

**From:** [Puco ContactOPSB](#)  
**To:** [Puco Docketing](#)  
**Subject:** public comment 20-1605-EL-BGN  
**Date:** Monday, November 8, 2021 6:42:30 PM

---

I have reached out previously to express my opposition in this project, which still stands today. I feel in the previous months all of the information that has been given I feel more uneasy about this project. I feel Lightsource BP has not done a sufficient job in gaining the communities trust. Very early on I heard that Lightsource BP was or would be reaching out to adjacent landowners. Even though I have had conversation with Shanelle Montana it was initiated by myself and I had to reach out to OPSB to get a response from her. These conversations ended after she emailed me some studies to review and after that was done I have reached out and again no response.

My property is directly adjacent to this property. My property is a wooded property so visually I will not be impacted like some in the area, other than driving through the project everyday. Even though I will not have the visual impact I still have a number of concerns.

I am still very much skeptical on any health effects this may have on my family including mental wellness. We have health issues with my son for his migraines headaches, abdominal migraines and other health concerns. He has already missed almost 50% of school this year which is exhausting as parents. I myself get migraine headaches. Of course any illness makes it very hard when both parents work and it is excruciating as a parent to have a sick child even though you are doing everything you can to take away their pain sometimes you just can't. The World Health Organization released material in August 2016 stating that some people that had low levels of EMF exposure at home reported symptoms of headache, anxiety, suicide, depression, nausea, fatigue and loss of libido. I have also read reports that indicated the EMF Radiation can be cancer causing. This is not a risk I want to take with my family and I certainly do not want to add any further health issues for my son.

I am also concerned about property values. I see studies that go both ways so I know of course anyone can find a study to fit their agenda but what I am not finding is a study that has been done in an area equivalent to our population that eliminates this concern for me.

Mrs. Montana mentioned there will not be hunting restrictions or regulations near the paneled area. At first I thought this sounded great but after talking to my husband he feels they will be restricted in hunting just not in the way of a law. As a responsible hunter obviously you would not shoot towards the back of the property because of the panels just like we would not shoot in the direction of our house or our neighbors houses. Even with the barriers that would be put in place I don't feel this is something that would change.

My concerns are also very much still there for the potential rain water run off and flooding and erosion problems this may cause. Even though I mentioned above I may not have the visual impact of the panels my property would still be vulnerable to flooding if proper drainage is not put in place.

The area proposed for this massive project is a densely populated area. I feel the studies are just not there to support this project being safe, effective and viable for our community.

Cynthia Kennedy  
12255 National Rd  
Cridersville, OH 45806

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities  
Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**11/9/2021 8:56:01 AM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 20-1605-EL-BGN**

Summary: Public Comment of Cynthia Kennedy, via website, electronically filed by  
Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing