BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	Case No. 21-0887-EL-AIR
Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Increase in)	
Electric Distribution Rates.)	
)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	Case No. 21-0888-EL-ATA
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Tariff Approval.)	
)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	Case No. 21-0889-EL-AAM
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to)	
Change Accounting Methods.)	

MOTION TO INTERVENE OF THE CITY OF CINCINNATI

Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code § 4903.221 and O.A.C. 4901-1-11, the City of Cincinnati hereby moves to intervene in these proceedings. Cincinnati has a number of real and substantial interests in these proceedings and its interests, which may be prejudiced by the results of these proceedings, are not adequately represented by existing parties. Thus, as set forth more fully in the attached memorandum in support, Cincinnati respectfully requests that the Commission grant this timely request to intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James F. Lang

James F. Lang (0059668) (Counsel of Record)

Gretchen L. Jewell (0096780)

CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP

The Calfee Building

1405 East Sixth Street Cleveland, OH 44114

Telephone: (216) 622-8200

Fax: (216) 241-0816

jlang@calfee.com gjewell@calfee.com (Willing to accept service via email)

Attorneys for The City of Cincinnati

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	Case No. 21-0887-EL-AIR
Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Increase in)	
Electric Distribution Rates.)	
)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	Case No. 21-0888-EL-ATA
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Tariff Approval.)	
)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	Case No. 21-0889-EL-AAM
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to)	
Change Accounting Methods.)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION TO INTERVENE OF THE CITY OF CINCINNATI

I. Introduction

In this proceeding Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. ("Duke") seeks approval of an increase in the rates that its consumers pay for electric distribution service, tariff modifications, and changes in accounting methods ("Application"). The manner in which this proceeding is resolved could significantly affect both Cincinnati as a purchaser of electricity and Cincinnati residents. Cincinnati accordingly has a real and substantial interest in this proceeding, and the Commission's disposition of this proceeding may impair or impede Cincinnati's ability to protect that interest. Thus, Cincinnati respectfully requests that the Commission grant its motion to intervene in this proceeding.

II. Legal Standard

R.C. § 4903.221 provides that any "person who may be adversely affected by a public utilities commission proceeding" may intervene in the proceeding. The Commission's own rules reinforce the right to intervene:

Upon timely motion, any person shall be permitted to intervene in a proceeding upon a showing that . . . [t]he person has a real and substantial interest in the proceeding, and the person is so situated that the disposition of the proceeding may, as a practical matter, impair or impede his or her ability to protect that interest, unless the person's interest is adequately represented by existing parties.

O.A.C. 4901-1-11(A) (emphasis added). "The regulation's text is very similar to Civ. R. 24 – the rule governing intervention in civil cases in Ohio – which is generally liberally construed in favor of intervention." Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm., 111 Ohio St. 3d 384, 387 (2006) (internal quotations omitted). In considering a motion to intervene, the Commission's rule directs that the Commission should consider: the nature and extent of the intervenor's interest; the legal position advanced by the intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case; whether intervention will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings; whether the intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues; and the extent to which the intervenor's interest is represented by existing parties. See O.A.C. 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(5); see also R.C. § 4903.221(B)(1)-(4). Cincinnati's motion to intervene satisfies each of these factors.

III. Argument

A. The Nature And Extent Of Cincinnati's Interest

Cincinnati is directly affected by the Application as a customer in Duke's service territory. In particular, the Greater Cincinnati Waterworks and the Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati (owned by Hamilton County but managed and operated by Cincinnati) are significant consumers, and Cincinnati also is a street lighting customer with an interest in high efficiency lighting. Cincinnati is also impacted by the Application on behalf of its residents, who are all customers in Duke's service territory and who are accordingly affected by the proposals in the Application. This indirect impact includes, among other things, the impact of the Application on

economic development and low-income customers. The structure and pricing of Duke's Application will directly impact both Cincinnati and its residents. As such, Cincinnati has a substantial interest in the outcome of this proceeding and in ensuring that the rates are established appropriately. That interest cannot be represented by any other party to this proceeding, as no other party to this proceeding represents Cincinnati's interest as a customer and on behalf of its residents.

B. The Legal Position Asserted By Cincinnati

Cincinnati supports Duke's goals of providing safe and reliable service. However, the specific details regarding how the Application is implemented may have a significant impact on Cincinnati and its residents. As such, Cincinnati seeks to intervene to ensure that Duke's Application is implemented in an orderly manner consistent with all relevant legal principles.

