BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of McCutcheon :

Enterprises, Inc. Notice : Case No. of Apparent Violation and : 21-492-TR-CVF

Intent to Assess Forfeiture. :

PROCEEDINGS

Before Jim Lynn, Attorney Examiner, held via WebEx, on Tuesday, February 15, 2022, at 10:00 A.M.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. Brian Copeland
 3
            250 Park Road
            Apollo, Pennsylvania 15613
 4
                 Appearing Pro se.
 5
 6
            Ms. Jodi Bair
 7
            Assistant Attorney General
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
 8
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 9
                 On behalf of the Staff of the
                 Public Utilities Commission
10
                 of Ohio.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
2		
3	DIRECT CROSS STAFF WITNESSES	
4		
5		
6	Bradley Long 15	
7	_	
8	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES	
9	Brian Copeland 21	
10	Calvin McCutcheon 22	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

					4		
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS			4		
2							
3			MARKED	ADMT			
4	STAFF'S EXHIBITS						
5	1	Driver/Vehicle Examination	8	14			
6		Report with Attachments					
7	2	Notice of Preliminary Determination	18	19			
8							
9	MCCUTCHEON EXHIBITS						
10	1	Photograph	25	25			
11	2	Photograph	25	25			
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

```
1
                          Tuesday Morning,
 2
                          February 15, 2022.
 3
 4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public
     Utilities Commission of Ohio has assigned for
 5
 6
     hearing at this time Case No. 21-492-TR-CVF,
 7
     McCutcheon Enterprises Notice of Apparent
     Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture.
 8
 9
                 This hearing is taking place
10
     electronically via WebEx. I am Jim Lynn, I am
11
     the Attorney Examiner assigned to hear this
12
     case. And at this time we will have the
13
     appearances of the parties beginning with the
14
     Ohio Attorney General's office.
                 MS. BAIR: Thank you, your Honor.
15
16
     On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities
17
     Commission of Ohio, Dave Yost, Attorney General,
18
     John Jones, Section Chief, Jodi Bair, Assistant
19
     Attorney General, 30 East Broad Street,
20
     Columbus, Ohio 43215.
2.1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Fine. Thank
22
     you. And for McCutcheon Enterprises, Mr.
23
     Copeland. If you would just state your name and
24
     address, please, and who you represent.
25
                 MR. COPELAND: My name is Brian
```

6 Copeland, I am the field service manager for 1 2 McCutcheon. The address is 250 Park Road, Apollo, Pennsylvania 15613. 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 4 5 Okay. Our proceedings today, we will start with the Ohio Attorney General's office. And, Ms. 6 7 Bair, please go ahead. MS. BAIR: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 Staff would like to call Officer Mularcik as its 9 10 first witness. 11 (WITNESS SWORN) 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Go 13 ahead, Ms. Bair. 14 MS. BAIR: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 16 TROOPER TIMOTHY MULARCIK 17 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 18 testified as follows: 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 By Ms. Bair: 2.1 Could you please state your full 2.2 name for the record? 23 Trooper Timothy Mularcik. Α. 24 And where are you employed?

With the Ohio State Highway Patrol

Ο.

Α.

at the time of the inspection with the Columbus
District Commercial Enforcement Section.

2.

2.1

- Q. And what is your position right now with the Patrol?
- A. As of now I am assigned at the General Headquarters with Commercial Enforcement.
- Q. How long have you been with the Patrol?
 - A. Ohio Patrol 17 years.
- Q. And could you please tell me briefly what your duties are as a Highway Patrolman?
- A. As it relates to this case enforcement of general traffic, motor vehicle laws under Ohio Revised Code. And as far as the Commission's regulations under PUCO and USDOT.
- Q. Thank you. Do you have any training specific to this, like certification or is there continuing education about the rules that you are speaking of?
- A. I do. In order to initially certify we had multiple 40-hour work weeks at the Ohio Patrol academy where we are certified by most cases Inspector Fedderen and other instructors for the North American Standards Part A, Part B,

```
8
 1
     hazmat and things of the sort.
 2
                            Thank you. And, your
                 MS. BAIR:
 3
     Honor, I circulated this morning what I would
     like to have marked as Staff's Exhibit 1.
 4
 5
                 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR
 6
     IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
 7
                 MS. BAIR: I assume everyone has
     that. I don't know if, Mr. Mularcik, you are
 8
     able to access that.
9
                 THE WITNESS: I do have it.
10
11
                 MS. BAIR: Perfect.
12
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Copeland, I
13
    believe you received that also; am I right?
14
                 MR. COPELAND: Yes.
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. The
     e-mail from Ms. Bair. Okay. Thank you. Go
16
17
     ahead.
18
                 MS. BAIR: Thank you.
19
                 Do you have, Officer, what I have
            Ο.
20
    marked as Staff Exhibit 1?
2.1
            Α.
                 T do.
2.2
                And what is this document?
            Q.
```

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

The Report number is OH1575002638. It indicates

This is a report from my inspection.

23

24

25

Α.

- at approximately 7:35 in the morning ending around 8:25.
- Q. And is this report kept as a normal matter of business as part of your job as a Patrolman?
 - A. It is. This is a standard report that we complete through the Aspen program to document all roadside inspections.
 - Q. As you are looking at this exhibit, is it in the same condition or substantially the same as you created it the day the report was made?
 - A. It is.

2.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. And you gave the date and time earlier; didn't you?
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am. March 4th, between 7:35 17 and 8:25 A.M.
- 18 Q. Thank you. And what was the reason 19 for you creating this report?
- A. My initial observation of
 the vehicle being a hazmat placarded vehicle.
 I was on Interstate 270 in Franklin County out
 of Columbus, Ohio, and stopped it for standard
 roadside DOT inspection.
- Q. And what is the violation noted in

this report?

2.1

- A. The violation noted in this report specifically is placard is damaged, deteriorated. And specifically in this case being deteriorated and then faded.
- Q. Thank you. And I would like to start moving through Staff Exhibit 1, and I didn't add page numbers to it because I didn't want to threaten any authenticity, so if we could please go down -- how am I going to do page numbers? Let's go down to the first placard which should be in Staff Exhibit 1.
- A. The first placard I come to in the sequence of photos is directly after the identifiers of the company markings and the VIN on the door pillar.

There is a photo of a placard directly after it.

- Q. Thank you. And could you tell me, is that placard in violation?
- A. That placard is not. That appears by the orientation and whatnot that that is the placard displayed on the front of the vehicle at the bulkhead area of the trailer.
- Q. And that placard does not violate

the CFR. Can you tell me why it does not?

2.1

- A. It's still a bright, clear, easily and readily discernible and red. The numbers were legible. The 3 at the bottom is still legible. It is clear and quickly readily identifiable as a red placard.
- Q. And could we move down in the exhibit, please, to another placard which is --
- A. So in my order of sequence, directly after that one I just spoke about there is a photo of the VIN of the trailer. The photo directly after it is another hazmat placard which knowing my sequence order that I do photos would have been the one on the driver's side of the trailer.
- Q. And is this placard, would you consider to be in violation of the CFR 172?
- A. I would. This one would have been the one that prompted me to initially conduct the traffic stop for the inspection. This placard is no longer red, it's faded to a pink. It's almost past pink, almost like a white hazy color.
- It's still discernible as 1268.
- I can still see the 3 at the bottom. It's no

- longer readily identifiable as a red flammable placard.
- Q. So this one you do consider to be in violation of the 172?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

2.1

- Q. Thank you. Could we move on down in the Exhibit to the next --
- A. The next photo I have, it's a little more closeup photo to more readily show that faded color. And then beyond it, moved down to the rear placard, pictures of the trailer showing it to be a clearly red and identifiable red flammable placard and an instance of a good placard.
- Q. And just for the record, we are still in Staff Exhibit 1, we are on a placard that is -- where is that taken from? What part of the truck is that taken from? The back or --
- A. This is taken from the rear. What you see in the photo is the rear of the trailer. You can see the tail lights. Where they load and unload the hazmat. Right next to it is a still red, good placard for 1268.
- Q. So again we would not consider this to be in violation?

A. No. This would be one instance of a good placard.

- Q. Thank you. And let's move on down. I think we have the next placard.
- A. The next placard would be on the passenger side of the trailer. The forth placard. And this one is another instance of a violation. You can see the original color a little bit around where the clips are, but again also faded to a pink, in some areas almost to a white, no longer readily identifiable as a red flammable placard. The 3 is still legible, but the color was faded well beyond a clear red, almost into a pink and white state.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Does the driver get a copy of this report?
- A. The driver is given a copy of my
 inspection violations and the report. They are
 not given photos at the time of the inspection.
 But they can see what I have taken photos of.
 I do, I believe, a good job of indicating to
 them what the violations are and whatnot.
 - MS. BAIR: Thank you. I have no further questions for this witness, your Honor.

```
14
1
     questions.
2
                 MS. BAIR: I move Staff Exhibit 1
 3
     into evidence.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. And
 4
 5
     we will admit Staff Exhibit 1 into evidence
 6
     then.
7
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
8
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
9
     Let's see. And, Ms. Bair, do you have any
10
     additional witnesses?
11
                 MS. BAIR: Yes. I would call
12
     Bradley Long as Staff's second witness, please.
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And before we
14
     get to Mr. Long, I will mention for our court
15
     reporter that McCutcheon Enterprises is
16
     incorporated and I had spoken to the company
17
     representative, Brian McCutcheon, previously
18
    before -- well, before the hearing, to say that
19
    McCutcheon Enterprises would need to have an
20
     attorney represent them if they wanted to
2.1
     cross-examine Staff witnesses.
22
                 But as far as stating McCutcheon's
    perspective as far as what the facts are for
23
24
     the violation, Mr. McCutcheon could go ahead and
25
     do that. Therefore, that's why we are not
```

15 allowing Mr. McCutcheon to ask any questions of 1 2 Trooper Mularcik, for that matter for Mr. Long 3 which we will go ahead and hear from now. 4 (WITNESS SWORN) 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please go 6 ahead, Ms. Bair. 7 MS. BAIR: Thank you, your Honor. 8 9 BRADLEY LONG 10 called as a witness, being previously duly 11 sworn, testified as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 By Ms. Bair: 14 Q. Please state your full name for the 15 record. 16 A. Bradley E. Long. 17 Q. By who are you employed and what is 18 your position? 19 Currently employed by the Public 20 Utilities Commission of Ohio within 2.1 the Transportation Division. I am the Assistant 22 Chief of Compliance. Q. How long have you been in that 23 24 position?

A. Since November of 2019.

Q. Before that what was your occupation?

2.1

2.2

A. Prior that I was 26 years with the Ohio State Highway Patrol. Twenty-four and a half of that on the road as a road Trooper enforcing Ohio Revised Code both on passenger vehicles and commercial motor vehicles.

During that tenure I had ten years with the crash reconstruction analysis where I was trained in commercial motor vehicle reconstruction techniques, brake inspection, braking capabilities, control module download technique applications.

The last two years with the Highway Patrol spent with the licensing commercial standards unit as first a commercial motor vehicle enforcement Trooper where I was certified to conduct inspections.

- Q. Thank you. At your present job you are Assistant Chief; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. What are your duties in that position?
- A. Assist the Section Chief by
 maintaining files in the compliance section, I

oversee the compliance officers day-to-day operations, viewing prehearing conference summaries, assist with processing files for preparation of future actions, whether they be hearings or Attorney General collections.

2.1

Also review and process compliance letters that are sent out relating to inspections and compliance reviews and just maintaining the files in our compliance computer system.

- Q. Thank you. And regarding violations that you currently are in charge, of what type of training or certification, ongoing education, do you have in this field?
- A. Certified in North American

 Standards Part A and Part B, general hazmat,
 cargo tank, other bulk inspections, motor
 carrier, school bus. PUCO in-service and on
 the job training with the sales force program,
 compliance forfeiture program and policies.

Still maintain all my certifications with the exception of motor carrier and school bus at this time.

MS. BAIR: Thank you. Your Honor, I would like to have marked as Staff Exhibit 2 the

Notice of Preliminary Determination dated April 2 14th, 2021.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. We will mark that as Staff Exhibit 2. Go ahead.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF IDENTIFICATION)

- Q. Mr. Long, do you have what has been marked as Staff Exhibit 2 available to you?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, I do.
 - Q. And what is that document?
- 11 A. The Notice of Preliminary

2.1

2.2

Determination. That form is issued generally after conference has been held with the Respondent, in this case McCutcheon Enterprises, Incorporated. Once that conference is held if a settlement agreement can't be reached the compliance officer generally will offer to issue that Notice of Preliminary Determination form letter.

This letter still gives them 30 days to either pay the forfeiture amount, which in this case is zero, there is no forfeiture for the violation, or request an administrative hearing within that 30-day period.

Q. And this document is sent to the

19 Respondent, McCutcheon Trucking; correct? 1 2 That's correct. 3 Q. Thank you. And this document, this Notice of Preliminary Determination, is a 4 5 regular business record of the Commission? That's correct. 6 Α. 7 Q. Could you please tell me the date on this Notice of Preliminary Determination? 8 The date mailed on this form, April 9 Α. 10 14 is the date that this letter was mailed out. 11 0. And this was regarding a violation, 12 am I correct, of 49 CFR 172.516; correct? 13 Α. That is correct. 14 MS. BAIR: Thank you. Your Honor, I 15 have no more questions, and I would move Staff 16 Exhibit 2 into evidence. 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I also have no 18 questions at this time. And we will admit Staff Exhibit 2 into evidence. 19 20 (EXHIBIT HEREBY ADMITTED INTO 2.1 EVIDENCE) 2.2 MS. BAIR: Thank you, your Honor. 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I believe you 24 have no further witnesses; am I correct? 25 MS. BAIR: You are correct.

```
1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr.
 2
     McCutcheon, I understand you have your company
     president, or owner, Calvin McCutcheon, as well.
 3
                 Mr. McCutcheon, what comments do you
 4
 5
     have as far as the alleged violation? And I
 6
     will note that you had e-mailed to everyone some
 7
     photographs of the placards at issue this
    morning, or yesterday actually. But at any
 8
9
     rate, Mr. McCutcheon, what comments do you have
10
     as far as alleged violation goes.
11
                 MR. COPELAND: First off, thank you,
12
     Your Honor, for taking the time --
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Before you start
14
     I will swear you in.
15
                 MR. COPELAND: My name is Brian
16
     Copeland.
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And Mr.
18
    McCutcheon is the president. Okay. And, Mr.
19
    McCutcheon, if you expect to speak I will swear
20
     you in as well.
2.1
                 (WITNESSES SWORN)
22
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Great.
                                             And
23
     whichever of you wants to proceed go ahead.
24
                 Mr. Copeland, if you want to speak
25
     go ahead, or Mr. McCutcheon, as the case may be.
```

2.1

BRIAN COPELAND

called as a witness, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. COPELAND: Sure. First off I thank you, your Honor, for taking the time and everybody that's on the call. And, Mr. Mularcik, thank you for your service, appreciate the 17 years.

So, our kind of defense in this is as Trooper Mularcik went through, the pictures that I submitted are actually in the documents that we already went through. We feel that the placards are still -- you can still see the flammable placard on the top of the placard, you can still see the 3, you can see the number. It's not damaged or obstructed.

And we still feel that the pink in color is still identified as red, the hazard placard, based on the other placards that are on that trailer. You have green, yellow, white and red. We feel that it's still easily identified as a flammable placard for our case here.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And, Mr.

McCutcheon, do you have any comments to add?

2.1

CALVIN MCCUTCHEON

called as a witness, being previously duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. MCCUTCHEON: Yes, your Honor.

Like Brian stated, the red color is recognized as a flammable, combustible liquid under 172.500.

And the other hazard classes, are a distinct distinction color difference, as Brian had mentioned, orange for explosives, blue for dangerous, green for non-flammable fuel.

So all the flammable class materials are a red color. And there is no color matrix applied to this regulation to show a standard that it has to be this bright or this color. And this is one of the heartaches that we have as a transporter trying to stay compliant and keep everybody thinking in a positive mind pattern here.

And that is why the distinct colors of corrosive being black and poisons being white, oxidizers being yellow, the standards

aren't really -- those colors can't really be mixed. And even if it is somewhat faded, it still represents the flammable characteristic of that waste stream.

2.1

And that is why we are just asking, we recognize there is no fine, and we really appreciate that, and no forfeiture.

The compliance of our overall CSA scores though are very important to our company. I have been in this business since 1947, we take this very seriously and we are a very compliant company and provide a very necessary service to the industry. And we do it with the utmost integrity in compliance.

And we just feel that this violation, it could be excused and corrected on our CSA score, it would be much appreciated.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I have no questions. And, actually I will just ask this.

So, Mr. Copeland, you are saying that the photos that you e-mailed today as exhibits for the company were actually the same photos that were in the Staff Exhibit; am I correct?

MR. COPELAND: The photos that I

```
1
     submitted were actually photos that I had taken,
 2
    but they are very representative to the photos
     that were already in the exhibit.
 3
 4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So, they are
 5
     not -- the photos you submitted are not actually
 6
     from the vehicle that was stopped; am I correct?
 7
                 MR. COPELAND: It is. As soon as
 8
     the vehicle came back I took those pictures
9
    before we changed those placards out. So, they
10
     are pretty much the exact same pictures that
11
     Officer Mularcik had.
12
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. So
13
     the photos --
14
                 MR. COPELAND: Different cameras.
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Different
16
     cameras, but the same tanker truck, tanker
17
     trailer?
18
                 MR. COPELAND: That's correct.
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. That's
     fine. All right. Ms. Bair, do you have any
20
2.1
     questions for either of these gentlemen?
22
                 MS. BAIR: I have no questions.
     Thank you.
23
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And so,
25
    Mr. Copeland, then did you want to -- I assume
```

```
that you will ask that your photographs be
 1
 2
     admitted into evidence?
                 MR. COPELAND: Yes. We would like
 3
     for our photos to be made as evidence as well.
 4
 5
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, we will
 6
     then mark the photographs that Mr. Copeland
 7
     e-mailed as McCutcheon Exhibits 1 and 2.
                 (EXHIBITS HEREBY MARKED FOR
 8
 9
     IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
10
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And I have no
11
     further questions. I appreciate everyone
12
     participating today and persisting through any
13
     of the technical issues to get connected.
14
                 Thank's to Micah Schmidt, our IT
15
     person, for trying to get everyone on board, and
     actually succeeded in doing so. And also Mr.
16
17
     Long, Bradley Long, for contacting Trooper
18
    Mularcik and for Trooper Mularcik for persisting
19
     through getting through on your cell phone.
20
                 With that being said, I don't
2.1
     believe there is any more to be asked, and
2.2
     therefore, I would say we will close the hearing
23
     for the day.
24
                 Everyone stay safe and stay well.
25
     Have a good morning everybody.
```

```
26
 1
                  (At 10:40 A.M. the hearing was
 2
     concluded)
 3
 4
 5
                        CERTIFICATE
 6
              I do hereby certify that the foregoing
 7
     is a true and correct transcript of the
     proceedings taken by me in this matter on
     February 15, 2022, and carefully compared with
 8
     my original stenographic notes.
 9
10
                           Michael O. Spencer,
11
                           Registered Professional
                           Reporter.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/1/2022 1:01:20 PM

in

Case No(s). 21-0492-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript February 15 2022 In the Matter of McCutcheon Enterprises, Inc. Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.