BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the
Joint Petition of Norfolk:

Souther Railway Company : Case No. 19-180-RR-UNC

and CSX Transportation,
Inc. To Close The Franklin:
Street Crossings (DOT:
Bos. 481482D and 518257V):
In Orange Township,
Delaware County, Ohio.:

PROCEEDINGS

Before Jesse Davis and Nick Walstra, Administrative Law Judges, for the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, via WebEx, called at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, October 12, 2021.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4620
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
          Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P.C.
          By R. Leland Evans, Esq.
 3
          250 Civic Center Drive, Suite 280
          Columbus, Ohio 43215
          Revans@dmclaw.com
 4
 5
               On behalf of CSX Transportation, Inc.
 6
          Eastman & Smith, Ltd.
          By Mr. Casey D. Talbott Esq.
 7
          One Seagate, 24th Floor
          Toledo, Ohio 43604
          Dctalbott@eastmansmith.com
 8
 9
               On behalf of Norfolk Southern Railway
          Company.
10
          Brosius, Johnson & Griggs, LLC
          By Julia Donnan, Esq. And
11
          Jennifer Huber, Esq.
12
          1600 Dublin Road, Suite 100
          Columbus, Ohio 43215
13
          Jdonnan@bjqlaw.net
          Jhuber@bjglaw.net
14
               On behalf of Orange Township.
15
          Delaware County Board of Commissioners
16
          By Aric I. Hochstettler, Esq.
          91 North Sandusky Street, First Floor
17
          Delaware, Ohio 43015
          Ahochstettler@co.delaware.oh.us
18
               On behalf of Delaware County.
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	NORFOLK SOUTHERN CASE	
4	WITNESSES:	PAGE
5	Ernest Jackson Direct Examination by Mr. Talbott	10
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hochstettler	13
7	Cross-Examination by Ms. Huber Redirect Examination by Mr. Talbott Recross-Examination by Mr. Hochstettler	21 29 36
8	CSX TRANSPORTATION CASE	3 0
9		
10	WITNESSES:	PAGE
11	Amanda DeCesare Direct Examination by Mr. Evans	37
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hochstettler Cross-Examination by Ms. Huber	40
13	Redirect Examination by Mr. Evans Recross-Examination by Mr. Hochstettler	51 53
14	DELAWARE COUNTY CASE	
15	WITNESSES:	PAGE
16	Robert Riley Direct Examination by Mr. Hochstettler	70
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Talbott	73 88
18	Cross-Examination by Ms. Donnan Redirect Examination by Mr. Hochstettler Recross-Examination by Mr. Talbott	90 96
19	Recross-Examination by Mr. Evans	99
20	Patrick Brandt	100
21	Direct Examination by Mr. Hochstettler Cross-Examination by Mr. Evans	102 104
22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Talbott Cross-Examination by Ms. Donnan	142 145
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hochstettler	148
23	Recross-Examination by Mr. Talbott	150
24		
25		

		4
1	INDEX	
2		
3	DELAWARE COUNTY CASE	
4	WITNESSES:	PAGE
5	Glen Keating	1.50
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Hochstettler Cross-Examination by Ms. Donnan Cross-Examination by Mr. Evans	152 154 158
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Talbott Redirect Examination by Mr. Hochstettler	172 175
8	Recross-Examination by Mr. Evans Recross-Examination by Mr Talbott	181 183
9	ORANGE TOWNSHIP CASE	
10		
11	WITNESSES:	PAGE
12	Nathan McNeil Direct Examination by Ms. Donnan Cross-Examination by Mr. Hochstettler	186 190
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Talbott Cross-Examination by Mr. Evans	193 205
14	Michele Boni	
15	Direct Examination by Ms. Huber Cross-Examination by Mr. Hochstettler	212 213
16	Cross-Examination by Mr. Talbott	216
17		
18	CO-PETITIONER EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED) ADMITTED
19	<pre>1 - Google aerial map of</pre>	36
20	****ATTACHED TO ERNEST JACKSON'S TESTIMONY****	
21	2 - Google aerial map of 11 Franklin Street	26
22	****ATTACHED TO ERNEST JACKSON'S TESTIMONY****	
23		
24		
25		

ı			
			5
1	INDEX		
2			
3	CO-PETITIONER EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4	3 - Google aerial map of	11	36
5	Franklin Street ****ATTACHED TO ERNEST JACKSON'S TESTIMONY****		
6	4 - Delaware County Run Cards	11	36
7	<pre>****ATTACHED TO ERNEST JACKSON'S TESTIMONY**** 5 - Composite Exhibit of</pre>		
8	Public Records documents	68	69
9	6 - Composite Index of Public Records documents	68	69
10	7 - Composite Index of Public Records documents	68	69
10	8 - Diagnostic Review Team	39	55
11	Survey Form ****ATTACHED TO AMANDA		
12	DECESARE'S TESTIMONY***		
	9 - April 19, 2021 Resolution	68	69
13	<pre>10 - Composite exhibit of Communications</pre>	68	69
14	11 - Prefiled Testimony of Ernest Jackson	13	36
15	12 - Prefiled Testimony of Amanda DeCesare	39	55
16	13 - Deposition of Chief Noble	63	63
	14 - Deposition of Patrick Brandt	63	63
17	15 - Deposition of Glen Keating	63	63
18	<pre>16 - Deposition of Robert Riley 17 - Deposition of Catherine Stout</pre>	63 63	63 63
19	DELAWARE COUNTY EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
20	1 - Home Road Extension		184
21	Conceptual 2 - Home Road Extension		184
22	Phasing Plan 3 - Orange Township Run Card Map		184
23			
24			
25			

			6
1	INDEX		
2			
3	DELAWARE COUNTY EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4	4 - Run Report for Run Card 361-M3-1 REDACTED		184
5	5 - Prefiled Direct Testimony of	70	184
6	Robert Riley 6 - Prefiled Direct Testimony of	70	184
7	Patrick Brandt 7 - Prefiled Direct Testimony of	70	184
8	Glen Keating		
9	ORANGE TOWNSHIP EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
10	A - Redacted Run Report Attached to Chief Noble's Testimony	186	240
11	B - Prepared Testimony of	186	240
12	Chief McNeil C - Prepared Direct Testimony	186	240
13	of Michele Boni 		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Tuesday Morning Session,
October 12 2021.

- -

2.1

ALJ DAVIS: Go on the record. Good morning, everyone. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has assigned for hearing at this time and place Case No. 19180-RR-UNC, which is captioned In The Matter of the Joint Petition of Norfolk Southern Railway Company and CSX Transportation, Incorporated to Close the Franklin Street Crossings (DOT Nos. 481482D and 518257V) in Orange Township, Delaware County, Ohio.

My name is Jesse Davis, I'm the

Administrative Law Judge assigned by the Public

Utilities Commission to hear the case, and with me is

Administrative Law Judge Nick Walstra.

With all that said, and preliminary matters taken care of, I'd like to start with taking formal appearances of the parties in the case, so can we have on behalf of the Petitioners?

MR. TALBOTT: Yes, your Honor. Casey
Talbott on behalf of Co-Petitioner Norfolk Southern
Railway Company.

MR. EVANS: In is Lee Evans on behalf of Co-Petitioner CSX Transportation, Inc.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. And on behalf of the Delaware County Commissioners?

2.1

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Thank you, your

Honor. Aric Hochstettler, Staff Attorney for the

Board of County Commissioners, business address is 91

North Sandusky Street, Delaware, Ohio, 43015.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. And on behalf of Orange Township?

MS. HUBER: Good morning, your Honor.

Jennifer Huber, 0090547, the law firm of Brosius,

Johnson & Griggs, located at 1600 Dublin Road, Suite

100, in Columbus, Ohio 43215 for Orange Township,

along with...

MS. DONNAN: Julia Donnan, 0092577, same business address.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. And just to note, today's hearing is being transcribed by a Court Reporter, and if you could all, and your witnesses, please just be mindful and try to -- given the nature of our web-based hearing and the way that sometimes there can be a lag and things like that, to just adjust accordingly.

And with that said, I would start with the Co-Petitioners to call their first witness.

MR. TALBOTT: Yes, your Honor. Casey

Talbott on behalf of Co-Petitioner Norfolk Southern Railway Company, as we had indicated, as our first witness we would call Ernest Leon Jackson.

I would note for the Court Reporter that in our prefiled we misspelled Ernest's name, we added an "a" to it. It's supposed to be E-r-n-e-s-t, so our apologies in that regard, but you may need to promote him to a participant or something, or Micah may need to, but that's our first witness.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.

MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Jackson, you've been promoted. If you can enable your audio and video.

Mr. Jackson, are you there?

MR. TALBOTT: He was on standby. I am calling him right now. There you go.

ALJ DAVIS: I think you're muted,

Mr. Jackson.

MR. TALBOTT: Go off the record for a second.

20 ALJ DAVIS: Yes, let's go off the record 21 for a moment.

(Recess taken.)

ALJ DAVIS: So with that said, let's go back on the record. Welcome, Mr. Jackson. If I could ask you to raise your right hand. Do you swear

or affirm that what you're about to tell is the truth?

MR. JACKSON: Yes, sir.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Counsel, you may

proceed.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

MR. TALBOTT: Yes, your Honor.

Ernest Jackson,

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

12 By Mr. Talbott:

Q. Mr. Jackson, this is Casey Talbott. On October 5, 2021, we submitted prefiled testimony on your behalf with supporting exhibits. Of course, you have seen that.

As you sit here today, do you stand by and reaffirm the testimony which was submitted?

- A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir, I do.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Jackson, a number of the other lawyers may have some questions for you, but that's it from me for now. Thank you.
 - A. Thank you.

24 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Talbott. Is 25 there any cross-examination of this witness from

```
11
 1
     Delaware County?
 2
               ALJ WALSTRA: Mr. Talbott, can we
 3
     actually mark those exhibits now in the record?
               MR. TALBOTT: Yes. Yes, for sure,
 4
 5
     however you'd like to do that. With regard to -- if
 6
     that was Aric, with regard to Mr. Jackson -- I don't
 7
     know who asked that question.
 8
               ALJ WALSTRA: It was me.
 9
               MR. TALBOTT: With regard to
10
    Mr. Jackson, we had Exhibits 1 through 4, they are
11
     Petitioner's Exhibits 1 through 4.
12
               And, Mr. Jackson, I quess I should ask,
13
     have you had the opportunity to review those exhibits
14
     and do you stand by those as well?
15
               THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I have.
16
               MR. TALBOTT: Okay. Thank you.
17
               ALJ WALSTRA: Thank you.
18
               ALJ DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Talbott.
                                                    So
19
     those shall be marked Co-Petitioners Exhibits 1
20
     through 4.
2.1
               (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
22
               MR. TALBOTT: Yes, that's fine by us,
23
     thank you. And I think that was the agreement of the
24
     parties as well, I believe.
25
               MR. HOCHSTETTLER: May I proceed, your
```

Honor?

2.1

ALJ WALSTRA: Just to double-check. So Exhibit 1, is that his actual testimony, or is that the first attachment?

MR. TALBOTT: Your Honor, it is the first attachment. So his testimony was not -- was not marked as an exhibit, it was prefiled.

There were three Google aerials which were 1, 2, and 3 of the Franklin Street area from further away, a little closer, and then a little closer, and then the fourth was -- Exhibit 4 was a -- I think it's called a run card that the parties have been using throughout this proceeding.

ALJ WALSTRA: I would say we typically will mark the prefiled testimony as an exhibit.

Would that throw off all your numbering if we do that now, or if we add it to the end, or something like that?

MR. TALBOTT: Anything that your Honor and the parties are comfortable with. I don't know if the other parties did that, either, so -- but we don't have any problem.

It might -- for the sake of what we have done previously, it might make sense to mark his as Exhibit -- I know we have got 10 petitioner exhibits,

13 so if we want to call this his prefiled testimony 11, 1 2 and that would be fine, but we would defer to the Hearing Officers in that regard. 3 ALJ WALSTRA: We can mark it as 4 Petitioner's Exhibit 11. 5 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 6 7 ALJ WALSTRA: And that way just for briefing and for orders we have a reference point, 8 that makes it easier. 9 10 MR. TALBOTT: Fine here. Thank you. 11 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. So just to 12 reiterate there that we have the attachments in the 13 order they are attached marked as Exhibits 1 through 14 4 to Mr. Jackson's testimony, and then his testimony 15 is marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 11, just for 16 clarity for the Court Reporter. With that said, cross-examination, 17 18 Mr. -- on behalf of the County? 19 MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Yes. Thank you, your 20 Honor. 2.1 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION 23 By Mr. Hochstettler: 24 Q. Good morning, Mr. Jackson.

A. Good morning.

- Q. I'm going to refer you to page 3 of your written testimony. You indicated that Franklin Street Crossings have 64 vehicles per day; is that correct?
- A. Yes, that's what is in the latest inventory report that I reviewed.

2.1

- Q. And your data does not break down what vehicles comprise those 64, correct?
- A. I'm sorry, could you repeat that last question?
- Q. Your data does not break down what vehicles comprise those 64, correct?
- A. I think that number complies with vehicle crossings. The type of vehicles, I don't think that data is collected, I am not sure.
- Q. And you could not testify with certainty whether those are trips -- residents within the Unincorporated Village of Lewis Center, correct?
- A. When I rely on traffic vehicle counts I rely on the information provided by the FRA inventory.
- Q. You could not testify whether any of those vehicle trips are an ambulance from Delaware County Medic 3?
 - A. I couldn't hear that last question

because the phone quality is breaking up, but all I can testify to is the count listed on the FRA inventory report.

- Q. And you indicated that you're familiar with the Unincorporated Village, you've actually visited there and observed these crossings, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And there are residential dwellings on Franklin Street west of the railroad tracks, correct?
- A. I'm sorry, the call quality is not good, but you asked a question about residency?
- Q. I'll try again. There are residential dwellings on the west side of the railroad tracks?
- A. Correct, there are residential buildings on the west side of the railroad tracks.
- Q. And while you were in Lewis Center did you see any church buildings on Franklin Street?
- A. I didn't make note to observe any certain building feature like whether or not they are churches, I just recognize that there are residential buildings on west side of the tracks.
- Q. And I'm going to refer you to -- this would be Norfolk Southern Exhibit 2, which is attached to your testimony.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. And on that exhibit there is an indication of a North Unitarian Universalist Church on Franklin Street, do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I see it.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And then on the same exhibit there is a reference to a Lewis Center Freewill Baptist Church which appears to be on Church Street and Center Street in Lewis Center; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, that's on the exhibit.
- Q. And what about any commercial properties, did you -- while you were in Lewis Center yourself, did you observe any commercial properties in Lewis Center on the west side of the railroad tracks?
- A. I can't comment on whether or not the properties were commercial or not. I looked at households and I just drove the area.
- Q. Prior to the petition being filed, you didn't have any discussions with Delaware County EMS personnel about the impact the closure would have on Medic 3; is that correct?
- A. Conversations between me myself, and emergency personnel, no, there hasn't been, no.
- Q. And also in your written testimony you identified -- I think what you referred to as a

fourth quadrant in reference to the run cards; is that correct?

- A. I apologize, I couldn't hear that last question.
- MR. HOCHSTETTLER: I would just -- your

 Honor, I'm wondering if everybody else is having

 difficulty hearing me, because I want to know whether

 it's on my end.
 - MS. HUBER: We can hear you.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I apologize.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- MR. HOCHSTETTLER: If there was
 something I needed to do I wanted to take care of it.
 By Mr. Hochstettler:
- Q. I'll just repeat my question.
 - Mr. Jackson, in your written testimony, you have identified an area with respect to the run cards as the fourth quadrant. That would be the Kroger area on the west side of the railroad tracks, is that correct?
 - A. The fourth quadrant, yes, I have identified quadrants and I realize that one of the quadrants is on the west side of the track, correct.
- Q. And there was a reference in your
 testimony to an area called the Kroger area. Is that
 the same as the fourth quadrant?

A. I'm familiar with that area as the Kroger quadrant, yes.

2.1

- Q. But that quadrant also includes the Unincorporated Village of Lewis Center, correct?
 - A. Could you repeat that last question.
- Q. That area also includes the Unincorporated Village of Lewis Center; is that correct?
- A. I'm not privy to what is included and what is incorporated and what is not. I'm familiar with what is the quadrant, but Corporate Lewis Center, I'm not aware of that.
- Q. Are you aware of why it's referred to in some of the testimony as the Kroger area?
- A. Repeat that last question, all I heard was the Kroger area.
- Q. In your testimony and in other testimony that you reviewed, you're indicating that there's an area referred to as the Kroger area. Is that in reference to the development at U.S. 23?
- A. If U.S. 23 is the area situated in the quadrant of the western portion of what we're describing, the area is on the roundabout, I would describe that as the Kroger area based on my visit.
 - Q. But that also includes the residential

dwellings that you observed west of the railroad tracks, correct?

2.1

- A. I believe the residential area is part of that quadrant.
- Q. Now I'm going to refer back to Norfolk
 Southern Exhibit 2 attached to your written
 testimony. Are you able to identify Delaware County
 Medic 3 on that exhibit?
 - A. On Exhibit 2, yes, I can.
- Q. Looking at that exhibit in a landscape format with the railroad tracks running north and south, is the EMS station the white roof building on the -- it would be the northeast quadrant of Franklin Street and the railroads?
- A. Yes, I recognize that building on the northeast quadrant as the building we're talking about.
- Q. Based on your identification of where

 Medic 3 is, and your experience in driving on

 Franklin Street, going from Medic 3 to West Franklin

 Street would take longer using Lewis Center Road

 Crossings than the Franklin Street Crossings,

 correct?
- A. Can you repeat that last question, because you're still breaking up? You mentioned

1 | something about taking longer. I apologize.

2 MR. HOCHSTETTLER: If the Court Reporter

3 has that could she read it back to him?

4 THE WITNESS: Things are still blurry.

5 | Casey, are you able to come through clearer as well,

6 | because it's still blurry. It sounds like a radio

7 station, I apologize.

8 MR. TALBOTT: Leon, I can hear you, I
9 can hear Aric as well.

10 (Question read back.)

11 THE WITNESS: By definition it would

12 take longer.

13 By Mr. Hochstettler:

Q. And, Mr. Jackson, I recognize in your

15 | testimony your experience in railroad crossing

16 | safety, but you're not an expert in emergency medical

17 services or emergency dispatch communications,

18 | correct?

19 A. I'm not an expert in any of those

20 communications or dispatch, but I do work with them.

21 MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Nothing further.

22 Thank you.

23 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Is there any

24 cross-examination for this witness from Orange

25 Township?

MS. HUBER: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

2.1

CROSS-EXAMINATION

4 By Ms. Huber:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Jackson. I'm Jennifer
 Huber. I'm going to ask you some questions on behalf
 of Orange Township. Can you hear me okay?
 - A. Okay. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. First, why don't the Franklin Street Crossings have active warning devices? And by that I think we mean lights and/or gates.
- A. That question would be best asked of the railroad. The railroad works with the local highway authority agencies to determine what is warranted at each crossing as far as warning devices.
- Q. Okay. In your opinion, why don't those crossings have active warning devices?
- A. I really don't have an opinion towards it because even though I've driven the area plenty of times, I'm not overly familiar with the area. I rely on the warranty of active warning devices on the local highway authorities which rely on the counties, which rely on the townships.
 - Q. Okay. You've testified that you're the

manager of grade crossing safety, is that correct?

A. Yes, ma'am.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. So from a grade crossing safety perspective, would active warning devices at this location make the street crossings safer?
- A. In my personal opinion, I don't believe active warning devices makes crossings safer, I think driver behavior makes crossings safer.
- Q. Do active warning devices typically generally cost more than passive devices?
- A. In my experience, the installation of active warning devices is more expensive than the installation of passive warning devices.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. You testified, and it seems to me that you based some of your testimony on the understanding that those who are opposed to closing the crossings are opposed because it would be -- your term was on the basis of convenience. Is that correct?
- A. I believe convenience is a factor in the opposition of this crossing closure.
- Q. Okay. So a factor, but not necessarily the only one?
- A. I don't believe it's the only factor,
 but I know how convenience works.

- Q. Okay. Are you saying that to mean that you think it's the controlling factor?
- A. I'm not sure how to answer that last question. Can you repeat that one?

2.1

- Q. Do you think that convenience is the controlling, the main factor here?
- A. I wouldn't like to opine on what is the controlling factor on the opposition of this closing.
- Q. Sure, but you based your testimony on, I think, the basis that convenience is at least -- at least a factor, and perhaps a main factor, or do I have that wrong?
- A. It's a factor, it's a known factor, but
 I would not like to rank the factors.
 - Q. Okay. So do you think all of the factors that you're aware of, do you think they weigh equally?
 - A. I would still not like to rank the factors. I do believe that there are multiple factors why people would not like the crossing closed, but I would not like to rank them only because I'm just a manager of grade crossing safety, I look at all these areas equally.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you think that a cost savings to the railroad is a good reason to

close a useful crossings?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. Although that is one of the many factors, the factor that I work with is safety when it comes to possible consolidation of crossings. The cost savings is one thing, but the elimination of incidence and the elimination of exposures is another.
- Q. To my knowledge, there have only been two vehicular incidents at the Franklin Street Crossings in recent memory. Is that your understanding?
- A. My understanding is that since the FRA has been keeping record, there has been more than two.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know what that number is?
- A. I believe four, if we were to go to the inventory data base that keeps up with vehicular incidents.
- Q. And do you know how long -- how far back those -- that recordkeeping goes?
 - A. They typically go back to the 1970s.
 - Q. Did you say 1970s?
 - A. 1970s.
- Q. Thank you. So to summarize that, there
 have been four incidents, or you believe based on the

records you've seen that there have been four vehicular incidents at the Franklin Street Crossings since the 1970s?

2.1

2.2

- A. The last time I checked the FRA database on the history of those cases, it has four.
- Q. Thank you. Are you aware that one of those incidents, I believe, was a suicide? Is that your understanding?
- A. I have heard rumors that it was a suicide. I would be reluctant to determine if it's suicide unless there's a coroner's report, but I tend to keep up with the incidents no matter the origin behind them.
- Q. And this is a little more of a clarifying question. In your written testimony you testified that you, quote, had the opportunity to review or discuss testimony of certain of the Township and County witnesses, unquote.

So I just want to clarify, when you're talking about testimony of Township and County witnesses, you mean the depositions and the public hearing transcript, right?

A. I'm sorry, you started breaking up when you mentioned public hearing. I have reviewed testimony provided to me by counsel before this

meeting, however.

2.1

- Q. And by that do you mean the depositions?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I am going to follow up on a question that Mr. Hochstettler asked you about your emergency medical training or lack, and I want to clarify.

Are you now or have you ever been in charge of reviewing, preparing, or maintaining safety service coverage for the area we're talking about?

- A. You were breaking up on that last question, but you asked me if I have ever been in charge of reviewing emergency logistics. No, I have not.
- Q. Okay. And you didn't prepare those sort of plans or logistics either, right?
- A. As part of my work I work with local highway agent authorities that are familiar with the area that provides me guidance, and part of that guidance has to do with the EMS services, so in an indirect way I have.
- Q. Can you go into a little more detail on that? What does that look like when you work with other folks on safety services?
 - A. Basically the testimony of Ms. Stout

outlines that. I work closely with the officials, and especially officials familiar with the areas, to make sure that we are not consolidating crossings that could be a hindrance to EMS services.

- Q. Okay. You said you worked with DOT officials?
 - A. OID people specifically.
- Q. Okay. But not the Orange Township Fire Department, Fire and EMS, correct?
- A. Not directly. Not directly, no.

 Basically the local highway authorities have been a

 liaison between the railroad and the local emergency
 services.
 - Q. Okay. So just to clarify, not Orange
 Township, and for the same reason not Delaware County
 either, directly?
 - A. Not directly.

2.1

Q. Understood. Okay. So in light of that testimony, you also testified that, quote, there's no impact on EMS getting -- and then you referenced the western quadrant, or let's say it's the Kroger area, unquote.

Is that your opinion, or do you have a professional basis for that statement?

A. Based on my opinion, that is my

assessment of that. It's one-sixth of a mile.

That's the length of a football field. And based on the fact that based on length, I believe this would be a good closure based on how far the crossings are apart, I have looked at that.

2.1

Base don the length, it is my opinion that the detour is negligible. I don't believe that there's a demonstrable need for this crossing based on the fact that it is just over 300 feet from the adjacent crossing that can handle additional traffic.

- Q. Thank you. So you just said negligible, but your testimony was no impact. Which one?
- A. It's negligible. So yes, I will stick with my testimony. But of course I have measured this area and if I had to quantify it, I will. But I do stick to my testimony that there's no impact on EMS services to that western quadrant.
- Q. Okay. And just some follow-up questions. I appreciate your bearing with me. You don't live in the Township, do you?
 - A. No, ma'am, I don't.
- Q. And you don't work in the Township either, do you?
- A. I do not. Even though I go to Ohio often, I do not live there.

Q. Okay. Do you drive in this area of 1 2 Orange Township on a regular basis? 3 A. By regular, what do you mean? Q. However you want that to mean. I mean, 4 5 you define regular. If you're saying yes, Jen, I go weekly, or monthly, or annually, you may define 6 7 regular. I try to visit this area of Ohio at 8 9 least three times a year. 10 Q. Okay. Would you say that you're familiar with the traffic patterns on Lewis Center 11 12 Road? 13 A. Based on the FRA data, yes. 14 MS. HUBER: Thank you, Mr. Jackson, I 15 don't have any further questions for you. 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Ms. Huber. 17 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Is there any 18 redirect by Mr. Talbott? 19 MR. TALBOTT: Yes, your Honor, there is. 20 2.1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 22 By Mr. Talbott: 23 Q. Mr. Jackson, can you hear me? 24 A. Yes, sir. 25 Q. Okay. You talked about the Medic 3

station on the east side -- you talked about the Medic 3 station on the east side of the tracks. Can that Medic 3 station get to the Kroger area via Franklin Street south, or must it divert to Lewis Center?

2.1

2.2

- A. Currently it can use Franklin Street, but it can use Lewis Center if Franklin Street is no longer there.
- Q. I'm talking about can it get all the way to the Kroger area, all the way to Kroger via Franklin itself?
- A. Oh, yeah, I apologize. Yes, it can get to the Kroger area using Lewis Center Road.
 - Q. Okay. And that's not my question. My question is this: Yes, everybody acknowledges that Medic 3 can get to Kroger via Lewis Center.

I'm asking can it get all the way -- can it get all the way to the Kroger area via Franklin, or does it have to go over to Lewis Center?

- A. No, it has both accesses available, but Lewis Center is an option, it doesn't have to go there.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Jackson, so we're clear,
 because I don't think you're understanding me -- and
 it could be because of the phone connection -- is

Franklin a through street?

- A. Franklin is simply a cut-through street that leads to this roundabout, so it's not completely necessary to get to the Kroger area for the EMS.
- Q. Approximately how long is Franklin
 Street?
 - A. Franklin Street is roughly about one-third of a mile, based on my measurements.
 - Q. Did you say approximately one-third of a mile?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. And so if you're going to Kroger,
 the only way to get to Kroger is if you're traveling
 on Lewis Center, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Jackson, how far is the Lewis
 Center roadway from Franklin?
 - A. About a sixth of a mile.
- Q. Is that the same as six-one-hundredths of a mile?
- A. Yes, I'm sorry. Yes, six-one-hundredths
 of a mile, just over a football field length.
- Q. And that comes from the mileposts on the FRA and PUCO databases, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Objection, your Honor, he's leading this witness.

By Mr. Talbott:

- Q. Mr. Jackson, I want you to assume that the Ohio Rail Development Commission, Ms. Stout, testified that this crossing currently ranks No. 31 on the State's hazard ranking. Did you hear that?
 - A. Yes, ranks 31.

2.1

- Q. Assuming lights and gates -- assuming lights and gates were to go in here, is that something Norfolk Southern would pay for, or is that something that would be Section 130 money through the Ohio Rail Development Commission? Who would pay for lights and gates?
- A. It is my understanding that if the ORDC has a hazard ranking and they would like to install lights and gates at a crossing, it would be 130 --
 - Q. So the ORDC would pay for it?
- A. Yes, the ORDC would definitely facilitate it, but it would be paid for by the folks other than Norfolk Southern to install active warning devices at Franklin Street, yes.
- Q. Okay. And that's basically taxpayer money, correct?
 - A. It is my understanding that it's coming

from Section 130 money.

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Objection.

3 ALJ DAVIS: Let me interrupt for a

moment here. Mr. Talbott, let's keep to open questions, please.

J quescions, picase.

1

2

13

17

18

MR. TALBOTT: Okay. We'll do so, your

Honor. We'll try. I'm doing the best I can with the

8 | phone. So -- but I'm almost done, anyway.

9 By Mr. Talbott:

- Q. Mr. Jackson, would putting lights and gates at this crossing change the character of the roadway itself?
 - A. No, I don't think it will.
- Q. Okay. Do lights -- do vehicular/train
 collisions occur at crossings that have lights and
 qates?
 - A. I'm sorry, Casey, you're going to have to repeat that last question.
- Q. Yeah, I'm hearing some papers rattling.

 I don't know what that is, but maybe if other people

 would mute, it might help. I don't know, maybe that

 will help. But let me try one more time.

Mr. Jackson, do vehicular collisions

occur at crossings with lights and gates? Let me

repeat it, because -- okay.

- A. I have a bad audio, I apologize.
- Q. Is it possible -- Strike that.

Do vehicular collisions occur at crossings that are equipped with active warning

A. Oh, yes, it is possible that

crossings -- incidents can occur at crossings with

active warning devices.

9 MR. TALBOTT: Thank you. That's all I 10 have.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Talbott. Is there any re-cross based on this redirect,
Mr. Hochstettler?

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Briefly, your Honor.

15 | - - -

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Hochstettler:

1

2

5

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

devices?

Q. Mr. Jackson, I didn't see in your written testimony that you had indicated that the Franklin Street Crossings were the 31st most hazardous crossing in the State. Is that your testimony?

A. I would have to look that up, but I have the same access to the public website, WBAPS, that the ORDC has access to, which they base their hazard

ratings on, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

- Q. And that hazard rating, does it also factor in the number of vehicles per day?
- A. The number of vehicles per day I believe is factored into their hazard rating.
- Q. And if there were a vehicular/train incident at a crossing with only 64 vehicles, would that cause the hazard rating to be higher than if there were a train/vehicle incident at a crossing with 10,000 vehicles per day?
- A. I can't comment on how the FRA comes up
 with the calculations of the hazard ratings, I
 apologize.
- MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Nothing further.

 Thank you.
 - ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Is there any recross based -- I'm sorry? Sorry, I thought I heard someone else. Is there any recross on behalf of Orange Township based on the redirect?
- MS. HUBER: No, your Honor. Thank you.
- ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. With that said,

 Mr. Jackson, thank you for testifying. Micah, you

 can put Mr. Jackson back to attendee.
- 24 (Witness excused.)
- 25 ALJ DAVIS: And the Co-Petitioners can

```
1 | call their next witness.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

MR. EVANS: This is Lee Evans, and the Co-Petitioners would call Amanda DeCesare as our next witness.

ALJ WALSTRA: Before we get there,

Mr. Talbott, do you want to move your exhibits?

MR. TALBOTT: Mr. Walstra, I did not
hear that.

ALJ WALSTRA: Do you want to move your exhibits into admission?

MR. TALBOTT: Sure. We would move, at this point, to admit exhibits -- Co-Petitioner Exhibits 1 through 4, plus No. 11, although I will state for the record that I think counsel are -- by agreement, I think all the exhibits are coming in, but we can deal with that as we go.

ALJ WALSTRA: Any objection to the admission of those Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, and 11?

MS. HUBER: No your Honor.

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: No objection.

ALJ WALSTRA: Hearing none, those will be admitted.

23 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

24 ALJ WALSTRA: And we can proceed with 25 Mr. Evans' witness.

37 MR. EVANS: Amanda, are you wired for 1 2 sound and ready to go? 3 MS. DE CESARE: Testing. Can you hear me? 4 5 ALJ DAVIS: Yes. Can I ask you to raise 6 your right hand? Do you swear or affirm that what 7 you're about to tell is the truth? 8 MS. DE CESARE: Yes. 9 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you very much, 10 Mr. Evans you may proceed. 11 12 Amanda DeCesare, 13 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION By Mr. Evans: 16 17 Q. Would you state your full name, please, 18 Amanda? 19 A. Amanda Jean DeCesare. 20 Q. And just for the record, you're employed 2.1 by CSX Transportation, Inc., is that correct? 2.2 A. Yes. 23 Q. Did you assist and participate in the 24 preparation of some prefiled testimony in this 25 matter?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

2.1

- Q. And do you have a copy of that handy should you need it?
 - A. I do.
- Q. And attached to that there was an exhibit, specifically a diagnostic survey form. Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you attend that diagnostic survey when it occurred?
- 11 A. Yes.
 - Q. And is that a -- to the best of your knowledge, a true and accurate copy of the diagnostic survey form from the survey for this particular crossing?
- 16 A. Yeah, it looks like it.
- Q. Okay. Regarding your prefiled
 testimony, if I asked the same questions here today
 that were asked at the time the prefiled testimony
 was prepared, would your answers be the same?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I just -- I noted that we did not
 ask you your educational background in there, and
 just for the record, could you tell us what your
 college degree or degrees are in, please?

A. Sure. I attended Michigan Technological University. I have a Bachelor's Degree in construction management and an Associate's Degree in civil engineering technology.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: I have no further questions of the witness. In terms of the exhibits, I would indicate that the prefiled exhibit of the survey attached to her prefiled testimony was marked as an Exhibit A, but in the Petitioner's exhibits, submitted prior to the hearing, it's Exhibit 8, and then as far as her pretrial testimony, I would propose that it be marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 12.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Evans, they shall be marked as such. Her testimony shall be Petitioner's Exhibit 12, and the attachment therein would be Petitioner's Exhibit 8.

(EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. EVANS: And I have no further questions.

ALJ DAVIS: Is there any cross-examination for this witness, Mr. Hochstettler?

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Yes. Thank you, your

24 Honor.

2.1

25 | - -

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Mr. Hochstettler:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Good morning.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. I'm going to refer you to the exhibit that is attached to your prefiled testimony, and that is the diagnostic review team survey, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And reviewing that exhibit, no representatives from Delaware County EMS or the Delaware County Engineer's office were present; is that correct?
- A. The only thing I'm not sure about is who
 EM Agent Hughes -- who they were there for, if it's
 an engineering firm, I'm not sure who they were
 representing, but correct, I don't see anyone labeled
 from the engineer's office.
 - Q. Or Delaware County EMS, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
 - Q. And prior to filing this petition you never had any discussions with any representative from Delaware County EMS in regards to the impact on Delaware County Medic No. 3, correct?
- A. I personally have not. I know that Lee
 Evans has in some manner.

- Q. Prior to filing the petition?
- A. You're going to have to ask that from Lee, I don't know.

MR. EVANS: You can only answer what you know. I can't really answer for you, but if the answer is I don't know, then you don't know.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

By Mr. Hochstettler:

- Q. There is an EMS station, Delaware County EMS station, adjacent to the railroad tracks on Franklin Street; is that correct?
- 12 A. Are you referring to the one that is
 13 Station 3?
- 14 O. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And while you were conducting this site survey, did you visit Medic 3 station?
 - A. I did not go inside the station. I did drive past as I came in off Lewis Center Road down Third Street and then on to Franklin Street.
 - Q. In your prefiled testimony you indicate that the Franklin Street Crossings have a hazard rating in the State of 39th most hazardous crossing in the State. Is that your testimony?
- A. Yeah, I got that number from the PUCO.

They are actually in charge of ranking the crossings in the State. The railroad does not rank them.

2.

2.1

Each state actually has a little bit of a different ranking method depending on what they determine as the most pertinent information in that state. So the most recent ranking as of last week or so was 39th from Jill Henry at the PUCO.

- Q. And am I correct in saying then that you could not testify as to how that ranking is calculated?
- A. Generally I know that things that go into -- that are factored in are the train count, the train speed, the traffic count, and the traffic speed -- maybe the accident rating, but I don't know that for sure because I don't do those rankings myself.
- Q. Are you aware of a fatality at the tracks within the last ten years? This would be the Franklin Street Crossings, to be clear.
- A. I am not familiar with any accidents that have happened at the crossings. I know that there have been accidents, but I don't know if they were fatalities or not.
- Q. You also don't have any knowledge of whether someone living on Franklin Street on the west

side of the railroad tracks has ever had a heart attack?

A. I do not know.

2.

2.1

- Q. You also don't know whether someone living on Franklin Street west of the railroad tracks has ever had a stroke?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. What about respiratory distress, anybody living on Franklin Street west of the tracks, you don't know whether anyone has ever suffered respiratory distress?
- A. I have no knowledge of anyone's personal health history on the west side of the track.
- Q. And during your visit to -- and we'll just call it Lewis Center. It's an Unincorporated Village, but we'll just call it Lewis Center, the actual community at the railroad tracks, okay?

While you were there did you observe any residential dwellings on Franklin Street?

- A. I would categorize the west side of the tracks as a residential area. I did not pay any specific attention to what type of houses or dwellings were there.
- MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Thank you. Nothing further.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Is there any cross-examination for this witness from Orange Township?

MS. HUBER: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

5

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Ms. Huber:

- Q. Good morning, Ms. DeCesare.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. I'm Jennifer Huber. I'm going to ask
 you some questions on behalf of Orange Township, if
 you don't mind.
 - A. No problem.
 - Q. You testified in your prefiled testimony about the Franklin Street Crossings being practically -- I'm going to use your words, quote -- one crossing, unquote.

In the railroad's view, is there really only like one of those crossings, is there good reason to close one of them, but because it's really all combined, you don't have that option practically?

- A. Can you restate the question?
- Q. Sure. Because we're talking about two or three tracks of lines crossing at Franklin Street, are they all the same level of concern, or safety

issues to the railroads?

- A. I can only speak for CSX.
- O. Sure.

2.1

- A. In that case we only have one track, so we only have the one there, which means that we are concerned about the safety at the crossings.
- Q. Okay. Why don't the Franklin Street Crossings have active warning devices, in your opinion?
- A. The determination on what type of warning devices are going to be installed at a crossing is made during a diagnostic team review, which we have for the survey, which we have as the exhibit. The survey recommended that the crossing be closed.
- Q. Okay. You testified in reference to sworn testimony of Delaware County and Orange Township officials. I just want to clarify, when you're talking about that sworn testimony, you're referring to the depositions, correct?
- A. What number are you referring to on my testimony so I can just read it and make sure we're talking about the same thing?
- Q. No. 24, about partway down in your answer.

A. So it says, "Further, based on my understanding of the sworn testimony provided by Orange Township and Delaware County personnel, the impact of the closure of Franklin Street Crossing on the operation of the Delaware County EMS station located to the northeast quadrant of the crossing would be negligible."

And what I mean by that is that that is a summary of the testimony that was given to me by Lee Evans, our lawyer.

- Q. Okay. I'm just clarifying, there have been -- what you may not know is there's several rounds of what I'll call testimony and documentation in this case. You saw the depositions, right?
- A. I actually have gotten this information directly from Lee. I myself did not -- I did not read the actual documents, this is information given to me by my lawyer -- our lawyer, CSX's lawyer.
- Q. Okay. So you received a summary of the testimony, you didn't review it particularly?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any emergency medical or safety service experience or training?
 - A. No.

2.1

Q. Are you now or have you ever been in

charge of reviewing, preparing, or maintaining a safety service coverage for an area?

A. No.

2.1

- Q. So referring back to your answer in No. 24, you said, quote, would be negligible.
- A. Yes, and the reason I said that is because the territory of -- or the run card that showed the Station 3 showed that most of their territory was actually on the same side of the track that they are on, so they don't have to cross the track to serve their territory, is my understanding.
- Q. Okay. But if we were looking at the way -- the impact on service to the west side of the tracks, would your answer be different?
- A. I don't have -- I don't have enough knowledge about that. All I know is that per the map that was provided, that that station does not serve the west side of the track, so I don't know who serves the east side of the track, but I guess that's all I have to say.
- Q. Okay. So have you evaluated the impact of the closure of this crossing on emergency vehicle traffic and response times for the entire Delaware County?
- A. I have not. That's not in my expertise.

- Q. You've also testified that, quote, it has been observed that high school students use this crossing to get around school buses backed up on Lewis Center Road, unquote.
- A. Yes, that was testimony. I don't remember who said that specifically, but that was something that was said at the diagnostic team review, and they said that because school buses have to stop at the crossings and look both ways, the students that were in line trying to get to school behind them would cut around through Franklin Street to cross the tracks to avoid the backup caused by the buses, which was implied that that was more risky behavior because they were taking a shortcut to go around faster and cross the tracks.
- Q. Okay. Would it seem reasonable to you if I said that emergency vehicles and residents of the area do the same thing?
 - A. I am not sure.

2.1

- Q. I mean, can we imply, I think for the purposes of this conversation, that if high schoolers are doing it, probably more than just high schoolers are crossing the tracks at that crossing?
- A. I would say what they are doing is an unsafe way of crossing the tracks, but I don't know

what else that implies.

2.1

Q. I guess I'm just asking in just your experience as a person who drives a car on roads, if some people are using that crossing to circumvent school buses or other traffic backed up on the Lewis Center Road, wouldn't it make sense that a lot of people do that?

MR. EVANS: I'll just object. I've let it go, but this requires speculation which I don't think the witness can really address.

MS. HUBER: Okay, I'll move past it.

12 By Ms. Huber:

- Q. Are you recommending closure of all of the crossings that are ranked 1 through 38 most hazardous?
 - A. I don't know what 1 through 38 are.
- Q. If this crossing is listed as No. 39 -- which is your testimony, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Is the recommendation that crossings 1 through 38 should also be closed?

MR. EVANS: So I have to object, lack of foundation. It's not been established that CSX is involved with crossings 1 through 38, or that this witness has made any recommendation regarding any of

- them, so I think that's an unfair question.
- 2 MS. HUBER: I'll reframe it.
- 3 By Ms. Huber:

1

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Are any other CSX crossings involved in 4 5 Nos. 1 through 38?
 - I don't know. I don't rank the crossings, the PUCO would have to tell you that.
 - Q. And just a couple final close up questions. You don't live in the Township, do you?
 - A. No.
- 11 Q. And you don't work in Orange Township 12 either?
- 13 A. No.

travel at all.

- 14 Do you drive in this area of the Ο. 15 Township on a regular basis?
- A. I will say that before COVID, when I 16 17 traveled, I used to visit multiple areas of my 18 four-state territory multiple times a month, say three to four times a month; however, now I don't 19 20
- 2.1 Q. How long has it been since you have 2.2 traveled like that?
- 23 A. Before COVID. So you know, probably 24 late 2019.
- 25 Q. Would you say that you're familiar with

the traffic patterns of Lewis Center Road?

- A. I'm familiar with the vehicular count and I'm familiar with the crossing because I've been there, so that is my knowledge base basically.
- Q. Okay. But not necessarily how the traffic ebbs and flows through in a typical day, those kinds of things?
- A. Right. The Township would be the one that would have that information.
- MS. HUBER: Okay. Thank you. I don't have any further questions for you.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 13 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Is there any redirect for this witness, Mr. Evans?
- MR. EVANS: Very briefly, your Honor.

16

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Evans:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. Ms. DeCesare, regarding the comments that were made at the diagnostic survey meeting at the crossing concerning the high school kids cutting around buses, is it your belief, did that come from someone local to the area? You didn't know that, I assume, do you know who -- without knowing their name, do you know if it was someone from the area?

A. Give me one second, I'm going to close the door here, the cleaning lady just came in.

No, I don't know -- I don't remember.

It was back in 2012. I don't remember specifically who said that, so I can't give any details of that.

Q. Okay. You were asked some questions about who was in attendance, and in terms of anyone from Delaware County.

From the list of attendees in

Petitioner's Exhibit 8, and from your recollection,

do you have an understanding as to whether there were

individuals there from Orange Township?

- A. Yes, there are three listed. The one person, I don't remember who he is with is, Scott Overturf, so that could have been from, to the previous question, the County or EMS office. I don't remember who that is.
- Q. Okay. And lastly -- last couple questions. I think you were listening to testimony earlier and referred to Franklin Street as a cut through street that has a beginning and end much different than what Lewis Center does; is that right?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

Q. Is it your understanding that if medics from the Medic 3 station needed to get from their

location east of the crossings to an area west of the crossing, after Franklin Street is closed, would they still be able to do that using Lewis Center?

A. Yes, they would still be able to do that. And further, there have been previous times the crossing was closed for a longer amount of time over the last few years, and there was no issue with responding, according to the testimonies that we referenced here.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. That's all the questions I have.

12 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.

13 Mr. Hochstettler, is there any recross based on this redirect?

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

17

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

19 By Mr. Hochstettler:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. During your site visit did you actually personally observe these bus stops with high school students traveling over the Franklin Street Crossings?
- A. I don't recall what time the visit was

 at, but I don't really remember there being many cars

54

at all using the crossing, or any kind of vehicles at all.

The point of the time comment being I don't know if it was during 8:00 or 3:00 p.m., or the busy school route times.

- Q. You didn't personally observe a school bus stopped at Lewis Center Road Crossings, correct?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Nothing further.
- 10 | Thank you.
- 11 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Ms. Huber, do
- 12 | you have any recross based on the redirect?
- MS. HUBER: I don't, your Honor. Thank
- 14 you.

3

4

5

6

- 15 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you very much,
- 16 Ms. DeCesare. Thank you for testifying. You are
- 17 | free to stand down.
- 18 (Witness excused.)
- 19 ALJ DAVIS: With that said, is that --
- 20 | that's your last witness from the Petitioners?
- 21 MR. EVANS: Aside from some deposition
- 22 testimony, yes.
- 23 ALJ DAVIS: Okay. That's great. Then
- 24 Mr. Evans, Mr. Talbott, I think we can go ahead and
- 25 | proceed in that direction. Mr. Evans, could you move

55

```
the exhibits.
 1
 2
               MR. EVANS: I apologize. Yes, I would
 3
    move that Petitioner's Exhibit 8, being the
     diagnostic survey, and -- be admitted into evidence,
 4
 5
     as well as the prefiled testimony of Amanda DeCesare,
 6
     which I think we have agreed would be marked as
     Exhibit 12.
 7
 8
               ALJ DAVIS: Are there any objections?
 9
               MR. HOCHSTETTLER: No objection, your
10
    Honor.
11
               MS. HUBER: No objection.
12
               ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. The testimony is
13
     admitted as Exhibit 12, and the report would be as
     Exhibit 8.
14
15
               (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
16
               ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.
17
               MR. EVANS: Thank you, your Honor.
18
               ALJ DAVIS: With the exhibits admitted,
19
    Mr. Evans or Mr. Talbott, you may proceed.
20
               MR. TALBOTT: Thank you, your Honor.
2.1
     This is Casey Talbott. As I'd indicated, we were
22
     going to read portions of the previously filed
     depositions of certain of the County and township
23
24
     witnesses. We were going to start with former Fire
```

25

Chief Matthew Noble.

MR. SCHMIDT: Ms. Rawlings, you've been promoted. If you can enable your audio and video.

2.1

MR. TALBOTT: And actually -- so we have got -- Ms. Rawlings is going to help with a couple of the Other township or County witnesses, I have my associate sitting here next to me who is going to read the part of Noble.

So instead of me reading question and answer, I'm going to read the question, and my associate Nicholas Bartlett is going to read the answer. Again, this is the deposition of Chief Matthew G. Noble.

 $\,$ ALJ WALSTRA: Before we do that, I mean, is this the understanding of all the parties as --

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: No, your Honor. In fact, I would move -- we have discussed stipulating to the admissibility of all the deposition transcripts, as well as the fact that the public hearing transcript is a part of the record.

From the County's standpoint we don't see any purpose to reading from those transcripts if they are already part of the record.

Petitioners are certainly capable of citing to any parts of those in their post hearing brief. In the interest of time, I would move that we

just stipulate to the admissibility of those transcripts and move on.

2.1

ALJ WALSTRA: Orange Township,

Ms. Huber, do you have any opinion on this?

MS. HUBER: We are in agreement with

Mr. Hochstettler.

MR. TALBOTT: Mr. Walstra, we had understood from previous discussions that we would -- and from our filing, an ability to read -- to read at least select parts as part of our case in chief.

We understand that that won't be particularly exciting, but there's some important information. If -- so we have tried to streamline it, but, you know, our best estimate is it might go ten minutes per witness.

If that's something that the Hearing
Officer is not inclined to entertain, then as Aric
said, we have got -- you know, we have the
stipulation that all of the depositions are in
evidence, and all of our proffered exhibits are in
evidence as well. That would be, I guess, 1 through
10 plus now 11 and 12.

So we welcome -- we understood we were going to have some ability to read. I don't know, Lee, if you want to chime in. I want to make sure we

do this right by the parties and the Hearing Officers.

2.

2.1

2.2

MR. EVANS: Your Honors, we had previously filed the transcript, we have previously submitted prefiled testimony of a sense in terms of referring to pages and lines, and the proposed reading of transcripts is even a subset of that.

We're probably talking about what was originally eight to ten hours of deposition testimony that we have, I think can be read -- could be read into evidence in about perhaps an hour and 15 minutes or so.

However, we understand the proposed stipulation and that everything comes in, and that we can refer to everything. I would -- and so whatever the Hearing Officer -- whatever you determine, we certainly are willing to abide by.

It does seem, in putting on our case, that we have an obligation to meet the burdens -- our burden of proof, and we wanted to make sure that the record is clear from this hearing that we think we can do so.

I would note that there are sort of two different categories. There are two witnesses that we were going to read, Mr. Noble, former Chief Noble,

and Ms. Stout from ORDC, who will not be testifying at all.

So the other individuals are scheduled, as I understand it unless something has changed, to be direct examined and cross-examined later in the proceeding.

Ms. Stout, in particular, and Chief
Noble, that's not the case, and so it seems, if
nothing else, that perhaps those two -- select
excerpts of those two ought to be read so that the
full flavor of the issues that they address would be
before the Judge.

ALJ WALSTRA: But in regards to both these witnesses, they both have been deposed and it's the understanding of all parties that those depositions will be made part of the record, am I understanding that right?

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Yes, your Honor.

MR. TALBOTT: That is correct, your

Honor.

2.1

MS. HUBER: Yes.

ALJ WALSTRA: I'm inclined to let the depositions stand as they are. We can kind of formally go through with the agreement that you guys have to have that be admitted.

And then, you know, I assume the parties are intending to have briefs after this, and you guys can cite to those specific lines in terms of the parts you guys want to highlight.

2.1

Somewhat in the matter of judicial efficiency, I don't know if we necessarily have to go through that. Obviously you'll have your briefs, and this isn't a jury trial, so I think we can still get the flavor of that, and that message can get across.

And I'm sure co-counsel there wants to debut his acting performance and is ready to go, but I think we can -- if you guys just want to discuss the agreement to the -- and your stipulation to the admission of the depositions, I think that should be sufficient, and you guys can cite to that in your briefs, it will be part of the official record and we can as well rely on that in our order -- in the Commission's order.

MR. EVANS: This is Lee Evans, your

Honor, and I'm certainly fine with that. I guess my
understanding of the stipulation, which I would need
to make sure that the transcripts -- all of the
transcripts that have been filed are admitted as a
whole and can be referenced for all purposes in the
briefs, and in addition, there were some -- not a

lot, but there were some objections raised during the course of the depositions, and it would be my understanding, if we're doing it this way, that any of those deposition objections would be waived so that we can, without fear, cite to various testimony if it happened to be the subject of a deposition. So that's the only thing I would add to what I think the stipulation should be.

2.1

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Your Honors, speaking for Delaware County, but I had already referenced this last week that I would be willing to waive any objections that I raised in those depositions.

I do not believe there were -- I reviewed them again just to make sure, there were not any objections of which I instructed one of my witnesses to not answer a question.

So I'm fine with that being part of the agreement. That was my understanding when we discussed it last week.

MR. TALBOTT: Your Honor, Casey Talbott on behalf of Co-Petitioner Norfolk Southern. If that's the Hearing Officers' inclination, I share Lee's concern that Stout and Noble are a little different, particularly Stout, she's the ORDC witness, that be want to make darn sure we bring that

before the -- before the Commission.

2.1

But if the Hearing Officers' inclination is to, in lieu of reading, go with the deposition transcripts are deemed submitted with their exhibits, and our Exhibits 1 through 12, we can rely upon those, then I think, you know, that's fine, and then we move on to the County and Township witnesses.

ALJ WALSTRA: So how many depositions do we have that would be adding to the record, five?

MR. TALBOTT: Let me look just to be sure. We have got -- your Honor, we have got Noble --

ALJ WALSTRA: Why don't we take this one by one, mark them as exhibits, and then move for their admission. Does that work?

MR. TALBOTT: Yes, your Honor. So Noble would be 13, N-o-b-l-e, Brandt is B-r-a-n-d-t, that would be 14. Next would be Keating, K-e-a-t-i-n-g, his deposition would be 15.

Next would be Riley, that's R-i-l-e-y, that would be 16. Next is the ORDC witness we referenced, that's Stout, S-t-o-u-t, that would be 17.

And that is -- and then we would have the testimony from the public hearing, which I don't

```
know if your inclination would be to call that No.
 1
 2
     18, or if that's something separate.
               (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 3
               ALJ WALSTRA: That is already part of
 4
 5
     our official record. That's like -- as much as like
 6
     today's transcript will be on the docket and can be
 7
     referenced in brief for an order, same goes for the
     public hearing. Those five depositions then?
 8
9
               MR. EVANS: That is correct, I believe.
10
               ALJ WALSTRA: And is there any objection
     to the admission of those five exhibits?
11
12
               MR. HOCHSTETTLER: No objection from
13
     Delaware County, your Honor.
14
               MS. HUBER: We have no objection for
     Orange Township, thank you.
15
               ALJ WALSTRA: Petitioners' Exhibits 13,
16
17
     14, 15, 16, and 17 will be admitted to the record.
18
               (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
19
               ALJ WALSTRA: I just want to be clear
20
    because I feel like those numbers jumped around.
2.1
               MR. TALBOTT: I think I botched them.
               ALJ WALSTRA: I mean, it's fine as long
22
23
     as we're all on the same page, because obviously
24
     there's some missing numbers in the middle, so I just
25
     want to make sure we're on the --
```

MR. TALBOTT: So we're on the same page, we have Noble as 13, Brandt 14, Keating 15, Riley 16, and Stout 17. Is that it?

2.1

ALJ WALSTRA: That is what I have, yes.

MR. TALBOTT: I may have messed that up the first time, I apologize.

ALJ WALSTRA: I just want to make sure we don't have an Exhibit 5, 6 or 7, or 9, 10.

MR. TALBOTT: We do, your Honor. Those are exhibits that have been shared with the parties ahead of time.

ALJ WALSTRA: Okay. Also part of your prior stipulation you're saying?

MR. TALBOTT: Yeah, that's our understanding, that we would be offering the witness -- pardon me, Exhibits 1 through 10, which were the prefiled exhibits, also 11 and 12, which would be the prefiled as to Jackson and DeCesare, and then 13 through 17, which would be the depositions previously filed.

ALJ WALSTRA: Okay. But now that we're officially on the record, I just want to get for the Court Reporter, so that is the understanding of all the parties then as well as -- because I believe 5, 6, 7, and 9 and 10 have not been formally introduced

```
on to the record, but it's the -- from my
1
2
     understanding it's the parties' understanding that
     these will be admitted to the record; is that
 3
     correct?
 4
 5
               MR. HOCHSTETTLER: No, your Honor, I'm
 6
     going to need some clarification on those. Looking
7
     through the materials that I have in my file, I don't
     see a reference to those.
8
9
               So I just want to make sure I'm not
10
    missing them and have an opportunity to review them.
11
     Perhaps I don't have any objection, but I just need
12
     clarification first.
13
               MS. DONNAN: The Township would agree to
14
     that as well, we need some additional clarification
15
     on 5 through --
16
               MS. HUBER: 5, 6, 7, 9, and 10, I think.
17
               MS. DONNAN: Correct.
18
               ALJ WALSTRA: Mr. Evans, could you
19
     identify those, please?
20
               MR. TALBOTT: Yes. And these went out
2.1
     to all counsel, I can't -- I think it was through
22
     Courtney Rawlings. It was a link, but I will -- so
     Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was of the --
23
```

It's noon right now, we have been going for two

ALJ WALSTRA: Why don't we do this?

24

hours. We can take like an hour break for lunch, get sorted out on what exhibits are what, come back on the record at, say, 1:10, and then hopefully we'll know what all the exhibits are, we can discuss those, and then Delaware and Orange can go forward with their witnesses. Does that work?

2.1

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Yes, your Honor.

MR. TALBOTT: Would you like counsel to stay on the call right now to talk about these exhibits?

ALJ WALSTRA: Why don't we do that at -- why don't we do that at 1:10, and just make sure we have everything in order.

If you want to email or whatever to say what the exhibits are, that's fine, or if you guys want to talk off line off the record, that's fine.

Make sure we're all on the same page.

MR. TALBOTT: I think Courtney is on the line and she could, I guess re-forward the Exhibits 1 through 10, we don't -- 11 and 12 have already gone out.

The prefiled 1 to 10 I believe were in a link and we understand -- we understood that we were collectively okay with those exhibits.

ALJ WALSTRA: So are we all on the same

page? We'll reconvene in about an hour, and
hopefully then we can get these exhibits sorted out
and then I believe you guys will rest with your case
in chief and go forward?

MR. TALBOTT: I mean, Aric and Jennifer,
Julie, I assume you got that email last week with the
link to the exhibits.

2.1

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: I got email, I got no link. I'm looking at it right now. So I'm happy to stay on, we'll let the Judges go have some lunch.

I don't eat lunch on hearing days, so
I'm happy to stay on and work through this so that
we're ready to go at 1:10.

ALJ WALSTRA: Valerie, we're off the record.

(Lunch recess from 12:10 to 1:10.)

ALJ WALSTRA: We will go back on the record. During break we kind of tried to sort out the exhibits here, so if Mr. Talbott, Mr. Evans, if you just want to identify the exhibits for us that haven't been formally marked yet.

(EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. EVANS: Sure. This is Lee Evans.

I'll certainly take a whack at it. I think we
previously had referenced and moved into evidence 1

through 4, actually 1 through 5 -- no, 1 through 4.

2.1

5 and 6, as noted by counsel a moment ago, are composite exhibits that -- of public record documents, I think it's fair to say, that were attached to the petition in this matter.

And it's my understanding that counsel have no objection to 6 and 7. 8, we previously dealt with through testimony. 9 is a resolution dated April 19, 2021 that was passed by the Orange Township trustees, and 10 is a composite exhibit of communications related to that.

And it's my understanding based on the discussion a few moments ago off the record, that counsel has no objection to 9 or 10 either. And I think that's all of the exhibits that we didn't previously deal with during the course of testimony.

ALJ WALSTRA: Okay. Thank you.

MR. TALBOTT: If I could jump in, by way of housekeeping, 11 is the Jackson prefile and the 12 is the DeCesare prefile, and then 13 is Noble, 14, Brandt, 15, Keating, 16, Riley, 17, Stout, the transcripts from their depositions.

ALJ WALSTRA: All of those other have previously been admitted, so is there any objection to 5, 6, 7, 9 and 10 being admitted into the record?

69 1 MR. HOCHSTETTLER: No objection, your 2 Honor. 3 MS. HUBER: No objection. ALJ WALSTRA: Thank you, those will all 4 5 be admitted then. 6 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 7 ALJ WALSTRA: And anything further from the Petitioners? 8 9 MR. EVANS: Nothing further from CSX. 10 MR. TALBOTT: And nothing further from 11 Norfolk Southern, your Honor. 12 ALJ WALSTRA: Thank you. 13 ALJ DAVIS: Thanks, everybody, for 14 waiting as we walked through all of that. With the 15 Petitioner's case in chief closed, I would say we can 16 move along to the County. Mr. Hochstettler. 17 MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Thank you, your 18 Honor. First as an initial matter, Delaware County 19 will be introducing seven exhibits, all of which have 20 been previously filed and submitted to counsel. 2.1 Exhibits 1 through 4 are documents that 22 have been previously marked and they are referenced 23 in direct testimony. 24 And we would also ask your Honors to 25 mark as Delaware County Exhibit 5 the prepared direct

testimony of Robert Riley, Exhibit 6 the prepared 1 2 direct testimony of Patrick Brandt, and Exhibit 7, the prepared direct testimony of Glen Keating, and 3 then we'll seek introduction of those as we pick them 4 5 up in the ordinary course. ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. They are so 6 7 marked. (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 8 MR. HOCHSTETTLER: And our first witness 9 10 is Mr. Robert Riley. MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Riley, you've been 11 12 promoted. If you could enable your audio and video. 13 ALJ DAVIS: Hello, Mr. Riley. Could I 14 ask you to raise your right hand. Do you swear or 15 affirm that what you're about to tell is the truth? 16 MR. RILEY: Yes, I do. 17 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you very much. You 18 may proceed, Mr. Hochstettler. 19 20 Robert Riley,

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 By Mr. Hochstettler:

2.1

22

23

25

Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Riley. I'm having a

little difficulty hearing you, and I can hear everybody else, so if there's any way to sit closer to the microphone, please do that.

- A. I'll do that.
- Q. Mr. Riley, do you have before you what has been marked as Delaware County Exhibit 5, which is your prepared direct testimony?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you have an opportunity to review and approve that written testimony prior to filing?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any corrections or updates you wish to make to your written testimony?
- 15 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

24

- Q. And you also provided what has been previously marked as Delaware County Exhibits 1 and 2. Could you please describe what is marked as Exhibit 1?
- A. Yes, Exhibit 1, I believe, this figure
 which is a map showing the vicinity of Lewis Center,
 the Unincorporated Village of Lewis Center and the
 Franklin Street Crossing.
 - Q. Does that exhibit also depict the Home Road concept?

- A. It does. It shows a general layout of the proposed North Road extension east of Route 23 showing the various phases of that project.
- Q. And Exhibit 2, could you please just briefly describe Exhibit 2?
- A. Exhibit 2 is a similar map. It shows in more detail the facing of the proposed Home Road extension, the portion that has already been built, and the remaining phase 4, which is referred to by the County as the Home Road grade separation, being phase 4A, and phase 4B which would be the final connection to Lewis Center Road.
- Q. And do Exhibits 1 and 2, do they record and document activity of your office and are they truly copies of the records your office created for that purpose?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And at this time if I were to ask you all of the questions contained in your direct testimony, would your answers be the same as written?
 - A. Yes.
- MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Thank you, Mr. Riley.

 Your Honors, I tender the witness for

 cross-examination.
- 25 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you, counsel. On

behalf of the Petitioners, Mr. Talbott, Mr. Evans, whichever, or do you have any cross-examination?

MR. TALBOTT: Yes, your Honor. Casey
Talbott.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1

2

3

4

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Talbott:

- Q. Mr. Riley, I'm having a little trouble picking up your mic as well, not as much trouble as with Mr. Jackson earlier, but anything you could do to keep your voice up would sure be appreciated, okay?
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Can you hear me okay?
 - A. Yes, I can hear you fine.
- Q. All right. Mr. Riley, in your direct exam you purported to offer an opinion regarding the negative impact closing Franklin may have on Medic 3 EMS response time, correct?
- A. Can you refer me to the line where that's located?
- Q. Well, in general, didn't you purport to offer an opinion that closing Franklin could potentially negatively impact Medic 3's response time?

- A. Yeah, there is a statement in my testimony to that effect.
- Q. Okay. Out of curiosity, Mr. Riley, whose opinion or answer was that, was it yours or was it the County's counsel? Did you prepare that or did he prepare it?
 - A. This is my testimony.
- Q. Okay. I'm a little confused about it.

 Do you remember when we took your discovery deposition earlier this year?
 - A. I do.

2.1

- 12 Q. Okay. Do you have a copy of that transcript in front of you?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. I want to look at page 10 of your deposition, and I'm on Line 21, and I'm going to read a statement to you. My question was, "All right. So in the event Medic 3 is serving any areas to the east, the closure of the Franklin Street Crossing would have no apparent impact because the -- the responder would have no need to traverse the tracks, is that safe to say?"
 - There was an objection from your counsel, and then I followed by saying, "Or are you uncomfortable talking about that? I just -- do you

deal much with the emergency responders? Is that something that's in your bailiwick or outside?"

2.1

Your answer, I want to make sure I'm reading this correctly, was, "It's not something I deal with with any regularity, so no, I'm not -- probably not the right person to ask about that."

I said, "Okay. So if we're going to talk to County or Township witnesses about Medic 3, and where, what areas it services and how it accomplishes that, there would be better people on the County or Township to talk to than you, is that fair?" And your answer was, "That's fair:

Did I read that correctly?

- A. It took me a moment to find page 10. I was looking for that. I think I found what you just read, so I would stand by what I said previously.
- Q. All right. Now I'm on page 16, I said,
 "Okay. If you could turn to page 16, line 4." I
 said, "Okay. So again, any questions with regard to
 the national industry standard, what that is, and
 whether and to what extent the County and Township
 are able to comply, and whether and to what extent
 that would be impacted or may be impacted by railroad
 closures for any period of time, other witnesses
 would be better in that regard, fair?" And your

answer was, "That's fair." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

Q. Okay. And then last, on page 47, line

18, I asked, "Okay. And then -- and as far as even
assuming both crossings are closed, you're not in a
position to speak to how that impacts emergency
response time, and particularly whether such response
can be provided consistent with the national -- in
compliance with the national standards; is that
correct? That's what you told us earlier today,
right?"

Your answer was, "I could speak to general traffic, but not specifically to emergency traffic." Did I read that correctly?

- A. You read it correctly.
- Q. Okay. So we did attempt to ask you about emergency response three times in three different ways, and in your deposition you'd indicated you weren't comfortable weighing in on that, correct?
- A. I don't know that I would characterize it quite that way. I am comfortable talking about the path of emergency vehicles relative to the pattern and travel over roads, but I'm not

comfortable talking about which particular medics or other emergency equipment are closest based on current run assignments or run cards, that's just not something I'm familiar with.

- Q. Well, you answered truthfully back in your deposition, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And on each of those occasions you opted to defer to others, correct?
- A. With regard to specifics about emergency response, and what I mean by that is the particular specifics relating to certain emergency vehicles responding to certain addresses, I would defer to others on that.
- Q. I'll move on. In your direct exam you also testified that Franklin is wide enough for two vehicles going in the opposite direction to pass each other. Do you remember saying that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Okay. Let's chat for a minute about that. First, you're with the County, correct?
 - A. I'm with the County Engineers office.
- Q. Yeah, and Franklin is not a county road, is it?
 - A. It is a Township road, but you may be

aware that the County Engineers office, by statute, acts on behalf of the -- of townships in matters relating to roads and bridges.

- Q. Fair to say you don't drive down the road as often as Lewis Center residents?
 - A. That's fair.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Have you read their testimony from the public hearing?
 - A. No, I haven't.
- Q. Let me ask a better question. Did you go to the public hearing that the PUCO held in this matter back on July 9, 2019?
 - A. No, I didn't.
 - Q. Okay. And I think you just said you've not read the transcript from that either?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So the -- do you know a gentleman named David Dill who lives on Franklin Street?
 - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to read just a couple sentences from Mr. Dill's testimony. Page 29 of the transcript from the public hearing, line 12, and it says this: Our streets -- "Our streets are little and narrow. Two cars can't pass at the same time on any street in the village, no place. Even if you

gate Franklin Street, two cars can't pass, it's one
car wide."

And then that paragraph ends. "There's not a place in the village where two cars can pass each other, somebody has to pull over."

Have you ever heard that testimony before I just read it to you?

A. No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Okay. I'll move on again. I want to talk to you for a few minutes about detours. You recall back in June to August of 2019 when Lewis Center was closed for roundabout construction, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. For that three-month period this traffic was detoured to Orange Road to the south and to Shanahan to the north, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. Importantly, it was not detoured to Franklin, was it?
- A. It was not detoured to Franklin Street, that is correct.
- Q. And, Mr. Riley, that's because Franklin is not a through street, is it?
- A. Well, Franklin Street would not have

been a feasible detour because it would not connect beyond the closure point at Home Road on the northwest.

2.1

- Q. Franklin is a short road, it's less than a third of a mile long, correct?
 - A. Yes, it's about a third of a mile.
- Q. Okay. And so the record is clear, when your office -- the County Engineers office establishes local detours, it directs through traffic not to Franklin, but to other adjacent crossings, correct?
- A. You're referring to a detour from Lewis Center Road?
- Q. Sure, yes. Let's use that as an example.
 - A. So yes, a detour for Lewis Center Road would be routed to another road, not to Franklin Street.
 - Q. Right. It would be routed to Orange to the south or Shanahan to the north, correct?
 - A. Yeah, most likely, depending on what -- where exactly it is in Lewis Center.
- Q. All right. Let's chat for a moment
 about traffic. Lewis Center is practically on top of
 Franklin -- I should say more accurately it's

- six-one-hundredths of a mile away, correct?
- A. That sounds about right. I think it's 3 325 feet.
 - Q. Okay. So you -- have you measured that?
- 5 A. I have.

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. Okay. So I've got six-one-hundredths of a mile away based on the FRA and PUCO database, you're talking about the length of a football field, right?
 - A. Yes, roughly.
- Q. Okay. And am I correct, Lewis Center is approximately 8,000 to 10,000 vehicles a day?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. And you admit that by design, Lewis Center could absorb approximately -- could accommodate approximately double that, about 15,000 to 20,000 vehicles per day, correct?
- A. The road itself can. I'm not sure that the crossing can because of the slower speed at the crossing.
- Q. Well, when -- you recall when we took your deposition you told us that Lewis Center could absorb approximately double that, about 15- to 20,000 vehicles a day?
- 25 A. That is what I said. That's referenced

to the capacity of Lewis Center Road, but not necessarily the crossing where traffic has to slow down to, say, five to ten miles per hour.

Q. All right. If I'm looking at your deposition, page 45 -- I'll go back to page 37 to -- you told us that -- the question was, "How would you describe the traffic patterns on Lewis Center Road at the rail crossings in general?"

You said, "On Lewis Center there, if you're asking about a traffic volume, my understanding is that average daily traffic is somewhere in the neighborhood of maybe 8,000 to 10,000. Franklin is a lot less than that." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

2.1

Q. Okay. Next question. "In terms of Lewis Center Road, in terms of capacity of that road, how does that traffic volume fit into the capacity of the road?"

Answer: "So Lewis Center Road is a two-lane road currently. The capacity of a two-lane road depends on a lot of different factors; speed limit, number of intersections. But generally speaking, may be in the neighborhood of 15- to 20,000 -- 15- to 20,000 in this case." Did I read

that correctly?

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then -- all right. I'll move on.

Franklin, on the other hand, experiences approximately 64 vehicles a day, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you told us that in your direct exam, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. So on average, if we would close Franklin Street, we would be redirecting approximately 2.66 vehicles per hour -- if my math is right, we'd be looking at about 2.66 vehicles per hour, correct?
 - A. Yeah, if you assume every hour is equal, but I understand it would be approximately that on average.
- Q. Okay. Yes. Let's talk -- you mentioned
 a Home Road in your direct exam, and then with regard
 to some questions a few minutes back, so I want to
 talk about that for a second.
 - Fair to say the Home Road overpass has been discussed for years, hasn't it?
- A. Yeah, that's fair to say.

Q. Yeah. I mean, I think one of the witnesses in the public hearing told us that they talked about it for 16 to 17 years anyway. Is that consistent with your understanding?

2.1

- A. I know it's been talked about since probably 2008. That's when there was a pending development at that time prior to the recession that would have included that road.
- Q. And based on your direct testimony, you're estimating a target completion date of five or so years out, so into 2016, best case scenario, correct?
- A. Yeah, my current projection based on the current project schedule is to start construction in 2025, and that's based on the schedule that the County and the consulting engineer that is currently under contract with the County --
- Q. And my question is your target completion date for the overpass would be 2026; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. Fair to say there's no guarantee that this is going to happen; is that fair?
- A. Well, insofar as there's no guarantees about much of any road project, that's fair. I would

say it's more accurate to say there's a commitment on behalf of the County to build this project, but obviously the County is not the sole party involved, it would take from the railroads to make sure they are on schedule.

- Q. And my question is, there's no guarantee it's going to happen, right?
 - A. That's fair to say.
- Q. Okay. And if it happens, Mr. Riley, there's no guarantee as to when it's going to happen, fair?
- A. That's fair.

2.1

- Q. I mean, we could very -- even if
 everything -- if all the moving parts come together,
 and it's not just the County that commits or the
 State or the feds or the railroads, property owners,
 property acquisition, et cetera, this could very
 easily go into not just 2026, but 2027, 2028, 2029,
 we don't know when it's going to happen, right?
- A. Well, you said easily. I don't know that I would characterize it as easily happen.
- Q. If this happens, there's no guarantee as to when it happens, fair?
- A. That's fair.
 - Q. All right. In the meantime, Mr. Riley,

in the intervening years, is the County willing to assume the liability risk in the event an accident occurs?

2.1

- A. I don't know that that's something the County can legally do.
- Q. Well, let me ask it this way: You're aware, as you sit here, that the Ohio Rail

 Development Commission doesn't like this crossing,

 Norfolk Southern doesn't like it, CSX doesn't like it, you're aware of that, right?
- A. I'm aware that the railroads don't like this crossing. I haven't heard that opinion directly from ORDC, but it doesn't --
- Q. Would it surprise you -- would it surprise you to learn that the ORDC testified in this case, and that the testimony was that they want to see this crossing closed? Would that be news or a surprise to you?
 - A. That wouldn't be a surprise to me.
- Q. Okay. And I'll represent to you that we already see Norfolk Southern, CSX want it closed and they would like it closed yesterday, today, they want it closed.
- If the County wants to keep it open,

 then I'm just asking whether the County is willing to

accept any responsibility that comes with that?

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: I'm going to object to the question. Mr. Riley is not in a position to make a commitment of that nature. He can't answer the question, I think it's just a question without any proper foundation whatsoever.

By Mr. Talbott:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

2.1

25

- Q. Counsel may have given you the answer, but do you have an answer to that, Mr. Riley? I mean, I'll take it as a no that as you sit here today the County is not willing to accept the responsibility, is that fair?
- A. I'm not sure that the County can legally do that. I think what you're talking about is probably not something within the ability of the County to agree to.
 - Q. Okay. All right.

18 MR. TALBOTT: That's all I have for 19 Mr. Riley. Thank you.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Talbott. Is there any other cross-examination for this witness?

22 MR. EVANS: This is Lee Evans. I have no further cross for this witness.

24 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Evans.

Mr. Hochstettler, is there any redirect?

MS. DONNAN: Actually the Township has some cross-examination.

ALJ DAVIS: Okay.

2.1

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Ms. Donnan:

Q. Mr. Riley, my name is Julia Donnan. Our office represents Orange Township in this matter.

Just got a couple questions for you.

You've already talked about the capacity for Lewis Center in your direct testimony. I believe on pages 6 and 7 you reference that the current capacity -- pardon me, the current traffic of Lewis Center is about 10,000 a day at the railroad tracks.

Mr. Talbott referenced that and discussed the overall capacity of Lewis Center Road, and you referenced that the road can handle approximately 15- to 20,000 vehicles a day, but with the -- the railroad track is a -- a capacity restriction. So what is the capacity of the -- at the railroad?

A. I think the capacity of Lewis Center
Road at the crossing is closer to 10,000 because,
generally speaking, capacity on a roadway is related
to the travel speed, so a lower travel speed would

yield a lower traffic capacity.

2.1

- Q. And furthermore in your testimony, you reference that the current -- pardon me, let me get the language right -- the peak flow at the railroad is over a thousand vehicles an hour. So would that be consistent with that 10,000 a day, peak at a thousand an hour, and 10,000 a day?
- A. Yes, those figures were based on actual traffic counts taken by Delaware County in 2017 and 2018.
- Q. Okay. So with that, is the railroad crossing basically at capacity at this time?
- A. Yeah, it would be my opinion that the crossing is if not at capacity, then very, very near capacity.
- Q. So it would be -- it would be incorrect to say that the -- that the railroad crossing is capable of absorbing twice the current flow of traffic up to 20,000?
- MR. TALBOTT: Objection, your Honor, that's a leading question from a party with a commonality of interest.
- 23 ALJ DAVIS: The objection is sustained.
 24 By Ms. Donnan:
 - Q. So is the Lewis Center -- I'm sorry, the

Lewis Center crossing, is that capable of absorbing 20,000 -- 20,000 vehicles a day?

- A. No, it's not.
- Q. Is it capable of absorbing 15,000 a day?
- A. I don't think it is.

6 MS. DONNAN: I have no further

7 questions. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.

Mr. Hochstettler, now let me ask, do you have any redirect?

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: I do. Thank you.

12

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Hochstettler:

Q. Mr. Riley, during your cross-examination, Mr. Talbott asked you about the closure of Lewis Center Road in 2019, and that the detour -- your testimony was that the detour for that closure was to Orange Road and Shanahan Road.

Is Franklin Street a viable detour route from a traffic standpoint to Lewis Center Road?

A. No, Franklin Street would not have been a viable detour for that particular closure because the closure point was further west than Franklin Street extends, so it would not have allowed traffic

to circumvent the closure.

2.1

2.2

- Q. A part of that project was the construction of a roundabout at North Road and Lewis Center Road. Does that roundabout include a stub street to the south?
 - A. It does.
 - O. What is that stub street?
 - A. It's known as Green Meadows Drive.
- Q. And where is currently -- where is Green Meadows Drive currently?
- A. Green Meadows Drive extends from the south -- it begins at U.S. 23 in the vicinity of Polaris Parkway and extends all the way north to Home Road where it currently terminates.
- Q. And you were also asked about Franklin Street lacking connectivity. Is it possible that Franklin Street could be connected to the Green Meadows extension in the future?
- A. Yes. And in fact, the Delaware

 County -- the County Engineers office is currently

 finalizing plans for that segment of Green Meadows

 Road between -- excuse me, Green Meadows Drive

 between Home Road and the stub to the roundabout at

 North Road.
 - Q. You had testified that Franklin Street

would not be -- would not have been a viable detour for traffic when Lewis Center Road was closed.

When Lewis Center Road is opened, but maybe temporarily closed at the railroad crossing, is Franklin Street a viable alternative route for emergency response?

A. Yes, it would be.

2.

2.1

- Q. And Franklin Street, you were also asked about the width. Have you driven on Franklin Street?
- A. Yes, many times. I actually drove that road this morning.
- Q. And do you have -- do you have information about the actual width of that road?
- A. Yeah, the width of the pavement does vary. There are sections of the road that are probably in the ballpark of 14 to 15 feet wide, at the widest points it's probably 18 to 20 feet wide, so it does vary the length of Franklin Street.
- Q. Is that consistent with the width of township roads in general?
- A. Yeah, there are quite a few township roads that are in that same width category which are still considered two-lane road.
- Q. And you were also asked about the Home Road extension, and Mr. Talbott asked you several

questions trying to establish some question about the certainty of that project.

2.1

You testified that your timing, based on current planning, is the 2024 to 2026 time frame. What actions has the County taken in furtherance of that project?

A. The County has taken a number of actions to advance the project. The most recent action that I can think of would be that the County Commissioner has authorized a final design contract with HDR, which is the consulting engineer who is preparing the final engineering plans. That was done in May.

HDR is currently in the process of developing, as I said, the final engineering for that project, and certainly will be in consultation with the railroads during that course. The current project schedule has that project being -- construction starting in 2025 and complete in 2026.

- Q. And looking at Delaware County

 Exhibit 2, which you had previously described, what is the current terminus of Home Road?
- A. Home Road currently terminates at Green Meadows Drive. It is the gray shaded portion of that exhibit. That portion was completed in September of 2020.

- Q. And since you are referring to phase 4 on that exhibit, and in your testimony, is it fair to say that phases 1 through 3 are complete?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And has the County acquired real property for phase 4?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. How much -- how much in funds has the County expended to date, if you are aware, on the Home Road extension project?
- A. It's fair to say it's in excess of \$10 million. I'd have to look to come up with a more exact figure, but I would be able to say with certainty it's over \$10 million.
- Q. And as a part of your planning for the Home Road overpass, has your office been asked to do any conceptual plannings with respect to new County buildings in the vicinity of the Home Road extension?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you elaborate, please?
- A. Yes. The County Commissioner's office asked our office to look into the feasibility of locating potential replacements or a relocation of EMS Station 3 to be nearby the relocated -- excuse me, the realigned Home Road.

I would refer to Exhibit 2 showing the red portion of that exhibit near the phase 4B, just to the west of that.

2.1

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: I don't have anything further. Thank you.

MR. TALBOTT: Your Honor, this is Casey,

I would have a very limited recross to address the

direct from the Township, and then a new topic or two
that Aric just broached. It should be two minutes or
less.

ALJ DAVIS: Okay. One quick question for Mr. Hochstettler before we move into recross.

Mr. Hochstettler, do you happen to have a line on Mr. Brandt just in the interest of having a witness ready for when Mr. Riley is finished?

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: He's now waiting.

ALJ DAVIS: We didn't see him connected so I wasn't sure --

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: I know he connected earlier just to make sure everything was working. I don't see him here, I'll just send him a message. My view attending is not working, so I don't -- he's not there, I'll just send a message to make sure he's ready.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Mr. Talbott. If

1 | you'd like, you can proceed with recross.

MR. TALBOTT: Thank you.

3

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

5 By Mr. Talbott:

- Q. Mr. Riley, do you have an ability to put your video back on just so I can see you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If you're amenable to that. Okay, perfect.

Township counsel asked you whether the rail crossing itself on Lewis Center could absorb 20,000 vehicles a day, and I think you said no, you didn't think it could. Same response with regard to 15,000, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. But the current -- the current traffic volume on Lewis Center is 8- to 10,000 vehicles a day, that's your own testimony, correct?
- A. Yeah, that was my testimony, and that was confirmed more recently with my direct testimony that was submitted last week, I believe, in which I further researched the traffic volumes based on actual counts.
- Q. So you're not -- if you are, so be it,

but you're not trying to tell the Hearing Officer that in your expert opinion Lewis Center could not absorb an additional 64 vehicles a day? Are you telling him that?

2.1

- A. That's not what I'm -- that's not what I'm saying.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. And then did I hear you to say this Medic 3 station that we're talking about, that the plan is to relocate that away from Franklin?
- A. Well, I can't speak to whether that is the plan, all I can say is that our office has been asked to look into whether that's actually feasible, and to develop maybe a concept of what that would look like, which we have done.
- Q. And fair to say the standard width for an urban lane is about 10 feet, correct?
 - A. That's generally correct.
- Q. Okay. And I was out there a week ago.

 I measured it, close to what you did, in some places
 as little as 13 feet. You measured at about 14 in
 certain spots, correct?
 - A. That's what I said.
- Q. So it's -- so if other witnesses are testifying that two cars can't comfortably pass while

travelling on Franklin, at least in certain parts, they have got to pull over, that's not a surprise to you, correct, by your own measurements?

2.1

A. Well, you just said comfortably. My testimony earlier was that two vehicles can pass, and I think they can do it safely.

At the narrowest points I do think that might involve perhaps the right side of the vehicle being on the gravel berm or slightly off the pavement, but I'm not sure that that would characterize it as a one lane road or that vehicles can't pass at all.

In fact, I passed a vehicle this morning at about 8:00 in the morning, a resident that pulled into a driveway just as I passed them, and we both slowed down to be careful, but it was in the section that was approximately 16 feet wide.

Q. So there's going to be other County witnesses, Township witnesses we think that will speak to that, but Dill -- in particular, Dill, that Witness Dill, assuming he testified to that, he's not playing us straight, that you got to pull over in certain -- that you've actually got to pull over, only one vehicle can pass at a time, this guy who has lived there all his life, he's not playing us

straight, fair?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

16

17

18

19

20

A. No, that's not fair. I think it's how you characterize that. I mean, can you pass? If that's what the question is, I think you can. Do you have to slow down or maybe get off the edge of the berm, then the answer would be yes.

MR. TALBOTT: All right. Thanks, that's all I have. Thank you, your Honor.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Is there any further recross?

MR. EVANS: Your Honor, Lee Evans. Just briefly, very briefly.

13

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

15 By Mr. Evans:

- Q. Mr. Riley, you testified about this notion of Green Meadows Drive being extended, and I think you indicated that it currently stops at Home Road; is that correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And did you put any prognostication on the year when that -- when that project might happen at all?
- A. I was not asked that, but I'd be happy to do that.

- Q. Well, as we sit here today, do you know that?
- A. Yes, I do. The County's current plan is to start construction on that project late in 2022 and complete it by the end of 2023.
- Q. Okay. And that would then -- is that separate and apart from any idea of connecting Franklin Street to Green Meadows?

In other words, is that part -- you mentioned that Franklin Street, I take it, conceivably could be connected with Green Meadows. Is that part of the planned project right now?

- A. Yeah, that would be a final design element we would want to consult with Orange Township on, which we have not done, but basically in a nutshell, the road -- Green Meadows Drive would extend past the end of Franklin Street, so that it would be a very relatively small matter to connect the pavement on Franklin Street over to the new Green Meadows Drive.
- Q. And would Green Meadows then connect to Lewis Center?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

Q. Okay. But that part of the plan, you haven't even broached that subject with -- in terms

of connecting Franklin Street, you haven't broached that with Orange Township, correct?

A. We have not.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And if there was a project that involved connecting Franklin Street to Green Meadows, would you anticipate that that would involve a widening of Franklin Street beyond the -- there's been much testimony already about the width of Franklin Street. Would that change if that were to occur?
- A. I don't know that that would be the case. Again, this -- that connection would be a matter we would like to discuss with Orange Township, but we have not had an opportunity to do that yet.
- Q. Okay. And you would agree with me that when you were deposed in this matter, we asked you a fair number of questions about the Home Road project, essentially some of the same questions you've been asked her today regarding width of the street, Franklin Street, and you would agree that there was never any mention when we previously took your deposition about this Green Meadow project, correct?
 - A. I don't believe there was.

MR. EVANS: Okay. That's all I have.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you very much.

Mr. Riley, thank you for your testimony. You would

102

1 | now be able to stand down.

(Witness excused.)

ALJ DAVIS: Mr. Hochstettler, would you call your next witness?

5 MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Delaware County would

6 | call Patrick Brandt.

2

7

8

9

10

20

2.1

22

ALJ DAVIS: Hello, Mr. Brandt. Thank you for being here. If I could ask you to raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that what you're about to tell is the truth?

MR. BRANDT: I do.

12 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you very much. You 13 may proceed.

14

15 Patrick Brandt,

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

18 DIRECT EXAMINATION

19 By Mr. Hochstettler:

- Q. Mr. Brandt, do you have before you what has been marked as Delaware County Exhibit 6, which is your prepared testimony?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And did you have an opportunity to review and approve that written testimony prior to

filing?

2.1

2.2

- A. I have.
- Q. And do you have any corrections or updates you wish to make to your written testimony?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. And in your written testimony there are references to Delaware County Exhibits 3 and 4, Exhibit 3 being a run card map. Would you just briefly describe what that exhibit is?
- A. Yes. That is the geographical area of Orange Township broken down into different run card segments that tells our captain who to send on what area based on the calls for service.
- Q. And could you briefly describe

 Exhibit 4, which is a run report that you had pulled from your system?
- A. That's an Excel spreadsheet that we pulled. That shows all the calls that were, I believe -- yes, it was just for that run card area of 361-M3-1, and that was all the runs in that area, and that provides you the dispatch time, the location, and route time.
- Q. And do Exhibits 3 and 4, Delaware County
 Exhibits 3 and 4, report and document the activity at
 your office, and are they true copies of the records

your office created for that purpose?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. And if I were to ask you all of the same questions that are contained in your written testimony, would your answers be the same day?
- A. Yes, sir.

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: At this time, your Honors, I would tender the witness for cross-examination.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you, counsel. Do the
Petitioners -- Mr. Talbott, do you have
cross-examination?

MR. EVANS: Actually, this is Lee Evans,
I'll at least begin with some cross-examination.

15 | - - -

16 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 17 By Mr. Evans:
- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brandt.
- 19 A. Good afternoon, sir.
 - Q. You may recall that I took your deposition some time ago, I think it was January of this year. And at that time when you gave that deposition, you were aware that the -- that the County and Orange Township were taking a position that was opposed to closure of this crossing,

correct?

2.1

- A. Yes.
- Q. And at that time you -- although I think your department had run some raw data regarding some run times, perhaps even at our request through counsel, you had not done any analysis of run data regarding Medic 3 or Medic 361 as you have now, correct?
- A. Yes, I've provided those reports, and obviously the one that's in there currently.
- Q. Right. And so the analysis that is part of your prefiled testimony, that is a more recent thing done based upon your recent printing of additional raw data up through sometime in August of this year, correct?
- A. Yeah, it went through August 31st, I believe.
- Q. All right. And I reviewed your prefiled testimony, and it's my understanding that your analysis relates primarily -- or goes to the question of the impact of closure of the Franklin Street crossing on Medic 3's response time to the area west of the crossing, correct?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And I think you referenced the Exhibit 3

and the map of the various run cards. Just for the record, what is the designation given to the run card that is west of the tracks that includes the Kroger area?

- A. That would be the 361-M3-1.
- Q. Okay. We can agree, just to get a couple things out of the way, that closure of the Franklin Street crossing would have no impact on Medic 3's ability to respond to calls east of the crossing, whether they are in Lewis Center east of the crossing, or in other call areas further east, because Medic 3 would not have to negotiate these tracks to get to those areas, correct?
- A. That is correct. Everything east they would not have to go over the tracks.
- Q. And geographically speaking, the majority of Medic 3's response area, at least as currently figured, is east of the crossing, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Geographically?
- 21 A. Yes.

- Q. Your focus in your analysis, in your prefiled testimony, is EMS response as opposed to fire response, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. And that is because, at least in part, as we look at those run cards, the railroad tracks serve as somewhat of a dividing line between the run cards for fire response with Station 362, which is located on the east side of the tracks, being the responding fire department over there, and 361 being the responding fire department on the west side of the tracks, correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And in terms of creation of those run cards regarding the fire response, that's not by chance, correct?
 - A. That is designated by the Fire Chief.
- Q. Understood. But in terms of fire response, I think you've agreed with me before that there is an effort to have responding fire departments start from the same side of the tracks as the response location to eliminate having to cross tracks and any related issues?
- A. With the fire department, yes, that was one of the original things from the Township.
- Q. Right. So in terms of fire response, given the way the run cards are established, and the locations of Stations 362 and 361, we can agree that in terms of fire response to the west of the

crossing, the closure of Franklin Street would have no impact on that, correct?

A. Not on fire.

2.1

- Q. Right. In terms of your analysis since you were deposed as referenced in your prefiled testimony, you used, if I understand it, CAD data for runs to the 361-M3-1 area for years 2018 through 2021 to a date you indicated earlier in August, correct?
 - A. Yes. That's what we pulled.
- Q. As a general proposition, I think you're indicating in your testimony that you found that Medic 3 tended to respond more quickly to 361-M3-1 than did Medic 361. Is that what -- among other things what you're trying to say in your testimony?
- A. Yes, that Medic 3 did respond quicker to those areas versus Medic 361.
- Q. All right. When you looked at the runs that you looked at -- and I guess we should identify for the record, the printout that we're talking about was marked as Exhibit 4 by the County, and I think another exhibit by the -- similar printout, if not identical by the Township, but would you agree with me that Exhibit 4 is that printout CADD run that we're talking about?
 - A. Yes, the Excel spreadsheet you're

talking about that adds Orange --

- Ο. Yes.
- Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Okay. And in looking at that Ο. document -- and am I correct that you asked it to print out runs to this particular run card, 361-M3-1 for both Medic 3 and Medic 361; is that right?
- That document has any -- I believe it Α. has any Orange Township unit that was in that area. I don't believe I limited it.
- Q. I'm sorry. That would include Medic 3 and Medic 361, correct?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And in looking at that document, and as you look at runs to this area, you were focused on --I realize there are others -- other responders that went to this area, but your focus was Medic 3 and Medic 361 primarily, correct?
- Α. Yes.
- And as you look at this printout 0. considering runs from those two locations, 22 specifically Medic 3, there's no way for you to look 23 at any particular run and determine the precise route 24 that Medic 3 took to get to that area west of the 25 crossing?

A. No, I would not.

2.1

- Q. So you cannot tell us, of the Medic 3 runs reflected on Exhibit 4, how often Medic 3 used -- went from its station on Third Street to Lewis Center Road versus going some other way, you have no way of knowing that?
- A. No, the only thing I can show you is who got there first.
- Q. Okay. And I think even in your testimony, your written prefiled testimony, it refers to Franklin Street as a potential alternative or viable alternative for Medic 3 -- excuse me, for Medic 3 if for some reason they decide not to go to Lewis Center, correct?
- A. Yes, if they need to go into the village they would use, obviously, Franklin because it's easier for them to get around.
- Q. All right. But if they are going to the 361-M3-1 over closer to Route 23 to respond to those various businesses over there, you don't -- you have no way of knowing how they got there, as to whether they went to Lewis Center or not, correct?
 - A. You'd have to ask the crews.
- Q. And one of the messages you're trying to convey, I think, is that their response time from

what you saw in this run report that is Medic 3's response time, was -- was acceptable; I mean, throughout that period of time they responded to that area in an acceptable way from your standpoint, correct, in terms of the amount of time it took?

- A. I mean, in the amount of time they responded, yes. I mean, I didn't get any complaints.
- Q. Right. So we know that, however, Medic 3 responded in connection with these runs that are reflected on this report, and we can't say that they didn't go to Lewis Center Road initially as part of their response, what we do know is that the end result, the response time from what you've seen in this report, was acceptable?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And Delaware County follows sort of the national standard that I think we have talked about before in terms of response times that -- where the goal is to respond in eight minutes or less, correct?
- A. I don't follow that rule, I follow my -because that's not within my department, that's in
 EMS's department. I follow -- -I have different
 rules that govern the dispatching that I'm concerned
 about.
 - Q. Okay. You told us about that before,

where you're looking at the amount of time between when the call comes in and when they hit the road, those kind of timelines?

2.1

- A. Yes. The State audits me on the way of -- the time of receipt to the time I get the dispatch out. And the response time is EMS's standard, not a 9-1-1 standard.
- Q. But nevertheless, you're aware of an EMS general standard of eight minutes, and it would be your hope and Delaware County's hope that you would comply with that standard, correct?
- A. I would think they would, or they are aware of it. I'm aware of it through their behalf.
- Q. And you would agree that if Franklin

 Street crossing is closed, Medic 3 can still travel

 the roughly -- I think earlier it was referenced as

 roughly a football field, to Lewis Center Road on

 Third Street, and they can still respond to this area

 west of the crossing by crossing the Lewis Center

 tracks, that would not change even if Franklin Street

 is closed, correct?
- A. So they respond from their location and they can turn left onto Lewis Center from -- Yes, they can -- Yes.
 - Q. Okay. You also -- you looked at the

issue of Medic 3 responding to Lewis Center Road --Lewis Center addresses that are located west of the crossing, correct?

2.1

- A. Lewis Center addresses west of it, yes.
- Q. Yeah. And you found -- and you indicated in your prefiled testimony that you found that Medic 3 responded in less than two minutes to those addresses in Lewis Center west of the crossing in all but one case, I believe?
 - A. I believe that is correct.
- Q. And again, you don't know for a fact how they -- how the Medic 3 responded to those in Lewis Center west of the crossing, whether they went across Franklin Street or went out to Lewis Center and came back in, there's no way for you to tell that looking at the run report?
- A. No, I do not know their response travel route.
- Q. And you have not, as we sit here today, done anything to time how long it would take for Medic 3 to travel out to Lewis Center Road on Third Street, go across that crossing and come back in one of the other Lewis Center streets, you have not done anything to determine the length of time that would take?

A. Nope.

2.1

2.2

- Q. If I indicated to you that going from east -- or going from the Medic 3 location out to

 Lewis Center across the tracks and back in, without

 lights and -- flashing lights and siren, and not in

 response mode, that that would take somewhere

 around -- a normal vehicle, around a little less than

 30 seconds; would you have any reason to dispute

 that?
- A. Other than what time of day it was, considering what other traffic that is going, I don't know the variable on it.
- Q. Okay. I think you found by looking at the data that --and you're representing that it took three times longer for 361 to get to the -- to addresses west of -- in Lewis Center west of the crossing, do you recall saying that?
 - A. Yes, I have my data here.
- Q. Okay. And so that is -- that would be -- you have already told us that the Medic 3 was responding in two minutes or less, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And so 361, if we're looking to 361 to
 get to one of these addresses in Lewis Center west of
 the crossing, three times two minutes, or less is no

more than six minutes, correct?

2.1

- A. That would be correct.
- Q. And 361 -- I'm sorry, the addresses in Lewis Center west of the crossing, those would -- in terms of this run area, 361-M3-1, those would be kind of at the furthest reaching, wouldn't they, of 361-M3-1 for Station 361?

In other words, that's going to be kind of the outer limits that they would be traveling within that particular run area?

- A. I would say yes, because it's there, but you also have to look are there any houses on North Drive there that go -- you're right, that would be the furthest to the west, so unless there's -- Yes, I would go -- your right. I want to make sure I'm thinking right.
- Q. I need to ask you a few questions about Exhibit 4, and I want to indicate first of all for the record that I don't think there's anything I'm going to ask that is going to endeavor to cull out any personal information or where we would be running afoul of some discussions we had earlier about needing to protect that during the course of testimony.

But do you have a copy of Exhibit 4,

which is the Excel spreadsheet, handy?

A. Yes, I do have one.

2.1

- Q. And I'm not going to try to dig into the weeds of this document too much. I'll represent to you that I think it's a 15-page document with each page consisting of a bunch of lines, very -- relatively small print. Is that what you have in front of you?
- A. I'm looking at the Excel spreadsheet electronically.
- Q. In any event, when it's printed out, it's about 15 pages of data. Does that seem about right?
 - A. I would say so. It's 1,200 rows.
 - Q. And we just -- of course we just got
 this exhibit last week, and I think you just prepared
 it somewhere around the end of August, somewhere in
 there?
 - A. Somewhere in there. I'd have to look at the date when I ran it for.
- Q. All right. I want to ask you a couple of questions. First, you were comparing -- endeavoring to compare the time noted, the in route time, that would be the time that the medic, whichever one we're looking at, started, correct, or

left the station?

2.1

- A. Yes.
- Q. And then you're comparing that to on scene, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And in terms of looking at this issue of which medic as between Medic 3 and Medic 361 can respond more quickly, it's not the time of arrival on the clock that is the key, we're looking at the difference between the in route time and the on scene that would tell us something about the travel time that they experienced to get from the station to that location?
- A. Yes. The in route time is when they mark us and say they are responding, and the on scene time is when they mark us and say they are at the location.
- Q. Okay. And there are a few different scenarios I just wanted to run by you, and I need to get into the weeds as little bit here for a second.

If you have the first page of the Exhibit 4 in front of you, I'm not sure what the easiest way is to direct you to a line, a particular run. Is it CADD number?

A. Yes, can you give me -- it should be

- Column A. The first one is year, and then the second one is -- CADD number is B. If you can give me the year and CADD number, I can find it.
- Q. Okay. And I want to focus on -- one of the things you did, among other things, was to look at -- you tried to look at runs to this area where both Medic 3 and Medic 361 were called on the same call and responded to the same call, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. So if I could ask you to take a look at CADD No. 54657. On my exhibit it's about halfway down the first page, and there's actually two runs in 2018 with that particular CADD number. Do you see it?
- A. I only have one for 2018, 54657. Let me see if -- is that to the 6515 Pullman.
- Q. 6515 Pullman. And mine shows a run, they are both 5-9-2018, they are both going to that Pullman Drive address, one says M361 and one says M3?
 - A. Okay. Give me one second.
- ALJ WALSTRA: Mr. Hochstettler, what column is the confidential information?
- MR. HOCHSTETTLER: The confidential
 information is in Column F. Since this is a business
 address, I'm less concerned about it. I was more

1 concerned about the Column F in residential 2 addresses.

MR. EVANS: For the record, this is an address that I think has already been referenced in his prefiled testimony, this Pullman Drive address.

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Yeah, I have no concerns with this address because it is a -- that's a medical facility, and -- based on the testimony that's been submitted, and so there's no way to track that back to an individual.

So I'm not concerned about Column F with respect to these particular runs, I just want to make sure that Mr. Brandt -- I see it on my chart, so --

ALJ WALSTRA: I see it too.

THE WITNESS: Hold on, let me resort mine. I might have a sort on. Okay, I got it. Sorry. That is a filter on my side, sorry.

By Mr. Evans:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Q. So you have in front of you now that first page that includes this CADD number 54657, and it is in fact showing a run by M3, which I assume is Medic 3?

A. Yes.

O. And M361, which is Medic 361?

A. Correct.

- Q. And they are going to the same location, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. And if you look at the in route and on scene times there, there is an in route time listed for both units, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Looks like M3, Medic 3, was in route a little bit ahead of M361, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And then the next column in this particular case, it says null, which to me means that information for 361 was not recorded, correct?
- A. Correct. They did not -- on this situation they did not make it on the scene.
- Q. So there is no way for you to know why that was, that they didn't make it on the scene?
 - A. From looking at that data, Medic 3 made it on the scene at 15:22, and at 15:23 they marked Medic 361 in service, so they canceled them.
 - Q. Okay. So there's nothing to compare there in that particular run in terms of run times for the two units, correct?
 - A. No, you can tell by 15:22.
- Q. You have to bear with me, because we

haven't seen this -- or your analysis until recently, so I'm not trying to waste yours or anybody's time, but I'm trying to make sure we understand for the record.

Could I refer you then to what for me is the second page of this data? It's -- what did we call this. It's CADD number 39071. And again, there should be two runs with that number.

- A. Yes, I see it.
- Q. And again, we're talking about M361 and M3, right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 ALJ WALSTRA: Can I get that number
- 14 again?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- MR. EVANS: You bet. 39701.
- 16 ALJ WALSTRA: Okay. Thank you.
- MS. DONNAN: Can you provide the date
- 18 for that?
- 19 MR. EVANS: Well, yes. April 4, 2018.
- 20 MS. DONNAN: Thank you.
- 21 By Mr. Evans:
- Q. And if we look at that -- those time entries, the in route time, they were in route within, looks like one second of each other?
- A. Correct.

- Q. And M361 got there at 16:01:42, and M3 got there at 16:01:24, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. So if you do the math -- and what we need to do is compare the time in route versus the time on scene, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. In this particular instance they got to this facility -- M361 left, as we noted, one second later, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- Q. And M3, based upon this data, arrived -their travel time to get there was approximately 17
 seconds faster; is that right?
 - A. For Medic 3, yes.
- Q. Okay. Just bear with me, a couple more times to make sure I understand this.
- A little bit further down that second
 page there's another CADD number 138819, the date is
 10-29 of '18.
- 21 A. You're correct.
- Q. Okay. And the -- the in route time for M361 is 16:51:20 as compared to 16:50:34 for M3,
- 25 A. 16:50:34, that is correct.

- Q. M361 left the station, based upon their in route time, about 46 seconds after the other -- after M3, correct?
 - A. Yes, from 15:34 to 15:20.
- Q. But if you look at the actual travel time for M361, it arrived at the scene, actually just comparing the two travel times, six seconds faster in travel than M3 did.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Is that right? Okay. And then one last one, I promise, and that is -- I think this is the sixth page back, and it's CADD number 67363. It's June 4 of 2018.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And the call came into both at 15:27 and zero seconds, do you see that?
- 17 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. The in route time for -- make sure I'm looking at the right one -- M361 was 15:28:41 as compared to M3 at 15:27:38, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And again, doing that math between the in route time and the on scene time, M361 left -- was in route a minute and 3 seconds after M3, correct?
- A. Correct.

- Q. But if you do the math on the travel time, that difference between on scene and in route, the travel time was equal, 2 minutes and 29 seconds; is that right?
 - A. Yep, I'm looking at it quickly.

2.1

- Q. So we can agree that arrival time at the scene, just the fact that one gets there before the other doesn't equate to a difference in travel time, right?
- A. Well, there's -- I think there's another variable we need to look at, is where were they coming from on those calls. I don't know if we know that exact location where they were responding from.
- Q. Well, I certainly don't know, and you don't know that either?
 - A. No, I don't know if they are in the station, that would be the question.
 - Q. All right. In terms of these data points along the lines of what we looked at where Medic 3 and Medic 361 are responding to the same call, I'll represent to you that in going through this report, that we found 18 -- approximately 18 data points where that similar situation occurred, where both 361 and M3 were dispatched to the same incident at or around the same time. Does that seem

about right to you? Any reason to doubt that?

- A. I don't have any reason to doubt your data, but I've not reviewed that -- I'd have to look at those particular ones.
- Q. And there's going to be post hearing briefs and we'll probably need to delve into this a little more at that time, but I'm trying to make sure I understand how the printout works.
 - A. That's fine.

2.1

2.2

Q. I've represented that there were 18 data points where there were these two runs going essentially at or around the same time.

Of those 18, we found that there were six where there was no way to measure the time from departure to arrival because one entry or another said null. We looked at one of those at the beginning. So of those 18, we found six of that kind.

Do you have any reason -- this document will speak for itself, but as we sit here right now, you have no reason to dispute that?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Similarly, we found five entries of that kind where Medic 3 actually arrived more quickly in terms of travel time, got there more quickly than

Medic 361. And you don't have any basis to dispute that subject of what the document says, correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

Q. We found two where it appeared that the travel time between 361 and Medic 3 was equal, identical travel time. I think we looked at one of those.

You have no reason to dispute that, again, subject to the document speaking for itself, that there may be a couple entries of that kind?

- A. Yeah, no reason to dispute what you're reading.
- Q. All right. And then we found -- of the 18 data points, we found five where Medic 361, that its travel time was actually faster than what the Medic 3 travel time was.

Do you have any reason to dispute that there are -- whether you can agree to five out of 18, but any reason to dispute that that would occur sometimes that Medic 361 could get their faster than Medic 3?

- A. No, because like I said, I don't know where they are responding from. They could have been at the grocery store.
 - Q. Let's see here. So of the 18 data

points we found, seven of those occasions involved a response by Medic 361 that was either the same or faster than the response time for Medic 3. Any reason to dispute that?

- A. Not right off the top, no.
- Q. You are aware that there have been times in the past when Lewis Center Road, Franklin Street, or both crossings were closed for a short period of time for repairs, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And as I recall, on those occasions, although I don't think you can pinpoint when those were, that you and your department would get notice of the pending closure of the crossing, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I think you testified to it earlier that when there is an event like that, a crossing closure for some form of railroad maintenance, that the Fire Chief can change the run cards during that period based upon that closure, correct?
 - A. Yes, he has the option to.
- Q. And I think I represented before that there was an occasion in the past, I think it was in 2010, when Franklin Street and Lewis Center crossing were both closed for a railroad project. Am I

correct that you don't recall that specifically?

A. Not in 2010, no.

2.1

- Q. Or any time since then?
- A. I want to say there was one not that long ago, but I don't remember if it was both of them or not.
- Q. In any event, if Franklin Street crossing were closed permanently, it would again be -- fall to the Fire Chief to look at the run cards and determine whether a change in the run card would be required?
 - A. Yes, correct, it goes to the Fire Chief.
- Q. You recall that Lewis Center Road was closed, I think when we -- when I took your deposition we thought it was maybe just a few months, but I think since then some prefiled testimony has indicated that Lewis Center Road was closed for about six months for construction after the roundabout. Do you recall that occurrence?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And it's your recollection that the Orange Township Fire Chief at the time changed the run card for 361-M3-1 such that the 361 medic had primary responsibility for this area west of the tracks, correct?

A. That is correct.

2.1

- Q. And when I took your deposition, I made you aware of the fact that the -- former Chief Noble had testified that during that six-month closure when Medic 361 had primary responsibility to respond to the area west of the crossing, they did not have to add any medic units or vehicles at that station, and you had no -- as I understand it, you had no information to the contrary?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And they also didn't have to hire any, or put any additional medics on staff at any particular shift at 361 during that six-month time period, you testified to that, and you're not aware of anything to the contrary?
 - A. I'm not aware of anything.
- Q. And you are not aware of any adverse outcomes in terms of response time to this area we have been talking about during that six-month period that Lewis Center Road was closed?
 - A. I'm not aware of any.
- Q. And you also cannot point to any negative outcome in terms of response time or related to response time to this area west of the crossing that resulted as a result of the prior closures of

grade crossings at either Lewis Center or Franklin Street, correct?

- A. Our office wasn't contacted, no.
- Q. And in your position, you would expect to be made aware of adverse outcomes caused by an unacceptable EMS response time, that would be something that typically at least would cross your desk?
- A. It would go to the EMS Chief or Fire Chief first.
- Q. Lewis Center Road -- I'm sure you've driven Lewis Center Road many times, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. It's a two-lane rode with very little berm on the side of the road between that location where the tracks are and Route 23, would you agree with that description?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. As you get a little bit closer to Route 23, I think it gets to be a little bit wider area there, a little bit more in the way of a berm?
- A. It's three lanes now up there through there on the other side of the school now.
 - Q. And you agree that if a train is passing through the area where Franklin Street and Lewis

Center crossings are located, that train, just based on common sense, is going to block both crossings for about the same length of time, clearing one just before the other, depending on which direction the train is going, correct?

- A. I think if it's going to block one, it's going to block the other one.
- Q. Right. You've been out there, the two crossings are only about a football field apart, right?
- A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And if a train is going southbound, for example, it's going to -- coming from southbound, it's going to clear the Lewis Center Road shortly before it clears the Franklin Street crossing, correct?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. Route 23, on the other hand, on which -you would expect Medic 361 to respond to this area,
 the Kroger area, via Route 23, correct?
- A. Eventually they would jump on to Route 23, yes.
- 23 Q. And Route 23 in that area between where
 24 the station is located and where they would likely
 25 get on Route 23 to head up to this -- this area we're

talking about, you would agree that Route 23 is a wider road as compared to Lewis Center, correct?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And there are typically at least two lanes in each direction, correct?
- A. On 23, yes.
- Q. On 23. And there is sometimes a third turn lane that would be there for traffic going north on Route 23?
- 10 A. There is a third turn lane in the middle.
 - Q. And the -- the berms -- there is more of a berm on the side of Route 23 than what you find most places on Lewis Center Road, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And of course, a medic responding from 361 doesn't have to go across any railroad tracks, correct, to get up to this area?
 - A. No.
 - Q. In addition to not having to worry about train tracks and blocked crossings, if your Medic 361 heading up Route 23 to respond to this area with your flashers -- your lights and siren going, as a driver of that vehicle you've got more options to you as far as how to get around any existing traffic than you

would if you were on Lewis Center?

A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. When we last deposed you there was some discussion about automatic vehicle location system, do you recall that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And as I understood it from testimony at that time, that system was scheduled to go in effect around the end of August of this year, is that accurate?
- A. It was scheduled, yes. A portion of it has --
 - Q. I'm sorry. What did you say?
 - A. A portion of that has.
 - Q. Okay. So it is going into place, but it's not fully in place yet; is that correct?
- 17 A. That is correct.
 - Q. And you would agree that that AVL system allows the -- the intention is to allow the dispatch of the closest EMS to a scene even if that EMS unit is on the road?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. So that the intention is that it would
 be -- there would be less instances where the
 responding medic would be responding from a

- stationary location at one of their stations?
- A. Yes, the GPS information would dedicate it.
 - Q. Okay. And your analysis that we have talked about some here comparing the run times and travel times in Exhibit 4, fair to say that doesn't really in any way take into account the impact, if any, of the automatic vehicle location system?
 - A. Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. You don't have any data at this point to indicate exactly how that is going to impact response time through this run card area we have been talking about; is it fair to say you don't know how it's going to pan out?
- A. We do not have any data, so I do not know.
 - Q. But that is a system that you're planning to put into place?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. It's just taking a little longer, as
 things often do, than you were original planning,
 correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Almost done here. When I took your deposition, we discussed the response area -- or we

discussed the emergency response to this run card, 361-M3-1, in the event that Medic 3 is already responding to another call in the territory that is to the east of their station, and I think you agreed at that time that that is something that over time you would expect dose occur, that they are already responding to something and therefore can't be the first responder to this 361-M3-1?

- A. There's potential of that, yes.
- Q. I'm sorry?

2.1

- A. There is potential of them being tied up on another call.
- Q. Okay. And the -- my point in raising that is if that's the case, that's already a situation where Medic 361, as the next closest medic, if possible, would be the medic that would respond to 361-M3-1, because Medic 3 is over on the eastern part of their -- of their territory, that's the way that's set up, right?
 - A. Yes, they would be next in.
- Q. And the same kind of thing could happen once they have picked up a patient at whatever location, obviously there's a component of it depending on the circumstance, can be taking that patient to one of the area hospitals, correct?

- A. Yes, as they are transporting, yes.
- Q. Transporting. And some of those -- like

 St. Ann's I guess or -- for example, would be

 relatively close, but they might be -- depending on

 the circumstance and the injury, they might be headed

 to Children's or OSU, and that's a further drive?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And if they are going -- on their way to a hospital, whichever one it is, and a call calls in at 361-M3-1, currently they aren't in a position to respond to that, correct?
- A. No, they would be too far away at the hospital.
- Q. Right. So in that instance, Medic 361, unless they are also tied up at that point -- but there's a hierarchy set up, as I understand it, but the ideal world would be the next one down the hierarchy, M361 would respond to the new emergency; is that right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Chief McNeil -- I assume you know Chief McNeil, he's relatively new to the job, but do you work with and interact with him from time to time?
 - A. Yes, I have worked with him.
 - Q. I don't know if he -- you probably have

not had any reason to review his prefiled testimony?

A. I have not seen his.

2.1

Q. Okay. Give me one second. I've got too many papers here.

Okay. Chief McNeil indicates -- he's asked upon review of the exhibit, I think the same exhibit we have been looking at, approximately how many runs have the Orange Township medics made to the areas covered by 361-M3-1, and he says, "Upon review of the exhibits, I would say the Orange Township medics have made approximately 159 runs to the area covered by 361-M3-1." That's not a number you have in your mind or handy; is that correct?

- A. No, I'd have to calculate it up.
- Q. Okay. And your printout, assuming he's looking at the same one, it covered, among other years, 2019 when there was a closure -- Lewis Center Road was closed for the roundabout construction, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So as we look at -- assuming just for the moment that the Chief is right in terms of his indication of 159 trips by 361 to that area, that would include the six-month period of time where they were the primary responder because Lewis Center Road

was closed for road construction?

2.1

- A. Yeah, assuming that.
- Q. And that would also -- in looking at those number of runs by M361, that would include those circumstances that we looked at a few of where both units were called in response to the same incident -- we looked at a few of those, but that total number of runs by M361 would include those types of responses where both are going, correct?
- A. If he's using the data that he had that we're talking about, then yes, I would agree.
- Q. Okay. And I realize you have to -that's an assumption I'm asking you to make, but the
 other --
- MS. DONNAN: Pardon me, Mr. Evans. I apologize for interrupting, but just to make sure that the record is clear, in th Chief's testimony, that 159 run area, that relates to Medic 361 and 362 to the area. Those are the two township medics. So I just wanted to make sure for the sake of clarity. I apologize for interrupting.
- MR. EVANS: No problem.
- 23 By Mr. Evans:
- Q. Another reason -- understanding
 counsel's point that maybe not all 159 are Medic 361,

we can determine that easily enough, I guess, but in terms of -- another reason that Medic 361 would be traveling that area, in addition to the ones we have already discussed, is that notion that we have already just talked about, that Medic 3 is preoccupied doing another run to another area of their territory, or transporting a patient to a hospital, that would be another reason that 361 would already be headed up there with the current -- with both crossings open, correct?

2.1

- A. It's based on the nature code. So if the nature of the run is a full arrest or some type, then the Chief automatically has two medics being dispatched on the call. It's not always a single medic response.
- Q. We talked earlier about the fact that you don't -- you can't tell from this printout what route Medic 3 took to get to 361-M3-1 when responding, correct?
 - A. I don't know how they traveled, no.
- Q. Right. And you have not read or had occasion to read -- Well, strike that.

Did you attend the public hearing that was held in this matter almost -- I think about a couple years ago now, where members of the community,

including Lewis Center, provided comments under oath?

A. I was not there.

2.1

Q. Okay. I just want to ask you a question about -- there was an individual by the name of Mr. Stabl, make sure I get his first name, Jamie Stabl, and he -- I'm going to read just a paragraph or so of what he said.

He said, "They also state that the EMS can use the Franklin Street crossing to enter less congested parts of Lewis Center Road. Lewis Center Road is congested, and it's because when a train is coming, traffic will back up a hundred cars one way and a hundred cars going the other way.

"EMS can get out. I've seen them get out. They have their siren on. People stop, they get out. They come out on the west side 40 yards from the track, they have their lights on, they go.

"They come out on the other side only 60 yards, so saying it's a less congested area if they have to go over on the west side, I feel is just not the case.

"But I've seen them -- but I've seen and that's there. The difference between 40 yards on one side and 60 yards, that's not an issue. It's congested, it's congested, but EMS can always get

out."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

I assume you don't know Mr. Stabl?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Never discussed this with him, correct?
- A. Nope. No, sir.
- Q. Do you have any reason to believe that when he was testifying about simply as to his observations about EMS's ability to get out onto Lewis Center Road, that he was in any way not being truthful?
- A. I wouldn't say he's not being truthful, but I don't know if I agree with the statement.
- Q. Well, all we can agree to is that he's a long-time resident of Lewis Center and he's testified what his observation over the years has been, and that's -- you have no dispute with that, correct?
- A. No, just be time of day would be the question that's involved.
- MR. EVANS: Okay. I believe that's all the questions I have. Thank you.
- 21 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Evans. Is 22 there any additional cross-examination for this 23 witness?
- MR. TALBOTT: There is, Mr. Davis. I promise it will be brief.

Proceedings 142 ALJ DAVIS: Please proceed, Mr. Talbott. 1 2 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION By Mr. Talbott: 4 Mr. Brandt, do you need a quick break, 5 6 or are you okay? 7 A. No, I'm good. Go ahead, sir. Q. Okay. Should be quick. I want to 8 clarify a few things just so the record is clear. 9 10 Medic 3, that's the name of the building that is 11 adjacent to the tracks, correct? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. And it's -- it's on the northeast 14 quadrant where Franklin intersects with the tracks, right? 15 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And it's -- to be more clear, it's on 18 the east side of the tracks, right? 19 A. Yes, sir. 20 Q. And Medic 3 provides EMS services only? 2.1 A. Yes, sir. 22 Q. Okay. The majority of its response area

23

24

25

it's on, correct?

A. Yes.

is to the east side of the tracks, or the same side

- Q. I think it services a total of four quadrants. It has primary service obligation for four quadrants. Three of the four are on the east, one is to the west, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. With respect to Medic 3's ability to serve any quadrants to the east, we agree that the closing of Franklin would have no apparent impact because it doesn't have to cross the tracts, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. With respect to Medic 3's ability to serve the west, if Franklin was closed what Medic 3 would need to do would be to divert approximately one-tenth of a mile to Lewis Center Road; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And Medic 3 has to do that anyway, right? Medic 3 has to divert to Lewis Center in order to get out to the Kroger area, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. If Franklin is closed, it diverted a block sooner, if Franklin is not ordered closed by the PUCO, it can divert a block later, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the reason for that is Franklin is

not a through street, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. So if -- we're not concerned about any quadrants to the east. The quadrant to the west, if it's going to the Kroger area, so out far west, it's just not -- it's actually six-one-hundredths of a mile to Lewis Center and go, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Basically the same thing as far as we know it does now, correct?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. If it's going to service the homes on the immediate west of the track, what it needs to do is divert six-one-hundredths of a mile north to Lewis Center, and then it's got to divert six-one-hundredths of a mile back; that is what is added to the trip, correct?
 - A. Okay.
- 19 O. So --
- A. I haven't measured it, but I'll go with you.
- Q. Yeah, if other witnesses will testify
 that it's six-one-hundredths of a mile from Lewis -Lewis Center to Franklin, you don't have any reason
 to dispute that, right?

A. No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And so what we would be adding to the homes to the service of the homes immediately west of the track, we'd be adding approximately twelve-one-hundredths of a mile in EMS travel, correct?
- A. Yes, sir.

8 MR. TALBOTT: Okay. That's all I have,
9 thanks.

10 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Is there any 11 cross on behalf of Township?

MS. DONNAN: Just a couple of questions,
your Honor. Thank you.

14

CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 By Ms. Donnan:

Q. Hi, Mr. Brandt. My name is Julia

Donnan. Our office represents Orange Township. I

just have a couple of clarifying questions for you.

I don't believe that you were on the call -- in the hearing earlier, however we received testimony from a -- written testimony from a couple of railroad witnesses who had previously reviewed your deposition, and based on their interpretation of your deposition, I just want to ask you a couple

clarifying questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A. Sure.
- Q. So when Mr. Jackson, in his prefiled testimony, and then once again confirmed in his testimony today, stated that if the Franklin Crossings close, quote, there's no impact, unquote, in terms of EMS response time to that -- to the Kroger area which is the northwest -- kind of northwest area located -- to the northwest of the tracks. Do you agree with that statement?
- A. That if the Franklin Street is closed, there would be no impact?
- Q. Correct.
 - A. Depends on if Lewis Center Street is closed as well or not.
 - Q. But in terms of the Franklin Street crossing being closed, in your written testimony -- pardon me, your direct testimony you stated that it would negatively impact emergency response times.
- MR. TALBOTT: Objection, if you don't know if the Lewis Center one closes.
- MR. EVANS: Objection, this is commonality of interest here.
- MS. DONNAN: Our office did not question this witness in the direct testimony. We have a

railroad witness saying based on that interpretation they believe this, our office has not had the opportunity to ask these questions of Mr. Brandt.

I recognize that the County and Township do have similar interests, however these are not our witnesses. The County and Railroad have had the opportunities to question about the various type -- testimony of each person, the Township would like to have that opportunity as well.

MR. TALBOTT: We would join the objection. It's leading by a party with commonality of an interest. We don't have objection to her asking the questions a different way, just the way she's doing it.

By Ms. Donnan:

2.1

Q. Mr. Brandt, in your opinion will there be negative -- just to confirm the information you put in your direct testimony, will there be a negative impact on EMS if the Franklin Street crossing is closed?

A. Yes.

MS. DONNAN: Thank you. I have no further questions.

24 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.

25 Mr. Hochstettler, do we have redirect?

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Yes, briefly.

2.1

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

4 By Mr. Hochstettler:

Q. Mr. Brandt, you testified in response to a question on cross-examination that the run card areas for which Medic 3 is the primary EMS responder, that it is geographically a majority of the east side of the tracks.

What is your experience in terms of run volume as opposed to geographic area for Medic 3?

- A. The run volume for Medic 3? Can you rephrase, make sure I understand your question?
- Q. You had testified about geographically a majority of that area is east of the tracks.
 - A. Right.
- Q. What about run volume for Medic 3 west of the tracks versus east of the tracks?
- A. More to the west because of the school and the commercial industry.
- Q. And several of the individual runs that you were asked to review on the spreadsheet were for 6515 Pullman. Are you familiar -- you testified that that is an OSU medical facility; is that correct?
- 25 A. That is correct.

- Q. Okay. And is that closer to Medic 361 than the other properties that you identified in your testimony, being the Lewis Center proper, the actual village, Olentangy schools and Lewis Center United Methodist Church?
 - A. Is Medic 361 closer to that location?
- Q. Is 6515 Pullman closer to 361 than those other locations you identified?
 - A. Yes, because it's further to the west.
- MR. HOCHSTETTLER: I don't have any further questions.
- 12 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you very much, Mr.
- 13 | Hochstettler. I think due to the commonality of
- 14 | interest, we're going to go ahead and let Orange
- 15 Township go first on the recross, and then we'll do
- 16 | that on the next witness.
- MS. DONNAN: We have no further
- 18 questions. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 19 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Is there recross
- 20 | from Petitioners here?
- MR. EVANS: No further questions by Lee
- 22 Evans on behalf of CSX.
- MR. TALBOTT: Your Honor, I have just
- 24 one if I may. May I go ahead and proceed? Are you
- 25 | okay with that?

150 1 ALJ WALSTRA: Go ahead. 2 MR. TALBOTT: Thank you. 3 4 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 5 By Mr. Talbott: Q. Mr. Brandt, first, thank you for your 6 7 time today. Even assuming the Franklin crossings are closed, would it surprise you if another witness or 8 witnesses has testified that Medic 3 would maintain 9 10 primary response obligation as to the Kroger area? 11 A. No, it would not surprise me. 12 MR. HOCHSTETTLER: I'm going to object 13 to the scope of the question. My redirect was two 14 questions. This didn't come up in his initial 15 cross-examination. It's beyond the scope of the 16 redirect. 17 MR. TALBOTT: It's my only -- it's in 18 response -- I don't recall, it's a response to the 19 Township, or one of you asked a question that 20 triggered this. It's the only line of question I 2.1 have. 22 ALJ WALSTRA: We'll allow the question. 23 MR. TALBOTT: Thank you. 24 By Mr. Talbott:

I think your answer was no, it would not

25

Q.

surprise you, is that correct, Mr. Brandt?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- A. Yes, it wouldn't surprise me.
- Q. So this concern as to the Kroger area -- strike that.

The reason it wouldn't surprise you is because Medic 3 is serving it now satisfactorily, and the only way it gets there is through Lewis Center, right?

- A. I'm not sure which way they travel to get there, but yes, they have either side of the track.
- Q. I mean, it can't get there through
 Franklin, it has to get there through Lewis Center,
 right?
 - A. Once it closes, if it closes.
 - Q. Well, even now if it's open, Medic 3, if it's going to the Kroger area, has to get out to Lewis Center and take that to Kroger?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And that doesn't change, if Franklin closes, it does the same thing and it stays the primary responder, right?
- A. It's up to the Chief, but I would assume they would maintain.
- MR. TALBOTT: Thanks. That's all I

2 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you very much. Thank

3 you for your testimony, Mr. Brandt. You are dismissed. 4

5 (Witness excused.)

have. Thanks.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

24

ALJ DAVIS: So, Mr. Hochstettler, I understand our last witness was Mr. Keating who needed some time. Is he ready and present to go? MR. HOCHSTETTLER: He's in the wing, so I think he's available, yes.

ALJ DAVIS: Hello, Mr. Keating. May I ask you to raise your right hand? Do you swear or affirm that what you're about to tell is the truth?

MR. KEATING: I do.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you very much. may proceed, Mr. Hochstettler.

17

18 Glen Keating,

19 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 20 examined and testified as follows:

2.1 DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 By Mr. Hochstettler:

Q. Mr. Keating, you have before you what has been marked as Delaware County Exhibit 7, which 25 is your prepared direct testimony?

A. I do.

- Q. And did you have an opportunity to review and approve the written testimony prior to filing?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And was there any additional information that you wanted to add to your training and education to your testimony?
- A. Yes, sir, there actually is. Line
 number 11 for that question, training and education,
 I want to add in that I'm also a registered
 respiratory therapist. I graduated from Columbus
 State Community College in 2010 with a degree in
 respiratory care.
 - Q. And at this time if I were to ask you all of the questions contained in your direct testimony, would your answers be the same as written subject to the additional information you just provided?
- 20 A. Yes.
- MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Thank you, your

 Honor. I tender the witness for cross-examination.
- ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Is there

 cross-examination for this witness from Orange

 Township?

MS. DONNAN: There is.

2.1

CROSS-EXAMINATION

4 By Ms. Donnan:

- Q. Hi, Captain Keating. My name is Julia

 Donnan. Our office represents Orange Township. I

 just have a couple of questions for you today. Have
 you driven with, quote, sirens on through your
 jurisdiction before?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you describe that for us boring lawyers who have to sit in our offices what it's like?
 - A. I currently have an office myself, so I don't get to do as much of it as I used to. I've been a paramedic now with Delaware County since 2008, so done a fair amount of it, especially down in the southern part of the County.

So our station is in Lewis Center. Our station is in Delaware, Genoa Township, and in Sunbury. Those have a pretty solid amount of traffic associated with it, so lights and sirens sometimes can even be a little bit more difficult than if we just went with normal traffic.

Some of the other areas in the County

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

have a little bit -- have a little more sparse traffic, so lights and sirens are the -- we move pretty quickly.

2.1

- Q. So you mentioned in some parts of the County it can be more difficult -- more difficult with sirens than without sirens. Can you kind of expand on that a little bit?
- A. Sometimes it's just difficult to struggle to get down the street because cars have to go in a -- cars have to have a place to go in order for us to be able to overtake them and have the right-of-way.
- Q. Okay. So would you describe those -- I guess, how would you describe some of those roads where this happens?
- A. You know, really could be any road. It could be -- for example, I know we're talking a lot about Lewis Center Road.

For example, as you cross over the tracks headed west there's a pretty sizable ditch, so to have a car pull to the right like they are supposed to, if they in fact do that, to have them pull to the right sometimes could put them into a ditch, and so they move over as far as they can, but sometimes it's not enough for us.

- Q. So on Lewis Center Road, can it be difficult to get around vehicles with sirens going?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Can you describe -- can you go a bit into more detail in regards to some of the situations where there might be more traffic, what -- what is driving with sirens like?
- A. Are you talking about specific times of day, or any other just details of driving with lights and sirens?
- Q. I guess all of the things that impact -- that impact your ability to drive with sirens.
- A. Sure. The amount of traffic is a big one. The condition of the road, the width of the road as, you know, the number of intersections, the type of intersections, whether it be just a stop sign or traffic lights, those things can all impact our ability to travel with lights and sirens.
- Q. Do you travel with lights and sirens any where on 23?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And can you describe what that's like?
- A. Again, a lot of it is some of those
 factors that I just spoke of. As far as the big one
 is going -- is the traffic volume, itself.

Part of 23 is a divided highway with a grass medium. Obviously we are not able to drive through that. Part of 23 has a center turn lane which can be a method for us to drive if that's available, but again, that depends on the specific part of 23 that we're driving on.

2.1

- Q. Okay. If I were to say if the Franklin Street crossing closes that there would be no impact on EMS response time, what do you think about that statement?
- A. I'd have to -- I'd have to disagree. I think that in general, you know, Lewis Center Road is probably the primary route in which Medic 3 travels, but it's not the exclusive route that Medic 3 travels.

So I know there's a lot of discussion of what happens when the Lewis Center Road crossing closes, and I agree that in these situations that would have an impact in the ability for Medic 3 to travel west on the other side of the railroad tracks should the Franklin Street crossing close.

MS. DONNAN: I don't have any further questions.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. On behalf of the Petitioner's, cross-examination?

MR. EVANS: Yes, your Honor. Lee Evans again.

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CROSS-EXAMINATION

5 By Mr. Evans:

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Keating. How are you?
 - A. I'm well. How are you, sir?
 - Q. Fine. Thank you. Just to pick up where you just left off, the instance where -- when Franklin Street crossing were -- is closed, if Lewis Center were closed for a railroad project, do you have knowledge that there's been closure of one or both crossings in the past, within the past 10 or 12 years in Delaware County?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And when that happens, I think you're aware that there is communication, in this instance from the railroad, to the Delaware County such that Emergency Medical Services becomes aware that there's going to be a closure, correct?
 - A. Provided it's a planned closure, yes.
 - Q. And when there is such a closure, the

 Fire Chief's involved, Fire Chief or Fire Chiefs

 involved with making changes, temporarily or

otherwise, to the run card such that the primary responder to a given area is different during that period of time?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. In your position, as I understand it, you administer the emergency -- Delaware emergency department's continuous quality improvement program, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And as part of doing that, you review run data, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And I think you indicated in your prefiled testimony that you have as Delaware Exhibit 4 -- it's a lengthy spreadsheet showing various runs to 361-M3-1; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And counsel will be happy to know I'm not going to go through that in any kind of detail like I did with the last witness.

I do want to understand, though, it was my impression from the prefiled testimony that the previous witness, Mr. Brandt, was more involved in preparing that document, although you have certainly reviewed it?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And with respect to that document,
 Exhibit 4, that tells us something about runs that
 were made to 361-M3-1, including runs made by Medic 3
 and by Medic 361, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. What we can't tell from that printout in terms of Medic 3's response to this run area -- when I say this run area, it's the 361-M3-1, so I don't have to keep saying that -- but what we can't tell in looking at Exhibit 4 in terms of Medic 3 is how they got there.

We don't know if they left the station,
made a left, went one football field length and made
a left on to Lewis Center, or whether they went a
different way, we can't tell that from that report,
correct?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. As I understand it, the report review on a regular basis provides average times for medic units such as Medic 3 in terms of in the vernacular, I guess, how quickly they get out the door, time spent at the scene, and time spent at the hospital; is that correct?
- A. Correct.

- Q. The regular report that you look at does not routinely call out response times, travel times?
- A. So to clarify, it does include that; however, our key metrics for our quality improvement are more focused on the three metrics you mentioned earlier.
- Q. All right. So you're able to get to that data if you want to, but in terms of your use of your report and your monthly use of that report, you're more focused on those other areas that I indicated?
 - A. Me personally, yes.
- Q. Nevertheless, it is Delaware County's goal to meet what I understand to be a meet or exceed -- what I understand to be a national standard of eight minutes or less for medic response times?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And Delaware County -- as a whole,

 Delaware County medic responders including Medic 3,

 from your experience, are typically well under that
 eight-minute standard, are they not?
- A. As far as other agencies, I would have to assume that yes, they are, given positioning and things like that. Really the only one I can testify to hard numbers is Medic 3.

- Q. Okay. And Medic 3 typically is -- responds well below that -- that eight-minute standard, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Given your position and job title and duties, including continuous quality improvement, if there was a concern on the part of a constituent or supervisors in the department about extensive response time by any unit, but including Medic 3, you would anticipate that you would become aware of that, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And it's correct to state that you do not recall being made aware of any problem involving excessive run time or response time involving Medic 3 really at any point in the past; is that correct?
- A. Yes. I do want to add the caveat that I was appointed into this position in August of 2019.
- Q. So you're saying that prior to that you would not necessarily be privy to that; is that correct?
- A. Correct, unless it directly affected my group.
- Q. But since August of 2019, you can speak to the fact that you're not aware of any concerns

related to response time by Medic 3?

A. Correct.

2.1

Q. And that includes -- Well, strike that.

We talked, when I spoke to you before when your deposition was taken, about instances where either Franklin Street crossing or Lewis Center crossing, or both, have been closed in the past for a railroad project of some sort, and I believe you were generally aware that that has and can occur, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. You don't -- I don't think you have any particular recollection of any particular incident in the past five to ten years where that occurred, but you're generally aware that it's occurred; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And in terms of Medic 3's response time, you're not aware of any response time problems that came to your attention, excessive run times problems involving Medic 3, when either one or both of the crossings in question, Franklin Street or Lewis Center, were closed for a railroad project; you're not aware of any response time issues, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And we also talked about the closures of

Lewis Center Road west of the tracks for the construction of a roundabout in 2019.

Some of that, from what you just told me, would have been before you were in your current position; is that true?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. It's been established through various prefiled testimony that that closure was actually about six months long from April until sometime in the fall, so some of that would have occurred or taken place after you were in your current position?
- A. Yeah, without having the dates in front of me, I would assume so.
 - Q. Okay. When did you assume your current position, did you tell me what month it was?
 - A. It was August of 2019.
- Q. All right. So in terms of response time issues by Medic 3 related to that closure -- actually, let me ask it this way: In terms of medic response times to this area we're talking about, 361-M3-1, during the time period of the roundabout construction, you're not aware of any problems that came across your desk in terms of being able to respond in a timely fashion to that area, correct?
 - A. I'm not aware of any, correct.

Q. There was some discussion and some questions by counsel for Orange Township related to what it's like to travel with sirens and flashing lights and so forth, and on Lewis Center Road as compared to Route 23.

You would agree that based on the physical characteristics of Route 23 as compared to Lewis Center Road -- Well, strike that. Let me go through it individually.

You would agree that Route 23 has a better or wider berms than the majority of Lewis Center Road, correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Some places on 23 there is a center turn lane that might -- I think you referenced it earlier, that might be available to a medic that was trying to make its way through traffic?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And of course, Route 23 is two lanes in each direction, whereas Lewis Center Road in most locations, until you get close to 23, is a two-lane road going one lane in each direction, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So the medic that's driving the medic unit in response to a call, if he's driving on Route

23, as a general proposition he or she has more options available to them to negotiate around traffic than a medic who is responding on Lewis Center Road; is that correct?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And you would agree that regardless of whether the Franklin Street crossing is closed or not, in terms of Medic 3 getting to the area that's designated as 361-M3-1, as simply a matter of geography, they have to travel essentially north for about a tenth of a mile or less, it's been described earlier as a football field, to get to Lewis Center Road and then they have to go west, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. That distance that they travel going north, whether they are doing it on the east side or the west side of the crossing, isn't going to change, the total distance to the response area is going to be essentially the same, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And if Medic 3 is responding to a call, emergency call that has come in, it would be typical -- maybe you tell me if I'm wrong. Would it be fair to say by policy, they would typically be responding lights and sirens?

- A. Yes. We do have a small hand full of response types that do not involve lights and sirens.
- Q. The majority of emergency calls to 361-M3-1 would involve lights and sirens, correct?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. And the motoring public is required by law, when one of these medics is in -- is responding with sirens and lights, to pull off to the right and give them the right-of-way; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you obviously -- you don't live in 12 Lewis Center, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. You get over there from time to time as part of your work, I assume specifically on occasion to go to the Medic 3 facility?
 - A. I was there earlier today, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And obviously you don't work -since you don't work or live there -- you do not
 directly work out of the Medic 3 facility on a
 regular basis, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You're not there in Lewis Center at or
 near the Medic 3 unit the majority of the time when
 they are responding to a call?

A. Right.

2.1

- Q. There was a public hearing in connection with this matter where the public was invited to come and comment; it's been a couple of years ago now. Am I correct in understanding or assuming that you personally did not attend that?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. I want to just briefly -and there's part of a question -- read a short
 section of a -- some testimony provided by Mr. Jamie
 Stabl who indicated he's a long-time resident of
 Lewis Center.

He said, "They also state that the EMS can use the Franklin Street crossing to enter less congested parts of Lewis Center Road.

"If Lewis Center Road is congested and it's because when a train is coming traffic will back up a hundred cars one way and a hundred cars going the other way, EMS can get out. I've seen them get out.

"They have their siren on. People stop, they get out. They come out on the west side 40 yards from the track. They have their lights on, they go. They come out on the other side, it's only 60 yards, so saying it's a less congested area, if

they have to go over on the west side, I feel it's just not the case that I've seen, and that's there.

2.1

"The difference between 40 yards on one side and 60 yards, that's not an issue. It's congested. It's congested, but EMS can always get out."

This is an individual who lives there who was under oath when he provided this testimony based upon his observations. You don't have any basis to dispute his testimony at least as far as what his observations were?

- A. I think -- yeah, I think the observations are reasonable.
- Q. Okay. You are not aware, Mr. Keating, are you, of any consideration within Delaware County EMS of installing a flashing signal on Lewis Center Road at or near the intersection of Third Street when Medic 3 is exiting and needs to exit onto Lewis Center Road? You're not aware of any consideration of that?
 - A. I haven't heard anything about that.
- Q. However, when you were asked that question when your deposition was taken a while back, it was my understanding that you thought that would be a good idea, or at least worth considering. Is

that still the case?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A. Anything we can do to get the traffic's attention of an upcoming emergency vehicle I believe is a good idea.
- Q. And there currently are no signs on

 Lewis Center Road telling traffic not to block

 various intersections, including a particular Third

 Street when it comes out onto Lewis Center?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you're similarly not aware of any discussions within Delaware County EMS about posting signs telling the motoring public not to block those intersections?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. If a medic is in route and is blocked by a moving train at a particular crossing, it is the medic's discretion -- within the medic's discretion as to whether they are to wait -- whether they wait for the train to clear, or whether they seek another route; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And your experience as a medic and in your position with Delaware, is that typically more often than not they choose to wait for the train to pass; is that correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. And it probably goes without saying, you're generally familiar with the run cards that apply to Medic 3, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the majority -- there are more of those run cards that apply to Medic 3 that are on the east side of the crossing than are on the west side, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. In fact, there's only the one that we have been more focused on, 361-M3-1, that is on the west side of the tracks, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And so the closure of Franklin Street crossing, if that happens, would have no impact on the ability of Medic 3 to service its territories that are east of the crossing?
- A. Correct.
- MR. EVANS: Give me one second here.
- 21 (Pause.)
- MR. EVANS: I think that's all the questions I have. Thank you very much.
- 24 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Mr. Talbott, do 25 you have cross?

MR. TALBOTT: Yes, your Honor, very limited. Thank you.

2.1

2.2

CROSS-EXAMINATION

5 By Mr. Talbott:

Q. Captain Keating, good to see you today.

I've just got a hand full of questions for you. You flirted with some of this with regard to CSX counsel, I want to make sure I understand and the record is clear.

From the time you've taken over as

Captain of EMS, at least specifically as to Medic 3,

your response times have in fact been at an

acceptable level with regard to the national

standard, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you've been able to meet those national standards regardless of whether Franklin was closed, Lewis Center was closed, or both happened to be closed at once; you're still finding a way to meet the national standard, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Lee asked you some questions about Medic 3's ability to serve the east, so I'll just confirm my understanding of that.

Three of its service areas are through the east -- or are to the east the same side of the tracks that the station is located on, correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

2.2

- Q. So closing Franklin, no impact, right?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. With regard to the west, if I understand you, even assuming Franklin is closed, your expectation would be that Medic 3 would maintain primary responsibility for the run card currently assigned to the 361-M3-1 quadrant, correct?
- A. That would be at the discretion of the Fire Chief, but I would assume that there would be no changes.
- Q. Okay. And the reason for that, that's the -- includes the Kroger area, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And the reason why you would expect that it would remain the primarily responsible EMS is its got to get there, in essence, the same way it gets there now, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And I got confused when Township counsel asked you a question about if the -- the Lewis Center isn't the exclusive way Medic 3 could

get to the Kroger area. If I understood it, it is, right? I mean, Franklin doesn't add to that equation as far as actually getting there, right?

- A. Well, perhaps I misunderstood the original question from the Township, but I thought they were just speaking about going west, period.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. Headed towards the Kroger, I would assume they would take Lewis Center Road. Ultimately that's up to the crew's discretion.
- Q. Okay. But -- and I'll ask it just to make sure the record is clear, that the reason you'd expect that Medic 3 would remain -- would maintain primary responsibility for the Kroger area is if it's going to the Kroger area, in essence it's got to get there the same way it gets there now, it's got to go out to Lewis Center and then head west, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. It either heads immediately to
 Lewis Center from Medic 3, or it heads a block later,
 but either way it's got to head due north to Lewis
 Center, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that's about six-one-hundredths of a mile, or football field?

175 1 Α. Based off a rough estimation, yes. 2 MR. TALBOTT: That's all I have. 3 you, your Honor, and thank you Captain Keating. ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. 4 5 Mr. Hochstettler, is there redirect? 6 MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Yes, your Honor. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 9 By Mr. Hochstettler: 10 Q. Captain Keating you were asked about the time period 2019 when Lewis Center Road gets closed 11 12 for roundabout construction. And during that time 13 you testified, I believe, that the primary EMS 14 responder on the run card was changed to Medic 361. 15 Is that your testimony? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. And that you did not have any knowledge, 18 at least during your period starting in August of 19 2019, of any negative response times or bad outcomes 20 for patients? 2.1 Α. Correct. 22 I'm wondering if you could explain how 23 you would know if there's a bad outcome for a

24

25

patient?

have to come through feedback from the hospital system.

2.1

We have pretty good rapport with the hospitals to receive that feedback, but it's not a hundred percent. And that would only pertain to specifically runs -- calls for service which we were directly involved with as in we transported the patient.

Any other case where the patient was transported by another agency, whether it be Orange Township or somebody else, we would not really be privy to that direct feedback due to current privacy regulations. Did I answer the question?

- Q. Yes. Are you able, looking at the reports that you have available, to know whether a bad patient outcome was the result of response time?
- A. No, there's too many variables in play when it comes to the outcomes, so without -- I mean, it's possible to look at it, but so many times there's a lot of variables involved.
- Q. You were asked about the national standard. You testified that that is eight minutes. Is that a per run standard that you look at on an individual run basis, or is that an average?
 - A. In general, it's an average. But if we

look and find -- if we look and find something out of place or something out of sorts, we'll break it down into individual runs.

2.1

- Q. Talking about meeting the national standard, is that an average of your response times, or do you have a grade based on per runs?
- A. I mean, in general, if we can exceed that eight-minute response time, that's really going to benefit patients, especially in respect to time critical illness, which is really the sole purpose of EMS services, is to serve patients when they are suffering from some sort of a time critical illness.

In that kind of situation eight minutes is great, but the sooner we can get there, the better we can help prevent any further decompensation in the patient's condition.

- Q. And following that answer, why are response times important? Why -- you said the sooner you can get there the better. Do you have an example of one of those higher or highest priority runs?
- A. Probably the most prominent one would be a cardiac arrest where a patient's heart has stopped beating and their lungs have stopped moving air and the patient stopped breathing.

In situations like that we found that

the earlier we can -- the earlier that patient receives care from EMS, specifically chest compressions, specifically defibrillation, the sooner that happens, the better chance that we have of the patient making a full recovery from that cardiac arrest.

- Q. And one of the -- one of the items that you updated, or I suppose it is the item you updated in your written testimony, is you added to your education and experience that you are a respiratory therapist. In that training and your paramedic training, are you familiar with cerebral hypoxia?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And what is cerebral hypoxia?
- A. Basically a lack of blood flow -- I'm sorry, correction -- a lack of oxygen, whether it be due to no oxygen in the blood, a lack of blood flow, or a pump problem, a heart problem, preventing oxygen from traveling through the -- in the blood and going into the cells of the brain.
- Q. And when you're considering an event where blood flow has stopped or oxygen is not being provided to the brain, is looking at the national standard of eight minutes, is that how you would measure success, eight minutes, or is it something

less than that?

2.1

- A. The earlier the better, period. Eight minutes is without -- with decreased blood flow for the brain or any vital organs will definitely cause irreversible damage.
- Q. Are you able to testify about the time at which brain damage occurs?
 - A. You'll have to forgive me --

MR. EVANS: I just object to the foundation and qualifications. I mean, he's got a lot of qualifications. He's not, as I understand it, a medical doctor and I object to the question on those grounds.

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: We'll just move on,
Captain Keating. I think you've testified
sufficiently that eight minutes is not necessarily
the most beneficial benchmark, so I'll move on.
By Mr. Hochstettler:

- Q. You were also asked about whether, as a result of the closing of the Franklin Street Crossings, if that were to occur, that you did not believe that there would be a change to Medic 3 being the primary EMS responder to area 361-M3-1.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. But in your testimony, I just want to be

clear, in your opinion will there be an impact on response times to village addresses that would be within the Unincorporated Village of Lewis Center west of the tracks?

A. I believe so, yes.

2.1

- Q. And would there be an impact in having the loss of an alternate crossing to Lewis Center Road?
- A. My personal opinion is that the Franklin Street crossing to get to the other side to the village -- to the west side of the Village of Lewis Center, the Franklin Street crossing is the most direct route.
- Q. And you indicated that in response to a question that Delaware County Exhibit 4 -- that the run data that Mr. Brandt provided, you admitted that that does not provide route data, so you are not able to say how Medic 3 responded to a run?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. But do you have information about whether Medic 3 uses the Franklin Street process?
 - A. I'm sorry, can you restate that?
- Q. Do you have information about whether

 Medic 3 actually uses the Franklin Street Crossings?
 - A. Yes, because we have done it -- I've

worked on Medic 3 before in my previous role where we have taken the Franklin Street crossing.

3 MR. HOCHSTETTLER: I have nothing

4 further at this time.

5 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Recross,

6 Ms. Donnan?

7 MS. DONNAN: Township has nothing

8 further. Thank you.

9 ALJ DAVIS: Is there any recross from

10 | Petitioners?

MR. EVANS: Just a couple of questions,

12 your Honor.

13

14 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

15 By Mr. Evans:

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

23

24

25

Q. Mr. Keating, it's correct to say that in terms of Medic 3's responses to addresses on the west side of the -- in Lewis Center proper on the west side of the crossing, you don't -- you don't know if they always respond using Franklin Street, or if they sometimes go out to Lewis Center and come back in,

22 correct?

A. Correct. It's my assumption they would take Franklin Street, it seems like the most direct route.

Q. Okay. And we talked about the fact that from Exhibit 4 that you've reviewed, there's no way for you to look at that to determine what particular route Medic 3 took, correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And you personally, and if you know,
 Delaware EMS has not done any study or made any
 effort to determine the additional time that would be
 involved to go to the west side of the crossing into
 Lewis Center by going that football field or so out
 Third Street to Lewis Center, making the left and
 coming back in one of the other cross streets, you've
 not personally timed that or are not aware of anyone
 who has timed that, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. But presumably, if it was an urgent situation that in responding to a call, they would make that -- Medic 3 would make that move with their lights and flashers on, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if I indicated to you that in terms of making that move in a regular vehicle without flashers and without siren going from the Medic 3 out to the Lewis Center and coming back in, that that could be accomplished within 30 seconds, would you

- have any reason to dispute that?
- A. It would honestly truly have to come down to time of day and the amount of traffic probably.
 - Q. And we previously talked about what the obligations are of motor vehicle drives when there is an approaching ambulance, correct?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- 9 MR. EVANS: That's all the questions I have.
- 11 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Mr. Talbott, do
 12 you have recross?
- MR. TALBOTT: Yes, just a quick question or two.
- 15 | - -
- 16 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 By Mr. Talbott:
 - Q. Captain Keating, you said you hadn't studied the time of that, but if we're talking about the village addresses to the immediate west, if Franklin is closed, so the record is clear, it adds about six-one-hundredths of a mile travel time to the north, and then another six-one-hundredths of a mile travel time to the south, correct?
- 25 A. More or less, yes.

```
184
               MR. TALBOTT: Okay. That's all I have.
 1
 2
     Thank you.
               ALJ DAVIS: Okay. Thank you. That
 3
     would be it for the witnesses for the County, yes,
 4
 5
    Mr. Hochstettler?
 6
               MR. HOCHSTETTLER: I have no more
 7
     witnesses. I would move for the admission of
     Delaware County Exhibits 1 through 7.
 8
 9
               ALJ DAVIS: Are there any objections to
     the admission of the exhibits?
10
11
               MR. EVANS: No objection for CSX.
12
               MR. TALBOTT: No objection, your Honor,
13
     thank you.
14
              MS. DONNAN: No objection.
15
              ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Seeing no
     objection --
16
17
               MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Delaware County rests
18
     it's case.
              ALJ DAVIS: -- the exhibits will be
19
20
     admitted.
2.1
               (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
22
               ALJ DAVIS: Just as a brief seque, is
23
     everybody still comfortable to finish today? We
24
    may -- we may push a little bit beyond 5:00, but if
25
     everyone is okay with that and is prepared and
```

comfortable with that, I'd like to just proceed with our last two witnesses.

I would ask if anyone, particularly our Court Reporter, needs a small break just to perhaps rest her hands or voice.

MS. DONNAN: We might want a five-minute break if possible.

MR. TALBOTT: Your Honor, I'm fine pushing forward with your approval, but I'd welcome a couple minutes.

11 ALJ DAVIS: Then why don't we say we'll reconvene at 4:00 p.m.

13 (Recess taken.)

3

4

5

8

9

10

20

2.1

2.2

14 ALJ WALSTRA: Mr. Davis, you can take it over.

16 ALJ DAVIS: Okay. With everybody back,
17 the Township, is your witness ready?

MS. DONNAN: Yes, I've got Chief Nathan
McNeil sitting next to me.

ALJ DAVIS: Okay. Great. Do you swear or affirm what you're about to tell is the truth?

23 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you very much.

CHIEF MC NEIL: I do.

MS. DONNAN: And I'll start with the Township's Exhibits. So the Township has an

```
Exhibit A, which is referenced in Chief's direct testimony. This is also a confidential -- confidential run report.
```

Our office has been in communication with the Docketing Division, and I think we have sorted out why it was not uploaded to the confidential docket, but we'll get that taken care of. So that would be Exhibit A.

Township Exhibit B would be the Prepared
Testimony of Chief McNeil, and Exhibit C will be the
Prepared Direct Testimony of Michele Boni.

12 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Those are so marked.

(EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

15 | - - -

Chief Nathan McNeil,

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

By Ms. Donnan:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. Chief, did you contribute -prepare direct testimony, which is in front of you
listed as Exhibit B, did you prepare this testimony
and previously submit it?

A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. And can you describe Exhibit A, which was attached to that exhibit?
 - A. Yes, ma'am. The run card.
 - Q. Can you speak up a little?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, the run card.
- Q. And if -- if I were to ask you those questions again today, would your answers be the same?
 - A. Yes, they would.
- Q. Thank you.
- MS. DONNAN: And in the Chief's

 testimony he reserved the right to supplement that

 testimony. I'd like to ask him a few clarifying

 questions.
- 15 By Ms. Donnan:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

2.1

- Q. Chief, have you driven in Orange
 Township with -- in an emergency vehicle with sirens
 on?
- 19 A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. And can you describe what that experience is like?
- A. Depends on the day. When it's later in the day especially between, I would say, 3:00 and 6:00 in the afternoon, even with lights and sirens it is very hard to make it through.

Fortunately our lights and sirens don't create places for people to move, so it is congested and it does make traveling very difficult to get through there.

So lights and sirens are mainly for warning and letting people know we're coming; however, getting them to be able to move out of the area is very hard.

- Q. Okay. So there are some roads where -that are more conducive to people moving out of the
 way than others?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Can you describe some of the roads that are difficult for people to get out of your way?
- A. Well, 23 definitely is one of those areas. Lewis Center is one of those areas. Orange Road would be another area, and that's right in our area that we use to get to many different areas in our response.
- Q. Okay. In addition to just general people on the road, are there other things that impact whether or not you're able to drive through with sirens on?
- A. Yes. I mean, of course, you know, if there's a train blocking the tracks, if the tracks

are closed to be worked on, and there's other possible road work, construction being done, that would also make us have to go a different route.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. In regards to the Lewis Center
 Road crossing and the Franklin crossings, are you
 aware of any planned closures?
- A. Yes, between the 20th of October, and I believe it's the 22nd of October, they are going to be closing Lewis Center and Franklin to do work, and then Orange Road will close, I believe on the last day that the other ones are being worked on.
- Q. Okay. And when the Lewis Center Road and the Franklin crossing are closed, what does that mean for the Township?
- A. That means that the -- that does not give us any option to have Medic 3 be able to respond except for going around the east side.

The -- to get to the area that everybody has been talking about, the Kroger area it was described as, that area is approximately 1.2 I think miles, 1.3 miles from Medic 3's facility.

When it goes around -- when they have to go around either to Shanahan via South Old State Road, or Orange, you're adding 12 minutes or 14 minutes of drive time.

And I know lights and sirens, they make a lot of noise and everything, but that's 12 or 14 minutes on a normal day. When it's heavy traffic, and with lights and sirens, it still does delay our response.

So instead of getting there in four to six minutes, you're looking at getting there anywhere from possibly nine to ten minutes depending on traffic.

- Q. So when this double closure happens, you expect the Township -- will the Township respond to the Kroger area that we have been talking about?
 - A. Yes, we always respond to it.

MS. DONNAN: Okay. No further questions. The witness is available for cross.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.

Mr. Hochstettler, do you have cross for this witness?

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: Yes.

- - -

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Hochstettler:

2.1

Q. Chief McNeil, I understand you've not been in your current position for a long time, but is a part of your role reviewing subdivision plats?

A. Yes.

Q. And what is your role in reviewing subdivision plats for Orange Township?

2.1

- A. To clarify about when I'm dealing -when I'm reviewing stuff, how we respond and how
 we're going to respond to it. And what should the
 run cards be is what you're asking, correct?
- Q. I'm actually asking about subdivision plats where somebody is actually going to be doing a development. Does the fire department review those for emergency access purposes?
- A. Yes, my office, they do look at the residential areas, the commercial areas, anything to be built. They work with zoning hand-in-hand to make sure that things are properly addressed for anything to do with fire or public safety.
- Q. And when you're looking for emergency access for any development, are you only looking at road facilities that are up to public road standards, or are you looking at other alternatives in addition to just public roads?
- A. I would say we're looking for -- public roads are -- we need roads that can handle the weight of our apparatus, so when you're looking at roads, I would say roads are our main concern as far as getting access, but that we also are looking for the

best way possible to get to whatever call that we're trying to respond to.

Q. Why is it important to have alternative emergency access?

2.1

- A. Because stuff happens. You know, there's road construction, there's -- there might be a minor accident that has happened somewhere that's blocking the traffic to get to a major -- a cardiac arrest or something else. So there's numerous things that you need to have, you can't have a single point of failure.
- MR. HOCHSTETTLER: I have no further questions. Thank you.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Is there cross-examination on behalf of Co-Petitioners?

MR. TALBOTT: Yes, your Honor, Casey

Talbott. I have one housekeeping question first

because I don't know how WebEx works.

I think our invite was until 5:00, and I think this witness will be pretty quick, we'll be wrapped up before then, but I want to make sure we're not going to all get booted off at 5:00. Do you have a way of kicking that out a little bit?

Micha, as far as I know, as long as you're here it stays open and it's no problem.

Proceedings

193

1 MR. TALBOTT: All right. Thank you.

2 MR. SCHMIDT: You're correct.

MR. TALBOTT: I was just worried if we

4 go off we might not get him back.

5 MR. SCHMIDT: No, nobody gets booted

6 until I boot them.

7 MR. TALBOTT: If you could boot Aric, we 8 would appreciate it. I'm kidding. Aric, I'm 9 kidding.

10

11 CROSS-EXAMINATION

12 By Mr. Talbott:

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Q. All right. I am all set. Chief McNeil,
my name is Casey Talbott. I represent Norfolk
Southern Railway Company, one of the Petitioners.

16 Thank you for your time today.

I've had the opportunity to review your direct exam. I have some questions for you. As Aric alluded to, you've only been on board here for a short time, I think since the spring of '21, correct?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. All right. Fair to say you're learning as you go, right?
- A. Yes, sir. I mean, there's, I'll call them Township-isms, you know, Orange-isms, but

- responding to emergencies is pretty much the same across internationally.
- Q. Okay. Well, your predecessor, Chief

 Noble -- I assume you met him as he was transitioning
 out and you were coming in?
- A. Unfortunately I did not get a chance to meet him as he left about five months before I got here.
- Q. Okay. But he was -- is it your understanding he was with the Township in the Fire Department for about 17 years?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. All right. In your direct exam you acknowledge that you adopt Chief Noble's deposition testimony, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir, I read through it.
- Q. Well, it was more than reading through
 it. Let's look at your direct testimony. On page 9
 you told us that -- pardon me, it was page 10, line
 5 -- "Do you have any disagreement with Chief Noble's
 testimony or his analysis regarding the necessity of
 the Franklin Street crossing?" Your answer was,
 "No," correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. So you've got no disagreement with Chief

- Noble's testimony, correct?

 Noble's testimony, correct?

 A. No, sir.

 Q. Am I correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

4

5

6

9

10

11

14

- Q. Okay. And, Chief, how about the County witnesses, do you adopt their testimony as well?
- 7 A. I only heard some of the County 8 witnesses.
 - Q. Okay. Did you hear that today, or had you reviewed their direct testimony or their depositions? What have you heard from the County?
- A. Just what I have heard in the past hour that I've been here, I would say.
 - Q. So you had a chance to sit through Captain Keating's testimony, for instance?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Any disagreement with Captain Keating?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- 20 Q. All right. You've testified -- you've
 21 testified some about Medic 3. That's EMS only,
 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And so the record is clear, as the Township Fire Chief -- Strike that.

So the record is clear, the Township doesn't run EMS out of Medic 3, does it?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. The County does, right?
- A. Yes, they are mutual aid partners.
- Q. Okay. But the County rounds EMS out of Medic 3, right?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

15

16

- Q. Okay. And in fact, as Chief Noble admitted, you as township Fire Chief have no oversight responsibility as to Medic 3, correct?
- A. Unless we're on a large scale emergency
 where I was in command, then I would have overall
 incident command, but normal every day, no.
 - Q. In general, as township Fire Chief, you have no oversight responsibility as to the County's Medic 3 station, correct?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 O. Am I correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. You'd agree with me, Chief

 McNeil, that Medic 3 is located on the east side of
 the tracks, correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. And that Medic 3 has primary

responsibility for four separate service areas in the County, correct?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Three of those service areas are located on the east side of the tracks, meaning the same side as the Medic 3 building, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So clearly, closing the Franklin Street Crossings would have no impact on Medic 3's ability to provide prompt service to those areas, correct?
- A. No, sir.
- 12 O. Am I correct?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. You're saying no, and I'm looking
 for a yes, so I might remind you of that a few times,
 okay?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. With respect to the only service area to
 the west, that's area 361-M3-1, also known as the
 Kroger area, to get there Medic 3 has to divert over
 to Lewis Center Road, correct?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. That's the road that's
 approximately six-one-hundredths of a mile to the
 north of Franklin, right?

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So closing the Franklin crossings would mean that Medic 3 would have to cut over a block sooner than if it crossed the Franklin Street crossing and diverted over then, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Assuming County witnesses testified that earn in instances where the Franklin crossings have been closed Medic 3 has been able to provide prompt service to the Kroger area well within the national standard, would you dispute that?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. All right. In order to get to that

 Kroger area, so we're on the same page, I think I

 asked this, but Medic 3 has to go to Lewis Center, it

 doesn't get there via Franklin itself, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And you're aware, I assume, that the County built an additional EMS station on the west side of the tracks, correct?
 - A. You're talking about 10, correct?
- Q. No, I was actually referring to -- we can talk about 10 if you want. I was referring to 361. I think that's the newest station, isn't it?
 - A. Well, yes, that's the Township, that's

the Fire Department.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

Q. Okay. All right. If I said -- I said County and I should have said township, right? Okay.

So the -- in or about 2004 the Township built Station 361 on the new -- on the west side of the tracks, right?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And you're responsible for that station, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. So you got 362 on the east side of the 12 tracks, you've got 361 on the west, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. With regard to 361, fair to say it doesn't have to cross any tracks to get to area 361-M3-1, correct?
 - A. On a normal day, yes, you're correct.
 - Q. Okay. And we're talking about U.S. 23.

 Would you agree with other witnesses that EMS has

 more options, many more options, when traveling on 23

 than it would have on Lewis Center, for instance?
 - A. Yes, to a point, sir.
- Q. All right. And some of these things
 that would help its travel on 23 would include 23 has
 two lanes in each direction plus a center turn lane,

correct?

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. The lanes are wider, correct?
 - A. Yes, except for 5:00 in the afternoon.
 - Q. Well, the lanes are wider on U.S. 23 than they are on Lewis Center, aren't they?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. All right. And then you also have greater berm area on 23 than you have on Lewis Center, correct?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. All right. You got higher speed limits on 23 than have you on Lewis Center, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. At some point on direct you were speaking to a concern at both Franklin and Lewis Center crossings are closed. I want -- and I think you know this, but you're aware that this petition is to close the Franklin crossings only, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. You know that we're not seeking to close the Lewis Center crossings, right?
- A. Yes, sir. I was talking about for when they are working on both areas of the track.

Q. Well, you just heard Keating on that, so let me ask you about it.

On the rare occasion when both Franklin and Lewis Center crossings are closed, do you dispute the testimony of other witnesses, including Keating, that even in that rare event there's been no appreciable impact on the County's ability to service its residents well within the national industry standard?

- 10 A. I would not totally agree with that,
 11 sir.
- 12 Q. Okay. But you did hear the testimony,
 13 correct?
- 14 A. I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. Chief, I assume -- I think you testified earlier you've been out to the Franklin Street crossing?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You would agree it's not a through street, right?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. It's about a third of a mile long?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You'd agree with me that it's narrow in certain places, less than two car lengths -- or two

cars wide?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. You'd agree with me that the crossing itself is humped, meaning it's not level, it's up and down and then up and down again, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. You'd agree with me that there's a fairly sizable gap between the three tracks, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You've got the two Norfolk Southern tracks, and then you got about enough space to park a semi truck before you get to the CSX track, right?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. All right. And you'd agree with me that the Lewis Center crossing is protected by passive warning devices -- Strike that.
 - You'd agree with me that Franklin crossings are protected by passive warning devices as opposed to active, correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. Lewis Center, on the other hand, it's about six-one-hundredths of a mile away, it's protected by active warning devices, right?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

Q. All right. Chief, playing us straight here, from a safety perspective, you can't be excited about the Franklin Street Crossings, is that fair?

2.1

- A. I do believe that it offers an easier path for pedestrians, and kids on bikes, especially.
- Q. Okay. And I'm asking you a different question. You don't like this crossing, do you?
- A. Do I like the crossing? I mean, I have an opinion, but if it means that a vehicle can get to a call, yes, I do.
- Q. So you're not concerned about anything we just discussed that the road is narrow, the crossing is humped, it's up and down and up and down again, there's a gap between the three tracks, and it's got passive warning devices; that to you doesn't pose a safety concern, is that fair?
- A. I wouldn't say that. All together, that's assuming something.
 - Q. What is it assuming?
- A. That's not a total true statement.

 There are always concerns with railroad tracks.

The other day when I had three kids on a bike on Lewis Center in front of me going over and barely trying to get through because there's no sidewalk, there's nothing, I stopped and led them

across while people were beeping.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

I mean, that's a concern and that started and that -- there's safety concerns no matter what you talk about when it comes to a railroad.

Q. Let me ask this: You mentioned the -- well, let me come back to that.

The -- as you talk about Home Road for a second, are you aware of the Home Road overpass proposal?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And I think you mentioned in your direct exam that assuming that was constructed, that this would resolve your concerns as to closing Franklin; is that true?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. You're relatively new here. Are you aware that this project has been discussed for nearly 20 years?
 - A. Me being new, sir --
- Q. You don't know either way?
 - A. I don't know how long it's been discussed, sir. No, I do not.
- Q. Okay. And as you sit here today, you
 can't guarantee us that it's going to be built, is
 that fair?

- A. I can't guarantee -- I'm sorry?
- Q. Yeah, you can't guarantee us as you sit

 here today that this Home Road overpass is going to

 come to fruition; is that true?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. And assuming it comes to fruition, and all the moving parts come together, you can't guarantee to us when it's going to be built, is that fair?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. TALBOTT: Okay. That's all I have.
- 12 Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 13 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you, counsel.
- 14 Mr. Evans, do you have cross?
- MR. EVANS: I have a few questions, your
- 16 Honor.
- 17
- 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 19 By Mr. Evans:
- Q. Good afternoon, Chief. I'm Lee Evans.
- 21 I represent the other railroad here, CSX.
- Regarding this issue of apparently an
- 23 | impending closure of the crossings for some railroad
- 24 | work, do you have any knowledge of the history of
- 25 closures of Franklin Street crossing, Lewis Center

crossing, or both, over say the last ten years or so?

2.1

A. Sir, the only knowledge I have is what I've experienced so far here personally, but also from when I -- I think it was June or July the last time they closed, and I asked questions because they wanted to close all three of them at the same time, which was going to hurt our response.

We're weren't going to be able to get anywhere, so I called and spoke to someone from the contractor who deals with the railroad, I'm not sure who, but one of the things I heard that, one, when they close a section, it's not -- both railroads don't do the work at the same time, so one route -- one department does it one time, and then a couple months the other railroad will work on that area.

So I know that's been a problem over the years of those being closed at the same time and trying to work together, which is something I'm trying to improve the process of speaking to your representatives to make sure that we're not creating more difficulties.

Q. Okay. Well, getting back to my question, if the records show that there's been three instances since 2010 where one crossing, either Franklin Street or Lewis Center, or on one occasion

both were closed, you don't have any information to indicate that it's been more frequent than that, correct?

- A. I think since I've been here it's been twice and there's going to be one next week. I think that's --
- Q. And before they are closed, you're aware that the closure is coming and have -- as you've described, have had input in that regard, correct?
- A. Yes, I've been made aware, and I was able to make -- give input, yes.
- Q. Okay. And if the Lewis -- Well, strike that.

There are other -- under the current configuration with both crossings in existence and open, there are circumstances that would result in Medic 361 responding to this 361-M3-1 run card area, correct?

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

Q. We have talked about them with some other witnesses, and I don't know how much of that you were present for, but you would agree that currently with both crossings open, M361 would sometimes be in a position to respond to -- well, if it's all right with you we'll use the Kroger

territory rather than go through the series of numbers -- the Kroger territory, because Medic 3 is responding to another call somewhere else east of the crossing, you would agree that that occurs, correct?

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. It could also occur that Medic 361 would respond to this area because Medic 3 is tied up with transport to one of the Columbus area hospitals, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And there are times when -- and as I understand it, you've reviewed an exhibit that -- it's the County Exhibit 4. I think maybe it has a different designation from the Township, but it's a printout of runs to the Kroger area over a several-year period of time. You've reviewed that, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I think it was review of that that allowed you to indicate in your prefiled testimony that -- I'm looking on page 5 of the testimony where you said that upon review of the exhibit, I would say the Orange Township medics have made approximately 159 runs to the area covered by 361-M3-1, correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Page 5 near the bottom of your --
- A. So between 361 and 362, both stations, yes.
 - Q. All right. And that's over the course of the period of time covered by that run spreadsheet printout that you reviewed, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. And is it your understanding that was -- as I understand it, that's 2018, 2019, '20 and '21 sometimes up through some time August, is that your understanding?
 - A. Yes, sir, I believe so.
- Q. All right. And are you aware of a breakdown between -- as between 362 and 361 in terms of that total of 159, how much was 362 versus 361 going to this particular run card area?
- A. I don't believe I broke it down that much, sir.
- 20 anticipation, given their physical location, that
 21 361's proximity to that area as compared to 362, that
 22 more of those runs would be 361 than 362?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And when 361 responds, they respond typically using Route 23/High Street, correct?

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. And you referenced the potential for bad outcomes for patients, but as we sit here today, and realizing you have only been in your position since -- I think you said last spring, correct?
 - A. April.
 - Q. April.
- -- you're not aware of any bad outcomes related to delayed response times to 361-M3-1, are you?
 - A. No, sir, I'm not.
- Q. I'm not going to get into the details on this, we did with another witness. You have not gotten into your review of Exhibit 4, the spreadsheet, to determine -- try to determine the difference in response times as between M -- Medic 3 and Medic 361 to this area, have you?
 - A. Have I looked -- compared them, no.
- Q. Okay. And the document will speak for itself and there was some testimony on this earlier, but if that spreadsheet actually shows that on the occasions when M361 -- Medic 361 and Medic 3 were both dispatched for the same call, that there were response occasions where Medic 361 responded more quickly than Medic 3, you would have no reason to

dispute that if that's what the document shows?

A. I would not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. And it is your -- as you state in your direct testimony on page 9, it's your belief that completion of the Home Road extension would address your concerns from an EMS perspective, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Closure of the -- Well, strike that.

You have not done any study or attempted to determine what the response time would be using the theoretical Home Road connector, if that's used from Medic 3's current location or some other location east where it might be located east of the crossing, you have no idea what that response time to this area would be using the Home Road connector?

- A. No, sir, I've not.
- Q. And in doing your -- any planning that you would do in your position, is it fair to say that you have not been provided with any specific date by which you should count on the Home Road extension being in place?
- A. No, sir.
- MR. EVANS: That's all the questions I have.
- 25 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Ms. Donnan, is

```
212
     there redirect?
 1
 2
               MS. DONNAN: No redirect. Thank you.
 3
               ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. With that said,
     thank you very much, Chief McNeil. You are
 4
 5
     effectively dismissed.
 6
               (Witness excused.)
 7
               ALJ DAVIS: Ms. Donnan, your next
 8
     witness?
 9
              MS. DONNAN: We will be calling Michele
10
    Boni.
11
               ALJ DAVIS: Good afternoon, Ms. Boni.
12
     Thank you for attending. Can I ask you to raise your
13
     right hand? Do you swear or affirm that what you're
     about to tell is the truth?
14
15
               MS. BONI: I do.
               ALJ DAVIS: Thank you very much.
16
    Ms. Huber, you may proceed.
17
18
               MS. HUBER: Thank you, your Honor.
19
20
                      Michele Boni,
2.1
     being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
22
     examined and testified as follows:
23
                    DIRECT EXAMINATION
24
    By Ms. Huber:
25
           Q. Michele, did you contribute to written
```

testimony for this case?

- A. I did, yes.
- Q. Is that it in front of you marked as Township Exhibit C?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. If I asked you those questions that are contained in Exhibit C today, would you answer them the same way that you did in Exhibit C?
 - A. I would, yes.
 - Q. Thank you.
- MS. HUBER: Your Honor, Ms. Boni is available for any cross-examination.
- 13 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.
- 14 Mr. Hochstettler, do you have cross for this witness?
- MR. HOCHSTETTLER: I do. Thank you.

16

CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 | By Mr. Hochstettler:

- Q. Ms. Boni, in your employment with Orange Township, are you familiar generally with street addresses and subdivisions within the Township?
- A. I am, yes.
- Q. Now, at the public hearing in this
 matter several residents testified, and one of those
 was Teresa Wickline whose address, according to the

transcript, is 1354 Church Street. Are you familiar with Church Street?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Is that within the Unincorporated

 Village of Lewis Center, or somewhere else in the

 Township?
 - A. That is in Lewis Center Village, yes.
- Q. Also testifying were Dave and Geri Dill, address of 1557 Franklin Street. Is that also within the Village?
- 11 A. It is, yes.
- Q. And Fred Lecrone also testified. His address is 1425 Church Street, is that also within the Village?
- 15 A. That is, yes.
- Q. And Mr. Stabl, Jamie Stabl testified,

 his address is 6266 Westwick Place, is that within

 the Unincorporated Village of Lewis Center?
 - A. I do not believe so.
- 20 Q. Are you familiar with where the Park
 21 Shore subdivision is?
- 22 A. I am, yes.
- Q. And is that over by Alum Creek State
- 24 Park?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. That's not within the Unincorporated Village of Lewis Center?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. The Unincorporated Village of Lewis

 Center, you're generally familiar with that as well;

 is that right?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Are there residential dwellings within the Village?
- A. There are, yes.
- 11 Q. Are they on both the east and west side 12 of the railroad tracks?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. What about any churches, are you aware of any churches in the Village?
- 16 A. There are, yes.
- Q. What about commercial properties or businesses, are there any businesses within the village?
- A. There are, yes, right on Lewis Center
 Road.
- 22 MR. HOCHSTETTLER: I don't have any additional questions. Thank you.
- 24 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.
- 25 Cross-examination on behalf of the Petitioners?

1 MR. TALBOTT: Yes, your Honor.

ALJ DAVIS: Please proceed.

2.1

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Talbott:

Q. Afternoon, Ms. Boni. My name is Casey
Talbott on behalf of Norfolk Southern. I've had the
opportunity to hear your direct exam and hear your
comments and have some questions for you.

First, I want to speak for a minute about the convenience factor to the Lewis Center township homes on the immediate east and west sides of the Franklin Street Crossings.

Have you yourself ever measured how far it is from Franklin Street to Lewis Center Road?

- A. I have not measured it myself, no.
- Q. So to the extent other witnesses and the public records establish that it's about six-one-hundredths of a mile away, you don't dispute that, fair?
 - A. Fair, I do not dispute.
- Q. So by way of distance with respect to convenience, we'd be adding approximately twelve-one-hundredths of a mile to the residents' path of travel, that would be six-one-hundredths from

Franklin to Lewis Center and then another six-one-hundredths back, is that accurate?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. All right. Let's talk about traffic for a second. Are you aware of how many vehicles a day Lewis Center has versus Franklin?
 - A. I am not aware of that, no.
- Q. Okay. Assume a county engineer witness testified that Lewis Center could absorb -- Strike that.

Assuming a county engineer testified that Franklin experiences approximately 64 vehicles a day, would you be in a position to dispute that?

- A. I would not.
- Q. Okay. Assuming the County witness testified, the County engineer, assistant County engineer, testified that Lewis Center would be capable of absorbing 64 vehicles a day even at the crossing, would you be in a position to dispute that?
 - A. I would not.
- Q. Okay. If Franklin Street has 64 vehicles a day as the County witness has admitted, if my math is right, if we close Franklin, we'd be diverting, on average, approximately 2.66 vehicles per hour. Does that sound about right?

- A. Sounds right, yes.
- Q. All right. Next I want to talk to you,
 Ms. Boni, about the EMS service. The Medic 3 station
 is operated by the County, not the Township, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

- Q. So fair to say that the County witnesses would be in a better position than you would to speak about how Medic 3 operates, is that fair?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And as Chief Noble -- former Fire Chief Noble admitted that the Township has no oversight responsibility as to Medic 3, would you agree with that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you certainly don't as the Township administrator, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
 - Q. In any event, Medic 3 is located on the east side of the tracks, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know or have you heard how many service areas or quadrants Medic 3 has primary response duty for?
- A. I do not know that information.
- Q. Okay. So if other folks said that they

have -- that Medic 3 has primary response duty for a total of four service areas, you wouldn't dispute that, fair?

- A. I would not.
- Q. If the testimony is that three of them are to the east of the tracks, so no impact by closing Franklin, you wouldn't dispute that, fair?
 - A. I would not.
- Q. Okay. So that leaves us with the fourth quadrant, that's -- do you know the number of that? 361-M3-1, do those numbers mean anything to you?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with Kroger out west on Lewis Center Road?
 - A. I am, yes.
- Q. Okay. So there's been some communication about the Kroger area, another term for that service area. Would you agree with me that Medic 3 can't get to the Kroger area via Franklin itself, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. It's got to go to Lewis Center -- even
 if it crosses the tracks on Franklin, it's then got
 to immediately divert over to Lewis Center about
 six-one-hundredths of a mile away, right?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. So if we close the Franklin crossings, Medic 3 would have to divert over immediately as opposed to a block or two later, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. If they wanted to head to the Kroger area they'd have to divert immediately as opposed to a block or two later, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And the reason for that is Franklin is not a through street, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. If they are going to get there they have got to take Lewis Center. So in your direct exam, when you say closing Franklin would require EMS to utilize Lewis Center to get in and out of this area of the Township, I was a little confused by that, because Lewis Center Road is the only way to get out of this area of the Township even now, right?

Franklin doesn't get you out, if you want to get out of this area of the Township you got to go on Lewis Center, right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Ms. Boni, you're aware that in response to this petition the Public Utilities

- Commission of Ohio scheduled this matter for what's called a public hearing?
 - A. I am aware of that, yes.
- Q. All right. Are you aware that that hearing was held back on July 9 of 2019?
- A. I do not recall the date, but that sounds around that time, yes.
- Q. Sounds about right, okay. And not only was the hearing held, it was held right there at a local library. Are you aware of that?
- A. I'm not aware of the location, I was not involved at that time.
- Q. Okay. Did you go to the hearing? I assume no?
- 15 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. Have you ever taken the time,

 Ms. Boni, to read the comments, or read the testimony

 from the evidentiary hearing?
- 19 A. I did not, no.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware that a total of seven residents showed up?
- A. I am not aware of the number of residents.
- Q. But if seven showed up at least to testify, you wouldn't dispute that, correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Now -- or seven people showed up, yeah.

 If two of the folks who testified -- if they acknowledged that the EMS concern was overstated or just not existent, would that -- I mean, is that news to you, or did you already know that, that two of them don't agree that there's an EMS concern at all?
 - A. I was not aware of that, no.
- Q. Well, the folks' testimony will speak for themselves.

I want to spend a few moments with you about the Home Road overpass which you referenced in your direct exam. You're saying the Township objected to the closure of Franklin unless and until the Home Road overpass is completed, correct?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And you infer that once the Home Road overpass is completed, then the Township has no objection to closure, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You're aware, Ms. Boni, that this overpass project has been discussed for years and years?
- A. I am, yes.
- 25 Q. One of the folks at the public hearing

said to his recall it had been discussed for 16, 17 years. Are you in a position to dispute that?

A. I'm not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.2

- Q. Fair to say, Ms. Boni, that there's no guarantee that this overpass is ever going to get built, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And assuming it gets built, there's no quarantee as to when, is that fair?
- 10 A. I'd have to rely on the County Engineers
 11 for that.
 - Q. All right. We know that best case scenario, based on the County, is that their goal is to get this thing built by 2026, that's the earliest. Are you aware of that or not?
 - A. I am aware of the completion, estimated completion date, yes.
- Q. Okay. And as you said, it's an
 estimated completion date, a whole lot of moving
 parts have to come together to make that happen,
 right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. The -- Ms. Boni, in the interim, until
 this thing gets built, if it gets built at all, is
 the Township willing to accept liability for the

Franklin Street Crossings?

2.1

MS. HUBER: I'm going to object to that question, your Honor, for reasons we talked about when another witness was testifying.

I'm not sure how an appointed official of a township, who is not either an elected official or used to operating the entire board of three people, which would be the Board of Trustees for the Township, how she could opine or commit on the Township's behalf.

MR. TALBOTT: If I could speak. I guess your Honor, I would object to the objection, it's a speaking objection. That's disfavored under Ohio law, and it is -- the witness can either -- can answer -- the witness can say I'm not in a position because of my title, the witness can say no, we won't, but let me -- let me ask the question a different way and maybe that will remove the objection.

20 By Mr. Talbott:

Q. You're aware, as you sit here today,
Ms. Boni, that the Ohio Rail Development Commission,
Norfolk Southern, and CSX, don't like the crossing
from a safety perspective and they want it closed;
you're aware of that?

A. I am.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And on behalf of the Township,
 you're the -- I mean, you're the Township witness,
 there wasn't a trustee to come here today, right? I
 mean, you're not aware of any of the trustees coming
 here to testify, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. You're the designated witness, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. You're here to speak on behalf of the Township, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. So I'm asking you -- and if the answer is no, that you can't answer it, just tell me. I'm asking -- we want it closed, we want it closed yesterday.

You guys want to keep it open at least through 2026, if that goes forward, longer, whatever. And I'm asking you, pending that construction, is the Township willing to accept responsibility for any accidents which occur in the interim? If you can't answer it, you can tell me.

- A. I'm not in a position to answer that.
- Q. Okay. And is that -- okay. All right.

Ms. Boni, last thing I want to talk to you about -- excuse me -- is your testimony with respect to how this case proceeded, how this petition proceeded.

In your direct exam you state that from early 2019 through early 2021 the Township engaged in discussions with the railroad companies about the potential closure of the Franklin Street Crossings, correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And this culminated on April 19, 2021, when the Township adopted a resolution agreeing to accept the Ohio Rail Development Commission's proposal to close the Franklin Street Crossings, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. I want to cover a bit more history with you. And I understand that some of this may have preceded your involvement with the Township, but I want to know if you know about it or not, or whatever your answer is, you'll tell me.

Are you aware that these closure conversations went on long before 2019?

A. I am aware that conversation happened before 2019, but I could not give you a -- how many years or months it involved.

- Q. Well, you're surely aware there was a diagnostic survey performed out of this crossing in year 2012; you're aware of that?
 - A. I was not involved in that time, no.
- Q. Okay. I want to ask you -- there's been some questions about who was or wasn't represented at that, and I want to ask you whether you know these folks or if you've heard of them from previously being involved with the Township, so I want to ask you some names. This is from back in 2012. Scott Overturf or Overhold, something like that?
 - A. Overturf, yes.
 - Q. Who was he?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. He was our -- he was a consultant that we hired as part of the Parks and Roads Department at that time.
- Q. How about Beth Hugh, H-u-g-h, I think it is?
- A. So yes, she was our parks and maintenance director.
- Q. Okay. How about Gail Messmer,
- 22 M-e-s-s-m-e-r, who was Gail?
- A. She was our township administrator. I believe her term ended at 2016.
- Q. Okay. So she was at some point before

- you, a number of administrators back?
- 2 A. Yes.

1

- Q. Okay. How about Rob Quiggly, do you know that name?
- 5 A. Yes, he was a former Orange Township 6 trustee.
 - O. How about Debbie Toronto?
- A. She is a current Orange Township trustee.
- Q. Okay. Was she back in 2012 as well, or you don't know what her role was then?
- 12 A. She was. I believe she's been with the 13 Township for ten years.
- Q. Okay. The folks I went through, most of
 them -- I think all of them except for Overturf, if
 I'm pronouncing that right, all had
- OrangeTownship.org emails, so they were all affiliated with the Township, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. All right. And you're aware that
 as a result of that diagnostic, the Franklin Street
 crossings were identified as closure candidates,
 correct?
- A. I believe so. I was not part of the diagnostics review.

- Q. And are you aware that for years and years after the 2012, the Ohio Rail Development Commission, not the railroad companies, spearheaded discussions with the Township toward closing these crossings? Are you aware of that?
- A. I am aware there were discussions, but that's as far as I know.
- Q. When those discussions didn't bear fruit, you're aware that the railroads filed a petition back in January of 2019 to close the crossings, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. And then we fast forward to -- to July 9, 2019, that's when the Orange County residents had a chance to come out and weigh in at the public hearing?
- A. As mentioned before, I'm not familiar when that happened, but I do know there was a public meeting.
- Q. Okay. You're aware the public hearing occurred, you're not certain as to the date, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And I guess this is
 importantly -- Ms. Boni, are you aware, as you sit
 here today, that in early 2021, as the petition was

- proceeding, the Township came to the railroad companies and asked us to close these crossings? Are you aware of that or not?
- A. I am aware there was conversation with the trustees, but I'm -- I do not know what steps were made.
- Q. Do you know whether they came to us at that point, or whether we came to them, or you don't know either way?
 - A. I do not know either way.
- Q. Okay. All right. In any event,

 Ms. Boni, on April 29, 2021, the Township adopted

 Resolution 21140 (sic) agreeing to close the Franklin

 Street Crossings, correct?
 - A. On April 19th, yes.
- Q. I said April 29 because I don't read my
 own writing. I appreciate you bringing that to my
 attention.
 - So April 19, 2021, that's when the Township adopted the resolution, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

19

20

2.1

Q. All right. Ms. Boni, do you have -- I
want to direct your attention to that resolution. We
have marked it for the record as Petitioner's
Exhibit 9. Do you have that before you? What's

that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

- A. We're getting it.
- Q. Great. Thank you.
 - A. I have it, yes.
- Q. Okay. Great. And you've read that before today, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. All right. I want to talk to you just
 9 for a few minutes, go through a few things. Let's
 10 look at the title. It's -- RES stands for
 11 resolution, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. It's got the number 21-40 (sic), and the title is quote, Action accepting the Proposal of the Ohio Rail Development Commission, ORDC, CSX
 Transportation, CSX, and Norfolk Southern Railway
 Company, NS, for the closure of public grade crossings on Franklin Street TR1041, did I read that correctly?
 - A. Resolution No. 21-140, yes.
- Q. If I misspoke on that, I apologize.
- Okay. Otherwise I read the title of this resolution correctly?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And then let's look at

paragraph 2 of the preamble. Second paragraph down it says quote, "Whereas a proposal has been made to change the character of Franklin Street TR1041 by prohibiting vehicular and pedestrian traffic over the at grades CSX and NS crossings, thereby benefitting the safety of the traveling public." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Let's go down to the last "Whereas" clause, and it states quote, Whereas the proposal has been submitted to the Township, and the Township finds the proposal to be in the best interest of the community, in that it will enhance the safety of the traveling public, end quote. Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And then if we look at Section 1, it says quote, The Board hereby authorizes and approves changing the character of Franklin Street by barricading and prohibiting vehicular and pedestrian traffic across the at grade railroad crossings traversing the street, end quote. Did I read that correctly?
- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Ms. Boni, fair to say that

the Board moved to adopt this resolution by and through the Board's chair, that's Mr. Grumbles, correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. That motion was seconded by Ms. Toronto, a trustee, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the requisite two of three trustees, specifically Grumbles and Toronto, voted to adopt the resolution, with the third trustee not voting against it, but rather abstaining, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And this is the resolution, the one we just talked about, that I've misstated three times, Resolution No. 21-140, that's the one that was passed approximately nine years after the diagnostic survey was conducted in 2012 when consolidation was discussed, correct?
- A. If that's when the time started, correct.
- Q. Okay. And it's the resolution that was passed years and years after discussion with the other interested parties, correct?
- A. Again, I don't know the time frame, but correct.

- Q. Okay. Well, it's certainly the resolution that was passed after the petition was filed and the public hearing held, right?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

Q. And it's the resolution that was passed -- Well, strike that, because you don't know who came to who.

I was going to ask you if it was the resolution that was passed after the Township came to us in early '21, but you don't know that either way?

- A. I do not know that, no.
- Q. And then approximately a month later,
 Ms. Boni, is when the trustees reneged on this, they
 took action purporting to rescind the resolution,
 correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Fair to say, Ms. Boni, when the Township took that action, renege, rescission, whatever you want to call it, the Township's lawyer, Christopher Best, was so beside himself that that very same day he became aware, he withdrew as Township counsel; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And that was on -- by way of
 email to the ORDC dated May 24 of 2021. It's part of

Petitioner's Exhibits 10, do you have that before you?

A. One minute.

2.1

O. Pardon me?

MS. HUBER: One minute please.

MR. TALBOTT: It's back toward the back, if that helps.

MS. HUBER: I'm not sure we have that in front of us, Casey. If you'd like me to get it I might be able to get it.

MR. TALBOTT: It's Christopher Best's email of May 24 to Matt Diederichs and other involved counsel in this case. So it was toward the back of Plaintiff Exhibit 10 -- or Petitioner's Exhibit 10.

MS. HUBER: I'm not sure we have that.

By Mr. Talbott:

Q. It's only two sentences. I'm going to read it and see if this sounds generally familiar, and then I'm about done.

This is a Monday, May 24. Were you -okay. Counsel, Mr. Diederichs, that's ORDC guy, "On
Friday evening the Orange Township Board of Trustees
voted to rescind Revolution 21-140 which agreed to
settle the Franklin Street case. As a result this
office is filing a Notice of Withdrawal for both the

```
1 | Township and engineer in this matter."
```

Is that generally consistent with your understanding?

- A. I recall that email, yes.
- Q. Okay. You've seen it before?
- A. I have, yes.

4

5

7

Q. All right. Thank you.

MR. TALBOTT: Your Honor, that's all I
have for Ms. Boni. Of course, Mr. Evans may have
some questions as well. Ms. Boni, thank you for your
time.

12 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Your Honor, Lee Evans. I do

14 | not have any questions at this time.

15 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. Ms. Huber, do

16 | you have redirect?

MS. HUBER: I don't have any redirect,

18 your Honor. Thank you.

19 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.

20 MS. HUBER: At this point I would ask

21 your Honor to admit Township Exhibits A, B, and C

22 into the record, please.

23 (Witness excused.)

24 ALJ DAVIS: Are there any objections?

MR. TALBOTT: This is Casey, and I

```
apologize, could you tell me again what those exhibits were, just so my notes are clear, A, B, and C?
```

2.1

MS. HUBER: Sure. Exhibit A is Chief McNeil's exhibit, the run card, the spreadsheet. Exhibit B is Chief McNeil's direct testimony, prefiled direct testimony, Exhibit C is Michele Boni's prefiled direct testimony.

MR. TALBOTT: On behalf of Norfolk Southern, your Honor, no objection to those exhibits.

MR. EVANS: This is Lee Evans. My only inquiry is regarding Exhibit B. Can we indicate for the record that that is essentially -- or is an identical exhibit to County Exhibit 4? Is there any representation that it differs in any way?

MS. DONNAN: So Exhibit A, that would be township Exhibit A, the run card. I think in general we do agree that it's likely the same document.

The only reason we have not identified it as a Joint Exhibit is because Chief's direct testimony references it as Exhibit A.

And so if we -- if the parties decide to identify it as something else, that leaves the possible gap in his direct testimony.

MR. EVANS: Okay. Was it received by

the Township from the County? I mean, can we understand that it is -- aside from that distinction that you just made, that it is one in the same?

2.1

MS. DONNAN: Correct. I think we are fine on that. I think we would just prefer to --certainly if people have a preference to reference one or the other, I think that's fine, but just for the purposes of making sure his direct testimony is consistent with that, it has an exhibit attached to it, we just want to make sure that it gets submitted.

MR. TALBOTT: This is Casey. I don't have it before me. Is that -- the one you're talking about now, is that the confidential one that -- or this is a different exhibit all together, it's the confidential one?

MS. DONNAN: It's the confidential one, correct.

MR. TALBOTT: And I think, Aric, you represented that that is basically the same one -- whatever we're going to call it, it's the same exhibit that you had entered before?

MR. HOCHSTETTLER: My understanding is that Patrick Brandt prepared that report. He distributed it to me and I authorized him to distribute it on request to the Township, and that

has then been distributed to everybody, and it would contain the same information, but since it left our door, I can't confirm that. I've not compared it line by line.

MR. TALBOTT: No objection here, your Honor. And as far as how we handle the numbering or the lettering of it, I'll defer to you folks.

MR. EVANS: No objection.

2.1

ALJ WALSTRA: In terms of briefs, can we just refer to like Delaware County Exhibit 4 just so we're consistent in there? That way we're not bouncing back and forth and having briefs referencing different things, just -- like as to what

Mr. Hochstettler said, I think that's a very good point, ever since it left his hand, and it's quite a big document and I don't feel like going through right now to make sure it's exactly the same or somebody formatted it differently or something.

And the testimony I believe will be consistent with what he references. You can check the attachment in terms of -- to make sure his testimony is consistent, or that it makes sense just to what he's referring to.

But in terms of briefs, if we can just try to stick to the Delaware County Commissioner's

240 Exhibit 4. 1 2 MR. EVANS: That makes sense. No 3 objection. MR. TALBOTT: Yes, fine here, too. 4 5 ALJ WALSTRA: Orange County Exhibits A, 6 B, and C will be admitted. 7 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) ALJ WALSTRA: We'll set initial briefs 8 will be due December 3rd, and reply will be due 9 10 December 17th. Anything further? 11 MR. TALBOTT: Oranges Township rests as 12 well? They rested, right? 13 MS. HUBER: Yes, we did. 14 MR. TALBOTT: Well, congratulations. 15 think we got it done in a day. 16 MR. EVANS: My apologies again for the 17 delay at the beginning. I can't explain my IT 18 department, but it is what it is, so I appreciate 19 your patience. 20 ALJ DAVIS: No problem. 2.1 ALJ WALSTRA: Well, thank you all, and 22 we'll talk to you guys shortly. 23 (Thereupon, the hearing was 24 adjourned at 5:20 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, October 12, 2021, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Valerie J. Grubaugh,
Court Reporter and Notary
Public in and for the State
of Ohio.

My commission expires August 11, 2026.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/25/2021 10:32:47 AM

in

Case No(s). 19-0180-RR-UNC

Summary: Transcript October 12th 2021 In the Matter of the Joint Petition of Norfolk Southern Railway Company and CSX Transportation, Inc. To Close The Franklin Street Crossings (DOT Bos. 481482D and 518257V) In Orange Township, Delaware County, Ohio. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Grubaugh, Valerie