## THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT OF CONTAINER GRAPHICS CORPORATION,

COMPLAINANT,

v.

TALEN ENERGY MARKETING, LLC,

**CASE NO. 21-925-EL-CSS** 

**AND** 

THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY,

RESPONDENTS.

## **ENTRY**

Entered in the Journal on September 24, 2021

- {¶ 1} Pursuant to R.C. 4905.26, the Commission has authority to consider written complaints filed against a public utility by any person or corporation regarding any rate, service, regulation, or practice relating to any service furnished by the public utility that is in any respect unjust, unreasonable, insufficient, or unjustly discriminatory. Additionally, pursuant to R.C. 4928.16, the Commission has jurisdiction under R.C. 4905.26, upon complaint of any person, regarding the provision of by of an electric services company subject to certification under R.C. 4928.08 of any service for which it is subject to certification.
- {¶ 2} Talen Energy Marketing, LLC (Talen) is an electric services company as defined in R.C. 4928.01 and is certified to provide competitive retail electric service under R.C. 4928.08. Accordingly, Talen is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- $\{\P 3\}$  The Toledo Edison Company (Toledo Edison) is a public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02 and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- {¶ 4} On September 8, 2021, Container Graphics Corporation (CGC or Complainant) filed a request to prevent termination of service and complaint jointly against

21-925-EL-CSS -2-

Talen and Toledo Edison (collectively, Respondents). The complaint alleges billing

improprieties by the joint Respondents and seeks protection against service interruption

during the pendency of the case. Further, CGC commits to paying billed amounts that are

not in dispute during the pendency of its complaint.

{¶ 5} Pursuant to an Entry on September 10, 2021, the attorney examiner granted

CGC's request to prevent termination of service during the pendency of this complaint.

{¶ 6} On September 24, 2021, the parties filed a joint motion for an extension of time

to answer the complaint. In the motion, the parties indicate that the extension of time will

allow them to focus on settlement considerations in lieu of expending time and expense on

litigation. The parties request both an expedited ruling on the motion and that the new

deadline for responding to the complaint be set on October 28, 2021.

{¶ 7} Upon review of the motion, the attorney examiner finds good cause for

granting the extension of time for responding to the complaint. Accordingly, the deadline

for both Talen and Toledo Edison to respond to the complaint is extended until October 28,

2021.

 $\{\P 8\}$  It is, therefore,

 $\{\P 9\}$  ORDERED, That the deadline for responding to the complaint be extended, as

described in Paragraph 7. It is, further,

**¶ 10** ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/Michael L. Williams

By: Michael L. Williams

**Attorney Examiner** 

MJA/hac

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

9/24/2021 3:24:10 PM

in

Case No(s). 21-0925-EL-CSS

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry ordering that the deadline for responding to the complaint be extended, as described in Paragraph 7 electronically filed by Heather A. Chilcote on behalf of Michael L. Williams, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio