

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT OF
SOM R. SONI, DBA ADTECH SYSTEMS
RESEARCH, INC.,**

COMPLAINANT,

CASE NO. 21-341-EL-CSS

v.

ELIGO ENERGY OH, LLC,

RESPONDENT.

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on January 12, 2022

I. SUMMARY

{¶ 1} The Commission dismisses this case, with prejudice, as the complaint was withdrawn.

II. DISCUSSION

{¶ 2} Pursuant to R.C. 4905.26, the Commission has authority to consider written complaints filed against a public utility by any person or corporation regarding any rate, service, regulation, or practice relating to any service furnished by the public utility that is in any respect unjust, unreasonable, insufficient, or unjustly discriminatory.

{¶ 3} Eligo Energy OH, LLC (Eligo) is an electric services company as defined in R.C. 4928.01 and is certified to provide competitive retail electric service under 4928.08. Accordingly, Eligo is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

{¶ 4} On April 6, 2021, Som R. Soni, dba AdTech Systems Research Inc. (Complainant) initiated a complaint against Eligo alleging that Eligo charged unreasonable rates after the expiration of Complainant's fixed rate contract. Complainant sought a refund

for the period in which he believed he was overcharged from October 27, 2020, through March 26, 2021.

{¶ 5} On April 27, 2021, Eligo filed its answer to the complaint, denying a number of allegations and asserting an affirmative defense.

{¶ 6} By Entry issued June 22, 2021, the attorney examiner scheduled a telephonic settlement conference to be held on July 27, 2021.

{¶ 7} The settlement conference took place as scheduled on July 27, 2021, but the parties were unable to reach an agreement at that time.

{¶ 8} On July 30, 2021, the attorney examiner scheduled an evidentiary hearing to take place on October 27, 2021.

{¶ 9} The evidentiary hearing took place as scheduled on October 27, 2021. During a break in the hearing, the parties engaged in further settlement discussions, and the parties were able to reach an agreement. When the hearing reconvened, Complainant withdrew the complaint with prejudice, and the hearing concluded. (Tr. at 103-105.)

{¶ 10} Upon review, the Commission accepts Complainant's notice of withdrawal of complaint. Accordingly, this case should be dismissed, with prejudice, and closed of record.

III. ORDER

{¶ 11} It is, therefore,

{¶ 12} ORDERED, That the complaint be dismissed, with prejudice, and this case be closed of record. It is, further,

{¶ 13} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon each party of record.

COMMISSIONERS:

Approving:

M. Beth Trombold

Lawrence K. Friedeman

Daniel R. Conway

JWS/JML/kck

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

1/12/2022 2:19:55 PM

in

Case No(s). 21-0341-EL-CSS

Summary: Entry dismissing this case, with prejudice, as the complaint was withdrawn. electronically filed by Ms. Mary E. Fischer on behalf of Public Utilities Commission of Ohio