BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of Mircea :

Fritea, Notice of Apparent: Case No. 21-692-TR-CVF

Violation and Intent to :

Assess Forfeiture.

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Michael L. Williams, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 1:35 p.m. on Thursday, November 4, 2021.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
 2
            Mr. John Jones, Section Chief
 3
            By Mr. Robert Eubanks,
            Assistant Attorney General
            Public Utilities Section
 4
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
 5
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 6
                  On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.
 7
            Mr. Mircea Fritea
                 On his own behalf.
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

				3	
1	INDEX				
2					
3	WITNESS			PAGE	
4	Michael Byrne		6		
5		Direct Examination by Mr. Eubanks Examination by Examiner Williams			
6					
7		Rod A. Moser Direct Examination by Mr. Eubanks			
8	Examination by Examiner Williams			23	
	Mircea Fritea			4.0	
9	C.	ross-Examination by Mr. Eubanks		42	
11	C III A		TDENMIETED	A DMIMMED	
		TE EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED	
12	1	Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	8	17	
13	2	Notice of Preliminary			
14		Determination	20	22	
15					
16	RES:	PONDENT EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED	
17	1	E-mail String	28		
18	2	Medical Examiner's Certificate	28		
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
	1				

1 Thursday Afternoon Session, November 4, 2021. 2 3 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: We're on the record. 4 5 The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 6 has scheduled today Case No. 21-692-TR-CVF captioned 7 in the Matter of Mircea Fritea, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intend to Assess Forfeiture. 8 9 My name is Michael Williams. I am the 10 Administrative Law Judge assigned to today's case. 11 I'll take appearances by the parties. 12 MR. EUBANKS: Robert Eubanks, Assistant 13 Attorney General, 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215, 26th Floor. 14 15 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Thank you, 16 Mr. Eubanks. 17 I would note for the record the 18 Respondent is not here. It is about 6 minutes into 19 the start of the hearing time, so we will proceed. 20 With that I will call on Staff to present 2.1 its case or argue any motions. 22 MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor. I would 23 like to -- would you like me to put the motion on 24 first or? 25 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Sure. Whatever works

```
1 best for you.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

2.2

MR. EUBANKS: The State would like to move for default judgment. The Respondent is not here, and in accordance with the rules, if they do not show, then it is an admission to the violations that are a part of the examination report.

Given that that is an admission, that wouldn't necessarily mean we would win the case so.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Thank you,

Mr. Eubanks. I will take that under advisement. I will invite the Staff to make its -- present its case on the record if you are so prepared.

MR. EUBANKS: Okay. I would like to call to the stand the officer who made the inspection.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Excellent.

I will swear you in.

(Witness sworn.)

18 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Please be seated.

MR. EUBANKS: Your Honor, will you need a copy of the exhibits?

21 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: If you have them.

MR. EUBANKS: Okay.

23 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Do you have one for

24 our court reporter also?

MR. EUBANKS: I'm going to -- yes, I

Proceedings

6 1 will. May I approach the witness? 2 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Please. 3 4 MICHAEL BYRNE 5 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 6 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION By Mr. Eubanks: 8 9 Good morning, Officer. Ο. 10 A. Good morning. 11 Q. Could you state your name for the record. 12 Α. It's Motor Carrier Enforcement Inspector 13 Michael Byrne of the Findlay District Headquarters, 14 Ohio State Highway Patrol, Licensing and Commercial Standards Section. 15 16 Could you spell your name for the record. Ο. 17 Α. It's spelled B-Y-R-N-E, first name common 18 spelling. 19 And how are you related to this matter at Ο. 20 hand? 2.1 Α. This matter was pertaining to a -- it's

commercial motor vehicle as well as the driver of the

commonly referred to as a DOT inspection that was

conducted on February 26 in Hancock County of a

commercial motor vehicle.

22

23

24

- Q. And what is the name of the Respondent?
- A. That is, of the driver?

2.1

- Q. Of the driver, I'm sorry.
- A. The driver was Mr. Mircea Fritea.
- Q. And what were the violations that were cited?
- A. The violations were pertaining -- the first one was a defective right turn signal lamp on the rear of the trailer. The lamp stayed continuously illuminated which is not typical of a normal right turn signal lamp. The lamp never flashed, so being that it was defective, it was placed out of service.

Additionally, there was a tire violation found where there was no measurable pressure. The left front turn signal lamp of the tractor was inoperable. Left front hazard warning lamp of the truck was not operable. There was a leak at the service gladhand. And at the rear of the trailer the right hazard warning lamp was defective. That did not flash upon activation, did not illuminate properly. And Mr. Mircea was placed out of service for that his license was revoked, CDL.

Q. Did you write an inspection report that documented those violations?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

Q. I would like to have what I've passed out to the witness and to the Court Examiner marked as State's Exhibit 1.

5 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. If you would take a look at what has been marked as State's Exhibit 1. Do you recognize the document?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And could you identify it.
- A. This is our standard document that is

 printed from a program called Aspen, A-S-P-E-N. It's

 typically titled a Driver/Vehicle Examination Report.

 This is our standard report that we use when we do

 our DOT inspection.
 - Q. So this -- this report would have been drafted by you?
 - A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. Is this a true and accurate representation of --
- 22 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. True and accurate copy of the examination report?
- 25 A. That is correct.

- Q. Okay. The violations that you mentioned, are they cited on this examination report?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. And the name of the driver, is that also on the examination report?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. What was the date of the inspection?
- A. The date was February 26 of 2020 -- 2021, excuse me, of this year. February 26, 2021.
- 10 Q. That's also noted on the inspection report?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And, finally, what is the USDOT number?
- A. The USDOT number of the carrier was 2251987.
- Q. And how would you have got that number?
- A. This would have been obtained several
 ways. No. 1, the markings on the side of the truck
 are required to have the USDOT markings on them.
 Additionally, there -- apportion registration a lot
 of times has the USDOT number on them plus any other
 documents they may have. Let's say we will call it a
- 23 permit book or a book contains several other
- 24 documents such as those that may have been issued by
- 25 the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration.

Q. Specifically with regard to Violation 383.51A-SIN, driving a CMV while CDL is suspended, how did you verify that violation?

- A. This was verified two ways. No. 1, through a system called Inlets. Running it through Michigan, it indicated that the driver's commercial status was revoked. Additionally, through another system with the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration called CDLIS, the license was also checked through that, and it indicated eligible. Had the license been valid, it would say active.
 - Q. Okay. What does eligible mean?
- A. Eligible means that for whatever reason his -- he's eligible to obtain a CDL and in whatever fashion, but he does not have a valid CDL. So, for example, let's say his medical was expired.
- MR. EUBANKS: I'm sorry. One moment, please. Can we take a break?
- EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Yeah. We will go off the record.
- 21 (Discussion off the record.)
- EXAMINER WILLIAMS: We are back on the record. It is about 1:45. Mr. Fritea just appeared for his hearing.
- We were about 10 minutes in the hearing,

Mr. Fritea, so what's occurred to this point was the State moved for a default judgment in the case based on your failure to appear and prosecute the case or defend the case. Now that you have appeared, that motion for default would appear to be moot.

2.1

The State was also moving to prosecute its case on the evidence of the case that surrounded the inspection that occurred on February 26 of 2021. Inspector Byrne was the inspector who oversaw the inspection, the DOT inspection, and was the one who wrote the report that's the subject of today's case. He was testifying regarding what had occurred on that date and the different violations that occurred. Those have all been labeled State Exhibit 1 and you have a copy of that in front of you. I assume it's a document you have seen before.

With that before we proceed with

Inspector Byrne's testimony, I would invite you to

ask any questions to clarify what's occurred to now

as well as any questions you have as to the process.

MR. FRITEA: The violation that appears, you know, with the bulb and the tire, yeah, I mean, they can happen any time on the road. We have this problem, you know, so many times. The bulb can blow up, and you don't know.

The tire when I get -- when I get the trailer from the yard, the tire pressure was okay. You know, I check all the tires, but maybe it was a nail or something. But I think it was the valve because when he put me out of service, I went to the shop over there and they just put air and they just tied to the valve. I think it was unloose so they just tied it and they put pressure in and they were staying so.

2.1

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: So with that, tell you what we are going to do, do you have any questions as to the process, as to what we are doing here today and what's occurred to now?

MR. FRITEA: Well, I am just -- I am just concerned that I get stopped, I understand. I get stopped. They search; my driver license was suspended. I was shocked when he told me that because --

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Let me stop you again there. So there's a structure to these hearings and the structure is the State presents its case and then I will let you engage in any clarifying questions we have as to the State's case and then you have a chance to present your case after the State presents its case. So I am going to let you say what you are

saying here, but I am going to let you clarify your account as to why you think there wasn't a violation or why you think it might have been an excusable violation, but I am going to ask you to do that in the proper order which is after the State has presented its case, sir.

MR. FRITEA: Okay.

2.1

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: That's important for a couple of reasons. One of which you will have a chance to respond to what the State presents. And, secondly, I will swear you in, and your testimony will be sworn testimony at that juncture. It's deemed reliable by the Commission, okay?

MR. FRITEA: Okay.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay, sir. Thank you.

MR. EUBANKS: Your Honor, may I make one clarification for purpose of Respondent?

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Yes, Mr. Eubanks.

MR. EUBANKS: While there was testimony about a variety of violations that occurred that day, the only violation that is of issue here today is the last one that I had him clarify, 383.15A-SIN, driving a CMV while CDL is suspended --

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay.

MR. EUBANKS: -- so.

2.1

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. That's -that's so noted. Anything else you have for the
witness, Mr. Eubanks?

MR. EUBANKS: No, your Honor. I would like to ask that the State's Exhibit 1 be moved into evidence, or admitted into the evidence, and I have no further questions for the witness.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Eubanks.

So Inspector -- you are welcome to stay seated, if you want, sir, whatever you are most comfort doing. Inspector Byrne has testified regarding the events of February 26 of 2021 and those are written in the Driver/Examination Report.

Counsel for Staff has clarified that the only violation that's the subject of today's hearing is the violation for driving under a suspended CDL. Do you understand what that means?

MR. FRITEA: Yes.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. So I will invite you to ask any questions either through me or, you know, politely through the witness. Do you have any questions for the Inspector as to whether you were cited for a suspended CDL?

MR. FRITEA: Well, he discovered when he run my driver license that the license was suspended, but I was shocked because I'm not guilty for that, and I am going to move forward to prove that.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay.

MR. FRITEA: Because they suspended because the Secretary of State did not did the job right to update my medical card in the system.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: So there is nothing about that involves the account of the Inspector, correct?

MR. FRITEA: No.

2.1

2.2

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. All right. So do you have any questions of the Inspector? It doesn't seem as there are any practical questions. He ran the computer. The computer said your CDL was suspended. He wrote that down. So I don't know that you have any questions or clarification, but I will make sure you have that opportunity.

MR. FRITEA: Yeah. I really don't have any questions. He did his job. I mean --

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay.

MR. FRITEA: I think everything was okay. It's just the license suspended, that was not my -- my problem, but I didn't know about it. And, like I

said, when I call -- when he put me out of service, I called the Lansing directly and I told them what happened and they -- they gave me an e-mail to send the copy of my medical card right away. It was 5 minutes took me and they reinstated -- they updated my license and I was able to leave from there because he put me out of service and 10 minutes I left from there. I put air and then I updated the -- I sent the medical card to the Lansing and they updated and I was ready to drive again.

2.1

- - -

EXAMINATION

By Examiner Williams:

- Q. So, Inspector, you placed him out of service, and then were you aware of how he left the scene?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Does it surprise you that he indicates that he believes he remedied the CDL issue and left the scene in spite of being placed out of --
- A. I am not going to contest it. I will say that, you know, I gave him some instructions as to what he needs to do. I told him that -- get ahold of your license agency as soon as possible so basically what you need to do, the procedures so you can get

back on the road.

Q. Have you done any work to investigate the status of this matter after you prepared your report to see if his CDL was ever reinstated or whether there was any excuse as to why the CDL was potentially inaccurate on the system you checked?

A. No, no.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: All right.

Inspector, thank you for your testimony.

Mr. Eubanks, I don't recall if I admitted State Exhibit 1, but I will admit State Exhibit 1 at this time.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MR. EUBANKS: Thank you, your Honor. At this point I would like to call Rod Moser to the stand.

(Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Thank you. Please be seated.

Mr. Eubanks.

21 - - -

ROD A. MOSER

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 By Mr. Eubanks:

1

2

3

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Could you state your name and spell it for the record.
- A. My name is Rod Allen Moser, last name is M-O-S-E-R.
- Q. Could you state your job position and
 - A. Sure. I am Chief of the Compliance for the Registration and Compliance -- let me start over, Chief of the sections within Transportation known as Registration and Compliance Sections for the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.
 - Q. Okay. And your duties?
 - A. In this regard and in regard to this case, basically to shepherd the civil forfeiture process for the Transportation Department.
 - Q. And how does that relate to the Notices of Preliminary Determination?
- A. Preliminary -- Notice of Preliminary

 Determinations are sent after a conference between

 the Respondent and the assigned case officer.

Normally we send those when the two cannot come to an agreement to settle the case. In this particular case the Respondent failed to contact the case officer on the scheduled date and time so there was no opportunity to settle the case.

- Q. Okay. Does the Notice of Preliminary

 Determination also list the violation and forfeiture

 amount?
 - A. It does, yes.

2.1

- Q. And will you -- do you have any training with regards to the various violations that would be listed on the preliminary determination?
- A. Sure. I am certified to -- as an inspector for North American Standards Parts A and B, general hazmat, bulk, and other -- cargo tank and motor coach, so I am familiar with the violations.
- Q. Okay. And would you also be familiar or have training with regards to the proper forfeiture amounts that would apply to various violations?
- A. Yes. I've been doing this job for about four and a half years now. During that time, when I first started, I had a mentor that showed me the process, and then I have been involved in updating that process and refining it.
 - Q. If you saw the Notice of Preliminary

Determination that was used in this case, would you be able to recognize it?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And why?

1

2

3

- A. Well, it's got Mr. Fritea's name on it.

 It also has a case number related to him, and then it

 would also point out the specific violation related

 to this case.
- 9 MR. EUBANKS: Okay. May I approach, your 10 Honor?
- 11 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Please.
- MR. EUBANKS: I would like to have a document that I have passed out marked as State's Exhibit 2.
- 15 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: So marked.
- 16 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- Q. You have before you the document that's been -- that I've requested to have marked as State's Exhibit 2?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Do you recognize the document?
- 22 A. It is a Notice of Preliminary
- 23 Determination to Mr. Fritea.
- Q. Okay. And does it have the violation and forfeiture amount that was listed?

- A. Yes. So the document itself shows the original violation and forfeiture amount, and then at the top and then near the bottom is the resulting violation and forfeiture amount post conference.
- Q. And would this Notice of Preliminary

 Determination, would it have been sent out to -- to
 the Respondent?
- A. Yes. It's sent out to whoever requests the conference. In this case it was Mr. Fritea.
- Q. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Notice of Preliminary Determination?
 - A. Yes, I believe it is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Is the forfeiture amount appropriate for the violation that existed?
- A. It is. It's -- this violation is assessed that forfeiture amount in all cases where the violation is charged.
- MR. EUBANKS: I would like to move into evidence what has been marked as State's Exhibit 2.
- 20 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Mr. Fritea, any 21 comments on admitting that as an exhibit?
- MR. FRITEA: I'm just concerned, you know, what -- what brought me here, you know. I am not guilty, and I would prove.
- 25 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: We will get there,

sir. I am really just addressing now the admissibility of this State report that's prepared in the ordinary course. It's almost assuredly to come in as evidence, but I wanted to give you a chance to comment before I did that.

2.1

And I'm making this harder than it needs to be. I am going to go ahead and admit this State's Exhibit 2. It's a document kept in the ordinary course, and it's certainly relevant to the matter that we are here for today, so I don't want you to think I'm taking away your opportunity to explain your case, but I just want to streamline the formality of admitting this exhibit.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: So what we are going to do now Mr. Eubanks may very well be done with his questioning. I have a clarifying question or two and then I'll swear you in and I will let you -- allow you to ask some questions as well, okay?

Okay. Mr. Eubanks?

MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor. You are correct. I am done with my questioning for the witness.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Thank you.

EXAMINATION

By Examiner Williams:

2.1

2.2

- Q. Mr. Moser, I want to clarify. You indicated that there is typically a communication process after a violation is issued where Staff and driver, Respondent, will communicate as to, hey, are there any questions or concerns about the case or possible compromise; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And you said that didn't happen here?
- A. No. So our process is that Notice of
 Intent to Assess Forfeiture, an NIAF letter, is sent
 out which gives directions to the Respondent that if
 they don't believe it's a valid violation, they have
 a right to a conference. Mr. Fritea requested that
 conference, and it was set up for him. But on the
 date and time in which it was to take place he did
 not participate.
- Q. Do you know if he participated in the prehearing conference that I had scheduled back on June 24? If you know.
 - A. Yeah, I don't remember.
- 23 Q. Okay.
 - A. There was no -- I can tell you normally we put a note on the case. There was no note in this

case.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- A. If I may.
- Q. Of course.
- A. There are photos attached to this case that show at the time of the inspection Mr. Fritea was not valid. He is -- I did check his CDLIS record this morning. He is valid at this time.
 - Q. Do you know when it was reinstated?
 - A. I want to say June of 2021.

(Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Thank you. So,
Mr. Fritea, since your questioning of the witness and
your testimony of your position in the case are so
intertwined, I am going to go ahead and swear you in.
That way you can ask questions and also present your
testimony here today in a sworn fashion, okay?

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: I am going to let

Mr. Fritea ask you some questions. But again, having
seen this, I mean, it's understandable that his
account of what happened and his questions to you are
kind of blended, so I wanted to get his sworn
testimony.

Mr. Fritea, I will let you ask any clarifying questions. You heard Mr. Moser testify

that this is what he does. He processes these

Notices of Preliminary Determination, that the

process is a couple fold. There is an initial

outreach or opportunity for informal communication

between Respondent and the Division as to tell me

what happened, see if they can work out some sort of

compromise, and then the matter, if it's not

resolved, comes to my attention. I'll then set it

for prehearing conference. That has a second

opportunity for some informal dialogue and then if it

doesn't work out, then we are here today in this

setting.

2.1

So that's how we got here. That's what Mr. Moser's role is in this process. And I will allow you to ask any questions of him to clarify that account including the notion that he confirmed your CDL was reinstated. Again, he doesn't have the exact date and time but that it was -- it showed with a photograph that it was suspended back in February and it shows reinstated in June. So with all that information as a backdrop, please ask any questions you have.

MR. FRITEA: Well, first of all, my license was reinstated right at the moment after I submitted my medical card to the Lansing Department.

So I e-mailed it and not even 5 minutes they reinstate the license, and they told me that I'm okay to drive back on the road so.

2.1

2.2

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Do you have anything -- do you have anything in writing that supports that? Do you have a copy of your e-mail or e-mail back from the agency?

MR. FRITEA: Probably I can find the e-mail when I -- when I send it. I just really don't remember the e-mail, but it's going to take me 2, 3 minutes to look into it, so I can be -- I can find and I can prove it.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: I tell you what we are going to do --

MR. FRITEA: But my license was reinstated right after, so after he put me out of service, after 10 minutes, I was -- you know, I was able to drive again. So if they check all these things that my license was suspended and all that, why they cannot check to see somewhere reinstated right away after I get stopped? Because I didn't know.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. So the -- the way this works is when you're pulled over and inspected, you are required to show you have proof of

the right to be driving in the manner you are driving. If you can't provide that, then it is a violation. And then we are into is there — are there mitigating circumstances or is there any confusion as to why you weren't valid which is what you seem to be proceeding toward which is there was some miss — mess up with my medical card and once we had clarified that, then I was immediately reinstated. I just need you to come forward with that proof so that we can have something besides, hey, the computer said you had an inoperable license when you were pulled over. Does that make sense?

MR. FRITEA: Yes.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: So I tell you what, we are going to do -- it's 3 minutes after 2:00. I want to give you every opportunity to present your case here today. If you think you have some information in your e-mail, I will let you -- we will go off the record here for as long as it takes, 5 or 10 minutes, let you find whatever e-mail you think is relevant and see if you can get it to the court's attention, okay?

MR. FRITEA: Okay.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: So we are off the

25 record.

2.1

(Recess taken.)

date, November 4, that he sent it to me.

2.1

2.2

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Let's go back on the record.

We took a 20-, 25-minute break.

Mr. Fritea had identified, I believe, he could identify some e-mail that he thought was relevant to his case. He hadn't come with a hard copy of that, so I've proceeded down to print a copy that he had forwarded to me. We will go ahead and mark that as Respondent's Exhibit 1 which is the four-page e-mail captioned at the top "Mircea Fritea" with today's

He also then when I came back with the copies from the e-mail presented me with a copy of his Medical Examiner's Certificate which we'll mark as Respondent's Exhibit 2 and that's purportedly signed November 17 of 2020. And I believe

Mr. Fritea's account thus far on the record has been that's when he e-mailed to Michigan in an effort to reinstate or confirm an operable CDL on February 26, 2021.

Mr. Fritea, those documents are true and accurate as they were presented to me, correct?

MR. FRITEA: Yes.

(EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you.

- Q. (By Examiner Williams) Mr. Moser, based on the information that's come in here, to the extent you know, did Mr. Fritea actually meet all requirements for a valid CDL on February 26 when he was pulled over?
- 7 A. I would say that this confirms he did 8 not.
 - Q. Why so?

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

- A. Because I can't see what was sent to the Michigan Secretary of State by the driver.
- Q. So his testimony, I believe, is that he sent a copy of this electronically, this being
 Exhibit 2.
- A. So that would have been at 3 -
 MR. FRITEA: The PDF was included.
- EXAMINER WILLIAMS: We have got to do one at a time because the court reporter is taking this down.
- A. That would have been in 3:33, correct?

 That's -- that looks like the first action that I

 see.
- MR. FRITEA: Yeah.
- A. That's where he sent something to them.

 MR. FRITEA: After I -- I get out of

service.

2.1

A. Okay. 10 minutes later, the person from the Secretary of State says, okay, you are restored which would indicate that you were not valid at the time of the stop, or you would not have needed to be restored.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. I understand the State's position in that regard.

Mr. Fritea, Mr. Moser is indicating that the action you took after the examination and being placed out of service was an action that frankly verified that you were, in fact, without a CDL at the time in question. Do you have questions for him regarding his position?

MR. FRITEA: Well, when I find out that my license was not valid and I -- because I know I have a driver's before the Michigan has this kind of issue because they make you to fax the copy of the medical card to Secretary of State so they updated in the system. So we send the fax to them when I up -- when I updated my medical card back in 2020 in November 17, but they didn't update it in the system. So when the officer put me out of service because of this reason, I knew that, you know, this has to be with the medical card because the same problem I have

with my other drivers and I have proof also that I e-mailed directly to the Lansing Department, so to make sure that the -- confirmed they updated the medical card. So, of course, that was my first option to do so, contact them directly. And I told them, you know, I got pulled over. I am out of service, and he said send me a copy of the -- of the medical card, and I'll update it for you as soon as possible.

2.1

So and the e-mail also shows that I have a PDF file attached to that e-mail sent to

Michigan.gov and that was -- include my medical card in the PDF. I don't know how you didn't receive the PDF when I -- when I sent -- when I forwarded you the e-mail.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Yeah. I received -- I printed out what you sent me so.

MR. FRITEA: But I can prove that. There is PDF attached to that e-mail sent to the Michigan.gov to update the medical card.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: So you are indicating that the PDF that you -- that's embedded in that e-mail is the medical card that --

MR. FRITEA: Yes.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: -- we have marked as

Respondent's Exhibit 2?

2.1

MR. FRITEA: Yes. And based off that medical card, they stored, they updated in the system because they were supposed to update it three months ago, and they didn't.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Now, did you verify when you sent it in the first time that they received it that you were updating?

MR. FRITEA: There is no way you can verify because they gave you -- when you go to medical office, when you do the medical exam, when they pass you, the exam, they give you fax number to send to Secretary of State.

I send it but whatever works there they didn't update it in the system. And it's not only myself happen. It happen to my other drivers.

That's why from -- from that point I have to contact them directly and I want to get an e-mail directly from them that they prove that they update.

Otherwise today I wouldn't be able to prove all this -- all this information that I got.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: So my question was your exam occurs in November of 2020.

MR. FRITEA: Yes.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. And you are

```
33
     pulled over in February of 2021.
1
2
                 MR. FRITEA:
                              Yes.
 3
                 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: So during that three
    months, did you take any actions to follow up with
 4
 5
    Michigan to confirm that they had received your
 6
     certificate and that your CDL was valid?
7
                 MR. FRITEA: No, because I thought they
     updated when I send the fax to the Secretary of
8
9
     State. They suppose to update it in that time. I
10
     didn't know they didn't update it.
11
                 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: So let me -- let me
12
     see if I can clarify this a slightly different way.
13
     Your DOT inspection occurs at roughly 1:54 p.m. on
14
     February 26, correct?
15
                 MR. FRITEA:
                              Yes.
16
                 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Started at 13:54,
17
     completed at 15:24 so arguably would have been 2:50
18
     in the afternoon.
19
                 MR. FRITEA: Yeah. My time here showed
20
     13:24 I think when he put me out of service.
2.1
                 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: And then within less
22
     than two hours you were in contact with somebody in
23
    Michigan, had updated an e-mail address, and had sent
24
     it to them and had reportedly received confirmation
```

your CDL was reinstated?

MR. FRITEA: No. It was 10 minutes later after I got the -- after he stopped me. After he put me out of service because that was my first option when he put me out of service, I called them directly, and I send them the medical proof that I was valid to drive because I updated my medical card. I have the medical card.

2.1

That was the reason because they -- I mean, my driver was not valid because of -- because the Secretary of State did not update my medical card. That's why. And as soon as I send it to them, in 10 minutes, they updated in the system, and you can see in the e-mail that they told me that I am -- that I am ready to drive.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: So was there anything else you had to do afterwards? I know you forwarded them an e-mail with your medical card. You got e-mail confirm you were okay to drive in your words.

MR. FRITEA: Yes.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Did you have to follow up with them at all after that?

MR. FRITEA: No. The first time I did after I was put out of service. And in 10 minutes after they received the medical card, the person from the Michigan Department, they updated in the system

```
35
 1
     and my driver's become valid.
 2
                 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Had you ever had this
 3
     type of issue before with Michigan?
                 MR. FRITEA: If I ever what?
 4
 5
                 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Did you ever have an
 6
     issue like this before with Michigan?
 7
                 MR. FRITEA: We have with my other
 8
     drivers, yes.
 9
                 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. So you knew
10
     who to call and what -- how to follow up?
11
                 MR. FRITEA: Now I have that e-mail
12
     stored and I send it to the same person. And same
13
     thing, they update it right away. Otherwise you
14
     have -- it's been an issue somewhere because usually
15
    when you take your medical card exam, they suppose to
16
    be already updated to the -- to the Michigan State,
17
     you know. This way I don't have to send any fax, any
18
     proof, or anything. But I guess this issue in
19
    Michigan that has to be resolved because --
20
                 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Did Michigan mail you
2.1
     anything after February 26 to confirm a valid CDL?
                 MR. FRITEA: No, they did not.
2.2
23
                 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Did you have to pay
24
     any additional amounts to certify?
```

MR. FRITEA: No.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Or update your CDL?

MR. FRITEA: No. They updated right there right at the spot.

2.1

- Q. (By Examiner Williams) Mr. Moser, you've heard Mr. Fritea's testimony/questioning, I guess.

 Is there -- this may be outside of your experience or your expertise, is there any motivation that might have led to not following through on the CDL being valid based on the medical card, or is it potentially as he describes it? It was a matter of fault with Michigan, faulty paperwork?
- A. I guess it would not be possible for the Inspector to know roadside if it was an error on the Secretary of State's part or if the driver simply did not fax it. You know, that's one of the reasons for the conference is that would give the driver the opportunity to produce a document like a fax confirmation document that would be date and time stamped. That was not produced here.

We do get this question from time to time. But absent anything from the driver that can show that they actually took the steps that were necessary, we do have information, especially in this case, from the state that shows Michigan took revocation action based on this not being done.

Q. So if you could clarify that account. I know you indicated anecdotally you saw someplace or you thought you saw someplace his CDL was reinstated in June.

2.1

- A. Reinstate maybe is not the correct word. It was issued in June, so possibly it could have been reinstated prior to that. But the last thing or the most current thing I can see in CDLIS, which is Commercial Driver's License Information System, is that his current CDL was issued June 27 of this year.
- Q. Are you able to show the gap in his CDL coverage on CDLIS?
- A. No, I'm not. Because this is a Michigan driver's license, I don't have access to that detail of records. An Inspector with access to LEADS possibly. LEADS is Law Enforcement Automated Data System. They may be able to show that because LEADS generally is more detailed than CDLIS.
- But, no, since it's Michigan, I've even requested in the past for Michigan certified copies of driving history, and they do not provide those even to another state agency.
- Q. Now, you've indicated -- I didn't take detailed notes -- that Michigan acted to rescind or revoke his driver's license; is that what I

understood?

2.1

2.2

- A. Yes. The pictures that I saw that are part of our case here that the Inspector took was a screenshot of CDLIS that shows the driver's status as commercial status as eligible, and he also had a screenshot of LEADS which showed that the CDL was revoked. And that would be from -- it might not be LEADS. Possibly it was a document that the driver gave to the Inspector that showed the CDL was revoked.
- Q. Do we have any way to know the basis for revocation and/or when that occurred?
 - A. I do not, no.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Mr. Fritea, you heard Mr. Moser's testimony. The State has a snapshot or a pic of a screen that shows that your driver's license was revoked. Any reason aside -- to your knowledge aside from the medical certification why your license might have been revoked?

MR. FRITEA: He was -- he was mention that my license was reinstated in June -- in June. No, in June was renewal. I renew my license, and I can -- you can see it on my license that was renewed in June, so he -- he mentioned that was reinstated in June. So from February to June that means I was not

able to drive. No, I was able to drive. I just renewed in June. That's why probably it shows that was reinstated or where he was mentioning in June, June 23. You can see it on my license.

2.1

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. So my question was were you aware of the revocation of your CDL prior to February of 2021?

MR. FRITEA: No, I wasn't aware.

Actually I was shocked when he told me my license is -- is suspended.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay.

MR. FRITEA: That was the first option I took. I contacted directly to update the medical card. And as soon as I send it, they was reinstated.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay.

MR. FRITEA: And we can find that. We can -- we can go into it to the Michigan Department and all that, and they can -- they can prove all these things the way I prove with the e-mails that also there was send them back to me that the license is -- I'm valid to drive. They updated the medical card, so we all -- we all know that the issue was the medical card. That's why it was not updated in the system and that's why I was not valid to drive which I didn't know.

But once I send this paperwork to them, they updated so I was able to -- to drive back on the road after 10 minutes. So we cleared that there was the reason my license was -- was revoked or were suspended because they didn't update the medical card.

2.1

- Q. (By Examiner Williams) Mr. Moser, again, this may or may not be within your bank of knowledge, is there any reason, strategy, tactic, ploy, why a driver might let his CDL be revoked or expire other than as described here today? There was this cross up with Michigan recordkeeping and once they had the valid medical certificate, it was reinstated? Is there anything that would -- not paying renewal fee or any other strategy or rationale or motivation that might have gone into that, if you know?
- A. Yeah. I really couldn't answer to why the driver may or may not have taken care of things that he was supposed to, what his motivation would be for not having his medical card updated, if that was the reason, I'm looking at the document that I was talking about earlier that is a LEADS printout from the state of Michigan that shows commercial status is revoked so.
 - Q. Does it give any more insight?

41

- A. But it does not say specifically the reason for the revocation.
- Q. And that would have been a snapshot in time on February 26.
- 5 A. It's actually dated and time stamped, 6 yes.
- 7 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. All right.
- 8 Mr. Fritea, do you have any more questions of
- 9 Mr. Moser?

1

2

3

- 10 MR. FRITEA: No.
- 11 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: No? Okay.
- 12 Mr. Moser, thank you for your time and testimony
- 13 today.
- Mr. Fritea, I am going to assume that you
- want to move to admit Respondent's Exhibits 1 and 2
- 16 which are the e-mail and the Medical Certificate --
- 17 Examiner's Certificate that we talked about, correct?
- 18 You want to move to admit those as exhibits?
- MR. FRITEA: If I want to what?
- 20 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: You want to have
- 21 those admitted as exhibits in the case?
- MR. FRITEA: Yeah.
- MR. EUBANKS: Your Honor, I did have a
- 24 | couple of follow-up questions for -- or cross
- 25 | questions for the witness.

1 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Absolutely. Mr. Fritea, I think I have given you quite a bit of 2 latitude to explain your position here today. If 3 there is anything that I haven't let you say, by all 4 5 means I want you to say it. Otherwise the State is 6 entitled to ask you questions to clarify your 7 understanding here today or your position here today, so I am going to let Mr. Eubanks ask you some 8 9 questions, okay? 10 MR. FRITEA: All right. EXAMINER WILLIAMS: You remain under 11 12 oath. Do you want him on the stand? MR. EUBANKS: He is fine where he is at. 13 14 15 MIRCEA FRITEA 16 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 17 examined and testified as follows: 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION 19 By Mr. Eubanks: 20 Ο. I had a few questions. You mentioned the 2.1 fax, sending a fax to the Michigan Secretary of 2.2 State's Office? 23 Yes. We did send the fax, yes. Α. 24 You said you sent that fax on, when was Ο. 25 it, November?

43

- A. When I updated -- when I updated the card, when I did the medical card, when I -- when I renewed on 11-17-2022.
 - Q. 11-17 of 2000?
 - A. '20, 2020, I'm sorry.
- Q. 2000 --

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. Because it expires in 2022 so it's valid for two years.
 - Q. Okay. So when on that day after you got your medical card, you sent a fax to Secretary of State?
 - A. Secretary of State, yes.
- Q. Do you have a copy of that fax here today?
- 15 A. I don't have it here.
- Q. Okay. Is there a reason why you didn't bring that document?
 - A. Well, as soon as I did the medical exam,
 I went to -- because I didn't have a fax to my
 office. So I went to the bank and my bank is Charter
 One and the manager knows me because I'm going there
 every day, you know, with my business and all that.
 And I told him please send the fax to the number
 that -- to the Secretary of State and send it to -send it to the Secretary of State so we can update

44

1 | the medical card.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

So now this is another -- another problem. Maybe I can get that letter if I -- if I go back to the bank, do some searching and somewhere we can -- we can find it or somewhere we can prove it. I don't know how hard it is going to be.

- Q. Well, let me ask you this -- let me ask you this question, did you -- did the bank give you a receipt of the fax?
- A. Yes.
- 11 Q. They did.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Have you lost that receipt?
- A. It's got to be somewhere. Somewhere it's got to be in my office.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- A. But I will have to probably search into it and -- and, you know, find out where -- where it can be because I got a lot of documents in my office and.
- Q. And in Michigan it is the responsibility
 of the -- the driver to forward to the Secretary of
 State the medical certificate?
- A. Well, this is -- this is what -- what
 makes me worry about and nobody work to this --

things to resolve the problem because when you -when you go to the medical in Michigan, when you do
the medical exam, they submit the information
directly to the DOT Department by computer. I seen
them. And when I was passing the exam, they send it
to them directly. So why --

- Q. This is your doctor? You said they.
- A. Pardon me?

2.1

- Q. I don't mean to cut you off, but when you say they, who is they?
- A. The medical center that examine, examining me when they did the examine, the medical examine. When I pass, they sent all those information to the DOT Department.
 - Q. Okay. By fax?
- A. No. They have -- they have computer they communicated because I asked.
 - Q. By e-mail?
- A. Not by e-mail. There is a website somewhere where they log into and they provide all the information for all the drivers that has the medical card renewed. But if they did that, why they come up with the second option to -- to fax the medical to the Secretary of State, why they didn't send directly to the DOT and update it right away,

right?

2.1

- Q. Okay. But let me ask my question again.

 Is it nonetheless your responsibility to fax your medical card to the Secretary of State? Is that a duty of -- of the driver? Make their Medical Examiner's Certificate to forward it to the Secretary of State?
- A. Yeah. That's what -- that's what they told me when they gave me the medical card from the desk from the office, they gave me also a fax number to fax it to the Secretary of State. I asked do you have e-mails, do you have phone numbers where I can call to confirm. No. They told me you just have to fax it.
- Q. Okay. So you knew today that the issue was whether or not before the inspection you had sent the Medical Examiner's Certificate to the Secretary of State.
- A. And sorry. I didn't understand the question.
- Q. Okay. You knew -- you can tell me yes or no to this question, but did you or did you not know in coming here today that at least an issue would have been whether or not on 11-17 of 2020 you sent a fax to the Secretary of State of your Medical

Examiner's Certificate?

2.1

- A. Yes, I sent.
- Q. But you knew that would be a question or concern here today.
- A. No. I thought if I can prove the -- that I sent the medical card and also I have a proof from the Michigan Department that they respond to me back and they updated my license, I thought that was clearly right there that you can -- that you can prove that you have no -- I don't know how -- how other way I can prove it, you know.

This was the issue from -- from the Secretary of State and -- and the DOT Department, it was not updated in the system. Because if they did -- if they were updated at the time I renew my medical card, wouldn't be no problem.

- Q. Right. I guess my question is this, the document you have provided us, those documents show that after the stop, you provided to Michigan your Medical Examiner's Certificate, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You have nothing here today that shows that before the stop you provided that, correct?
 - A. I don't have that -- that document, no.
 - Q. Okay. And --

A. I can probably get that.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And you didn't know -- you didn't think that that would be important -- an important means of evidence to show before the stop you had provided the Secretary of State with that information?
- A. I really didn't think that wouldn't be really important, and to be honest it would be really hard to find that -- that receipt that we sent. I have it somewhere in the office but if I -- if I can find it, I will e-mail. I have the e-mail that I send you all this evidence that I have and I can -- I can forward it, that copy if -- if I don't have it in my office, maybe we'll -- by the -- by the time and date I can probably search with the bank and maybe they can -- they can -- we can find it.

16 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Other questions you have, sir?

MR. EUBANKS: I have no further questions.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. Are you going back to your office within the next day or two?

MR. FRITEA: Yes.

EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Tell you what I am going to do, this is Thursday, November 4. I am going to give you a week. If you find it, send it to

```
me via e-mail. I will make sure it gets distributed
 1
 2
     to the State and representatives of the State.
     you can't find it, send me an e-mail confirming you
 3
     can't find it, okay? You've got my e-mail address.
 4
 5
     I'll keep the record of this case open to receive
 6
     that limited information for one week from today,
 7
     okay?
 8
                 MR. FRITEA: Okay.
 9
                 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. Anything else
10
     you want me to know before I close the hearing?
11
                 Okay. I will look for an e-mail I can't
     find it or I will look for an e-mail with an
12
13
     attachment that has the documentation of who you
14
     faxed it to or how it was faxed on presumably
     November 20 of 2020, understood?
15
16
                 MR. FRITEA: Yes.
17
                 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Mr. Eubanks, anything
18
     else on behalf of the State?
19
                 MR. EUBANKS: No, your Honor.
20
                 EXAMINER WILLIAMS: Okay. With that we
2.1
     are adjourned. Thank you.
22
                 (Thereupon, at 2:55 p.m., the hearing was
23
     adjourned.)
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, November 4, 2021, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-7184)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/18/2021 10:58:40 AM

in

Case No(s). 21-0692-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript November 4th 2021 In the Matter of Mircea Fritea, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.