C. Cincinnati's Intervention Will Not Unduly Prolong Or Delay The Proceedings.

The Application was filed on October 1, 2021. To date, no procedural schedule or deadline for interventions has been set. As a result, Cincinnati's Motion to Intervene is timely and will not prejudice any existing parties or unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.¹

D. Cincinnati Will Contribute To The Full Development Of Factual Issues And Cincinnati's Interests Are Not Already Represented By Existing Parties.

Cincinnati is uniquely situated to contribute to the full development of factual issues in this case as one of the largest municipalities in Duke's service territory. Cincinnati has substantial experience in Commission proceedings, which experience may benefit the Commission's review

¹See O.A.C. 4901-1-11(E) (providing that a motion to intervene "will not be considered timely if it is filed later than five days prior to the scheduled date of hearing or any specific deadline established by order of the commission for purposes of a particular proceeding").

of the Application.² Cincinnati's participation will significantly contribute to the full development and resolution of the issues raised by the Application.

Cincinnati's interests are not already represented by existing parties, as no other party currently involved in this proceeding currently represents Cincinnati's interests as a customer and municipality.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James F. Lang

James F. Lang (0059668)
(Counsel of Record)
Gretchen L. Jewell (0096780)
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP
The Calfee Building
1405 East Sixth Street
Cleveland, OH 44114
Telephone: (216) 622-8200
Fax: (216) 241-0816
jlang@calfee.com
gjewell@calfee.com
(Willing to accept service via email)

Attorneys for The City of Cincinnati

² See, e.g., Case No. 16-1975-EL-CSS; Case No. 14-0841-EL-SSO; Case No. 17-1263-EL-SSO; Case No. 17-0032-EL-AIR.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing was filed electronically through the Docketing Information

System of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio on this 17th day of November 2021. The

PUCO's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on counsel for all parties. A courtesy copy was also emailed to the following:

Rocco O. D'Ascenzo
Deputy General Counsel
Jeanne W. Kingery
Associate General Counsel
Larisa M. Vaysman
Senior Counsel
Duke Energy Business Services LLC
139 East Fourth Street, 1303-Main
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 287-4320 (telephone)
(513) 287-4385 (fax)
Rocco.dascenzo@duke-energy.com
Jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com
Larisa.vaysman@duke-energy.com

Elizabeth M. Brama
TAFT STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER LLP
2200 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Phone: (612) 977-8400
Fax: (612) 977-8650
ebrama@taftlaw.com

Attorneys for Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.
Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.
Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.
BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
Ph: (513) 421-2255 Fax: (513) 421-2764
E-Mail: mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com
kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com

Counsel for The Ohio Energy Group

William J. Michael Ambrosia E. Wilson Amy Botschner O'Brien Assistant Consumers' Counsel Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 65 East State Street, 7th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 William.Michael@occ.ohio.gov Ambrosia.wilson@occ.ohio.gov Amy.botschner.obrien@occ.ohio.gov

Attorneys for Office of The Ohio Consumers' Counsel

Bethany Allen Counsel of Record Bethany.allen@igs.com Michael Nugent michael.nugent@igs.com **Evan Betterton** evan.betterton@igs.com **IGS Energy** 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 Telephone: (614) 659-5000

Attorneys for IGS Energy

Matthew W. Warnock (Counsel of Record) **BRICKER & ECKLER LLP** 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 Telephone: (614) 227-2300 Facsimile: (614) 227-2390

E-mail: mwarnock@bricker.com

Katie Johnson Treadway James Dunn One Energy Enterprises LLC Findlay, OH 45840 Telephone: (419) 905-5821 Email: ktreadway@oneenergyllc.com

jdunn@oneenergyllc.com

Attorneys for One Energy Enterprises LLC

/s/ Gretchen L. Jewell
One of the Attorneys for the City of
Cincinnati

4872-4116-0963, v. 2

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/17/2021 11:56:53 AM

in

Case No(s). 21-0887-EL-AIR, 21-0888-EL-ATA, 21-0889-EL-AAM

Summary: Motion to Intervene of The City of Cincinnati and Memorandum in Support electronically filed by Ms. Gretchen L Jewell on behalf of City of Cincinnati