of 9. 11 states "Facility inverters appear to be the TMEIC 12 Solar Ware Ninja." Is that what you are referring 13 to?
  - Α. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. So just to be clear for the record, there's nothing in your report that says that the TMEIC Solar Ware Ninja is rated for, I think you said, 4 MVA, correct?
    - Α. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. If we could look at -- let's see here, do you have a copy --
- 2.2 MR. SETTINERI: And, your Honor, I would like to mark an exhibit when I find it here. 23
- 24 Kingwood Exhibit 55, please, your Honor. At this
- 25 time I would like to mark as Kingwood Exhibit 5 a

Proceedings - Volume V 972 1 spec sheet for the Solar Ware Ninja. 2 MR. VAN KLEY: 55, right, instead of 5? 3 MR. SETTINERI: Kingwood Exhibit 55, yep. ALJ WILLIAMS: So marked. 4 5 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 6 (By Mr. Settineri) Do you have that Q. 7 exhibit open in front of you or with you, Mr. Rand? Is that the one that was sent last night? 8 Α. 9 Ο. It was sent just this morning. 10 Α. Let me see if I can find it. 11 MR. VAN KLEY: It's in the link, Rob, 12 that I sent you this morning. 13 ALJ WILLIAMS: Let's go off the record while we find the exhibit. 14 (Discussion off the record.) 15 16 ALJ WILLIAMS: Back on the record, 17 please. 18 (By Mr. Settineri) All right. Do you Q. have that before you, what's been marked as Kingwood 19 20 Exhibit 55? 2.1 Α. T do. 22 Would you agree with me that's a spec Q. 23 sheet for the Solar Ware Ninja?

Turn to the second page. Do you see the

24

25

Α.

Q.

Yes.

rated power? At the top line there was some rated power, two rows of rated power?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you see that the range -I'll -- ranges, and I'll do both rows, ranges from
  730 kil -- kW to 920 kW?
  - A. Yes, I see that.
  - Q. And that would be kilowatts, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And that can be converted to kVA,
- 11 | correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 12 A. Yes, it can.
- Q. Okay. And typically the kVA is going to be very similar to the kilowatt rating, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, pretty close.
- Q. Okay. Let's turn then to the application itself. If you could turn -- actually just go to the -- yeah, Appendix J of the application. And just tell me when you're there.
- A. I got an Appendix J. It says
  "Representative Equipment Standards"?
- Q. Yes. If you could turn in that figure, and I have the paper copy, but you'll see an TMEIC Solar Ware Samurai Series inverter?
- 25 ALJ WILLIAMS: PDF page 12 of 24.

1 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor.

- A. Okay. I have got that.
- Q. And that's a spec sheet for the Solar Ware Samurai, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Yeah. And that's a TMEIC model, correct, or series?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. And do you see there the rated power for the various models ranges from 2,500 kilowatts to 30 -- 3,360 kilowatts and also ranges then 2,500 kVA to 3,360 kVA, correct?
    - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. So then just turning the page -- or next spec sheet would be a Sungrow model?

  And that's I have 3 -- SG3425 model number. Do you see that?
- 18 A. I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

- Q. All right. And if you turn to the second page of that spec sheet, do you see the AC output power rating of 3,425 kVA up to 3,600 kVA?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So after going through this
  material, you would agree with me that the inverters
  that you -- at the Hardin Solar project that you

believe are the TMEIC Solar Ware Ninja have much lower rated power, correct?

2.1

2.2

- A. I would agree the numbers that I have just looked at it's lower power.
- Q. Okay. All right. So for the Hardin Solar project, you would agree that that project is using much smaller inverters than the Kingwood Solar project, correct, in terms of rated power?
- A. If those are the ones that they are using, they would have lower power.
- Q. Okay. And that's -- the model -- the spec sheet we looked at, that's the model you believe based on your analysis is what's being used there, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Then just to be clear for the record, going back to line -- page 18, line 7, okay, having looked at the information, your understanding now is that the Hardin Solar inverter units are rated at less than a thousand kVA and -- and much less than the Sungrow inverters, correct?
- A. Based on the information I just saw, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And for this same facility has the same nameplate capacity, let's say 100 megawatts,

right? If I was to use a smaller rated inverter versus a higher rated inverter, that would mean that I would need more of the smaller rated inverters for the project, correct?

A. That's correct.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. Construction was ongoing when you took your measurement at location ML1, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. It's not standard practice in acoustics to take operational sound measurements of a source with construction noise ongoing in the background, correct?
  - A. That's not correct.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask this question, you are aware that wind turbine manufacturers conduct tests to determine the frequencies for sound power output?
  - A. I don't understand your question.
- Q. Well, let me ask you this, assume that you and I are -- have a company that makes wind turbines and we want to come up with a sound power output profile that we can provide to developers.

  How would -- how would we do that in our -- with our wind turbines?
- A. Typically use an international standardized C61 400-11 to conduct a test of the

1 | sound power.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

- Q. Okay. And would you be taking measurements --
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. -- during the test? Okay. All right.

    And would -- if we were doing that test at our company in my hypothetical, would we conduct those measurements if construction noise was ongoing in the background?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Okay. And why not?
- 12 A. Because it could contaminate the noise 13 levels.
- Q. Okay. At page 16, line 1, you say that
  the "Distant inverter fans (tonal) from Hardin I were
  faintly audible to the north." Do you see that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. What do you mean by faintly audible?
  - A. I could just detect them when I had my head turned to the north.
- Q. Okay. And let me ask you, when you were doing that, was that through trained listening?
- 23 A. That's right.
- Q. Okay. And at page 4 of that same page, you use -- yeah. Let's see, line 4 -- bear with me.

1 Let me strike that and find the right reference here.

And while I am looking for that, on page 17, line 2, you say that "Hardin II pile-driving and grading construction noise 8,000 to 9,000 feet south was audible." What do you mean by audible there?

A. I could hear it.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And was -- did you also -- was that something that you would attribute to trained listening?
  - A. I think the average person could hear it.
- Q. Thank you for clarifying. And I'm trying to find a reference here in your testimony as to highly audible, but I will just ask the question, what does "highly audible" mean to you?
- A. Highly audible means that the noise is prominent.
- Q. Okay. So if something is faintly audible, do you believe that would lead to a complaint?
- A. Not generally, no.
- Q. Okay. All right. Do you consider an L90 plus 5 exceedance to be audible, faintly audible, or highly audible?
- A. That's somewhere in the range of audible to highly audible.

- O. Okay. Page 18, line 14.
- A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

18

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. And before I jump there, I want to just ask one other question that just jumped into my head here, I believe you've -- you use in your testimony a project average nighttime ambient background of 28 dBA; is that correct?
  - A. That's not correct.
- Q. And what is the average background L90 that you use in your testimony?
- 11 A. 29 dBA.
- Q. Okay. And so if I add 5 to that, that takes me to 34 dBA, right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. And you would believe that a 34 dBA sound level would be audible to highly audible?
- 17 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. Now going back to page 18, please.
- 19 A. Okav.
  - Q. There's a reference in -- I'll just read the sentence -- well, it's a long sentence, so I'll skip it. Line 15, there's a phrase -- you say "but from survey experience." And take a second to read the whole sentence if that helps you.
- 25 A. Okay.

Q. What is the survey experience you are referencing there?

- A. I've been working in acoustics and noise measurement since 1980.
- Q. Now, you've not reviewed a final layout for the Kingwood Solar project yet, correct?
- A. That's correct. I've reviewed the Odom supplemental.
- Q. Okay. When you say "Odom supplemental," to be clear though -- well, let me ask you this, I notice you mentioned Odom supplemental in your direct testimony. What do you mean when you refer to the "Odom supplemental"?
- A. That's a document produced by Acentech reviewing the changes to the site layout which I received a couple days ago.
- Q. So just to be clear for the record, because I have to say when you say "Odom supplemental," I thought you were referring to the Odom supplemental testimony. Let's go to page 11.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Of what?
- MR. SETTINERI: Page 11 of his direct testimony.
- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) And looking at your revisions there specifically, Mr. Rand.

A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. And so your revision said "Predicted noise levels for the 50 homes listed in the Odom supplemental," what were you referring to there when you said "Odom supplemental"?
- A. This is supplemental testimony of Alex Odom.
- Q. Okay. And for the record I believe that would be Kingwood Exhibit 11. And can you tell me specifically then while we're there what exactly were you referring to -- when you say "50 homes listed in the Odom supplemental," what part of the Odom supplemental testimony were you referring to?
  - A. Attachment B.
- Q. Okay. And then if you could turn to page 25 of your direct testimony and tell me when you're there.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. You had revisions there too, so the sentence now reads, last full paragraph, "The estimated noise levels at 50 homes in the Odom supplemental." What are you referring to there when you say Odom supplemental?
  - A. The Attachment B in that exhibit.
  - Q. All right. When I asked you about the

final layout for the Kingwood Solar project, just to repeat, you haven't reviewed a final layout for the project, correct?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.2

- Q. All right. But you're saying that you did review a document -- so you mentioned, I believe, when Mr. Van Kley presented you on direct that you had reviewed a layout drawing that had been provided to you; is that right?
- A. I've reviewed the initial Exhibit -Appendix K, I think it is, of the application and
  then reviewed this Odom supplemental testimony.
- Q. Okay. But I believe you testified earlier that you had received a layout that had been changed; is that right?
- A. I'm referring to the -- this Odom supplemental.
- Q. Okay. Is there a layout attached to that?
- 20 A. There are two attachments -- well, I 21 guess there are two figures called Attachment A --
  - Q. Okay.
- A. -- which shows -- yes.
- Q. I'm sorry to interrupt. Go ahead. All right. So these -- these are sound contour maps,

right?

2.1

2.2

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you are saying that based on -- this is the layout that you looked at?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Did you receive any other layout drawings since you submitted your direct testimony in this proceeding?
  - A. I may have.
- Q. Can you -- do you know which drawings -- what drawings those were?
- A. I would have to look through the documents to see if I can -- I thought I had received something that showed where solar -- solar panels were but this was my primary reference.
- Q. Okay. That's fine. For testimony today obviously you are limited to what's in front of you which is your testimony, okay, and any exhibits. Turn to page 20 of your testimony, please. And I will direct you to line 16 -- or actually, let's see here, well, line 16 through 21. You discuss the locations from Acentech's noise report; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And you say that, line 19, "I

visited the actual meter locations, as described by
neighbors, at these points, which appear consistent
with the facility noise study, and approximate
distance to the center of the nearest road shown
(determined by measurement in Google Earth)." How
did you determine -- what -- who -- what neighbors
did you have discussions with?

- A. I met with Joe Krajicek, sorry, I am probably pronouncing that wrong, and Nicole Marvin.
- Q. Okay. And were those in person discussions?
- 12 A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

13

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. And how did they assist you?
- A. Joe toured the site with me to show me to the locations.
- Q. Okay. And did Joe have pictures of the actual sound equipment that Acentech used?
  - A. No, he did not.
  - Q. Okay. So -- all right. And then did you do any -- so Joe Krajicek pointed out to you where he believed the locations 1, 2, and 3 were, correct?
    - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. What else did you do then to ascertain the meter locations?
- 25 A. I took pictures of the -- of the

locations and then looked at the locations and confirmed their approximate location in Google Earth.

- Q. And you said you confirmed their approximate locations in Google Earth to what?
  - A. By visual inspection.
  - Q. Your visual inspection.
  - A. That's right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Okay. So you're -- sitting here today you are not certain of these exact locations of the Acentech meter location, correct?
- A. I'm certain in their report, I believe, they reported them.
  - Q. But in regards to your visual inspections, you're not certain as to exactly where those meters were placed, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Objection.
- 18 ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Van Kley.
- 19 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor -- I
- 20 believe -- sorry. I believe the witness answered the question, your Honor.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. And, Rob, if you
- 23 | could slow down just a little bit.
- 24 ALJ WILLIAMS: We'll overrule the
- 25 | objection. Please continue.

MR. SETTINERI: Ms. Gibson, did you get that answer?

COURT REPORTER: Yes.

- Q. Turn to page 24. At page 24 you're -- question 26 is "Have you reviewed the noise standard proposed by Kingwood Solar for its project," right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And -- okay. And you say yes. And you refer to page 3, Appendix K. I guess what is the noise standard proposed for the Kingwood Solar project?
- A. My understanding they are using a differential of 5 dBA over an average Leq.
  - Q. Do you understand the difference between the design goal and a standard?
    - A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. Okay. What's the difference?
- A. The standard is usually bona fide in law, but sometimes the word is used interchangeably.
- Q. And are you aware for wind turbines for facilities greater than 50 megawatts in Ohio that the noise standard is an average date and time, ambient, Leq plus 5 dBA?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, sound power output can change

between inverter manufacturers and model, correct?

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So -- so in regards to inverters, and specifically for the inverters that -- for the Kingwood Solar project, you agree that mitigation can be installed to minimize the risk of noise complaints, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And so, for example, noise barrier walls can provide roughly 7 to 12 dB noise reduction in sound levels for inverters, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And acoustical enclosures can reduce noise by up to 27 dBA, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. And are -- what is an acous -- acoustical enclosure to you?
    - A. It's typically --
    - Q. Let me strike that. Let me just -- I'm sorry to interrupt you but let me just -- what is an acoustical enclosure exam -- that would -- could reduce noise by up to 27 dBA?
  - A. Acoustical enclosure can be thought of as buildings. Many people know what a Butler building is. And they can provide much more than 27 dB of

1 noise control.

2.1

- Q. Are there different types of acoustical enclosures?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And, I'm curious, can -- I will say it in my own words, can something like a felt be used inside an enclosure or something like that to mitigate noise? And I will say from an inverter, to the extent you know.
- A. Typically enclosures controlling industrial noise equipment would be lined acoustically.
- Q. Okay. And how would -- in your experience what would that consist of when you would line something -- line an enclosure?
- A. From my experience it would be a fire-rated material, sometimes a leaded vinyl, or kind of a quilt material.
- Q. And again, curious, how would that be -generally how would you attach that kind of lining?

  I know you may have different, you know, types of
  materials you are attaching it to but how would you
  attach something like that?
  - A. With fasteners.
    - Q. And when you say "fasteners," is that a

screw? What would that be?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- A. It depends.
- Q. All right. It's commonly done though, correct?
  - A. I don't understand your question.
- Q. So using linings to reduce sound from industrial noise sources is -- that's a common mitigation practice; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware that Kingwood Solar will have a complaint resolution procedure in place for the project?
  - A. No, I'm not.
- Q. Okay. Do you think it would be beneficial for Kingwood Solar to have a complaint resolution procedure so that local residents can submit any complaint about the project including complaints about operational noise levels?
  - A. I can't answer that with a yes or no.
- Q. Do you believe it's beneficial for
  Kingwood Solar to have a mechanism to collect
  complaints from local residents about operational
  noise if that occurs?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. Now, mitigation of inverters can

be done prior to construction or after construction,
correct?

A. That's correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Okay. And if mitigation of an inverter related to an operational sound issue is done after construction, that would allow for the mitigation to be applied in a manner to specifically address the issue, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, you have not done any mitigation for inverters for solar farms, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Am -- I am correct that over the course of your career you have performed many mitigation analyses, I assume?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Turning to the Odom -- now, I

  don't know if you have it, but hopefully you do, the

  Odom supplemental, as you call it, which is Alex

  Odom's supplemental testimony, I believe it was

  previously marked as Kingwood Exhibit 11, do you have

  a copy of that with you?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. If you could go to Attachment B, and when you are there, just let me know.

A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. Look at the receptors -- let's look at NP47, NP48, and NP49. Do you see those receptors?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And if I look at the column under "revised layout," "project-only sound level,"
    "Nighttime," NP49 is at 44 dBA, NP48 is at 42 dBA, and NP47 is at 41 dBA. Do you see that?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Are these three receptors near the projects collect -- substation?
- A. I can't answer that with the materials in front of me.
  - Q. Okay. Let's turn -- well, so let's just give you a point of reference and maybe you already have it. If you could go -- well, let's see, let's go to Acentech's noise report. I think that might help us. There it is. Yeah. If you can turn -- it's page 9 in the paper copy on 14. There is a Figure 5 in that document. And this is Appendix K to the project application marked as Kingwood Exhibit 1.
    - A. Okay. Appendix K, what portion of it?
  - Q. It's Figure 5.
    - A. Figure 5.
- 25 | Q. Page 9 of 14.

A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. All right. So there -- let's just for point of reference, we see this is a "Figure 5: Non-participating Residences," you can see NP47, NP49, and NP48. And they are all to the northeast by like a blue dot. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. If you could then turn to -- there is at the very end of the report, I believe it's the last page, make sure here, is there a layout drawing site plan at the very end of that? Very last page actually -- well, yeah, it should be the last page.
  - A. I'm sorry. Did you ask a question?
- Q. Yes. There's a site plan that's -- it should be the last page. At least on the paper copy it is. Do you see that? It's Appendix K.
  - A. Yes. Okay. I found it.
- Q. Okay. Do you see the notation for the project substation and switchyard on this drawing?
  - A. Go in here. Yes, I see it.
- Q. Okay. And so going back to receptors
  NP47, NP48, and NP49, you would agree those receptors
  are near the project substation and switchyard.
  - A. Back to those. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Now going back to that site plan,

does it refresh your memory that you may have received an updated site plan after you filed your direct testimony?

A. No, it doesn't.

2.1

- Q. Does not, okay. Are you aware a Joint Stipulation has been filed in this proceeding that recommends proposed conditions for a certificate if the Board issues a certificate?
  - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Going back to Mr. Odom's supplemental testimony, Attachment B, and if you look at the revised layout project-only sound level, that project-only sound level would be calculated based only on the model that would have been -- that was purchased by Acentech, correct?
  - A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. Okay. So ignoring NP47, 48, and 49 as receptors, you would agree with me that every other receptor with the exception of NP26 at 41 dBA is at 40 dBA or less, correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And you're familiar again with the Word -- World Health Organization "Night Noise Guidelines for Europe"?
- 25 A. Yes, I am.

Q. Okay. And one of those guidelines is a recommendation -- the recommendation -- well, strike that.

One of the guidelines is that a 40 dB L night, outside is equivalent to the lowest observed adverse level -- effect level for night noise, correct?

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Let me ask this, can a non-trained listener distinguish between 41 and 40 dBA?
  - A. They may be able to but generally not.
- Q. Yeah. And then that's a 1 dBA. So for the average person, do you have an opinion as to what point an average person could discern an increase in dBA?
- A. I would say the rule of thumb is that most people can discern a significant change in noise level at about a 3 dB difference.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, I'm almost done, I believe. But if we could take like a 5-minute break and I can check my notes and come back and hopefully wrap up.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Let's go ahead and take 5.

We will come back at 10:35.

We are off the record.

995 1 (Recess taken.) 2 ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. We took 5 for Mr. Settineri to confirm the status of his cross. 3 Further cross, Mr. Settineri? 4 5 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor. At this time, no. I have no further cross. 6 7 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir. On behalf 8 of the Staff. 9 MS. BAIR: No cross. Thank you, your 10 Honor. 11 ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Van Kley, did you need 12 some time to compose whether to redirect? 13 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor. 14 would be appreciated. 15 ALJ WILLIAMS: Same 5 minutes. Come back at 10:40? 16 17 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. 18 ALJ WILLIAMS: We are off the record. 19 (Recess taken.) 20 ALJ WILLIAMS: Let's go back on. 21 Mr. Van Kley, any redirect? 22 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor. 23 ALJ WILLIAMS: Please proceed. 24 MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you. 25

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Van Kley:

2.1

2.2

record.

Q. Mr. Rand, I am going to take my redirect questions in the same general order as Mr. Settineri asked you the cross-examination questions. So let's start with some questions about your experience. At the beginning of your cross, Mr. Settineri asked you about the nature of your prior experience with solar facilities. And let me ask you some questions to follow up those points.

With regard to the work that you've done in the Kingwood Solar case concerning the proposed solar facility, what, if anything, in your experience qualifies you to perform the work you did in this case?

A. I am having trouble hearing. Something is wrong with the connection. I don't know if you can hear me.

ALJ WILLIAMS: We hear you fine, and I heard Mr. Van Kley fine. Can you hear me, sir?

We are off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

ALJ WILLIAMS: We'll go back on the

Mr. Van Kley, please continue.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. Mr. Rand, I will reask my question, although I don't know if I can do it as beautifully the second time as I did the first time, but we will give it a try. Mr. Rand, I am going to follow the outline of Mr. Settineri's cross-examination in asking you follow-up questions to explain your answers to his cross-examination.

2.1

At the beginning of Mr. Settineri's cross-examination, he asked you some questions about your experience with solar facilities in the past.

And my question to you is what, if anything, in your experience over the number of years that you have been involved in acoustics qualifies you to render the opinions pertaining to the Kingwood Solar facility that you have provided us in this proceeding?

A. I've worked as a noise control consultant for -- since 1980 and including noise impact assessment and abatement for transformer yards and transformer -- large station transformers which are very similar to the transformers. Perhaps the size may be slightly different; in many cases they are much larger than the transformers being used in this facility. Using the same methods that I've described including enclosure and -- and barrier wall designs

to reduce tonal emissions at the nearest neighboring properties.

- Q. Okay. Now, are there particular acoustic standards that you employed for evaluating noise issues that pertain to noises from all different types of facilities?
- A. In terms of working as a noise consultant, I design to prevent complaints. This is my training and experience at Stone & Webster Corporation in Boston and so designing to prevent complaints means limiting the increase of a new noise source and paying attention to tonal noise sources to make sure that they are not excessive. Many cases we would mitigate tonal noise sources completely.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the ANSI Standards?
  - A. Yes, I am.

2.1

- Q. Okay. What are those?
- A. Those are a set of voluntary consensus standards developed by professionals from many industries working together to provide common guidelines and methods for assessing -- in the case of acoustics, assessing measurement and evaluation of sound.
  - Q. And do those ANSI Standards apply to all

different types of sound sources or just one or more?

A. They apply to all different types of sources.

2.1

- Q. And did you utilize the procedures in the ANSI Standards for your work in this case?
- A. I did generally follow the ANSI Standards during the measurements and during the -- the evaluations of the -- of the site design. I will say that my design approach is more conservative generally than ANSI Standards, and in some cases my methods predate the current wordings and methods in the ANSI Standards because I started doing this in 1980.
- Q. What do you mean when you say that in some instances your approach is more conservative?
- A. There are some -- some portions of ANSI Standards which utilize the Leq for evaluating impacts. However, my direct and extensive experience with industry noise, preventing impacts has been successful employing the use of the change over the background L90. This was the standard procedure at Stone & Webster when I joined.
- Q. Does that mean that utilizing the L90 for your work is not generally accepted in the acoustic community?

A. Not at all. In fact, it's outlined in ANSI Standard S12.100 for assessing for the background noise in the community and using that for assessing impacts of different types of noise sources.

2.1

- Q. And why in those cases is the L90 used as the background -- as the method for computing background noise?
- A. It's generally recognized that people associate their quality of place to the background sound levels, not the momentary or intermittent sound levels.
  - Q. And why is that the case?
- A. Because that's the nature of the environment.
- Q. Okay. So if the standards set for the operational noise of a facility is based on the Leq instead of on the L90, what, if any, effect does that have on the effect that -- effectiveness of the noise standard?
- A. Basing a standard or a criterion for siting development on the -- an Leq can be misleading by misrepresenting the background with which people associate their quality of place and amenity. A noise level can be too high, as I outline in my

testimony, when it is contaminated by momentary and intermittent traffic noise.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So in laymen's language, what is -- what do you mean when you say it's contaminated by the noise?
- A. The -- in the case of the Kingwood, the noise levels represented by the Leq are skewed upward by momentary traffic due to the traffic counts in that area. I am seeing counts associated with perhaps on average one car a minute during the day. If two cars go by, that might mean a couple of minutes when there aren't any cars on the road. People associate the background. That's what they hear when the cars are not going by. The noise from traffic is not associated to amenity in my experience. People prefer not to have traffic near their house. The noise levels that are skewed upward by traffic that's intermittent don't represent the background that people associate to their amenity.
  - Q. So if the noise standard for operation of a facility is based on 5 dBA above the average Leq, what does that mean with respect to how noticeable inverter sound will be during times when cars are not passing by?
    - A. It would have the result of those being

more noticeable because the Leq is several decibels above the background L90.

- Q. Okay. So if -- if inverter noise is heard between the times that cars pass by, can you tell me whether that -- that means that the inverter noise would be higher than 5 dBA above the ambient noise being heard by the receiver if the standard is based on Leq above 5 and the inverter noise is that high?
- A. It would be above -- 5 dB above the L90. The table in the Odom supplemental provides the current levels -- current to my understanding of the site design which I also understand is not final.
  - O. Yeah.

2.1

2.2

- A. And those levels are well above 5 dB over the L90.
- Q. Okay. So putting aside for a second what the L90 is, if a -- if a person is hearing -- or if -- if inverter noise is at a level of Leq plus 5 at a time when there are no intermittent noises higher than that, for example, cars passing by, would the inverter noise exceed 5 dBA above the actual ambient sound at that time?
  - A. Yes, it would.
  - Q. So going out of order here just briefly

because it pertains to the same topic, if you can go back to the Odom supplemental testimony that you had in front of you earlier, I would like to go back to Attachment B of that supplemental testimony.

A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. All right. So looking at Attachment B of Mr. Odom's supplemental testimony, can you provide me with your observations concerning how levels of the nature predicted by Acentech's modeling would likely to effect -- to effect the public given that these levels are being compared to the Leq of the ambient surroundings, the ambient Leq?
- A. Comparing these levels to the Leq suggests that there won't be much of a change. However, since people associate their background and our successful experience over many projects designing with L90 as the reference or criterion, these levels are many decibels above the background L90 of 29 dBA average at night. And we would expect complaints to emerge in the mid 30s and up, and widespread complaints would be associated with levels of 10 dB and higher above the background L90. And that would be a 39 dB and up and there are some 14 homes with levels 39 dB and up in this listing of Appendix B.

- Q. Have you conducted any sound modeling yourself?
  - A. Yes, I have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Can you give me kind of a general description of how much modeling you've done on sound?
- A. Scores of projects utilizing different levels of software from Mainframe to Excel.
- Q. And are you accustomed to also reviewing sound models performed by other persons?
- A. Yes, I am.
  - Q. And how common is that in your practice?
- A. It's very common. A number of my projects involve review of other project submittals.
  - Q. Let's go to your written direct testimony that is marked as Citizens Exhibit 12.
- 17 A. Okay.
  - Q. And go to answer 12.
- 19 A. Okay.
  - Q. All right. You were asked what studies you had conducted in order to prepare your testimony, and I believe that you included in your answer your visit to the Hardin facility and the Kingwood facility. Did you do other work to prepare for your testimony?

- A. I reviewed a number of documents to get an understanding of the Hardin facility design and layout.
- Q. Did you do any review of anything related to the Kingwood Solar proposed project?
- A. I reviewed the application Appendix K and then most recently the -- what I am calling the Odom supplemental.
- Q. And did you review the modeling information in those records?
  - A. Yes, I did.

2.1

- Q. You were asked some questions about the sound output of tracker motors. What kind of information did you use with regard to tracker motors for your testimony and what was the purpose of that use?
- A. I looked at two bits of information about tracker motor sound levels and compared them to the levels that I discerned during my measurement at ML3.
- Q. And what was -- what was your reason for doing that?
- A. I wanted to get a better solid ground under the sound levels that I measured and get a sense of the sound levels that are typical for the tracker motors.

Q. Now, did you take measurements of the noises at the Hardin facility?

A. Yes.

2.1

Q. Okay. And did your review of tracker motor information have any -- make any change -- let me start over.

As a result of your review of tracker motor information, did you make any changes in the measurements of the noise that you actually heard and measured at the Hardin facility?

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, at this time I am going to object. This is outside the scope of my cross. I asked him about the models and referred him to his Exhibit L to look at the models and what informed his selection. I don't believe -- we didn't speak about mod -- or measurements of trackers.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Van Kley.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. That's -- that's a -- well, let's put it this way, the reason

Mr. Settineri was asking those questions is because he wants to -- to attempt to cast doubt on the measurements of the noise at the Hardin facility.

And my intent here is to show that -- that the -- the model tracker information that -- that he reviewed, regardless of what model he used, did not actually

affect the results of the noise measurements at Hardin.

3 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, if I may briefly.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Briefly.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

2.1

2.2

MR. SETTINERI: My cross did not cover the results of his measurements.

ALJ WILLIAMS: The objection is overruled. There was substantial cross-examination relative to the testing he performed at Hardin to include drawing in some other standards of other inverters and other machinery that might be associated with these types of farms, so we will allow some latitude relative to redirect.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Rand, do you remember the question?
  - A. Could you repeat it?

18 MR. VAN KLEY: Can we ask the court reporter to repeat that, please.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Karen, please.

(Record read.)

- A. Thank you. I did not.
- Q. Now, going back to the portion -- there
  was an appendix in the application that contained
  some information about models for various types of

components for a solar project. Do you recall answering questions about those -- about that appendix?

2.1

- A. Well, we have had a number of questions.
- Q. All right. Do you recall the appendix that I am talking about?
- A. If you can point me to it, that would be helpful.
- Q. Okay. Let's go there. Appendix J of the application which is labeled or entitled "Representative Equipment Standards."
- A. Okay. Let me get that open. Just taking a minute to find it again.

Okay. I've got it.

- Q. All right. All right. What is your understanding -- let me ask another question first. Did you see anything in the application for Kingwood Solar that identified the specific tracker motor model that would be used for that project?
  - A. I don't recall seeing one.
- Q. All right. Now, does -- what's your understanding about what Appendix J is with respect to the models of equipment that are contained in here?
- 25 A. My understanding of this appendix it said

it's a list of typical equipment that might be used for the different types of uses such as panels and inverters.

- Q. Uh-huh. Did you see anything in the application that requires Kingwood to use the models that are in Appendix J?
  - A. I did not.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And with respect to the inverter models that you testified may be present at the Kingwood project, you were asked to compare the data from that model to the data for the inverter models provided in Appendix J. Do you recall that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Does the -- do the differences that you testified about between the inverter models in Appendix J and the inverter model at Hardin affect the accuracy of your opinions in this case?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Okay. Why not?
- A. Because the sound output from the facilities, the total of all the equipment, individual inverters may have a different sound level, but once you get away from an individual inverter, there are other inverters that are contributing to it. And the total sound power of the

facility is radiating outward to the neighborhood.

When I look at the Odom supplemental, I have no objection to the way that they have modeled the sound levels that they predict.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that the sound model in the Odom report was based on the sound from the representative inverter models in Appendix J?
- A. That would be my best understanding. I'm not seeing a specific model listed in the Odom supplemental.
  - Q. Does the difference -- oh, go ahead.
- A. However, Mr. Odom does state that the quantity of inverters remains, distribution transformers and substation transformers remains unchanged from the initial analysis, so they moved them around.
- Q. What do you mean by the statement that it was moved around?
- A. The locations of the inverter and distribution transformers have changed apparently to respond to minimum setback from the project's inverter stations of at least 500 feet from non-participating residences.
- Q. Okay. So if the model of inverter

ultimately chosen by Kingwood Solar uses a larger inverter motor than is used by the inverters at Hardin Solar, does that affect your testimony about the predicted levels of noise from the Kingwood Solar project?

- A. It does not.
- Q. Why not?

2.1

A. I agree with the modeling system that they used which is -- I am accepting without objection the locations that they have stated in the supplemental, and the sound levels produced by the model I have no objection to. They're listed in the Appendix B with projected or predicted sound levels at the 50 receivers, and I have no problem with that modeling procedure. This is standard acoustical practice.

The quantity location and power rating of the inverters at Hardin are not critical, do not change my opinion about the modeling and results used by Acentech for the original Appendix K and the Odom supplemental. When I made measurements at Hardin -- I am getting low bandwidth.

23 ALJ WILLIAMS: We still hear you. Do you hear us?

THE WITNESS: Okay. I lost Jack Van

Kley, and I think he's back. Can you hear me okay?

ALJ WILLIAMS: We can.

2.1

A. All right. I was starting to say the quantity location and power rating of the inverters at Hardin don't affect my opinion about the modeling at Kingwood for two reasons. The modeling is professionally done, and I was some 800 feet or so away from the nearest inverter at Hardin which means that I was getting an aggregate output, noise output, from a number of inverters.

This would be similar to me standing on a 40 dBA line in the model of Kingwood and seeing that there's noise coming from many inverters. The Kingwood noise power is concentrated more in my opinion because of the differential in sizes, and the output may be roughly analogous over distance in terms of the -- what is received at -- when you get out a certain distance.

Q. Do larger inverter motors generally produce more noise than smaller inverter motors?

MR. SETTINERI: I just object as to form of question as to inverter motors. No foundation laid. No foundation what an inverter motor is.

ALJ WILLIAMS: I will overrule the objection. He can answer if he understands the

question.

2.1

2.2

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, I will rephrase, your Honor. I should have used the word tracker in front of motors.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Can you tell me whether larger inverter tracker -- I'm sorry. I don't mean to use that. I'm goofing up the question big time here, Rob.

Okay. Let's start over. Can you tell me in -- whether the size of the inverter or its equipment produces a different level of noise than smaller inverters or components?

- A. Generally speaking I would associate higher sound output to a higher power rating.

  Different models could achieve different power -- sound power outputs depending on their design. But in general a larger -- a higher power rating or MVA rating is going to be associated with a higher sound output.
- Q. And are the inverter models provided as representative equipment in Appendix J larger models than those at Hardin?
  - A. It appears so.
- Q. And with respect to inverters, did you see anything in the application for Kingwood Solar

that required Kingwood Solar to select a specific inverter model?

A. I did not.

2.1

- Q. Let's talk briefly about mitigation for noise from inverters. You were asked some questions about whether noise from inverters could be mitigated after construction of the project. Can you tell me whether there are disadvantages or maybe even advantages to installing mitigation on inverters after construction of the project instead of incorporating them into the original construction of the project?
- A. Generally speaking it is advantageous to build noise control into the project design or what I refer to in my testimony as design build. It can be much more costly to install noise controls later, and it may even be prohibitive or unachievable due to conflicts with other engineering components. So I always recommend noise control during the design build.
- Q. What do you mean when you say that the -the post-construction mitigation of inverters could
  interfere with other elements of the project's
  design?
  - A. There may be other equipment in where the

noise control needs to be placed which renders it impossible to install the equipment without either relocating or removing equipment and adjusting the system components for that. That involves extra design and engineering time. Should not be encountered when noise control is incorporated in the design build.

2.1

There may be elements such as underground wiring or piping runs. There may be geophysical civil considerations about foundation preparation for the two major types of noise controls that I outline, the barrier wall systems or enclosed buildings, that are best addressed during the design build and -- and not after the project is built.

- Q. Are there any -- are there any disadvantages to a process in which one waits for noise complaints before doing mitigation?
- A. One -- aside from the disadvantages I've already listed, the -- the generating complaints is not a good design practice. It's -- in my experience working with companies it can be litigious. I've worked with companies who have been shut down by court order because they didn't design in proper noise control during the design build.

So the disadvantages can be significant.

Cooperating income can be disrupted. Good will can be destroyed with the community. And it's generally a very poor business practice.

2.1

Q. In a project of the size proposed for Kingwood Solar if all of the inverters had to be subjected to mitigation after construction is completed, do you have an estimate how long it would take to complete such a mitigation?

MR. SETTINERI: I would object, just lack of foundation that he has the construction knowledge for utility-scale solar projects to be able to provide an answer to that question. You need to lay a foundation.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Van Kley, can you lay a foundation, please?

MR. VAN KLEY: Sure.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Rand, do you have the experience necessary to answer the question that I just posed?
- A. I worked with a lot of construction supervision, and I could answer in general terms.
- Q. Okay. And what gives you -- what experience do you have that gives you the qualifications to answer the question?
- A. I've performed what's called a clerk of

the works position for various noise oversights, of project noise oversights, which involves supervising, reviewing day-to-day construction processes and ensuring that things proceed on time.

- Q. And do you have any prior experience with mitigation for facilities that use mitigation -- mitigation measures that would be the same mitigation measures appropriate for a solar facility?
  - A. Yes, I do.

2.1

- Q. And what's the nature of that experience?
- A. Well, that includes barrier walls for transformers and full enclosures for gas compressor stations in quiet rural areas.
- Q. Okay. So given that experience, can you provide me with an estimate of how much time it would take to design and construct mitigation measures for a solar facility of the size proposed by Kingwood Solar after construction is completed?

MR. SETTINERI: Again, object, lack of foundation. He has laid a foundation for the transformer, your Honor. He has laid no foundation that this witness has the experience to opine as to inverters, solar panel a -- that are in a solar panel array. It's much -- it's apples to oranges, your Honor, and so there has to be a foundation laid that

he has the knowledge and experience to be able to address that type of arrangement just like a power plant or anything else. Thank you, your Honor.

ALJ WILLIAMS: You're welcome. Your objection is overruled. Based on his testimony that he has experience in regard of barrier walls, as well as enclosures, I will let him answer the question, explain. You can further pursue this relative to recross.

Q. Go ahead, Mr. Rand.

2.1

A. The amount of work required is related to the coordination with civil, electrical, and systems engineers who are working on the project. Typically this is handled -- certainly in the last 20 to I am going to say 30 years now, typically this is handled through working with an external consultancy firm such as my firm or Acentech or other firms, and they're contracted to assist with the design of the noise mitigation.

In some cases companies will work directly with the noise control manufacturers such as Kinetics, IAC, who have their own in-house design engineering staff. They generally do not provide design consultation on foundations or the coordination needed to build and install the

foundations. That's usually handled by the engineering firm. I don't have an estimate on the amount of time that would take.

- Q. And that's for the design part of the mitigation?
- A. Well, the -- the noise portion of the design mitigation is -- would be generally measured in man weeks or less.
- Q. Okay. Let me make sure that I'm understanding what you are saying. Are you saying that you cannot predict how long it would take to install mitigation measures on the inverters at Kingwood Solar?
  - A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. You were asked about tonal qualities of noise. Specifically you were asked whether it would be hard to factor tonal noise into the pre-construction design of a solar facility. Do you recall that discussion?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. Would you explain whether or not that means that -- that proper mitigation controls cannot be utilized in the construction of a solar facility?
- A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?

Q. Yeah. Can you tell me whether tonal noise can be addressed in the design of a solar facility prior to construction?

A. Yes, I can.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. And how would that be done?
- A. In my professional experience we would obtain data on the equipment that would be used in the facility to determine its frequencies and their intensities and then design noise control accordingly to reduce those noise levels to non-objectionable levels or to inaudibility or to achieve non-degradation depending on the needs of the project.
- Q. Would you go to page 13 of your direct testimony marked as Citizens Exhibit 12, please.
- A. Okay.
  - Q. And go to lines 11 and 12.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. And you were asked some questions about this -- this sentence on these lines. And just to make the transcript understandable, the sentence on those lines states "To be consistent with zoning and for respect of rural residential property use for outdoor amenity, noise criteria should be at the property line, not at the house." Do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

zoning.

- Q. Okay. And you were asked a series of questions about that sentence which I would like to address. First of all, what's -- what's the importance, if any, concerning the testimony you provided in lines 12 -- 11 and 12 of page 13?

  MR. SETTINERI: Just object, outside the scope of my direct. I only asked him about the
- MR. VAN KLEY: You asked him a bunch of questions about plowing and cooking.
- 12 ALJ WILLIAMS: I will allow the question.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Setting the foundation for those questions.
- 15 ALJ WILLIAMS: We will allow the question. You can answer.
  - A. I think I had a little skip in the internet connection. Could you give me your question again, Mr. Van Kley?
  - Q. Yes. With respect to your written direct testimony on lines 11 and 12 of -- on page 13 of your testimony, can you tell us the importance of any -- about the testimony you gave on those lines on page 13?
- A. Again, I think I am not getting the whole

question. The portions of something.

- Q. Okay. Let me try again. Are you looking at lines 11 and 12 on page 13 of your testimony?
  - A. Yes, I am.

2.1

- Q. Okay. What, if any, is the importance of that testimony?
- A. The importance of that testimony is that it boils down to the right of someone to swing their fists ends at somebody's nose. The proper -- property line is considered the appropriate dividing line between property uses.
  - Q. And why is that?
- A. It relates to the ownership of the property.
- Q. And back -- with regard to that answer, are you factoring into account the uses people make of their yards?
  - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Okay. So with respect to Mr. Settineri's questions about plowing, for example, where you were asked whether a farmer should stop plowing while a residence -- while a resident used the resident's yard for outdoor amenities. Are there any differences between that situation and a situation in which a solar project produces noise that can be

heard in the yard?

- A. Yes, there are.
- Q. And what are those differences?
- A. The plowing is infrequent. It happens on a temporary basis, perhaps a few times a year at the most. The application has noise sources which are modeled to run around the clock day and night.
- Q. And with respect to the questions you were asked about somebody mowing during a neighbor's cookout, do the same principles apply there?
  - A. Yes, they do.
- Q. Let's talk a little bit about the World Health Organization report that you were asked about, the 2009 WHO guidelines. Do you have in front of you what has been previously marked as Citizens Exhibit 18?
- MR. VAN KLEY: And for those of you who are online, I distributed that several days ago for possible use in Mr. Odom's testimony.
- MR. SETTINERI: Let me ask, that was marked but not admitted, correct?
- MR. VAN KLEY: That's correct.
- MR. SETTINERI: Okay. So how -- your

  Honor, I would object to the use of that exhibit for
  this witness.

MR. VAN KLEY: I don't know how you could object when you asked the witness a whole bunch of questions about this document. You should have presented the document yourself to ask those questions, but at least we can have Mr. Rand look at it to respond to your questions.

2.1

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, before you rule on your objection, may I, first of all, get a reference for that document? And then I need to find that document first so I can see what it is.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Let's go off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

ALJ WILLIAMS: All right. We are back on the record.

We were off the record for just a minute while everybody located Citizens Exhibit 18 which was distributed by Citizens' counsel on Monday evening.

We've located the document. Mr. Settineri, I understand, has an objection regarding some discussion regarding that document at this stage. I will entertain that.

MR. SETTINERI: Yes, your Honor. This document has never been marked in this proceeding or admitted. It is not part of the record. Again, it's not been marked. I asked questions about the World

Health Organization Night Noise Guidelines in my direct. I did not mark a document as to that and did not produce a document, so for counsel now to present and mark the document to give to the witness to review, that should have been done on direct examination. The recross should be limited to the documents in my exhibits I have marked and crossed the witness on.

2.1

2.2

overruled. Mr. Van Kley circulated this exhibit in accordance with the direction he had prehearing, came out Monday night. You asked questions directly relative to the WHO Night Noise Guidelines process or procedure which included what those -- what those guidelines are. So as the document has been presubmitted and the questions have been raised relative to the guidelines, I will allow some questions relative to the document, although,

Mr. Van Kley, let's go ahead and officially mark that as part of the transcript. So Citizens Exhibit 18 is the WHO Night Noise Guidelines; is that correct?

MR. VAN KLEY: That's correct, your Honor.

ALJ WILLIAMS: It is so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

ALJ WILLIAMS: Please proceed.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, just for the record, I just want to note for the record although this document was e-mailed in advance of possible exhibits, it was never formally marked in the hearing and this is the first time this document is being presented at hearing today.

That's all. Thank you.

ALJ WILLIAMS: So noted. Please proceed.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. Mr. Rand, do you have what's been marked as Citizens Exhibit 18 in front of you?
  - A. I believe I do. WHO 2009.
- Q. Is that the document you are looking at, "Night Noise Guidelines for Europe"?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. And is that the document that was the subject of the questions Mr. Settineri asked you in his cross-examination this morning?
  - A. I believe so.
- Q. You were asked some questions about a 40 decibel level. Do you recall those questions?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me whether Citizens

  Exhibit 18 contains the statement that Mr. Settineri

was asking you about concerning the 40 dB level?

- A. If you can give me the statement, I can confirm.
- Q. Would you go to the executive summary page XVI. That would be PDF page 18.
  - A. I have that.
  - Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom paragraph on that page the first sentence?
    - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Is that the 40 dB level that you discussed with Mr. Settineri?
- 12 A. Yes, it is.

1

2

3

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. And can you tell me what that represents?
- A. It represents the lowest observed adverse effect level for night noise.
- 17 | O. And what does that mean?
- A. It's a category of noise impact based on a year's average noise level.
- Q. And what's meant by the term lowest observed adverse effect level?
- A. From 40 decibels and up adverse health effects are observed among the exposed population, and it's described in Table 3 of this document.
- Q. Let's go to Table 3. Can you point out

where that is contained in the document?

- A. That's on page PDF page 19 of 184, executive summary page, XVII.
- Q. All right. This is Table 3 on executive summary page XVII, correct?
  - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So do you see on the left side column of that table a number of decibel levels?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And the description in the second column of Table 3 is labeled "Health effects observed in the population"?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And looking at the health effects observed for the decibel level of between 30 and 40, could you see that the first sentence of the second column states "A number of effects on sleep are observed from this range; body movements, awakening, self-reported sleep disturbance, arousals"?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. Now, let me ask you whether there's -- whether this information in the WHO report has any effect on your testimony in the Kingwood Solar case concerning the level of noise that will produce complaints. Are we comparing apples to

apples here or apples to oranges?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. The WHO document is looking at health impacts. The design criteria that I presented is designing to prevent complaints. There would certainly be some crossover if someone's sleep was disturbed or they couldn't get a good night's sleep in terms of the potential for complaints.
- Q. And with regard to the complaints that you're discussing in your testimony, are those complaints about outdoor noise or indoor noise or both or neither?
  - A. Both.
- Q. The noise levels that you predicted in your testimony, are those noise levels that are predicted for outside of the neighbors' homes or inside or both?
- MR. SETTINERI: Just object,
  mischaracterizes testimony. I do not believe he
  predicted any sounds outside the houses.
- 20 ALJ WILLIAMS: I'll sustain. Please 21 rephrase, Mr. Van Kley.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah.
- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Rand, with regard to the modeled sound levels contained in the Odom supplemental as that term -- as you've used that

term, are those modeled sound levels predictions of noise inside the house and outside the house, neither, or both?

- A. The predictions are outside.
- Q. So the person's yard is located within the area in which Mr. Odom modeled those noise levels, would those noise levels pertain to people's yards?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. You were asked some questions about what a person not trained in listening to noise could do or could not do. With respect to the sounds you heard from the Hardin Solar facility, were you hearing sounds that could be detected by a person not trained in the recognition of sounds?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. How do you know that?
- A. I know that from years of experience and the noises that I heard at Hardin are easily detected by the average person.
- Q. And at what distance from the closest inverter were you hearing those noises at Hardin?
- A. Let me get to my report to -- I was at 790 feet from the nearest inverter.
  - Q. And what was the noise level at that

point?

2.1

- A. The noise level was approximately 40 dBA, 40 to 41 was my estimate.
- Q. All right. And can you describe what that noise sounded like to you.
- A. Yes. It sounded like bees or swarms of bees. Tonal noise roughly 644 Hertz and harmonic multiples.
- Q. And in your experience is that the type of noise that will affect the person's use of his or her yard?
- A. I would expect the average person to be looking for the swarms of bees if they heard it, even if they had heard it and said, oh, that's the inverters, my professional experience working with communities says that this would not be adaptable. You have to check it every time they heard it and double-check. No one wants to be caught by surprise by a swarm of bees.
- Q. Would the -- in your experience is that a type of noise that will affect the enjoyment of a person's yard?
  - A. I believe it would.
    - Q. Why would it?
  - A. Because it would be an unwanted sound.

- Would it be an annoying sound? Q.
- Yes, it could be an annoying sound. Α. tonal assessment that I performed on the tones at Hardin were not ever -- that assessment was not adversely affected by the construction noise 6 occurring at the same time because the tonal noise was prominent and the tonal -- the tones, excuse me, highly prominent. And the tones were sufficiently loud to be associated with tonal penalties, and tonal 10 penalties are typically assigned when tones are excessive.
  - What's a tonal penalty? Ο.
  - Α. A typical tonal penalty in a regulation would be 5 decibels.
    - Q. And what's the purpose of the tonal penalty?
    - The tonal penalty is used to adjust the measured level to account for the intrusive nature and annoyance of the tones.
    - Okay. And when you were talking in your Ο. last two answers about the tonal qualities that you observed at Hardin Solar, were you referring to the inverter noise?
    - Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25 Q. Would you go to page 14, line 12, of your

testimony in Citizens Exhibit 12.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

Q. All right. You were asked some questions about different noise sources -- well, let me rephrase.

You were asked some questions about whether many inverters were contributing to the sound levels at Hardin Solar, and I have a follow-up on that. And I believe you said that there were. Does that answer affect your opinions in this case?

- A. No.
  - Q. Why not?
- A. It does not affect my opinion of the model sound levels for Kingwood because I accept and agree with the methods used by Acentech to project predicted noise levels away from the noise sources that they list in their -- that they use for their model.
- Q. All right. Now let's go back to Exhibit L of your testimony in Citizens Exhibit 12.
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. And go to Figure 1.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. Figure 1 is on page 2 of that exhibit, correct?

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. What is the purpose of Figure 1?
- A. The purpose of Figure 1 was to show the area in which I took measurements and where those measurements were taken and the relationship of those measurement locations to existing equipment in that area to the best that I was able to ascertain for the report.
- Q. Now, when you visited the Hardin Solar site, did you drive around to look at the locations of the solar equipment whose sounds you were measuring?
- A. I drove around and stayed on public roads. The solar facility, the Hardin I, was fenced off, and so I -- I didn't go in. I stayed on the side of the road to make my measurements.
- Q. All right. So with respect to the accuracy of your measurements, did it matter to you whether you knew the locations of every solar array in the Hardin facility?
  - A. No, it did not.
  - Q. Why not?
- A. The noise level was clearly coming from a number of different sources, and I judged the distance to the nearest source by observation using

the Bushnell binoculars. I attempted to find as-built -- as-built drawings of the Hardin I and was unable to find them. So I simply used the green footprint that you see on Figure 1 to show the area that was leased for the project.

- Q. So were you actually looking at the sources of the noise at Hardin Solar that you were measuring?
  - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Let's go to Exhibit J of Kingwood
  Exhibit 12 which is your testimony.
  - A. J. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. What was the purpose for your including

  Exhibit J in your testimony?
  - A. My understanding was to furnish documents that I had looked at during my review.
    - Q. Was -- go ahead.
  - A. And this -- this document I found while attempting to understand the build-out of the Hardin facility which appeared to be -- there was a Hardin I, then there was a Hardin II, and then there was a Hardin III. And so I looked at the Hardin III to understand its relationship to where I took measurements at the Hardin facility.
    - Q. Did any of the information in Exhibit --

Exhibit J of your testimony have any effect on the results of your measurements of the noise from the Hardin facility?

A. No, it did not.

2.1

- Q. Why didn't it?
- A. Regardless of whether Hardin III had been built out or not, at the time of my measurements, the distances are so significant, so large that the noise would not have an appreciable effect at the locations where I measured.
- Q. You were asked a question about the contamination of noise levels in a study or studies that you performed on turbine models. Do you recall that?
  - A. I recall such a question.
- Q. All right. What kind of studies were you discussing in your answers to those questions?
- A. I was responding to a question about how to test wind turbine noise levels. It was a general question to my understanding.
- Q. Okay. During the time that you measured noise from the Hardin Solar facility, was there construction going on in the area?
  - A. Yes, there was.
  - Q. Okay. Was that construction from

another -- being done in another phase of Hardin Solar?

- A. That's my understanding and belief.
- Q. Yeah.

2.

2.1

2.2

- A. Yes.
- Q. Does your answer to Mr. Settineri's question about how you conducted noise measurements for turbines have any effect on the accuracy of the measurements you took from the operation of Hardin Solar?
- A. The question about wind turbine noise measurements doesn't directly apply to the measurements I made at Hardin.
  - Q. Why not?
- A. I didn't use the wind turbine measurement standard because these are not wind turbines. The measurements that I made for the inverters were dominated by tonal noise which during analysis the tonal noise was not contaminated by the construction noise because of the different character of the two noise sources.

The tonal energy stands way above the background noise during the measurement. For the measurement of the construction noise, it was the noise I was measuring so there was no contamination

because that's what I was measuring. For the measurement of the racking noise, the construction noise presented difficulty as I described in my exhibit to ascertain a good reusable noise level and comparison of those noise levels with a -- two other racking motor datasets that I located found that I did not need to change my opinion about the likely noise levels at the distance that I measured to the nearest racking motor. So in this way the construction noise did not materially affect my analysis at those three locations.

- Q. Could you tell the difference in the construction noise and the inverter noise?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And when you measured the inverter noise, did you -- did your measurement of the inverter noise in your report contain the volume of just the inverter noise?
- A. Let me get to my report. Okay. In my Exhibit L, page 5 of 9.
- Q. What PDF page of your testimony are you on?
- A. 406 of 422.
- Q. Okay. Give us just a moment to get there.

Okay. Please proceed.

2.1

A. At the top of my report page 5 of 9, you have two figures, Figure 6 and Figure 7. These figures are showing the tonality assessment at ML1. And if you look, you can see six peaks which are marked with little green dots. Those green dots are produced by the tonality assessment software, the ISO 1996-2. They identify the presence of a prominent tone in this case. The most prominent tone was identified at 1,200 and roughly 1,289 Hertz, in that range, 1,290 Hertz.

Those tones stand well above the background which you can see is kind of an irregular curved mound of levels which proceeds left to right in that spectrum. My professional assessment of the measurement both by listening and reviewing the recording and the analysis that those tones were produced by these particular inverters.

- Q. And how do you know that?
- A. I know that from professional experience and listening and review of the analysis done by the software, the width of those tones is significant.

  It comes -- I don't know if you can see it exactly, but each green dot is on top of two or three bins of energy and frequencies. The tones that I was hearing

were not -- were spread a little bit as if the equipment generating the tones such as fans was running at slightly different frequencies from many inverters. And that's consistent with this analysis.

2.1

The tones that stand out like little buildings are wide. If you have a transformer, that peak that would be identified by the green tone would tend to be one bin wide so this is consistent with several or perhaps even dozens of inverter fans or fan sets all running at slightly different frequencies contributing to these stacks of energy in each frequency peak. This is pretty technical stuff but this -- we work with this all the time.

- Q. How do you know that the tones you are describing were -- weren't the product of the construction activities?
- A. Construction equipment tends to change frequency as it runs. Engines will speed up and slow down. The loading of an engine to drive a piece of equipment such as a ramhoe may rise and drop during its use.

These tones were fixed. They did not change character at all. It's as if you were listening to someone playing a single note on a piano. It does not change. Or a violinist playing a

single note continuously. It does not change.

Whereas, construction equipment tends to rev up and down and those are audible differences that are easily detectable.

2.1

- Q. You were asked several times by counsel for Kingwood about whether or not you have reviewed a final layout for the Kingwood Solar project, and you said no. Why -- why haven't you reviewed a final layout for the Kingwood Solar project?
- A. I'm not aware that a layout has been designated as final.
- Q. So did you look through the application to see if you could find a final layout?
  - A. I looked through the application, and I looked at the acoustic documents which was my primary area of interest. I don't recall seeing the word final.
  - Q. Okay. You were asked some questions about the locations of Acentech's measurement stations in the project area so let me follow-up on those questions. What did you personally do other than checking with Mr. Krajicek to confirm that you were personally looking at the locations of Acentech's measurement stations?
    - A. I did review after I visited the site to

look at the locations listed in Acentech's document and I compared those to locations provided to me by the neighbors and they were approximately consistent.

- Q. Can you tell me how much variation, if any, there were between what the neighbors told you and what you saw on Acentech's map?
- A. I didn't find a significant amount of variation.
- Q. Okay. What was the purpose for your identification of the locations where the measurements were taken by Acentech?
- A. This is standard practice when visiting a site to look at where location -- where measurements were taken.
  - Q. Why is that?

2.1

- A. By doing so, I can understand the environment in that area, how close are measurements to roads, and that informs an evaluation of any criteria that may be developed using noise levels measured at the locations.
- Q. So was your intent to evaluate the types of noises that would typically be experienced at those measurement locations?
- A. Well, when you measure next to a road, it does not represent the noise levels that occur in

someone's backyard.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Why is that?
- A. Backyards are typically further away from the road, and noise from intermittent traffic drops away with distance. So the noise level that's reported from an average Leq at a -- next to the road doesn't represent the background or even the ambient Leq at -- at the backyards of people's homes. So it's not -- it's not representative or conservative in general.
- Q. Other -- yeah. Other than the difference in distances between the road and a person's backyard, are there any other factors that affect the sound levels from the road in somebody's backyard?
  - A. That's the major consideration.
- Q. Okay. If -- if somebody is involved in recreational or relaxing in their backyard behind their house and the house is located between the backyard and the road, will that have any effect on levels from -- the sound levels from the road reaching the backyard?

MR. SETTINERI: I am just going to object at this time. I did not -- this is again outside the scope of my direct. I did not ask about the measurements that were done in terms of background

measurements. I asked about the locations, so we have gone down a whole line of different direct testimony here.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Van Kley, we have been going on this quite a while. Can you please close this up?

7 MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, your Honor. If I -- 8 I am almost finished with my redirect.

ALJ WILLIAMS: I will allow this question.

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Thank you, your 12 Honor.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Rand, do you remember the question?

A. I don't.

4

5

6

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. My question is whether having a house in between the backyard and road reduces the amount of noise heard from the road.

A. It -- certainly, yes, and that's -- it's very typical for people to have back decks, rear decks on their house, play areas, and use the backyard of their home for enjoyment of the outdoor amenity because the noise levels will be lower there compared to the front of the house. The house can act as a noise buffer and reduce traffic noise

1045 levels, even intermittent ones, out to the back. 1 2 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Your Honor, I have 3 no more questions at this time. ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley. 4 5 Mr. Settineri, how much time would you 6 like to regroup? 7 MR. SETTINERI: I don't need any time, 8 your Honor. 9 ALJ WILLIAMS: All right. We will 10 proceed with recross then. 11 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. 12 13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 14 By Mr. Settineri: 15 Q. First thing, Mr. Rand, I want to turn to what's been, I believe, marked as Citizens 16 17 Exhibit 18. And for the record I would like to just 18 make sure we read completely some items that 19 Mr. Van Kley read with you. So do you have that 20 document in front of you? 2.1 Α. Which one is that? 22 This would be the WHO "Night Noise Q. 23 Guidelines for Europe." 24 Yes, I have. Α. 25 Q. Yes. So let's turn to the table he asked

you some questions about. I think it's XVII, executive summary. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Okay. So this -- so for the record I am going to read here, so to the right "Table 3" title "Effects of different levels of night noise on the population's health." Did I read that correctly?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And then looking at the column headings, first column to the -- is titled "Average night noise level over a year" underneath that "L night, outside." Did I read that correctly?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And then next column to the right the heading is "Health effects observed in the population." Did I read that correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. Then in the second row of that column states "30 to 40 dB." Did I read that correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And then the next column to the right of that reads "A number of effects on sleep are observed from this range: Body movements, awakening, self-reported sleep disturbance, arousals. The

intensity of the effect depends on the nature of the source and the number of events. Vulnerable groups (for example children, the chronically ill and the elderly) are more susceptible. However, even in the worst cases the effects seem modest. L night, outside of 40 dBA is equivalent to the lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) for night noise." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes, that's correct.

2.1

- Q. And for the record I dictated as well commas and periods. Going to the bottom of that page, there is a paragraph that's the last full paragraph of the bottom of this page that reads "Based on the exposure-effects relationship summarized in Table 3, the night noise guideline values are recommended for the protection of public health from night noise as below," and then we have a Table 4; is that -- did -- backing up, did I read that paragraph -- or sentence correctly?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And then below that is Table 4 and Table 4 has a heading "Recommended night noise guidelines for Europe." Did I read that correctly?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
  - Q. Okay. And in that Table 4 of the first

row states "Night noise guideline (NNG)," and it says
"L night, outside = 40 dBA." Did I read that
correctly?

- A. No, that's not correct.
- Q. Okay. What did I not read correctly there?
  - A. I heard you say "40 dBA."
  - Q. Oh, and so let me read it again. That row should read "Night noise guideline (NNG) L night, outside = 40 dB." Did I read that directly?
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

- Q. Okay. The next row below it "Interim target (IT) L night, outside = 55 dB." Did I read that correctly?
- 15 A. Yes, that's correct.
  - Q. Okay. Now, is it your understanding that the L night, outside is a -- under that measurement I will say standard but is that -- is an eight hours through the night usually from 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.?
- A. At the L night, outside is defined from 11:00 to 7:00.
- Q. All right. And that's for under -- and so specifically that would apply to this -- to the WHO Night Noise Guidelines as used in this document,

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. You can put that aside, please.

  Mr. Van Kley asked you some questions, I believe in
  your answer you made reference to a traffic study.

  Based on your analysis, did you estimate the cars
  would be passing on a basis of approximately a minute
  to 2 minute a day?
  - A. No, that's not correct.
- Q. Okay. What did you say? I took a different note so what's your approximation on the frequency of cars passing? And are you looking at your testimony?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And is that in your testimony?
- A. Looking at the exhibit, this would be in my testimony page about 417 of 422.
- Q. Do you have an exhibit for me -reference, please? I will try to find it as well.

20 ALJ WILLIAMS: It's in Exhibit M.

21 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor.

ALJ WILLIAMS: You're welcome.

- 23 A. On page 417 of 422, it should be a
- 24 Figure 2.
- Q. Yes. Okay.

A. Okay. These are traffic counts for 2020 for the roads in the area.

2.1

- Q. Okay. But in response to one of the questions from Mr. Van Kley, you had made a comment about the number of cars that you believe would be passing on a minute basis. Do you recall that answer?
- A. I do. The -- there are a couple of traffic monitors in the site area which -- whose numbers are lower than the number of minutes in a day. There are 1440 minutes in a day. And the traffic counts that they are showing are slightly south of the project 1249 and somewhat in the vicinity of the project 855. These are lower numbers than 1440.

So in general terms, if the traffic were flowing perfectly, evenly, there might be on the order of a car a minute or vehicle a minute. And if cars came by by twos, then there might be a period of a couple of minutes when there aren't any cars. So it's just looking at the numbers and saying, well, how much traffic is there going to be on a minute-to-minute basis? It doesn't look like there's going to be very much.

Q. Okay. Thank you for clarifying that for

the record especially. You agree that the L90 measurement is a measurement of the quietest 10 percent of the time?

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And so the other 90 percent of the time would be -- would consist of background sound levels that exceeded the highest -- the L90 measurement, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, when people utilize their backyards, that can consist of mowing a lawn, kids playing, people talking, correct?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.2

- Q. So, for instance, if someone is having a cookout on their back deck, you would expect, and probably in your experience, that people will be talking, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And also the ambient background can be affected -- levels can be affected by wind; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And rain as well, correct?
- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Birds chirping?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. Livestock as well, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. If you have chickens on your property, that could also affect the background levels, correct?
  - A. No, that's not correct.
  - Q. And why not?
- A. I think I may have misunderstood your question when you said does that affect the background levels. Chickens tend to be intermittent which would not contribute to the background and that would apply for other sounds like birds, intermittent comelings, so those are temporary sounds.
- Q. In your answer though you are saying the background is the L90, correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. But the background as it exists at that moment when someone is mowing their lawn, the actual background sound, I guess the actual sound being experienced is going to include that mowing, that lawnmower, correct?
  - A. For that -- for whatever period of time the measurement is.
- Q. Okay. And so, for instance, when

people -- if someone is on a back deck with friends having a barbecue and people are talking, the sound levels that would be reported at that moment would include the people talking, correct?

- A. The sound levels would include the people talking but would not contribute to the L90.
- Q. That's if you were measuring an L90 at that point, right?
  - A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So in terms of what a person will experience when they are in their backyard as to sound depends on what's happening in the backyard at that moment, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, are you aware for the Acentech study what time frame Acentech utilized for the nighttime
  - A. I believe it's in their report. I don't recall off the top of my head.
  - Q. Okay. Well, let's -- and you are correct. Let's turn to Appendix K from the application. Company -- sorry, Kingwood Exhibit 1, Appendix K. And if you turn to paper copy page 3 of 14, it's going to be Table 2.
  - A. It will take me a minute.

MR. SETTINERI: And while we do that,
Mr. Van Kley, if you could T up, I would maybe be
directing to Kingwood Exhibit 2 as well which is the
collection of the responses to Staff Data Requests.

- A. Okay. I have Appendix K.
- Q. Go to Table 2. It's page 3 of 14 of the report.
  - A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. All right. And so now, let's see here, you will see there "Table 2: Project-Only Sound Level Guidelines (dBA)" and nighttime is 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. Does that refresh your memory that they -- that Acentech used a nighttime level of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.?
- 17 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. Now, during that time period, would you expect that most people would be inside their residences during that time period?
  - A. I could. Some people might be outside.

    It depends on the time of year and what people do

    with their property.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- A. I didn't make any assumptions about that.

- Q. On average though you would expect most people to be inside their home from 10:00 a.m. to 7:00 a.m. at night?
  - A. I would agree with that.
- Q. And then for the daytime it's the rest the time that Acentech used, 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., correct?
  - A. That's right.

1

2

3

4

8

9

- Q. Now, do you know you took an average L90 of 29 dBA in your testimony, right?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. That was an average of the nighttime L90 measurement from the noise monitoring stations, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And how -- where did you get the data for that measurement?
- 18 A. It was furnished by Acentech.
- Q. Do you know exactly what document that would be?
- 21 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. Do you know what the average L90 daytime would be?
- MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, I think I've
  been kind of patient here, but these questions are

well beyond my redirect, so I would object on that basis.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: Yes, your Honor. He asked a lot of questions about backyards and the impact on the backyards for people, and I am laying a foundation to make a very strong point, your Honor.

ALJ WILLIAMS: We have allowed quite a bit of latitude relative to asking questions regarding people's recreational personal uses of their yards, so I'll continue to allow the questions.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) And I will just repeat the question, Mr. Rand. Do you know what the L90 -- average L90 is for the daytime for the Kingwood Solar project?
  - A. I don't recall.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. I would like to direct Mr. Rand's attention to Kingwood Exhibit 2. I don't know if he has a copy of that.

MR. VAN KLEY: I e-mailed it to him.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Settineri --

MR. VAN KLEY: It might have taken a

23 | while to get there.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

24

25

ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Settineri, does the witness need to review for -- is it a relatively

quick question? 1 2 MR. SETTINERI: It's a quick question. 3 ALJ WILLIAMS: We will go off the record while Mr. Rand finds the document. 4 5 (Discussion off the record.) ALJ WILLIAMS: We are going to go back on 6 7 record. We were off the record for about 15 8 9 minutes. We took a personal comfort break, and then 10 it was an effort to circulate Kingwood Exhibit 2 to 11 Mr. Rand. Ultimately it appears as though the file 12 that was being distributed was a bit cumbersome for 13 the technology at issue. The parties have agreed 14 that Mr. Settineri will circulate the first five 15 pages of that as an excerpt for purposes of 16 officially distributing that. That has been sent via

So, Mr. Settineri, please continue.

MR. SETTINERI: Yeah. Thank you, your Honor.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) And, Mr. Rand, if you could turn to page 4 and 5 of. Is that document -- and particularly I want you to look at the table with the L90 measurements.
- 25 A. Okay. All right.

e-mail and Mr. Rand has that.

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. Okay. So starting with nighttime, the L90, that would be the data that you used to develop the average; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. That, subject to check, comes out to be 29.333 average L90 if I add up all three and divide by 31; is that right?
  - A. Round to the nearest decibel.
- Q. And then if I go to daytime L90, if I were to do the same thing there, you would have 33 plus 33 divided by 3, that would give you a daytime L90 of 34 dBA, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And there were a lot of questions, a lot of answers about complaints from the Kingwood Solar project, especially in people's yards and the property lines. So for you when you are looking at the possibility of a complaint during the day which would be from 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., I would be looking at the L90 for the daytime, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And then under your methodology I would apply 5, correct?
  - A. That's correct, as an upper limit.
- Q. And so I think we previously established

the average L90 daytime would be 34 plus 5, takes me to 39, correct?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

2.2

- Q. Okay. And then nighttime, again, same thing, it would be 29 plus 5, and it would be 34 for the nighttime and that would be from 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. So now I want to go back to
  10 Mr. Odom's supplemental testimony, Kingwood
  11 Exhibit 11, Attachment B. Tell me when you are
  12 there.
  - A. Just a minute. Okay.
  - Q. Okay. So this is Attachment B is the project-only sound level from the modeling, correct?
    - A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. So if I go to the revised layout

  comment -- layout column, starting with the daytime

  at the very top, NP1, as I go down that column, the

  first incident of exceeding 39 dBA is at NP23. Do

  you see that?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. That would be an exceedance of the average ambient -- let me see. That would be the exceedance of the L90 plus 5 during the daytime which

would be 39 plus 1 so that would be exceedance of dBA, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Continuing then, the next exceedance would be at NP26 and that's 41 dBA modeled, correct?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And so now we have a 2 dBA exceedance of the L90 plus 5 for the daytime. And then continuing on, you would agree with me that the next -- there are three more and the last three actually would be NP47 at 41 dBA, NP48 at 42 dBA, and NP49 at 44 dBA, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And the largest exceedance between the L90 daytime plus 5 would be at NP49 which would be exceedance of 3 dBA, correct?
- A. Sorry. I have lost track of your numbers. Could you just say that question again? I wasn't sure.
- Q. No. I would be glad to. So just to
  refresh, the average L90 daytime was 39 dBA and that
  was an L90, correct? Oh, I'm sorry. Strike that. I
  have that wrong.
- The average -- the L90 average for

Acentech's chart was 34 dBA, correct?

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Then we add 5 to get to the 39 dB level. And so looking at NP49, that 44 dBA would be a 3 dBA exceedance of the daytime L90 plus 5 standard, correct?
- A. Yeah. I might be getting a little hypoglycemic here. I am having trouble keeping track of these numbers. 34 plus 5 is 39. And 39 to 44 is 5 dB.
- Q. My bad. You are correct. It is an exceedance of 5 dB, right? Okay. So during the daytime, the majority of receptors, the vast majority, in fact, all receptors but 5 would satisfy the daytime L90 plus 5, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And if I look at NP23 and NP26, you would agree that the 40 and 41 are close enough to 39 that you would not expect someone to complain about that differential.
  - A. I would disagree.
- Q. Okay. And if -- let's assume for NP23
  and NP26 and 47, 48, 49, if someone did complain,
  that would be an opportunity to provide mitigation
  potentially depending on the nature of the complaint,

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

- A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Okay. Well, let me back up. For an exceedance at -- so let me go to NP23. It exceeds the L90 plus 5 by 1 dBA. Why do you disagree that a person would be able to distinguish -- let me strike that. Let me start over.

Do you believe that a person, NP23, hearing 40 dBA would be able to tell the difference if standing at NP22 and hearing 39 dB?

- A. Absolutely, because it's tonal noise.
- Q. Okay. All right. That would be a 1 dB difference.
- A. Yeah. They would have no trouble. It's tonal.
- Q. Okay. And you recall earlier testifying that a 1 dB typically no one would be able to discern?
  - A. You were referring to dBA.
- Q. Okay. And so here these are -- these numbers are in dBA, correct?
- A. They are in dBA, but the inverters are tonal.
- Q. Well, again, so you're saying there will be a tonal sound if there is a 1 -- and are you

saying there will be a 1 dBA increase in tonal sound?

- A. I'm sorry. I don't follow your question.
- Q. Let me try it another way here because I'm confused. So NP22 at 39 which is meeting the standard, you don't believe that that person will be impacted by any tonal sound from inverters, correct?
  - A. That's not correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So you -- you're saying now that that 39 L90 plus 5, that that alone could -- if someone hears that sound at L90 plus 5, that they could be impacted by that sound; is that what you are saying?
- A. Yes, I could hear a tonal noise at 39 dBA, yes, absolutely.
- Q. And you think that would lead to complaints?
- A. It depends because the numbers that the -- that were acquired by Acentech were limited and may be lower on a particular day so that could lead to complaints.
- Q. And what numbers of Acentech are you referring to?
- A. The L90s.
- Q. And you previously testified you had no -- you have no issue with L -- you have no issue

with Acentech's L90 numbers, correct?

- A. I have no issue with their modeling of the projected sound levels.
  - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. I had an issue with them using Leq plus 5 to establish a criterion for the project.
- Q. All right. But they also recorded L90 data, correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And you don't have an issue with that L90 data, correct?
- A. I have no issue with the way that they acquired the data. I would say that the length of time and the way that the data described every single day that could occur during the year, I cannot -- I could not say that, for example, an average L90 would be 34 every day of the year. For example, the day location 3 it was 33. So it wouldn't -- it would be at least a decibel lower at location 3 or location 2.
- Q. Well, you would agree with me that the duration of a L90 data collection can affect the L90, correct?
  - A. Indeed it can.
- Q. Okay. All right. And you used -- you used Acentech L90 data to form a basis for your

opinion -- your testimony, correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So in terms of applying your L90 plus 5 standard, we need to distinguish between daytime and nighttime, correct?
- A. Not for my purposes of evaluating the site impact.
- Q. Okay. In terms of the audibility or non-participating residents near the area, you would look at the daytime L90 plus 5 for daytime hours, and you would look at the nighttime L90 plus 5 for nighttime hours, correct?
  - A. Not in this case.
  - Q. Why not?
- A. Because the facility is projected to run around the clock and it was projected to run at full power at night so the design criteria has to be for night.
  - Q. That's a design criteria, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. But in terms of complaint, you've testified on redirect, discussed complaints, all right? A complaint is not a design criteria, correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- Okay. And a complaint is derived from Q. the sound pressure that a person experiences, correct?
  - No, that's not correct.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you this, is the L90 plus 5 a measure of when sound can become audible to somebody?
  - Α. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

17

- Ο. Okay. All right. You used the L90 plus 5 as a measure of whether complaints will occur from this project, correct? Right?
- 12 Α. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And in terms of whether a 14 complaint will occur from the project during the daytime, you would look to what the L90 daytime plus 16 5 value would be, correct?
  - Α. I would look at it at the time of the complaint, yes.
- 19 Ο. Okay. Sound attenuates when it passes 20 through the walls of a house, correct?
- 2.1 Α. That's correct in most cases. Sometimes 2.2 it increases.
- 23 And would it increase when you have low Q. 24 frequency noise?
- 25 A. It could, yes.

Q. All right. So, for example, you were involved in a wind farm study of low frequency noise up in Wisconsin a few years ago, correct?

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. If you have -- for a utility-scale solar farm, just assume for me you have 100 inverters across the project area and you have a receptor. The operational sound that would be experienced at that receptor you believe should -- would include a contribution from all the inverters, correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. But the contribution from an inverter could be negligible depending on the distance from that receptor, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. It just depends how close it is, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. On recross you were asked a question about Appendix J, and I may have misheard you, but just to be clear, did you say that the information in Appendix J allowed you to determine that the inverters for Kingwood Solar would be larger in size?
  - A. I don't recall the specific question.
    - Q. Okay. Do you believe there is any

information in Appendix J as to the inverters as to whether they would be louder than the inverters utilized at the Hardin Solar project?

2.1

- A. I am looking at Appendix J. Hold on. If the inverters are at Hardin are in the range of 1 MVA, then the inverters listed in Appendix J are larger.
- Q. Okay. Well, when you said "larger," I got confused on your recross. I just didn't know if you said larger or louder. Do you know whether the inverters that are listed in Appendix J to the application are louder, will be louder than the ones that you measured at Hardin Solar?
- A. I would expect them to be louder because of size differences, but I don't have specific information on them.
- Q. Okay. So for a utility-scale solar farm, an inverter is not placed in a building, correct?
- A. I don't have specific information on that from facility to facility. It may be that some are enclosed and some are not.
- Q. For the Hardin Solar facility, were the inverters -- was the inverter that you saw in a building?
- A. No, they weren't.

Q. Okay. And in terms of how to mitigate an inverter and whether to do it prior to construction or post-construction depending on complaints, that can be evaluated during the design build process which would include final engineering of the project, correct?

- A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. Sure.

2.1

MR. SETTINERI: Court reporter, I'm sorry, Ms. Gibson. If you could just read that back, that would be appreciated.

(Record read.)

- A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Okay. Let me help you. Sitting here today, you know, you and I are not designing the Kingwood Solar project, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And at some point it will go through what you call a design build process, what I call the final engineering process, correct?
- A. If it's permitted and it goes ahead, correct.
- Q. Okay. And you would expect that there
  will be engineers involved in terms of evaluating the
  design for the project at that point, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Okay. And would it -- would -- in terms of making a decision of whether to implement mitigation or not on any operational sound source, the best time to make that decision is during the engineering process, correct?
- A. That's correct, with the exception that some of those decisions are made prior to permit.
- Q. And that -- in regards to that last comment, that would be depending on the permit condition, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. You've never designed a solar facility, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. You've never -- have you ever been inside the fence of a utility-scale solar facility?
  - A. I have not.
- Q. Okay. Now, are you -- Hardin Solar had no formal complaints submitted to the Ohio Power Siting Board for that project as of June 2021, correct?
- MR. VAN KLEY: Objection, your Honor. At this point not only is Mr. Settineri straying from my redirect, but now he's asking for hearsay

1 information.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

2 ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: Yes, your Honor.

Mr. Van Kley asked him about his measurements at the Hardin Solar site, all right? And he's continued to apply that to his opinions here in this case where he believes there are complaints and I simply asked him and I don't think I asked for a hearsay. I asked him if he knew.

10 ALJ WILLIAMS: I will allow --

11 MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. There is no

12 foundation.

13 ALJ WILLIAMS: I will allow a little

14 latitude. The question can stand.

15 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat the 16 question?

- Q. Sure. You evaluated the docket for the Hardin Solar project. And when I say "docket," the Ohio Power Siting Board docket, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Do you recall reviewing a document that provided a summary of complaints to the Ohio Power Siting Board for the Hardin Solar project?
  - A. Do you have a date for that?
- Q. That would be approximately June of 2021.

A. Just a second.

MR. SETTINERI: And let's go ahead then and make life easy. Let's just mark Kingwood Exhibit 29, please, for the record and that is a filing in both the Hardin Solar I and Hardin Solar II dockets.

- Q. And you should have a copy of that,
  Mr. Rand. That would have been sent probably the
  other day to you.
  - A. Yes, I do.
    - Q. Okay. Do you have that in front of you?
- A. Yes.

2.1

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. And, your Honor, I just want to make sure, do you have a copy of that as well? I want to make sure I am not going too fast.

ALJ WILLIAMS: I do.

- Q. Okay. Great. Are you familiar with this document, Mr. Rand?
  - A. I've read the document.
- Q. Okay. And this document is a report from Hardin Solar Energy LLC and Hardin Solar II LLC for the Power Siting Board noting that both projects have not received any complaints pursuant to the Applicant's complaint resolution plans regarding the facilities and this is dated as of June 11, 2021. Do

you see that?

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: Objection, your Honor. In think we are getting into some pretty far afield hearsay. And the hearsay are the statements from Hardin -- Hardin Solar which has an obvious self-interest in portraying its facility the best that can -- the best light it can and Mr. Settineri is attempting to -- to utilize Hardin Solar's statements to prove that there were no complaints about noise at that facility.

ALJ WILLIAMS: I am going to sustain the objection. We allowed testimony regarding Hardin relative to the sound measurements that were taken. We allowed the exhibit regarding the public information session relative to the context for the site visit and where he set up his sound installation or his sound procedures.

But drawing in complaints/no complaints relative to the operation of a facility is beyond the scope of what's been asked and it's beyond the scope of this hearing and it is hearsay so I am not going to allow any more questions relative to whether there have been formal complaints with regard to the Hardin project.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, if I may.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Please.

2.1

MR. SETTINERI: I would note in his testimony at page 5, line 11, he notes he reviewed OPSB project documents for the Hardin Solar docket. He's also recognized that he is familiar with this document. He's also using the measurements from Hardin Solar to form his opinion as to that there will be compliance from another project. I think it is relevant that the project he is measuring shows no complaints, so I would ask you to reconsider your ruling. And stop there.

ALJ WILLIAMS: I appreciate that. The ruling is not further considered. It remains in place.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) You -- one of the responses to Mr. Van Kley about your measurements and Hardin Solar, you indicated you applied a tonal penalty. Do you recall that?
  - A. No, I do not.
- Q. Okay. Well, okay. Did you apply a tonal penalty to your measurements that you took at Hardin Solar?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Okay. When would you apply a tonal

penalty?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. During a regulatory process.
- Q. What's a regulatory process?
- A. A review of compliance with standards.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you were present taking your inverter measurement at Hardin Solar, there was pile driving going on, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, and I believe you indicated that the tonal noise was dominating the environment and was analogous to a swarm of bees; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And in terms of whether a sound dominates the environment, that's a professional judgment by a -- an acoustic investigator makes, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And for that you use your listening ears to do that, correct?
- A. That's correct. And I look at during the analysis. It can bear out during the analysis as well.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you were taking your inverter measurements, construction equipment was

- operating in the area, correct?
- A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

20

- Q. Okay. You don't -- you are not aware of what type of construction equipment was operating in the area, correct?
- A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. And you don't know if there were any portable generators operating in the construction area, correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- Q. And portable generators produce tonal sound as well, correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. I have no further questions, your Honor. Thank you.
- 16 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.
- Mr. Rand, I want to thank you for your
  time and testimony today. I know we kept you quite a
  while. I appreciate your perseverance. You are
- 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 22 ALJ WILLIAMS: We will take up the
- 23 exhibits.

excused, sir.

- MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor. We would
- 25 move into admission Citizens Exhibit 12.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Any objection?

2.1

MR. SETTINERI: I am just trying to get organized here. Is that the direct testimony, your Honor?

ALJ WILLIAMS: It is.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Your Honor, the one -- I would -- the only objection I have to that admission is I probably renew my motion to strike Exhibit J from the document. On cross-examination Mr. Rand indicated that the only part of that document he may have reviewed was a map in that document. Further conversation -- cross-examination showed that he did not use that map at all. It's for a separate project.

And he also in redirect indicated that he simply put it there because he finished that -- it was one of the documents furnished that he looked at during his review. So I don't see this document being relevant. It's for a completely different project, completely different company, and again, so I would -- I am fine with leaving the rest of the document subject to all the other motions to strike that were granted and the revisions and the deletion of Exhibit K, I believe, by Mr. Rand, but I don't think J should go in the record, your Honor, and I

move to not allow that in the record.

2.1

ALJ WILLIAMS: Tell you what we are going to do, we are going to bring in Exhibit J. We are going to excerpt everything but the map so the caption page Exhibit J will come in and there is a cover page that -- I'm scrolling.

MR. SETTINERI: And, your Honor --

ALJ WILLIAMS: It indicates project map, and the next page is the actual map which the witness did describe that he referenced in regard to his overall familiarity with the site in general, so I am going to let that stay in. Mr. Settineri.

MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, could we have a PDF number for that map?

ALJ WILLIAMS: You can. So the map is -the map cover page is 372 of 422, and the actual map
is 373 of 422.

Mr. Settineri.

MR. VAN KLEY: Are we leaving two pages in then or one?

ALJ WILLIAMS: We are actually leaving in three. We are leaving the document that says Exhibit J which is the cover page to that exhibit and then the project map cover page and then the actual map.

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, if I may -- I'm sorry, Mr. Van Kley. Go ahead.

2.1

2.2

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. And I have no objection to this request. I just wanted to make sure I get the right pages here. Do you want us to resubmit the testimony without?

ALJ WILLIAMS: No. We've taken the tack on the record. Once the parties know what can and cannot be included in briefing, there is nothing to refile.

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay, great.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, if I may.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: And I understand what you are saying there. I just want to go back in the testimony quickly and look at the references just to see how that document was referred to. I want to make sure there is no confusion between the testimony and actual exhibit that is left over. And if you give me just probably 30 seconds, I can do that, or I can just come back after lunch. It doesn't matter.

ALJ WILLIAMS: We will go off the record and let you finish this argument.

(Discussion off the record.)

ALJ WILLIAMS: Back on the record.

1080 1 Mr. Settineri. 2 MR. SETTINERI: Yes, your Honor. just -- I believe your ruling was you would leave in 3 the project map drawing and the map itself and I 4 5 think the rest of the record is clear enough on the 6 cross that we know what that document is so thank 7 you. 8 ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. So that ruling we 9 made as I have just given it. 10 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) ALJ WILLIAMS: Other exhibits for 11 12 consideration? 13 MR. SETTINERI: If you can give me a second. I do. I would move for the admission of 14 15 Kingwood Exhibit 55. 16 ALJ WILLIAMS: Any objection? 17 MR. VAN KLEY: Let me just take a look at 18 that for a moment, see what it is. No, I don't have 19 an objection. 20 ALJ WILLIAMS: 55 comes in. 2.1 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 22 ALJ WILLIAMS: Nothing else on the exhibit side? 23 24 MR. SETTINERI: No, your Honor. Thank

25

you.

```
1081
                  ALJ WILLIAMS: Hearing none, we will go
 1
 2
     off the record.
 3
                  (Thereupon, at 1:22 p.m., a lunch recess
     was taken.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1082 1 Friday Afternoon Session, 2 March 11, 2022. 3 ALJ WILLIAMS: We are back on the record. 4 5 Mr. Settineri, I will invite you to call 6 your next witness. 7 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor. This is a continuation of a subpoena that was issued 8 9 to the Greene County Board of Commissioners. And I 10 believe that in addition to Mr. Huddleson, who 11 previously -- I guess testified yesterday, I believe 12 it's Vicki Abel will be appearing, and I would call 13 her to the stand. 14 ALJ WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, Ms. Abel. 15 MS. ABEL: Hi. 16 ALJ WILLIAMS: Can you hear and see me 17 okay? 18 MS. ABEL: Yes. 19 ALJ WILLIAMS: Would you raise your right 20 hand. 2.1 (Witness sworn.) ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. Please proceed, 22 23 Mr. Settineri. 24 MR. SETTINERI: Okay. All right. 25

	1083
1	VICKI ABEL
2	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
3	examined and testified as follows:
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	By Mr. Settineri:
6	Q. Good morning, Ms. Abel. My name is Mike
7	Settineri. I represent Kingwood Solar I LLC and with
8	the Vorys law firm.
9	To start first by asking you to state and
LO	spell your name for the record, please.
11	A. Vicki Abel, A-B-E-L.
12	Q. Okay. And how do you spell your first
13	name?
14	A. V-I-C-K-I.
15	ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. Ms. Abel, you are
16	real faint. I don't know if it's possible to get
17	closer to the microphone.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	ALJ WILLIAMS: Much better. Thank you.
20	MR. SETTINERI: And, your Honor, with
21	permission I would like to treat the this witness
22	as if on cross.
23	ALJ WILLIAMS: That's granted.
24	MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Thank you.
25	O. (By Mr. Settineri) Ms. Abel, since we are

virtual toady, typically we are in a hearing room, I could see you in person, I would like to know is anyone in with you today in the room in which you are testifying?

- A. No. Not in this room, no.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. And what is your job title, Ms. Abel?
  - A. I am the Executive Assistant to the County Administrator.
- Q. Okay. And how long have you been in that role?
- A. I have been with the County since 2000 -13 probably since '07.
  - Q. Okay. And who do you report to?
  - A. Brandon Huddleson.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

14

15

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Okay. And do you have interactions with the County Commissioners as well?
  - A. I do because they are in our office.

    Sometimes I am the backup for the Clerk who has a lot more dealings with the Commissioners; but, you know,

    I do see them when they come in the office.
  - Q. And when you said "Clerk," what do you mean by Clerk?
- A. We have a Clerk who she does meetings.

  She prepares everything for the Commissioner

meetings.

2.1

- Q. Okay. In terms of incoming phone calls, would those go to the clerk as well as you?
- A. It would depend. We have, you know, three different numbers that they could come in on for as far as the administrative staff. So it -- her number is not listed as much as maybe mine or the -- the lady who sits up front at the receptionist.
- Q. Okay. And as an Executive Assistant, do you provide any support to the County Commissioners?

  And so, for example, phone calls, messages, preparing documents?
- A. I don't really prepare documents. If somebody calls my line and is looking for a Commissioner, I could take -- I could take a call, yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you -- now, did you -- are you aware that Mr. Huddleson testified in this proceeding yesterday?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you watch that testimony yesterday?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Okay. So you're here pursuant to a subpoena that was issued not to you personally but to

the Greene County Board of Commissioners regarding 1 certain topics. One of those topics is we've asked 2 3 to speak to the person -- any person, be it any Greene County Board of Commissioners staff member or 4 5 any representative or employee of Greene County, who 6 spoke or communicated with, to, or from any 7 representative or employee of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and/or of the Ohio Power Siting 8 Board between October 1, 2021, and October 29, 2021. 9 10 And so I will just read that for you so you are aware 11 that was the -- part of the subpoena that we sent out 12 and other topics as well.

Are you aware of what the Power Siting Board is?

- A. Honestly, no, no. I've not been involved with that.
- Q. Okay. Well, let me ask this, so have you had any communications with any representative from the Ohio Power Siting Board?
- A. Well, I did have a representative from them call. It was, you know, back in -- back in October, yes.
- Q. Okay. And so that was one phone call, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

Q. Okay. And was that the first time that you had received a call from a representative from the Power Siting Board?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. And for the record, I'll note that the Ohio Power Siting Board is actually a division of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and that's why I am going to ask the next question. Did you have any communications from anyone that identified themselves as a representative or employee of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, otherwise known as the PUCO?
  - A. Yes. I received a phone call, yes.
- Q. Okay. But the phone call you received was someone from the Power Siting Board, correct?
  - A. Yes -- I'm sorry, yes.
- Q. You actually answered the question properly because it is a division of the PUCO. All right. So you had one phone call. So any other phone calls in 2021 from anyone from the Power Siting Board?
  - A. No, sir.

ALJ WILLIAMS: I'm not catching the audio of that, Ms. Abel. I want to make sure Ms. Gibson is getting it.

THE WITNESS: No, I did not.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) All right. And so in October, you received a call from someone from the Power Siting Board, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. Was it a man or woman?
- A. A woman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Okay. And did that -- do you know, did that call -- did you receive that call on October 27?
  - A. That I do not recall.
- Q. Okay. And I do have -- well, it could have been October 27 or October 28, 2021, correct?
- A. That's correct.
  - Q. One of those two days. Okay. And in terms -- this call came in before the County Commissioners meeting on October 28, correct?
    - A. Yes. As I recall, yes.
- Q. Okay. And so let me ask, the -- you probably don't recall, but I will ask you, do you know what number this call came in on? I think you mentioned you had multiple numbers.
  - A. Yeah. I have no idea.
- Q. Okay. And so it's not as if you have a phone with three Commissioners and Mr. Huddleson's

name in light -- it lights up and you pick up and
answer. It wouldn't be like that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A. We do have lines for, you know, different -- different people in the office, but I don't recall whose line that would have come in on.
- Q. Okay. Is there a separate line, a general line it could have come in on as well?
- A. I pick up -- I can pick up any call that comes into this office.
- Q. Okay. All right. So you received a call October 27 or October 28. And did the -- this person identify herself as a Staff member of the Ohio Power Siting Board?
- A. I don't recall exactly how she said it, but I knew she was from the Ohio Power Siting Board.
- Q. Okay. And did -- okay. And did she give you her name?
  - A. I'm sure she did, but I do not recall.
  - Q. Okay. And did she give you her job title by chance?
  - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Okay. And did she ask you whether the
  Board of Commissioners would be taking a position on
  the King -- on the Kingwood Solar proceeding?
- 25 A. I believe she asked if we had -- yes, if

we had a position, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Okay. And your understanding of that call would be a position on the Kingwood Solar project, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Did she -- and what did you tell her, if you recall, when she asked that question?
- A. I recall I put her on hold, and as my general practice, I spoke to my supervisor and said that there was a lady that was looking for that information.
  - Q. Okay. And who was your supervisor?
  - A. Brandon Huddleson.
- Q. Okay. And then after you told Mr. Huddleson that, what happened next?
- A. I got back on the line with her and advised her that we had a resolution on our upcoming Board meeting, that they would take a vote on that.
- Q. Okay. And when you informed

  Mr. Huddleson about the call and the question, do you recall what he said to you?
- MR. SHAMP: Your Honor, I am going to object on that, your Honor, as hearsay.
- Mr. Huddleson will be up next week. I believe he could be asked that question.

ALJ WILLIAMS: The testimony is salient to the issues of the subpoena. Mr. Huddleson has already testified relative to this issue, so I am going to allow the question.

MR. SHAMP: Thank you, your Honor.

- A. Could you repeat that question, please?
- Q. Yeah. When you informed Mr. Huddleson of the call and the question from the caller, do you recall, did he give you a response?
- A. He is the one that -- you know, I don't remember word for word, but he was the one to direct me, to let them know that that resolution would be on our next Commission meeting.
- Q. Okay. Did he give you any other direction at that time?
- 16 A. No. I don't believe so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

- Q. Okay. And then what did you do after he gave you the direction that it would be on the next meeting?
- A. I got back on the line with the lady from the Ohio Power Siting Board, and I relayed that to her.
- Q. Okay. Okay. And then what happened in regards to the call?
- I couldn't quite hear. I'm sorry.

- A. I'm sorry. I hung up. That was -- that was the discussion.
  - Q. All right. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A. I believe, you know, her response was okay, thank you, and that was the end of the call.
- Q. Okay. Have you had any other -- any communications or -- let me ask it a different way. Have you received any call from any member of the Ohio Power Siting Board Staff since that day?
  - A. No, I have not.
- Q. After that call, did you discuss that call with anyone else at Greene County?
  - A. I don't recall.
  - Q. And so October 27, 2020 -- and/or -- I should say strike.
    - October 27 or October 28, 2021, you had a phone call. You gave the caller a response. And after -- as of -- starting with October 29, when is the first time that this call was revisited for you?
      - A. Yesterday.
    - Q. Okay.
- A. After Brandon had testified, he asked if anyone could remember talking to someone from the Ohio Power Siting Board.
- Q. Okay. And would this have been before he

testified -- let me back up.

1

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

2 So to make sure we are clear,

3 Mr. Huddleson was deposed in his office on March 3.

4 Do you recall that? Last week.

- A. Yes. I mean, he's had his door closed for several -- several days this week so.
- Q. Okay. And so just -- so I can tell you
  he was deposed last week. After he was deposed -let me ask it a different way. When did he come to
  you -- when did he go and ask did anyone have any
  calls?
  - A. I believe it was Wednesday of this week.
    - Q. Okay. Thank you for clearing that up.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, if I may just have a short 5-minute break, could even be 3 minutes, and go off the record and go off camera just to talk to co-counsel.

18 ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. We will come back 19 at 2:33.

20 Off the record, Karen. Thanks.

21 (Recess taken.)

22 ALJ WILLIAMS: Any more questions,

23 Mr. Settineri?

Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Just a few, Ms. Abel.

25 When you gave your response to the Staff member from

the Ohio Power Siting Board on that October 27 or October 28 call, did you give her any indication of whether -- of the nature of the resolution?

- A. I don't recall whether I -- what I told her, you know, the extent of the resolution was.

  That I cannot remember.
- Q. All right. And do you remember whether you would have told -- did Mr. Huddleson direct you to tell the caller that the resolution would be in opposition to the project?
- A. Again, if he did, I don't remember -- I would have conveyed that to her if -- but I do not remember whether I said it was opposing or not.
- Q. Okay. So sitting here today what you do remember is that you told the caller that the resolution was on the Board's agenda for the October 28, 2021, meeting, correct?
  - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And nothing else about the conversation as to what you told her.
  - A. Yeah, not that I can recall.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Ms. Abel, thank you for your time today and I will let you get back to your duties, which I'm sure you have a very busy day.

1 ALJ WILLIAMS: Ms. Abel, hold on a 2 minute. We just have a little bit of a procedural 3 process to go through here. I am going to offer other parties the opportunity to ask you questions 4 5 beginning with Citizens for Greene Acres. 6 Mr. Van Kley, you're muted. Okay. We 7 will take that as no. 8 Miami Township. 9 MR. SLONE: No, your Honor. Thank you. 10 ALJ WILLIAMS: Xenia Township. 11 MR. DUNN: No questions. 12 ALJ WILLIAMS: Cedarville Township. 13 MR. BROWN: No questions, your Honor. 14 ALJ WILLIAMS: In Progress. 15 MR. HART: Nothing further, your Honor. 16 ALJ WILLIAMS: Tecumseh. 17 MR. SWANEY: No questions, your Honor. 18 Thank you. 19 ALJ WILLIAMS: Farm Bureau. 20 MS. MILAM: No questions, your Honor. 21 ALJ WILLIAMS: Staff. 22 MR. MARGARD: No questions. Thank you, 23 your Honor. 24 ALJ WILLIAMS: Does Greene County have 25 any questions of the witness?

1 MR. SHAMP: No, your Honor.

2 ALJ WILLIAMS: Ms. Abel, now you are

3 excused. Thank you for your testimony this

4 | afternoon. Have a great day.

THE WITNESS: Thanks. You too.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. I believe our next
witness is on behalf of Citizens for Greene Acres. I

8 believe that's -- Mr. Van Kley, I will let you call

9 the witness.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor. We call

11 | Mary Clay.

5

12 ALJ WILLIAMS: Before we promote Ms. Mary

13 Clay, if it's not too late, I want to go ahead and

14 rule on the motions to strike -- motions to strike

15 | which I will do now.

MR. SETTINERI: And, your Honor, if you

could give me one minute, I need to do a paperwork

18 swap.

19 ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. We can go off the

20 record while you do that.

21 (Discussion off the record.)

22 ALJ WILLIAMS: We can go back on the

23 record.

24 We took just a minute for reorganizing

25 between witnesses, and we are on the cusp of my

ruling on a motion to strike regarding the testimony of Ms. Mary McClinton Clay. The motion to strike was filed last Friday, March 4, and Citizens for Greene Acres filed a memorandum in opposition to several motions to strike on March 8.

2.1

At this time the ALJs have determined in regard to addressing these according to the individual bullets, and it appears there's six of them in regard to the motion to strike. The first bullet addresses testimony at page 7, lines 2 to 4. The motion to strike is based on an account that the testimony is speculative. The witness provides testimony critiquing the real estate appraisal opinion or report that was provided by another party in the case.

And the request to strike this as speculative is denied. The Administrative Law Judges find that a critique of real estate appraisal reports is certainly the subject for cross-examination, but if one expert has a dispute or concerns with how that other expert has framed their opinion, that's certainly ripe for that expert to declare.

Continuing to the second bullet point on page 7, lines 4 to 5, we're talking about the same -- same essential testimony there and so that will also

remain as part of the testimony as filed. It will be subject to cross-examination by the witness.

2.1

The second bullet also goes to page 25, line 13, where there's discussion and a list of exhibits that includes discussion as to USPAP which is a real estate appraisal technique and so that will also remain as part of the record, and the testimony will not be stricken.

Third bullet point goes to Exhibit F.

Again, there is a request to strike testimony within

Exhibit F at page 1., the second and third

paragraphs. Exhibit F is captioned "North Star Solar

PV Case Study--Sale-Resales Analysis," and the

witness purportedly relied upon and made this

testimony -- a part of her testimony, and the

objection is that somehow this testimony is

speculative.

Again, the Administrative Law Judges find that the testimony stands for itself while subject to cross-examination. The Attorney Examiner finds that the information does appear on its face to have some probative value in regard to the consideration of the case.

The next bullet is bullet 4 which there is a request to strike a portion of Exhibit G, page

7, the last paragraph. We note Exhibit G has a couple of pictures or depictions after the end of the text. But at page 7 the request is to strike language within that appendix that begins "Among the neighboring property owners' concerns" and continues to describe concerns relative to a hurricane event, Hurricane Dorrian, in 2019 and the wind consequences of that event and some purported damage that occurred as a result of that event. The request to strike is based on a hearsay objection.

2.1

The Attorney Examiner agrees with the request to strike that portion of this exhibit.

The -- we would note that the information in there appears to be highly prejudicial. There are certainly questions relative to what type of solar facility is at issue, what type of equipment is at issue, how it's constructed, how it was operated.

And, moreover, it's also describing a hurricane event as opposed to a tornadic event which would be more in line with what's in consideration of this case.

As a result, the Administrative Hearing
Officer finds the information is hearsay and that its
potential for prejudice far outweighs any probative
value it might have been. Accordingly that part of
the testimony -- or that part of the exhibit is

stricken.

2.1

Next we have a request in the fifth bullet to strike page 25, lines 1 through 4, that response to answer 13 references an exhibit, Exhibit H, in which the witness purports to relate some real estate appraisal consequences as associated with environmental damage studies. In reviewing Exhibit H, it's noteworthy there are numerous environmental damage concerns that are referenced to include groundwater contamination, animal loaders, leaking underground storage tanks, cell tower and high voltage transmission lines, particle emissions, proximity to the regional airport, and drainage and erosion.

The Attorney Examiner finds that the report is not relevant -- or not materially relevant to what's at issue in this case. The types of environmental damage that are the subject of the report obviously don't appear to have any great bearing on the solar case at issue, and we feel it would be highly prejudicial and would taint the record. Accordingly page 25, lines 1 through 4, in answer to 13, as well as Exhibit H are stricken from the record.

Finally, there is a request in the last

bullet point to strike page 25, lines 5 through 7, which discusses issues of landscaping around solar projects in regard to property value impacts.

The Attorney Examiner finds that that portion of the testimony including Exhibit I should remain as part of the record in this case.

Landscaping impact of solar projects in regard to property valuation and mitigation is certainly a central part of this case. And the Attorney Examiner finds that the reference to the exhibit as well as the testimony that ensues from the exhibit is certainly relevant and can be effectively considered pursuant to cross-examination that would occur in this case.

So that includes the entirety of the request for motions to strike. If there is anything that needs clarification, I will entertain that at this time. Mr. Settineri?

MR. SETTINERI: Nothing to clarify, your Honor. Appreciate the precision.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you.

Mr. Van Kley?

MR. VAN KLEY: None from me, your Honor.

Thank you.

2.1

2.2

25 ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. Please promote

1102 1 Ms. Clay then. 2 MR. SCHMIDT: Ms. Clay, you've been 3 promoted. If you can enable your audio and video. ALJ WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, Ms. Clay. 4 5 MS. CLAY: Hi. Hello. 6 ALJ WILLIAMS: Can you hear and see us? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 ALJ WILLIAMS: Fantastic. Would you 9 raise your right hand. 10 (Witness sworn.) 11 ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. Please proceed. 12 13 MARY McCLINTON CLAY 14 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 15 examined and testified as follows: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 By Mr. Van Kley: 18 Good afternoon, Ms. Clay. Q. 19 A. Hello. 20 Do you have a copy of your written direct Q. 2.1 testimony in front of you that has been marked as 22 Citizens Exhibit 3 that contains my answers -- my questions and your answers? 23 24 Yes, I do. Α. 25 Q. Did you or someone under your supervision

Proceedings - Volume V 1103 1 prepare this testimony? 2 Α. I did. 3 Ο. Do you have any corrections that you would like to make to this testimony? 4 5 Α. Yes. On page 2, line 12, that should 6 read "and an access break," singular break. 7 On page 10, line 7, the first word on line 7, that should be "a party to the hearing." 8 9 Ο. All right. So you've struck "part of," 10 and you've replaced it with "party to"? 11 "Party to." Α. 12 MR. SETTINERI: And, Mr. Van Kley, what 13 was that page reference and edit again? 14 MR. VAN KLEY: Page 10, line 7. 15 MR. SETTINERI: All right. Mr. Van Kley, the edit to the extent you have it? 16 17 MR. VAN KLEY: On line 7, replace "part 18 of" with "party to." 19 MR. SETTINERI: I am a little dense. Did 20 you say "page 11"? 2.1 MR. VAN KLEY: Page 10. 22 MR. SETTINERI: Page 10. There we go.

23 Thank you, sir.

25

24 MR. VAN KLEY: You're welcome.

> Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Ms. Clay, what's your

1104 1 next correction? 2 Page 16, line 2, "northeast" should say "northwest." 3 All right. Proceed. 4 Ο. 5 Α. Page 25, line 1, should say "Question 31" and not "32." 6 7 The next one is Exhibit I. 8 Q. Could you just give us a moment to find Exhibit I, please. 9 10 Okay. Where in Exhibit I would you like to make a correction? 11 12 On the second row -- page 1, second row, illuminate "with 1 feet of barbed wire." 13 And on -- also in Exhibit I, the first 14 15 page, on line No. 6, replace "realized" with "reanalyzed." 16 Q. Okay. Do you have any other corrections? 17 18 No. That's all. Α. 19 All right. Very good. Now, Ms. Clay, if Ο. 20 I asked you the questions in your testimony today 2.1 with the corrections you've made, would your answers 22 be the same as are written in your testimony? 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 MR. VAN KLEY: At this time, your Honor,

the witness is ready for cross-examination.

1105 1 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley. 2 We'll begin with Miami Township. 3 MR. SLONE: No cross for Miami Township. 4 Thank you. 5 ALJ WILLIAMS: Greene County. MR. SHAMP: No cross, your Honor. 6 7 ALJ WILLIAMS: Xenia Township. 8 MR. DUNN: No cross, your Honor. 9 ALJ WILLIAMS: Cedarville Township. 10 MR. BROWN: No cross, your Honor. 11 ALJ WILLIAMS: In Progress. 12 MR. HART: No cross, your Honor. 13 ALJ WILLIAMS: Tecumseh Land Trust. 14 MR. SWANEY: No cross, your Honor. 15 ALJ WILLIAMS: Farm Bureau. MS. MILAM: No questions, your Honor. 16 ALJ WILLIAMS: And Staff. 17 18 MS. BAIR: No questions, your Honor. 19 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you. 20 Mr. Settineri. 2.1 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor. 22 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION 24 By Mr. Settineri: 25 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Clay. It's good to

see you again.

2.1

- A. Hello.
- Q. Hope you are doing well.
- A. Fine, thank you.
- Q. Good. Again, I represent Kingwood Solar I LLC and want to ask you some questions. I am just going to start where you left off on Exhibit I in your direct testimony, please. And tell me when you're there.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. On the second paragraph you say
  "In addition to my studies of McBride Place Solar,
  Grandy Solar, and Pleinmont Solar (Spotsylvania), in
  Exhibit G, I real -- realized three of Richard
  Kirkland solar project matched pairs analysis. These
  include Mulberry Solar, Simon Solar, and Candance
  Solar." The question for you is Mulberry Solar and
  Simon Solar, and Candance Solar, are those all
  utility-scale solar projects that were proposed to be
  developed in Kentucky?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. I see I should have looked

  further. We have Tennessee, Georgia, and others.

  Okay. And so there you took Mr. Kirkland's analysis

  for those properties and using the data came up with

your own analysis; is that right?

2.1

2.2

- A. That's right.
- Q. Okay. And the -- all three of those -- the analysis for all three, there was no landscaping as we think of it in the analysis, but rather it was based on tree stands, correct?
- A. Let's see, it was -- do you know -- when I measured them -- I can't -- let's see. You know, I'm not sure if there were or there was not new plantings around the -- around the solar farm. When I meas -- what I measured was -- was the distance in terms of woodland, you know, whatever was between the solar farm and -- and the edge of the property. And there could have been additional perimeter landscaping. I just don't recall.
  - Q. Thank you. Ms. Clay, working backwards, on the Candance Solar project that's on page 2 of that exhibit in Princeton, North Carolina, that -- that situation involved a -- as I see here, a 250-foot depth of dense woodland between the dwelling and the solar -- near solar panel, correct?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then going back up to page -the next one would be -- let's see here. Simon
  Solar, that also involved a 100-foot mature tree

stand between the property line and the solar farm, right? And that was as to the small agriculture tract in your analysis, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. And which -- what was the -- the small agricultural tract in that analysis, was that a control sale property?
  - A. For Kirkland?
  - O. For Simon Solar.
- 10 A. Is your question was it a control sale
  11 for Kirkland?
- Q. Yeah. Let me try it a different way and let me just see here. So Simon Solar on page 1 is a 30 megawatt in a rural area in Social Circle, Georgia, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, for all three of these projects, you never went out to those sites, correct?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. You just utilized the data from Richard Kirkland's appraisal analysis, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you say here in the second line, "This paired sales analysis considers the effect of the solar plant on a 36.86 acre tract

adjoining the solar plant to the south," right?

- A. Okay. Wait. Where are you reading?
- Q. Yeah.
- A. Oh, I see it, the first paragraph.
- Q. Yeah.

2.1

- A. The first sentence of that paragraph beginning with "Simon Solar."
- Q. That's right. And then the second sentence says "This paired sales analysis considers the effect of the solar plant on a 36.86 acre tract adjoining the solar plant to the south," correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, were there any woodlands between that tract and the solar plant?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And then going to Mulberry Solar, that's a 16 megawatt plant in Selmer, Tennessee, and that was the sales data included two similar-sized sales, a 1.7-acre tract adjoining the solar farm and two 1.67 acres sold for \$20,000 that did not adjoin the solar farm. Now, there on that 1.7-acre tract, was there a wood lot between that tract and the solar farm, do you know?
- A. Yes. There was a -- a minimal tree stand.

Q. Okay. And then for your -- for your -- and let me ask you, for that 1.7-acre tract, what would you describe that as? Is that your control sale?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And then you have two other sales, two 1.67-acre tracts sold for \$28,000. How would you describe those? Are those also control sales?
- A. No. Those were -- those were test sales because they didn't adjoin.
- Q. Okay. And did those two tracts also have a -- either a small woodlot or large woodlot between the tracts and the solar farm?
- A. I don't recall whether they did or not.

  They were -- they, of course, didn't adjoin the solar farm.
- Q. All right. Okay. We can put that aside, please. And so I am just going to run through some questions. Next, you've received an MAI designation, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And that designation means that you are qualified not only for residential appraisals but also for commercial appraisals, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And at page 2, answer 5 of your testimony, you list your experience with before and after appraisals, correct? Do you see that, and I quess I will just say correct? Am I right?
  - A. Page 2, what line?
- Q. Yeah. I will direct you to page 2, answer 5 of your testimony.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Okay? That paragraph there lists your experience with before and after appraisals; is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And before and after appraisals are also known as damage studies, correct?
- A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And --
  - A. Well, not -- I mean, they -- typically there's some -- some difference. Yeah, I would say, yes, they could be construed to be damage.
    - Q. Okay. And --
- A. You may measure something else, you know,
  other than damage. It doesn't nec -- I don't think
  necessarily has to be damage. It depends on whatever
  you are trying to extract an adjustment for.

Typically with regard to highway takings, there is usually damage, but it doesn't necessarily have to be damage.

- Q. Okay. What is an excess property appraisal?
  - A. An access or excess?
  - Q. Excess.

2.1

A. Excess, an excess property appraisal is when you are appraising land that the highway department has acquired by a condemnation process and it doesn't have -- it cannot stand on its own as a self-contained lot. It only has contributing value to the adjoining lot.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Margard, your microphone isn't muted. If you could mute, please.

MR. MARGARD: Oh, I'm so sorry. Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) And then what is an access break study?
- A. An access break is -- occurs when someone is a -- when someone who has property on a controlled access road, that does not have access that wants and is eligible for access. And in order to get that access, they have to pay the highway department for it, and the measure of the value of the access break

is before and after evaluation appraising the property before or without the access and after with the access, and the difference between those two is the contributing value of the access.

- Q. And just jumping back to the excess property appraisals, you've done two of those appraisals for the state of Kentucky in your career, correct?
  - A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. All right. And that would be referenced at page 2 of your testimony, line 11, you say "In the last three years, I have appraised" two -- that's my word, "I have appraised excess property owned by the Commonwealth," and I won't read the rest of that sentence but those -- in the last three years, did you do two access property appraisals for the state of Kentucky?
- A. They were done for the individuals who wanted to acquire them from the state of Kentucky.
- Q. Thank you for clarifying. All right. I am going to just have you turn to your CV which is Exhibit A to your testimony. Just a quick question there and it's a question for you on the second page. Let me know when you are there.
  - A. I'm there. I'm sorry.

Q. Okay. You reference under the "Additional Damage Studies," I see the -- you have an industrial solar -- sorry, industrial-scale solar farms, and it's titled "A Summary of Solar Energy Power Systems Damage Studies as of May 25, 2021"? Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Now, that's not a damage study, correct?
- A. That was -- that was a summary of the existing body of -- of work as of that date that included literature search and -- and a report of other damage studies other appraisers had done, and it also included damage studies that I had done.
  - Q. Okay. And that report, that was related to the utility-scale solar, correct?
    - A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. And you were hired to do that study for the Clark Coalition in western Kentucky, correct?
    - A. Correct.
- Q. And the Clark Coalition is a group of citizens opposed to a 5,000-acre solar farm, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you may have answered this in

part, but the study that you did for the Clark

Coalition consisted of doing a literature search

including studies that had been done that addressed

the issue of proximity to solar farms, and you

included your analysis of the North Star Solar Farm,

McBride Place Solar Farm in North Carolina, and the

Sunshine Farm study, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Now, the three -- all three of those studies are attached to your testimony; is that correct?
- 12 A. Correct.

8

9

10

11

17

18

- Q. And since you did that report in May of 2021, you've added -- done another study as well, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
  - Q. And is that the Spotsylvania Solar study that's included in your Exhibit G?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Thanks. Now, you have not been to the Kingwood Solar project area; is that correct?
- A. That's not correct. I went on this past Saturday.
- Q. And -- all right. You've not been to the
  North Star project area, correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. For the four studies that are included in Exhibit G of your testimony, that's the McBride Place Solar study, Spotsylvania study, Sunshine Farm study, the North Star study, here is the question for you, for all four studies you didn't investigate whether any detrimental condition existed other than the viewshed, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And for the solar farms that were the subject of all four studies, for the four studies, you are not aware of any detrimental conditions existing other than the viewshed, correct?
- A. That's -- that's correct at the time I did the studies.
- Q. Okay. And you didn't visit the area of any of those four solar farms that were the subject of your four studies, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. You would agree with me that relying solely on appraisal values for a damages study is not an appropriate appraisal method?
  - A. I'm sorry. Would you say that again?
- Q. Sure. Do you agree with me that relying solely on appraisal values for a damages study is not

an appropriate appraisal method? And let me --

- A. I didn't rely on appraisal values. I relied on sale prices.
- Q. Okay. And let me reframe the question. You would agree with me that relying on an assessor appraiser -- appraisal values for a damages study and relying solely on using assessment appraiser -- assessment values, it is not an appropriate solar damage study, correct?
  - A. Relying on assessed valuations?
- Q. Correct.

2.1

- A. Correct. It's not an appropriate.
- Q. Okay. I want to turn back to Exhibit G, and I just want to look through the McBride Place Solar study that's on page 1. You reference there in that study, third paragraph, "A time adjustment derived from the Zillow Home Value Index for North Carolina Single Family Market from 2014 to 2021."

  What is the purpose of a time adjustment?
- A. Well, if one sale occurred prior to another, to bring those two sales up to equivalency, one makes a time adjustment.
- Q. All right. And so that came from Zillow
  Home Value -- I guess that came from Zillow, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Are there other indexes other than the Zillow Home Index?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Do you know which -- which other indexes are available?
- A. Well, there is a Case-Shiller Index, Moody's Analytics, Freddie Mac, and the Federal Housing Finance Agency.
- 9 Q. Okay. How do you decide which index to 10 use?
  - A. I used -- I used the one that was available to me at the time. I was interested in something that was apropos of the area so that's the one that I used.
  - Q. All right. So you used the Zillow Home Value in this study because it was easily accessible, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. On that one I have a question
  there, so one, two, three, four paragraphs down,
  there's a sentence that says, let me see here, "It is
  notable that a fourth sale, though not a sale-resale,
  was negative 16.81 percent below its assessment at
  the time of sale." Do you see that?
- A. I'm sorry. What -- we are on page 4?

Q. No, page 1, McBride Place Solar. I'm counting one, two, three, four paragraphs down.

There is a sentence that says "notable that a fourth sale, though not a sale-resale, was negative 16.81 percent below its assessment at the time of sale." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So now that one, that fourth sale, that was information -- well, I guess what is the assessment you are referencing there, let me ask that question, when you say "below the assessment"?
- A. Well, this situation was the property -there was a sale that sold for \$530,000. And it did
  not -- it did not have -- well, it was just a sale.
  I didn't have two sales. I didn't have two
  back-to-back sales for this situation. I did have
  two -- a back-to-back sales for the first three. I
  relied on the first three because they were
  sale-resales.

I mentioned the fourth sale relative to its assessment not to rely upon it as an indication of value but as additional information which supported the indicated value for the other three sales.

Q. And let me ask you, you said indicated

the value of the other three sales, now --

- A. No, no, it supported. It supported the indicated value. I used it only for support.
  - Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. I did not rely on it as a sale-resale indicator of diminution of value.
- Q. Yeah. And so your three paired sales are one, two, and three in the chart that's in the next page, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And if I go across, you see you had a negative 15.65 percent change, a negative 15.51 percent for the second, and then the third sale negative 16.44 percent, and then the last one, the fourth one, is a negative 16.81 percent. But what that is, that's a comparison between the appraised value and the sale that actually occurred, right?

And so I just want to understand that when you say you were not relying on that information, and I assume the information you are relying on is the negative 16.18 percent. What you are saying is that supports your findings above on the negative 15, negative 16 percent.

- A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And then on the two dollars that I

see on the No. 4, there is a \$530,000 figure and a \$637,100 figure, which one is the appraiser's assessment value?

- A. The 637.1.
- Q. Okay. And then the 530,000 was an actual sale?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Thank you. If you can turn to the Spotsylvania study, I had a question for you there.

  Tell me when you're there. Specifically I have a question on your chart, and it's the chart titled

  "Fawn Lake Lot Sales Spotsylvania Solar."
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Just on your chart for comments at the very bottom on the last row, far right-hand column, "Comments," it says "Adjoins Solar Farm, S.Side," I think it's a dash or "SV." What does that mean?
- A. It's on the south side of Southview Court.
- Q. Okay. And let's just turn to the next page. This is actually a good example here. The next page is a map, and it looks like a map of a subdivision and there's some numbers that have been placed on certain lots. Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And so the numbers on this lot match up with the numbers on your chart, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And so for No. 5, 11700 Southview Court, that's shown there, it's a corner lot but there is no house on it here, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And then No. 3 is also -- it's on a cul-de-sac, right?
- 10 A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

- Q. Okay. Now let me see, did you -- in terms of a paired sales analysis, No. -- you -- No. 3 was your control sale, right?
- A. Well, sale number -- yes, yes, No. 3 was
  my control sale.
  - Q. And it was a control sale because you believe that was sold prior to the solar farm being announced.
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And do you know from this just roughly where the solar farm was to be located in reference to this subdivision?
- A. Directly south of lots -- or sales 5 and 3.
- Q. Okay. Okay. And then in terms of No. 5,

you would compare sale 3 to 5 because they are very similar, both wooded lots that would back up to the solar farm; is that right?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Now, let me ask you this, No. 3 is in the cul-de-sac. No. 5 is a corner lot. Would that factor into your appraisal at all?
- A. No, not -- not for -- not for this particular location.
  - Q. And why not?
- A. Well, because it's -- with respect to commercial lots, it makes a difference. With respect to residential lots, it -- it doesn't necessarily.
- Q. All right. So in your experience is there a preference for a cul-de-sac lot versus a corner lot?
  - A. Well, I think sometimes cul-de-sac lots are more private. They are not on a through road.
  - Q. Okay. So that would be more preferable to be on a cul-de-sac lot?
  - A. Well, not -- not necessarily, just as opposed to, you know, a corner lot. There -- there -- there's just not that much difference.
- Q. Okay. All right. And then does -- the
  McBride study you did in summer of 2021, right? I'm

sorry. I'm in Spotsylvania. The Spotsylvania Solar study you did in 2021, summer, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. Going back to page 3, line 13, of your direct testimony, let's go back there. Let me know when you are there.
  - A. Page 3, did you say?
- Q. Yes, page 3, line 13. I wanted to ask you about the Kentucky Siting Board solar cases.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. So starting at line 11, you say "In addition, I have reviewed five 'property value impact studies' submitted by developers for solar farms in applications to the Kentucky Siting Board for installing solar facilities." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, those five projects would be the Horseshoe Solar project, the McCracken Solar project, the Meade County Solar project, the AEUG Madison Solar project, and the Seabreeze Solar project, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And Kentucky has a law that
  requires the Kentucky Siting Board to consider
  property values prior to approving the construction

and operation of a large solar facility, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Okay. And the Kentucky Siting Board hires a consultant to help it review applications for solar farms, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And do you know, the Board does that for all types of generation facilities, doesn't it?
  - A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Okay. And in all the five projects that we listed -- let me ask in the five projects we discussed where you reviewed the property, five property value impact studies, and it's five projects, five studies, you were hired by the Board's consultant which was Wells Engineering, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And you were paid by Wells Engineering, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And all five of those cases Wells
  Engineering provided a report to the Kentucky Siting
  Board evaluating the impacts to property values,
  correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And Wells Engineering did not

adopt your recommendations in any of those five case, correct?

A. No.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And in all five cases the Kentucky Siting Board found that the solar facility will more than likely not have an adverse impact on nearby property values, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So fair to say that the Board did not agree with your conclusions and your reports for those five projects, correct?
- A. Well, they -- they basically, you know, disregarded all my analyses. And the only ones that -- the only one that they discussed was the North Star Solar Farm analysis. And they misrepresented that one because they criticized -- criticized it because of the inclusion of the developer sales. Well, I specifically excluded those from my analysis. So, you know, that was -- you know, that was misleading.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. And they basically came to the conclusion that the fact that there was mitigating landscaping in effect resulted in -- in solar facilities being the scenic equivalent of the landscaping, and so they

1 | concluded that there was no damage generally on -- on 2 | that basis.

But they -- they basically disregarded all of -- all of my analyses except -- except for citing the North Star one which was a misrepresentation of -- of the analysis.

- Q. Yeah. Let me ask you, you believe that utility scale solar farms are unsightly when placed in agricultural environments; is that correct?
- A. I -- it -- it depends on -- on the area. It depends on the market. It depends on the comprehensive plan. It depends on various reasons. I mean, I don't -- I don't agree with that as a blanket statement.
- Q. Okay. Going back to Seabreeze Solar project, the developers' consultant on that project was CohnReznick; is that right?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. All right. Now, at the bottom of page 3 of your testimony, you note that you testified before two planning commissions, one in Clark Township and one in Hardin County.
  - A. Right.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Was that testimony under oath?
- 25 A. Hardin -- Hardin County was.

- Q. And what about Clark County?
- A. No, I don't recall about Clark County.
- Q. Were you subject to any questioning in those meetings as part of your testimony?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. On page 4, answer 10, let's turn there, now, you list a number of cases in answer 10. Sorry. Yeah, page 4, answer 10. Now, none of those cases involved solar projects, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, let's go to page 5, turn there.

  There is a question 12, "Are you familiar with the impact of commercial-scale solar projects on property values in the area surrounding such a project?" And your answer is "Yes." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the basis for your answer is that based on the four studies attached in Exhibit G of your testimony?
- A. Wait a minute. I'm sorry. Was that question 12?
  - Q. Question and answer 12.
- A. And your response to my question was?
- Q. Well, what I wanted to know is, you know, the question is saying "Are you familiar with the

impact of commercial solar -- scale solar projects on property values in the area surrounding such a solar project," and you say "Yes." And so my -- what I would like to know is the reason you say yes, is that because you have done the four studies that are attached in Exhibit G to your testimony?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. At page 8 of your testimony, you reference a study from the University of Rhode
  Island, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

25

Q. Okay. Let's go ahead and mark that as an exhibit so we can discuss it.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, at this time
I would like to mark -- we are going to pull up
Kingwood Exhibit 47 titled "Property Value Impacts of
Commercial-Scale Solar Energy in Massachusetts and
Rhode Island."

ALJ WILLIAMS: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Let me know when you have that up or with you.
- 24 A. Yes. Yes, I have it.
  - Q. Okay. Great. Can you identify what has

1 | been marked as Kingwood Exhibit 37?

ALJ WILLIAMS: 47, sir.

3 MR. SETTINERI: 47, thank you. Good

4 ears.

2

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. This?
- Q. Okay. Great. That's a copy of the Rhode
  Island study you reference in your testimony,
  correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. Now, you've never spoken to the authors of this study; is that correct?
    - A. No.
  - Q. Okay. If you could turn to page 5. And while you are turning there, this -- this study was done related to consideration of the impact on property values from solar projects; is that right?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. If you turn to page 5, I am going to read a sentence for you. It's the second full sentence. It starts in the middle of line 3, "The results suggest that the overall negative effects of solar arrays on nearby property values are driven by farm and forest sites and non-rural areas (non-rural is most akin to suburban, as there are very few solar sites in urban areas)," and then it continuing on

"Solar developments on landfills and industrial areas or in rural areas have smaller and statistically insignificant effects on prices." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. All right. So going -- for the University of Rhode Island study, you are aware that the study defined rural as a population density of 850 people per square mile or fewer; is that correct?
- A. Well, not -- it's not quite correct. The study defines rural area as a town with less than 850 people.
- Q. And why don't I just read this into the record, okay? "We define an indicator available" -- I'm sorry. Let me back up for the record. Let's turn to page 10, Miss Clay. And if you look at page 10, there is a sentence in the last full paragraph, right in the middle. It starts "We define an indicator." Are you there?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. "We design an indicator variable Rural, which equals one if the town has a population density of 850 people per square mile or fewer." Did I read that correctly?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Now, you don't know the population density in Greene County, do you?

A. No.

2.1

- Q. And you don't know the population --
- A. I'm sorry, Greene?
- Q. Greene County.
- A. Greene County, oh. I -- I don't -- I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. You believe -- let's see here, we got that one. Turning to page 11, line 8, of your testimony. Page 11, line 8, there you're discussing a test sale property and I think you are discussing some items from the CohnReznick report, but specifically at line 8 and starting on line 7, you say, "For example, the test sale property near Solar Farm No. 4 (Lapeer) was located in B-2 zoning that allowed high density business and says (commercial) uses." Do you see that sentence?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you -- are you aware that the zone -- you are aware of where the solar facility is located in Lapeer, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. In fact, there are two that are very near each other, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And the zoning designation where those facilities are located, that designation is a B-2\* designation; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. So, in fact, your Honor, if we could just mark Kingwood Exhibit 48, please.

9 ALJ WILLIAMS: Which is?

MR. SETTINERI: That is a -- a document, a zoning chart, your Honor, for Lapeer, Michigan.

12 ALJ WILLIAMS: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Do you have that Lapeer zoning chart that's been marked as Kingwood Exhibit 48 with you, Ms. Clay?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And looking at that, you are familiar with this document, correct?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so again, the solar facility there for B-2\* zoning designation; is that right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And you see the -- the zoning district table at the very bottom, it says "B-2\* conditional

CC," right, and it looks like there is a date perhaps, December 7, 2015. Do you know what the B-2\* conditional stands for?

- A. Yes. The B-2 means that at such time that the solar farm ceases to be, it will revert back to the zoning at the time it was rezoned to D-2.
- Q. Right. And this is something that you have learned since we took your deposition last week, correct?
  - A. Oh, yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Let's go to page 3 of your testimony, line 9. And there -- line 9 there is a sentence that says "The appraisal theory and methodology for studying the effects of solar farms on proximate property values are the same--with the only difference being the detrimental condition." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And is the primary detrimental condition for consideration of a study of the effects of a solar farm on proximate property values is the primary detrimental condition that consideration of the viewshed?
  - A. That's the primary one.
  - Q. Okay. Erosion can be another; is that

1135 1 correct? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. And contamination can be another, correct? 4 5 Α. Yes, if it exists --6 Q. Okay. And --7 Α. -- at the time. Contamination could be, you believe, from 8 Q. 9 GenX, G-E-N-X, which is Teflon film over the panels; is that correct? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Ο. And another contamination could be zinc 13 from -- that you believe would come from the -- the panels' galvanized steel supports and that's because 14 zinc can kill microbes; is that correct? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. Now, you agree that just because 18 an MAI appraiser is paid for its services by their 19 client, that does not result in bias on the 20 appraiser's recommendations, correct? 2.1 That's correct. Α. 22 Okay. And you are being paid to appear Q. 23 here today, correct? 24 Correct. Α. 25 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with good

neighbor agreements?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. Yes.
- Q. What's your understanding of a good neighbor agreement?
- A. A good neighbor agreement is offered by the solar developer to prevent the -- according to the document to prevent them from objecting to -- to the solar farm in any hearings or legislative functions, and they also give up the right to sue the developer in case of any future damage.
- Q. Okay. And that's your general understanding of what a good neighbor agreement is?
  - A. For a price they -- they pay them --
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. -- to -- to not object to the project.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware whether Kingwood Solar has offered good neighbor agreements to nearby neighbors?
  - A. I -- I understand -- I've seen a document that -- that they offered adjoining -- adjoining owners to enter into a good neighbor agreement.
    - Q. Okay.
- A. Whether they -- I don't know specifically
  if -- if any individuals have entered into such an
  agreement.

Q. Okay. So if Kingwood Solar had made offers for good neighbor agreements to surrounding property owners, that would not factor into your review of whether this project will impact property values, correct?

2.1

- A. I mean, I would base -- base any decision regarding diminution in value on -- on sales information. However, there -- the interesting thing about the one in Greene County is that the offer was on a sliding scale based on proximity to the solar farm. So the closer you were to the solar farm, the bigger the compensation was in the agreements which kind of mirrors the spectrum of damage. The closer you are the higher the damage.
- Q. Okay. So you are saying you would factor that into your analysis here.
- A. No, I wouldn't. I said it's -- it's -- if I view it as -- as an indication of -- of damage in that when you compare the fact that their appraisers have concluded that there is no damage, then, you know, why are they making these offers? It just -- it appears to be a tacit admission of potential damage, but I would not use it in -- because there -- there's no indication of, you know, whatever percentage diminution there is. But I -- I

would -- I would regard it as -- as an indication that -- that there could possibly be damage or there wouldn't be any sense in having them.

- Q. Okay. So that would assist you in forming your opinion in this case, correct?
- A. No. I would -- I would base any -- any decision on -- on the empirical data that I would find from the market.
- Q. Okay. And you believe that -- do you believe that Kingwood Solar can determine what it will pay people for good neighbor agreements on its -- that is Kingwood Solar's decision to make those payments as it sees fit?
- A. Yeah. They can -- they can do anything they want to do.
- MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Let's -- one minute, your Honor.
- 18 ALJ WILLIAMS: We will go off record.
- 19 (Discussion off the record.)
- 20 ALJ WILLIAMS: Back on the record,
- 21 please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. One moment,
- your Honor, if I can confer with counsel briefly.
- 24 ALJ WILLIAMS: Back off the record.
- 25 (Discussion off the record.)

1139 ALJ WILLIAMS: Back on the record. 1 2 MR. SETTINERI: All right. Thank you, 3 your Honor. At this time we would like to mark Kingwood Exhibit 50. It is correspondence from Mary 4 5 McClinton Clay dated September 3, 2021, to Senator Paul Hornback. 6 7 Ο. (By Mr. Settineri) Ms. Clay, let me know when you have that exhibit in front of you. 8 9 ALJ WILLIAMS: So marked. 10 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 11 Α. I have it. 12 Great. All right. Are you -- can you Q. 13 identify what's been marked as Kingwood Exhibit 50? 14 I'm sorry. Could I do what? 15 Ο. Can you identify what's been marked as 16 Kingwood Exhibit 50, please? 17 Α. You mean? Yeah, just what it is. Yes. 18 Okay. Is this a letter you wrote to Q. Senator Paul Hornback? 19 20 Α. Yes. 2.1 Q. Okay. And why did you write this letter? 22 Well, I wrote this letter because Clark Α. 23 Coalition, who I had done my report for, asked me to

send it to the Legislative Research Committee.

24

the letter, you provided a summary chart of examples of diminution in property values as a result of proximity to utility-scale solar farms; is that right?

- A. I'm sorry. Say that sentence again.
- Q. Sure. You attached a summary chart to this letter of examples of diminution in property value as a result of proximity to utility-scale solar farms; is that right?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. All right. And, in addition, if I turn the page to the second page, starting at the second full paragraph, you note that solar developers use neighbor agreements to limit local opposition to their solar farms. Do you see that sentence?
- A. Oh, I don't have that. I don't have that page. I just have --
- Q. Is it only one page? It should be a whole letter unless I printed it wrong.
- A. Yeah. I have -- let's see, I have the first page. Oh, I'm sorry. It is -- I'm sorry. Excuse me. It's only two pages of charts, right?
- Q. Well, hold on. Let's make sure we have the right one. Bear with me.
- 25 ALJ WILLIAMS: Miss Clay, it's a two-page

1 letter, two pages of charts, and then your CV.

MR. SETTINERI: Oh, good. Thank you,

3 | your Honor.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) All right. So look at the second page of your letter. All right. And you will see there in the letter again the paragraph that reads "Solar developers" -- or the sentence that reads "Solar developers use 'Neighbor Agreements' to limit local opposition to their solar farms." Do you see that?
- 13 A. Yeah. I'm sorry. I was on the chart, 14 not the second page of the letter. Excuse me.
  - Q. That's all right.
  - A. Yes, yes, yes.
  - Q. And then you list some examples. You have Western Mustang Solar agreement, Lighthouse BP neighbor agreement, the Posey Solar, LLC, agreement as well. Do you see that?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And you have a paragraph that reads "These payments are significant because the developers' own appraisers have determined that solar farms will have no adverse impact on adjacent

property values. However, the payments can only be interpreted as a tacit admission of value impairment"; is that correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And then if I turn to the table, next page, "Summary of Indicated Value Decline," do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you list a number of -- a couple studies. And if I keep going to the second page, you list other -- again, I think your studies, your four studies, the North Star, McBride Place, Sunshine Farms, Spotsylvania, and then you also include the three good neighbor agreements, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that's included in a table that's listed as "Summary of Indicated Value Decline," right?
  - A. Uh-huh, yes.
- Q. Okay. And the Western Mustang neighbor agreement row, it states "Monetary offer of 17,000 to adjacent property owners to quel opposition to the proposed solar facility," correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And then Posey Solar it notes that a

```
1143
     "Monitary offer equal to 10 percent of appraised
 1
 2
     value for neighbors within 300 feet of the solar
     field, plus an annual $1,000 payment ($35,000 for
 3
     project life)." Do you that?
 4
 5
            Α.
                 Yes.
                 MR. SETTINERI: Okay. One moment, your
 6
 7
     Honor. If I could -- I think, your Honor, I'm
     done -- almost done, but I do need -- if I could take
 8
 9
     just a brief 5-minute break off record, off video to
10
     confer and go through my notes, that would be great.
                 ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. We will go off
11
12
     record until 4:01.
13
                 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor.
14
                 (Recess taken.)
15
                 ALJ WILLIAMS: Back on the record.
16
                 MR. SETTINERI: Are we back on the
17
     record, your Honor?
18
                 ALJ WILLIAMS: Yes, Mr. Settineri.
19
                 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. I have no
20
     further questions for Ms. Clay. Thank you.
2.1
                 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you.
                 Mr. Van Kley, do you need time on
22
23
     redirect?
24
                 MR. VAN KLEY: I am ready to go, your
25
     Honor.
```

ALJ WILLIAMS: Please proceed.

2.1

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Van Kley:

Q. All right. Ms. Clay, I am going to ask you just a few questions that will generally follow the same sequence as the questions by Mr. Settineri. So why don't we start with the Exhibit I of your direct testimony that has been marked as Citizens Exhibit 3.

And just as a reminder for the record, this is your paper or your testimony, I guess, part of your testimony on landscaping and utility-scale solar projects. Have you found Exhibit I to your testimony?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, for purposes of your testimony -I'm sorry. For purposes of your analysis in Exhibit
  I, does it matter whether the trees located between
  the solar facility and the test sale property is
  composed of trees that existed prior to the solar
  farm's construction or whether those trees were
  planted after the solar farm construction occurred?
  - A. No, it doesn't make any difference.
  - Q. Why not?

- A. Because it's the depth of the trees regardless of when they were planted.
- Q. Okay. And then with regard to Mulberry Solar on page 1 of Exhibit I, you answered a question by saying that there is a minimum -- or minimal tree stand. Do you recall that?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And what do you mean by a minimal -- or what did you mean by the -- your reference to a minimal tree stand?
- A. Well, minimal tree stand would be it was just a few trees. The depth of the trees were -- were not such that I could readily measure it.
  - O. Uh-huh.
  - A. Just -- it was just a few trees.
- Q. And by just a few trees, do you mean a few trees deep or a few trees wide?
  - A. Well, in terms of length and -- and width, it was just a cluster of -- you know, a narrow cluster of trees.
  - Q. Okay. Now, your discussion about Exhibit G, you were asked whether the viewshed is the only detrimental condition that you considered. Do you recall that question and answer?
- A. I'm sorry, Mr. Van Kley. Could you say

that again, please?

2.1

- Q. Yeah. In connection with your discussion with Mr. Settineri about Exhibit G, you stated that -- that the viewshed was the only detrimental condition that you consider. Do you recall that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you do your paired sales analyses, do you just look at the numbers for the test sales and the control sales and compare them or do you -- and is that the only basis on which you determine whether there's an effect on property values from the facility that's been evaluated, or do you also add other considerations such as viewshed to that analysis?
- A. What -- what was the first part of that sentence that you asked me? In addition to the viewshed what?
- Q. Yeah. Let me ask you the question again because it wasn't very well worded. When you do a paired sales analysis and you determine that there is an effect from a specific condition such as the existence of an adjacent solar farm on property values, do you base that conclusion just on the numbers, just on the dollars for the sales, or do you add other analyses to that, to that con -- come to

that conclusion?

2.1

A. Well, I let the sales tell me what's happening. I -- I find sales that are -- that adjoin the solar farm or whatever detrimental condition I'm looking at. And -- and then I go to an area that has an identical situation with respect to the kinds of houses, the age, so forth, and so on. Everything has to be comparable except for the detrimental condition that I'm trying to estimate.

And so I let -- I let the sales, you know, tell me what -- what the -- what the impact is dollarwise relative to the detrimental condition. I mean, I don't -- I don't add anything to it other than what -- what the sales indicate, if that's what you mean.

Q. Yes, that is exactly what I was asking. Now, you stated that -- scratch that.

All right. You were asked some questions about time adjustment in your paired sales analyses, and you stated that at least in one particular instance you used Zillow to provide the fact for time adjustment; is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you consider Zillow to be an accurate source of that information?

A. It appeared accurate. When I did North Branch, I used the -- the statistician at the multiple listing, did -- did two specific analyses, one specifically for the county, one specifically for North Branch. So I tried to get -- I tried to pick the source that I know has the most accurate local information. I would rather get a local source than, you know, a national source.

Q. And why is that?

2.1

- A. I think, you know, more accurate.
- Q. So do you use Zillow for your time adjustment factor every time you need a time adjustment factor or do you --
  - A. That's the first time I've used them.
- Q. All right. So with respect to the studies that you have performed that constituted -- constitutes the basis for your opinions in this case, how many of those studies did you use Zillow?
- A. Just -- just that one. I try not to have to use a time adjustment. I try to get the sales close enough so that -- so that a time adjustment is not necessary. The more adjustments you have the less reliable your indication is.
- Q. All right. You were asked some questions about your work for Wells Engineering, and I have a

few follow-up questions there. Are you aware of any information about Wells Engineering that you believe provides any perspective on why Wells Engineering did not accept your conclusion --

A. Well.

2.1

- O. -- conclusions?
- A. Apparently -- well, they are an engineering company, and apparently their emphasis is on electrical engineering as opposed to structural engineering or, you know, other forms of civil engineering.
- Q. Yeah. Do you have any information about whether Wells Engineering does work for the energy sector?
- A. Well, I -- because their emphasis is on electrical engineering, I would presume that that's, you know, the -- one of their primary clients.
- MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, I would move to strike that answer as pure speculation.

ALJ WILLIAMS: The motion is granted. That part of her testimony will be stricken. The answer she just gave will be stricken.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) You were asked some questions about the Rhode Island study which has been marked as Exhibit 27. Would you go back to

Exhibit 27, please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

2.1

- A. I'm sorry, page 27?
- Q. Exhibit 47, I'm sorry.
- A. Oh, I'm sorry. Which letter exhibit is that?
- Q. It would not be in your testimony. It was the Kingwood Exhibit 47.
  - A. Oh, I'm sorry.
  - Q. Entitled "Property Value Impacts of Commercial-Scale Solar Energy in Massachusetts and Rhode Island."
- A. Oh, I'm sorry. Excuse me. Okay. I'm with you.
  - Q. Right. You were asked some questions about the meaning of rural, meaning of the term rural as used in that document. I have some follow-up questions on that.
    - A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. So let's go back to page 10. Let me know when you are there.
  - A. I'm sorry.
- Q. Okay. You were asked about a sentence or two in the second to the last paragraph on that page where we have a sentence stating "We define an indicator variable Rural, which equals one if the

town has a population density of 850 people per square mile or fewer." Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And then the next sentence says
  "We chose this cutoff because 850 is the average
  population density of MA, which forms the bulk of the
  observations in our dataset, and, at this cutoff,
  almost a third of the properties and 60 percent of
  the solar installations are classified as rural,
  which we believe are reasonable proportions." Do you
  see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, how, if at all, does this definition of rural pertain to the area in Greene County, Ohio, where the Kingwood Solar facility is proposed to be located?
- A. Well, I don't see that it has much relationship. This study was confined to Massachusetts and Rhode Island, and by the author's admission Massachusetts and Rhode Island are the second and third most densely populated states in the country. So this definition of rural is not consistent with the concept of rural and area of the subject proposed solar farm.
  - Q. I believe you were directed to another

sentence in this document that stated something along the lines of -- something along the lines of solar -- the existence of solar facilities in rural areas not having a great effect on -- on property values, and I am trying to find that. Do you recall where -- where that statement occurred in this document? I thought I had written it down but apparently not.

A. I -- sorry.

2.1

ALJ WILLIAMS: Let's go off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

ALJ WILLIAMS: Back on the record.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. Ms. Clay, directing your attention to page 5 of Kingwood Exhibit 47, I would like you to take a look at the sentence that starts on the fifth line of the text on that page. Do you see a sentence -- yeah, do you see a sentence there that says "Solar developments on landfills and industrial areas or in rural areas have smaller and statistically insignificant effects on prices"?
  - A. Correct. I see it.
- Q. With regard to the conclusions in that sentence pertaining to rural areas, do you believe that this statement should change your opinion about whether Kingwood Solar Farm would have an effect on

property values in Greene County, Ohio?

2.1

A. No, because this goes back to -- this refers to the rural -- rural areas in this study and which are defined by the sentence that we just talked about which the rural area was defined as a town with a population of 850 people per square mile. And so -- so this -- this sentence doesn't apply to the area you are dealing in.

And another thing about this sentence, it -- it equates landfills and industrial areas to rural areas which doesn't -- which is not a reasonable assumption unless -- unless the area that -- that this particular subject is -- is dealing with, you know, has that equivalency and just because one study has -- has a certain result doesn't mean that it can be used wholesalely to another area because all markets are different. Locations are different. They're different expectations so -- so this study is applicable only to an area comparable to -- to what the study is dealing with.

- Q. And how is that not applicable to Greene County, Ohio, where the project area is?
- A. Well, again, it's -- it's based on data from the second and third most populous states in the country. So inherent in that fact so -- I should say

so all of the results are -- are inherent in the -- what's the word I am looking for? So -- so the results of the study are inherent in the environment in which the study reflects.

- Q. Okay. Let's go back to your direct testimony, page 5. Almost done. Tell me when you are on page 5 of your testimony.
  - A. I'm there.

2.1

- Q. All right. And with respect to your answer to question 14 which asks about whether you have any concerns with the project, with the solar project for which Kingwood Solar has requested a certificate in this case, and then your answer is, "Yes. It will likely reduce property values of surrounding properties based on the existing evidence." Do you see that question and answer?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, is this opinion that you have rendered in answer 14 based at all on your observation about any good neighbor agreements that have been proposed for Kingwood Solar?
- A. Well, I think I was basing that -- that reply on -- mostly on my -- on the studies that I had documented depreciation -- or, I mean, diminution.
  - Q. Yeah. Well, would the existence of good

neighbor agreements proposed by Kingwood Solar have any effect on that opinion in answer 14?

- A. No. I would -- I would base -- I would base that -- that decision on -- on the empirical data. However, you know, I -- it's an indication.
- Q. All right. So are you saying that your -- your opinion in answer 14 is based on compared sales analyses?
- 9 A. Yes, specifically the -- the four that I have done.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Very good.
- 12 Your Honor, I have no more questions.
- 13 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.
- Mr. Settineri, do you need time?
- MR. SETTINERI: No, I don't. Thank you,
- 16 your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 17 ALJ WILLIAMS: Any recross?
- 18 MR. SETTINERI: Thanks.
- 19
- 20 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 By Mr. Settineri:
- Q. Ms. Clay, Mr. Van Kley was just asking you questions about question and answer 14 -- which question and answer was that? 14.
- 25 MR. VAN KLEY: 14.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.

Q. And I believe in terms of the good neighbor agreements you said -- you believe that represents a tacit admission by the developer that those are -- there are property value impacts; is that correct?

2.1

- A. Well, yes, because in light of the fact that their own appraisers say there is no value decline.
- Q. So is that basically where there's smoke there's fire?
- A. Well, I don't know that I would necessarily, you know, say that. But one -- I think the question is if -- if there is no damage, why the necessity to even have these things?
- Q. So in your view, if there is a good neighbor -- if there is a good neighbor agreement, that is an indicator that there may be property value impacts to the negative, correct?
- A. They're -- they're compensating the property owners based on the degree to -- or based on, you know, proximity. And so if -- if proximity wasn't an issue, then why are they paying it? And why are they -- you know, why -- why are the payments based on, you know, based on how close they are to

the solar farm?

2.1

- Q. So is the answer to my question a yes?

  And let me repeat the question to you to be fair. Do you believe that if a good neighbor agreement exists for a solar farm, that may be an indicator of property value impacts?
- A. I think it's a potential -- it's a potential indication.
- Q. Okay. You -- Mr. Van Kley asked you some questions about what you consider when you are doing an appraisal and specifically the detrimental conditions, but in your answer you made a phrase -- a comment about everything has to be comparable. Do you remember -- and do you remember that answer by chance?
  - A. Yes, as -- as comparable as possible.
- Q. And when you say "comparable as possible," is that in relation to the paired sales that you are using?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then turning to Exhibit I -well, let -- I believe you were asked some questions
  about Exhibit G and one of the sales in there. Do
  you update any of your studies or recent sales?
- A. When -- when I have -- well, I tried to,

depends on, you know, when -- what -- what the circumstances are and if I have time. I mean, I try to get it -- I haven't frankly had the time to update these things that's on my list of things to do but.

- Q. Okay. Have you updated them since you first created the four studies that are in Exhibit G?
- A. In the process of -- of updating the Spotsylvania study.
- Q. Okay. On the Exhibit I, the Mulberry
  Solar project, Mr. Van Kley asked you some questions
  about the -- I think it's even in your table there,
  minimal tree stand that's related to the Mulberry
  Solar lot, \$14,000 lot. And how did you -- what did
  you use to view the site since you didn't go to the
  site?
  - A. Oh, I used Google Earth.
- Q. Okay. And I assume you zoomed in on Google Earth into that area?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And you weren't able to do an exact measurement of that tree stand for the Mulberry Solar facility, right?
  - A. Right.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. I may -- if we can stay on the record or we can go off the record, your

1159 Honor, I just want to consult with counsel briefly. 1 2 ALJ WILLIAMS: Off record, stay on 3 record, please. (Discussion off the record.) 4 5 ALJ WILLIAMS: Back on the record. MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor, 6 7 and Ms. Clay. We have no further questions. 8 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you, Ms. Clay. 9 Thank you for your time and testimony today. Have a 10 a great weekend. Be safe. Thank you. 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 12 ALJ WILLIAMS: All right. I will take up 13 the exhibits. 14 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor. We move 15 Citizens Exhibit No. 3. ALJ WILLIAMS: Any objection? It is 16 17 admitted. 18 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 19 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, I wasn't 20 quick enough. I held my hand. I just wanted to 2.1 think about one thing only and that's it. No 22 objection, your Honor.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. That's good. already put the check on there in pen. Any exhibits from Applicant?

23

24

1160 MR. SETTINERI: Yes. We would --1 2 Applicant would move for the admission of Kingwood 3 Exhibit 50, please. ALJ WILLIAMS: Any objection? 4 5 MR. VAN KLEY: Let me just take a look at 6 it. I'm okay with that one. 7 ALJ WILLIAMS: That's admitted as well. (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 8 9 ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. Let's go off the 10 record. 11 (Discussion off the record.) 12 ALJ HICKS: I will hand it off to 13 Ms. Sanyal. 14 EXAMINER SANDOR: Well, I think 15 Mr. Van Kley --16 ALJ HICKS: Oh, I'm sorry. 17 MS. SANYAL: -- may have to do the 18 preliminaries. 19 ALJ HICKS: To be continued. 20 MS. SANYAL: I mean, if you would like me 2.1 to, I would love it. 22 ALJ HICKS: I am just skipping ahead a 23 few steps. I will instead hand it to Mr. Van Kley. 24 MR. VAN KLEY: All right. Our next 25 witness will be Angie Hanna.

1161 1 ALJ HICKS: I can see you. Hopefully you 2 can hear me. 3 THE WITNESS: I hear you. Happy Friday, 4 everyone. 5 ALJ HICKS: Same to you. Can you raise 6 your right hand, please. 7 (Witness sworn.) 8 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. 9 Go ahead, Mr. Van Kley. 10 MR. VAN KLEY: All right. Thank you. 11 12 ANGIE HANNA 13 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 14 examined and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 By Mr. Van Kley: 17 Would you state your name for the record Q. 18 and spell your first name and your last name, please. 19 Okay. My name is Angie Hanna, A-N-G-I-E Α. 20 H-A-N-N-A. 2.1 Ο. Do you have in front of you a copy of 22 your written direct testimony that has been marked as Citizens Exhibit 6? 23 24 I do. Α. 25 Q. Did you or someone under your supervision

prepare this testimony?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

2.1

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any corrections to make to this testimony?
  - A. I do have some corrections.
- Q. All right. Would you lead us through those corrections starting with the identification of the page and then the line numbers for the first correction.
  - A. Okay. And first one is page 20, line 15.
- 11 Q. Okay. I think you might be -- yeah.
- Ms. Hanna, we are not looking for corrections to your deposition.
- 14 A. Okay.
- Q. Yeah. It's your direct testimony, uh-huh.
- 17 A. So then I have no corrections.
- Q. Okay. Great. All right. If I asked you the questions in your testimony today, would your answers be the same as are written in your testimony?
  - A. Yes.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. At this time, your
  Honor, Ms. Hanna is ready for cross-examination.
- 24 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.
- Go down the list here. Start with Miami

```
1163
     Township.
 1
 2
                 MR. SLONE: No cross for this witness.
 3
     Thank you.
 4
                 ALJ HICKS: Greene County Board of
 5
     Commissioners.
 6
                 MR. SHAMP: No cross, your Honor.
 7
                 ALJ HICKS: Xenia Township.
 8
                 MR. DUNN: No cross, your Honor.
9
                 ALJ HICKS: Cedarville Township.
                 MR. BROWN: No cross, your Honor.
10
11
                 ALJ HICKS: In Progress. I think I know
12
     the answer, but you are muted, Mr. Hart.
13
                 MR. HART: No cross, your Honor. Thank
14
     you.
                 ALJ HICKS: Thank you.
15
16
                 Tecumseh.
17
                 MR. SWANEY: No cross, your Honor.
                                                      Thank
18
     you.
19
                 ALJ HICKS: Now I will turn it over to
20
    Ms. Sanyal.
2.1
                 MS. SANYAL: Thank you, your Honor.
22
23
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
24
    By Ms. Sanyal:
25
            Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Hanna. Thank you for
```

1164 patiently waiting your turn. 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Happy Friday to you too. 4 Α. Thank you. 5 Q. So I will try to keep this brief. You 6 heard about the Kingwood Solar project in 2017 or 2018, correct? 7 8 Α. Correct. 9 Ο. Okay. And you're a member of Citizens 10 for Greene Acres, correct? 11 Α. I am. 12 Okay. And you've been a member of Q. Citizens for Greene Acres since 2019, correct? 13 14 Α. Correct. 15 Q. And you oppose the project, correct? 16 Α. Correct. 17 And as long as the project is proposed in Q. 18 Greene County, you will oppose the project, correct? 19 Α. Correct. 20 And other members of Citizens for Greene Ο. 2.1 Acres are also opposed to the project? 22 Yes, they are. Α. Okay. You live at 3251 Wilberforce 23 Q. 24 Clifton Road in Cedarville, Ohio, correct?

25

Α.

Correct.

- Q. Okay. And in July of 2021, you purchased a rental property located adjacent to your property?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And the address of the rental property is 3265 Wilberforce Clifton Road, correct?
  - A. That's right.
- Q. Okay. And to clarify, you purchased that rental property after you knew about the Kingwood Solar project, correct?
  - A. We did.
- Q. Ms. Hanna, I think we had a deposition last week. It's been -- it feels like a long time, but I think it was last week.
- 14 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And you mentioned that you purchased the rental property because you needed driveway access to Wilberforce Clifton Road, correct?
  - A. That is correct.
  - Q. Okay. And then do you remember that during that deposition I showed you a copy of an easement?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And after the deposition, have you looked at that easement again or conducted any additional research?

A. Yes, I have looked at the easement. I looked at the easement map that was provided. I did talk with my husband Jed about it. We did talk with our private lawyer about it too. And upon further review, it is — it is as I suspected. It is 100 feet — 115 feet southwest of 3251's current access. It's a nonfunctional easement. It goes through wooded area. There's no laneway. And we wish to make the current lane — lane access we have for 3251, we want to have that surveyed off and officially belong to 3251 is our goal.

- Q. Okay. Thank you for that clarification.
- A. Uh-huh. Thank you.
- Q. And then just a few more questions. Have you reviewed the application in this proceeding?
  - A. Yes, I have.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And specifically in that application, have you reviewed that landscape plan?
  - A. I have looked through it.
- Q. Okay. And would you agree with me that Kingwood Solar has proposed screening around your property?
  - A. Yes, they have proposed light screening.
- Q. Okay. And have you reviewed the Joint Stipulation filed in this proceeding?

- A. Yes, I have looked at it.
- Q. Okay. And would you agree that in that
  Joint Stipulation Kingwood has committed to increase
  setbacks?
  - A. They did state that, although I believe that is preliminary and subject to change.
  - Q. Okay. And then would you also agree that the Applicant has committed to landscape screening also in the Joint Stipulation?
- 10 A. Yes, they did, and I -- it was still to
  11 light screening --
- 12 Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. -- around my property.
- Q. And do you agree that preserving agricultural farmland is in the public interest?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- MS. SANYAL: Your Honor, may I just have one moment to confer with co-counsel? I will stay on video.
- 20 ALJ HICKS: Sure. Go off the record.
- 21 (Discussion off the record.)
- 22 ALJ HICKS: We will go back on the
- 23 record.
- MS. SANYAL: Your Honor, I do not have
- 25 any more questions for Ms. Hanna.

1168 1 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Ms. Sanyal. 2 Any cross from Board Staff? 3 MS. BAIR: No cross from Board Staff. 4 Thank you. 5 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. Mr. Van Kley, any redirect? 6 7 MR. VAN KLEY: Just one or two, your 8 Honor. 9 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 By Mr. Van Kley: 12 Ms. Hanna, if -- if you and your husband Ο. 13 knew about the Kingwood Solar project being proposed for the area before you purchased the rental 14 15 property, why did you purchase that property? 16 We purchased that property because our 17 lane access -- our current lane access runs and 18 belongs to the property of 3265, and we wanted to 19 have ownership of our lane access in case we needed 20 to here in the future sell our home at 3251 and 3265. 2.1 Ο. Sure. And why are you planning ahead for 22 a possible sale of those properties?

project be approved and come in, we no longer wish to

live here, and we would want to try to sell our home,

Should the -- should Kingwood Solar

23

24

25

Α.

Yes.

1169 1 if that would even be possible. 2 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Very good. 3 nothing further, your Honor. ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley. 4 5 Ms. Sanyal, any recross? MS. SANYAL: No, your Honor. 6 7 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Ms. Hanna, we thank 8 you for hanging in on a Friday afternoon. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. You guys 10 have a great weekend. 11 ALJ HICKS: Have a great weekend. 12 THE WITNESS: You too. 13 ALJ HICKS: We can take up the exhibit. MR. VAN KLEY: Yes. We would move the 14 15 admission of Citizens Exhibit 6. ALJ HICKS: Any objections to the 16 17 admission of Citizens Exhibit 6? 18 Hearing none, it is admitted. 19 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 20 ALJ HICKS: All right. Now we've got 2.1 some momentum. Mr. Van Kley. 22 MR. VAN KLEY: All right. Next, we'll call Nicholas Pitstick. 23

promoted. If you can enable your audio and video.

MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Pitstick, you have been

24

1170 1 ALJ HICKS: There we go. 2 MR. PITSTICK: Can you hear me? 3 ALJ HICKS: I can see and hear you. Ιf you can just raise your right hand. 4 5 (Witness sworn.) ALJ HICKS: Okay. All right. Thank you. 6 7 Please go ahead, Mr. Van Kley. 8 MR. VAN KLEY: All right. 9 10 NICHOLAS PITSTICK being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 11 12 examined and testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 By Mr. Van Kley: 15 Ο. Would you state your first and last name 16 for the record and spell both. 17 Nicholas Pitstick, N-I-C-H-O-L-A-S Α. 18 P-I-T-S-T-I-C-K. Do you have in front of you a copy of 19 20 your written direct testimony that has been marked as 2.1 Citizens Exhibit 11? 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 Did you or someone under your supervision Q. 24 prepare this testimony? 25 Α. Yes.

1 Q. Do you have any corrections to make to 2 this testimony? 3 Α. No, I do not. If I asked you the questions in your 4 Ο. 5 testimony today, would your answers be the same as are written in your testimony? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. At this time, your 9 Honor, Mr. Pitstick is ready for cross-examination. 10 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley. 11 We will go first to Miami Township. 12 MR. SLONE: No cross. Thank you. 13 ALJ HICKS: Greene County Board of Commissioners. 14 15 MR. SHAMP: No cross, your Honor. 16 ALJ HICKS: Xenia Township. 17 MR. WATKINS: No cross, your Honor. 18 ALJ HICKS: Cedarville Township. 19 MR. BROWN: No cross, your Honor. 20 ALJ HICKS: In Progress. 21 MR. HART: No cross, your Honor. 2.2 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. 23 Tecumseh. 24 MR. SWANEY: No cross, your Honor. Thank 25 you.

```
1172
                 ALJ HICKS: Ohio Farm Bureau Federation.
 1
 2
                 MS. MILAM: No cross, your Honor. Thank
 3
     you.
                 ALJ HICKS: I will turn it over to the
 4
 5
     Applicant. I am not sure who's handling this one.
 6
                 MR. MORSE: I am taking this one, your
 7
     Honor.
 8
                 ALJ HICKS: Okay.
 9
                 MR. MORSE: We have got a few guestions.
10
                 ALJ HICKS: I will hand it over to
    Mr. Morse.
11
12
                 MR. MORSE: Thank you.
13
14
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
15
     By Mr. Morse:
               Good afternoon, Mr. Pitstick.
16
            Q.
17
            A. Good afternoon.
18
                You are a member of Citizens for Greene
            Q.
19
     Acres, correct?
20
            A. Correct.
2.1
            Q.
                 And your wife is also a member of
22
     Citizens for Greene Acres?
23
            Α.
                Yes.
24
                 And you've been a member of Citizens for
            Ο.
25
     Greene Acres since January of 2021; is that correct?
```

Α. Yes.

1

8

- 2 Okay. All right. Mr. Pitstick, you Q. 3 visited the Hillcrest Solar facility; is that correct? 4
- 5 Α. I did.
- Okay. Are you aware who the developer 6 Ο. 7 for the Hillcrest Solar facility is?
  - No, sir, I'm not. Α.
- Okay. Do you have any reason to believe Ο. 10 that Kingwood Solar is the developer for the 11 Hillcrest Solar facility?
- 12 Α. No.
- 13 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that a different affiliate of Vesper is a developer for the 14 15 Hillcrest Solar facility?
- 16 No, not to my knowledge. Α.
- 17 And, Mr. Pitstick, when you visited the Q. 18 Hillcrest Solar facility, were portions of the 19 facility still under construction?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 2.1 Ο. And isn't it true that when you visited 22 the Hillcrest Solar facility, you never got out of 23 your car?
- 24 That is true. Α.
- 25 Q. And isn't it true that when you visited

the Hillcrest Solar facility and stayed in your car, you were never closer than 20 feet -- 25 feet away from the project fence line?

- A. I believe that was in my deposition, yes.
- Q. And you don't consider yourself to be an expert at identifying grass or weeds, do you?
  - A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. And, Mr. Pitstick, have you reviewed the application that's been filed in this proceeding?
  - A. Briefly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. Okay. Are you aware that in the application the Applicant included proposed screening?
- A. I saw what was proposed at the June meeting at the Greene County Fairgrounds.
  - Q. Okay. But you haven't reviewed that screening, the plan for screening, that's been filed in this case in detail, have you?
    - A. I have not.
  - Q. Okay. And have you reviewed the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation as to the certificate conditions which was filed in this proceeding?
    - A. I have not.
- MR. MORSE: If you will give me just a

```
1175
     moment to confer with co-counsel.
 1
 2
                 THE WITNESS: Okay.
 3
                 ALJ HICKS: Sure. We will go off the
     record.
 4
                 (Discussion off the record.)
 5
 6
                 ALJ HICKS: We will go back on the record
 7
     now.
                 MR. MORSE: Okay. I didn't mean to make
 8
9
     the same mistake Ms. Sanyal made. We have no further
10
     questions, your Honor.
11
                 ALJ HICKS:
                            Thank you.
12
                 Any cross from Board Staff?
13
                 MS. BAIR: No, none, your Honor.
                                                    Thank
14
     you.
                 ALJ HICKS: Thank you.
15
16
                 Mr. Van Kley, any redirect?
17
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, your Honor.
18
                 ALJ HICKS: Please go ahead.
19
                 MR. VAN KLEY: All right.
20
21
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22
     By Mr. Van Kley:
23
                 With respect to how far away you were
            Q.
24
     from Hillcrest when you observed the Hillcrest
25
     facility from your car, was the car stopped when you
```

made those observations?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Were you looking out the window at the Hillcrest facility?
  - A. Yes. Both me and my wife were.
- Q. And how -- did you make more than one stop to look at the facility?
- A. Yes. To the best of my knowledge, we stopped half a dozen times.
- Q. Did you get a good view of the Hillcrest facility along the fence line?
- A. We did. We saw both the finished panels and the panels that were -- or the area that was under construction.
- Q. Did you get a good look at the vegetation along the fence of the Hillcrest facility?
- A. Yeah. We were -- we were concerned with the -- with the screening so we -- we -- we viewed the fence at the finished area, and they had some small taxus-type bushes planted every 25 to 35 feet maybe two or three at a time. And then in between that was where I saw the what I was contend was foxtail and tall grasses and marestail weeds.
- Q. Do you have any -- any experience in your background that would enable you to identify those

weeds?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. Yes. I grew up on a farm until I probably moved out when I was 20 years old. We bailed hay for our dairy herd. We grew timothy and then we also raised row crops, soybeans and corn, which we eradicated those other weeds such as foxtail and marestail and noxious weeds.
- Q. And you were as close as 25 feet from the fence where you saw those weeds?
- A. Yes; yes, sir.
- MR. VAN KLEY: All right. I have no more questions, your Honor.
- 13 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.
- Mr. Morse, anything on recross?
- MR. MORSE: No further questions, your
- 16 Honor. Thank you.
- 17 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Thank you. Thank you
- 18 for your time, Mr. Pitstick. Have a nice weekend.
- 19 THE WITNESS: You too. Thank you.
- 20 ALJ HICKS: Mr. Van Kley.
- 21 MR. VAN KLEY: Sorry. I would move for
- 22 | the admission of Citizens Exhibit 11.
- 23 ALJ HICKS: Any objection to the
- 24 admission of Citizens Exhibit 11?
- 25 Hearing none, it is admitted.

