

**From:** [Puco ContactOPSB](#)  
**To:** [Puco Docketing](#)  
**Subject:** public comment 21-0277-EL-BGN  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 2, 2022 10:38:12 AM

---

**Subject:** border basin solar project

I have read information from different solar projects and looks like the Ohio Power Siting Board has relied on applicant opinions on property values and other nuisance. On 1/10 Linda Bishop posted 2 different posts to the public record for border basin project showing unaddressed issues in the proposed project . Right now there is a substation proposed that will for sure have impact across from my home along with at least 6 other homes the largest home cluster on the project in an area that floods also where they have permanent signs posted. When I talked to the project manager about my concerns seemed like bottom line cost for the project out weighed our losses. If this project didn't notify all of the affected people on 4/26 service notice referencing (4 pages ) 8/26 notice (9 pages ) properly how could the Ohio Power Siting Board not verify property losses along with a real assessment of the project from a independent source verifying more accurate valuations for people along the project. I have included pictures of substation same size on the edge of the project as proposed one and also potential of adding proposed one to it. Thank you Jeff Overmyer







**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities  
Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**2/2/2022 11:29:25 AM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 21-0277-EL-BGN**

Summary: Public Comment of Jeff Overmyer, via website, electronically filed by  
Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing