BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of Joseph

Williams, Notice of Apparent : Case No.

Violation and Intent to : 20-1588-TR-CVF

Assess Forfeiture.

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

Before Jim Lynn, Attorney Examiner, held via WebEx, on Monday, November 8, 2021, at 10:00 A.M.

- - -

Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Ms. Kyle Kern
 3
            Assistant Attorney General
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                 Appearing on behalf of the Staff
                 of the Public Utilities Commission
                 of Ohio.
 6
 7
 8
            Mr. Joseph Williams
            6920 Willow Bloom Drive
            Canal Winchester, Ohio 43110
 9
10
                 Appearing Pro se.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
2		
3	DIRECT CROSS	
4	STATE'S WITNESSES	
5	Inspectorr Richard Bittner 7	
6	Bradley Long 20	
7	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES	
8		
9	Joseph Williams 29 34	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

			4
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
2			
3	STA	TE'S EXHIBITS MARKED ADT	
4	1	Vehicle-Examination Report 9 17	
5	2	Notice of Preliminary 23 28 Determination	
6		Determination	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Monday Morning,

November 8, 2021.

10:30 A.M.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public

Utilities Commission of Ohio has assigned for
hearing at this time Case No. 20-1588-TR-CVF In
the Matter of Joseph Williams Notice of Apparent
Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture.

I will note that this is being held through WebEx which allows the parties to participate by video or telephone as the case may be. And if there are any technical difficulties during the hearing please contact me at 614-644-0451. Hopefully we won't have any problems. I think everybody is on board now.

I just want to mention to please keep your microphone on mute or stay very quiet unless you are speaking. And keep any noise to a minimum.

And also be mindful of our court reporter, so speak clearly and at a reasonable pace. And if you have to repeat yourself go ahead.

So I believe that's all for the

```
1 initial comments. Let's start with
```

- 2 | the appearances of the parties. And we will
- 3 | begin with the attorney from the Attorney
- 4 General's office.
- 5 MS. KERN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 6 On behalf of the Ohio Attorney General's office,
- 7 David Yost, Section Chief John Jones, 30 East
- 8 | Broad Street, 26th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215,
- 9 | Assistant Attorney General Kyle Kern.
- 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
- 11 And, Mr. Williams, if you could state your name
- 12 and address, please.
- MR. WILLIAMS: Joseph Emerson
- 14 Williams.
- 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And your
- 16 address, please.
- MR. WILLIAMS: 6920 Willow Bloom
- 18 Drive, Canal Winchester, Ohio 43110.
- 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
- 20 | Okay. We will proceed then with the Attorney
- 21 | General's office first since they are the ones
- 22 that brought the case to the Commission. Ms.
- 23 | Kern, would you like to go ahead with your first
- 24 | witness, or your opening comments?
- MS. KERN: Yes, your Honor, thank

7 you. We would like to call Inspector Bittner as 1 2. our first witness. 3 (WITNESS SWORN) 4 5 INSPECTOR RICHARD BITTNER called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 6 7 testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 9 By Ms. Kern: 10 Can you hear me, Inspector Bittner, Q. first off? 11 12 Α. Yes, ma'am. 13 Q. Thank you. Can you please state your full name for the record, please? 14 15 Α. Yes. My name is Richard Bittner. 16 Q. And where are you employed, sir? 17 I am employed with the State Highway Α. 18 Patrol based out of Bucyrus. And what is your position within the 19 Ο. 20 Patrol? 2.1 Α. Motor Carrier Enforcement Inspector. 22 How long have you been with the Q. Patrol? 23

seven years, four years as an inspector.

I have been with the Patrol total of

24

Q. And can you explain your duties in your capacity as a Highway Patrolman?

2.1

- A. Yes, ma'am. So, basically my duties are to inspect commercial vehicles roadside and static, and to -- basically what we will do is check to ensure that license, registration, insurance are valid, ensure that their log books are correct. We are enforcing federal guidelines. Vehicle maintenance as well as any of the licensing increments that are required.
- Q. Thank you. Can you explain any training that you have in that area?
- A. Yes, ma'am. We have extensive training to come into this position. We have to complete North American Standards Part A, Part B, hazmat, tanker, bulk, other bulk, passenger and vehicle inspection. All of those require 32 inspections each time after each of the classes, and you have to score at least an 80 percent on the test.

And then you have to go out in the field with a training officer and show that you are able to complete these inspections.

Q. Thank you. Would you agree that motor carrier inspections are conducted to

```
9
     protect the safety of the Ohio traveling public?
 1
 2
                 Yes, ma'am. Absolutely.
 3
                 MS. KERN: Your Honor, I would like
     to mark as Staff Exhibit 1 a two-page document
 4
 5
     that I circulated on Friday. It is Entitled
 6
     Vehicle/Examination Report.
 7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. We
     will call that Staff Exhibit 1, and that's a
 8
 9
     report that was done on August 11th of 2020.
10
                 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR
11
     IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
12
                 Inspector Bittner, do you have a
            Ο.
13
     copy of the document Entitled
14
     Vehicle/Examination Report that I just had
    marked as Staff Exhibit 1?
15
16
            Α.
                 Yes, ma'am, I do.
17
                 Thank you. I would like for you to
            Q.
18
     take a look at that document.
19
                 Yes, ma'am.
            Α.
20
            Q.
                 Do you recognize this document?
2.1
            Α.
                 I do, ma'am.
2.2
                 And is the document a Patrol record?
            Q.
23
                Yes, ma'am.
            Α.
24
                 It is kept in the ordinary course of
            Ο.
25
    business; is that correct?
```

- A. Yes, ma'am, it is.
- Q. Is it the practice of the Ohio State Highway Patrol to make this report?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And I know you are looking at a different copy, but is it substantially the same document as when you prepared it to the best of your recollection?
- A. Yes, ma'am. I have read through it several times, and it is complete.
- Q. Can you explain the reason for you producing this inspection report of the motor carrier vehicle operated by Mr. Williams?
- A. Yes, ma'am. I was sitting stationary patrol on I-71 watching traffic moving southbound. Mr. Williams passed by heading southbound. As he passed by I noticed that the orange seat belt was hanging from the post up on the lefthand side above the left shoulder.

I pulled out into traffic once Mr. Williams had passed me. Mr. Williams was traveling in the right-hand lane of a three-lane highway. As I moved over to the center lane to be able to read the license plate and gather the

information needed for the traffic stop he started to approach an ODOT unit that was out mowing the side of the roadway. I stayed back several car lengths to allow Mr. Williams to move over into the left lane, to be able to move safely around the DOT workers.

2.1

Mr. Williams put on his turn signal, initially started to move to the left, got to the point where his front tire had touched the dotted line of his own lane, and then stayed in that lane. Continued forward, continued southbound.

I initiated the traffic stop, and Mr. Williams continued to drive approximately almost another mile after the traffic stop was initiated, lights and sirens. Once Mr. Williams stopped I made my approach, made contact with the driver, and spoke with the driver.

At that time I moved Mr. Williams to a safer location where we could conduct the rest of the inspection safely. And while conducting the inspection I asked Mr. Williams why he wasn't wearing the seat belt when he was going down the roadway. And he advised that he had been wearing it, however, he had not had

the shoulder strap on. He advised that that hurt him. But he did have the lap portion of the seatbelt on.

In my notes, if I can read through those.

Q. If you could just hold just a second because we are going to get to this part. Thank you.

It sounds like you remember the inspection; is that correct?

- A. Yes, ma'am. Very vividly.
- 12 Q. And the approximate date?
- A. Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

18

19

- Q. Okay. And the location, you remember all of that. And the driver that's on the report is Mr. Williams; is that correct?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. To the best of your recollection is Mr. Williams present on this video conference hearing today?
- A. To the best of my knowledge, ma'am.

 I am unable to see anyone except for the few

 that are here, but he has acknowledged that he

 is, and he is Mr. Williams.
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: He is

- acknowledging verbally. We will note that for the court reporter.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Does the driver get a copy of the report at the stop?
- A. Yes, ma'am, he does. On completion of the inspection drivers are given the full report.
- Q. And you provided a copy of the report to Mr. Williams at this particular stop; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, I did.

2.1

- Q. And you started to talk about the seatbelt violation, which is the reason that we are here today; correct?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Could you please explain how you determined that Mr. Williams was not wearing his seatbelt, or not wearing it appropriately?
- A. Yes, ma'am. Initially as I had said, when Mr. Williams had passed me I could see that the seatbelt was hanging from the post. Once I was able to speak with Mr. Williams and I asked him why he wasn't wearing his seatbelt, he actually informed me that he was wearing the lap portion only because the other portion hurt him.

- Q. So is it still a violation for not wearing a seatbelt if you are only wearing the lap portion, sir?
- A. Yes, ma'am. Along as he has no restrictions or any medical condition that would show that he was not required to wear it, he is required to wear his seatbelt properly.

MS. KERN: Thank you. Your Honor, I have no further questions for this witness.

I would like to move Staff Exhibit 1 into the record, and again I would reserve this witness for possible rebuttal if necessary.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, Ms.

14 Kern. I just had a few questions for Mr.

15 | Bittner.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

EXAMINATION

By the Attorney Examiner:

- Q. Mr. Bittner, could you explain again when Mr. Williams' vehicle approached you where you were parked or where you were sitting at the time?
- A. Yes, sir. I was on I-71 and we were
 just north of Ashland. I was in the cross-over
 just prior to the old scale house that is no
 longer used. I was just north of that sitting

in the cross-over watching traffic moving southbound with a clear field of view of at least 300 yards.

2.1

- Q. All right. And so you were in the cross-over, or the median. And Mr. Williams' vehicle, again, what lane was he in? Was it in a lane closest to you? I think you said it's a three-lane highway there.
- A. That is correct, sir. He was in the far right-hand lane. So there would be, as I was sitting in the median I would be in the left lane, Mr. Williams was in the right lane.
- Q. I see. Okay. And were the weather conditions clear that day?
 - A. Yes, sir. They were very clear.
 - Q. And were there any other vehicles similar in height near Mr. Williams at the time he went by?
 - A. No, sir. There was light traffic, but it was all passenger vehicles. Very light.
 - Q. All right. When you mentioned that his shoulder belt was hanging from a post, could you explain that a little bit, please?
- A. Yes, sir. So above what would be considered the B post, A post is your very front

post of your vehicle, B post would be right next to the driver's left shoulder. At that point of where the seat belt would come down normally and hanging, that was where I could see the seatbelt hanging

- Q. I will mention for the court reporter, the A post would be basically it's on the left side of the windshield?
 - A. Yes, sir, the A post is.
- Q. Then the B post, as Inspector
 Bittner is saying is alongside the driver. One
 of the supports basically for the roof is what
 it amounts to.
- A. Correct. It is directly behind the driver's left shoulder.

16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.

Thank you. Ms. Kern has put a motion to move the Staff Exhibit into evidence. Before we do that, Mr. Williams, did you have any questions,

just questions, now, you can tell your side of

21 the story, but any questions for Inspector

22 Bittner?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

MR. WILLIAMS: Basically, you know,

I think it would be impossible for him to see

anything. I was in the right-hand lane, I did

have the strap on, I had my seatbelt on. 1 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Williams, 3 again, those are important comments, but we will do that after we swear you in for your side of 4 5 the story. But I wanted to know, is there 6 7 anything you have in mind that you could pose as a question for Inspector Bittner? 8 9 MR. WILLIAMS: No, no. I do not. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. With that 10 11 in mind I will mention that we included you on 12 the e-mail that the A.G.'s office, Attorney 13 General, Assistant AG Kern, sent you the copies 14 of the inspection report which, of course, you 15 were given too at the time of the inspection. 16 So, do you have any objection to 17 that examination report being admitted into 18 evidence? 19 MR. WILLIAMS: No.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Then
we will admit Staff Exhibit 1 into evidence.
And that's the Driver/Vehicle inspection report.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you
again, Mr. Williams. And, Ms. Kern, did you

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

```
18
     have any other questions for this witness, for
 1
 2
     Mr. Bittner or Inspector Bittner, or did you
     have any other exhibits that you wanted to put
 3
     forward?
 4
 5
                 MS. KERN: No other exhibits, but I
 6
     did have one more clarifying question, your
 7
     Honor, if I may.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go ahead.
 8
 9
                   REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10
     By Ms. Kern:
                 Inspector Bittner, do you recall the
11
            Ο.
12
     color of Mr. Williams' seatbelt?
13
            A. It was orange in color.
14
                 And so you would have been able to
            0.
15
     see a distinction between an orange seatbelt and
    Mr. Williams' clothing, is that correct, to know
16
17
     if it was across the shoulder?
18
                 Yes, ma'am. He was wearing a white
            Α.
     T-shirt.
19
20
                 MS. KERN: No further questions.
2.1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
2.2
                   FURTHER EXAMINATION
23
     By the Attorney Examiner:
24
                 Inspector Bittner, what was the
            Ο.
25
     speed of the vehicles at that time,
```

approximately, on that part of the highway?

2.1

- A. Sir, I have no way to -- as commercial enforcement we do not have the ability. I can tell you that once I had pulled out into traffic and faced Mr. Williams we were paced right at about 65 miles per hour.
- Q. And some other question. Let me think for just a minute.

And about how far away, if you can estimate, was Mr. Williams' vehicle at the time that you were looking into the cab and noticed the seatbelt?

A. Yes, sir. It would be less than 30 feet. But I also used binoculars. I have a set of binoculars that I use. At that particular spot coming down the hill, and I am at the bottom of the valley of the hill where the cross-over is, and as they come down the hill I have a clear view into the cabs of the vehicles.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.

Thank you. Okay. Thank you very much. You are still under oath, so we may get back to you with further questions.

And, Ms. Kern, did you have any other witnesses that you wanted to bring

20 forward? 1 2 MS. KERN: Yes, your Honor. Staff 3 would like to call Bradley Long. 4 (WITNESS SWORN) 5 BRADLEY LONG 6 7 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 By Ms. Kern: 11 Please state your full name for Ο. 12 the Commission, sir. 13 A. It is Bradley Long. 14 Where are you employed? Ο. Public Utilities Commission, 15 Α. Transportation Section, Compliance. 16 17 Q. And what is your position? 18 A. I am Assistant Chief of Compliance. 19 How long have you been in that Ο. 20 position? 2.1 Α. I have been in this position since 22 November of 2019, almost two years. 23 And could you briefly explain your Q. 24 duties as Assistant Chief?

Yes. I assist in the oversight and

25

Α.

monitoring of the compliance section and civil forfeiture process. Also organization assignment of work for our compliance staff and CO officers. I am also responsible for reviewing conference summaries for completion, accuracy and are they done in a timely manner.

2.1

2.2

- Q. What are your expert qualifications in violations or the subject matter of violations?
- A. Prior to my time here with the PUCO

 I was a State Trooper for 24 years on the road,

 25 total with Highway Patrol. In that time I

 was ten years with the crash reconstruction

 section where I was trained specifically

 certified with commercial motor vehicle crash

 investigations, ECM, which is electronic control

 module monitoring.

Prior to my last two years with the Patrol I was in the License Commercial Standards Unit where I was a commercial motor vehicle Trooper. Completed roughly around 1,500 inspections before I came to the PUCO.

During that I had to have certifications in North American Standards Part A and B, hazmat general, cargo tank, other bulk,

bulk, motor coach, school bus inspector. So several since then.

2.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Thank you. Can you explain how you determine the amount of a forfeiture?
- A. Sure. Inspections come in that are not hazmat, in this case it was a non-hazmat inspection, the violations come into the system and the system automatically assesses them based on what we call group numbers.

There are five groups, zero through 4, group zeros never get a fine assigned to them. These groups are basically assigned in a manner based on the likelihood of a crash occurring.

So Groups 1 through 3 generally will receive a fine if the violation is an out of service, or we are talking more of equipment violations, things like that.

This is a Group 4 violation. Group 4 relations always have a fine assessed to them. Those are generally your authority or driver violations.

So this system came in and it was assessed at a \$100 fine, which is the fine amount that is assessed for that violation no

- matter who gets it, no matter what driver, how it comes in, a seatbelt violation is going to get a \$100 fine. That is generally related to -- in relationship to the CBSA and FMCSA regulations.
 - Q. Thank you. So the Commission applies this process of determining a forfeiture uniformly to everyone; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. I would like to turn your attention to a document called the Notice of Preliminary Determination.
- MS. KERN: Your Honor, I sent it to
 both you and Respondent on Friday. It should be
 marked as Staff Exhibit 2, please.
- 16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
- 17 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF
- 18 | IDENTIFICATION)

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Mr. Long, let me know when you have that document.
- 21 A. I have it.
- MS. KERN: And, your Honor. Okay.
- 23 Do you have that document?
- 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, I do.
- MS. KERN: Okay. Thank you.

- 1 Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr.
- 2 Long?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. I sure do.
- Q. What is it?
- A. It's a Notice of Preliminary

 Determination. It's provided to the Respondents

 after a conference with a compliance officer

 where a settlement cannot be reached. Then

 it's explained to them that they still have the

 option to either pay the forfeiture in full or

 within 30 days as it's noted down at the bottom

 request an administrative hearing.
- Q. Thank you. And was this specific document sent to the Respondent?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And did you review this document in preparation for this hearing?
- A. I did.
- Q. Is this document kept as a
 Commission record in the ordinary course of
 business?
- 22 A. It is.
- Q. And can you, I know you just gave an explanation about how forfeitures are generally derived, but can you explain how the \$100

forfeiture amount was derived in this case?

2.1

2.2

- A. Yes. When it came into the system again, that fine is set as a Group 4 violation fine in the system. So whenever that seatbelt violation comes into the system written under 392.16 it't going to come in as a Group 4 violation, the system is going to recognize that violation, automatically assesses that fine for \$100, unless there is an entry in that inspection that the Respondent was issued a traffic citation for the violation or a warning or something in the state field was written it's always going to be assessed \$100.
- Q. So this penalty is consistent with the recommended fine schedule and recommended civil penalty procedure adopted by the Commercial Motor Vehicle Alliance; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Would you recommend this amount to the Commission as a forfeiture of \$100?
 - A. That's correct. I would.
- Q. Is there anything else important to note that we have not discussed that you would like the Commission to know for the record?

```
Not that I am aware of at this time.
 1
            Α.
 2
                            Thank you. Your Honor, I
                 MS. KERN:
 3
     have no further questions for this witness.
 4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
 5
     Thank you. Mr. Williams, you heard an
 6
     explanation of how that fine was calculated and
 7
     how it was determined. And you may disagree
    with the violation, but do you have any
 8
 9
     objection to this particular piece of evidence,
10
     this letter that you received indicating what
11
     the fine is?
12
                 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I think that it
13
     is excessive. And there is a couple questions I
     would like to ask the officer.
14
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, go ahead.
16
                 MR. WILLIAMS:
                                Is that okay?
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, that is. If
18
     you have questions about how the fine was
19
     determined, go ahead.
20
                 MR. WILLIAMS: No. This is not
2.1
     about that. It's the distance. Like 30 feet.
    He had to be at least 30 yards. He is in the
22
23
     center section, I am in the far right-hand lane.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                     Okay. And
25
     that --
```

```
27
1
                 MR. WILLIAMS: Traveling at least 60
2
    miles an hour.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, Mr.
     Williams, it sounds as though those are comments
 4
 5
    maybe you would make when we have you testify.
 6
     Okay?
7
                 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.
8
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: As far as any
9
     objections to, again you may perhaps object,
10
     apparently you do object to the violation,
11
    but --
12
                 MR. WILLIAMS: Absolutely, yes, I
13
     do.
14
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: But do you have
15
     any objections as far as his explanation about
16
     how the dollar fine was calculated?
17
                 MR. WILLIAMS: No. That is part of
18
     the system. I can't argue that. But I do
19
     object to the amount especially when I am
20
    pleading not guilty to this.
2.1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, then again
22
     you can get to those comments about your
23
    perspective in just a moment. So, Mr. Williams,
24
     then do you have any objection to admitting this
25
     exhibit into evidence? Again it's simply
```

```
the letter that indicates there was a violation
 1
 2
     and the dollar amount. You have no objection
     there?
 3
 4
                 MR. WILLIAMS: No.
 5
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
 6
     Well, then we will admit Staff Exhibit 2 into
 7
     evidence as well.
 8
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And, Ms. Kern,
10
     did you have any further questions or comments
11
     for this witness, or any other remarks to make?
12
                 MS. KERN: No, your Honor. I would
13
     just like to move all of the Staff's exhibits
     into the record.
14
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Well, we
16
     will do that.
17
                 Thank you, Mr. Long, for your
18
     comments. And, Mr. Williams, we will let you
19
     testify now. Now, I appreciate you getting in
20
     touch. I am sure with your schedule of driving
2.1
     you are quite busy. And apparently, I assume
     you must be telephoning in, which you can do.
22
23
                 MR. WILLIAMS: I took today off.
24
     did not want to miss this. I want to bring this
```

to a conclusion, which, yes, also brings up a

few questions that I have about the statute of limitations here.

2.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Why don't we do this. First, I will swear you in. As I said I cannot actually see you on the screen, but we will assume you are raising your right hand.

(WITNESS SWORN)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Now, let's hear your perspective, and you made some remarks earlier, but now you are actually, you know, if we were doing this in person you would be on the witness stand.

Let's hear your comments about the alleged violation.

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, as I said before, the officer, I saw the officer first sitting in the center section. And it's not 30 feet, it has to be at least 30 yards or more.

I did have my seatbelt on. It was loose, but I had it on. And I didn't take the seatbelt off until he approached me. And actually I opened up the door and I took the seatbelt off.

EXAMINATION

By the Attorney Examiner:

2.1

- Q. Mr. Williams, when you say you had the seatbelt on, this was -- are you saying both the lap portion and the shoulder strap as well?
- A. Yes. It was there, but that is what I am saying. There is no possible way at least 30 to 40 yards away that he could possibly know if I had it on or not. I could check myself. I have sat going down the highway and looking across, I said there is no possible -- I can't see anything in vehicles.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. No. 2 question that I have here is about the statute of limitations on this violation. Isn't there a statute of limitations?
 - Q. Well, Mr. Williams, I will make that comment, or address that comment. Because of the Covid pandemic, okay, we would have ordinarily had this hearing much sooner. It would have been in person I will add too.
- Because of the pandemic, basically any sort of time frame as far as having hearings like this was put on hold. And it is only

fairly recently that we are able to -- the Commission was able to get operating again for hearings, some of which are in person, but many of which are electronic. And it took the Commission some time to determine what internet technology was needed to do them electronically.

2.1

2.2

So you are asking a good question, and again, anything of this type, any hearing of this type, would have happened much, much sooner, but with the pandemic going on, everything was simply the Governor issued an order, actually it was the Commission had an order, that matters would be put on hold, for the time the clock would stop running basically.

- A. Okay. As a rule of the law, which, you know, I am not a lawyer, I couldn't even get one, as a rule of law I am saying that don't you have to adhere to these rules?
 - Q. Well, again --
- A. Regardless of the situation. You have to go by certain rules and guidelines.
 - Q. Well --
- A. I am not really sure of the time limit for, you know, you are talking about speedy hearing or whatever, but I think there is

a time limit that that has to be done.

2.1

- Q. Well, as I indicated --
- A. And you have exceeded that time limit.
- Q. Well, as I said, many things at the Commission, and indeed at the State level as a whole, were put on hold because of the pandemic. Initially when this all started in March of 2020 there was no procedure set up for electronic hearings, we simply never had to do them before. And it took time to develop a way to do that with the internet technology, or calling in as the case may be, and it was done for safety. We couldn't hold them in person because there wasn't even the opportunity of vaccination, and there were persons that were not masking and that kind of thing.

But also it took some time to work through several internet platforms to find a way that hearings could be done electronically.

A. Yes, sir, I do understand what you are saying. But I am also -- what I am trying too say is that there are certain guidelines like you have certain guidelines according to your fines, and I am saying there regardless of

the situation you have to go by those guidelines. And you are not doing that.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Well, I cannot say anything more than what I have already said.
- A. I understand, I understand. But still you are violating me really because the law of the land is the law of the land.

 And you are saying, well, we had circumstances where we couldn't go by these certain rules.

 And I am saying you have to regardless, and you are not being fair to me.
- Q. Well, let's steer things back to any further comments you have about the alleged violation. Now, you mentioned that, you know, you questioned how much the inspector could actually see you inside the vehicle because of the distance.
- A. I would say it would have been pretty difficult for him to see anything. I am in the far hand lane, and traveling 55 to 60 mile an hour. And I also saw him before he saw me, I think.

And I just feel that this is almost impossible for him to say that he clearly saw the seatbelt hanging from the hook.

34 1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. All 2 right. I will ask Ms. Kern from the Attorney 3 General's office, did you have any questions of Mr. Williams? 4 5 MS. KERN: Just two, your Honor. 6 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 By Ms. Kern: Mr. Williams, you admit that you 9 Ο. 10 were driving the vehicle the day that this examination, vehicle/examination report was 11 12 prepared; is that correct? 13 Α. Yes. And did you have a chance to look at 14 Ο. 15 the vehicle/examination report that I sent to your attention on Friday? 16 17 Α. I did not. Okay. Have you ever seen a copy of 18 Q. 19 that report? 20 Α. When it was issued, yes. 2.1 Q. Okay. And --22 It's been quite some time. Α. 23 Do you admit that you told Officer Q. 24 Bittner that you were, excuse me, I just want to 25 make sure I get the words correct here, you

```
admit that you told Officer Bittner that you were wearing you seatbelt across your lap, but not across your chest because it hurt you; is that correct?
```

A. That's another statement that he made that was not accurate. Let's put it that way. I had my seatbelt on, it was loose, but it was on. And I did not remove it until he approached the truck. I even opened the door and took it off.

We had a conversation about something, I forget what it was right now. But that is when I actually unbuckled the seatbelt.

MS. KERN: No further questions, your Honor.

16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
17 you.

I will go back to the inspector just for a moment, please.

20

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

INSPECTOR RICHARD BITTNER

recalled as a witness, being previously duly

sworn, testified as follows:

FURTHER EXAMINATION

25 By the Attorney Examiner:

- Q. Inspector Bittner, when you mentioned the distance that you were from Mr. Williams when you -- from your perspective you observed that he was not wearing his shoulder strap, only the belt, you mentioned 20 feet. Did you mean to say 20 yards or --
- 7 Α. What I believe I said was 8 approximately 20 to 30. Each lane of this 9 3-lane, the set standard lanes for interstate 10 lanes is 12 feet. 12 feet is the set standard, 11 I believe it was set in 1956, there abouts by 12 the set standards for the roadways. It's 13 approximately 12 feet in width. So three lanes, 12 feet each, is 36 feet. 14
 - Okay. The question on my part. Ο. When you observed him, and you indicated you were using binoculars and so on.
 - Yes, sir. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Did you first see inside the cab Ο. using binoculars when Mr. Williams was coming down the hill towards you, or was it --
- Yes, sir. That particular area has Α. a clear field of view. The traffic comes from the north coming down south. It is a huge hill 25 that they come down. Then you are able to see

```
them all the way down the hill, and you are able to see inside the cab. That is the reason why I sit there.
```

- Q. When you first saw him coming down the hill, approximately what distance would that be?
- 7 A. Quarter of a mile. I mean, from the 8 time that I started watching.
 - Q. Then you stay focused on him apparently as he got closer to you and went past you?
- 12 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

- 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
- 14 Okay. Mr. Williams, I asked a few more
- 15 questions of the Inspector. Did you have
- 16 | anymore questions yourself?
- MR. WILLIAMS: No. I do not at this
- 18 time. No, I don't.
- 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, thank you,
- 20 very much. I believe we have covered everything
- 21 and I want to thank everyone for working through
- 22 any connection difficulties as far as
- 23 participating in the hearing today. And I also
- 24 | want to thank Mr. Williams for making sure that
- 25 he could actually participate in the hearing

today as well.

I don't believe there is anything more to be done. We admitted all the exhibits into evidence, and I think I asked all the questions that we can.

With that being said, thanks to Mica Schmidt who did the internet work on this case, and helped get Ms. Kyle Kern, the Attorney General's representative, connected to the hearing too.

I want to say to everyone stay safe, stay well and Covid is still out there. And we have Thanksgiving coming up in a few weeks, so please have a good holiday as well.

That will be the end of the hearing for today. And I want to thank you all for participating.

MS. KERN: Thank you, your Honor.

(At 11:15 A.M. the hearing was

concluded)

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on November 8, 2021, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/18/2021 1:08:15 PM

in

Case No(s). 20-1588-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript November 8th 2021 In the Matter of Joseph Williams, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.