BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of Level One :

Trans, Inc. Notice of : Case No.

Apparent Violation and Intent : 20-1700-TR-CVF

to Access Forfeiture.

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

Before David Hicks, Attorney Examiner, held via WebEx, on Tuesday, January 11, 2022, at 10:10 A.M.

- - -

Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. Roman Bilunyk
            78 Carol Lane
            Richboro, Pennsylvania 18954
 3
                 Appearing Pro se.
 4
 5
 6
            Mr. Thomas Shepherd
            Assistant Attorney General
 7
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 8
                 On behalf of the Staff of the
 9
                 Public Utilities Commission
                 of Ohio.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	TNDES TO MITTHECCEC	3
2	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
	DIRECT CROSS	
3	STAFF WITNESSES	
4	Trooper Shawn Martin 11	
5	Rod Moser 25	
6		
7	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES	
8	Roman Bilunyk 32 39	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

					4
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS			
2					
3	STA	FF EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADMT	
4	1	Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	14	25	
5	2	Electronic Record of Duty Status	17	25	
7 8	3	Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture	28	31	
9	4	Notice of Preliminary Determination	28	31	
11	DFC	PONDENT'S EXHIBITS			
12		Picture of Log	13	43	
13		E-Mail	37	44	
14			0 /		
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

```
5
 1
                          Tuesday Morning,
 2
                          January 11, 2022.
 3
 4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Good morning,
 5
     everyone. The Public Utilities Commission of
 6
     Ohio has called for hearing Case No.
 7
     20-1700-TR-CVF which is captioned In the Matter
     of Level One Trans, Inc.
 8
 9
                 My name is David Hicks, I am the
10
     Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to
11
     hear this case. As I said, I have a little bit
12
     of introductory remarks here, then we will
13
    proceed.
14
                 Let's go ahead and start now with
15
     appearances of counsel, beginning with Staff.
16
                  MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you, your
17
     Honor. On behalf of the Staff of the Public
18
     Utilities Commission of Ohio, Dave Yost,
19
     Attorney General of Ohio, John Jones, Section
20
     Chief Public Utilities Section, by Assistant
2.1
     Attorneys General Thomas Shepherd and Werner
22
    Margard, 30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor,
23
     Columbus, Ohio.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                      Thank you.
25
     And, Mr. Bilunyk, if you could -- presumably you
```

```
are appearing on behalf of the Company. If you could state and spell your name for the record, give us your position with the Company, and address for the Company, please.
```

2.1

2.2

MR. BILUNYK: Good morning, your Honor. My name is Roman Bilunyk. I am the owner of the Company, and I am the driver who was accused.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Could you provide the address for the Company?

MR. BILUNYK: It is 78 Carol Lane,
Richboro, Pennsylvania 18954.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Again, you know, a few preliminary remarks. First of all I would just right off the bat, which I think will help our court reporter is if you are not speaking or needing to be able to quickly interject I would suggest muting your microphone just because that will eliminate any background noise when others are speaking and our court reporter is trying to transcribe everything that's being said.

On that same note, we will just have to be careful with, because of the virtual nature of this, try not to speak over each

other, talk while others are talking. I know it's probably inevitable it's going to happen a little bit, but just keep in mind that our court reporter is trying to get everything down. So if you can take a pause before you speak, speak clearly and loudly to the extent that you can, I think that will help everyone.

2.1

First, again, just note for the record this hearing is being held via WebEx pursuant to the November 9, 2021 entry issued in this case. Pursuant to that entry due to the issues surrounding the Covid 19 pandemic this is being held virtually via WebEx which enables parties to participate by video conference while also forwarding the public access to the hearing by telephone or video over the internet.

As an additional and other preliminary matter I will note that this is a violation against the company, Level One Transportation, and pursuant to Commission rules that it typically requires that a corporation must be represented by an attorney at law. There is, as the entry notes, an exception allowing a regular salaried employee of the corporation to appear before the Commission

involving matters of question of fact. So in that respect, Mr. Bilunyk, I just want to point out that that would be the case. Obviously if you can confirm you are a salaried employee of the company, representing the company, authorized to represent the company here.

2.1

MR. BILUNYK: Yes. I am, yes.

will kind of, I don't know if you have any questions on this front, Mr. Shepherd, we will kind of play this one by ear as it goes. Mr. Bilunyk will be allowed to present the evidence, factual matters, ask factual questions, but things that are more the traditional domain of an attorney, objecting, legal arguments, things like that, that will strictly be the domain of attorneys.

So before we call the first witness, again just if you experience any sort of technical difficulties, can't hear, you are cutting in and out, please just speak up and let us know. We will try to fix those. Wave your arms at the screen if all else fails. And we will try to go from there. You can contact our event host, Micha Schmidt is on, 614-466-6843.

There is also a chat function in WebEx. Just be aware that those are public, it's not going to be something private. If you put something in the chat and that is also not part of the official record of the case.

2.1

attendee, you can observe the hearing, but your microphones are going to be muted. You are not allowed to speak. To the extent that any witnesses are on as attendees, when they are called as the witness Micha will promote you to an attendee and you will then have your video and auto enabled and be able to testify.

In all other respects we will try to conduct this the same way as we would if we were in person. Again, due to the remote nature, let's try to limit background noise and talking over each other and things like that. So if you are not testifying, if you are not asking questions, I would say keep yourself muted until you need to, to unmute to speak, and that will help everyone else.

I would also, I know we talked a little bit about, I would say that all of us that are on camera right now, let's stay on

camera to the extent that we can. If people start to have band width issues we can turn off the camera when it's not necessary. But, again, that's something we can play by ear as we go.

2.1

2.2

During a witnesses' testimony they should only have access to either filings in the case docket or other documents that have been identified as potential exhibits and exchanged between the parties. Any witnesses should not access or seek other information while testifying in which they communicate through any means with anyone privately during their testimony.

Any exhibits that are ultimately admitted into the record will need to be e-mailed to the court reporter. We would ask hopefully that you would do that by tomorrow. The e-mail address for that is kspencer@aando.com. And I will follow with that in an e-mail to you all where we share e-mails so you have it and we can get those in.

That is all I have in terms of preliminary matters. I don't know if either of you have any questions or, Mr. Bilunyk, if you have -- if you would like me to sort of outline

```
1
     how things are going to proceed here. But,
 2
     essentially the Staff is going to present their
     case, call their witnesses, present their
 3
     evidence.
 4
 5
                 As I mentioned, any sort of factual
 6
     questions you have of those witnesses we can
 7
     entertain, and then once Staff has rested with
 8
     their case you will have the opportunity to
 9
     testify and present your evidence on your side.
10
                 MR. BILUNYK: Understood.
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                     Okav. Mr.
12
     Shepherd, I will take silence as you are good to
13
     go on your end. And with that I will turn it
14
     over to you to go ahead and proceed.
15
                 MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you, your
16
     Honor. First Staff calls Trooper Shawn Martin
17
     to the stand.
18
                 (WITNESS SWORN)
19
20
                   TROOPER SHAWN MARTIN
2.1
     called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
2.2
     testified as follows:
23
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please go
24
     ahead, Mr. Shepherd
25
                    DIRECT EXAMINATION
```

12 By Mr. Shepherd: 1 2 Good morning, Mr. Martin. How are Q. 3 you? Α. Good. How are you? 4 5 Q. Good. Could you please state your full name for the record? 6 7 Α. Trooper Shawn Martin. Go ahead and spell your name. 8 Q. 9 Α. S-H-A-W-N M-A-R-T-I-N. 10 Q. Thank you. By whom are you 11 employed? 12 Α. The Ohio State Highway Patrol. 13 Q. And what's your position within 14 the Highway Patrol? 15 Α. State Trooper. 16 Okay. How long have you been with Ο. 17 the Highway Patrol? Since December of 2004. 18 Α. 19 Q. And what are your duties in your 20 capacity as a Trooper? 2.1 To patrol and enforce the laws of Α. 22 Mahoning, Portage and Trumbull County. 23 Q. All right. 24 And inspect commercial motor

25

vehicles.

- Q. And what specific training do you have in the realm of inspecting commercial motor vehicles?
- A. Several weeks of Part A, Part B and all the hazmats.
- Q. Any continuing education throughout your time with the Patrol?
 - A. Just school bus. That is about it.
- Q. Okay. Are motor carrier inspections conducted to protect the safety of the Ohio traveling public?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. And just kind of ball park, how many inspections on average do you think you have performed in a year of commercial motor vehicles?
- 17 A. I would say over 750 to 850.
- Q. And you were on duty on August 11th, 2020?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. SHEPHERD: Okay. Your Honor, I
 would like to have marked as Staff Exhibit 1 the
 document I circulated earlier that says
 Driver/Vehicle Examination Report.
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. That will

- be so marked. And, Mr. Bilunyk, if you want -
 did you receive those by e-mail as well? Just

 follow along with his description. Hopefully

 you can see what they are.
 - So we will mark what Mr. Shepherd
 e-mailed yesterday as Staff Exhibit 1,
 Driver/Vehicle Examination Report, will be
 marked as Staff Exhibit 1.
- 9 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR 10 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
- 11 Q. Mr. Martin, do you have that up?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

- Q. Okay. Do you recognize this document?
- A. The one I printed out, or the one that you have? It's the same, I just printed it off the computer.
- Q. Yes. If we could use the one that I sent over. They are very similar. One is just a Commission document.
- A. Okay. I have got to figure out how
 to access this. I think it's the same one.
 It's obviously the same one.
- Q. It has all the same information on it, but I would prefer to use that if you can

- just so we are all looking at the same thing.
- 2 A. Okay. If you could give me one
- 3 second I am going to print that off.
- Q. Okay. And while you are printing
 things if you want to go ahead and print off the
 other one I sent to you, which is the electronic
 logging device readout.
- A. Yes. I do have that one. It's printing now.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. I have it now.
- 12 Q. Okay. And do you recognize this
- 13 | document?

- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And is this document a record of the
- 16 | Highway Patrol?
- 17 A. Yes
- 18 Q. It's kept in the ordinary course of
- 19 business?
- 20 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Okay. And it is generally
- 22 the practice of the Patrol to make this kind of
- 23 report?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Is the information in this

- document an accurate reflection of the report you filled out on August 11th, 2020 following the inspection of the vehicle driven by Mr.
- 4 Roman Bilunyk and operated by Level One Trans?
- 5 A. Yes, it is.

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. And did you prepare this report because of the inspection of the vehicle driven by Mr. Bilunyk?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. And why did you stop Mr.
- 11 Bilunyk for an inspection on that day?
- 12 A. For speed. I checked his speed with
 13 laser at 62 miles an hour in a 50 mile an hour
 14 zone.
- Q. Okay. And did Mr. Bilunyk receive a copy of the report at the stop?
 - A. Yes. We give a copy to every stop.
- Q. Great. Moving along, if I could draw your attention to the second violation listed on that report. Can you tell me what that is?
- A. That is a 14-hour rule violation.

 Or actually 11-hour. Driving beyond the 11-hour driving limit in a 14-hour period.
- Q. Okay. And it's kind of

- self-explanatory, but if you could just in a little more detail briefly describe that rule.
- A. The driver is allowed 11 hours of drive time in a 14-hour period. Like you said, pretty much self-explanatory.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you. And do you always check a driver's log during an inspection to see how much they have been driving?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. And you did that obviously during this inspection?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. I am going to move to our second exhibit.
- 15 MR. SHEPHERD: Your Honor, I would
 16 like to have marked Staff Exhibit 2 a document
 17 that has Electronic Record of Duty Status listed
 18 at the top.
- 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let me get that
 20 up. Okay. The Electronic Record of Duty Status
 21 which you circulated and labeled Staff Exhibit 2
 22 will be so marked.
- 23 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR
- 24 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
- MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you.

- Q. Mr. Martin, do you have in front of you what's been marked Staff Exhibit 2?
 - A. Is this the ELD report? Yes.
- Q. Can you briefly explain what this document is, what's the data that's in it?
- A. It keeps track, it's the ELD report that gets uploaded, keeps track of the driver's record of duty status.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. That day and the previous 7.
- Q. And is the information listed in Staff Exhibit 2 the same type of information you would have examined during your inspection?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And I am going to, this is kind of a longer document, but about Page 11, 12. If you would turn to page 16 of it, most of the way through. That is where August 10th, 2020 starts.
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. And can you please explain how you came to the conclusion that based on this data that the driver was in violation of the rule prohibiting drivers from driving more than 11 hours in a 14-hour period?

A. Yes, I can. August 10th at 10:17

P.M. the driver went into an off duty status.

You go to August 11th and the driver comes back on duty driving, and that was at 7:50 A.M.

2.1

- 8:17 A.M. would have been the time that he would have been allowed to drive without having a violation. So he left at like I said 7:50 A.M. instead of 8:17 A.M.
- Q. All right. So, and based, on your professional opinion, based on the information that was provided to you during this inspection do you believe that a violation of 49 CFR 359.3

 (A) (3) (1) occurred?
- A. Yes, I do. The driver made no mention of any malfunctions or anything like that at the time.
- Q. We will get to that in a second.

 But, turning back to Staff Exhibit 1, briefly,

 can you tell me what the third violation listed

 there is?
 - A. Driving beyond a 14-hour duty period, driving beyond 14-hour period on August 11th. The violation occurred at 7:50 A.M.
- Q. And again, I am sorry to do this again, but can you briefly describe what this

rule is, what's it's prohibiting?

2.1

- A. This allowed the driver 14 hours total of on duty time. And it's pretty much self-explanatory also.
- Q. Okay. Just so it's very clear, can you tell me, on duty is different than driving time how?
- A. Yes. On duty is basically being in an on duty status where they are sitting. A lot of times, sometimes they are getting loaded, things like that. During an inspection that's an on duty time. Basically not driving, but still at work.
- Q. Thank you. We are going to turn back to Staff Exhibit 2, the ELD readout. And if you could kind of go to the same spot and explain how you came to the conclusion that the violation of the 14-hour rule occurred?
- A. Again, going back to August 10th, the driver going off duty at 10:17 P.M. and then on the 11th coming back into a driving status on August 11th at 7:50 A.M. He didn't get his full 10-hour break.
 - Q. Thank you.
- 25 A. That's the violation.

- Q. Thank you. So in your professional opinion based on the data you reviewed there was a violation of 49 CFR 359.3 (A) (2)?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Thank you. And we are going to change gears here. I just have a few more questions for you. Can you briefly describe how an electronic logging device works?
 - A. That is for me, sir?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Okay. It's synced up to the truck and it records their location. There is intermittent driving. So it pings the truck every so often. Let you know the location and things like that.
 - It's supposed to indicate their change of duty status, sleeper berth, off duty, on duty and drive time. And it records all that information.
- Q. And so it's an automated process you are saying?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And Mr. Bilunyk was utilizing one of these electronic logging devices at the time of the inspection?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. Is there something that a driver of a commercial motor vehicle should do in the event that their logging device is not functioning? Is there some sort of backup plan?
- A. Yes, there is. They need to go to a paper log, and indicating the malfunction they are having and use the paper log.
- Q. And is a driver of a commercial motor vehicle responsible for ensuring that their equipment, including an electronic logging device, is functioning properly? Is that their responsibility?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Based on your recollection of this inspection and your review of the inspection report that you filled out, did Mr. Bilunyk provide any other record of his activities besides the information contained in Staff Exhibit 2?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. There was no paper log or anything?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Okay. I just have one final question, I believe, and I will be set.

A driver of a commercial motor vehicle is required upon inspection to provide an accurate record of duty at that time; correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

- Q. That's all for me. Is there anything else important that you would like to note for the record before I am done with you?
 - A. No, sir.

MR. SHEPHERD: All right. Thank
you. No further questions, your Honor. I would
reserve for rebuttal if necessary, but we are
open to cross-examination.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Bilunyk, as we had talked about, you are free to ask some questions as far as the facts of what he has testified to just now. So, please, you can go ahead with any questions you may have for Mr. Martin.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 21 By Mr. Bilunyk:
 - Q. Good morning, Mr. Martin.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. I have a question. You said there
 was no malfunction. I did show you my record

```
off duty in my ipad. And I did clearly ask you can I ask the log book provider about the malfunction. Because I showed you on my site -- let me explain how the ELD works. Because it does work automatically. But --
```

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Bilunyk, one second. So, when it's your turn to present your evidence I am going to let you testify for everything you have. Right now if you have questions for Mr. Martin, you ask him questions in response to what he testified to.

As far as your explanation of what happened, you are going to have your opportunity to provide that information. So right now if you have questions for Mr. Martin go with those.

MR. BILUNYK: No. I don't have any questions.

19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr.

20 Shepherd.

2.1

MR. SHEPHERD: Your Honor, I move for admission of Staff Exhibits 1 and 2 into the record.

24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr.
25 Bilunyk, any questions on those exhibits? Again

```
25
     Staff has moved to admit them into the record.
 1
 2
                 MR. BILUNYK: I don't agree with
 3
     them, the duty status, duty records, but I don't
     have any questions.
 4
 5
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Staff
 6
     Exhibit, what has been marked as Staff Exhibit 1
 7
     and Staff Exhibit 2, will both be admitted into
     the record.
 8
 9
                 (EXHIBITS HEREBY ADMITTED INTO
10
    EVIDENCE)
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you for
12
     time, Mr. Martin.
13
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14
                 MR. SHEPHERD: Your Honor, Staff
15
    will next call Rod Moser to the stand.
16
                 (WITNESS SWORN)
17
18
                        ROD MOSER
19
     called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
20
     testified as follows:
2.1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Shepherd,
22
    pleasing go ahead.
23
                 MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you.
24
                    DIRECT EXAMINATION
25
    By Mr. Shepherd:
```

- Q. Good Morning, Mr. Moser.
- A. Good morning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

- Q. Could you please state your full name and business address for the record?
- A. My name is Rod Allen Moser. Last name is M-O-S-E-R. Business address is 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
- Q. Thank you. By whom are you employed?
- A. I am the Chief of Compliance and
 Registration for the Transportation Department
 of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.
 - Q. And how long have you been in that position?
- 15 A. Coming up on five years.
- Q. Great. What are your duties in that position?
- A. Related to this case, my duties are to basically shepherd the civil forfeiture process for the Transportation Department.
- Q. Did you have an opportunity to
 review the Commission's file in this case prior
 to today's hearing?
- A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. Can you please explain briefly how a

forfeiture amount in a transportation case such as this is determined?

2.1

2.2

A. Sure. This particular inspection was a non-hazmat, non-hazardous materials inspection. And as such it is fully automated in its assessment. Violations noted on the inspection are divided into one of five categories.

We call groups, Group zero, Group 1, 2, 3 and 4. Group zero violations are those which are least likely to cause a crash, and never have a civil forfeiture amount assigned to them.

Group 4 violations are largely driver behavior violations and things like registration and authority and insurance issues. They always have a violation.

Groups 1, 2 and 3 deal with mechanical issues of the truck. And Group 1 would be the most likely of those three to cause a crash.

And whenever those violations are noted as they are marked as out of service on the inspection, then there is a civil forfeiture assessment for that violation.

Thank you. Have you had an 1 Q. 2 opportunity to review the two hours violations that we have been discussing today? 3 I did not personally review whether 4 Α. 5 or not the merits of the violation, that's not 6 my role, my role is to properly assess the 7 inspection and violations as they come in. 8 Q. Okay. 9 These are properly assessed, yes. 10 Q. Okay. Thank you. 11 MR. SHEPHERD: Your Honor, I would 12 like to have marked as Staff Exhibit 3 the 13 document I circulated earlier dated August 12, 2020. 14 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Staff 16 Exhibit 3 well be so marked. 17 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR 18 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES) 19 MR. SHEPHERD: And we are going to 20 do Staff Exhibit 4 at the same time if that 2.1 works. I would like to have marked as Staff 2.2 Exhibit 4 a document dated October 9th, 2020. 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It will also be

(EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR

so marked as Staff Exhibit 4.

24

IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)

1

2

7

8

15

16

17

18

- MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you.
- Q. Mr. Moser, do you recognize
 the documents I have marked as Staff Exhibits 3
 and 4?
- A. Yes, sir, I do.
 - Q. Can you briefly explain what each document is?
- A. The one marked Staff Exhibit 3 is
 what we commonly refer to as a NIF, Notice of
 Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess
 Forfeiture. This would be the first letter
 mailed to the Respondent following the civil
 forfeiture assessment.
 - This particular document lists 3 violations. The first violation is for a speeding offense in which the inspector noted on the inspection that he had given the Respondent a warning.
- And then violation No. 2 in order is
 11-hour rule violation. And No. 3 in order
 there is 14-hour rule violation.
- Q. That's Staff Exhibit 4. You don't need to go into detail about the violations at this time.

- A. Staff Exhibit 4 is what we refer to as an NPD, Notice of Preliminary Determination.

 This document is mailed to a Respondent following an unsuccessful attempt during a telephone conversation to resolve the issues of the case.
 - Q. Okay. And both of these notices are required by Commission rules sent to Respondent?
 - A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
 - Q. And based on your review of the Commission's file which contains these documents, the Respondent received all the notices required by Commission rules?
 - A. It appears so, yes.
 - Q. In your professional opinion are the forfeitures proposed in this case accurately and reasonably determined?
 - A. Yes, sir, they are.
- 19 Q. Is there anything else important to 20 note before you step down?
- 21 A. No, sir.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- MR. SHEPHERD: All right. Thank
 you. No further questions.
- 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
- 25 Again, Mr. Bilunyk, if you have any questions

```
31
     for Mr. Moser. Again as far as your narrative
 1
 2
     or what you want to present, you will have
     the opportunity here shortly. But now would be
 3
     the time if you have any questions for Mr. Moser
 4
 5
     as to what he just testified to.
 6
                 MR. BILUNYK: No, sir.
 7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
                 MR. SHEPHERD: At this time Staff
 8
     moves for the admission of Staff Exhibits 3 and
9
10
     4 into the record.
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Any
12
     questions on that, Mr. Bilunyk?
13
                 MR. BILUNYK: No, sir.
14
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                      What has been
    marked as Staff Exhibit 3 and Staff Exhibit 4
15
16
     will be admitted.
17
                 (EXHIBITS HEREBY ADMITTED INTO
18
    EVIDENCE)
19
                 MR. SHEPHERD: I am all set.
20
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Anything
2.1
     further, Mr. Shepherd?
2.2
                 MR. SHEPHERD: No. I am all set.
23
     Thank you
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                      Okav.
25
     Bilunyk, Mr. Shepherd has completed presenting
```

1 his witnesses. Now will be your opportunity. 2 I assume you would like to testify. So I would swear you in. And if you would go ahead and 3 raise your right hand, I will officially swear 4 5 you in. 6 (WITNESS SWORN) 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, before 8 we get going here, just speak up as you can, speak clearly. If we have any issues, Mike, if 9 10 you have any issues, just speak up as far as 11 hearing him as he testifies. 12 And I know you had circulated some 13 documents as well that you may want to 14 introduce. So now is your opportunity to talk 15 through those and present them as well, Mr. 16 Bilunyk. 17 And with that I will turn it over to 18 you. And just note again you may be subject to 19 cross-examination from Mr. Shepherd after you 20 provided your testimony. So, please go ahead. 2.1 22 ROMAN BILUNYK 23 being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 24 DIRECT TESTIMONY 25 MR. BILUNYK: Let me start with

```
explaining the log book because there is misunderstanding how they work. But it does record all the movements.
```

2.1

But the driver, we have device that is connected to ETU, engine control unit, and GPS device. And details when we go on duty, off duty. But it does report only driving status. Only when you drive it records by itself. The rest you do on our own.

After it's all been done we certify and the record goes to cloud. What happened at that day on August 10th, I came to my pickup location. If you can see picture, you can see the picture on my ipad.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Just a second so we can try and see that everyone -- are you referring I am guessing to an e-mail you sent to me and Mr. Shepherd with what appear to be images of the log?

MR. BILUNYK: Yes, sir, yes, sir.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Shepherd,

are we on the same page as far as -- okay.

Okay. I am going to go ahead and we

will mark that as Respondent's Exhibit 1.

(EXHIBIT MARKED THE THE PURPOSE OF

IDENTIFICATION)

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go ahead, Mr.

3 Bilunyk.

2.1

2.2

MR. BILUNYK: And if you can see that I went off duty at 9:42. I am trying to say there was clear small malfunction. That is my side. And I did present this to the officer.

I tried to explain to him. I asked him ten times can we call the provider so they can verify that probably something wrong.

Because if I went off duty at 9:42 that means I can start working at 7:42. I started working

like 8:00 o'clock, I don't remember what, so it was 20 minutes after 10 hours.

And I did explain that. I didn't mean to falsify something or do something. It just malfunctioned. I did contact -- okay.

Let's go with this.

So, on my side it said, and I did show him ipad. He said I don't care. I have my cloud. No matter what I said he said you just sit in the truck and wait.

Then he comes back, pulls me out of service, and tells me that is all I can do. Go to Court. No matter what I did. And at that --

go with that.

2.1

And then the second picture, and if you go to the second picture. Can you do that, please, for me? It's image No. 2. That picture from cloud, from our safety management cloud. That has access to. That is not from the driver ipad. It said on top, ELD software, driver.

You can clearly see that is the same timing like -- that is kind of like violation.

I don't know why they didn't change it. I mean,
I do agree that he has all rights to said that I was wrong.

And now can we please go to the third picture? I will explain why.

That is going to be the August 11th.

Can you see that, please? If you look on

the top right corner there are hours. Every

time we start our day we have 14 hours to work,

11 hours to drive, and 8 hours before the break.

It clearly says that. On top, you see?

Time until break. Eight hours.

That's how we start our morning. He doesn't check the cloud. He go by the device in our hands. I don't know what's going on on the cloud.

So my ipad and my device give me clear to work. I had time to work. Again, I just want to make sure I did not know what's going on on the cloud. And I did not intend to falsify something. I had time.

Even I wasn't in a hurry. And that is proof that I went off duty at 7:42 and started working at 8:00. So if I was in a hurry I would do my 10 hours and go on, work; right? I did 10 hours 20 minutes, something like that.

I did explain to the officer five times, I was begging him, asking him, is this too much travel or not?

And so that is proof that I had clear to go. And I did contact the ELD provider; that it should be e-mail forwarded to you that where they say with the malfunction and all this stuff was fixed, but you cannot see it. Did you see that e-mail too?

20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. That was 21 the second e-mail?

THE WITNESS: Yes. That is the second e-mail. That was -- I tried to work with Public Commission for Ohio, explain that. It was just mistake when we had the phone call.

```
37
     They said just pay $250 and forget about it.
 1
 2
     I am like, I am not going to pay for nothing.
 3
     I contested.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Hold on just a
 4
 5
     second. Did you have that second e-mail, Mr.
 6
     Shepherd?
 7
                 MR. SHEPHERD: Yes, I do.
 8
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
 9
                 MR. SHEPHERD: And I am going to
10
     object to that. The person who sent that e-mail
11
     isn't here to testify as to the nature of what
12
     was said in the e-mail.
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So let's mark
14
     it first so we know what everyone is referring
15
     to. That e-mail will be marked as Respondent
16
     Exhibit 2.
17
                 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR
18
     IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will defer
19
20
     ruling on that. I will let Mr. Bilunyk
2.1
     continue. Go ahead, Mr. Bilunyk.
2.2
                 MR. BILUNYK: And honestly that is
23
     nothing I can do or say, because I didn't do
24
     nothing wrong. I followed the rules. I know
25
     the rules.
```

I have hazmat endorsements, I have been driving truck for 15 years. I know all the rules, I always follow the rules. And if things go out of control I can't help it.

2.1

It's just simple malfunction. One more thing I forgot to mention. Just one more thing. As the first e-mail, picture No. 4, just to prove that the ELD wasn't working properly. If you look at the picture No. 4, the dates, and Wednesday, August 5th. Can you see that? It says worked 18 hours. That thing was going on and off 18 hours. And I didn't get in trouble. They should have seen that at the time of inspection.

That just ELD malfunction. They should have seen that. That's a big thing.

It's not 20 minutes. Nobody said nothing. I did explain it them too. They like, nope, you are wrong. I am like, okay.

What else can I do? And the ELD device is approved by DOT. I just purchased -- the only reason I purchase it, because it was -- bought it and all that story. I don't even know.

I tried to go by the name. So they

- have customer service here, in U.S., which is in
 Michigan for this one. I mean, I do my best to
 keep it safe, in compliance.
- But things -- when things go out of control, I didn't get a chance to explain it.

 I was told I see what I see. I don't want to look at your logbook. I don't want to look at
- I think that is all I can say.
- 11 Thank you so much.
- 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Shepherd,
- 13 any cross-examination?
- MR. SHEPHERD: Yes. Just a couple questions for you, Mr. Bilunyk.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 By Mr. Shepherd:
- Q. So the pictures that we are looking at that show a different record of hours than the documents you were looking at earlier, did you show that to the inspector during the inspection?
- A. It's on my ipad. I took my ipad and tried to show him. He said, no, I have my own.
- Q. And just going quickly to that

- Wednesday entry you were talking about, you said it says you worked 18 hours; correct? So that is how you know it was malfunctioning. Did you
- 4 notice it was malfunctioning before the
- 5 | inspection on August 11th? Because -- yes.
- Did you notice that it said you were working 18 hours?
- A. No. That's the thing. It was okay
 at the date of inspection. But then the bell
 thing, the bell pop up meaning violation. I am
 like, what is going on with this thing? That's
 how I noticed it. But I did notice it after the
 inspection. So it was okay.

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- And just for the record, on the cloud it's okay. It's seven hours -- I mean it's clear on the cloud. Malfunctioning between cloud and the device.
- Q. But on your device that you were using that we are looking at it notified you that you had been working 18 hours, which must have been a violation.
- So, I guess did you think maybe your electronic logging device wasn't working properly at that time?
- A. Maybe without me knowing. Because

- let me just say one more thing. We don't look on the cloud. We don't have access to it. We have simply -- I have ipad. You got to have GPS connection and you got to have internet. That ipad and Bluetooth connected to the cloud. I had no issue. I didn't know nothing about that.
- Q. Okay. But, and you didn't at any time have a paper log around in August?
 - A. If you suspect something is wrong we go with the paper log. I have paper log with me. Blanks. But everything was fine.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. I didn't have any problem.
- Q. And I just want to make sure. So, you didn't think to use a paper log when it said that you were working 18 hours when you knew that was an error?
- A. The thing, I called the DOT that day and tell them that shows me I am in violation. They said don't worry about that, on the cloud you are clean, clear, you are okay. And I did check the cloud. Cloud was okay.
- I didn't have reason to use the
 paper log. Because it's after inspection, after
 everything. It popped up and disappeared. If

- you go, I can provide you that record for that day too. It's clear, it's all good. I don't know why it's doing that.
- Now I don't have any problem. But at that time, I don't know.
- 6 MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you. Your 7 Honor, I have no further questions.
- 8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr.
 9 Bilunyk, I just have a couple questions for you
 10 just to clarify here.
- So, what we marked as Respondent's

 Exhibit 1 are the pictures that you sent, and I

 just want to make sure this is clear for

 everyone for the record, these are pictures that

 you took?
- 16 A. I took from my ipad.
- Q. From your ipad. Do you know when you took these pictures?
- A. It says right on the top 9:10 P.M.
 Thursday, August 13th.
- Q. Okay. And that would have been of what year?
- A. 2021. Yes. A couple days after.
- Q. So that would have been, we are right at 2022, so obviously 2020, I believe.

A. Yes. 1 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I just wanted to 3 verify that so we are clear where these came from. If you have nothing further, am going to 4 5 help you a little and ask if you want to move 6 your two exhibits that have been marked into 7 evidence? 8 MR. BILUNYK: Yes. 9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. 10 Shepherd, any objections on Respondent Exhibit 11 1? 12 MR. SHEPHERD: No objections on 13 Exhibit 1. 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. So 15 Respondent's Exhibit 1 will be admitted into the 16 record. 17 (EXHIBIT HEREBY ADMITTED INTO 18 EVIDENCE) 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And 20 Respondent's Exhibit 2, you had indicated you 2.1 would object. 2.2 MR. SHEPHERD: Yes. Just to the 23 extent that it's an e-mail from someone who is 24 not here to testify today. And I would object

to that as inadmissible hearsay.

```
ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Your objection
 1
 2
     is so noted. I am going to admit it into the
     record and the Commission will be able to give
 3
     it the weight it deserves when considering it.
 4
 5
                 Respondent's Exhibit 2 will be so
 6
     admitted.
 7
                 (EXHIBIT HEREBY ADMITTED INTO
 8
     EVIDENCE)
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Does that
10
     conclude things for you, Mr. Bilunyk?
11
                 MR. BILUNYK: Yes. That is all I
12
     can do. That is okay. Fine.
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr.
14
     Shepherd, any rebuttal on your end?
15
                 MR. SHEPHERD: No. I am all set.
16
     Thank you.
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. With both
18
     sides having rested here, I believe that will
19
    wrap things up for the hearing. I appreciate
20
     everyone suffering through the fun of WebEx
2.1
     here. I think we got through it rather
22
    painlessly.
23
                 With that, you know, Mr. Bilunyk,
24
     just so you know, we will get a transcript of
25
     this hearing in a few weeks. And the Commission
```

```
45
     will then consider it, and ultimately issue an
 1
     opinion. Typically it will be a couple months
 2
     out from hearing. It just kind of depends on
 3
    how things progress here. But, be on the
 4
 5
     lookout for that.
                 With that, the matter will be
 6
7
     submitted to the record. I want to thank you
8
     all again for tuning in today. And we are
9
     adjourned.
                 (The hearing was concluded at 10:56
10
11
     A.M.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on January 11, 2022, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/2/2022 10:16:44 AM

in

Case No(s). 20-1700-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript January 11th 2022 In the Matter of Level One Trans, Inc. Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Access Forfeiture. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.