```
1178
 1
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
 2
                 ALJ HICKS: So let's go off the record
 3
     for just a second.
                 (Discussion off the record.)
 4
 5
                 ALJ HICKS: Let's go ahead and go back on
 6
     the record.
 7
                 Mr. Van Kley.
 8
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes. We call James Joseph
9
     Krajicek.
10
                 MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Krajicek, you have been
     promoted. If you can enable your audio and video.
11
12
                 MR. KRAJICEK: Am I on?
13
                 ALJ WILLIAMS: Your kitchen is.
14
                 MR. KRAJICEK: My kitchen.
15
                 ALJ HICKS: You might need to reverse a
16
     camera maybe.
17
                 MR. VAN KLEY: I think that's Terry
18
     Fife's kitchen, isn't it, Joe?
19
                 MR. KRAJICEK: No. I am here at my
20
    house.
2.1
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Oh, you are. Okay. All
22
     right.
23
                 MR. MARGARD: You can put in a kitchen
24
     sink joke.
25
                 ALJ HICKS: There I can see you.
```

1179 1 MR. KRAJICEK: Thank you. 2 ALJ HICKS: I can hear you as well, so 3 we're good. Could you please raise your right hand. (Witness sworn.) 4 5 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Please go ahead, 6 Mr. Van Kley. 7 MR. VAN KLEY: All right. 8 9 JAMES JOSEPH KRAJICEK 10 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 11 examined and testified as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 By Mr. Van Kley: 14 Would you state your full name and spell Ο. 15 your last name, please. 16 James J. Krajicek, K-R-A-J-I-C-E-K. Α. 17 Do you have in front of you a copy of Q. 18 your written direct testimony that has been marked as Citizens Exhibit 8? 19 20 Α. Yes, sir, I do. 2.1 Ο. Did you or someone under your supervision 22 prepare this testimony? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Do you have any corrections to make to Ο. 25 this testimony?

One slight one. On page 7, line 13, I 1 Α. 2 would like to take out "2016 and." 3 Q. Do you have any other corrections to make? 4 5 Α. No, sir. All right. If I asked you the questions 6 Q. 7 in your testimony today, would your answers be the same as are written in your testimony? 8 9 Α. Yes, they would. 10 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. At this time, your Honor, Mr. Krajicek is ready for cross-examination. 11 12 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley. 13 We will go first to Miami Township. 14 MR. SLONE: No questions. Thank you. 15 ALJ HICKS: Greene County Board of Commissioners. 16 MR. SHAMP: No questions, your Honor. 17 18 ALJ HICKS: Xenia Township. 19 MR. WATSON: No questions, your Honor. 20 ALJ HICKS: Cedarville Township. 21 MR. BROWN: No questions, your Honor. 22 ALJ HICKS: In Progress. 23 MR. HART: No questions, your Honor. 24 Thank you.

ALJ HICKS: Tecumseh.

1181 1 MR. SWANEY: No questions, your Honor. 2 Thank you. ALJ HICKS: Ohio Farm Bureau Federation. 3 MS. MILAM: No questions, your Honor. 4 5 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Then I will turn it 6 over to the Applicant and Mr. Stock. 7 MR. STOCK: Thank you, your Honor. 8 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 By Mr. Stock: 11 Mr. Krajicek, would you please state your Q. 12 full name and address for the record. 13 Α. James J. Krajicek, 2369 Tarbox-Cemetery Road, Cedarville, Ohio 45314. 14 15 Q. Mr. Krajicek, your primary occupation is 16 farming, correct? 17 Α. Primary, yes. 18 You own 10 different properties in Greene Q. 19 County; is that correct? 20 Α. Yes. I believe that's what we came up 2.1 with the other day. 22 You own those properties through a trust, 23 correct? 24 A. Correct. 25 O. And what is the name of that trust?

- A. Krajicek Farms, Family Farms.
- Q. You and your wife are the beneficiaries of that trust; is that correct?
  - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And you and your wife are also the trustees of that trust; is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Your wife is a Trustee of Cedarville Township, correct?
- A. Yes, sir. Yes, correct.
- Q. And your wife's held that position since 2016?
  - A. Actually -- well, she became a Trustee in a long process. She was voted in in 2012 as the Fiscal Officer for the Township. Then she got reelected for that a second term in '16. And how she became a Trustee was one of the Trustees that was presently sitting on as a Trustee resigned halfway through his term and she became a Trustee, Appointed Trustee, on May 31, 2019.

ALJ HICKS: Can we stop for just -- I don't know, a part -- did you get all that, Karen?

The beginning of the answer got garbled for me.

COURT REPORTER: I believe I did.

ALJ HICKS: Okay. It might just be the

- 1 | Commission internet. Sorry, Mr. Stock.
- THE WITNESS: It's snowing here. My
- 3 | connection is not the greatest.
- 4 ALJ HICKS: Understood. I wanted to make
- 5 | sure our court reporter got it.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 7 Q. (By Mr. Stock) Mr. Krajicek, was your
- 8 | wife ever elected to the Trustee position?
- 9 A. She was. When she finished serving out
- 10 | that filled term, she was reelected into that
- 11 position.
- Q. Do you recall what year that was?
- 13 A. Let's see, it would be 2000 -- I believe
- 14 | it was '21, 2021.
- 15 Q. Mr. Krajicek, I take it you are familiar
- 16 | with the Kingwood Solar project?
- 17 A. I am.
- 18 Q. Was the Kingwood Solar project an issue
- 19 | in your wife's election for Trustee?
- A. Not at all.
- Q. Did your wife take a position on the
- 22 | Kingwood Solar project?
- A. We all take positions but, no. She's
- 24 remained as neutral as possible.
- 25 O. So she doesn't have a view on the

Kingwood Solar project?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

23

- A. Not through her -- through us. Whether she has a position as a Trustee, that's another case.
- Q. Do you know if she has expressed an opinion as a Trustee on it?
- A. I know that the Trustees have passed a resolution opposing the project.
- Q. Mr. Krajicek, you mentioned earlier you own 10 properties in Greene County. Of the 10 properties that you own, you've identified in your testimony 2 of those properties as being adjacent to the Kingwood Solar project site; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, it is correct.
- Q. Your house is not located on one of the adjacent properties, is it?
  - A. Not my personal residence, no.
- Q. And your house is located at the address you identified earlier, 2069 Tarbox-Cemetery Road, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
  - Q. And the distance from your house to the proposed Kingwood Solar project is at least a mile and a half, correct?
- A. Mile -- between a mile, mile and a half.
  - Q. Mr. Krajicek, you are not able to see the

1185 location of the proposed Kingwood project from your 1 2 house, are you? 3 Α. No, not my personal house, no. Mr. Krajicek, I take it you are familiar 4 Ο. with Citizens for Greene Acres? 5 6 Α. Absolutely. 7 Ο. You are the Vice President of Citizens for Greene Acres? 8 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Ο. You are also one of the four Directors of Citizens for Greene Acres? 11 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 Q. And you're also a Founder of Citizens for Greene Acres? 14 15 Α. I was a participant of that and proud of 16 it. 17 Do you understand that Citizens for Q. Greene Acres has intervened in this case? 18 19 Α. Absolutely. 20 Q. And are you also an Intervenor in this 2.1 case? 22 Α. I would say I am, yes. 23 Is your trust also an Intervenor? Q. 24 I would say I'm not at -- I have no clue

if that would be the case or not. That -- the trust

is me, so I am assuming that if that's what you are meaning.

- Q. Mr. Krajicek, you've been aware of the Kingwood Solar project since 2016; is that correct?
- A. When I changed my date on my direct testimony, I looked back at some of the things that I had in my phone and stuff, and I realized it was actually 20 -- early 2017. I originally said late '16, early '17, but it was more of the 20 -- early 2017.
- 11 Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. We have had -- we had people come to drill gas, multiple people soliciting to do things here in our area, and that's probably what I was confused with.
  - Q. All right. Just to -- just to clear it up, Mr. Krajicek, you've been aware of the Kingwood Solar project since early 2017; is that correct?
    - A. Early 2017.
  - Q. You became aware of the Kingwood Solar project when you were contacted by a Kingwood Solar representative?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you've had discussions about the project with representatives of Kingwood Solar since

1187 1 2017? 2. I have had several conversations. 3 Q. Through those communications you understood that Kingwood Solar wanted to lease one of 4 5 your properties, correct? 6 Α. Correct. 7 Q. And you decided not to lease any property 8 to Kingwood Solar; is that correct? 9 Α. That's correct. 10 Q. After 2017, Kingwood Solar continued to 11 communicate with you regarding the project; is that 12 right? 13 Α. They communicated with me, and I 14 communicated with them. You received letters from Kingwood Solar? 15 Q. 16 Α. Yes. 17 And you also spoke with Kingwood Solar Q. representatives after 2017? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Ο. The last time you spoke with someone from 2.1 Kingwood Solar about the project was in 2021, 2.2 correct? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And, Mr. Krajicek, you oppose the Ο. 25 Kingwood Solar project, correct?

- A. Definitely do.
- Q. And you've been opposed to the Kingwood Solar project since the beginning of 2017?
  - A. I have.

2.1

- Q. I would like to go back to your testimony in which you identified two properties being adjacent to the proposed Kingwood Solar project. Can you identify the street address or approximate location of the two properties you identified in your testimony as being adjacent to the proposed project site?
- A. The one would be a 47-acre property on Larkins Road which would be in what we consider the eastern quadrant of the project area. And the other property is a 6-1/2-acre property that I purchased the last few years on Bradfute Road which when we bought was not part of what we -- I had realized had been represented -- represented as the original footprint of the project.
- Q. The property on Larkins Road is a 47-acre property, correct?
  - A. It is.
- Q. And you use the Larkin Road property entirely for farming?
- A. Yes, uh-huh.

Q. There is no house on the Larkins Road property, correct?

2.1

- A. I have a potential house. I already have electric ran there and a well drilled there. It was purchased in case one of my grandchildren wanted to build there when they reached that point in their life.
- Q. Just so I understand, what do you mean by potential house?
- A. It has a woods on it so there's the lane that goes back to the woods and that was one of the reasons we had bought the place is because I don't like taking tillable acres out for anything, homes, if at all possible. I understand that it has to be done on certain occasions, but I believe that if there is an opportunity to build on non-tillable acres, I will take that.
- Q. So are you describing as a homesite, that could -- could have a house built on it; is that what you are saying?
- A. I'm saying there's -- part of the property has that potential to have a house on it.

  And though 46 acres are -- actually be about 44 acres of it would remain the tillable acres that are there.
  - Q. But there's no house currently on the

1190 1 property, is there? 2 There is no house currently there. Α. 3 And no one currently lives there? Q. Α. No one currently lives there. 4 5 Q. The other property you described as being adjacent to the proposed Kingwood Solar project is on 6 Bradfute Road, correct? 7 8 Α. Correct. 9 Ο. And the property on Bradfute Road is the 10 6.5-acre property? 11 Α. Correct. 12 Ο. There are vacant structures on the 13 Bradfute Road property; is that correct? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 Q. And no one currently lives on the 16 Bradfute Road property? 17 Α. No, sir. 18 And no one has lived on the Bradfute Road Q. 19 property during the time you have owned it? 20 Α. Not during the time that I have owned it, 2.1 no. 22 You acquired the property on Bradfute Q. Road in 2019, correct? 23 24 Α. Correct. 25 Q. At the time you bought the property on

Bradfute Road, you understood Kingwood Solar still planned to go ahead with the proposed solar project?

2.1

- A. Yes. And again, the proposed project after communicating those several conversations I mentioned to you with the project managers and with the leaseholders that had been recorded at the courthouse and conversations I had with all the farmers in the area that were signing it, it was evident that they were going to -- they were trying anyway to stay along Clifton Road and had no indication that they were going to go -- put an underground easement in because they -- the original goal was unobtainable.
- Q. No one from Kingwood Solar ever told you the project had been abandoned, did they?
- A. No one ever said the project had been abandoned, but they did -- it was -- you know, they were finding it difficult to make headway at a certain point.

MR. STOCK: Your Honor, if you could give me a minute just to confer with my other counsel.

ALJ HICKS: Sure. We will go off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. STOCK: No further questions.

1192 1 ALJ HICKS: Any cross from Board Staff? MS. BAIR: No cross. Thank you. 2 3 ALJ HICKS: All right. Mr. Van Kley, any redirect? 4 5 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, I do. 6 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 By Mr. Van Kley: 9 Ο. Mr. Krajicek, would you go back to your 10 testimony that's been marked as Citizens Exhibit 8. I have A, B, C, D. What one did you 11 Α. 12 want? 13 Q. Exhibit 8, in other words, I want to look 14 at your first page of your direct testimony. 15 Α. Oh, okay. All right. Do you see the name of your 16 Ο. 17 trust in the title of that document? 18 Yes, Krajicek Family Trust. Α. All right. And does that indicate that 19 Ο. 20 the trust is an Intervenor in this case? 2.1 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. Then with respect -- let's also go Q. 23 then to answer 29 in your testimony which is on page 24 6. You were asked -- yeah, you were asked some 25 questions about whether anybody lives in -- on your

property on Bradfute. Directing your attention to answer 29, is that the Bradfute property that you are describing when you answered that question?

A. Yes, that is.

2.1

- Q. All right. And what is there right now?
- A. There is an 1809 historical home. There is a unique gainery there that handled grain back in the day in the early '50s and '60s that was built. We put down a post and beam barn, dismantled it for repairs and restoration. And we have it in storage ready to put back there after we -- you know, we find out whether this project will be successful or not.
- Q. All right. And you answered some questions about why you purchased this property when you knew that Kingwood Solar was planning or at least was attempting at that time to put in a solar facility. And you indicated in one of your answers that the indications that you got were that the project was planned for the Clifton Road area.

MR. STOCK: I am going to object. I don't think I asked him why he decided to do that. He may have filled in an answer, but I didn't ask that.

MR. VAN KLEY: All right. I will rephrase the question.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) In one of your answers to Mr. Stock's questions, you indicated that based on conversations you had with the solar developer and some farmers in the area, you thought that the project would be planned only for the area in the -- along Clifton Road. Do you recall that testimony?
  - A. Yeah, I do.

2.1

- Q. Uh-huh. Where is that Clifton Road area in relation to your Bradfute property?
- 10 A. It would be at least a mile and a half to 2 miles.
  - Q. All right. And at the time that -- I'm sorry.
    - A. It would be north -- it would be north of that particular property about 2 miles.
    - Q. All right. At the time that you purchased the Bradfute property, did you have any indications from anybody or anything of any plans by Kingwood Solar to place part of its project near the Bradfute property that you purchased?
  - A. No, not at all. We actually started looking at the property in 20 -- May of 2019, and we finally signed the contract around June 28, 2019. There was some problems with the deeds of the -- of the estate moving forward. So before that could get

cleared -- or while that was getting cleared up, it took all the way up until I believe it was December that we closed on the property in 2019, but in that whole time frame, the previous owners had given me permission to go on the farm or the acreage there that used to be part of that farm and start cleanup. We had an agreement that way.

2.1

And through that cleanup time, there was months of me being there and cleaning and I'm a -I'm 65 years old. I have lived here my whole life and I know everyone and everyone knows me. And the neighbors of the project would stop and see the progress, and we would talk. Everyone was aware that I had purchased the property.

And one of those individuals that I had spoken with was one of the leaseholders right directly across the road, Jeff Grafton. Jeff Grafton heard me say that what we had aspirations to do on this particular property and never mentioned once that he was in communication with Vesper Energy to sign a lease with them. And come to find out his lease had been recorded 6-24 of '20 which translates to me, you know, 15 months later finding out about it and, you know, what actually was going on and he was a participant.

1196 1 MR. VAN KLEY: I have no more questions, 2 your Honor. 3 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley. Mr. Stock, any recross? 4 5 MR. STOCK: One minute, your Honor. ALJ HICKS: Sure. We will go off the 6 7 record. (Discussion off the record.) 8 9 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Let's go ahead and go 10 back on the record. 11 Go ahead, Mr. Stock. 12 13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 14 By Mr. Stock: 15 Mr. Krajicek, do you know if Kingwood 16 still plans to have panels in the Grafton property 17 you referred to? 18 In -- in their 3D presentation they are 19 in there. And I know that they have designated the 20 easement through the Fife Farm into the -- into the 2.1 Grafton Farm, the Collins Farm, the Boss Farm, Tommy 22 Barclays, and comes back out over on Larkins Road to 23 make their connection to the east and the west. So, 24 yeah, I know several things that through

communicating with some of the farmers and some of

the landowners around there that -- what's going on.

- Q. Have you looked at the most updated layout for the project?
  - A. Which one would that be?

2.1

2.2

- Q. Have you looked at the landscaping plan attached to the Joint Stipulation and Recommendations to the certificate conditions that were filed, Joint Exhibit 1?
- A. If it was -- no. I probably -- well, I know I did not look at that thoroughly because really -- no one ever mentioned -- no one has really contacted me about screening or anything or giving me a good neighbor agreement even though I own two properties which I could never understand if they wanted to be a good neighbor with everyone why no one ever contacted me and I reached out several times to talk to people about the project and I would not get any response.
- Q. Mr. Krajicek, you referenced a barn that you took down on the 6.5-acre property; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. And you took that barn down in February of 2020; is that right?
- 25 A. I took that barn down in -- hold on just

```
a second.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. Are you referring to a document to refresh your recollection?
- A. Yeah. I am looking at my test -- my statement or testimony to make sure if there was some dates in there. But, yeah, it was -- it was approximately in February of 2020 is when they came to dismantle it.
- 9 MR. STOCK: All right. Thank you,
- 10 Mr. Krajicek. No further questions.
- 11 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Stock.
- Mr. Krajicek, thank you for hanging in through the day.
- THE WITNESS: Well, I appreciate you guys
  willing to wait for a while.
- 16 ALJ HICKS: Yep.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Glad to have it over.
- 18 ALJ HICKS: Have a nice weekend.
- 19 THE WITNESS: You too.
- 20 ALJ HICKS: Mr. Van Kley.
- 21 MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. We will move into
- 22 admission Citizens Exhibit 11. I'm sorry. I have
- 23 | the wrong number here. It would be Citizens
- 24 Exhibit 8.
- 25 ALJ HICKS: Yes. That's what I have.

Proceedings - Volume V 1199 Any objections to the admission of citizens 1 2. Exhibit 8? 3 MR. STOCK: No, your Honor. ALJ HICKS: Okay. Hearing none, Citizens 4 5 Exhibit 8 is admitted. 6 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 7 ALJ HICKS: I think we can go ahead and 8 go off the record. ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Hicks, I have one more 9 10 thing on the record before we let Karen go for the 11 weekend. 12 ALJ HICKS: Sorry. I tried to get you 13 all out of here. Blame Judge Williams. 14 ALJ WILLIAMS: As for the rest of the day 15 as well. 16 ALJ HICKS: You need us to go on the 17 record? 18 ALJ WILLIAMS: Are we off? Let's go on, 19 please, Karen. We are on? Thank you. 20 Just to clear up an issue, Attorney 2.1 Swaney had e-mailed the court reporter seeking some 22 quidance regarding numbering of potential exhibits 23 and that was -- the court reporter had directed

Mr. Swaney to contact the Bench, and he has. So I

just wanted to make everybody aware of the

24

communication and then make Mr. Swaney aware of the Bench's treatment of this issue.

2.1

It's easiest to do on the record as opposed to having a bunch of reply also over the weekend. So Mr. Swaney's e-mail to me from 3:38 this afternoon was "Your Honor, sorry to bother you but we are uncertain as to whether we need to refile the Michele Burns' testimony filed 2-28 and/or our exhibits in support of her testimony filed 3-9. We misnumbered the seven exhibits as 1 through 7, failing to take into account that her testimony itself should be Exhibit No. 1. Maybe I can correct that misidentification issue at the beginning or end of her testimony? Thanks for any guidance you can provide here."

So obviously the nature of the outreach was benign and well intentioned, so I just wanted to get on the record, one, that there was communication with one party ex parte and also to clarify for Mr. Swaney you don't need to refile what's been filed. If the exhibits are allowed to be considered, we can take care of numbering them when the witness testifies. That's certainly not to be interpreted as any indication from the Bench that the exhibits filed late will be considered when the witness testifies.

1201 So hopefully that adds some clarity for 1 2 all the counsel. And for you, Mr. Wagner, 3 anything -- I'm sorry. Yeah, Mr. Swaney, anything I can address for you on the record with regards to 4 that issue? 5 Thank you very much, your 6 MR. SWANEY: 7 Honor. Sorry for the -- sorry for the 8 miscommunication directly to you. 9 ALJ WILLIAMS: No. I understood it was 10 very benign in its intention but there was some 11 complexity of the ruling. I wanted to make sure 12 everybody was on the same page. 13 MR. SWANEY: Thank you. 14 ALJ WILLIAMS: And with that, Ms. Gibson, 15 have a great weekend. 16 (Discussion off the record.) 17 (Thereupon, at 5:38 p.m., the hearing was 18 adjourned.) 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Friday, March 11, 2022, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-7247) 

## This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/25/2022 9:13:54 AM

in

Case No(s). 21-0117-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript in the matter of the Kingwood Solar I LLC hearing held on 03/11/22 - Volume V electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.